

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## International Journal of Cardiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijcard



Letter to the Editor

# Response to Zhou et al. regarding Cardiac injury prediction and lymphocyte immunity and inflammation analysis in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)



Alexander Tan \*, Daniel M. Jones, Mahmood Ahmad

Department of Cardiology, Royal Free Hospital, Pond Street Hampstead, London NW3 2QG, UK

Dear Dr. Zhou and contributors.

We are grateful for the response to our letter and the insight which you have provided. We agree that more data will enable clinicians and researchers to establish the risk Covid-19 poses to cardiac tissue.

As you conclude in your response, when comparing baseline troponin readings in patients with diabetes hs-cTnT more commonly returns elevated than hs-cTnI. With research performed by Jain and Hedayati suggesting troponin assays in patients with diabetes return above the 99th centile in 82% and 6% respectively [2]. However, at the time of writing 105, 294, 765 confirmed cases of Covid-19 have been reported to the WHO [3]. Given the scale of the Covid-19 pandemic reliance on a single assay presents a risk of over-diagnosis of cardiac injury secondary to patient co-morbidity. We hope further work will include dynamic troponin results to exclude this possibility.

Additionally, we agree as Bhatia and Daniels have suggested that age-specific troponin cut-offs are more relevant clinically in younger patients [4]. Although, in your study patients under the age of 65 represented 43% of the included patients. Further insight into patient demographics would help clarify this [1].

### **Funding**

None.

### **Declaration of competing interest**

None.

#### References

- W. Zhou, Y. Wang, Y. Zheng, Reply to letter to the editor in response to: cardiac injury prediction and lymphocyte immunity and inflammation analysis in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), Int. J. Cardiol. (2021) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2021.01.014.
- [2] N. Jain, S. Hedayati, How should clinicians interpret cardiac troponin values in patients with ESRD? Semin. Dial. 24 (4) (2011) 398–400, https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1525-139x.2011.00912.x.
- [3] WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard, Covid19.who.int 2021 https:// covid19.who.int/?gclid=Cj0KCQiAvP6ABhCjARIsAH37rbRC6VB62-\_ylSklKFyoT7 vpwdedGkP6HLEdm1R7BZDwdgYSbubNHV8aAsUrEALw\_wcB (Published 2021. Accessed February 7, 2021).
- [4] P. Bhatia, L. Daniels, Highly sensitive cardiac troponins: the evidence behind sex-specific cutoffs, J. Am. Heart Assoc. 9 (10) (2020) https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha. 119.015272.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.