doi: 10.1093/jnci/djaa177 First published online November 12, 2020 Commentary

Cardiovascular Care of the Oncology Patient During COVID-19: An Expert Consensus Document From the ACC Cardio-Oncology and Imaging Councils

Lauren A. Baldassarre (), MD,¹ Eric H. Yang (), MD,² Richard K. Cheng, MD, MSc,³ Jeanne M. DeCara (), MD,⁴ Susan Dent, MD,⁵ Jennifer E. Liu (), MD,⁶ Lawrence G. Rudski (), MD,⁷ Jordan B. Strom (), MD, MSc,⁸ Paaladinesh Thavendiranathan (), MD, SM,⁹ Ana Barac (), MD, PhD,¹⁰ Vlad G. Zaha (), MD, PhD,¹¹ Chiara Bucciarelli-Ducci (), MD, PhD,¹² Samer Ellahham (), MD,¹³ Anita Deswal (), MD, MPH,¹⁴ Carrie Lenneman (), MD, MSCI,¹⁵ Hector R. Villarraga (), MD,¹⁶ Anne H. Blaes (), MD, MS,¹⁷ Roohi Ismail-Khan (), MD, MSc,¹⁸ Bonnie Ky (), MD, MSCE,¹⁹ Monika J. Leja, MD,²⁰ Marielle Scherrer-Crosbie (), MD, PhD^{21,*}

¹Affiliations of authors: Section of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA; ²UCLA Cardio-Oncology Program, Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ³Cardio-Oncology Program, Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology and Department of Radiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; 4 Section of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA; 5 Duke Cancer Institute, Department of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA; ⁶Cardiology Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; ⁷Azrieli Heart Center, Department of Medicine, Jewish General Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada; ⁸Richard A. and Susan F. Smith Center for Outcomes Research in Cardiology, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, 9Ted Rogers Program in Cardiotoxicity Prevention, Division of Cardiology, Peter Munk Cardiac Centre, University Health Network, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; ¹⁰Medstar Heart and Vascular Institute, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, USA; ¹¹Cardio-Oncology Program, Harold C. Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, Division of Cardiology, Internal Medicine, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA; ¹² Bristol Heart Institute, Bristol National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Trust and University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; 13 Heart and Vascular Institute, Cleveland Clinic-Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates; ¹⁴Department of Cardiology, Division of Internal Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ¹⁵Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA;¹⁶Department of Cardiovascular Diseases, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN, USA;¹⁷Division of Hematology and Oncology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA;¹⁸Cardio-Oncology Program, Division of Oncologic Sciences, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA; 19 Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; 20 Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA and ²¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

*Correspondence to: Marielle Scherrer-Crosbie, MD, PhD, Perelman Center for Advanced Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Cardiovascular Medicine Division, 11-131 South Tower, 3400 Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA (e-mail: mariellesc110@gmail.com).

Abstract

In response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, the Cardio-Oncology and Imaging Councils of the American College of Cardiology offers recommendations to clinicians regarding the cardiovascular care of cardio-oncology patients in this expert consensus statement. Cardio-oncology patients—individuals with an active or prior cancer history and with or at risk of cardiovascular disease—are a rapidly growing population who are at increased risk of infection, and experiencing severe and/or lethal complications by COVID-19. Recommendations for optimizing screening and monitoring visits to detect cardiac dysfunction are discussed. In addition, judicious use of multimodality imaging and biomarkers are proposed to identify myocardial, valvular, vascular, and pericardial involvement in cancer patients. The difficulties of diagnosing the etiology of cardiovascular complications in patients with cancer and COVID-19 are outlined, along with weighing the advantages against risks of exposure, with the modification of existing cardiovascular treatments and cardiotoxicity surveillance in patients with cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The first case of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was reported to the World Health Organization on December 31, 2019, and at the time of this writing, there are more than 40.6 million confirmed cases worldwide—8.27 million of which are in the United States—with more than 1.1 million reported deaths (1,2). Cardiovascular complications of COVID-19 were recognized early in the pandemic and include myocardial injury that can be due to acute coronary syndrome; myocarditis; disseminated intravascular coagulation or cytokine storm; cardiac arrhythmias, including malignant arrhythmias; arterial and venous thromboembolism; heart failure; and cardiogenic shock (3). Recent data also demonstrate subclinical myocardial dysfunction early post recovery from the infection (4).

Cardio-oncology patients (patients with active/prior cancer at risk for, or with cardiovascular disease [CVD]) are a rapidly growing population who are at increased risk both of infection by COVID-19 and of experiencing severe and even lethal complications when infected. It is well recognized that immunocompromised individuals, such as patients with cancer or diabetes, are more prone to viral infections (5). Patients with cancer have reduced physiologic reserve from underlying disease and, in many cases, prior cardiotoxic exposure, resulting in a higher risk for cardiovascular complications (6). A nationwide analysis from China demonstrated that 1.1% of patients hospitalized with COVID-19 had a history of cancer (7). A subsequent review of 13 studies (2 in Italy, 11 in China), encompassing close to 5000 patients with symptomatic COVID-19, reported that the pooled prevalence of cancer cases in COVID-19-infected patients was 4.5% (95% confidence interval = 3.05% to 5.74%) (8).

In COVID-19-infected patients, the presence of cardiovascular risk factors, established CVD, and cancer have been associated with increased severity of COVID-19-related disease and mortality (7,9). In a meta-analysis of 6 studies including 1527 hospitalized patients, the risk of being in the intensive care unit was threefold higher for patients with cardiac or cerebrovascular disease and twofold higher for patients with hypertension (10). The adverse effect of cardiovascular risk factors and cardiac diseases on the prognosis of patients with COVID-19 has been confirmed in studies from Italy (11) and the United States (12). A report of the World Health Organization-China Joint Mission on COVID-19 showed that individuals at highest risk for severe disease and death from COVID-19 included those with CVD or cancer. Although patients with no comorbidities had a case-fatality rate of 1.4%, rates were much higher for those with hypertension, CVD, or cancer, with case-fatality rates of 8.4%, 13.2%, and 7.6%, respectively (13). More recently, 2 multicenter studies of more than 800 patients each have been published, emphasizing the association of cancer with increased COVID-19 severity and death (14,15). The COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium registry, based in the United States, Canada, and Spain, reported 13% mortality within 30 days of COVID-19 diagnosis in patients with a median age of 66 years old and with cancer. The UK Coronavirus Cancer Monitoring project reported 28% mortality within 30 days, a higher rate possibly explained by older and sicker patients. In comparison, unselected patients aged 60-69 years have a mortality rate attributed to COVID-19 of 6.7% (16). Therefore, cardio-oncology patients are at heightened risk from COVID-19.

Cardio-oncology patients require targeted cardiovascular screening and monitoring, particularly if they are being actively treated with or have had past exposure to potentially cardiotoxic therapies. The COVID-19 pandemic raises specific questions regarding how to conduct screening, surveillance, and treatment of this unique population, especially because many patients will need ongoing cancer treatment. Such recommendations must be weighed against the risk of exposure to COVID-19.

