SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 23 February 2015 Accepted: 01 July 2015 Published: 03 September 2015

Identification of outliers in a genomic scan for selection along environmental gradients in the bamboo locust, *Ceracris kiangsu*

Xiao-Jing Feng, Guo-Fang Jiang & Zhou Fan

Identification of loci under divergent selection is a key step in understanding the evolutionary process because those loci are responsible for the genetic variations that affect fitness in different environments. Understanding how environmental forces give rise to adaptive genetic variation is a challenge in pest control. Here, we performed an amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) genome scan in populations of the bamboo locust, *Ceracris kiangsu*, to search for candidate loci that are influenced by selection along an environmental gradient in southern China. In outlier locus detection, loci that demonstrate significantly higher or lower among-population genetic differentiation than expected under neutrality are identified as outliers. We used several outlier detection methods to study the features of *C. kiangsu*, including method DFDIST, BayeScan, and logistic regression. A total of 97 outlier loci were detected in the *C. kiangsu* genome with very high statistical supports. Moreover, the results suggested that divergent selection arising from environmental variation has been driven by differences in temperature, precipitation, humidity and sunshine. These findings illustrate that divergent selection and potential local adaptation are prevalent in locusts despite seemingly high levels of gene flow. Thus, we propose that native environments in each population may induce divergent natural selection.

Various environmental conditions, including distinctive latitude, may result in different physiological challenges, which in turn lead to morphological and molecular adaptations to local conditions¹. Evidence from population genetics indicates that divergence evolution generally occurs in the presence of gene flow², and it is well accepted that differentiation among populations can occur in the face of gene flow if adaptively driven³, and divergent selection may result in local adaptation and reduced gene flow between populations. Moreover, populations in different environments will initially genetically differ at a few key sites in their genomes, and the surrounding DNA may differ due to linkage disequilibrium. Uncovering the genetic basis of important adaptive traits in natural populations is a major goal to better understand how populations adaptively diverge in heterogeneous environments⁴. Recent studies have examined the number and location of genes involved in adaptation and evolution, and it has been suggested that genotypes caused by environment interactions allow for populations to evolve traits in their local habitat. This process and the resulting patterns are termed "local adaptation"⁵. Habitat fragmentation may weaken the connection between populations (isolation by distance) and lead to genetic divergence between populations. Differential adaptation or natural selection can then result in large allele frequency differences at loci between populations that control the involved traits, and these differences occur at a small number of DNA sites, but are potentially identifiable because linkage leads to 'islands' of differentiation around the selected sites, and a marker sampled within an 'island' will also be distinct. In particular, methods

Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Biodiversity and Biotechnology, College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, 1 Wenyuan Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.-F.J. (email: cnjgf1208@163.com) for genotyping large populations for many markers, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs), comparative anchor tagged sequences (CATs), and Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs), have been developed. Although SNPs have been widely used to identify genome-wide loci by environment associations in model organisms⁶, we concentrated on the utility of AFLP markers because they can be easily applied to non-model organisms and used to generate hundreds of potential loci widely distributed across the genome⁷. AFLPs provide a quick and low-cost means of obtaining allele frequency data for large sample sizes and organisms for which little prior genetic knowledge is available⁸.

The detection of natural selection signatures within a genome allows for the understanding of what proportion of a genome or which genes are under the influence of natural selection. Genomic regions under selection are generally functionally important; hence, inferences regarding selection may provide useful information for identifying important genes⁵. Population genetics relies on the principle that all genomic loci are influenced by genome-wide evolutionary forces (genetic drift, gene flow), whereas locus-specific forces, such as selection, imprint a particular variability pattern on select loci. By comparing the genetic diversity of loci across the genome, it is possible to identify loci that have an atypical variation pattern (outlier loci), which are likely to be affected by selection. Strategies using population genomics are free from any prior knowledge about selectively advantageous genes or phenotypes and do not focus on a few loci but examine the effect of selection over the entire genome^{9,10}. Outlier locus detection is a population-level analysis that uses estimates of population genetic differentiation (e.g., $F_{\rm ST}$). In outlier locus detection, loci with significantly higher or lower genetic differentiation than expected under neutrality are identified as outliers and are thus considered to possibly be under selection. Although a large number of markers are usually surveyed in the method, less than 5% are generally identified as outliers¹¹.

The main drawback of the above methods is that they seldom link outlier loci with specific selection pressures (e.g., environmental) because it is notoriously difficult to determine genetic mechanism from the environmental effects on phenotypes. For adaptive divergence of populations to occur, the evolutionary force of directional selection must be stronger than the homogenizing effect of migration among populations and random genetic drift¹². Spatial and temporal changes are heterogeneous in the natural environment, so divergent selection across natural environments can induce adaptive divergence resulting in local adaptation^{12,13}. In addition to environmental variation, phylogeographic history, gene flow and population demographic processes all contribute to spatially structured genetic variation. Here, we examined genetic variation from an environmental angle to complement results from population genetic models. We applied the recently developed Samßada¹⁴ method to detect signatures of natural selection in locusts genotyped with AFLP markers. The idea behind this individual-based method is to correlate marker occurrence with environmental data in an allele distribution model, which uses geo-referenced environmental data and geo-referenced individual molecular genetic data. Molecular marker detection adaptive relevance relates the presence/absence of alleles to environmental data. It thus provides direct evidence to which ecological factor acts as a selective force. Over the last two decades, $Sam\beta$ ada has been utilized in analyses of a wide variety of ecological patterns, including goat breeds¹⁵, ocellated lizards⁴ and gobiid fishes¹⁶.

