Acta Crystallographica Section F Structural Biology and Crystallization Communications

ISSN 1744-3091

Liqin Du and Yu Luo*

Department of Biochemistry, University of Saskatchewan, 107 Wiggins Road, Suite A3, Saskatoon, Sasktchewan S7N 5E5, Canada

Correspondence e-mail: yu.luo@usask.ca

Received 1 February 2012 Accepted 7 March 2012

PDB Reference: RadA recombinase, 4dc9.



Structure of a hexameric form of RadA recombinase from *Methanococcus volta*e

Archaeal RadA proteins are close homologues of eukaryal Rad51 and DMC1 proteins and are remote homologues of bacterial RecA proteins. For the repair of double-stranded breaks in DNA, these recombinases promote a pivotal strand-exchange reaction between homologous single-stranded and double-stranded DNA substrates. This DNA-repair function also plays a key role in the resistance of cancer cells to chemotherapy and radiotherapy and in the resistance of bacterial cells to antibiotics. A hexameric form of a truncated *Methanococcus voltae* RadA protein devoid of its small N-terminal domain has been crystallized. The RadA hexamers further assemble into two-ringed assemblies. Similar assemblies can be observed in the crystals of *Pyrococcus furiosus* RadA and *Homo sapiens* DMC1. In all of these two-ringed assemblies the DNA-interacting L1 region of each protomer points inward towards the centre, creating a highly positively charged locus. The electrostatic characteristics of the central channels can be utilized in the design of novel recombinase inhibitors.

1. Introduction

Bacterial RecA (Clark & Margulies, 1965), archaeal RadA (Sandler et al., 1996) and eukaryal Rad51 (Shinohara et al., 1992) and DMC1 (Bishop et al., 1992) proteins form a superfamily of recombinases (also called DNA strand-exchange proteins; Seitz & Kowalczykowski, 2000). Homologous recombination appears to be essential in the repair of double-stranded DNA breaks and the restarting of stalled replication forks (Cox, 1998; Cox et al., 2000; Courcelle et al., 2001; Lusetti & Cox, 2002; Kowalczykowski, 2000). These proteins facilitate a pivotal DNA strand-exchange process between a single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) and a homologous double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) in homologous recombination. Electron-microscopic and crystallographic results have revealed strikingly similar 'active' recombinase assemblies in the form of right-handed helical filaments with approximately six monomers per turn (VanLoock et al., 2003; Conway et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2008; Sheridan et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009a). The milestone structures of Escherichia coli RecA (EcRecA) in complex with a series of DNA molecules have shed light on the exact mechanism of homologous DNA strand exchange (Chen et al., 2008). Crystallized 'inactive' filaments with shorter helical pitches have also been observed (Story et al., 1992). Ring-shaped forms with 6-8 protomers have also been commonly observed by electron microscopy (Heuser & Griffith, 1989; Yu & Egelman, 1997; Passy et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2001; Galkin et al., 2006; McIlwraith et al., 2001; Masson et al., 1999). Only heptameric rings of Pyrococcus furiosus RadA (PfRadA) and octameric Homo sapiens DMC1 (HsDMC1) have previously been crystallized (Shin et al., 2003; Kinebuchi et al., 2004). A reconstructed hexameric EcRecA model has been derived from electron microscopy (Yu & Egelman, 1997). In addition to the three commonly found forms, crystal structures of overwound three-monomer-per-turn filaments (Ariza et al., 2005) and left-handed filaments of Sulfolobus solfataricus RadA (SsRadA; Chen et al., 2007; Chang et al., 2009) have also been observed. Here, we report the first crystal structure of hexameric RadA from Methanococcus voltae devoid of its first 60 amino-acid residues (Δ_{60} MvRadA). Crystal-packing analysis and comparison with the heptameric PfRadA structure and the octameric HsDMC1 structure indicated that these proteins can form two-ringed assemblies.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Cloning, protein preparation and crystallization

