



# Article Eluviation and Leaching of Elements from Broken Fly-Ash-Based Porous Geopolymer

Peng Shi<sup>1,\*</sup>, Yuan Zhang<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Qingfu Sun<sup>1</sup> and Xupeng Ta<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Mines, China University of Mining & Technology, Xuzhou 221116, China; sqf19851625664@163.com (Q.S.); TB19020025B0@cumt.edu.cn (X.T.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of New Energy Science & Engineering, China University of Mining & Technology, Xuzhou 221116, China
- \* Correspondence: ts19020038a31tm@cumt.edu.cn (P.S.); zhangyuan@cumt.edu.cn (Y.Z.)

Abstract: The fly ash from powerplants used for coal mine end backfilling can effectively reduce the impact of ground fly ash accumulation on the environment. However, due to the long-term action of the overlying strata and groundwater, when the backfilling body is broken, heavy metals will also be leached, thus having an impact on the groundwater. Therefore, in this paper, the eluviation and leaching of elements from a broken fly-ash-based porous geopolymer is studied. The fly-ash-based geopolymer material was prepared to perform a dynamic eluviation and static leaching test, and it was found that the amount of Cu and Zn in the leachate was less abundant, whereas Pb was more abundant, but far less than the limit of the Class III groundwater quality standard. An acidic environment and a smaller solid-liquid ratio can promote the leaching of Cu and Zn, while the leaching of Pb is basically unaffected by the pH value. Moreover, the amount of Cu, Zn, and Pb in the lixivium increased with the increase in leaching time, and the amount of Cu and Zn in the lixivium was still low after 150 h of leaching, whereas the amount of Pb was high, approaching the limit value of the Class III groundwater quality standard, showing a tendency to increase after 100 h of leaching. A leaching orthogonal experiment was designed, and the results showed that the main order of each factor affecting the leaching of heavy metals from the fly-ash-based geopolymer was grain size > pH > solid–liquid ratio; thus, the leaching of heavy metals from fly-ash-based geopolymer can be controlled, which is significant with respect to the extensive use of fly-ash materials underground.

Keywords: fly-ash-based porous geopolymer; dynamic eluviation; static leaching

# 1. Introduction

Driven by the concept of a green environment, the coal–electricity integrated industry model has become a major development strategy for coal and electricity companies [1–3]. An important part of this industrial model is the backfilling of powerplant fly ash underground [4–6], which is mainly used for the reinforcement of surrounding rock mass [7–9], face end plugging [10], and spontaneous ignition of remnant coal within the gob [11,12]. Meanwhile, in order to prevent air leakage of the coal face and fires in the gob [13–17], the coal face ends need to be backfilled. Therefore, face end backfilling represents one of the main sources of mine fly-ash destruction.

However, with the continuous advancement of the coal face, its roof water-conducting fissures continue to expand, leading to the backfilling body being leached by roof fissure water in contact with it. At this time, under the pressure of the overlying strata, the backfilling body is prone to produce larger crushing, and the broken backfilling body of small particles will be lifted to the ground, together with the recycling of groundwater, thus becoming subject to a longer immersion in groundwater, as shown in Figure 1. The above process leads to the leaching of heavy metals within the backfilling body, which increases the difficulty of groundwater recycling treatment. Therefore, the study of eluviation and



Citation: Shi, P.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, Q.; Ta, X. Eluviation and Leaching of Elements from Broken Fly-Ash-Based Porous Geopolymer. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 6884. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ma14226884

Academic Editor: Alessandro P. Fantilli

Received: 25 September 2021 Accepted: 11 November 2021 Published: 15 November 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).



leaching of elements from broken fly-ash-based porous geopolymer is a great significance for the large-scale use of fly ash underground.

Fly-ash-based porous geopolymer

Figure 1. Face end backfilling in the coal-electricity integrated industry model.