Genome scans used in parallel with environmental data provide distinct clues for selective forces that act on molecular markers of adaptive relevance in the real landscape¹⁷ and will complement and strengthen robustness of the final set of loci identified as potentially under selection¹⁸. It is now possible to implement such an approach relatively cheaply on a genome-wide scale.

The *Ceracris kiangsu* bamboo locust is an important forest pest in China, and it is widely distributed throughout southern China¹⁹. One distinct characteristic of the species is its greater flight ability, presumably leading to frequent gene flows between populations. Fan *et al.* previously reported that this species has low levels of genetic structure and relatively high gene flow¹⁷, suggesting shallow evolutionary trajectories and limited or absent adaptive divergence among local populations.

In this study, we conducted an AFLP genome scan in *C. kiangsu* bamboo locust populations to identify candidate loci influenced by selection along an environmental gradient in southern China. Our objectives were to (1) test whether *C. kiangsu* populations adapted to local environmental conditions due to adaptive divergence and thus now display genomic signatures of divergent selection and (2) determine the environmental factors involved in local adaptation by explorative landscape genetic analysis.

Results

AFLP analysis. Four different primer combinations allowed us to amplify 360 AFLP bands, of which the mean number of fragments per individual was 81.8. The number of segregating fragments was 310, which accounted for 86.1% of the total fragments. We obtained 224 polymorphic markers.

Outlier detection. We successfully tested a total of 224 polymorphic AFLP markers in 24 *C. kiangsu* populations across all sample sites in southern China (Fig. 1; Table 1). We performed all three outlier detecting methods with the same data set for global analysis.

In DFDIST, the power for detecting differentiated outlier was high because of the low overall F_{ST} across sites²⁰. This method identified a total of 16 outliers (Fig. 2). Among these outliers, one outlier presented a lower F_{ST} value than expected under neutrality, which suggests that it has potentially undergone



Figure 1. Map of the 24 sample localities for the bamboo locust with complete data. Each number beside black dots represents a sample locality respectively. Details for each site can be found in Table 1. Outline of China was downloaded from National Administration of Surveying, Mapping and Geoinformation (http://en.nasg.gov.cn/) for free and locations were produced using the software Adobe photoshop CS5.

balancing selection; the other 15 outliers presented higher F_{ST} values than expected under neutrality, corresponding to loci potentially influenced by directional selection.

In the BayeScan program, we detected 15 polymorphic loci with statistically significant patterns of divergent genetic differentiation (Fig. 3). Bayes factor identified high differentiation outliers at a threshold of PO >10. Among these, 13 loci had log 10 values above 1.5 (particularly strong) and ten had a log 10 Bayes factor of 1000, which corresponds to a posterior probability of one. Outliers detected by BayeScan were all considered candidate loci potentially under divergent selection.

Using Sam β ada, we identified 83 loci that significantly correlated to environmental variables following Bonferroni correction for both the Wald and G tests. Many loci were associated with more than one environmental variable. Among these loci, DFDIST consistently detected five loci : 6, 35, 55, 73 and 224. Though both Sam β ada and BayeScan detected 11 loci, BayeScan may be more effective in detecting outliers.

The three methods identified a total of 97 outliers. Sam β ada identified the most outliers, up to 83 loci. DFDIST and BayeScan detected 29 candidate loci, which are demonstrated in Fig. 3. Among the 29 loci, DFDIST detected 17 loci and BayeScan detected 15 loci. The two programs identified two loci. BayeScan and Sam β ada both detected 11 loci, which are likely due to population divergence (Fig. 4).

Association with environmental variables. We used in Sam β ada to test AFLP marker frequency variation in bamboo locusts in China for the environmental variables of annual sunshine (Sun), latitude (Lat), annual mean relative humidity (Hum), annual precipitation (Prec), annual mean temperature (T_{mean}). We detected significant associations for 138 molecular markers and environmental variables out of 1120 combinations in wald score (p < 0.05). Sam β ada analysis results highlighted 14 outliers associated with annual sunshine (Sun), three outliers associated with latitude (Lat), thirteen outliers associated with annual relative humidity (Hum) and six with annual precipitation (Prec). Four loci showed an association with annual mean temperature (T_{mean}). Loci 60 and 80 were associated with the most variables (each with four variables, Table 2), loci 8, 27, 30, 59, 80, 110, 126 and 224 associated with three variables and loci 35 and 55 associated with only one variable. On average, the strongest and most associated environmental variable was latitude, followed by annual sunshine, annual mean relative humidity, annual mean temperature and annual precipitation.

