

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

No evidence for rare TRAP1 mutations influencing the risk of idiopathic Parkinson's disease

Johannes J. Gaare,^{1,2} Gonzalo S. Nido,^{1,2} Paweł Sztromwasser,^{3,4,5} Per M. Knappskog,^{3,6} Olav Dahl,^{2,7} Morten Lund-Johansen,^{2,8} Guido Alves,^{9,10,11} Ole-Bjørn Tysnes,^{1,2} Stefan Johansson,^{3,6} Kristoffer Haugarvoll^{1,2} and Charalampos Tzoulis^{1,2}

- 1 Department of Neurology, Haukeland University Hospital, 5021, Bergen, Norway
- 2 Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, 5020, Norway
- 3 Center for Medical Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, 5021, Bergen, Norway
- 4 Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, 5020, Norway
- 5 Computational Biology Unit, Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, 5020, Norway
- 6 K.G. Jebsen Centre for Neuropsychiatric Disorders, Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, 5020, Norway
- 7 Department of Oncology, Haukeland University Hospital 5021, Bergen, Norway
- 8 Department of Neurosurgery, Haukeland University Hospital, 5021, Bergen, Norway
- 9 The Norwegian Centre for Movement Disorders, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway
- 10 Department of Neurology, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway
- 11 Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Stavanger, 4036 Stavanger, Norway

Correspondence to: Charalampos Tzoulis, MD, PhD Department of Neurology,

Haukeland University Hospital,

5021 Bergen, Norway

E-mail: charalampos.tzoulis@nevro.uib.no or charalampos.tzoulis@helse-bergen.no

Sir,

In their recent work, Fitzgerald *et al.* (2017) report a novel homozygous TRAP1 loss-of-function mutation in a patient with late-onset Parkinson's disease. Further, they show an enrichment of two subgroups of rare TRAP1 variants in controls compared to patients with Parkinson's disease in the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) dataset (Parkinson Progression Marker Initiative, 2011). However, these associations are not significant after correction for multiple testing. The enrichment is measured using the burden and SKAT-O (Lee *et al.*, 2012) tests. From this, the authors stipulate that rare, more benign missense TRAP1 mutations are depleted in patients with Parkinson's disease.

Here, we sought to replicate these findings and investigate the role of *TRAP1* mutations in our exome sequencing dataset, comprising 181 Parkinson's disease cases from the Norwegian ParkWest cohort (Alves *et al.*, 2009) and 196 in-house controls (unpublished results). Following quality control, variants were annotated using ANNOVAR (Wang *et al.*, 2010) according to the RefSeq gene transcripts, dbNSFP v3.3a (Liu *et al.*, 2016) and ExAC (Lek *et al.*, 2016). We identified 21 exonic variants in the *TRAP1* gene, of which 16 were non-synonymous (missense) and five were synonymous. We did not detect the specific p.R47X mutation described by Fitzgerald *et al.*, nor did we find any other nonsense or splice mutations. Two missense variants were present in cases only (in heterozygous form), but they were predominantly predicted to be benign/tolerated across five different prediction algorithms (SIFT, PolyPhen-2 HumVar/HumDiv, LRT and MutationTaster). No single variant association test was significant after correction for multiple testing.

For collapsing tests, we selected variants with minor allele frequency (MAF) < 1% in the non-Finnish European ExAC dataset. We created subsets of variants within *TRAP1* based on synonymy and CADD score similarly to Fitzgerald *et al.* In addition to burden and SKAT-O, we also performed the

Advance Access publication January 24, 2018

[©] The Author(s) (2018). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Fabl	e I	Region-b	ased ana	lysis of	TRAPI	variants
-------------	-----	----------	----------	----------	-------	----------

Group	Number of variants	MAC controls	MAC cases	Burden P-value	SKAT-O P-value	SKAT P-value				
The Norwegian ParkWest sample										
Non-synonymous	12	18	12	0.407	0.648	0.566				
CADD10	11	14	7	0.130	0.221	0.806				
CADD15	9	7	4	0.229	0.379	0.751				
CADD20	9	7	4	0.229	0.379	0.751				
CADD30	2	2	0	0.326	0.489	0.786				
Synonymous	2	2	0	0.332	0.746	0.746				
The PPMI sample										
Non-synonymous	9	5	7	0.279	0.367	0.255				
CADD10	8	5	6	0.259	0.382	0.205				
CADD15	8	5	6	0.259	0.382	0.205				
CADD20	6	4	5	0.293	0.312	0.259				
CADD30	2	L	L	0.799	0.277	0.277				
Synonymous	2	0	2	0.338	0.739	0.739				

CADD = non-synonymous variants with CADD score > 10, 15, 20 and 30, respectively.

MAC = minor allele count.

P-values are uncorrected for multiple testing.