Regarding eluviation and leaching of elements from fly-ash-based concrete, many scholars have conducted studies. Among them, Madzivire [18] found that the migration of pollutants between fly ash and AMD (mine drainage) depended on the pH of the mine water by using fly ash as a backfilling material and placing it in underground leaching. Qi [19] confirmed that fly ash as a grouting material can cause fluorine contamination in groundwater through a fly ash F-72h leaching test. Zheng [20] found that mine backfilling can cause heavy-metal contamination in groundwater by conducting simulation experiments. Wang [21] analyzed the leaching characteristics of trace elements in coal gangue-fly ash sintered bricks through a leaching test and found that the maximum leaching amounts of Co, Ni, and As in the leaching solution were 1.8 times, 2.8 times, and 4.6 times the corresponding limits of the Class III groundwater environmental quality standards, respectively, which caused greater potential hazards to the environment. Yu [22] analyzed the effect of different ratios on the leaching of heavy metals from concrete by adjusting the fly-ash cement mortar and cement-cured fly ash mixing ratio, leaching agent pH, curing time, and specimen preparation method, finding that the leaching of heavy metals increased with the mass of fly ash incorporated and the water-cement ratio. Xu [23] showed that the leaching of As, Cd, Cu, Pb, and Cr was strongly inhibited by a fly-ash-based curing agent compounded with slaked lime, but it had no effect on the migration of Ni and Zn.

The leaching pattern of heavy metals in fly-ash-based concrete can be obtained by adjusting the fly-ash admixture, leachate pH, leaching time, and other conditions. However, little research has been reported on the leaching pattern of heavy metals from broken fly-ash-based porous geopolymer with different grain sizes. In this study, we conducted dynamic eluviation tests with different grain sizes and eluviation times, as well as static leaching tests with different grain sizes, pH values, solid–liquid ratios, and leaching times. The paper is organized as follows: the engineering background is introduced in Section 2,

the materials and methods are outlined in Section 3, and the results and discussion are presented in Section 4. Lastly, several important conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

#### 2. Engineering Background

# 2.1. Overview of Coal Face

The Dananhu No. 1 Coal Mine is located in the eastern part of the Dananhu Coalfield, about 50 km from the Nanhu Township. The Lanzhou–Xinjiang Railway and the Gansu–Xinjiang Highway pass along the east side of the mine from the northwest to the southeast, with the traffic location shown in Figure 2. The 1305 coal face is located in the west limb of No. 1 district. The fully mechanized full-seam top coal caving mining method was employed. The heights of mining and top coal caving were 2.8–3.0 m and 6.7–7.2 m, respectively. The layout of the 1305 coal face was 238 m wide by 3100 m long. The location of the 1305 coal face is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 2. Traffic location of Dananhu No. 1 Coal Mine.

## 2.2. Overview of Face End Backfilling

Since the coal face is advancing, the overlying roof strata of the 1305 gob is collapsing, thereby forming a triangular section at the face end (as shown in Figure 4), which results in oxygen entering the gob with the wind flow, causing spontaneous ignition of the remnant coal within it. The ventilation volume was tested at the face end of the 1305 coal face using a CFJD-25 type air flow meter, which found that the air leakage volume in this area was large and could reach 14.25 m<sup>3</sup>/min. Therefore, it is urgent to seal the 1305 coal face end.

# 2.3. Environment of Backfilling Body Eluviation

The main water-filled sources of the 1305 coal face consist of sandstone water in the roof of the No. 3 coal seam, abandoned mine water around the 1305 coal face, and abandoned mine water from the 1303 coal face.



Figure 3. Location of 1305 coal face.



Figure 4. The 1305 coal face end diagram.

The sandstone aquifers can be divided as follows: an aquifer of coarse sand and sandy conglomerates from the Jurassicsystem Middle Xishangyao Formation Middle Section, and a sandstone aquifer from the Jurassicsystem Middle Xishangyao Formation Upper Tip Bottom Boundary. The former is the direct water-filled aquifer of No. 3 coal, which is a weak water-bearing layer with developed rock fissures. The latter is the main water-filled water source of the 1305 working face.

Located in the north side of 1305 coal face, the water accumulation area and the volume of the 1303 gob are  $43,335 \text{ m}^2$  and  $93,600 \text{ m}^3$ , respectively.

There are five gobs distributed around the 1305 coal face, whose area can reach 2.66 km<sup>2</sup>, and the static storage capacity of the groundwater in them is  $5004 \times 104 \text{ m}^3$ .

The vertical rupture fissure is more developed in the range of 30 m on the roof of the coal seam. The coal face end backfilling body is located on the side of the overburden fissure from the fissure field, and it produces a large fissure due to the influence of roof subsidence on the process of coal extraction, which results in the coal face end backfilling

body being significantly affected by the water in the vertical rupture fissure, making it subject to a strong groundwater eluviation effect.

