SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

The clock gene *Gigantea 1* from *Petunia hybrida* coordinates vegetative growth and inflorescence architecture

Claudio Brandoli¹, César Petri², Marcos Egea-Cortines¹ & Julia Weiss^{1*}

The gene GIGANTEA (GI) appeared early in land plants. It is a single copy gene in most plants and is found in two to three copies in Solanaceae. We analyzed the silencing of one GI copy, Petunia hybrida GI1 (PhGI1), by hairpin RNAs in Petunia in order to gain knowledge about its range of functions. Decreased transcript levels of PhGI1 were accompanied also by a reduction of PhGI2. They were further associated with increased time period between two consecutive peaks for PhGI1 and CHANEL (PhCHL), the orthologue of the blue light receptor gene ZEITLUPE (ZTL), confirming its role in maintaining circadian rhythmicity. Silenced plants were bigger with modified internode length and increased leaf size while flowering time was not altered. We uncovered a new function for PhGI1 as silenced plants showed reduction of flower bud number and the appearance of two flower buds in the bifurcation point, were normally one flower bud and the inflorescence meristem separate. Furthermore, one of the flower buds consistently showed premature flower abortion. Flowers that developed fully were significantly smaller as a result of decreased cell size. Even so the circadian pattern of volatile emission was unchanged in the silenced lines, flowers emitted 20% less volatiles on fresh weight basis over 24 hours and showed changes in the scent profile. Our results indicate a novel role of PhGI1 in the development of reproductive organs in Petunia. PhGI1 therefore represses growth in vegetative plant parts, maintains the typical cymose inflorescence structure, and inhibits premature flower abortion.

The evolution of land plants has included amongst other adaptations the increase in complexity of the circadian clock. Predictable changes in the environment, as light and temperature, are anticipated by the plant circadian clock, which allows them to adjust their developmental and physiological traits. Most detailed studies on plant circadian clock have been performed in Arabidopsis thaliana¹. The plant circadian clock is based on a set of genes forming several overlapping loops interacting with each other via transcriptional and post-translational activation and repression². Based on the time of the day when the mRNA of the gene shows its expression maximum, the genes included in this oscillator have been classified as the morning loop, midday or core loop and evening loop³. In Arabidopsis, LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (LHY) and CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1), two MYB transcription factors, form the central circadian oscillator complex, together with PSEUDO RESPONSE REGULATOR 1 (PRR1), better known as TIMING OF CAB1 (TOC1). PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR 9 (PRR9) and 7 (PRR7) form the morning loop genes and the evening complex is formed by the three proteins EARLY FLOWERING 3 and 4 (ELF3 and ELF4) and LUX ARHYTHMO (LUX). These clock genes are interconnected via negative autoregulatory feedback loops, meaning that they reciprocally regulate each other^{1,4-6}. Light input is received by ZEITLUPE (ZTL), a gene containing an F-box domain and a blue-light sensing domain, which sustains a normal circadian period through proteasome-dependent degradation of the central clock protein TOC17. The stabilization of the ZTL protein in turn is obtained through GIGANTEA (GI), a protein with chaperone activity that facilitates ZTL maturation into an active form^{8,9}.

Studies in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and other species revealed that the complex wiring of the oscillator network includes an interplay with hormone signaling^{10–12}, cell division and expansion^{13,14}, primary metabolism¹⁵, abiotic

¹Genética Molecular, Instituto de Biotecnología Vegetal, Edificio I+D+I, Plaza del Hospital s/n, Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena, 30202, Cartagena, Spain. ²Instituto de Hortofruticultura Subtropical y Mediterránea-UMA-CSIC, Departamento de Fruticultura Subtropical y Mediterránea, 29750, Algarrobo-costa, Málaga, Spain. *email: Julia.weiss@upct.es stress response¹⁶, the expression of seed storage proteins¹⁷, biomass production^{18–20}, flower orientation¹⁹ and flower scent emission^{21–24}.

The genetic structure of the circadian clock in the picoeukaryote *Ostreococcus* includes two genes, a *TOC1* and a *LHY* ortholog^{25,26}. A protein comprising a LOV domain and a histidine kinase appears to function as an entry for light cues²⁷. Relative to these two clock genes, other clock genes such as *GI* appear later in evolution and are present in *Marchantia* but not in *Physcomitrella patens*²⁸. The gene *GI* encodes a protein that is not fully character-ized. It has important functions in plant development including a conserved role in floral transition in *Marchantia* and *Arabidopsis*^{29,30}. It plays a role in control of circadian rhythm in Arabidopsis³¹. Furthermore it coordinates both photoperiod-mediated and independent flowering^{32,33}, growth cessation³⁴, carbohydrate metabolism³⁵, salt tolerance³⁶ and cold stress response³⁷. *GI* also affects hypocotyl growth in Arabidopsis^{38,39}, and this function is related to gibberellin signaling, as SPINDLY (SPY) protein, a negative regulator of gibberellin signaling in Arabidopsis and an inhibitor of hypocotyl elongation, interacts with GI protein³⁹. Loss of function of *GI* results in long petioles, tall plant height and many rosette leaves, together with delayed flowering time.

Flower formation in Petunia involves the activity of the flower-meristem-identity genes *PETUNIA FLOWERING GENE (PFG)* and *ALF (ABERRANT LEAF AND FLOWER)*, the Petunia orthologue of *LEAFY* of Arabidopsis, which induce the floral fate in the lateral shoot meristem^{40,41}. The typical determinate inflorescence architecture in Petunia is characterized by a bifurcation of the inflorescence meristem, one terminating into a floral meristem, the other maintaining inflorescence identity and repeating the cymose floral pattern. A few mutants show altered architectures, including *extra petals (exp)*, which forms a single terminal flower⁴⁰ and the mutants *alf* and *double top (dot)*, a homolog of *UNUSUAL FLORAL ORGANS (UFO)* from *Arabidopsis*, where the apical floral meristems convert into inflorescence meristems that do not produce flowers⁴². Overexpression of *DOT* leads to the production of a solitary flower⁴³. Another gene that determines Petunia inflorescence architecture is *EVERGREEN (EVG)* involved in the activation of *DOT*, the initiation of the floral identity in the apical meristem as well as lateral inflorescence shoot development⁴⁴. Once the floral program is activated, angiosperm flowers form concentric whorls of organs that include sepals, petals, stamens and carpel and this organ specification relies on the combinatorial genetic function of the organ identity genes according to the Petunia ABCD model⁴⁵.

GI generally has remained as a single copy gene in most species. Based on the comparison of the Petunia genomes with other Solanaceae, it has been shown that the circadian clock comprises a different set of genes, including *GI*, which in some cases is duplicated or triplicated. The *GI* gene is present in two copies in *P. axillaris* and three in *P. inflata*. It was hypothesized that some duplicated clock genes may have undergone a subfunctionalization or redeployment⁴⁶.

In this work, we have characterized one of the *GI* orthologues from *Petunia hybrida*, *GI1*, by creating loss of function plants, using hairpin RNA constructs of *PhGI1*. Our results demonstrate novel roles of *GI1* during flowering, consisting in the promotion of flower initiation and flower maturation, the maintenance of cymose inflorescence structure as well as a control over the species-specific VOC profile.

Results

Silencing of *PhGI1* has minor effects on clock gene expression and rhythmicity. We have previously shown that *PhGI1* and *PhGI2* have similar expression pattern under a 12:12 Light:Dark photoperiod (12:12 LD)⁴⁷. But we also found that under free running conditions of 12:12 DD, it has a significant change in expression. Thus, we analyzed the expression of *PhGI1* as well as *PhGI2* under long photoperiods of 16:8 LD and continuous darkness.

The expression pattern of *PhGI1* and *PhGI2* in wildtype leaves is shown in Fig. 1a. Both *PhGI1* and *PhGI2* showed a similar pattern of oscillation with peak expression at 9 hours of light (ZT9). We compared the expression levels of *PhGI1* during 24 hours, measured in 3-hour intervals, and they were inferior to those of *PhGI2* at most time points. Indeed, at ZT9, *PhGI2* expression was double than *PhGI1*. The expression of *PhGI1* had been determined previously using an EST from Petunia²⁴. A DNA alignment of *PhGI1*, *PhGI2* and the previously reported *PhGI* showed that this EST (FN03636) corresponds to *PhGI2* (Fig. S1).