In this expert consensus document, the Cardio-Oncology and Imaging Councils of the American College of Cardiology offers recommendations to clinicians regarding the cardiovascular care of cardio-oncology patients at the time of the COVID-19 pandemic (Table 1).

Consultations for the Cardio-Oncology Patient

Cardio-oncology patients have complex medical issues that require multidisciplinary involvement from their healthcare providers. Given the risks of exposure to both provider and patient with in-person consultation, consideration should be given to the need for and acuity of consultations on an individual basis guided by an ongoing discussion of risks and benefits. Should a consultation be deemed necessary, telehealth and other webbased platforms should be preferentially used if the reason for consultation permits. From the patient perspective, telehealth may streamline the process of meeting with providers from different subspecialties and cardio-oncologists, particularly if they are at different locations, while minimizing potential exposure. For outpatient diagnostic testing, some services, including ambulatory rhythm monitoring to assess for arrhythmias, can be sent to the patient's residence. Additionally, wearable devices used to assess performance status may have utility, as they have been shown to predict clinical outcomes in cancer patients (17). If a face-to-face encounter is necessary, proper personal protective equipment (PPE) should be donned by staff with universal masking of cardio-oncology patients to avoid droplet transmission. Sparing and judicious use of diagnostic testing is recommended to facilitate necessary and time-sensitive treatments that are expected to directly affect patient outcomes. If testing availability permits, cardio-oncology patients undergoing elective but necessary procedures and/or hospital admission should be tested for COVID-19 beforehand. Procedures such as cardiac surgery, transcatheter structural valvular interventions, and cardioversion for arrhythmias should be reserved for patients who are symptomatic and/or hemodynamically unstable despite medical therapy. When needed, procedures such as pericardiocentesis should ideally be carried out in a negative pressure setting with staff donning the appropriate PPE. Further published recommendations have been discussed in other society statements (18-20).

Multimodality Cardiac Imaging in Oncology Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic

During the era of the COVID-19 pandemic, when most oncology patients continue to receive cancer treatment and remain at elevated risk both for cardiotoxic exposure and infection, certain considerations for indicated cardiac imaging studies warrant addressing. Here, we highlight the different cardiac imaging modalities and specific clinical scenarios most applicable to cardio-oncology patients. Given the need to protect patients and service providers from spreading the virus, proposed modifications to the current practice of cardiac screening and monitoring during cancer treatment are discussed. In addition, it is important to be aware of the dynamic changes in the choice of cancer treatment, such as prioritization of surgery or neoadjuvant chemotherapy, depending on the cancer type and stage, response to treatment, and the patients' individual goals of Table 1. Special considerations for the cardio-oncology patient during the COVID-19 pandemic^a

| Cardio-oncology aspect of care | Areas of concern | Proposed strategies to mitigate COVID-19 exposure |
|--|---|---|
| Patients undergoing or about to initiate can- cer treatments (eg, chemotherapy, tar- geted therapies, immunotherapy, SCT, CAR-T) or oncologic-related surgery | Compromised immune systems may make patient more susceptible to COVID-19 Certain cancer types (ie, lung) and treat- ments may put patients at increased risk of severe COVID-19 infection Cancer treatments may require healthcare facility or inpatient stay exposing patient to asymptomatic carriers (ie, HCW) Inpatient beds used for cancer treatments may be diverted to accommodate COVID- 19 patients in a "surge" Delaying of potential critical, life-prolonging surgery because it may be considered "elective" | Universal PPE and social distancing during cancer treatments in outpatient and inpa- tient settings and with family members Multidisciplinary discussion of optimizing timing of cancer treatments or surgery to minimize exposure to inpatient healthcare setting Preprocedural and preadmission screening and testing for COVID-19 Consideration of telemedicine for routine follow-up cardio-oncology or oncology vis- its if no active clinical issues |
| Cardiotoxicity experienced during cancer treatments (eg, cardiomyopathy, arrhyth- mias, ischemic events) | Further delay of cancer treatments and car- dio-oncology evaluation because of COVID-19 may increase comorbidity and mortality Cardiac imaging may be delayed due to real- location of resources Cardiac imaging and testing may cause fur- ther exposure to asymptomatic carriers and depletion of PPE | Inpatient admission and noninvasive or in- vasive evaluation as clinically indicated for severe symptoms from arrhythmias, heart failure, or acute coronary syndrome Telemedicine for patients for routine moni- toring, such as CVD risk factor modifica- tion, and/or patients who are clinically stable Preemptive aggressive treatment for sus- pected symptoms related to CAD, arrhyth- mias, or HF, and deferring of imaging unless clinically necessary Ambulatory rhythm monitors for patients to |
| Cardiotoxicity surveillance in cancer patients during and after treatment | Some cancer treatments (eg, clinical trial drugs, anti-HER2 treatments) require fre- quent surveillance of cardiac function Patients with known cardiotoxicity or known treatments that can cause long-term car- diotoxicity (ie, anthracyclines, radiation) may not receive timely surveillance car- diac imaging | evaluate suspected or known arrhythmias Reserve cardiac imaging for patients who are high risk or symptomatic or who require imaging to proceed with cancer therapy Multidisciplinary discussion with hematolo- gist or oncologist about widening surveil- lance intervals if or when possible Limited imaging protocols to evaluate LVEF to minimize acquisition time Telemedicine for patients with medical issues that do not likely require face-to- face evaluation (ie, blood pressure, lipid management, stable CHF) Defer asymptomatic long-term cancer survi- vor surveillance (ie, assessment of LVEF and valvular function) |
| Education and research efforts of cardio-on- cology field | Possible detrimental effects on education of trainees and healthcare workers with less face-to-face time with patients and related cardiac imaging studies Decreased revenue from lower patient vol- ume may affect programmatic and re- search support Less access to didactics related to cardio- oncology | Emphasis on telemedicine in allowing more patient exposure to trainees and health- care workers interested in cardio-oncology Virtual educational cardio-oncology didac- tics on local, institutional, and national level as well as "attending" virtual na- tional meetings using video-based platforms Usage of platforms to hold multidisciplinary meetings regarding patient care Multi-institutional collaborations and grant applications evaluating effects of COVID- 19 pandemic on cardio-oncology popula- tion and systems of care |

 a CAD = coronary artery disease; CAR-T = chimeric antigen receptor therapy; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; CVD = cardiovascular disease; HCW = healthcare workers; HF = heart failure; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; PPE = personal protective equipment; SCT = stem cell transplantation.

| Stage of cancer treatment | Anthracycline | Anthracycline \rightarrow anti-HER2 | Anti-HER2 (no anthracycline) |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Baseline (before treatment) ^b | All patients: check LVEF | All patients: check LVEF | All patients: check LVEF |
| Dunig treatment | equivalent dose >250 mg/m ² Repeat LVEF at doxorubicin | and 12 months | and 12 months Non–high risk ^e : check LVEF at 6 |
| | equivalent dose ≥400 mg/m², then every 1-2 cycles | | and 12 months Bevond 12 months (metastatic |
| | thereafter | | disease), defer ^c |
| After completion of treatment | Defer LVEF assessment ^c | _ | _ |

| Fable 2. Recommen | nded modifications | to LVEF surveilland | e during the COVI | D-19 pandemic ^a |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | |

^aThese recommendations only apply to patients with no prior cardiac dysfunction, those who maintain normal cardiac function during surveillance (LVEF \geq 55%), and those without any cardiac symptoms. Any question of case-specific surveillance for a patient, especially if there is any concern of cardiac disease or symptoms, should prompt a cardio-oncology consultation. Additionally, beyond patient- and treatment-specific risks, all of these recommendations depend on the time and regional variance of COVID-19 risk. CAD = coronary artery disease; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction.

