

# Germline SDHA mutations in children and adults with cancer

Marianne Dubard Gault,<sup>1</sup> Diana Mandelker,<sup>2</sup> Deborah DeLair,<sup>2</sup> Carolyn R. Stewart,<sup>3</sup> Yelena Kemel,<sup>3,4</sup> Margaret R. Sheehan,<sup>3</sup> Beth Siegel,<sup>3</sup> Jennifer Kennedy,<sup>3</sup> Vanessa Marcell,<sup>3</sup> Angela Arnold,<sup>3</sup> Hikmat Al-Ahmadie,<sup>2</sup> Shakeel Modak,<sup>5</sup> Mark Robson,<sup>3,4</sup> Neerav Shukla,<sup>5</sup> Stephen Roberts,<sup>5</sup> Joseph Vijai,<sup>3,4</sup> Sabine Topka,<sup>4,6</sup> Alex Kentsis,<sup>5</sup> Karen Cadoo,<sup>3,4</sup> Maria Carlo,<sup>3,4</sup> Alicia Latham Schwark,<sup>1</sup> Ed Reznik,<sup>6</sup> Renzo Dinatale,<sup>6</sup> Jaclyn Hechtman,<sup>2</sup> Ester Borras Flores,<sup>2</sup> Sowmaya Jairam,<sup>2</sup> Ciyu Yang,<sup>2</sup> Yirong Li,<sup>2</sup> Erol Can Bayraktar,<sup>7</sup> Ozge Ceyhan-Birsoy,<sup>2</sup> Liying Zhang,<sup>2</sup> Wendy Kohlman,<sup>8</sup> Joshua Schiffman,<sup>8</sup> Zsofia Stadler,<sup>3,4</sup> Kivanc Birsoy,<sup>8</sup> Andrew Kung,<sup>5</sup> Kenneth Offit,<sup>3,4</sup> and Michael F. Walsh<sup>3,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Education Memorial, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and Weill Cornell Genetics, New York, New York 10065, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10021, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10021, USA; <sup>4</sup>Niehaus Center for Inherited Cancer Genomics, Clinical Genetics Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10021, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10021, USA; <sup>6</sup>Sloan Kettering Institute, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10021, USA; <sup>7</sup>Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021, USA; <sup>8</sup>Family Cancer Assessment Clinic, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA

Abstract Mutations in succinate dehydrogenase complex genes predispose to familial paraganglioma-pheochromocytoma syndrome (FPG) and gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST). Here we describe cancer patients undergoing agnostic germline testing at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and found to harbor germline *SDHA* mutations. Using targeted sequencing covering the cancer census genes, we identified 10 patients with *SDHA* germline mutations. Cancer diagnoses for these patients carrying *SDHA* germline mutations included neuroblastoma (n = 1), breast (n = 1), colon (n = 1), renal (n = 1), melanoma and uterine (n = 1), prostate (n = 1), endometrial (n = 1), bladder (n = 1), and gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) (n = 2). Immunohistochemical staining and assessment of patient tumors for second hits and loss of heterozygosity in *SDHA* confirmed GIST as an *SDHA*-associated tumor and suggests *SDHA* germline mutations may be a driver in neuroblastoma tumorigenesis.

## INTRODUCTION

Succinate dehydrogenase (SDH, Complex II) genes play a critical role in mitochondrial respiratory and metabolic function (Henze and Martin 2003). The succinate dehydrogenase enzyme complex is encoded by the genes SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, and SDHD, which localize to the inner mitochondrial membrane and catalyze the oxidation of succinate to fumarate and concomitant reduction of ubiquinone to ubiquinol (Tomitsuka et al. 2003). Determining the nucleotide sequence, gene function, transcriptional regulation, importance

Corresponding author: walshm2@mskcc.org

© 2018 Dubard Gault et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits reuse and redistribution, except for commercial purposes, provided that the original author and source are credited.