No.	Sampling site	Lat	Longitude	T _{mean} (°C)	Prec(mm)	Sun(h)	Hum	N
1	Jinyunshan, Chongqing	29°50′14″N	106°23′46″E	18.76	1065.60	1023.96	77.8	25
2	Jianou, Fujian	27°02′08″N	118°14′14″E	20.38	1534.38	1444.33	72.3	14
3	Nanjing, Jiangsu	24°29′18″N	117°21′01″E	16.53	1107.38	1887.74	70.0	2
4	Guangning, Guangdong	23°36′17″N	112°23′18″E	21.80	1581.68	1643.26	un	34
5	Guilin, Guangxi	25°18′25″N	110°23′40″E	19.52	1786.08	1466.80	un	10
6	Quanzhou, Guangxi	25°55′43″N	111°09′44″E	19.52	1786.08	un	un	20
7	Rongan, Guangxi	25°12′29″N	109°23′45″E	21.20	1439.48	un	un	18
8	Jinping, Guizhou	26°43′04″N	109°10′52″E	16.00	1059.30	1350.40	74.5	6
9	Mayanghe, Guizhou	28°41′47″N	108°16′16″E	17.20	1121.95	927.00	71.5	2
10	Hengyang, Hunan	27°07′01″N	112°41′36″E	19.05	1219.08	1571.65	un	4
11	Huarong, Hunan	29°35′23″N	112°32′17″E	18.38	1159.52	1769.97	un	20
12	Shuangpai, Hunan	26°06′30″N	111°49′28″E	18.73	1295.62	1437.55	un	18
13	Taoyuan, Hunan	28°54′09″N	111°29′20″E	18.45	1253.45	1585.60	un	46
14	Changsha, Hunan	28°10′11″N	112°40′06″E	18.41	1302.86	1681.94	72.2	19
15	Shicheng, Jiangxi	26°19′35″N	116°20′36″E	20.00	1242.95	1768.38	68.8	19
16	Changning, Sichuan	28°29′57″N	104°55′58″E	18.70	877.58	921.74	76.0	19
17	Ziyang, Sichuan	30°07′45″N	104°37′44″E	17.66	821.26	1256.52	77.0	5
18	Mengla, Yunnan	21°29′18″N	101°33′23″E	22.20	1225.95	un	un	5
19	Menglun, Yunnan	21°55′25″N	101°15′56″E	22.20	1225.95	un	un	7
20	Quzhou, Zhejiang	30°19′03″N	119°25′57″E	17.83	1568.21	1839.59	un	14
21	Wuhan, Hubei	31°05′30″N	114°21′01″E	17.67	1188.63	1806.45	71.6	20
22	Pingxiang, Jiangxi	27°37′22″N	113°51′15″E	18.50	1629.18	1559.65	77.8	31
23	Guangde, Anhui	30°47′19″N	119°28′51″E	un	un	un	un	15
24	Shucheng, Anhui	31°22′05″N	116°59′13″E	un	un	un	un	15

Table 1. Sampling site, geographical coordinates, annual sunshine (Sun), latitude (Lat), mean annual relative humidity (Hum), annual precipitation (Prec), annual mean temperature (T_{mean}), and sample size of sampled *C. kiangsu* populations. un: unknown data.



Figure 2. Distribution of F_{ST} values as a function of heterozygosity for interpopulational comparisons. Each dot represent an AFLP marker. The red dots above the upper line are classified as outliers potentially under divergent selection.

Comparison of DFDIST and BayeScan with Sam β **ada analysis.** As listed in Table 2, Sam β ada highlighted five outliers among the 16 outliers detected by DFDIST as being significantly associated with the environmental variables tested. However, Sam β ada identified 12 of the 15 outliers detected by BayeScan to be significantly associated with variables (Table 2). Only one locus (locus 224) highlighted



Figure 3. BayeScan 2.0 plot of 224 polymorphic amplified fragment length polymorphisms markers in global enhanced genome scan analysis of 393 individuals from the *C. kiangsu* populations from China. F_{ST} is plotted against the log10 of the posterior odds (PO). The vertical line shows the critical PO used for identifying outlier markers. The 15 markers on the right side of the vertical line are candidates for being under positive selection.





Outlier	DFDIST P-value	BayeScan posterior probability	Samβada		
6	<u>0.000</u>	0.054	Hum, Sun		
7	0.000	1.000			
8	0.858	<u>0.939</u>	Prec, Sun, Lat		
13	0.970	0.972			
14	0.000	0.060			
15	0.001	0.071			
16	0.000	0.777			
27	0.535	<u>0.982</u>	Hum, Sun, Lat		
30	0.312	<u>1.000</u>	Hum, Sun, T _{mean}		
35	<u>0.000</u>	0.057	Hum		
55	<u>0.000</u>	0.057	Sun		
59	0.810	<u>1.000</u>	Hum, T _{mean} , Sun		
60	0.922	<u>1.000</u>	T _{mean} , Lat, Prec, Sun, Hum		
63	0.781	0.953			
73	0.000	0.785	Hum, Sun		
80	0.559	<u>0.082</u>	Hum, Sun, T _{mean} , Prec		
84	0.761	<u>1.000</u>	Hum Sun		
91	0.784	<u>1.000</u>	Hum, Sun		
93	0.000	0.104			
109	0.966	1.000			
110	0.875	<u>1.000</u>	Hum, Sun, Prec		
123	0.005	0.642			
126	0.989	0.992	Hum, Sun, Prec		
161	0.000	0.138			
162	0.000	0.059			
179	0.000	0.665			
205	0.002	0.784			
220	0.001	0.510			
224	0.002	<u>1.000</u>	Sun, Prec, Hum		