^bRecommend medical providers to coordinate LVEF with other appointments to minimize exposure.

^cDuration of deferral is based on time-dependent regional prevalence of COVID-19 pandemic and risk of exposure.

^dPatient-specific risk factors that are considered high risk for developing cardiac dysfunction include any of the criteria: older age (>60 years), 2 or more traditional cardiovascular risk factors (smoking, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, obesity), prior cardiotoxic cancer therapy or mediastinal irradiation, compromised cardiac function (LVEF < 55%, more than moderate valvular heart disease, or CAD).

^ePatients are considered nonhigh risk if they do not meet any of the following criteria: older age (>60 years), 2 or more traditional cardiovascular risk factors (smoking, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, obesity), prior cardiotoxic cancer therapy or mediastinal irradiation, or compromised cardiac function (LVEF < 55%, more than moderate valvular heart disease, or CAD).

care. These conditions remain dynamic, and such recommendations are subject to change as the COVID-19 crisis evolves.

The following summary and recommendations are proposed as a clinical approach to adopt during the pandemic while optimizing cardio-oncology care. Currently published statements from cardiac imaging societies delineate the timing and prioritization of cardiac imaging studies in the general patient population during the COVID-19 pandemic, including recommendations for laboratory safety and PPE use (18,21-26). However, the urgency and timing of imaging studies specifically in cardio-oncology patients must be carefully considered, especially because cancer treatment must be continued in many patients, as holding these treatments may decrease survival (27).

In both COVID-19–positive and –negative oncology patients, the risk-vs-benefit decision for obtaining cardiac imaging studies for each individual case should be carefully evaluated when considering modifications from standard practice. Additionally, there may be variations in institution protocols and recommendations to decrease exposure, which should be followed. Finally, it is important to be aware of geographic variability of COVID-19 infections, which can affect changes to practice. Our goal is to provide a framework and facilitate optimal use and coordination of cardiac imaging studies to minimize risk and meet cancer treatment needs during the pandemic.

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) is the mainstay tool in the detection and surveillance of cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction (CTRCD) (28,29) because of its availability, feasibility, and cost-effectiveness. It is also the most affected modality by the pandemic given its high use and associated risk of transmission due to proximity of the performing staff to the patient. Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) allows for a limited cardiac ultrasound at the bedside, and these small devices are easier to disinfect and potentially limit viral transmission. An initial POCUS study can screen and diagnose important cardiovascular findings and help guide need for follow-up TTEs. Specifically in cardio-oncology patients with known or suspected COVID-19, it can help to distinguish between cardiac and/or pulmonary etiologies of dyspnea, which can stem from several potential sources in a cancer patient, including left ventricular (LV) dysfunction from exposure to cardiotoxic therapies, malignant or inflammatory pericardial effusions, heart failure, or other COVID-19–related sequelae. POCUS has also been used for assessment of venous thromboembolism, and given COVID-19 has been associated with an increased risk of thrombosis (30), oncology patients may be particularly at risk for venous thromboembolism in the setting of their underlying malignancy, compounded by COVID-19 infection (31).

Transesophageal echocardiography is considered an aerosol-generating procedure, and it is advisable to reserve transesophageal echocardiography for circumstances in which the information obtained is deemed imminently essential to the management of the patient and the information cannot be obtained from other modalities. Due to its high accuracy for LV ejection fraction (LVEF) assessment and ability to assess pericardial disease, myocardial inflammation, fibrosis, and scar burden, cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging has a unique role in cardio-oncology (32,33). Although the routine use of multigated radionuclide angiography in cardio-oncology is declining because of concerns of cumulative radiation exposure, it offers an alternative assessment of LVEF with minimal contact between patients and technicians (34). Cardiac computed tomography (CCT) has emerging clinical value in cardiooncology for pretreatment CVD risk assessment, evaluation of CVD or suspected toxicity during cancer treatment, and in survivors post treatment (35). CCT may function as an alternate to stress testing to assess coronary artery disease (CAD) in low- to intermediate-risk patients.

Imaging for Cardio-Oncology-Specific Clinical Scenarios

Screening and Monitoring of Cardiac Function Before, During, and After Cancer Therapy

Early recognition of CTRCD provides an opportunity to mitigate cardiac injury and risk of late cardiac events, which is the centerpiece of cardio-oncology care. Current expert consensus-based surveillance strategies during cardiotoxic

Table 3. Imaging choices in cardio-oncology scenarios

| Patient case scenario | Imaging modalities to consider |
|---|---|
| New-onset cardiomyopathy while on cardiotoxic treatment (ie, | TTE ^a |
| anthracyclines, anti-HER2, proteasome inhibitors) (29) | CMR ^a |
| | MUGA |
| | CCTA (to evaluate for underlying ischemia) |
| Myocarditis (ie, immune checkpoint inhibitors, or secondary to | TTE ^a |
| COVID-19) (4 1) | CMR ^a (should be performed because patients with myocarditis can have a normal LVEF) (42–44) |
| | PET (limited data) (45) |
| Cardiac masses (ie, metastatic vs primary tumors) | TTE ^a |
| | CMR ^a |
| | TEE |
| | PET |
| | CCTA |
| Atrial fibrillation and intracardiac thrombus [cancer is an indepen- | CCTA ^a to assess left atrial appendage thrombus (48) |
| dent risk factor for atrial fibrillation (46) as well as some cancer therapies (ie, ibrutinib)] (47). | TEE (typically first line, but due to concern for aerosolization CCT can be an option) |
| | TTE with agitated saline injection for patent foramen ovale (in set- |
| | CMR if concern for ventricular thrombus |
| Ischemic heart disease (preexisting as many cancer patients with | CCTA ^a |
| increased risk of CAD (49) vs acquired from cancer therapy (radia- | Functional stress testing (exercise less ideal given concern for aero- |
| tion, tyrosine kinase inhibitors including ponatinib) (50), evalua- | solization, pharmacologic preferred via nuclear [PET, SPECT] or |
| Routine CAD screening such as for asymptomatic survivors of child- | |
| hood cancers and others with radiation exposure, can be deferred (51). | |
| Valvular disease, including endocarditis (valvular disease can be a | TTE ^a |
| consequence of radiation and chemotherapy treatment for cancer | TEE (for endocarditis evaluation, consider deferral if transthoracic |
| (53)] and infective endocarditis can occur in oncology patients and | echocardiogram imaging adequate; can consider if information |
| is associated with worse outcomes (54). | from transesophageal echocardiogram will imminently change management) |
| | CCT (paravalvular abscess assessment) or CMR (structural |
| | assessment) |
| Pericardial diseases | TTE ^a |
| | CMR ^a |
| | CCT |
| Pulmonary hypertension, preexisting vs acquired (ie, tyrosine kinase | TTE ^a |
| inhibitors including desatinib) (55) | CMR (can provide accurate right ventricular function and myocardial tissue characterization) |