Ontology terms: clear cell renal cell carcinoma; gastrointestinal stroma tumor; neoplasm of the breast; neuroblastoma

Published by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press

doi: 10.1101/mcs.a002584



of 5' regulatory sequence, and negative regulators of the succinate dehydrogenase energy complex have been accomplished through experimental work in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli* (Magnusson et al. 1985, 1986; Miller et al. 1988; Melin et al. 1990; Xu and Johnson 1995). Investigations of the parasitic bacterium, *Rickettsia prowazekii*, and humans have revealed that *SDH* gene organization differs between bacteria and *Homo sapiens* (Aliabadi et al. 1993; Hofmeister et al. 1997; Tomitsuka et al. 2003). Recognizing *SDH* genes' sequences have diverged between species is noteworthy, as sequence conservation is an evident category for variant classification.

In medical practice, the clinical relevance of the succinate dehydrogenase energy complex has emerged across a number of specialties including medical genetics, endocrinology, and oncology (Cordray et al. 1995; Porquet 1997), and mutations in the succinate dehydrogenase complex are described in cancer-predisposing and nonmalignant-related phenotypes. Germline alterations in the *SDHB*, *SDHC*, and *SDHD* genes and, to a lesser extent, the *SDHA* gene predispose to familial paraganglioma, pheochromocytoma, and GIST tumors (Baysal et al. 2001; Jiang et al. 2015; Renkema et al. 2015; Belinsky et al. 2017; Casey et al. 2017a,b; Rednam et al. 2017).

The classic noncancerous phenotype underpinned by genetic aberrancy in succinate dehydrogenase complex is Leigh syndrome (MIM #256000). Leigh syndrome may occur in autosomal recessive and mitochondrial inheritance patterns depending on the genes that are mutated. Leigh syndrome may manifest with varying clinical features including failure to thrive, ophthalmoplegia and ptosis, optic atrophy, nystagmus, strabismus, pigmentary retinopathy, respiratory failure, hypertrichosis, central nervous system disorders, emotional lability, and lactic acidosis (Van Coster et al. 2003; Burnichon et al. 2010; Korpershoek et al. 2011; McKusick 1986–2016).

As next-generation sequencing (NGS) has evolved and tumor/normal sequencing efforts have been deployed by comprehensive cancer sequencing centers, mutations in the *SDH* complex genes have been detected in patients with non-FPG or GIST (Zhang et al. 2015). Here we describe germline *SDHA* mutations harbored by patients treated at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSK) who consented to participation in an institutional 12–245 tumor/normal sequencing study. Notably, in our series, the majority of patients shown to have an *SDHA* germline mutation were found to carry the same recurrent genomic alteration: c.91C>T (p. Arg31Ter). Three additional mutations detected in our patients were c.1141C>G, c.1A>G (p. Met1Val), and two patients with an intragenic deletion encompassing exons 1–9. Diagnoses for patients in our cohort with *SDHA* germline mutations included prostate and colon adenocarcinomas, endometrial carcinoma (and a secondary melanoma), urothelial carcinoma, poorly differentiated gastric carcinoma, multifocal gastro-intestinal stromal tumors, clear cell renal cell carcinoma, triple negative breast cancer, and neuroblastoma.

#### RESULTS

Our study team reviewed a total of 4974 patients undergoing targeted sequencing of approximately 400 cancer genes at 732× depth in tumor and matched normal blood using the MSK-IMPACT platform (Cheng et al. 2015). Within this cohort, 10 patients (0.2%) were found to harbor pathogenic germline *SDHA* mutations. Of these, 2/10 (20%) with a diagnosis of uterine endometrioid carcinoma and poorly differentiated carcinoma of the stomach harbored a deletion of exons 1–9, 1/10 (10%) with a diagnosis of urothelial bladder cancer carried the missense mutations c.1A>G (p.Met1Val), and 7/10 (70%) exhibited a *SDHA* c.91C>T (p.Arg31Ter) nonsense mutation. For those with the recurrent c.91C>T (p.Arg31Ter) mutation, patient diagnoses included gastrointestinal stromal tumor (n = 2), neuroblastoma