The open reading frame of residues 61–322 of RadA from *M. voltae* was inserted between the *Nde*I and *Xho*I sites of pET28a (Novagen). The resulting plasmid was verified by DNA sequencing using T7 promoter and terminator primers. The recombinant Δ_{60} MvRadA was overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21 Rosetta2 (DE3) cells (Novagen) at 310 K for 4 h using 0.5 m*M* isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside as the inducer. The cells were disrupted by sonication. The insoluble particles were removed by centrifugation at 12 000g. Soluble proteins were first separated by nickel-affinity chromatography. The polyhistidine tag was then removed by overnight digestion with 1:100(*w*:*w*) thrombin (Sigma–Aldrich) at 294 K. Gel-filtration chromatography was performed with a Sephacryl S-300 HR column (GE Healthcare) using a buffer composed of 0.5 *M* sodium acetate and 30 m*M* Tris–HCl pH 7.9. The purified protein was concentrated to ~30 mg ml⁻¹ by ultrafiltration.

2.2. Crystallization of Δ_{60} MvRadA and diffraction data collection

 Δ_{60} MvRadA crystals (space group C2) were grown by the hangingdrop method and grew to maximum dimensions of 0.4 × 0.3 × 0.2 mm. The optimal well solution consisted of 33% polyethylene glycol 400, 1.0 *M* NaNO₃, 50 m*M* MES–NaOH buffer pH 6.7 and 0.06% thymol. A crystal was transferred into the well solution, looped out of the solution and frozen in a nitrogen cryostream at 100 K. The diffraction data set was collected and processed using a Bruker PROTEUM R system at the Saskatchewan Structural Sciences Centre (at a wavelength of 1.5418 Å). The statistics of the diffraction data are listed in Table 1.

2.3. Structural determination and refinement

The previously solved RadA model (PDB entry 1t4g; Wu *et al.*, 2004) was used as the search model for molecular replacement using *Phaser* (McCoy *et al.*, 2007). Six monomers were located in the asymmetric unit, which is consistent with the existence of noncrys-

Table 1

Data-collection and refinement statistics.

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

Data collection	
Space group	C2
Unit-cell parameters (Å, °)	a = 186.35, b = 118.58, c = 141.73,
	$\alpha = \gamma = 90, \beta = 138.05$
Resolution (Å)	39.2-2.60 (2.69-2.60)
R _{merge}	0.070 (0.293)
$\langle I/\sigma(I)\rangle$	8.5 (2.6)
Completeness (%)	90.5 (90.1)
Unique reflections	57374 (5768)
Multiplicity	3.6 (3.1)
Refinement	
Resolution (Å)	30-2.6
No. of reflections	54446
$R_{\rm work}/R_{\rm free}$	0.206/0.266
No. of atoms	12092
Protein	11982
Ligand/ion	48
Water	62
B factors $(Å^2)$	55.7
Protein	55.7
Ligand/ion	43.8
Water	38.5
R.m.s. deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.013
Bond angles (°)	1.70

tallographic sixfold rotational symmetry. The model was iteratively rebuilt using *XtalView* (McRee, 1999) and refined using *CNS* (Brünger *et al.*, 1998) and *REFMAC* (Murshudov *et al.*, 2011). Statistics of the refinement and model geometry are given in Table 1. 90.6% of nonglycine residues fell in the most favoured region of the Ramachandran plot. No residues were found in the disallowed region. The electron-density map was generated by *Coot* (Emsley & Cowtan, 2004) and rendered by *Raster3D* (Bacon & Anderson, 1988). The ribbon and electrostatic surface figures were rendered using *CCP4MG* (Potterton *et al.*, 2004). C^{*a*} traces were generated by *MolScript* (Kraulis, 1991) and *Raster3D*. The coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (Bernstein *et al.*, 1977; Berman *et al.*, 2000, 2003) with code 4dc9.

3. Results

3.1. The overall structure of a hexameric form of Δ_{60} MvRadA

As in RecA orthologues, a polymerization motif centred at Phe74 contributes to the oligomerization of MvRadA (Wu *et al.*, 2004). In



Figure 1

Electron-density map of the P-loop. The final σ -weighted $2F_{o} - F_{c}$ map contoured at 1.2 σ is shown in stereo. Two putative nitrate-binding sites are also shown. C, N and O atoms are shown in yellow, blue and red, respectively.