Table 2. List of the 29 outliers detected by DFDIST and BayeScan. For each outlier, values of posterior probability above 0.99 in bold are indicated. Numers of marker underlined are both detected by Sam β ada as outlier loci. Then the outliers were detected by spatial analysis method (Sam β ada), the climatic variables most strongly associated with locus are indicated. Sun: annual sunshine, Lat: latitude, Hum: annual relative humidity, Prec: annual precipitation, T_{mean}: annual mean temperature.

by Sam β ada variables was simultaneously detected by DFDIST and BayeScan as an outlier. This locus was associated with three climate variables by Sam β ada analysis, most strongly with Sun, Prec and Hum.

Discussion

Our study suggests that loci under divergent selection are on various geographical scales in *C. kiangsu* through AFLP genome scan. In addition, Sam β ada highlighted a significant proportion of those loci with statistical significance.

Outlier detection. The proportion of outliers detected with DFDIST (7.6%) is close to the proportion of outliers obtained by BayeScan (6.7%), which is also similar to the proportion previously reported by Nosil *et al.* that AFLP genome scans using DFDIST generally identify a proportion of $5-10\%^{21}$. However, these studies are not directly comparable because the study design and chosen confidence may vary, such as pairwise comparisons²², global analysis²³ or both²¹. The number of loci detected by Samβada was significantly increased compared to the other two approaches, which suggests that Samβada is more sensitive.

In our study, we used three approaches to detect outliers in the same data sets, but it is not comparable to the posterior probabilities from BayeScan and P-values obtained from DFDIST. However, the outliers detected by the three approaches were limited, possibly reflecting discrepancies in their methodologies. The main difference between DFDIST and BayeScan is that the $F_{\rm ST}$ within-populations is variable in BayeScan, but it is assumed to be the same across all populations in the former program⁴. However, the test performed by Beaumont concluded that gene flow between populations or isolation by distance did not have a strong effect. Nevertheless, previous studies have reported that neglecting population structure may produce high rates of false positives²⁴. Some studies have adopted multiple pairwise comparisons among populations²⁵ because such comparisons are more appropriate, which may diminish problems caused by unknown complexity and strengthen confidence for candidate loci.

Overall, DFDIST appears to be more sensitive than BayeScan (DFDIST: 7.6%, BayeScan: 6.7%). Previous studies have indicated that BayeScan usually detects a high percentage of true selective loci and less than 1% of outliers (false positives) under a fully neutral model. The percentage of outliers detected by this software always correlates with the true percentage of selective loci in the genome²⁶. The Bayesian method assumes that gene frequencies under any neutrally structured population model can be approximated by a multinomial Dirichlet distribution¹⁰. However, Dirichlet distribution would not hold if different samples were drawn from the same population or if sampled populations shared more recent ancestry than others²⁷. In addition, Lotterhos²⁷ emphasized that the default settings in BayeScan may result in many false positives that suggest balancing selection. It suggests that some F_{ST} outliers may be false positives. Although Pérez-Figueroa *et al.* compared DFDIST, DETSELD and BayeScan and found that BayeScan was more efficient under a wide range of scenarios²⁶, a recent simulation study compared FDIST2, BayeScan, and two recent methods (FLK and Bayenv2), and showed that the default settings in FDIST2 and BayeScan led to many false positives, suggesting balancing selection²⁷.

Sam β ada is clearly more sensitive for detecting several times loci than DFDIST and BayeScan. However, the eleven loci consistently detected by both Sam β ada and BayeScan were highly supported by statistics.

Indeed, there are some limitations of genome scans, such as sensitivity to phylogeographic structure and bottlenecks²⁸. Four loci had log10 values as high as 5, which was the value ascribed to posterior probabilities of 1 (Bayes factor is infinity). Accordingly, the majority of these outliers are likely affected by directional selection and not simply by random chance. Our evidence for selection is as strong as possibly achievable given the statistical method and the number of loci available. The number of outliers that we detected for the data set is below the range found in other studies²⁹ (5–10%). The high proportion of outliers in previous studies likely represents a high rate of false positives. False positives are also possible among our candidate loci and could represent stochastic processes or linkage to other candidates³⁰. Therefore, outliers in *C. kiangsu* require further study and detection by newly developed methods.

When applying Sam β ada analysis to the AFLP data set, some loci identified as outliers in Sam β ada associated with environmental variables were also detected by DFDIST or BayeScan (Table 2). Sam β ada is a useful method when simultaneously searching for linkages within many climate variables, which provides insight into which selective forces may be in play. Because many climate variables are inter-related, information on environmentally related requirements of a species is important to determine which environmental variables are the most critical forces. Additionally, the variables tested must be ecologically relevant instead of random changes within the genetic data⁴. Sam β ada is a new analysis approach that can better identify associations with environmental variables.

Association with environmental variables. Local directional selection appears to be general and relatively widespread and can be found on a number of geographical scales, as the global or regional outliers were not exclusively dependent on one or a few particularly divergent populations. Although it is difficult to completely disentangle the effects of geographic and environmental distance, a higher proportion of identified outlier loci were associated with environmental parameters and geographic variables. These data suggest that environmental factors are potentially responsible for adaptive divergence among populations³¹.