(n = 1), colon cancer (n = 1), prostate cancer (n = 1), renal cell cancer (n = 1), and breast cancer (n = 1). For one of these seven patients, additional evidence of a second somatic missense mutation, *SDHA* p.S445L, was revealed (patient with a GIST); 2/7 (28.6%) patients had a copy-number loss of the other *SDHA* allele on Chromosome 5 (neuroblastoma and GIST) (Table 1). As a point of reference, copy-number loss encompassing the *SDHA* locus occurs in <1% of cancer studies cataloged in the cBioPortal (Fig. 1).

To elucidate phenotypes associated with the recurrent *SDHA* c.91C>T (p. Arg31Ter), we collaborated with The University of Utah and queried their Familial Paraganglioma/ Pheochromocytoma Cancer Registry to determine cancer types, associated family histories of patients with the recurrent *SDHA* c.91C>T (p. Arg31Ter) germline mutation, and if typical tumor types segregated in families (Fig. 2). Family histories of patients with *SDHA* c.91C>T (p.Arg31Ter) showed lack of paraganglioma and pheochromocytoma tumor types segregating in kindreds, suggesting the *SDHA* c.91C>T (p.Arg31Ter) mutation is a low-penetrance allele (Fig. 2).

We analyzed population frequencies of the SDHA alterations in our patient cohort compared to control databases. The *SDHA* c.91C>T (p. Arg31Ter) mutation is found in the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) database at an allele frequency of 0.000174% (20 allele count/121,408 allele numbers) compared to 0.0018% (7 allele counts/4974 allele number) in the MSK population. This germline *SDHA* alteration and the others detected in our cohort meet the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics Standards and Guidelines for pathogenicity based on the nature of the mutations, the rarity of the alterations in population control databases, and functional evidence (Renkema et al. 2015; Richards et al. 2015).

To confirm the pathogenicity of these *SDHA* mutations in this expanded spectrum of cancers, we examined patient tumors for second mutations in the *SDHA* gene, loss of heterozygosity or copy-number alterations of the SDHA locus, and retention of *SDHA/B* proteins determined by immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Table 1). IHC loss for patients harboring germline *SDHA* mutations has previously been described in patients with GIST and a patient with GIST and secondary renal cell carcinoma (Belinsky et al. 2013, 2017; Jiang et al. 2015; Renkema et al. 2015; Casey et al. 2017a,b; Rednam et al. 2017). Next, 3 of 10 patients in our cohort with *SDHA* germline mutations had tumors exhibiting, by IHC, loss of SDHA/B proteins (neuroblastoma [n = 1] (Fig. 3) and GIST [n = 2]). IHC staining loss suggests loss of SDH function. In addition to patients tested as part of MSK-IMPACT, two patients were identified with the same recurrent *SDHA* c.91C>T (p. Arg31Ter) mutation in the University of Utah Familial Cancer Predisposition Registry. Patients in the repository with this germline mutation were diagnosed with pheochromocytoma (SDHA/B, IHC absent) and melanoma (IHC not performed).

As a means to functionally determine the impact of the germline *SDHA* mutations detected in our patients on cell lines, we performed mutagenesis experiments and measured citric acid cycle metabolite differences compared to a wild-type cell line for *SDHA*. Functional interrogation of the *SDHA* alterations detected in our patient population revealed aberrant protein level production, increased accumulation of metabolites of the Krebs cycle with a significant increase in succinate to fumarate ratios in mutagenic cells compared to wild-type (Fig. 4).