#### 3. Materials and Methods

# 3.1. Materials

Fly ash, 425 ordinary portland cement, and additives (sodium hydroxide, calcium stearate, anhydrous calcium sulfate, and calcium oxide) were selected as raw materials in this test. Fly ash was from Shenhua Guoneng Hami Dananhu Plant, Hami, China, sodium hydroxide and anhydrous calcium sulfate contributed to form the early strength of the fly-ash materials, calcium stearate was mainly used as a foam stabilizer, and calcium oxide was used as a quick setting agent for the cement.

The fly-ash mineral composition and its content were calculated and analyzed by XRD and XRF (The analyzer is X-ray diffractometer D8 ADVANCE from BRUKER, Germany), with the results shown in Figure 5 and Table 1. As can be seen, the main components in the fly ash were SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which together accounted for 88.3% of the total content, followed by a certain amount of CaO, MgO, Na<sub>2</sub>O, and K<sub>2</sub>O. In addition, the content of CaO in the original ash was less than 10%, which was, thus, characterized as Class III low-calcium fly ash (Class F).



Figure 5. Fly-ash XRD ray spectrum.

Table 1. Main chemical composition of fly ash.

| Component | SiO <sub>2</sub> | Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | CaO  | K <sub>2</sub> O | Na <sub>2</sub> O | MgO  | TiO  | Others |
|-----------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|------------------|-------------------|------|------|--------|
| Ratio     | 58.3%            | 24.6%                          | 5.4%                           | 4.6% | 2.0%             | 2.0%              | 1.5% | 1.1% | 0.5%   |

## 3.2. Fly-Ash-Based Porous Geopolymer Preparation

The fly-ash-based porous geopolymer was prepared as shown in Figure 6. Firstly, the foam was formulated according to a 1:40 ratio of foaming agent to water, using the physical foaming method. Then, the fly ash, cement, additives, and water were combined according to the ratio scheme and stirred well for 120–180 s. Next, the foam was added before mixing

well again. Finally, the mixed slurry was poured in a 100 mm  $\times$  100 mm  $\times$  100 mm triplex test mold, and the sample was demolded after 48 h. Meanwhile, the demolded specimens were placed in a maintenance box (It was produced by Zhejiang Lichen Instrument Technology Company Limited, Zhejiang Province, China) for 28 days at a constant temperature of 20  $\pm$  2 °C and humidity > 95%.



**Figure 6.** Preparation of fly-ash-based porous geopolymers and the proportioning of raw materials (note: the percentages were obtained from the ratios of masses of corresponding materials to the total mass of cement and fly ash).

To characterize the leaching regularity of heavy metals under different broken forms of the backfilling body, the specimens were broken after concrete curing, followed by sieving them with sieves of different mesh numbers: 20–35 mesh, 35–60 mesh, and > 60 mesh.

#### 3.3. Testing Apparatus

The testing apparatus required for this study is shown in Figure 7. Dynamic eluviation tests were conducted using a high-precision water injection system and eluviation tube, static leaching tests were conducted using a vortex mixer, the leachate or lixivium was filtrated using a filtration device, and the heavy metals in the leachate or lixivium were detected using an inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometer (ICP) produced by Wuxi Cai Da Materials Technology Company Limited in Wuxi, Jiangsu Province, China.

#### 3.4. Experimental Content and Procedures

According to the preliminary experimental data, the leachate contained high contents of Pb, Cu, Zn, and Ni, but the Ni content was relatively low and less toxic; therefore, Pb, Zn, and Cu were the objects of study in this paper. The eluviation and leaching process of heavy metals in the underground backfilling body is very complicated owing to the constantly changing environment; thus, it was simplified in this paper.

(1) Simplification of eluviation process



Figure 7. Testing apparatus.

The leachate rate was set to 30 mL/min in this paper, and the grain size of the flyash-based geopolymer used in the same group of tests was the same for convenience of analysis.

(2) Simplification of leaching process

The oscillation frequency was set to 2800 times/min, and the vibration time was set to 10 min in this paper.

(3) Simplification of mine water

The factors influencing the leaching regularity of heavy metals in the fly-ash-based geopolymer were identified by controlling the test variables. Thus, deionized water was selected for eluviation and leaching tests in this paper.