^aModalities are considered first line. However, ultimately, there should be multidisciplinary discussions with cardiology or cardio-oncology to decide the most highyield and safest imaging modality of choice for a patient's specific disease state. CAD = coronary artery disease; CCT = cardiac computed tomography; CCTA = cardiac computed tomography coronary angiography; CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; MUGA = multigated acquisition scan; PET = positron emission tomography; TEE = transesophageal echocardiogram; TTE = transthoracic echocardiogram; SPECT = single photon emission computed tomography.

therapy are largely guided by treatment-related risks and patient-specific risk factors (29,36). However, the exact frequency and intervals of monitoring vary among clinical practice. Baseline imaging for ventricular function before treatments is ideal to perform, because the incidence of cardiotoxicity remains elevated in modern clinical trials. The CECCY trial, which comprised anthracycline chemotherapy, had an incidence of 13.5%-14.5% (37), and Guglin et al. (38) showed a 29%-32% incidence of cardiotoxicity—defined as a decline in LVEF—in patients receiving trastuzumab with and without anthracyclines, all defined as an decline in LVEF. In addition, insurance coverage for cancer treatments may require LVEF assessment before initiating treatment. Thus, risks and benefits of widening the frequency and type of cardiotoxicity surveillance should be individually weighed and determined for each patient, depending on their risk factor profile, with the imaging and PPE resources available.

The following expert consensus for screening and monitoring of cardiac function in patients treated with anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab is summarized in Table 2.

It is acknowledged that current guidelines mostly focus on anthracyclines and HER2-targeted agents but provide limited if any guidance for cardiac monitoring of other anticancer agents. This is mostly due to the limited and short-term cardiovascular data from clinical trials of those other agents. However, it is reasonable to obtain baseline LVEF assessment in those considered to be at high risk for CTRCD, with repeat LVEF assessment during therapy if indicated for cardiac-related symptoms. Patientspecific risk factors that are considered high risk are older age (>60 years), 2 or more traditional cardiovascular risk factors (smoking, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, obesity), prior cardiotoxic cancer therapy or mediastinal irradiation, and compromised cardiac function (LVEF < 55%, more than moderate valvular heart disease, or CAD).

LV strain assessment can be important in the identification of CTRCD. However, it should only continue to be performed if it does not notably lengthen the acquisition time of the TTE.

Monitoring with serial troponin and/or brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) has been proposed to reduce frequency of imaging (39), and promising data have been collected in the research setting (40). The European Society of Medical Oncology recommends measurements of troponin-I or troponin-T, BNP or Nterminal pro-BNP every 3 to 6 weeks or before each cycle, with 99% upper limit of normal being the threshold (39). The optimal timing of the blood draw and recommendations on therapeutic decisions based on the results are lacking. However, if serum biomarkers are checked, it is recommended they coincide with patient routine treatment-related blood draws to minimize healthcare setting exposures during the pandemic.

Other clinical scenarios are summarized in Table 3.

The Patient With Cancer and COVID-19: What Are the Specific Challenges?

Cancer patients are at high risk of developing more severe COVID-19–related disease than noncancer patients. However, it is not known if prior exposure to potentially cardiotoxic anticancer treatment may modify the cardiac response to COVID-19 infection.

The Cancer Patient With Suspected or Confirmed COVID-19 Infection

Before cancer treatment, the decision and timing of when to start should be based on the likelihood that urgent therapy and/ or surgery will be disease modifying. The need to mitigate exposure risk to healthcare workers and other cancer patients must be considered (56). Additionally, given the concerns of known cardiovascular injury with COVID-19 (7,61-64), active treatment with cardiotoxic agents should be avoided if possible until resolution of COVID-19 infection in cancer patients. For most patients, cancer therapy will likely be held in the setting of active COVID-19 infection (57).

Even in the absence of active cancer treatment, patients with CVD and cancer who are infected with COVID-19 are at increased risk of severe disease and require close surveillance. Modern technology platforms should be used for remote monitoring.

For patients on active cancer treatment who are infected with COVID-19, holding cancer therapy will be considered in most patients, especially those with cardiovascular risk factors.

The interplay of inflammation, cancer, and CVD is complex. Although there is an underlying proinflammatory state in patients with cancer or CVD (58), modern cancer therapies can exhibit complex immunological effects by not only directly targeting malignant cells with "on-target" effects but also depleting circulating or tumor-infiltrating immunosuppressive cell populations resulting in immunomodulation via "off-target" effects (59). In COVID-19 patients with acute myocardial injury, a subset of patients demonstrates hyperinflammation consistent with cytokine storm (60). Thus cardio-oncology patients with COVID-19 should be closely monitored for these inflammatory states. Many diagnostic dilemmas in cardio-oncology can occur, which pose more challenges in the setting of the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, elevations in cardiac biomarkers are common, and cardiomyopathy can occur with COVID-19 infection (7,61-64). Troponin levels can be elevated in the setting of COVID-19 infection, which may be suggestive of worse outcomes (61). Cardio-oncology patients with COVID-19 with recent cancer treatment may demonstrate elevations in troponin or LV dysfunction, making it challenging to differentiate between COVID-19-mediated injuries, cancer therapy-related cardiotoxicity, and acute coronary syndrome.

Conversely, though biomarker elevation denotes an increased risk in cardio-oncology patients receiving cardiotoxic chemotherapy (65), their elevation in COVID-19–infected patients may not imply a similarly elevated oncologic-specific risk, but may be related to the infection instead.

Additionally, an elevated troponin or BNP may be nonspecific for acute ischemic or thrombotic pathology if the patient is undergoing active anthracycline and/or anti-HER2 treatments; in which case, this elevation may be a marker of subclinical cardiotoxicity (66).

Other agents, such as certain tyrosine kinase inhibitors (ie, ponatinib) or fluoropyrimidines (5-fluorouracil-based agents) can induce actual ischemic events via thrombotic or vasospastic mechanisms, which may require more invasive diagnostic modalities (55,67). COVID-19 infection may, as do other severe viral infections, increase the risk of plaque rupture and the occurrence of acute coronary syndrome (68).

In addition, biomarker elevations with some treatments have been associated with clinically significant declines in cardiac function or worse outcomes, such as proteasome inhibitor cardiotoxicity (ie, carfilzomib) (69) or cytokine release syndrome during chimeric antigen receptor therapy (70), which may warrant more intensive monitoring and management.