#### DISCUSSION

Next-generation sequencing studies have identified germline pathogenic and likely pathogenic mutations in a greater percentage of patients than expected (Pritchard et al. 2016; Mandelker et al. 2017; Walsh et al. 2017). However, there are few examples in which



Table 1. Clinical	and mol	lecular	chara	cteristics o	f patients	with germl	ine SDHA	mutations									
Tumor type	Age at diagnosis	Gene	Chr	Location	HGVS reference	HGVS protein	Variant type	Germline platform	Allele frequency normal	Target coverage	Genetic counseling	QI 4NSqb	гон	2nd mutation detected in tumor	IHC	Family history of PGL	Cohort
Colon	50	SDHA	Chr 5	223509	C	p.Arg31Ter	Nonsense	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	rs1424416 43	No	No	Retained	No	MSKCC
Prostate	48	SDHA	Chr 5	223509	υ	p.Arg31Ter	Nonsense	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	rs1424416 43	° N	No	Retained	No	MSKCC
GIST	21	SDHA	Chr 5	223509	υ	p.Arg31Ter	Nonsense	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	rs1424416 43	° N	Yes	Loss	No	MSKCC
GIST	27	SDHA	Chr 5	223509	υ	p.Arg31Ter	Nonsense	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	rs1424416 43	ГОН	оХ	Loss	No	MSKCC
Neuroblastoma	ω	SDHA	Chr 5	223509	υ	p.Arg31Ter	Nonsense	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	rs1424416 43	ГОН	No	Loss	No	MSKCC
Kidney	55	SDHA	Chr 5	223509	υ	p.Arg31Ter	Nonsense	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	rs1424416 43	ГОН	Yes	Retained	No	MSKCC
Breast	49	SDHA	Chr 5	223509	υ	p.Arg31Ter	Nonsense	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	rs1424416 43	оN	No	Retained	No	MSKCC
Uterus	62	SDHA	Chr 5	233592- 235454	n/a	n/a	Intragenic deletion	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	n/a	о И	No	Retained	No	MSKCC
Stomach	34	SDHA	Chr 5	233592- 235454	n/a	n/a	Intragenic deletion	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	n/a	о Х	No	Retained	No	MSKCC
Bladder	69	SDHA	Chr 5	218356	٩	p.Met1Val	missense	NGS capture	>35%	>500×	Yes	rs1061517	° N	No	Retained	S	MSKCC
Pheochromocytoma	41	SDHA	Chr 5	223509	υ	p.Arg31Ter	Nonsense	Sanger	n/a	n/a	Yes	rs1424416 43	n/a	No	Loss	No	Utah
Melanoma	35	SDHA	Chr 5	223509	υ	p.Arg31Ter	Nonsense	Sanger	n/a	n/a	Yes	rs1424416 43	n/a	No	Not available	No	Utah
HGVS, Human Genome	e Variation ;	Society;	LOH, Io.	ss of heterozy.	gosity; IHC, ii	mmunohistoch	emistry; PGL,	pheochromoc	sytoma or par	'aganglioma;	GIST, gastroi	ntestinal stromal	l tumors				





**Figure 1.** Copy-number alterations of Chromosome 5 shown in 1513/45,870 sequenced cases/patients cataloged in cBioPortal accounting for copy-number loss encompassing SDHA occurs in <1% of cancers studied and cataloged in the cBioPortal. *x-axis*: specific genomic sequencing study cataloged in cBioPortal; *y-axis*: alteration frequency of Chromosome 5 in different studies. (Red) amplifications of Chromosome 5 and (blue) deletions of Chromosome 5 in different cancer genomic studies. TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; NEPC, neuroendocrine pancreatic cancer; aden, adenocarcinoma; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; NCI, National Cancer Institute; ACC, adrenocortical carcinoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; MBC, metastatic breast cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; MBL, medulloblastoma; DLBC, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; HNC, head and neck cancer; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme.

unexpected germline mutations are detected in atypical cancers that are accompanied by additional supporting evidence of pathogenicity (Holmfeldt et al. 2013).