The results from Sam β ada analysis for *C. kiangsu* revealed possible evolutionary forces of outlier loci along the environmental gradient in China. The strongest associations selected for latitude (Lat). Notably, latitude is an easily accessible ecological factor, but it is also a compound factor because temperate regions, temperature, humidity, sunshine and precipitation all change with latitude.

All 31 associations were significantly correlated with annual sunlight. It is well known that sunlight is the primary energy source in ecosystems and a particularly important factor for insects. This locust generally prefers areas with relatively little sunlight because the intensity and duration of the sunlight affect its movement and feeding abilities and the local bamboo species' development³².

Alternatively, because temperature is important for proper protein and physiological process function, we identified a total of 23 associations with annual mean temperature. Temperature differences among geographical locations could alone drive the evolutionary response for all genes in concert. Our landscape genetic analysis partially explains this hypothesis, as almost all outlier loci significantly associated with temperature. For example, a phenomenon was previously observed that grasshoppers were negatively affected by fall temperatures in the winter, which may affect embryonic development before hatching and diapause³³. Furthermore, in the *Sigaus australis* grasshopper, temperature may affect water loss, body size and population dynamics³⁴.

Altogether, we identified 19 associations with annual precipitation, which may be considered the least important variable. This variable probably does not influence locusts' activity to the same extent as latitude and sunshine. However, due to precipitation decreases from south to north in China, annual precipitation can reach values as high as 1800 mm in Guilin, but only 800 mm in Ziyang. Precipitation influences grasshoppers in many aspects. For example, the population density is lower in regions with little precipitation³⁵, and increased precipitation may affect the occurrence of the late-season grasshopper³⁶. Franzke previously suggested that climate change events, such as drought and heavy rain, are likely to affect plants and influence the performance of population dynamics in herbivorous insects. Drought events may increase population performance (development time, body size, mortality, growth rate) in grasshopper and moisture may cause negative population trends³⁷. Another study proposed that summer rainfall may positively affect plants, hence affecting the quantity and quality of forage production and grasshopper populations³³.

We identified another 28 associations with annual relative humidity. *C. kiangsu* requires a large amount of moisture from the nymph stage to the adult stage, and a previous study has shown that humidity correlates to *C. kiangsu* movement and feeding abilities³². The combination of environmental variables may lead to a comprehensive influence on locusts. For example, Joachim *et al.* (2004) suggested that sunlight and temperature and some other ecologically factors may affect the number of juvenile instars and morality in nymphs³⁸.

Future directions. Our study identified a list of loci potentially under the influence of selection in bamboo locust. The loci need to be isolated and sequenced, and sequenced fragments must be mapped to the outlier fragments within the genome. If the outlier's sequence is not homologous with any known gene, it may be belong to an unknown regulatory region or a non-coding fragment linked with the selection target⁴. The determination of outlier function and characterization is necessary to identify their involvement in local adaptation of C. kiangsu and the effects of environmental variables onto the molecular mechanism. However, mapping of loci to known genomic sequences requires the availability of detailed genomic information of closely related species. Combined studies on adaptive and neutral molecular markers will largely contribute to our understanding of genetic differentiation among C. kiangsu populations and will allow us to investigate the 'migration of adaptation'28. Our study also sheds light on the use of genome scan methods to identify evolutionary pressures on candidate loci in a local population. Although public databases offer a good source of sample coordinates and environmental information, more ecologically relevant and detailed information, such as the maximum and lowest temperature, host-plant species and abundance of food sources and genetic information, require further collection. Compiling information on phenotypic, ecological and genomic data may be fruitful to investigate species adaptation.

Methods

Sample collection, DNA extraction and AFLPs. A total of 393 *C. kiangsu* individuals were collected in the field from 24 locations in China, which covered all of the species' distributions over China ranging from 2007 to 2012 (Fig. 1; Table 1). A total of 24 populations were used for subsequently analysis. Locusts were collected using a sweep net and subsequently preserved in absolute ethanol. Genomic DNA was extracted from femurs using a Wizard[®] Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and stored at -30 °C until needed. All DNA extracts for AFLP were run on 1% agarose gels, and samples that did not have high concentrations of high molecular weight DNA or that appeared excessively sheared were excluded from AFLP analysis²⁰. Sample sizes for AFLP analysis ranged from two to ten.