We silenced *PhGI1* by hairpin RNAi and obtained several independent transgenic lines. We selected three for further work, *iRNA::PhGI1 3.7, 4.7* and 8.1. As a result of silencing, all *iRNA::PhGI1* lines lacked the strong increase in *PhGI1* expression at ZT9 shown by wild type plants (Fig. 1b) and expression was significantly down-regulated at most time points. On average, the peak levels between wild type and iRNA::PhGI lines differed by a factor of 6.

In order to specifically silence only the *PhGI1* without cross-silencing *PhGI2*, we selected a sequence specific for *PhGI*, as indicated by the level of similarity according to the sequence alignment (Fig. S2). However, as shown in Fig. 1c, *PhGI2* was downregulated to 50% in *iRNA::PhGI1* lines compared to the wildtype at peak expression, indicating a certain level of cross-silencing.

Figure 1d–g shows the expression of several circadian genes in the wild type compared to *iRNA::PhGI1* lines. The genes include *CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED1 (LHY)* and *TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION1 (TOC1)*, belonging to the core midday loop, and *EARLY FLOWERING 4 (ELF4)* and *CHANEL*, the ortholog of *ZTL* in wild type Petunia, belonging to the evening loop.

In Arabidopsis, *ZTL* messenger RNA is uniformly expressed, but ZTL protein levels oscillate with a threefold change in amplitude. Even so no rhythmic expression of *ZTL* exists in *Arabidopsis* and *Nicotiana attenuata* or *PhCHL*, respectively, in *Petunia hybrida*^{21,22,48,49} a significant rise at ZT9 was observed in Petunia leaves at a 12:12 LD light regime⁴⁷. Similar to this observation we observed peak expression at midday (ZT6), both in wild type and the silenced lines (Fig. 1d).

The expression profile of *PhLHY* (Fig. 1e) over a 24 hour period (16:8 LD) followed the typical peak at the end of the dark period reported for a wide range of tissues in Arabidopsis and other plants^{24,50}. This pattern was not altered in the silenced lines of *PhGI1*.



Figure 1. Expression profile during 24 hours of (**a**) *PhGI1* and *PhGI2* in wild type plants and (**b**) PhGI1, (**c**) *PhGI2*, (**d**) *PhCHL*, (**e**) *PhLHY*, (**f**) *PhTOC* and (**g**) *PhELF4* in *iRNA::PhGI1* T1 lines 3.7, 4.7, 8.1 compared to expression in the wild type (from ZT 0 to ZT 15 of light and from ZT 15 to ZT 24 of dark). Expression represents the normalized expression NE according to the formula (NE) = $2^{-(Ct)}$ experimental – Ct normalization). Three samples were analyzed for each time point and error bars indicate the standard deviation. Asterisks indicate statistical significance between wild type and iRNA lines with *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 according to Student's T-test.

••••••••••••••••

The expression profile of *TOC1* over a 24 hours period (16:8 LD) was characterized by lowest levels during dark period and until midday, followed by an increase to peak expression at 12 hours of light, corresponding to the late afternoon, and a sharp decline towards dark period (Fig. 1f). Peak expression towards the end of the day was also reported for Arabidopsis⁵¹, soybean¹⁶ or cowpea¹⁷. In our case, the expression pattern in *iRNA::PhGI1* lines was similar to wildtype plants however, peak expression was advanced by three hours in all three transgenic silenced lines (Fig. 1f).

The gene *PhELF4*, belonging to the evening loop, showed an identical expression, both concerning pattern and expression level, in wildtype and silenced lines, characterized by a peak expression towards the evening (ZT12), followed by a steady decline towards the end of dark period (Fig. 1g).

The mathematical analysis for circadian oscillation using the JTK_CYCLE algorithm (Table 1) showed that all analyzed clock genes had a rhythmic gene expression pattern, both in wildtype and *iRNA::PhGI1* lines. In case of *GI2, the ZTL*-orthologue *CHL, and LHY*, a significant shift in phase was observed for one or two of the silenced lines, but lacked consistency over all silenced lines. Concerning changes in the time period between two consecutive peaks, a consistent change in all *iRNA::PhGI1* lines was observed for *GI1* and *ZTL*-orthologue *CHL*, which prolonged from 21 to 24 hours.

We analyzed the effect of continuous dark conditions on the expression of *PhGI1* in RNA lines. As shown in Fig. 2, wild type and silenced lines showed the typical high and reduced peak under light towards the afternoon, respectively, but revealed very low basic expression levels during the subsequent continuous darkness. Wild type and silenced lines lost rhythmicity during continuous darkness.

Altogether we can conclude that a strong silencing of *PhGI1* does not have a major effect on the expression pattern or rhythmicity of other clock genes. As GI function is via protein-protein interactions but is not known to be part of a transcriptional complex, it could still have major effects on the protein quantities of PhCHL. Furthermore, the rhythmic expression of *PhGI1* appears to depend on photoperiod.

PhGI1 is a negative regulator of vegetative growth. We analyzed the effect of downregulating *PhGI1* on vegetative growth. The mean value of the vegetative parameters of transgenic plants from T1 and T2 generation, belonging to 6 independent lines (2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 8), are given in Table 2. Additionally, the results of three different T1 transgenic plants belonging to the three *iRNA::PhGI1* independent lines (3.7, 4.7 and 8.1), are given in Table S1. For the T2 generation we analyzed at least three plants per line. Both generations, grown under long-day conditions,

	Pval	Per	Phase	Amp
PhGI1 W.T.	6.98E-11	21	10.5	0.63
PhGI1 3.7	7.00E-08	24	10.5	0.13
PhGI1 4.7	1.48E-08	24	10.5	0.11
PhGI1 8.1	1.48E-06	24	10.5	0.14
PhGI2 W.T.	3.23E-11	24	10.5	1.46
PhGI2 3.7	1.45E-10	24	9	0.47
PhGI2 4.7	2.53E-08	24	9	0.48
PhGI2 8.1	7.61E-10	24	10.5	0.64
CHL W.T.	1.,55E-02	21	7.5	0.47
CHL 3.7	6.96E-07	24	6	1.06
CHL 4.7	1.71E-04	24	7.5	0.72
CHL 8.1	6.88E-05	24	7.5	0.72
LHY W.T.	9.19E-08	24	22.5	0.26
LHY 3.7	1.07E-05	21	1.5	0.23
LHY 4.7	6.32E-06	21	1.5	0.12
LHY 8.1	1.99E-06	24	22.5	0.30
TOC1 W.T.	2.96E-06	24	13.5	0.44
TOC1 3.7	9.11E-06	24	13.5	0.89
TOC1 4.7	9.11E-06	24	13.5	0.89
TOC1 8.1	1.84E-05	24	13.5	0.68
ELF4 W.T.	1.48E-07	24	13.5	1.29
ELF4 3.7	632E-06	24	13.5	0.93
ELF4 4.7	2.96E-06	21	13.5	1.03
ELF4 8.1	1.96E-04	24	13.5	1.50

Table 1. Statistical analysis of rhythmicity of gene expression data. The P value (Pval) indicates a significativeexpression rhythm at Pval \leq 0.05. Period (Per) is defined as the time between two consecutive peaks (expressedin hours). The adjusted phase (Phase), given by JTK_CYCLE and Lomb-Scargle, is considered as the time pointwith the peak expression (expressed in hours). Amplitude (Amp) is the difference between the peak expression(or minimum expression) and the mean value of the wave.

the T1 lines in the growth chamber, and T2 lines in the greenhouse, had significantly longer and broader basal and apical leaves compared to the wild type. Overall, *G11* silenced plants of the three independent lines showed a remarkable modification in leaf area and growth habit (Fig. 3a,b).