## 3.4.1. Dynamic Eluviation Test

The dynamic eluviation test scheme was designed as shown in Table 2. Before the test, the eluviation tube was filled with quartz sand at a height of 5 cm, and then filled with geopolymer particles at a height of 20 cm, followed by quartz sand at a height of 5 cm and a filter paper on top of it.

During the test, deionized water was flowed from the bottom of the eluviation tube, and the leachate was collected into the reservoir; then, the leachate was filtered into the Erlenmeyer flask (It was produced by Jiangsu Shunhe Teaching Instruments Company Limited in Taizhou City, Jiangsu Province, China). Finally, the Pb, Cu, and Zn contents in the leachate were detected.

| Groups | Grain Size (Mesh) | Eluviation Time (h) |
|--------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 1      | 20-35/35-60/>60   | 3                   |
| 2      | 20–35             | 1/3/7               |

Table 2. Dynamic eluviation test scheme.

# 3.4.2. Static Leaching Test

The static leaching test scheme was designed in this paper as shown in Table 3. Firstly, 500 mL of lixivium was added to the Erlenmeyer flask, which contained deionized water, acidic buffer (pH = 2, 4), and alkaline buffer (pH = 10, 12). Then, 50 g of fly-ash-based geopolymer particles were added, and the Erlenmeyer flask was shaken on a mixer. Subsequently, it was left for a certain time at a constant temperature according to the test scheme, and the contents of Pb, Cu, and Zn in the lixivium were finally detected. The test procedure is shown in Figure 8.

Table 3. Static leaching test scheme.

| Groups | Solid-to-Liquid Ratio | Grain Size (Mesh) | Lixivium pH | Leaching Time (Days) |
|--------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1      | 1:10                  | 20-35/35-60/>60   | 7           | 3                    |
| 2      | 1:10                  | 20–35             | 2/4/7/10/12 | 3                    |
| 3      | 1:10/1:20/1:30        | 20–35             | 7           | 3                    |
| 4      | 1:10                  | 20–35             | 7           | 2/4/6                |



Figure 8. Static leaching test procedure.

# 3.4.3. Leaching Orthogonal Experiment

The leaching orthogonal test of fly-ash-based geopolymer particles was designed according to a  $L_9(3^4)$  orthogonal table in this paper. The mixing, oscillating, holding, filtering, and heavy metal detection were completed sequentially according to the steps of the leaching test above, and the orthogonal test is shown in Table 4.

| Table 4. | Leaching | orthogonal | experiment. |
|----------|----------|------------|-------------|
|----------|----------|------------|-------------|

| Groups | pН    | Grain Size (Mesh) | Solid-to-Liquid Ratio |
|--------|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 1      | 7.00  | 35-60             | 1:30                  |
| 2      | 7.00  | >60               | 1:20                  |
| 3      | 4.00  | >60               | 1:30                  |
| 4      | 10.00 | >60               | 1:10                  |
| 5      | 4.00  | 35–60             | 1:10                  |
| 6      | 7.00  | 20–35             | 1:10                  |
| 7      | 10.00 | 35–60             | 1:20                  |
| 8      | 10.00 | 20-35             | 1:30                  |
| 9      | 4.00  | 20–35             | 1:20                  |

# 4. Results and Discussion

## 4.1. Analysis of Dynamic Eluviation Test Results

The results of the dynamic eluviation test are shown in Figure 9a,b. Firstly, the amount of Cu and Zn in the leachate was low, while that of Zn was high. Secondly, with the increase in eluviation time, the amount of Cu and Zn in the leachate decreased first and then increased slightly. Thirdly, with the increase in grain size, the amount of Cu and Zn in the leachate first increased and then decreased. Fourthly, with the increase in eluviation time, the amount of Pb in the leachate gradually decreased. Lastly, with the increase in grain size, the amount of Pb in the leachate gradually decreased.



**Figure 9.** Dynamic eluviation test results: (a) Heavy metal content versus grain size curve, (b) Heavy metal content versus eluviation time curve.

The three heavy metals had different leaching regularities, mainly related to the form of their presence within the fly-ash-based geopolymer structure. Halim [24] and Jingchuan [25] et al. suggested that Pb ions are homogeneously dispersed in the cement matrix, and most of the Pb is emitted via the surface of water by forming compounds with other elements, such that it can be easily leached out. Gineys et al. [26] suggested that  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  in the cementitious material combine with C–S–H via chemical bonding, thus

changing the C–S–H crystal structure. This results in the presence of Zn and Cu mainly in the form of solid melts within the structure of fly-ash-based geopolymer, which exhibit chemical bonding during the formation of the geopolymer, leading to Zn and Cu not being easily leached out.