The original AFLP protocol of Vos et al. was applied with a few modifications³⁹. Individuals with high consistency and purity quotients of genomic DNA were used. Because grasshopper species have a larger genome than many other insects, a longer enzyme digestion was performed to obtain more polymorphic fragments. A total of 400 ng genomic DNA was digested at 37 °C with 0.2 µL EcoRI and 0.5 µL MseI (both Fermentas, with $2 \times$ Buffer R) for 3 h followed by 70 °C for 15 min to ensure enzyme inactivation. EcoRI/MseI adapters were ligated to the digested product using T4-DNA-Ligase (FERMENTAS) at 20 °C overnight. A total of 16 primer combinations containing one selective base were used. Preselective amplification was performed in a total volume of 30μ L including 3μ L diluted restriction-ligation DNA, 3μ L $10 \times$ buffer, 2.4 µL MgCl₂, 1.6 µL dNTPs, 0.4 µL rTaq (TAKARA) and 1 µL EcoRI + N primer and 1 µL *MseI* + N primer. The thermal cycling parameters for preselective amplification were as follows: 2 min at 94°C, 30 cycles of 30 sec at 94°C, 1 min at 56°C, 1 min at 72°C, followed by 10 min at 72°C. Ligations were diluted 1:20, and $2\mu L$ of the diluted preselective amplification product was used in selective amplification. Selective amplification was performed in a total volume of $20 \mu L$ with $2 \mu L$ diluted preselective amplification product, 2µL 10× buffer, 1.6µL MgCl₂, 1.6µL dNTPs, 0.6µL rTaq (TAKARA) and 1µL EcoRI+3 primer (labeled with FAM) and 1µL MseI primer. Four primer combinations (E-AGG/M-CAG, E-AGG/M-CTT, E-AGC/M-CTC, E-AAG/M-CAG, where E is EcoRI, M is MseI) were used in this step. Selective amplification products were visually measured on an ABI 3700 DNA analyzer (Shanghai Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd.).

Fragment data were analyzed with GeneMarker version 2.20 (Demo). Fragments of size 50–500 bp were scored as present or absent. Minimum fragment signal intensity was initially used for all fragments. The signal intensity was measured as relative fluorescent units (RFU) of 500 or 1000 depending on the primer set²⁰.

Environmental data. To test the effect of environment on genetic diversity, environmental data were required at all sampling locations using the geographical coordinates where locusts were sampled. Climate data were obtained from a public website. The annual sunshine (Sun), annual relative humidity (Hum), annual precipitation (Prec), and annual mean temperature (T_{mean}) from 2000 to 2012 were provided by the statistical bureau (http://www.stats.gov.cn/). The data for latitude, longitude and elevation (Table 1) were obtained from Google Earth (http://www.google.com/earth/download/ge/agree.html).

Outlier detection. Fragmentized information was exported as 0/1 popmatrix by GeneMarker and transformed in format in the AFLPDAT program⁴⁰. To identify candidate loci potentially influenced by selection among sites across China, two different F_{ST} outlier detection approaches were performed: DFDIST⁴¹ and BayeScan⁴². DFDIST (http://www.rubic.rdg.ac.uk/~mab/stuff/) is a modification of distributed FDIST⁴¹ and FDIST2⁴³, and an infile of DFDIST was created by the AFLP convert program. DFDIST calculates the simulated values for heterozygosity an F_{ST} using Zhivotovsky's approach⁴⁴. DFDIST estimates the probability that a locus may be under selection by observed F_{ST} and H_E compared to simulated neutral distributions. DFDIST calculated a "trimmed" mean F_{ST} value by removing 30% of the highest and lowest F_{ST} values using the null distribution, which is the neutral F_{ST} value. In the simulation loci, F_{ST} values above the upper 99% quantile were considered as being potentially under directional selection consistent with population difference.

The other method for detecting signatures of natural selection was implemented in BayeScan 2.0 (http:// www.cmpg.unibe.ch/software/bayescan/) using the Bayesian likelihood method via reversible-jump Monte Carlo Markov chain (MCMC). Generally, such Bayesian approaches^{42,43} assume that allele frequencies within populations follow a Dirichlet distribution⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷. It directly estimates the probability that each locus is subject to selection using a Bayesian method. The method uses population-specific and locus-specific components of F_{ST} coefficients and assumes that allele frequencies follow a Dirichlet distribution. BayeScan considers all loci in its analysis and is robust when examining complex demographic scenarios for neutral genetic differentiation⁴². This enhanced Bayesian method directly infers the posterior probability of each locus to be under the effect of selection by defining and comparing two alternative models. One model includes the effect of selection (M1), while the other (M2) excludes it⁴². These posterior probabilities can then be used for model choice using posterior odds (PO), which is the ratio of posterior probabilities of the models and measures how much more likely model M1 (with selection) is compared to model M2 (without selection). When using the same prior for both models (M1 and M2), the posterior odds are reduced to the Bayes Factor. Jeffreys⁴⁸ (1961) proposed a logarithmic scale for model choice defined as: >3 substantial ($log_{10}PO > 0.5$); > 10 strong ($log_{10}PO > 1.0$); >32 very strong ($log_{10}PO > 1.5$); and >100 decisive evidence for accepting a model ($log_{10}PO > 2.0$). In our genome scans, a threshold for PO > 10 (strong) was used as a marker to be considered under selection. This corresponds to a posterior probability greater than 0.91 for the model accounting for selection. For the Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm implemented in BayeScan 2.0, 20 pilot runs of 2000 iterations were used to adjust the proposal distribution to have acceptance rates between 0.25 and 0.45 for the runs. Afterwards, a burn-in of 50,000 iterations followed by 50,000 iterations were used for estimation using a thinning interval of 10.

Thirdly, Sam β ada analysis was implemented, and this method was designed for amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) data. The logistic regression model was performed such that individuals are coded with the presence/absence of an allele. The model fit to be was considered significant when both the G and Wald tests were significant following Bonferroni correction at a 99% confidence level.