Patients undergoing immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy also may exhibit elevated cardiac biomarkers, which may be nonspecific but may raise the concern of ICI-associated myocarditis (42). It is important to recognize ICI-associated myocarditis early and treat with immunosuppressant medications (ie, steroids). The difficulty in proceeding with immunosuppressant agents is compounded by the fact that COVID-19 itself can manifest with clinical features of myocarditis (3). Thus, a thorough multidisciplinary evaluation, factoring in duration and timing of prior ICI treatment, signs of cardiac inflammation (eg, abnormal electrocardiographic findings, arrhythmias, abnormal TTE and/or CMR findings), and assessment of other signs of ICI toxicity (71) should be performed (72). Noninvasive imaging modalities, such as CMR and CCT coronary angiography, are preferred as the first imaging approach in a patient with suspected ICIassociated myocarditis and possible or confirmed COVID-19 infection. However, if the diagnosis cannot be established, pursuing endomyocardial biopsy should be considered, particularly in patients with hemodynamic instability and if it will change management of the patient.

Following cancer treatment, patients may be at increased risk for cardiovascular injury related to direct effects of treatment or immunological effects of therapy. Those with active COVID-19 infection should self-isolate but be more cognizant of signs or symptoms suggesting progressive disease, with a low threshold to seek medical care.

There are no data on the effect of COVID-19 on patients with chemotherapy-induced cardiomyopathy, but underlying CVD may be associated with higher risk for adverse outcomes. Risk factors that have been associated with chemotherapy-induced cardiomyopathy such as hypertension, diabetes, and CAD have also been associated with worse prognosis with COVID-19 infection (7,61-64).

The Cancer Patient With Resolved COVID-19 Infection

Postrecovery from active COVID-19 infection, cancer patients with either overt or subclinical myocardial injury should undergo repeat cardiac imaging before their next treatment cycle.

It is reasonable to image recovered cancer patients with risk factors for cardiotoxicity (hypertension, diabetes, CAD). Cardiac biomarkers can be considered and compared with those that may have been drawn during the course of the COVID-19 infection.

Registry data of recovered COVID-19–positive patients receiving cancer treatments will allow a better understanding of the short and intermediate risk for cancer therapy–related cardiotoxicity.

Modification of Cardiovascular Treatments

The principles of cardioprotective pharmacologic intervention for the cardio-oncology patient remain unchanged and should be guided by an assessment of an individual patient's risk profile; however, in the COVID-19 era, additional complexities have arisen regarding overlapping cardiac medications and COVID-19 infection.

Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors or Angiotensin Receptor Blockers

Initial concerns were raised about the use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) in COVID-19 infection (73). The causative pathogen in COVID-19, the Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 virus (74), binds to the spike protein of angiotensinconverting enzyme 2, a membrane-bound immunopeptidase highly expressed in lung and heart tissue, facilitating viral entry into the respiratory epithelium (75,76). Because angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 levels may be elevated in patients on ACEi and ARBs (75) and a higher risk of adverse complications has been noted in patients with preexisting CVD (62,77), there was initial controversy surrounding continued ACEi and ARB use in COVID-19 infections. Society guidelines recommend against withdrawal of these therapies due to the risk of hypertension and resulting kidney injury that may result (78,79). Moreover, the results of 2 meta-analyses, 31 observational studies, and interim results from at least 1 randomized controlled trial indicate that ACE inhibitors and ARBs are not associated with either the incidence or severity of COVID-19 infection (80,81). Although there is a signal toward improved outcomes among patients with COVID-19 who continue these medications, the risk-vsbenefit decision of newly initiating these therapies in the context of COVID-19 is an area of active study. Although not stipulated, such guidelines should also apply to angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors or other medications containing ACE inhibitors or ARBs. Beta blockers can be considered as first line for cardioprotective therapy in patients treated with cardiotoxic therapy and/or with CTRCD. In individuals with chemotherapy-induced LV dysfunction already prescribed ACE inhibitors or ARBs, these medications may contribute to positive ventricular remodeling (82) and should be continued in the setting of COVID-19 infection.

Anticoagulants

Based on multiple reports (62,83), elevated clotting factors such as D-dimer, PT, and fibrinogen have been associated with worsened septic coagulopathy and outcomes in COVID-19-infected patients. Among cancer patients with COVID-19, 1 study found that 39% have an elevated D-dimer (84). The International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis has put forth guidelines recommending the use of low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) for thromboprophylaxis in all hospitalized patients (including those noncritically ill) with COVID-19 in the absence of contraindications (ie, active bleeding or platelet count <25 \times 10⁹/L) (85). In 1 study, individuals with a sepsis-induced coagulopathy score 4 or greater or a D-dimer value greater than sixfold the upper limit of normal had a lower in-hospital mortality with LMWH prophylaxis (86). Additionally, LMWH has been shown to have antiinflammatory properties that may be useful adjunctively in treating COVID infection (87). Continuation of anticoagulation post hospitalization can be considered in some patients considered at low risk for bleeding and at high risk for VTE, although it is not routinely recommended in all patients upon discharge (88). As with all anticoagulants in cancer patients, the benefits of treatment must be weighed against the risk of hemorrhage on an individual basis and warrant further study (89).

QT Interval Prolongation From COVID-19 or Cancer-Related Treatments

Several agents being investigated in the treatment of COVID-19 (eg, chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir, ritonavir, and azithromycin) have been implicated in corrected QT (QTc) prolongation and sudden cardiac death; caution is advised in starting these medications, and drug-drug interactions should be evaluated (90). Cardio-oncology patients may be receiving QT-prolonging cancer therapy (eg, arsenic) or medications (eg, antifungals and antiemetics) at baseline and therefore may be more susceptible to electrolyte disturbances that can cause further QTc prolongation. To reduce the risk of torsade de pointes, QT-prolonging COVID-19 medications should not be initiated in patients with a baseline QTc of 500 milliseconds or longer or with known congenital long-QT syndrome (90). Aggressive repletion of electrolytes (ie, potassium, magnesium) should be performed in all patients. For cardio-oncology patients starting QTc-prolonging agents, it is reasonable to monitor their QT interval with more frequent electrocardiograms and withdraw the medication if the QTc exceeds 500 milliseconds (90,91).

What Happens After the Pandemic?

The COVID-19 crisis will resolve geographically at different rates and times, with the entire course of the pandemic likely lasting into 2021. The unprecedented impact the pandemic has had overall on the field of medicine has also considerably affected the practice of cardio-oncology. Because there has been widespread cancellation and postponement of nonurgent consultations, diagnostic tests, and procedures for numerous indications, cancer patients may be competing for a period of time with other patients for access to healthcare resources. The pandemic has also complicated cardio-oncology education for trainees, a field that has already generated much discussion and debate on an optimal training curriculum needed to achieve competence (92,93). From a research standpoint, the suspension of laboratories and research programs, and decreasing clinical volume and revenue may potentially affect programmatic stability; efforts and research funding should be directed to understand the impact of COVID-19 on the cardiooncology population (94). This may occur through registries and quality improvement initiatives to evaluate how the pandemic has affected systems of care in both the cardiac and cancer realms.