A smaller grain size of the geopolymer particles leads to a larger total specific surface area, resulting in a higher contact probability between Pb and the leachate, which makes it easier to be leached out. However, with the eluviation process, Pb was continuously leached out by the leachate, reducing the amount of Pb adsorbed on the surface of the geopolymer, which resulted in a decreasing concentration of Pb in the leachate. However, the reduction rate of Pb concentration in the leachate was slowed at the later stage since the leachate became dilute. Meanwhile,  $CO_2$  was dissolved in the leachate with the eluviation process, making it weakly acidic, resulting in the destruction of the chemical bond formed by Cu and Zn in the leachate, which caused a slight increase in the amount of Cu and Zn in the leachate at the later stage of eluviation.

## 4.2. Analysis of Static Leaching Test Results

The results of the static leaching test are shown in Figure 10a–d. From the figure, it can be seen that the Cu and Zu contents in the leaching solution only fluctuated slightly  $(\pm 0.002 \text{ mg/L})$  with the increase in grain size, indicating that the effect of grain size on the leaching of Cu and Zu was relatively small. The amount of Pb in the lixivium reached about 50% of the limit value, and, with the increase in grain size, the amount of Pb in the lixivium decreased slightly and then increased significantly, which was different from the conclusion obtained from the eluviation test, probably because the fly-ash-based geopolymer contained a certain amount of clay minerals. Thus, under the effect of oscillation, the smaller-sized geopolymer particles were easily bonded together, resulting in the inhibition of Pb leaching, whereas the degree of bonding between the larger-grain-size geopolymer particles was smaller, which had less of an effect on the leaching of Pb.



**Figure 10.** Static leaching test results: (a) Heavy metal content versus grain size curve, (b) Heavy metal content versus leachate pH curve, (c) Heavy metal content versus Solid-liquid ratio curve, (d) Heavy metal content versus leaching time curve.

The chemical bonds formed by Cu and Zn in the fly-ash-based geopolymer were more easily broken in an acidic environment, leading to an increase in their leaching. In particular for Cu, its leaching increased by several orders of magnitude in the strongly acidic environment compared to the weakly acidic environment. In the neutral and alkaline environment, the concentration of Cu and Zn in the lixivium was smaller. However, in the more alkaline environment (pH >10), a large amount of OH<sup>-</sup> in the lixivium formed carboxylate complexes such as Zn(OH)<sup>3-</sup> and Zn(OH)2 – 4 with Zn<sup>2+</sup> in the materials, resulting in a slight increase in the Zn concentration in the lixivium compared with the weak alkaline environment.

The leaching of Pb was basically not affected by pH and did not show a significant geometric increase or decrease under strong acidic or strong alkaline environments, but its leaching was slightly larger under weakly acidic (weakly alkaline) conditions, reaching 0.02 mg/L. Since mine water is mostly weakly acidic (weakly alkaline), the leaching of Pb under the action of groundwater is of concern.

With the decrease in the solid–liquid ratio, the volume of the lixivium increased, which decreased the concentration of Cu and Zn, but the decreasing trend gradually became slower, indicating that the increase in lixivium promoted the leaching of Cu and Zn. With the decrease in solid–liquid ratio, the concentration of Pb in the lixivium decreased and then increased, probably because the leaching rate of Pb was not greatly affected when the solid–liquid ratio was reduced from 1:10 to 1:20; however, the volume of the lixivium increased nearly twofold, resulting in a decrease in Pb concentration in the lixivium. When the solid–liquid ratio was reduced to 1:30, the leachate was in full contact with the ground polymer particles, which led to a significant increase in the leaching rate of Pb; thus, the concentration of Pb increased.

The concentrations of Cu, Zn, and Pb in the lixivium increased with the increase in leaching time, and the contents of Cu and Zn in the lixivium were still low after 150 h of leaching, which were far lower than the Class III groundwater quality standard. The amount of Cu basically reached a stable state after 100 h of leaching, whereas Zn and Pb still had a tendency to increase after 100 h of leaching, and the amount of Pb was higher and close to the limit value of the Class III groundwater quality standard.