In case of T1 generation, all three lines had a denser apical foliar apparatus, characterized by an apparent increase in the foliar volume. The bushier phenotype may result from an average reduction of the internode length of 37.6% in the median plant region and 33.7% in the apical plant region, while basal internode distance was increased by 34.4%, resulting in overall only slightly taller plants (Table 2). A similar, although minor, significant effect on internode length was observed in the T2 generation, resulting in a plant height identical to wildtype. A marked increase in the number of axillary meristems in both generations may also contribute to a bushier phenotype. However, no significant increases in the number of lateral branches or total leaf number was recorded compared to the wildtype (Table 2).

Transgenic lines had a greener appearance in the denser apical regions, while basal leaves were more yellowish (Fig. 3a). This phenotype coincided with a significant decrease in the relative chlorophyll content in basal leaves and a progressive increase in the median and apical ones compared to the wildtype (Table 2).

We can conclude that *PhGI1* plays a role in vegetative development with a clear acropetal gradient, as it has opposite effects during early stages of development and middle to late stages.

Flowering time, expressed as the percentage of plants which fully bloomed after rooted shoots were transferred from *in vitro* jars to pots (in weeks) is given in Fig. 4. *iRNA::PhGI1* lines of T1 and T2 generation and wildtype plants flowered contemporaneously, indicating that in contrast to Arabidopsis, *PhGI1* does not play a role in floral transition. In general, plants kept in the greenhouse flowered five to eight weeks earlier than those kept at 16:8 LD in the growth chamber corroborating that fluence accelerates floral transition in Petunia.

PhGI1 inhibits ectopic flower formation and premature flower senescence. We analyzed floral development in *iRNA::PHGI1* plants compared to the wildtype and observed that even though wildtype plants and silenced plants started to flower concurrently (Fig. 4), the silenced plants developed new inflorescences at a slower pace so that the total number of flower buds at the end of flowering period was reduced by 58 and 59% in the T1 and T2 generation, respectively, compared to the wildtype (Tables 3 and S2). Additionally, we found a striking phenotype that we had not seen previously in wildtype plants. We found that at many bifurcation points were the terminal flower and the inflorescence shoot divide, an additional ectopic flower bud appeared (Fig. 5). While the normally positioned flower bud tended to develop to full maturity, the ectopic flower bud appeared to undergo early senescence and aborted. Ectopic flower buds accounted for 40% in T1 and 21% in T2 lines. As a consequence of ectopic flower bud abortion (Figs. 6 and 7) and slower inflorescence development in the transgenic lines, the



Figure 2. Expression profile in leaves under light and subsequent continuous darkness of (**a**) *PhGI1* and *PhGI2* in wild type plants and *iRNA::PhGI1* T1 lines 3.7 (**b**), 4.7 (**c**) and 8.1 (**d**). Expression represents the normalized expression NE according to the formula (NE) = $2^{-}(Ct \text{ experimental } - Ct \text{ normalization})$. Three samples were analyzed for each time point and error bars indicate the standard deviation. Asterisks indicate statistical significance between wild type and iRNA lines with *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 according to Student's T-test.

final percentage of fully developed flowers diminished to 76% and 67% in the T1 and T2 generation, respectively, compared to wild type plants (Table 3).

The differentiation of stamen and carpel tissue could be clearly observed in the aborting flower buds, even so the pale and brownish coloration in *iRNA* lines indicated an abortion in development, chlorophyll loss and necrosis (Figs. 7 and S3). This early onset of flower senescence occurred well before flowers achieved the normal size of flower opening in Petunia.

The flowers of the silenced lines that fully developed appeared to be smaller with a significant reduction both in the corolla diameter as well as the floral tube length (Table 3, Fig. 6). Cell size in the floral tube and two regions of the corolla, the distal outer zone and a proximal zone near the tube, were significantly reduced (Table 4; Fig. 8), indicating an effect of *GI1* silencing over petal cell expansion.

PhGI1 regulates the quantity of volatile emission and fine-tuning of volatile profile. The main VOCs were analyzed in the three different plants with the strongest silencing, belonging to three independent *iRNA::PhGI1* lines (3.7, 4.7 and 8.1) and in the wildtype. Data are listed in the Table S3. *iRNA::PhGI1* lines showed an average reduction of 20.6% in total VOC emission on the basis of flower fresh weight in grams (Fig. 9a). Figure 9b shows the rhythm of VOC emission during 24 hours in 3 hour intervals, which was similar in case of wildtype flowers and *iRNA::PhGI1* lines, with lowest emission towards midday at 6 hours of light and increases towards the end of light period with highest emission during the dark phase. We also observed a change in the relative composition (Figs. 10a-c and Table S4). In both, wildtype plants and *iRNA::PhGI1* lines, when this compound contributed with only 30% to the VOC profile. Concerning the relative contribution of other compounds, we found remarkable changes among wildtype plants and *iRNA::PhGI1* lines, especially with a high contribution of isoeugenol and ethylbenzoate at certain timepoints (Fig. 10b,c). Results indicate that even so the pattern of total emission during 24 hours is quite conserved, individual VOC compounds may change their emission pattern.

Discussion

In this work we have performed a functional analysis of *GI1* in *Petunia hybrida* by means of loss of function using RNAi lines. There are two paralogs in *Petunia x hybrida* and both *PhGI1* and *PhGI2* showed a pattern of expression in wildtype plants under a 16:8 LD cycle characterized by an increase towards the afternoon at ZT9 followed by a decrease to very low levels during the entire dark period. This pattern is similar to that observed in Arabidopsis, were under long day conditions (16:8 LD), *GI* mRNA peaks at ZT 10 and lowest expression

Genotype:		W.T.	iRNA::PhGI1	% GI1 versus W.T.	P value
Plant Height (cm)	T1	40.9 ± 0.8	44 ± 4.4	+7.6	2,89E-02
	T2	44.7 ± 6.2	44.6 ± 4.9	-0.2	9,93E-01
Basal Internode (mm)	T1	12.61 ± 0.91	16.93 ± 0.51	+34.4	2,46E-20
	T2	14.28 ± 1.97	17.16 ± 0.79	+20.3	1,40E-03
Median Internode (mm)	T1	16.30 ± 0.56	10.17 ± 0.40	-37.6	3,57E-30
	T2	15.73 ± 1.3	12.44 ± 0.98	-20.8	1,42E-05
	T1	20.5 ± 1.07	13.60 ± 0.31	-33.7	2,51E-23
Apical Internode (Inin)	T2	27.9 ± 1.63	23.81 ± 0.82	- 14.6	2,77E-05
Nº of lower to the 1º flower	T1	37 ± 1.4	36.5 ± 1.2	-1.4	7,62E-01
IN OTTEAVES to the T Hower	T2	28.0 ± 1.0	28.7 ± 1.44	+2.5	3,74E-01
NO (111 14	T1	12.5 ± 1.3	27 ± 2.08	+116	3,78E-06
in of axiliary meristems	T2	15.3 ± 1.5	26.6 ± 3.48	+73.9	1,89E-04
	T1	2 ± 0.0	2.4 ± 0.57	+20	2,73E-01
IN OI Dranches	T2	6.7 ± 0.55	6.6 ± 0.7	-1.5	7,80E-01
Proal Louise longth (mm)	T1	64.57 ± 1.04	91.75 ± 5.24	+42	4,42E-33
Basal Leaves length (mm)	T2	90.99 ± 1.55	112.07 ± 6.05	+23.2	3,31E-30
Basal leaves width (mm)	T1	40.93 ± 0.72	50.96 ± 1.53	+24.5	4,97E-30
	T2	49.92 ± 1.6	53.78 ± 1.19	+7.7	4,03E-05
Median Leaves length (mm)	T1	72.72 ± 2.84	75.64 ± 1.66	+4.0	8,23E-02
	T2	65.38 ± 1.74	70.25 ± 1.58	+7.4	2,08E-07
Median leaves width (mm)	T1	43.82 ± 1.68	48.05 ± 1.39	+9.7	1,13E-08
	T2	36.89 ± 1.45	39.84 ± 1.22	+8.0	1,97E-05
Apical leaves length (mm)	T1	38.77 ± 1.31	48 ± 2.91	+24	1,49E-22
	T2	33.15 ± 1.82	36.08 ± 0.81	+8.8	1,36E-03
Apical leaves width (mm)	T1	22.54 ± 0.97	30.53 ± 2.54	+35	2,02E-23
	T2	17.86 ± 0.59	21.96 ± 0.64	+23	2,69E-11
	T1	22.38 ± 1.09	14.89 ± 1.14	-33.5	1,05E-23
Basai leaves Chlorophyll	T2	17.11 ± 1.08	13.84 ± 0.77	-19.1	7,11E-05
	T1	31.04 ± 2.29	37.26 ± 1.07	+20	1,67E-25
Median leaves Chlorophyll	T2	$20.14\pm$	24.99 ± 0.95	+24.1	2,97E-05
	T1	21.27 ± 0.95	38.19 ± 1.74	+79.5	2,76E-45
Apical leaves Chlorophyll	T2	34.47 ± 0.90	43.57 ± 1.03	+26.4	1,96E-14