However, the pandemic has also been a catalyst to increase remote learning and care. From a training standpoint, fellowship programs have made the transition to virtual didactics and meetings (95); although a certain element of depersonalization may permeate throughout these interactions, educational resources and lectures (ie, grand rounds) that were previously institutionally exclusive now have the ability to be viewed anywhere in the world. This can potentially disseminate ideas, education, and research collaborations in a more rapid fashion. Virtual platforms can also be held in a multidisciplinary fashion to discuss cardio-oncology patient care, and trainees overall can be involved in the telehealth aspect of cardio-oncology care. It is possible that telemedicine will play a much more dominant role in outpatient care in the post-COVID-19 era and will be pertinent to the delivery of medical care as a whole.

Collaboration between the oncology and cardiology communities will continue to be of utmost importance in taking care of cardio-oncology patients, now even more than ever, during this COVID-19 pandemic. Although this era poses difficulty to the care of these complex patients, it has also challenged practitioners to develop unique and efficient ways to communicate, work together, and approach patient care. Most certainly, the cardio-oncology community will carry these skills into the future and continue to build on this experience to even further strengthen the care of patients in this growing field.

Funding

LAB: research support from American Heart Association (18CDA34110361). JBS: NIH/NHLBI K23 (1K23HL144907) grant funding from Edwards Lifesciences, consulting for Philips Healthcare, and speaker fees from Northwest Imaging Forums. PT: supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research New Investigator Award and Canada Research Chair in Cardiooncology. VGZ: research support from The Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas (RP180404). CBD: in part supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol. MSC: 1R01HL130539 (NIH-NHLBI).

Notes

Role of the funders: The funders had no role in the writing of this commentary or the decision to submit it for publication.

Disclosures: LAB: None; EHY: None; RKC: None; JMD: None; SD: grant funding from Novartis, honoraria Novartis Canada; JEL: None; LGR: None; JBS: consulting for Philips Healthcare; PT: has been on the speaker's bureau of Amgen, Takeda, BI; AB: consultancy fees from Bristol Myers Squibb and Takeda; VGZ: None; CBD: None; SE: None; AD: None; CL: None; HRV: None; AHB: None; RIK: None; BK: None; MJL: None; MSC: investigator-initiated grant from Jazz Pharmaceuticals.

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care.

contributions: Author Lauren Α Baldassarre, M.D. (Conceptualization; Supervision; Visualization; Writing -original draft; Writing - review and editing). Eric H Yang, M.D. (Conceptualization; Supervision; Visualization; Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Richard K Cheng, M.D. MSc (Conceptualization; Visualization; Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Jeanne DeCara, M.D. (Writing original draft; Writing - review and editing). Susan Dent, M.D. (Supervision; Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Jennifer E Liu, M.D. (Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Lawrence G Rudski, M.D. (Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Jordan B Strom, M.D. M.Sc (Visualization; Writing - original draft; Writing - review and Thavendiranathan, editing). Paaladinesh M.D. S.M. (Conceptualization; Supervision; Writing - original draft; Writing review and editing). Ana Barac, M.D. Ph.D. (Conceptualization; Supervision; Writing-original draft: Writing-review and editing). Vlad G. Zaha, M.D. Ph.D. (Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Chiara Bucciarelli-Ducci, M.D. Ph.D. (Writing - original draft; Writing review and editing). Samer Ellahham, M.D. (Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Anita Deswal, M.D. M.P.H. (Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Carrie Lenneman, M.D. M.S.C.I. (Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Hector R Villarraga, M.D. (Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Anne H Blaes, M.D. M.S. (Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Roohi Ismail-Khan, M.D. M.Sc. (Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Bonnie Ky, M.D. M.S.C.E. (Conceptualization; Supervision; Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Monika J Leja, M.D. (Conceptualization; Supervision; Visualization; Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing). Marielle Scherrer-Crosbie, M.D. Ph.D. (Conceptualization; Investigation; Supervision; Visualization; Writing - original draft; Writing - review and editing).

Data Availability

No new data were generated or analyzed in support of this research.

References

- World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. 2020. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019. Accessed October 20, 2020.
- Johns Hopkins University of Medicine. COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University. https:// coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html. Accessed October 20, 2020.
- Kang Y, Chen T, Mui D, et al. Cardiovascular manifestations and treatment considerations in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Heart.* 2020;106(15): 1132–1141.
- Puntmann VO, Carerj ML, Wieters I, et al. Outcomes of cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging in patients recently recovered from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol. 2020;5(11):1265–1273.
- Ivanova LT, Stoykova Z, Kostadinova T. Viral diseases in transplant and immunocompromised patients. In: K M, ed. Immunopathology and Immunomodulation: InTechOpen, 2015. doi: 10.5772/61232. https://www.intechopen.com/books/immunopathology-and-immunomodulation/viral-diseases-in-transplant-and-immunocompromised-patients. Accessed October 20, 2020.