Association with environmental variables. Associations between markers and environmental variables were directly tested using an individual-based analysis that estimates spatial coincidence implemented in the Sam β ada program, available at lasig.epfl.ch/sambada. Here, individuals were coded with the presence/absence of an allele, and AFLP polymorphisms were visually scored as dominant markers, coded with 1 for the presence and with 0 for the absence of the band. Afterwards, associations between the allele and environmental parameters were tested across sites. The environmental variables implemented were annual sunshine (Sun), latitude (lat), annual relative humidity (Hum), annual precipitation (Prec) and annual mean temperature (T_{mean}). The univariate output file consisted of each possible molecular and environmental variable combination, so a p-value was calculated for the wald scores test, where wald scores were compared to a chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom, which is the regression coefficient divided by its standard error and hence a Chi-square distributed with 1 degree of freedom. Those corrected p-values < 0.5 were considered highly significant associations between the marker and environmental variable.

References

- 1. Storz, J. F. Genes for high altitudes. Science 329, 40-41 (2010).
- 2. Wu, C. I. The genic view of the process of speciation. J. Evolution Biol. 14, 851-865 (2001).
- 3. Schluter, D. Evidence for ecological speciation and its alternative. Science 323, 737-741 (2009).
- Nunes, V. L., Beaumont, M. A., Butlin, R. K. & Paulo, O. S. Multiple approaches to detect outliers in a genome scan for selection in ocellated lizards (*Lacerta lepida*) along an environmental gradient. *Mol. Ecol.* 20, 193–205 (2011).
- 5. Nielsen, R. Molecular signatures of natural selection. Annu. Rev. Genet. 39, 197-218 (2005).
- 6. Fournier-Level, A. et al. A map of local adaptation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 334, 86–89 (2011).
- Meudt, H. M. & Clarke, A. C. Almost forgotten or latest practice? AFLP applications, analyses and advances. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12, 106–117 (2007).
- 8. Poncet, B. N. et al. Tracking genes of ecological relevance using a genome scan in two independent regional population samples of Arabis alpine. Mol. Ecol. 19, 2896–2907 (2010).
- 9. Storz, J. F. Using genome scans of DNA polymorphism to infer adaptive population divergence. Mol. Ecol. 14, 671-688 (2005).
- 10. Beaumont, M. A. Adaptation and speciation: what can *F*-st tell us? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **20**, 435–440 (2005).
- 11. Hoffmann, A. A. & Willi Y. Detecting genetic responses to environmental change. Nat. Rev. Genet. 9, 421-432 (2008).
- 12. Kawecki, T. J. & Ebert, D. Conceptual issues in local adaptation. Ecol. Lett. 7, 1225-1241 (2004).
- 13. Hedrick, P. W. Genetic polymorphism in heterogeneous environments: The age of genomics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. S. 37, 67–93 (2006).
- 14. Joost, S. et al. A spatial analysis method (SAM) to detect candidate loci for selection: towards a landscape genomics approach to adaptation. Mol. Ecol. 16, 3955–3969 (2007).
- Pariset, L., Joost, S., Marsan, P. A. & Valentini, A. Landscape genomics and biased F_{ST} approaches reveal single nucleotide polymorphisms under selection in goat breeds of North-East Mediterranean. *BMC Genet.* 10, 1–8 (2009).
- Cerwenka, A. F., Brandner, J. Geist, J. & Schliewen, U. K. Strong versus weak population genetic differentiation after a recent invasion of gobiid fishes (Neogobius melanostomus and Ponticola kessleri) in the upper Danube. Aquat. Invasions 9, 71–86 (2014).
- 17. Fan, Z. et al. Population Explosion in the Yellow-Spined Bamboo Locust Ceracris kiangsu and Inferences for the Impact of Human Activity. PLoS One 9, e89873 (2014).
- 18. Bothwell, H. et al. Identifying genetic signatures of selection in a non-model species, alpine gentian (Gentiana nivalis L.), using a landscape genetic approach. Conserv. Genet. 14, 467–481 (2013).
- 19. Zheng, Z. M. & Xia, K. L. Fauna Sinica, Insecta, Orthoptera. Science Press, Beijing, (2013).
- Apple, L. J., Grace, T. Joern, A. Amadns S. T. P. & Wisely, M. S. Comparative genome scan detects host-related divergent selection in the grasshopper *Hesperotettix viridis*. *Mol. Ecol.* 19, 1365–294X (2010).
- 21. Nosil, P., Funk, D. J. & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. Divergent selection and heterogeneous genomic divergence. *Mol. Ecol.* 18, 375–402 (2009).
- 22. Jump, A. S., Hunt, J. M., Martinez-Izquierdo, J. A. & Penuelas, J. Natural selection and climate change: temperature-linked spatial and temporal trends in gene frequency in *Fagus sylvatica*. Mol. Ecol. **15**, 3469–3480 (2006).
- Herrera, C. M. & Bazaga, P. Population-genomic approach reveals adaptive floral divergence in discrete populations of a hawk moth-pollinated violet. *Mol. Ecol.* 17, 5378–5390 (2008).