Table 2. Comparison of vegetative parameters between wild type and the silenced *PhGI1* in T1 and T2 generation. Data are given as averages of at least three biological replicates of all silenced plants. The height was calculated from the base to the first flowering meristem, when the first flowering event occurred. The number of total axillary meristems was calculated between the base and the first apical flowering meristem. P values $\leq 0,05$ according to Students T-test were considered as significant.

levels occur at ZT 0³⁰. Other examples of an evening phased expression pattern for *GI* include cowpea^{17,52} and soybean¹⁶. In Arabidopsis, CCA1 binds to the *G1* promoter and reduces its expression, which only rises towards midday, when *CCA1* expression is repressed by *TOC1*⁵³. We did not observe a robust circadian rhythmicity under continuous darkness for *PhGI1* in the wildtype or the transgenic silenced lines. *PhGI1* expression in Petunia therefore diverges from Arabidopsis, were a strong oscillation of *GI* under conditions of continuous darkness can be observed⁵⁴. Observations on *Petunia hybrida* leaves confirm that *PhGI2* does not maintain rhythmicity during continuous darkness²⁴, indicating the necessity of light for the correct expression signals for oscillation of the *GI* paralogs in Petunia.

The specific silencing of a gene for which several paralogs exist within a plant species is very challenging, as it might be difficult to find regions with a sufficient degree of sequence variation. We selected the 3' untranslated region of *PhGI1* and *PhGI2*, that showed the maximum sequence differences. Even so gene specific sequences were selected for the silencing of *PhGI1* in order to avoid cross-silencing with *PhGI2*, the selected sequence still contained stretches of identical sequences. As a result, we observed a certain level of silencing of *PhGI2*. While *PhGI1* expression levels were down regulated on average 5.6 fold in *iRNA::PhGI1* lines, the reduction of *PhGI2* in these lines was 2.3 fold compared to wild type. Silencing of non-targeted genes was reported to occur if these targets contain as few as eleven contiguous nucleotides of identity with the siRNA sequence⁵⁵, which might explain the observed off-target effects in case of these duplicated *GI* genes. Currently, we cannot determine if the down-regulation of *PhGI2* is the result of the *iRNA::PhGI1* construct or if *PhGI1* activates the transcription of *PhGI2* by yet unknown mechanisms, which makes a separation of paralog function difficult.

Expression patterns of the core clock genes *LHY* and *TOC1* were similar in wildtype and silenced lines and similar to Arabidopsis, where *LHY* peaks late during the night and is lowest at the onset of the night while *TOC1*



Figure 3. Vegetative growth characteristics in *iRNA::PhGI1* T1 *lines* compared to wild type plants under growth chamber conditions of 16 hours light/ 8 hours darkness. (**a**) From the bottom to the top, basal, medium and apical leaves of three wild type leaves (left) compared to three leaves of *PhGI1* 3.7, 4.7 and 8.1 lines (right) with the strongest silencing. (**b**) Growth habit of the transgenic lines compared to the wild type. Wild type plant (left) and *iRNA::PhGI1* line 4.7 (right).

expression is counterphased to *LHY*, forming a negative feedback loop⁵. This feedback control system therefore was not altered by *PhGI1* silencing. Different from Arabidopsis, were *ZTL* messenger RNA is constitutively expressed, we observed a peak expression towards midday of *PhCHL* both in wildtype and silenced lines. A lack of change in *PhCHL* expression in silenced lines can be explained by the fact that in Arabidopsis, interaction of *GI* with *ZTL* occurs at the protein level, consisting in the facilitation of maturation of *ZTL* into a functional protein. *ZTL* targets TOC1 for proteasomal degradation⁵⁶, suggesting that changes of TOC1 protein would also be expected. In Arabidopsis, *GI* and *ELF4* have a synergistic effect on endogenous clock regulation, showing epistatic interactions³⁸. Furthermore, GI and ELF4 proteins interact physically to form discrete nuclear bodies⁵⁷ but no direct interaction on the expression level is reported, which might explain the similarity in expression pattern between *PhGI1* silenced lines and wildtype.



Figure 4. Percentage of fully open flowers in weeks after transplanting from *in vitro* culture to substrate of T1 and T2 generation of *iRNA::PhGI1* lines compared to wild type plant. T1 lines were grown under growth chamber conditions of 16 hours light/ 8 hours darkness. T2 lines were grown in a greenhouse under natural long-day conditions.

Genotype:		W.T.	iRNA::PhGI1	% GI1 versus W.T.	P value
N° of flower buds	T1	27.8 ± 2.8	11.3 ± 4.1	-59.4	2,21E-05
	T2	29.3 ± 2.3	12.2 ± 5.01	-58.4	2,44E-04
N° of fully developed flowers	T1	27.8 ± 2.8	6.8 ± 2.19	-75.5	1,07E-04
	T2	29.3 ± 2.3	9.6 ± 4.62	-67.2	2,11E-04
% of fully developed flowers	T1	100	60.2	-39.8	1,47E-08
	T2	100	75.0	-25	3,67E-09
Corolla diameter (mm)	T1	46.22 ± 3.41	34.21 ± 2.73	-26.0	4,27E-21
	T2	54.34 ± 3.45	49.66 ± 3.27	-8.6	1,07E-04
Tube length (mm)	T1	40.06 ± 2.10	35.57 ± 2.11	-11.2	2,84E-15
	T2	41.10 ± 1.82	38.79 ± 1.98	-5.6	1,08E-04
Petiole length (mm)	T1	35.96 ± 2.72	35.33 ± 3.20	-1.8	2,67E-01
	T2	47.63 ± 2.51	46.22 ± 3.42	-3.0	5,91E-02

Table 3. Comparison of floral parameters between wild type and silenced PhGI1 in T1 and T2 generation. Dataare given as averages of at least three biological replicates of all silenced plants. P values \leq 0,05 according toStudents T-test were considered as significant.

In petal tissue, silencing of *PhLHY* resulted in a phase-advance of *GI* peak expression of 4 hours⁵⁸, indicating that disturbance of the normal expression pattern of clock genes may alter the rhythmicity of the same and other clock genes. Similarly, the silencing of *GI1* here led to a significant prolongation of rhythmic period of 3 hours for the genes *PhGI1* and *PhCHL* in all silenced lines.