- Ganatra S, Hammond SP, Nohria A. The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) threat for patients with cardiovascular disease and cancer. JACC CardioOncol. 2020;2(2):350–355.
- Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, et al. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21(3):335–337.
- Nemeth K, Nikolopoulos I, Mani AR. Scoping review on the prevalence of cancer in COVID-19 patients. Br J Surg. 2020;107(11):e456–e457.
- Zhang L, Zhu F, Xie L, et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19-infected cancer patients: a retrospective case study in three hospitals within Wuhan, China. Ann Oncol. 2020;31(7):894–901.
- Li B, Yang J, Zhao F, et al. Prevalence and impact of cardiovascular metabolic diseases on COVID-19 in China. Clin Res Cardiol. 2020;109(5):531–538.
- Inciardi RM, Adamo M, Lupi L, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of patients hospitalized for COVID-19 and cardiac disease in northern Italy. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(19):1821–1829.
- Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al.; Northwell COVID-19 Research Consortium. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. JAMA. 2020;323(20):2052.
- World Health Organization. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf. Accessed October 20, 2020.
- Kuderer NM, Choueiri TK, Shah DP, et al. Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study. Lancet. 2020;395(10241): 1907–1918.
- Lee LY, Cazier JB, Angelis V, et al. COVID-19 mortality in patients with cancer on chemotherapy or other anticancer treatments: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10241):1919–1926.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Morbidity and Mortality. Weekly report. Coronavirus disease 2019 case surveillance- United States, January 22-May 30, 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/Novel_Coronavirus_Reports. html. Accessed October 20, 2020.
- Gresham G, Hendifar AE, Spiegel B, et al. Wearable activity monitors to assess performance status and predict clinical outcomes in advanced cancer patients. NPJ Digit Med. 2018;1:27.
- Welt FGP, Shah PB, Aronow HD, et al. Catheterization laboratory considerations during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic: from ACC's Interventional Council and SCAI. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020.
- Lakkireddy DR, Chung MK, Gopinathannair R, et al. Guidance for cardiac electrophysiology during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic from the Heart Rhythm Society COVID-19 Task Force; Electrophysiology Section of the American College of Cardiology; and the Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology, American Heart Association. Circulation. 2020;17(9):e233–e241.
- Shah PB, Welt FGP, Mahmud E, et al. Triage considerations for patients referred for structural heart disease intervention during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: an ACC/SCAI consensus statement. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2020;96(3):659–663.
- Han Y, Chen T, Bryant J, et al. Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) guidance for the practice of cardiovascular magnetic resonance during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2020; 22(1):26.
- Kirkpatrick JN, Mitchell C, Taub C, Kort S, Hung J, Swaminathan M. ASE statement on protection of patients and echocardiography service providers during the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75(24): 3078–3084.
- Skali H, Murthy VL, Al-Mallah MH, et al. Guidance and best practices for nuclear cardiology laboratories during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: An Information Statement from ASNC and SNMMI. J NuclCardiol.2020;27(3):1022–1029.
- 24. Choi AD, Abbara S, Branch KR, et al. Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography guidance for use of cardiac computed tomography amidst the COVID-19 pandemic endorsed by the American College of Cardiology. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2020;14(2):101–104.
- 25. Johri AM, Galen B, Kirkpatrick JN, Lanspa M, Mulvagh S, Thamman R. ASE Statement on Point-of-Care Ultrasound during the 2019 Novel Coronavirus Pandemic. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2020;33(6):670–673.
- Rudski L, Januzzi JL, Rigolin VH, et al. Multimodality imaging in evaluation of cardiovascular complications in patients with COVID-19. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;76(11):1345–1357.
- Copeland-Halperin RS, Al-Sadawi M, Patil S, et al. Early trastuzumab interruption and recurrence-free survival in ERBB2-positive breast cancer. JAMA Oncol. 2020;e204749.
- Liu J, Banchs J, Mousavi N, et al. Contemporary role of echocardiography for clinical decision making in patients during and after cancer therapy. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2018;11(8):1122–1131.
- 29. Plana JC, Galderisi M, Barac A, et al. Expert consensus for multimodality imaging evaluation of adult patients during and after cancer therapy: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2014; 15(10):1063–1093.

- Tang N, Bai H, Chen X, Gong J, Li D, Sun Z. Anticoagulant treatment is associated with decreased mortality in severe coronavirus disease 2019 patients with coagulopathy. J Thromb Haemost. 2020;18(5):1094–1099.
- Blom JW, Vanderschoot JP, Oostindier MJ, Osanto S, van der Meer FJ, Rosendaal FR. Incidence of venous thrombosis in a large cohort of 66,329 cancer patients: results of a record linkage study. J Thromb Haemost. 2006;4(3):529–535.
- Jordan JH, Todd RM, Vasu S, Hundley WG. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in the oncology patient. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2018;11(8):1150–1172.
- Soufer A, Baldassarre LA. The role of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging to detect cardiac toxicity from cancer therapeutics. Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med. 2019;21(6):28.
- Soufer A, Liu C, Henry ML, Baldassarre LA. Nuclear cardiology in the context of multimodality imaging to detect cardiac toxicity from cancer therapeutics: established and emerging methods. J Nucl Cardiol. 2020;27(4):1210–1224.
- Layoun ME, Yang EH, Herrmann J, et al. Applications of cardiac computed tomography in the cardio-oncology population. *Curr Treat Options Oncol.* 2019; 20(6):47.
- Armenian SH, Lacchetti C, Lenihan D. Prevention and monitoring of cardiac dysfunction in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Summary. J Oncol Pract. 2017;13(4):270–275.
- Avila MS, Ayub-Ferreira SM, de Barros Wanderley MR Jr, et al. Carvedilol for prevention of chemotherapy-related cardiotoxicity: the CECCY Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71(20):2281–2290.
- Guglin M, Krischer J, Tamura R, et al. Randomized trial of lisinopril versus carvedilol to prevent trastuzumab cardiotoxicity in patients with breast cancer. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(22):2859–2868.
- Curigliano G, Lenihan D, Fradley M, et al. Management of cardiac disease in cancer patients throughout oncological treatment: ESMO consensus recommendations. Ann Oncol. 2020;31(2):171–190.
- Moudgil R, Parekh PA. Biomarkers in cancer therapy related cardiac dysfunction (CTRCD). Heart Fail Rev. 2018;23(2):255–259.
- Knight DS, Kotecha T, Razvi Y, et al. COVID-19: myocardial injury in survivors. Circulation. 2020;142(11):1120–1122.
- Mahmood SS, Fradley MG, Cohen JV, et al. Myocarditis in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71(16):1755–1764.
- Friedrich MG, Sechtem U, Schulz-Menger J, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in myocarditis: a JACC white paper. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;53(17): 1475–1487.
- Ferreira VM, Schulz-Menger J, Holmvang G, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in nonischemic myocardial inflammation: expert recommendations. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72(24):3158–3176.
- Bonaca MP, Olenchock BA, Salem JE, et al. Myocarditis in the setting of cancer therapeutics: proposed case definitions for emerging clinical syndromes in cardio-oncology. Circulation. 2019;140(1):80–91.
- Kattelus H, Kesaniemi YA, Huikuri H, Ukkola O. Cancer increases the risk of atrial fibrillation during long-term follow-up (OPERA study). PLoS One. 2018; 13(10):e0205454.
- Baptiste F, Cautela J, Ancedy Y, et al. High incidence of atrial fibrillation in patients treated with ibrutinib. Open Heart. 2019;6(1):e001049.
- Guglielmo M, Baggiano A, Muscogiuri G, et al. Multimodality imaging of left atrium in patients with atrial fibrillation. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2019; 13(6):340–346.
- Zoller B, Ji J, Sundquist J, Sundquist K. Risk of coronary heart disease in patients with cancer: a nationwide follow-up study from Sweden. Eur J Cancer. 2012;48(1):121–128.
- Dreyfuss AD, Bravo PE, Koumenis C, Ky B. Precision cardio-oncology. J Nucl Med. 2019;60(4):443–450.
- 51. Lancellotti P, Nkomo VT, Badano LP, et al. Expert consensus for multimodality imaging evaluation of cardiovascular complications of radiotherapy in adults: a report from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and the American Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2013;26(9):1013–1032.
- Greenwood JP, Maredia N, Younger JF, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance and single-photon emission computed tomography for diagnosis of coronary heart disease (CE-MARC): a prospective trial. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9814): 453–460.
- Stewart MH, Jahangir E, Polin NM. Valvular heart disease in cancer patients: etiology, diagnosis, and management. Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med. 2017; 19(7):53.
- Kim K, Kim D, Lee SE, et al. Infective endocarditis in cancer patients causative organisms, predisposing procedures, and prognosis differ from infective endocarditis in non-cancer patients. Circ J. 2019;83(2):452–460.
- 55. Campia U, Moslehi JJ, Amiri-Kordestani L, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease; Council on Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis and Vascular Biology; and Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing. Cardio-oncology: vascular and metabolic perspectives: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2019;139(13):e579–e602.
- Kutikov A, Weinberg DS, Edelman MJ, Horwitz EM, Uzzo RG, Fisher RI. A war on two fronts: cancer care in the time of COVID-19. Ann Intern Med. 2020; 172(11):756–758.
- 57. American Society of Clinical Oncology. COVID-19 patient care information.