Figure 2

Two-ringed assemblies of RadA and DMC1. Ribbon representations are shown in stereo. The ribbons are coloured by chain. (a) Δ_{60} MvRadA hexamers. Residue 60 of one subunit is labelled 'N'. The central sixfold axis is marked by a dark line. (b) PfRadA heptamers. The N-terminal domain of the PfRadA structure is omitted. (c) HsDMC1 octamers.



Figure 3

The conformational changes of MvRadA. Three C^{α} traces are shown in stereo. The Δ_{60} MvRadA structure is shown in green, except for its C^{α} trace from 61 to 75 and from 256 to 285 (magenta). The previously determined ATPase-active filament of MvRadA is shown in cyan. The Δ_{62} MvRadA structure in the inactive filament is shown in yellow.

structural communications

order to test the effect of this motif on the oligomerization of MvRadA, we made a series of truncation mutants of MvRadA that lacked 60–65 N-terminal residues. Similar to the previously studied Δ_{62} MvRadA (Galkin *et al.*, 2006), Δ_{60} MvRadA is active in hydrolyzing ATP in the presence of poly(dT)₃₆ but inactive in promoting DNA strand exchange (data not shown). The crystal structure of Δ_{60} MvRadA was solved by the molecular-replacement method. The ATP-binding P-loop (residues Gly105–Thr112) was ordered with two putative nitrate ions (Fig. 1), consistent with the requirement for a high concentration of sodium nitrate in the crystallization solution. The peptide chain was largely ordered except for residues 261–268 in the DNA-interacting L2 region (residues Asn256–Arg285). The six monomers of Δ_{60} MvRadA formed a closed ring with approximate sixfold rotational symmetry (Fig. 2*a*). The central channel is lined by L1 regions (residues Arg218–Arg230) and has a diameter of 10 Å.

3.2. Two-ringed assembly

Crystal-packing analysis as well as the self-rotation function indicated that the Δ_{60} MvRadA hexamers further packed into face-toface two-ring assemblies with *D*6 point-group symmetry (Fig. 2*a*), with one of the six twofold axes coinciding with the crystallographic twofold axis. During the gel-filtration stage of the purification of the RadA proteins, the full-length MvRadA as well as the truncated protein eluted predominantly as a species with a molecular weight of around 200 kDa. As such, the Δ_{60} MvRadA protein is likely to exist as single rings in solution.

3.3. Conformational change of MvRadA

The Δ_{60} MvRadA protein is composed solely of the conserved ATPase domain found in RecA orthologues. This domain starts with



Figure 4

Electrostatic properties of RadA and DMC1. The solvent-accessible surfaces of two-ringed assemblies are shown in stereo. The negatively charged area is coloured red, while the positively charged area is coloured blue. (a) Δ_{60} MvRadA hexamers. (b) PfRadA heptamers. (c) HsDMC1 octamers.

a polymerization motif centred around a hydrophobic residue (Phe64 in MvRadA) which protrudes into a hydrophobic pocket in an adjacent monomer in the recombinase polymer. In comparison with the previously determined ATPase-active filament (104–105 Å pitch) of MvRadA (PDB entry 2fpm; Wu et al., 2005; Qian et al., 2005) and the $\Delta_{62}MvRadA$ filament (PDB entry 2gdj) with a shorter pitch (91 Å; Galkin et al., 2006), residues 61-75 showed the most noticeable translation (Fig. 3). This region also contains a conserved Arg74 residue which has been shown to be important for the conformational flexibility of RadA and Rad51 (Chen et al., 2007). In all previously determined filamentous structures of RadA from M. voltae (Wu et al., 2004, 2005; Qian et al., 2005, 2006, 2007; Galkin et al., 2006; Li et al., 2009b) and M. maripaludis (MmRadA; Li et al., 2009a), this Arg74 residue forms a salt bridge with Glu96 (yellow and cyan structures in Fig. 3). In the hexameric Δ_{60} MvRadA structure the side chain of Arg74 was observed in a noticeably different conformation (green side chain in Fig. 3) that is incapable of retaining the salt bridge. Two recurrent conformations have been observed in the previously determined MvRadA and MmRadA helical structures. One (cyan structure in Fig. 3) is largely ordered except for residues 261-268 in the L2 region and is likely to correspond to the ATPase-active conformation (Wu et al., 2005). The other (yellow structure in Fig. 3) is more disordered in the L2 region and is likely to correspond to the 'inactive' post-ATP hydrolysis conformation (Qian et al., 2005). The conformation of each RadA monomer in the hexameric form (green and magenta structures in Fig. 3) clearly resembles the ATPase-active form (cyan structure in Fig. 3). A short helix (residues Gly275-Ala282) was observed in the L2 region which corresponds to helix G in EcRecA (Story et al., 1992; De Zutter et al., 2001).