#### 4.3. Analysis of Orthogonal Experimental Results

The leaching patterns of Pb, Cu, and Zn from fly-ash-based geopolymer tested in each group were obtained by orthogonal experiments, as shown in Figure 11.

As can be seen from Figure 10, the amount of Pb, Cu, and Zn in the lixivium was small, but the leaching of Cu increased abnormally in the third group of tests, at which time the amount of Pb in the lixivium reached its maximum, showing that pH has a large effect on the leaching of heavy metals in fly-ash-based geopolymer.

To identify the order in which factors affect the leaching regularity of heavy metals in fly-ash-based geopolymer, the leaching of Pb, Cu, and Zn was analyzed by range analysis, as shown in Table 5.

As can be seen from Table 5, the order of factors affecting the leaching of heavy metals from fly-ash-based geopolymer was grain size > pH > solid–liquid ratio. The total specific surface of fly-ash-based geopolymer increased during the process of being broken under pressure, causing more heavy metals adsorbed on the surface of the fly ash geopolymer to be leached. At the same time, under the acidic environment, the lixivium had a certain corrosive effect on the chemical bonds formed by the fly-ash-based geopolymer, thus leading to the leaching of a large amount of heavy metals. Moreover, the solid–liquid ratio had less of an influence on the leaching of heavy metals from fly-ash-based geopolymer.



Figure 11. Leaching orthogonal experimental results.

| Table 5. Range anal | ysis of heavy | <sup>r</sup> metal leaching from | fly-ash-based | l geopolymer. |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|

| Name of Hoarn Matel | Analysis Davamators         | Influencing Factors |                           |                 |  |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Name of Heavy Metal | Analysis Parameters –       | pH (A)              | Solid-to-Liquid Ratio (B) | Mesh Number (C) |  |
|                     | K <sub>1</sub>              | 0.0336              | 0.0267                    | 0.0117          |  |
|                     | K <sub>2</sub>              | 0.0255              | 0.0318                    | 0.0285          |  |
|                     | K <sub>3</sub>              | 0.0222              | 0.0228                    | 0.0411          |  |
|                     | $\overline{K}_1$            | 0.0112              | 0.0089                    | 0.0039          |  |
| Pb                  | $\overline{K}_2$            | 0.0085              | 0.0106                    | 0.0095          |  |
|                     | $\overline{K}_3$            | 0.0074              | 0.0076                    | 0.0137          |  |
|                     | Optimum level               | A <sub>1</sub>      | B <sub>2</sub>            | C3              |  |
|                     | $R_{i}$                     | 0.0038              | 0.003                     | 0.0098          |  |
|                     | Primary and secondary order |                     | C > A > B                 |                 |  |
|                     | K <sub>1</sub>              | 0.0498              | 0.0165                    | 0.0048          |  |
|                     | K <sub>2</sub>              | 0.0090              | 0.0081                    | 0.0108          |  |
|                     | $K_3$                       | 0.0096              | 0.0435                    | 0.0528          |  |
|                     | $\overline{K}_1$            | 0.0166              | 0.0055                    | 0.0016          |  |
| Cu                  | $\overline{K}_2$            | 0.0030              | 0.0027                    | 0.0036          |  |
|                     | $\overline{K}_3$            | 0.0032              | 0.0145                    | 0.0176          |  |
|                     | Optimum level               | A <sub>1</sub>      | B <sub>3</sub>            | C <sub>3</sub>  |  |
|                     | $R_{j}$                     | 0.0133              | 0.0118                    | 0.0160          |  |
|                     | Primary and secondary order |                     | C > A > B                 |                 |  |
|                     | K <sub>1</sub>              | 0.0075              | 0.0114                    | 0.0090          |  |
|                     | K <sub>2</sub>              | 0.0078              | 0.0084                    | 0.0069          |  |
|                     | K3                          | 0.0123              | 0.0081                    | 0.0120          |  |
|                     | $\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{1}$ | 0.0025              | 0.0038                    | 0.0030          |  |
| Zn                  | $\overline{\mathrm{K}}_2$   | 0.0026              | 0.0028                    | 0.0023          |  |
|                     | $\overline{\mathrm{K}}_3$   | 0.0041              | 0.0027                    | 0.0040          |  |
|                     | Optimum level               | A <sub>3</sub>      | $B_1$                     | C <sub>3</sub>  |  |
|                     | $R_{j}$                     | 0.0016              | 0.0011                    | 0.0017          |  |
|                     | Primary and secondary order |                     | C > A > B                 |                 |  |