SKAT test (Wu *et al.*, 2011). Collapsing tests were performed using the SKAT R package (Lee *et al.*, 2016). We found no evidence of variant enrichment in TRAP1, in any of the tests/models tested in our population. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Upon close examination of the analyses performed by Fitzgerald et al. in the PPMI cohort, we raise a few questions regarding aspects of the quality control and collapsing testing. Firstly, the authors use a particularly lax threshold for variant call-rate ($\geq 90\%$). Missing genotypes may be due to genotyping errors, and region-based collapsing tests using rare variants are particularly susceptible to inflated type I error rates if the distribution of missed calls differs between cases and controls in a tested region (Auer et al., 2013). Another crucial aspect when testing for rare variant associations is the control of population stratification. Rare variants display very little sharing between populations (Gravel et al., 2011), and failure to control for this could therefore lead to spurious associations, especially in a heterogeneous sample such as the PPMI. While removing individuals 3 standard deviations (SD) from the mean of the first and second principal component does reduce ethnic heterogeneousness to some degree, a more prudent approach would perhaps have been to remove outliers iteratively, as implemented by Eigensoft (Patterson et al., 2006; Price et al., 2006).

Considering the above limitations, we sought to replicate the findings of the study in the same PPMI dataset, but following a more stringent quality control procedure. Specifically, we used a variant call-rate cut-off of >98% and performed principal component analysis using Eigensoft with standard filtering settings (five iterations, 10 principal components, sigma 6), in addition to removing outliers (\geq 3 SD) across the first and second principal components post-filtering. Rare variants were defined as variants with MAF < 0.5% in the non-Finnish European ExAC dataset to replicate the parameters described by Fitzgerald *et al.* In this robustly quality controlled dataset, we detected no nominally significant variant enrichment in *TRAP1* by either burden, SKAT-O or SKAT tests. The results of our replicative PPMI analyses are summarized in Table 1.

In conclusion, while the reported p.R47X *TRAP1* mutation may indeed be deleterious to mitochondrial function, no definite evidence is provided that this mutation is the cause of Parkinson's disease in the reported case. Moreover, we found no evidence supporting that rare variation enrichment in *TRAP1* influences the risk of Parkinson's disease in two independent populations. We therefore believe that the proposed role of *TRAP1* in Parkinson's disease is unsubstantiated by the data presented in the study.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Regional Health Authority of Western Norway (grant no 911903 and 911988) and the Research Council of Norway (grant no 240369/F20). PPMI, a public-private partnership, is funded by the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research and funding partners, including AbbVie, Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Biogen Idec, BioLegend, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, GE Healthcare, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Eli Lilly and Company, Lundbeck, Merck, Meso Scale Discovery, Pfizer Inc., Piramal Imaging, Roche CNS group, Sanofi Genzyme, Servier, Takeda, Teva, UCB and Golub Capital.

References

- Alves G, Muller B, Herlofson K, HogenEsch I, Telstad W, Aarsland D, et al. Incidence of Parkinson's disease in Norway: the Norwegian ParkWest study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2009; 80: 851–7.
- Auer PL, Wang G, Leal SM. Testing for rare variant associations in the presence of missing data. Genet Epidemiol 2013; 37: 529–38.

- Fitzgerald JC, Zimprich A, Carvajal Berrio DA, Schindler KM, Maurer B, Schulte C, et al. Metformin reverses TRAP1 mutation-associated alterations in mitochondrial function in Parkinson's disease. Brain 2017; 140: 2444–59.
- Gravel S, Henn BM, Gutenkunst RN, Indap AR, Marth GT, Clark AG, et al. Demographic history and rare allele sharing among human populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011; 108: 11983–8.
- Lee S, Emond MJ, Bamshad MJ, Barnes KC, Rieder MJ, Nickerson DA, et al. Optimal unified approach for rare-variant association testing with application to small-sample case-control whole-exome sequencing studies. Am J Hum Genet 2012; 91: 224–37.
- Lee S, Fuchsberger C, Kim S, Scott L. An efficient resampling method for calibrating single and gene-based rare variant association analysis in case-control studies. Biostatistics 2016; 17: 1–15.
- Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature 2016; 536: 285–91.

- Liu X, Wu C, Li C, Boerwinkle E. dbNSFP v3.0: a one-stop database of functional predictions and annotations for human nonsynonymous and splice-site SNVs. Hum Mutat 2016; 37: 235–41.
- Parkinson Progression Marker Initiative. The Parkinson Progression Marker Initiative (PPMI). Prog Neurobiol 2011; 95: 629–35.
- Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D. Population structure and eigenanalysis. PLoS Genet 2006; 2: e190.
- Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2006; 38: 904–9.
- Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 2010; 38: e164.
- Wu MC, Lee S, Cai T, Li Y, Boehnke M, Lin X. Rare-variant association testing for sequencing data with the sequence kernel association test. Am J Hum Genet 2011; 89: 82–93.