3.4. Similar assemblies of PfRadA and HsDMC1

Interestingly, the crystal packing of heptameric PfRadA (Shin *et al.*, 2003; PDB entry 1pzn) and octameric HsDMC1 (Kinebuchi *et al.*, 2004; PDB entry 1v5w) suggests that they both form similar tworinged assemblies with *D*7 and *D*8 point-group symmetry (Figs. 2*b* and 2*c*), respectively. These assemblies resemble the face-to-face double rings observed for HsDMC1 and SsRadA in the presence of dsDNA by electron microscopy (Passy *et al.*, 1999; Masson *et al.*, 1999; Yang *et al.*, 2001). In all such assemblies the L1 regions (residues Arg218–Arg230 of MvRadA) line a central channel. Each L1 region has three conserved arginine residues (Arg218, Arg224 and Arg230 in MvRadA) in RadA/Rad51/DMC1 proteins. As a result, the central channels of such assemblies are highly positively charged (the central blue regions in Fig. 4).

4. Discussion

Unlike the structures of filamentous MvRadA, the hexameric Δ_{60} MvRadA structure revealed a different conformation of Arg74 which is no longer capable of retaining the salt bridge to Glu96. As such, the crystal structure of Δ_{60} MvRadA further supports the notion that the residue equivalent to Arg74 of MvRadA modulates the conformational changes which give rise to flexibility in the protein assemblies of orthologous proteins (Chen *et al.*, 2007).

The conformational similarity of Δ_{60} MvRadA and the ATPaseactive form of MvRadA in the helix G region suggests that this short helix is inherently stable. In the structures of filamentous MvRadA and MmRadA disorder of helix G has been correlated with either the presence of ADP or the absence of proper cationic bridging between the C-terminal carbonyl groups of helix G and the γ -phosphate of the ATP analogue. This structural feature of Δ_{60} MvRadA is consistent with the notion that ATP hydrolysis in recombinase filaments triggers disorder of helix G and the larger L2 region (Qian *et al.*, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2009*a*).

We recently observed that polyanionic compounds such as metatungstate could inhibit MvRadA (Li et al., 2009b) by competing with DNA for positively charged L1 regions lined along an axial groove in the MvRadA filament. Although there is no evidence that such tworinged assemblies exist in solution in the absence of DNA, their highly cationic cavities suggest that anionic compounds that replace DNA could stabilize such recombinase assemblies and thus inhibit the formation of active recombinase filament. It has been discovered that tumour cells tend to have an elevated level of Rad51 expression, which correlates with their resistance to radiotherapy and chemotherapy (Klein, 2008). Therefore, a Rad51 inhibitor could serve as a potential adjuvant for cancer therapy. In addition to suppressing the ATPase activity (Wigle et al., 2006, 2009; Wigle & Singleton, 2007; Sexton et al., 2010), mimicking the polymerization motif (Cline et al., 2007) and blocking the DNA-binding groove in the recombinase filament (Li et al., 2009b), the two-ringed assemblies of RadA and DMC1 proteins suggest a fourth strategy for inhibiting the recombinase activities of RecA orthologues.

The authors thank Drs Gabriele Schatte and Wilson Quail at the Saskatchewan Structural Sciences Centre for assistance with the X-ray facilities. The authors also thank Xinguo Qian and Yujiong He for their technical assistance in cloning, protein purification and crystallization. This work was supported by SHRF Establishment Grant 1425 NSERC Discovery Grant 161981-03 and CIHR and SHRF Operating Grant 63860.