- 24. Excoffier, L., Hofer, T. & Foll, M. Detecting loci under selection in a hierarchically structured population. *Heredity* **103**, 285–298 (2009).
- Fischer, M. C., Foll, M., Excoffier, L. & Heckel, G. Enhanced AFLP genome scans detect local adaptation in high-altitude populations of a small rodent (*Microtus arvalis*). *Mol. Ecol.* 20, 1450–1462 (2011).
- Pérez-figueroa, A., García-pereira, M. J., Saura, M., Rolán-alvarez, E. & Caballero, A. Comparing three different methods to detect selective loci using dominant markers. J. Evolution Biol. 23, 2267–2276 (2010).
- Lotterhos, K. E. & Whitlock, M. C. Evaluation of demographic history and neutral parameterization on the performance of F_{ST} outlier tests. *Mol. Ecol.* 23, 2178–2192 (2014).
- 28. Holderegger, R. et al. Land ahead: using genome scans to identify molecular markers of adaptive relevance. Plant Ecol. Divers. 1, 273–283 (2008).
- Stinchcombe, J. R. & Hoekstra, H. E. Combining population genomics and quantitative genetics: finding the genes underlying ecologically important traits. *Heredity* 100, 158–170 (2007).
- Cullingham, C. I., Cooke J. E. K. & Coltman D. W. Cross-species outlier detection reveals different evolutionary pressures between sister species. New Phytol. 204, 215–229 (2014).
- 31. Nielsen, E. E. et al. Genomic signatures of local directional selection in a high gene flow marine organism; the Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua). BMC Evol. Biol. 276, 1–11 (2009).
- 32. Mao, X. Y. Influence of environmental factors to Ceracris kiangsu Tsai. Acta Phytophy. Sin. 1, 88-93. (1963).
- 33. de Wysiecki, M. L., Arturi, M., Torrusio, S. & Cigliano, M. M. Influence of weather variables and plant communities on grasshopper density in the Southern Pampas, Argentina. J. Insect Sci. 11, 1-13 (2011).
- 34. Hawes, T. C. Integumental buffering in an alpine grasshopper . Physiol. Entomol. 39, 280-284 (2014).
- 35. Johnson, D. L. & Worobec, A. Spatial and temporal computer analysis of insects and weather: grasshoppers and rainfall in Alberta[J]. *Mem. Entom. Soc. Can.* **120**, 33–48 (1988).
- Guo, K. U. N., Hao, S. G., Sun, O. J. & Kang, L. E. Differential responses to warming and increased precipitation among three contrasting grasshopper species. *Global Change Biol.* 15, 2539–2548 (2009).
- 37. Franzke, A. & Reinhold, K. Stressing food plants by altering water availability affects grasshopper performance. *Ecosphere* 2, 85 (2011).
- 38. Adis, J., Lhano, M., Hill, M. & Junk, W. What determines the number of juvenile instars in the tropical grasshopper Cornops aquaticum (Leptysminae: Acrididae: Orthoptera)? Stud. Neotrop. Fauna E. 39, 127-132 (2004).
- 39. Vos, P. et al. AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Res. 23, 4407-4414 (1995).
- 40. Ehrich, D. AFLPDAT: a collection of R functions for convenient handling of AFLP data. Mol. Ecol. Notes 6, 603-604 (2006).
- Beaumont, M. A. & Nichols, R. A. Evaluating loci for use in the genetic analysis of population structure. P Roy. Soc. Lond. B Bio. 263, 1619–1626 (1996).
- Foll, M. & Gaggiotti, O. A genome-scan method to identify selected loci appropriate for both dominant and codominant markers: a bayesian perspective. *Genetics* 180, 977–993 (2008).
- Beaumont, M. A. & Balding, D. J. Identifying adaptive genetic divergence among populations from genome scans. Mol. Ecol. 13, 969–980 (2004).
- 44. Zhivotovsky, L. A. Estimating population structure in diploids with multilocus dominant DNA markers. Mol. Ecol. 8, 907–913 (1999).
- 45. Balding, D. J. & Nichols, R. A. A method for quantifying differentiation between populations at multi-allelic loci and its implications for investigating identity and paternity. *Genetica* **96**, 3–12 (1995).
- 46. Rannala, B. & Hartigan, J. A. Estimating gene flow in island populations. Genet. Res. 67, 147–158 (1996).
- 47. Balding, D. J. Likelihood-based inference for genetic correlation coefficients. Theor. Popul. Biol. 63, 221–230 (2003).
- 48. Foll, M. BayeScan v2. 1 User Manual. Ecology, 20, 1450-1462 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Jian-Wen Liu, Dian-Feng Liu, Bo Xiao, Qiu-Ping Ren and Jin-Liang Zhao for collecting specimens. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (No. 30970339) to Prof. Guo-Fang Jiang, and the Nanjing Normal University Innovative Team Project (No. 0319PM0902).

Author Contributions

G.F.J. and X.J.F. designed the experiments. X.J.F. and Z.F. performed the experiments. X.J.F. analyzed the data. X.J.F. and G.F.J. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Feng, X.-J. *et al.* Identification of outliers in a genomic scan for selection along environmental gradients in the bamboo locust, *Ceracris kiangsu. Sci. Rep.* 5, 13758; doi: 10.1038/ srep13758 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/