Changes in the vegetative growth of PhGI1 transgenic lines was characterized by an increased leaf size of basal and apical leaves, and an augmentation in the basal internode length. However, medium and apical internodes were shorter, thus compensating in total plant length, which was not altered. It is well reported that nitrogen concentration diminishes with increasing shoot biomass during plant growth as result of N dilution⁵⁹ and this dilution effect might have contributed to the progressive reduction in internode length in *PhGI1* transgenic lines. Next to the changes in internode length and leaf size, we also observed a structural change in growth characterized by an increased number of axillary meristems as well as a higher chlorophyll level in apical leaves. All changes together led to a bushier phenotype with darker color. In Arabidopsis, GI controls the growth of the hypocotyl³⁸ and the loss of function of GI results, apart from late flowering, in long petioles, tall plant height and many rosette leaves⁶⁰. The findings in Arabidopsis confirm the effect of GI on vegetative growth observed in the silenced Petunia lines. GIGANTEA is known as a key regulator of flowering time. In Arabidopsis, GI mutation leads to a late-flowering phenotype in LD conditions³⁰. The role of GI in flowering is conferred through its control over CO and FT mRNA expression levels under inductive conditions as found in different plant species³⁰. A second pathway involving GI is CONSTANS (CO) independent and involves GI regulation of miR172, which than controls FT induction and flowering³² We did not observe a switch in inflorescence phase of *iRNA::PhGI1* lines compared to wildtype lines, indicating that *PhGI1* does not share a function in controlling flowering time with AtGI. Future research will show whether a case of subfunctionalization has occurred in Petunia were only the second copy of GI in petunia, PhGI2, affects flowering time. However, the relation between late flowering time and increased biomass seen in Arabidopsis is broken in Petunia as the PhGI1 silenced lines did not flower later than wild type. In fact, mutant combinations of RVE genes in Arabidopsis also disrupt the correlation between biomass production and flowering via changes in PIF gene expression⁶¹. Altogether, our results indicate that PhGI1 has an



Figure 5. Schematic representation of the petunia inflorescence. Wild type (**a**) and silenced *iRNA::PhGI1* plants of T1 line (**c**). Side view of a wild type petunia inflorescence (**b**) and *iRNA::PhGI1* plants of T1 line (**d-f**). Arrows indicate the position and direction of the main and aborted (*) floral meristems at each bifurcation.



Figure 6. Flower size and flower appearance in T1 lines of *iRNA::PhGI1* compared to the wild type. Tube length (**a**) of the flowers of the wild type (left) and transgenic lines (right). (**b**) Corolla diameter and the abortive flower appearance (extreme right) in T1 lines of *iRNA::PhGI1* (right) compared to the wild type (left).



Figure 7. Stages of Petunia flower bud development in wild type and *iRNA::PhGI1* T1 lines. Stages (S) 1–7 represent flower development between 27 to 1 days before anthesis and stages (S) 8–11 represent flowers from 0 to 3 days after anthesis. (**a**) Stages S1 and S2 are given as scanning electron micrographs of the inflorescence apex of Petunia during early stages of development from a wildtype plant (S1) and a plant of *iRNA::PhGI1* T1 line (S2). Stigma (St), style (st), ovary (ov), sepal (se), petal (pe), anthers (an). (**b**) Stages S8–11 of flower buds taken from a position within the plant that develops into a normal flower. (**c**) Stage S3 of flower buds taken from a position within the plant that develops into an aborted flower.

Genotype:	W.T.	iRNA::PhGI1	% <i>GI1</i> versus W.T.	P value
Corolla (µm ²)	1132.38 ± 225.13	910.07 ± 171.02	-19.6	8,18E-16
Basal limb (µm ²)	345.12 ± 88.85	302.07 ± 74.75	-12.5	1,53E-05
Tube (µm ²)	3653.35 ± 794.4	2566.5 ± 647.14	-29.8	8,81E-28

Table 4. Comparison of cellular areas of flowers between wild type and silenced PhGI1 plants of T1 generation. Values correspond to mean (μ m²) \pm deviation standard error of at least three flowers belonging to the *iRNA::GI1* lines 3.7, 4.7 and 8.1 and 50 measurements for each flower. P values \leq 0,05 according to Students T-test were considered as significant.

effect on plant growth coordination. Interestingly, the analyzed parameters on vegetative and generative growth in general showed a stronger reduction in T1 lines that T2 lines as compared to the wild type. This might be due to the exposure to lower night temperatures of greenhouse grown T2 lines compared to the growth chamber grown T1 lines, as it is known that siRNA generation and silencing is inhibited by low temperatures⁶².

PhGI1 silenced lines were characterized by a reduction in the number of flower buds, the appearance of two flower buds at the bifurcation point of the inflorescence meristem, of which one flower bud aborted, and an increased overall incidence of premature failure in floral development. All these phenomena were not described until now for any other *GI* mutant. The appearance of ectopic flower buds in the bifurcation point of the inflorescence meristem was not described for any other mutant in *Petunia hybrida*. Mutants affecting flower bud appearance described so far are *double top (dot)* and *aberrant flower (alf)*, characterized by a failure to develop flowers and *extra petals (exp)* and



Figure 8. Scanning electron microscopy of petal cell size. Three petal regions were sampled for scanning electroscopic analysis from T1 lines (**a**). Floral cell size comparison between wild type (left) and *iRNA::PhGI1* (right) of different floral organs: (1)(**b**,**c**) corolla, (2)(**d**,**e**) limb and (3)(**f**,**g**) tube.

evergreen (evg), were the inflorescence forms a solitary flower^{63,64}. Aborted flowers clearly show carpel and stamen tissues indicating that flower abortion occurred following the activation of genes specifying floral organ identity. On the other hand, the overall reduced number of flower buds suggests an effect of *PhGI1* silencing on upstream events, possibly related the flower-meristem-identity genes *PETUNIA FLOWERING GENE (PFG)* and *ALF (ABERRANT LEAF AND FLOWER)*^{41,63}. Mutants showing a developmental arrest in flower bud development all belong to the group of gibberellin deficient mutants, including *gibberellin deficient (ga-2)*⁶⁵ and *gib-1*⁶⁶ from tomato or *ga1-1* from Arabidopsis⁶⁷. The promotion of petal, stamen and anther development in Arabidopsis was proposed to occur by opposing the action of the DELLA proteins RGA, RGL1 and RGL2⁶⁸. As mentioned above, *GI* is a negative regulator of growth, as *GI* loss of function mutants show taller plant height⁶⁰ and longer hypocotyls. However, the function of *GI* in flower development seems inverse, as flowers either aborted or showed a reduction in corolla and tube size.





Figure 9. Volatile emission by flowers from wild type and *iRNA::PhGI1* T1 lines. Flowers were excised at ZT0. (a) Total VOC emission in wild type flowers compared to *iRNA::PhGI1* lines in 24 hours and (b) VOCs emission in three hour intervals during 24 hours. Absolute total emission of VOCs per grams of fresh weight is given as sum of integrated peak area. Asterisks indicate statistical significance between wild type and iRNA lines with *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 according to Student's T-test.

The reduced size was accompanied by a significant reduction in cell size, indicating that flower size changes are, at least in part, due to a reduced cell expansion, even so we cannot rule out a possible effect over cell division. Growth of lateral organs starts with cell division, followed by cell expansion during later stages of development^{69–72}. Our results indicate that *PhGI1* function on lateral organ growth depends on the acquired meristem identity and that the growth promoting function of *PhGI1* during flower development is restricted rather to developmental stages following organ differentiation, when growth relies on cell expansion.

The floral fragrance in *Petunia hybrida* is dominated by volatile benzenoids, which mostly derive from *trans*-cinnamic acid, whose precursor is phenylalanine. The production of phenylalanine is controlled by *ODORANT1 (ODO1)*, a key volatile regulator and member of the R2R3-type *MYB* family, which controls the synthesis of precursors of the shikimate pathway⁷³. The main volatile, methyl benzoate, has its maximum emission at night⁷⁴. It is produced from benzoic acid, whose synthesis might be controlled by PAL⁷⁵. Wildtype Petunia observed here showed a rhythmic emission pattern with maximal emission during the night and methyl benzoate continuously was the major compound throughout the day. Differences between the wildtype and the silenced lines consisted (1) in a lower emission level, (2) in slight changes in the relative abundance of the *trans*-cinnamic acid derivatives benzyl alcohol, ethyl benzoate and benzyl benzoate and (3) a mayor contribution of isoeugenol to the volatile profile in the morning. Isoeugenol also derives from phenylalanine, but its direct precursor was suggested to be ferrulic acid, produced from *trans*-cinnamic acid through coumaric acid and caffeic acid. Our finding suggests that *GI* interacts in the rhythmic fine tuning of volatile biosynthesis and the daily emission profile of volatiles derived through the phenylalanine pathway. We cannot exclude that some changes in emission quantity and quality shortly after sampling might be related to wounding, as it was shown that stress conditions and membrane damage may affect VOC generation⁷⁶.

While the plant circadian clock coordinates environmental inputs into basic processes such as primary and secondary metabolism, cell division or cell expansion, in this work we uncover undescribed functions of *PhGI1* on overall inflorescence architecture. It remains to be determined if the phenotypes found in this study are directly controlled by the clock or are specific functions resulting from neofunctionalization of *GI* genes in Petunia.