References

- Ariza, A., Richard, D. J., White, M. F. & Bond, C. S. (2005). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, 1465–1473.
- Bacon, D. J. & Anderson, W. F. (1988). J. Mol. Graph. 6, 219-220.
- Berman, H., Henrick, K. & Nakamura, H. (2003). Nature Struct. Biol. 10, 980.Berman, H. M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T. N., Weissig, H.,Shindyalov, I. N. & Bourne, P. E. (2000). Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 235–242.
- Bernstein, F. C., Koetzle, T. F., Williams, G. J., Meyer, E. F. Jr, Brice, M. D., Rodgers, J. R., Kennard, O., Shimanouchi, T. & Tasumi, M. (1977). Eur. J. Biochem. 80, 319–324.
- Bishop, D. K., Park, D., Xu, L. & Kleckner, N. (1992). Cell, 69, 439-456.
- Brünger, A. T., Adams, P. D., Clore, G. M., DeLano, W. L., Gros, P., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Jiang, J.-S., Kuszewski, J., Nilges, M., Pannu, N. S., Read, R. J., Rice, L. M., Simonson, T. & Warren, G. L. (1998). Acta Cryst. D54, 905–921.
- Chang, Y.-W., Ko, T.-P., Lee, C.-D., Chang, Y.-C., Lin, K.-A., Chang, C.-S., Wang, A. H.-J. & Wang, T.-F. (2009). *PLoS One*, **4**, e4890.
- Chen, L.-T., Ko, T.-P., Chang, Y.-C., Lin, K.-A., Chang, C.-S., Wang, A. H.-J. & Wang, T.-F. (2007). Nucleic Acids Res. 35, 1787–1801.
- Chen, Z., Yang, H. & Pavletich, N. P. (2008). Nature (London), 453, 489-494.
- Clark, A. J. & Margulies, A. D. (1965). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 53, 451-459.
- Cline, D. J., Holt, S. L. & Singleton, S. F. (2007). Org. Biomol. Chem. 5, 1525– 1528.
- Conway, A. B., Lynch, T. W., Zhang, Y., Fortin, G. S., Fung, C. W., Symington, L. S. & Rice, P. A. (2004). *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* 11, 791–796.

Courcelle, J., Ganesan, A. K. & Hanawalt, P. C. (2001). *Bioessays*, 23, 463–470. Cox, M. M. (1998). *Genes Cells*, 3, 65–78.

- Cox, M. M., Goodman, M. F., Kreuzer, K. N., Sherratt, D. J., Sandler, S. J. & Marians, K. J. (2000). *Nature (London)*, 404, 37–41.
- De Zutter, J. K., Forget, A. L., Logan, K. M. & Knight, K. L. (2001). *Structure*, 9, 47–55.
- Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. (2004). Acta Cryst. D60, 2126-2132.
- Galkin, V. E., Wu, Y., Zhang, X.-P., Qian, X., He, Y., Yu, X., Heyer, W. D., Luo, Y. & Egelman, E. H. (2006). *Structure*, **14**, 983–992.
- Heuser, J. & Griffith, J. (1989). J. Mol. Biol. 210, 473-484.
- Kinebuchi, T., Kagawa, W., Enomoto, R., Tanaka, K., Miyagawa, K., Shibata, T., Kurumizaka, H. & Yokoyama, S. (2004). *Mol. Cell*, 14, 363–374.

structural communications

- Klein, H. L. (2008). DNA Repair, 7, 686-693.
- Kowalczykowski, S. C. (2000). Trends Biochem. Sci. 25, 156-165.
- Kraulis, P. J. (1991). J. Appl. Cryst. 24, 946-950.
- Li, Y., He, Y. & Luo, Y. (2009a). Acta Cryst. D65, 602-610.
- Li, Y., He, Y. & Luo, Y. (2009b). Biochemistry, 48, 6805-6810.
- Lusetti, S. L. & Cox, M. M. (2002). Annu. Rev. Biochem. 71, 71–100.
- Masson, J. Y., Davies, A. A., Hajibagheri, N., Van Dyck, E., Benson, F. E., Stasiak, A. Z., Stasiak, A. & West, S. C. (1999). *EMBO J.* 18, 6552–6560.
- McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C. & Read, R. J. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 658–674.
- McIlwraith, M. J., Hall, D. R., Stasiak, A. Z., Stasiak, A., Wigley, D. B. & West, S. C. (2001). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 4509–4517.