# 5. Conclusions

The following remarks can be commonly applied to the leaching of heavy metals from broken fly-ash-based geopolymers: heavy metals leached from broken fly-ash-based geopolymers; the leachability of heavy metals in this study depended largely on the geopolymer grain size, the pH of the leachate (lixivium), and the test method; the leaching rate of heavy metals increased for smaller grain sizes and lower pH, but the effect of grain size and leachate (lixivium) pH on the leaching behavior of heavy metals was dependent on the specific heavy metals; the content of heavy metals in the leachate (lixivium) was below the III groundwater quality standard. Some other observations and remarks of this study are listed below.

- (1) The amount of Cu and Zn in the leachate was low, and the concentration of Cu and Zn in the leachate decreased and then increased slightly with the increase in eluviation time, but their concentration increased and than decreased with the increase in grain size.
- (2) The concentration of Pb in the leachate was greater, and it decreased gradually with the increase in leaching time. However, it gradually decreased with the increase in grain size.
- (3) Under acidic environments, the leaching of Cu and Zn became easier, especially Cu, which increased by several orders of magnitude in strongly acidic environments compared to weakly acidic environments. Under neutral and alkaline environments, the amount of Cu and Zn in the lixivium was lower. However, the amount of Zn in the leachate increased slightly in the strongly alkaline environment (pH > 10) compared to the weakly alkaline environment.
- (4) The leaching of Pb was basically not affected by pH and did not show an obvious geometric increase or decrease in either a strongly acidic or a strongly alkaline environment, but its leaching amount was slightly larger under weakly acidic (weakly alkaline) conditions, which could reach 0.02 mg/L.
- (5) The reduction in solid–liquid ratio promoted the leaching of heavy metals from flyash-based geopolymer.
- (6) The order of the factors affecting the leaching of heavy metals from fly-ash-based geopolymer was grain size > pH > solid–liquid ratio.

**Author Contributions:** Data curation, Q.S. and X.T.; Methodology, Y.Z.; Validation, Q.S., X.T., P.S. and Y.Z. Writing—original draft, P.S.; Writing—review & editing, Y.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Funders: Zhang, Y.; Funding number: 52074266.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of China University of mining and technology (protocol code: 10290 and date of approval: 12 November 2021).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52074266).

Conflicts of Interest: There are no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Wang, W.; Zhang, C.; Liu, W.Y. Impact of Coal-Electricity Integration on Chinas Power Grid Development Strategy. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2013**, *860–863*, 2600–2605. [CrossRef]
- Wang, W.J.; Lu, G.M.; Liu, T.; Wang, M.N. Research on the Development of the Coal-Electricity Integration Manufacturing Industry. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* 2013, 307, 455–458. [CrossRef]