Methods

Plant material, growth conditions and sampling. Wild type *Petunia hybrida* plants of the double haploid variety 'Mitchell W115' as well as silenced lines of the T1 generation of *PhGI1* and their non-transgenic siblings were cultured using a commercial substrate (Universal Substrate, Floragard Betriebs GmbH, Oldenburg, Germany) in a growth chamber under conditions of 16 hours light/ 8 hours darkness, a light intensity of 250 μ E





 $m^{-2} s^{-1}$, and a constant temperature of $26 \pm 1 °C$. A T2 generation of *PhG*I1 was grown in a greenhouse under natural long-day conditions. Plants were watered as required and transplanted to fresh substrate twice during the

growth phase. Phenotyping of vegetative and generative traits, including the size of three leaves and flowers, internode length, flower number, flowering time and relative chlorophyll content was performed. Parameters were evaluated from three wild type plants and 2–3 plants of each *iRNA::PhGI1* line. Of each autopollinated T1 plant, T2 plants were propagated, of which were characterized at least three plants per silenced line, in order to confirm the RNA interference associated phenotypes.

For *PhGI1* expression analysis, as well as other circadian rhythm related genes, three samples of young leaves, from each of the three independent *iRNA::PhGI1* transgenic lines as well as wildtype plants, were sampled under the aforementioned growth chamber conditions. Tissue sampling was performed every three hours. For the analysis of the expression under continuous darkness, plants were initially acclimated during 4–5 days to conditions of 16 hours of light / 8 hours of darkness, after which we proceeded to keep the plants in continuous darkness for 24 consecutive hours. The collected tissues were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until further analysis. To measure the progress of time in hours, we used the ZEITGEBER time scale. The term ZEITGEBER, from the German "time giver", is often used to indicate an external environmental factor capable of synchronizing the biological clock of an organism. We considered ZEITGEBER 0 (ZT0) as the time lights were turned on.

For the analysis of VOC profiles, we sampled three flowers per plant at 2–3 days after flower opening at ZT0. The measurement of VOC emission was performed as described previously^{77,78}. Briefly, flowers were placed in a glass beaker with a solution of 4% of glucose inside a desiccator and emitted volatiles were collected using the SPME methods from the headspace during 24 hours as well as every three hours during 24 hours under 16 h/8 h photoperiod, followed by GC/MS. Volatiles were expressed as integrated peak area divided by flower fresh weight⁷⁹. VOCs that contributed with at least 2% to the total emission are considered as main VOCs.

Silencing of PhGI1: Generation of vector constructs and transformation. For vector construction, we selected a fragment of the 3' untranslated region of *PhGI1* that would discriminate between *PhGI1* and *PhGI2*. The sequence information for the comparison between *PhGI1* and *PhGI2* was obtained from the genomic clones *PhGI1* (Peaxi132Scf1428Ctg026) and *PhGI2* (Peaxi132Scf1428Ctg060) identified in *P. hybrida* W115 (Fig. S1). Based on this comparison, we selected a DNA fragment of 225 bp from *PhGI1*, that showed maximal sequence difference with *PhGI2* (Fig. S2) and this fragment was PCR-amplified using site-specific primers containing the attB1 and attB2 sites for Gateway recombination⁸⁰. Genomic DNA was used as template for all fragment amplification. Each fragment was first recombined into the entry vector pDONR201 (Invitrogen) and then recombined into the final destination vector pHELLSGATE12 in order to obtain hairpin-like structures. All primers used for plasmids generation are listed in Table S5.

The W115 Mitchell double haploid was transformed as described before⁸¹ using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105. Shoots, developed under selective conditions, were confirmed as transformed through PCR detection of the selection marker gene *nptII* (T0, T1) and DNA blot analysis (T0) with a *npt*II DIG-labeled DNA probe (Fig. S4)⁸².

Circadian gene expression analysis. Total RNA from leaves was isolated using a phenol:chloroform based protocol⁸³. Following spectrophotometric quantification (NanoDrop2000), equal amounts of RNA were used to synthesize cDNA according to the manufacturer's instructions (Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit for RT-qPCR, with dsDNase Thermofisher (https://www.thermofischer.com/, catalog number: K1641).

The gene *ACTIN 11 (ACT)*, previously selected as valuable housekeeping gene for Petunia leaves and petals under circadian conditions⁴⁷ was used as reference gene for relative expression quantification of clock genes. Primers for *PhGI1*, *PhGI2* and other clock genes (Table S5) were designed using pcrEfficiency software⁸⁴. Quantitative PCR and melting point analysis were performed as described previously²². Three biological and two technical replicas were analyzed for each sample.

Chlorophyll content. Chlorophyll content was determined in basal, medium and apical leaves of wildtype plants and three silenced lines of *PhGI1* from T1 generation kept under 16:8 LD light regime. Relative chlorophyll content was calculated using a CM-500 Chlorophyll Meter (SolfrancTecnologías SL) based on measuring light penetration coefficient in a two wavelength range corresponding to red light and IR light.

Scanning electron microscopy analysis. We observed petal cell size in the corolla and the floral tube of silenced lines from T1 generation and non-transgenic siblings. The two areas were separated with a scalpel blade. From the corolla, we prepared two zones for further analysis of cell size, the distal outer zone and a proximal zone near the tube. Petal sections had a size of approximately 0.75 cm². Cell size was calculated measuring the area of 50 cells from 3 different flowers of 3 plants by using the program ImageJ (ttps://imagej.nih.gov/ij/download.html).

The floral meristems were sampled from flowers of *GI1* silenced line from both positions, those that develop into mature flowers and those that develop into aborted flowers. Preparation of flower buds for scanning electron microscopy consisted in the removal of the sepals. All tissues were dehydrated as previously described⁸⁵, followed by critical point drying.

Data analysis procedures. Expression of circadian genes relative to the reference genes was analyzed applying the comparative CT method^{86,87} as well as using group-wise comparison with the REST Program⁸⁸. The JTK-Cycle algorithm from the MetaCycle R package (R version 3.3.2)^{88,89} was applied in order to detect rhythmicity in gene expression. Significance differences among data were determined based on Fisher's F-test and Student's T-Test after testing data for non-normal distributions.

Significance statement. The Gigantea gene appeared in land plants and is considered as an activator of floral transition. In Petunia it has several functions including repression of vegetative biomass accumulation, and ectopic flower initiation. In *PhGI1*-silenced plants, flowers either aborted or grew to small sizes, emitting low quantities of scent. *PhGI1* thus shows a new set of functions during flower development.

Received: 2 August 2019; Accepted: 18 December 2019; Published online: 14 January 2020