- Libby P, Kobold S. Inflammation: a common contributor to cancer, aging, and cardiovascular diseases-expanding the concept of cardio-oncology. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2019;115(5):824–829.
- Galluzzi L, Buque A, Kepp O, Zitvogel L, Kroemer G. Immunological effects of conventional chemotherapy and targeted anticancer agents. *Cancer Cell*. 2015;28(6):690–714.
- Clerkin KJ, Fried JA, Raikhelkar J, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and cardiovascular disease. Circulation. 2020;141(20):1648–1655.
- Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, et al. Cardiovascular implications of fatal outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol. 2020;5(7):811.
- 62. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):497–506.
- Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, et al. Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Cardiol. 2020;5(7): 802.
- 64. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10229):1054–1062.
- Shah KS, Yang EH, Maisel AS, Fonarow GC. The role of biomarkers in detection of cardio-toxicity. Curr Oncol Rep. 2017;19(6):42.
- Demissei BG, Hubbard RA, Zhang L, et al. Changes in cardiovascular biomarkers with breast cancer therapy and associations with cardiac dysfunction. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020;9(2):e014708.
- Herrmann J, Yang EH, Iliescu C, Marmagkiolis K. Response by Herrmann et al to letter regarding article, "Vascular toxicities of cancer therapies: the old and the new-an evolving avenue." Circulation. 2016;134(20):e466–e467.
- Warren-Gash C, Hayward AC, Hemingway H, et al. Influenza infection and risk of acute myocardial infarction in England and Wales: a CALIBER selfcontrolled case series study. J Infect Dis. 2012;206(11):1652–1659.
- Cornell RF, Ky B, Weiss BM, et al. Prospective study of cardiac events during proteasome inhibitor therapy for relapsed multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(22):1946–1955.
- Alvi RM, Frigault MJ, Fradley MG, et al. Cardiovascular events among adults treated with chimeric antigen receptor T-cells (CAR-T). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019; 74(25):3099–3108.
- Guha A, Al-Kindi S, Jain P, Tashtish N, ElAmm C, Oliveira G. Association between myocarditis and other immune-related adverse events secondary to immune checkpoint inhibitor use. Int J Cancer. 2020;147(6):1753–1754.
- Jain V, Mohebtash M, Rodrigo ME, Ruiz G, Atkins MB, Barac A. Autoimmune myocarditis caused by immune checkpoint inhibitors treated with antithymocyte globulin. J Immunother. 2018;41(7):332–335.
- Patel AB, Verma A. COVID-19 and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers: what is the evidence? JAMA. 2020;323(18): 1769–1770.
- Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020;579(7798):270–273.
- Zheng YY, Ma YT, Zhang JY, Xie X. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2020;17(5):259–260.
- Turner AJ, Hiscox JA, Hooper NM. ACE2: from vasopeptidase to SARS virus receptor. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2004;25(6):291–294.
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020;323(11):1061.
- European Society of Cardiology. Position statement of the ESC Council on Hypertension on ACE-inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers. 2020. https://www.escardio.org/Councils/Council-on-Hypertension-(CHT)/News/ position-statement-of-the-esc-council-on-hypertension-on-ace-inhibitorsand-ang. Accessed October 20, 2020.

- American College of Cardiology. HFSA/ACC/AHA statement addresses concerns re: using RAAS antagonists in COVID-19. 2020. https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2020/03/17/08/59/hfsa-acc-aha-statementaddresses-concerns-re-using-raas-antagonists-in-covid-19. Accessed October 20, 2020.
- Mackey K, King VJ, Gurley S, et al. Risks and impact of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin-receptor blockers on SARS-CoV-2 infection in adults. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173(3):195–203.
- Mackey K, King VJ, Gurley S, et al. Risks and impact of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin-receptor blockers on SARS-CoV-2 infection in adults: a living systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2020; 173(3):195–203.
- Cardinale D, Colombo A, Sandri MT, et al. Prevention of high-dose chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity in high-risk patients by angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibition. *Circulation*. 2006;114(23):2474–2481.
- Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost. 2020;18(4):844–847.
- Zhang Y, Cao W, Xiao M, et al. [Clinical and coagulation characteristics of 7 patients with critical COVID-2019 pneumonia and acro-ischemia]. Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi. 2020;41:E006.
- Barrett CD, Moore HB, Yaffe MB, Moore EE. ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19: a comment. J Thromb Haemost. 2020;18(8):2060–2063.
- Iba T, Nisio MD, Levy JH, Kitamura N, Thachil J. New criteria for sepsisinduced coagulopathy (SIC) following the revised sepsis definition: a retrospective analysis of a nationwide survey. BMJ Open. 2017;7(9): e017046.
- Poterucha TJ, Libby P, Goldhaber SZ. More than an anticoagulant: do heparins have direct anti-inflammatory effects? Thromb Haemost. 2017;117(03): 437–444.
- National Institutes of Health COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines: Antithrombotic Therapy in Patients with COVID-19. 2020. https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/adjunctive-therapy/antithrombotic-therapy. Accessed December 11, 2020.
- Mosarla RC, Vaduganathan M, Qamar A, Moslehi J, Piazza G, Giugliano RP. Anticoagulation strategies in patients with cancer: JACC review topic of the week. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(11):1336–1349.
- Roden DM, Harrington RA, Poppas A, Russo AM. Considerations for drug interactions on QTc in exploratory COVID-19 (Coronavirus Disease 2019) treatment. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75(20):2623–2624.
- American College of Cardiology. Ventricular arrhythmia risk due to hydroxychloroquine-azithromycin treatment for COVID-19. March 29, 2020 ed, 2020. https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2020/03/27/ 14/00/ventricular-arrhythmia-risk-due-to-hydroxychloroquine-azithromycin-treatment-for-covid-19. Accessed October 20, 2020.
- Hayek SS, Ganatra S, Lenneman C, et al. Preparing the cardiovascular workforce to care for oncology patients: JACC review topic of the week. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(17):2226–2235.
- Lenihan DJ, Hartlage G, DeCara J, et al. Cardio-oncology training: a proposal from the International Cardioncology Society and Canadian Cardiac Oncology Network for a new multidisciplinary specialty. J Card Fail. 2016; 22(6):465–471.
- Ky B, Mann DL. COVID-19 clinical trials: a primer for the cardiovascular and cardio-oncology communities. JACC Basic Transl Sci. 2020;5(5):501–517.
- DeFilippis EM, Stefanescu Schmidt AC, Reza N. Adapting the educational environment for cardiovascular fellows-in-training during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75(20):2630–2634.