- Zhang, V.Y.; Chen, Y. Vertical relationships in China's electricity industry: The quest for competition? Util. Policy 2011, 19, 142–151. [CrossRef]
- Chao, L.; Hao, Y.F.; Zhao, F.Q. Preparation of fly ash-granulated blast furnace slag-carbide slag binder and application in total tailings paste backfill. *Iop Conf.* 2018, 322, 042003.
- 5. Jiang, H.; Fall, M.; Li, Y.; Han, J. An experimental study on compressive behaviour of cemented rockfill. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2019**, 213, 10–19. [CrossRef]
- 6. Jiang, H.; Yi, H.; Yilmaz, E.; Liu, S.; Qiu, J. Ultrasonic evaluation of strength properties of cemented paste backfill: Effects of mineral admixture and curing temperature. *Ultrasonics* **2020**, *100*, 105983. [CrossRef]
- Yu, Y.H. Study on Grouting Reinforcement Technology of High Content Fly Ash Gel Material. *Shandong Coal Sci. Technol.* 2021, 39, 41–43.
- Xiong, L.C.; Wan, Z.J.; Qin, S.B.; Shi, P.; Wu, Z.P. A Novel Class F Fly Ash-Based Geopolymer and Its Application in Coal Mine Grouting. Adv. Civ. Eng. 2020, 2020, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Yu, Y.; Qin, Z.Y.; Wang, X.Y.; Zhang, L.Y.; Chen, D.C. Development of Modified Grouting Material and Its Application in Roadway Repair Engineering. *Geofluids* 2021, 2021, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 10. Xiong, L.C.; Fan, B.W.; Wan, Z.J.; Zhang, Z.Y.; Zhang, Y.; Shi, P. Study on the Mechanical Properties of Fly-Ash-Based Light-Weighted Porous Geopolymer and Its Utilization in Roof-Adaptive End Filling Technology. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 4450. [CrossRef]
- 11. Chang, Z.C.; Wan, Z.J.; Zheng, X.D.; Zhang, Y. Water-saving Filling System for Fly Ash in Pan'er Coal Mine. *Saf. Coal Mines* **2018**, 49, 148–151.
- Li, Z.Y.; Xin, M.; Liu, X.L.; Tian, X.H. Research and application of pulverized coal ash ceramsite concrete isolation wall. *Saf. Coal Mines* 2021, 52, 79–83.
- 13. Xu, Z.B.; Zi, M.X.; Dong, Q.W. Research and Application of Large Area of Goaf Air Leakage Prevention Technology. *Shandong Coal Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *2*, 66–67.
- Wang, W.D. Grout Reinforcing Filing Body Technology for Prevent Air Leakage in Goaf- side Entry Retaining Roadway. *Coal Sci. Technol.* 2011, 39 (Suppl. S1), 1–3.
- 15. Ren, W.X.; Guo, Q.; Yang, H.H. Analyses and prevention of coal spontaneous combustion risk in gobs of coal mine during withdrawal period. *Geomat. Nat. Hazards Risk* **2019**, *10*, 353–367. [CrossRef]
- Dziurzynski, W.; Pomykala, R. Fly Ash Suspension with CO<sub>2</sub> as a New Method of Gob Fire Prevention in Coalmines. In Proceedings of the New Technological Solutions in Underground Mining: International Mining Forum, London, UK, 20–24 February 2006.
- 17. Jia, B.S.; Yin, B.; Pi, Z.K.; Wen, H.Y. Applying Plugging Technology to Fire Prevention of Mining without Coal Pillar. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2012**, *524*, 543–547. [CrossRef]
- Madzivire, G.; Gitari, W.M.; Vadapalli, V.R.K.; Ojumu, T.V.; Petrik, L.F. Fate of sulphate removed during the treatment of circumneutral mine water and acid mine drainage with coal fly ash: Modelling and experimental approach. *Miner. Eng.* 2011, 24, 1467–1477. [CrossRef]
- 19. Qi, P.F.; Kang, J.W. Influence of Fly Ash as Grouting Material on Groundwater. Energy Energy Conserv. 2015, 5, 184–186.
- 20. Zheng, J.D.; Shao, L.Y.; Hu, B.; Deng, Y.S. Simulating experiments on the pollution of mine water after filing-in with gangue and its control. *Hydrogeol. Eng. Geol.* **2006**, *4*, 90–93.
- 21. Wang, M.S.; Liu, L.Y.; Song, D.Y. Leaching characteristics of trace elements in the sintered brick made from coal gangue and fly ash. *J. Henan Polytech. Univ. Nat. Sci.* **2016**, *35*, 823–827.
- 22. Yu, Q.J.; Nagataki, S.; Lin, J.M.; Hisada, M. The leachability of heavy metals in hardened fly ash cement and cement-solidified fly ash. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2005, *35*, 1056–1063. [CrossRef]
- 23. Xu, X.; Zhou, L.; Zhou, J.J.; Feng, Q.Y. Carbonation for carbon sequestration by coal fly ash adsorbent with additives and impacts on leaching characteristics of heavy metals. *Chin. J. Environ. Eng.* **2017**, *11*, 1807–1813.
- 24. Halim, C.E.; Amal, R.; Beydoun, D.; Scott, J.A.; Low, G. Implications of the structure of cementitious wastes containing Pb(II), Cd(II), As(V), and Cr(VI) on the leaching of metals. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2004**, *34*, 1093–1102. [CrossRef]
- 25. Xue, J.C.; Huang, Q.F.; Yang, Y.F. Distribution of Cr, As and Pb in cement mortar determined by electron probe microanalysis. *Acta Sci. Circumstantiae* **2011**, *31*, 798–804.
- 26. Gineys, N.; Aouad, G.; Damidot, D. Managing trace elements in Portland cement–Part I: Interactions between cement paste and heavy metals added during mixing as soluble salts. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2010**, *32*, 563–570. [CrossRef]