References

- 1. Staiger, D., Shin, J., Johansson, M. & Davis, S. J. The circadian clock goes genomic. Genome Biol. 14, 208 (2013).
- Pokhilko, A. et al. The clock gene circuit in Arabidopsis includes a repressilator with additional feedback loops. Mol. Syst. Biol. 8, 574 (2012).
- Mas, P. Circadian clock signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana: from gene expression to physiology and development. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 49, 491–500 (2005).
- Kikis, E. A., Khanna, R. & Quail, P. H. ELF4 is a phytochrome-regulated component of a negative-feedback loop involving the central oscillator components CCA1 and LHY. *Plant J.* 44, 300–313 (2005).
- 5. Gendron, J. M. *et al.* Arabidopsis circadian clock protein, TOC1, is a DNA-binding transcription factor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 3167–72 (2012).
- Adams, S., Manfield, I., Stockley, P. & Carré, I. A. Revised Morning Loops of the Arabidopsis Circadian Clock Based on Analyses of Direct Regulatory Interactions. *PLoS ONE* 10 (2015).
- Más, P., Kim, W.-Y., Somers, D. E. & Kay, S. A. Targeted degradation of TOC1 by ZTL modulates circadian function in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature* 426, 567–570 (2003).
- 8. Cha, J.-Y. et al. GIGANTEA is a co-chaperone which facilitates maturation of ZEITLUPE in the Arabidopsis circadian clock. Nat. Commun. 8, 3 (2017).
- 9. Kim, W.-Y. et al. ZEITLUPE is a circadian photoreceptor stabilized by GIGANTEA in blue light. Nature 449, 356-360 (2007).
- 10. Bancos, S. *et al.* Diurnal Regulation of the Brassinosteroid-Biosynthetic CPD Gene in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **141**, 299–309 (2006).
- 11. Nozue, K. et al. Rhythmic growth explained by coincidence between internal and external cues. Nature 448, 358-361 (2007).
- 12. Thines, B. & Harmon, F. G. Four easy pieces: mechanisms underlying circadian regulation of growth and development. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **14**, 31–7 (2011).
- Soy, J. et al. Molecular convergence of clock and photosensory pathways through PIF3–TOC1 interaction and co-occupancy of target promoters. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 201603745, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603745113 (2016).
- Fung-Uceda, J. et al. The Circadian Clock Sets the Time of DNA Replication Licensing to Regulate Growth in Arabidopsis. Dev. Cell 45, 101–113.e4 (2018).
- 15. Farré, E. M. & Weise, S. E. The interactions between the circadian clock and primary metabolism. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **15**, 293–300 (2012).
- Marcolino-Gomes, J. *et al.* Diurnal oscillations of soybean circadian clock and drought responsive genes. *PloS One* 9, e86402 (2014).
 Weiss, J. *et al.* Diel pattern of circadian clock and storage protein gene expression in leaves and during seed filling in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata). *BMC Plant Biol.* 18, 33–53 (2018).
- 18. Ni, Z. et al. Altered circadian rhythms regulate growth vigour in hybrids and allopolyploids. Nature 457, 327-331 (2009).
- 19. Atamian, H. S. *et al.* Circadian regulation of sunflower heliotropism, floral orientation, and pollinator visits. *Science* **353**, 587–590 (2016).
- Edwards, K. D. et al. Circadian clock components control daily growth activities by modulating cytokinin levels and cell divisionassociated gene expression in *Populus* trees: Control of growth in Populus. *Plant Cell Environ.* 41, 1468–1482 (2018).
- 21. Yon, F. et al. Silencing Nicotiana attenuata LHY and ZTL alters circadian rhythms in flowers. New Phytol. 209, 1058–1066 (2015).
- Terry, M. I. *et al.* The Petunia CHANEL Gene is a ZEITLUPE Ortholog Coordinating Growth and Scent Profiles. *Cells* 8, 343 (2019).
 Terry, M. I., Pérez-Sanz, F., Navarro, P. J., Weiss, J. & Egea-Cortines, M. The Snapdragon LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL Plays
 - A Dual Role in Activating Floral Growth and Scent Emission. Cells 8, 920 (2019).
- Fenske, M. P. et al. Circadian clock gene LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL directly regulates the timing of floral scent emission in Petunia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1422875112 201422875-201422875 (2015).
- Corellou, F. et al. Clocks in the Green Lineage: Comparative Functional Analysis of the Circadian Architecture of the Picoeukaryote Ostreococcus. Plant Cell 21, 3436–3449 (2009).
- 26. Morant, P. E. et al. A robust two-gene oscillator at the core of Ostreococcus tauri circadian clock. Chaos 20 (2010).
- Djouani-Tahri, E. B. et al. A eukaryotic LOV-histidine kinase with circadian clock function in the picoalga Ostreococcus. Plant J. 65, 578–588 (2011).
- 28. Linde, A. et al. Early evolution of the land plant circadian clock. New Phytol., https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14487 (2017).
- 29. Kubota, A. *et al.* Co-option of a photoperiodic growth-phase transition system during land plant evolution. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3668 (2014).
- Fowler, S. GIGANTEA: a circadian clock-controlled gene that regulates photoperiodic flowering in Arabidopsis and encodes a protein with several possible membrane-spanning domains. *EMBO J.* 18, 4679–4688 (1999).
- 31. Mizoguchi, T. *et al.* Distinct roles of GIGANTEA in promoting flowering and regulating circadian rhythms in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **17**, 2255–70 (2005).
- 32. Jung, J.-H. et al. The GIGANTEA Regulated MicroRNA172 Mediates Photoperiodic Flowering Independent of CONSTANS in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 19, 2736–2748 (2007).
- Sawa, M. & Kay, S. A. GIGANTEA directly activates Flowering Locus T in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 11698–11703 (2011).
- 34. Ding, J. et al. GIGANTEA-like genes control seasonal growth cessation in. Populus. New Phytol. 218, 1491–1503 (2018).
- Dalchau, N. et al. The circadian oscillator gene GIGANTEA mediates a long-term response of the Arabidopsis thaliana circadian clock to sucrose. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 5104–9 (2011).
- Park, H. J., Kim, W.-Y. & Yun, D.-J. A role for GIGANTEA: keeping the balance between flowering and salinity stress tolerance. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 8, e24820 (2013).
- Cao, S., Ye, M. & Jiang, S. Involvement of GIGANTEA gene in the regulation of the cold stress response in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Rep.* 24, 683–690 (2005).
- Kim, Y. et al. GIGANTEA and EARLY FLOWERING 4 in Arabidopsis Exhibit Differential Phase-Specific Genetic Influences over a Diurnal Cycle. Mol. Plant 5, 678–687 (2012).
- Tseng, T.-S. SPINDLY and GIGANTEA Interact and Act in Arabidopsis thaliana Pathways Involved in Light Responses, Flowering, and Rhythms in Cotyledon Movements. *PLANT CELL ONLINE* 16, 1550–1563 (2004).
- Souer, E. et al. Genetic control of branching pattern and floral identity during Petunia inflorescence development. Development 125, 733–742 (1998).
- Immink, R. G. et al. A petunia MADS box gene involved in the transition from vegetative to reproductive development. Dev. Camb. Engl. 126, 5117–5126 (1999).
- 42. Souer, E. *et al.* Patterning of inflorescences and flowers by the F-Box protein DOUBLE TOP and the LEAFY homolog ABERRANT LEAF AND FLOWER of petunia. *Plant Cell* **20**, 2033–2048 (2008).
- 43. Eckardt, N. A. DOT/UFO Emerges as a Key Factor in Inflorescence Patterning. Plant Cell 20, 2003–2005 (2008).
- 44. Rebocho, A. B. et al. Role of EVERGREEN in the development of the cymose petunia inflorescence. Dev. Cell 15, 437–447 (2008).