McRee, D. E. (1999). J. Struct. Biol. 125, 156-165.

- Murshudov, G. N., Škubák, P., Lebedev, A. A., Pannu, N. S., Steiner, R. A., Nicholls, R. A., Winn, M. D., Long, F. & Vagin, A. A. (2011). Acta Cryst. D67, 355–367.
- Passy, S. I., Yu, X., Li, Z., Radding, C. M., Masson, J. Y., West, S. C. & Egelman, E. H. (1999). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 96, 10684–10688.
- Potterton, L., McNicholas, S., Krissinel, E., Gruber, J., Cowtan, K., Emsley, P., Murshudov, G. N., Cohen, S., Perrakis, A. & Noble, M. (2004). Acta Cryst. D60, 2288–2294.
- Qian, X., He, Y. & Luo, Y. (2007). Biochemistry, 46, 5855-5863.
- Qian, X., He, Y., Ma, X., Fodje, M. N., Grochulski, P. & Luo, Y. (2006). J. Biol. Chem. 281, 39380–39387.
- Qian, X., Wu, Y., He, Y. & Luo, Y. (2005). Biochemistry, 44, 13753-13761.
- Sandler, S. J., Satin, L. H., Samra, H. S. & Clark, A. J. (1996). Nucleic Acids Res. 24, 2125–2132.

- Seitz, E. M. & Kowalczykowski, S. C. (2000). Mol. Microbiol. 37, 555-560.
- Sexton, J. Z., Wigle, T. J., He, Q., Hughes, M. A., Smith, G. R., Singleton, S. F., Williams, A. L. & Yeh, L. A. (2010). Curr. Chem. Genomics, 4, 34–42.
- Sheridan, S. D., Yu, X., Roth, R., Heuser, J. E., Sehorn, M. G., Sung, P., Egelman, E. H. & Bishop, D. K. (2008). Nucleic Acids Res. 36, 4057– 4066.
- Shin, D. S., Pellegrini, L., Daniels, D. S., Yelent, B., Craig, L., Bates, D., Yu, D. S., Shivji, M. K., Hitomi, C., Arvai, A. S., Volkmann, N., Tsuruta, H., Blundell, T. L., Venkitaraman, A. R. & Tainer, J. A. (2003). *EMBO J.* 22, 4566–4576.
- Shinohara, A., Ogawa, H. & Ogawa, T. (1992). Cell, 69, 457-470.
- Story, R. M., Weber, I. T. & Steitz, T. A. (1992). Nature (London), 355,
- 318–325. VanLoock, M. S., Yu, X., Yang, S., Lai, A. L., Low, C., Campbell, M. J. & Egelman, E. H. (2003). *Structure*, **11**, 187–196.
- Wigle, T. J., Lee, A. M. & Singleton, S. F. (2006). Biochemistry, 45, 4502-4513.
- Wigle, T. J., Sexton, J. Z., Gromova, A. V., Hadimani, M. B., Hughes, M. A., Smith, G. R., Yeh, L. A. & Singleton, S. F. (2009). J. Biomol. Screen. 14, 1092–1101.
- Wigle, T. J. & Singleton, S. F. (2007). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 17, 3249–3253.
- Wu, Y., He, Y., Moya, I. A., Qian, X. & Luo, Y. (2004). *Mol. Cell*, 15, 423–435.
 Wu, Y., Qian, X., He, Y., Moya, I. A. & Luo, Y. (2005). *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 722–728.
- Yang, S., Yu, X., Seitz, E. M., Kowalczykowski, S. C. & Egelman, E. H. (2001). J. Mol. Biol. 314, 1077–1085.
- Yu, X. & Egelman, E. H. (1997). Nature Struct. Biol. 4, 101-104.