- Rijpkema, A. S., Vandenbussche, M., Koes, R., Heijmans, K. & Gerats, T. Variations on a theme: Changes in the floral ABCs in angiosperms. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 21, 100–107 (2010).
- Bombarely, A. *et al.* Insight into the evolution of the Solanaceae from the parental genomes of Petunia hybrida. *Nat. Plants* 2, 16074 (2016).
- Terry, M. I., Carrera-Alesina, M., Weiss, J. & Egea-Cortines, M. Molecular and transcriptional structure of the petal and leaf circadian clock in Petunia hybrida., http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/641639, https://doi.org/10.1101/641639 (2019).
- Somers, W. S., Tang, J., Shaw, G. D. & Camphausen, R. T. Insights into the Molecular Basis of Leukocyte Tethering and Rolling Revealed by Structures of P- and E-Selectin Bound to SLeX and PSGL-. 13 (2000).
- Yon, F. et al. Identification and characterization of circadian clock genes in a native tobacco, Nicotiana attenuata. BMC Plant Biol. 12, 172 (2012).
- 50. de Montaigu, A., Tóth, R. & Coupland, G. Plant development goes like clockwork. Trends Genet. 26, 296-306 (2010).
- Más, P., Alabadí, D., Yanovsky, M. J., Oyama, T. & Kay, S. A. Dual Role of TOC1 in the Control of Circadian and Photomorphogenic Responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 15, 223–236 (2003).
- 52. Lonardi, S. et al. The genome of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata [L.] Walp.). Plant J. 98, 767-782 (2019).
- Lu, S. X. *et al.* CCA1 and ELF3 Interact in the control of hypocotyl length and flowering time in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 158, 1079–88 (2012).
- 54. Park, D. H. *et al.* Control of circadian rhythms and photoperiodic flowering by the Arabidopsis GIGANTEA gene. *Science* 285, 1579–82 (1999).
- 55. Jackson, A. L. et al. Expression profiling reveals off-target gene regulation by RNAi. Nat. Biotechnol. 21, 635-637 (2003).
- Ito, S., Song, Y. H. & İmaizumi, T. LOV domain-containing F-box proteins: light-dependent protein degradation modules in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant* 5, 573–82 (2012).
- 57. Kim, Y. et al. ELF4 Regulates GIGANTEA Chromatin Access through Subnuclear Sequestration. Cell Rep. 3, 671–677 (2013).
- 58. Fenske, M. P. & Imaizumi, T. Circadian Rhythms in Floral Scent Emission. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 462 (2016).
- 59. Bélanger, G. & Gastal, F. Nitrogen utilization by forage grasses. Can. J. Plant Sci. 80, 11-20 (2000).
- Hwang, C., Park, J., Lee, B. & Cheong, H. Loss of Function in GIGANTEA Gene is Involved in Brassinosteroid Signaling. J. Chosun Nat. Sci. 4, 113–120 (2011).
- Gray, J. A., Shalit-Kaneh, A., Chu, D. N., Hsu, P. Y. & Harmer, S. L. The REVEILLE Clock Genes Inhibit Growth of Juvenile and Adult Plants by Control of Cell Size. *Plant Physiol.* 173, 2308–2322 (2017).
- 62. Szittya, G. *et al.* Low temperature inhibits RNA silencing-mediated defence by the control of siRNA generation. *EMBO J.* **22**, 633–640 (2003).
- 63. Souer, E. *et al.* Patterning of Inflorescences and Flowers by the F-Box Protein DOUBLE TOP and the LEAFY Homolog ABERRANT LEAF AND FLOWER of Petunia. *Plant Cell* **20**, 2033–2048 (2008).
- Rebocho, A. B. et al. Role of EVERGREEN in the Development of the Cymose Petunia Inflorescence. Dev. Cell 15, 437–447 (2008).
 Nester, J. E. & Zeevaart, J. A. D. FLOWER DEVELOPMENT IN NORMAL TOMATO AND A GIBBERELLIN-DEFICIENT (ga-2)
 - MUTANT. Am. J. Bot. 75, 45–55 (1988).
- Jacobsen, S. E. & Olszewski, N. E. Characterization of the Arrest in Anther Development Associated with Gibberellin Deficiency of the gib-1 Mutant of Tomato. *Plant Physiol.* 97, 409–414 (1991).
- Goto, N. & Pharis, R. P. Role of gibberellins in the development of floral organs of the gibberellin-deficient mutant, gal-1, of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Can. J. Bot.* 77, 944–954 (1999).
- Cheng, H. et al. Gibberellin regulates Arabidopsis floral development via suppression of DELLA protein function. Development 131, 1055–1064 (2004).
- 69. Reale, L. et al. Patterns of cell division and expansion in developing petals of Petunia hybrida. Sex. Plant Reprod. 15, 123-132 (2002).
- 70. Laitinen, R. A. E. *et al.* Analysis of the floral transcriptome uncovers new regulators of organ determination and gene families related to flower organ differentiation in Gerbera hybrida (Asteraceae). *Genome Res.* **15**, 475–486 (2005).
- 71. Anastasiou, E. et al. Control of Plant Organ Size by KLUH/CYP78A5-Dependent Intercellular Signaling. Dev. Cell 13, 843–856 (2007).
- Kazama, T., Ichihashi, Y., Murata, S. & Tsukaya, H. The Mechanism of Cell Cycle Arrest Front Progression Explained by a KLUH/ CYP78A5-dependent Mobile Growth Factor in Developing Leaves of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 51, 1046–1054 (2010).
- 73. Verdonk, J. C., Haring, M. A., van Tunen, A. J. & Schuurink, R. C. ODORANT1 regulates fragrance biosynthesis in petunia flowers. *Plant Cell* 17, 1612–24 (2005).
- 74. Boatright, J. et al. Understanding in vivo benzenoid metabolism in petunia petal tissue. Plant Physiol. 135, 1993–2011 (2004).
- Kolosova, N., Sherman, D., Karlson, D. & Dudareva, N. Cellular and subcellular localization of S-adenosyl-L-methionine:benzoic acid carboxyl methyltransferase, the enzyme responsible for biosynthesis of the volatile ester methylbenzoate in snapdragon flowers. *Plant Physiol.* 126, 956–64 (2001).
- Loreto, F., Barta, C., Brilli, F. & Nogues, I. On the induction of volatile organic compound emissions by plants as consequence of wounding or fluctuations of light and temperature. *Plant Cell Environ.* 29, 1820–1828 (2006).
- Manchado-Rojo, M., Delgado-Benarroch, L., Roca, M. J., Weiss, J. & Egea-Cortines, M. Quantitative levels of Deficiens and Globosa during late petal development show a complex transcriptional network topology of B function. *Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol.* **72**, 294–307 (2012).
- Ruíz-Ramón, F., Águila, D. J., Egea-Cortines, M. & Weiss, J. Optimization of fragrance extraction: Daytime and flower age affect scent emission in simple and double narcissi. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 52 (2014).
- Ruiz-Hernández, V., Roca, M. J., Egea-Cortines, M. & Weiss, J. A comparison of semi-quantitative methods suitable for establishing volatile profiles. *Plant Methods* 14, 67 (2018).
- Helliwell, C. & Waterhouse, P. Constructs and methods for high-throughput gene silencing in plants. *Methods San Diego Calif* 30, 289–95 (2003).
- Manchado-Rojo, M., Weiss, J. & Egea-Cortines, M. Validation of Aintegumenta as a gene to modify floral size in ornamental plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 12 (2014).
- Southern, E. M. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 98, 503–517 (1975).
- Box, M. S., Coustham, V., Dean, C. & Mylne, J. S. Protocol: A simple phenol-based method for 96-well extraction of high quality RNA from Arabidopsis. *Plant Methods* 7, 7 (2011).
- Mallona, I., Weiss, J. & Egea-Cortines, M. pcrEfficiency: a Web tool for PCR amplification efficiency prediction. BMC Bioinformatics 12, 404 (2011).
- Delgado-Benarroch, L., Causier, B., Weiss, J. & Egea-Cortines, M. FORMOSA controls cell division and expansion during floral development in Antirrhinum majus. *Planta* 229 (2009).
- 86. Schmittgen, T. D. & Livak, K. J. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative CT method. Nat. Protoc. 3, 1101–1108 (2008).
- Pfaffl, M. W., Horgan, G. W. & Dempfle, L. Relative expression software tool (REST(C)) for group-wise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. *Nucl Acids Res* 30, e36- (2002).
- Hughes, M. E., Hogenesch, J. B. & Kornacker, K. JTK_CYCLE: an efficient nonparametric algorithm for detecting rhythmic components in genome-scale data sets. J. Biol. Rhythms 25, 372–380 (2010).
- Wu, G., Anafi, R. C., Hughes, M. E., Kornacker, K. & Hogenesch, J. B. MetaCycle: an integrated R package to evaluate periodicity in large scale data. *Bioinformatics* 32, 3351–3353 (2016).

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge María José Roca and Julia Muñoz for technical assistance. This research was funded by Fundación Seneca 19398/PI/14, 19895/GERM/15 and MC BFU-2017 88300-C2-1-R and BFU-2017 88300-C2-2-R.

Author contributions

Conceived and coordinated the study, J.W., C.P. and M.E.C.; Research methodology, C.B., C.P., M.E.C. and J.W.; Formal analysis, C.B., C.P., M.E.C. and J.W.; Investigation, C.B., C.P., M.E.C. and J.W.; Resources, J.W., C.P. and M.E.C.; Data curation, C.B., M.E.C. and J.W.; Writing—original draft preparation, C.B., M.E.C. and J.W.; Writing—review and editing, C.B., C.P., M.E.C. and J.W.; Supervision, J.W., C.P. and M.E.C.; Project administration, J.W., C.P. and M.E.C.; Funding acquisition, J.W., C.P. and M.E.C.; All authors revised and approved the final draft.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57145-9.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.W.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020