This study revealed germline *SDHA* mutations in patients with the following cancer diagnoses: neuroblastoma, pheochromocytoma, melanoma, breast cancer, colon cancer, renal cell carcinoma, prostate cancer, gastric cancer, and endometrial cancer. Supporting biochemical evidence in this study is illustrated for neuroblastoma and GIST. Other cancer types retained SDHA/B staining and are thus less likely to be driven by the *SDHA* germline alteration. Family history data suggest the *SDHA* c.91C>T (p.Arg31Ter) allelic variant has a low penetrance and perhaps does not play an oncogenic role in cancers other than neuroblastoma and GIST.

Potential reasons second mutational hits or loss of heterozygosity were not observed in cancer types other than neuroblastoma and GIST include epigenetic alterations and cryptic second hits not detected by our sequencing methodology.

These results have implications in regard to cancer screening and reproductive counseling for patients and family members harboring germline *SDHA* alterations (Rednam et al. 2017). Our results indicate that neuroblastoma may be a tumor type to consider for screening *SDHA* mutation carriers if additional evidence surfaces from large scale sequencing studies. Of note, a germline *SDHB* mutation was reported in a neuroblastoma patient participating in the Pediatric Cancer Genome Project (Zhang et al. 2015).









**Figure 3.** (*A*) Neuroblastoma primary tumor and lung metastasis with SDHA/SDHB staining loss, (*B*) schematic illustrating chromosomal copy-number loss in the tumor encompassing the *SDHA* gene locus Chromosome 5p15.33 (red arrow indicates loss of Chromosome 5).



**Figure 4.** (A) Metabolite intermediates of Krebs cycle. SDH (succinate dehydrogenase; indicated in red). (B) Immunoblot analysis of SDHA knockout MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line expressing vector, wild-type (WT), or indicated SDHA cDNA. Actin is used as a loading control. (C) Changes ( $log_2$ ) in indicated metabolites of SDHA knockout MDA-MB-231 expressing vector, wild-type, and indicated SDHA cDNA. Values were normalized to the average of the wild-type SDHA-expressing vector, wild-type, and indicated SDHA cDNA. Values were to fumarate levels of SDHA knockout MDA-MB-231 expressing vector, wild-type, and indicated SDHA cDNA. Values were normalized to the average of the wild-type SDHA-expressing vector, wild-type, and indicated SDHA cDNA. Values were normalized to the average of the wild-type SDHA-expressing vector, wild-type, and indicated SDHA cDNA.



In conclusion, this study reports children and adults with *SDHA* germline mutations and various cancers. Additional supporting evidence of the *SDHA* mutations detected in our patient cohort as pathogenic was revealed through biochemical studies for patients with neuroblastoma and GIST. For other patients in our cohort this evidence was lacking. At present, screening recommendations for individuals with SDH complex germline mutations commence at the age of 8 and require routine imaging and biochemical evaluation (Rednam et al. 2017). It is not clear at this time if additional screening is indicated for neuroblastoma, but this should continue to be revisited as more data is generated.

#### **METHODS**

We queried patients undergoing MSK-IMPACT tumor/normal sequencing for *SDHA* germline alterations from January 2014 until October 2017 at MSK and the Family Cancer Clinic at the University of Utah. Patients consented to IRB-approved studies allowing for interrogation of germline alterations and reporting. The patient at MSK consented to participate in a study specifically allowing for germline interrogation and reporting (12–245 Part C). Patients described from the University of Utah enrolled in a Clinical Genetics Study allowing for molecular and pedigree data to be presented. As an additional protection, pedigrees in Figure 2 have been de-identified.

For patients with sequencing data available from NGS, we were able to assess for allelespecific copy-number analysis (ASCN) using our Fraction and Allele-Specific Copy Number Estimates from Tumor Sequencing (FACETS) bioinformatics tool to determine loss of heterozygosity (Shen and Seshan 2016). Of note, copy-number loss encompassing *SDHA* occurs in <1% of cancers studied and cataloged in the cBioPortal (Fig. 1). Moreover, when possible, the clinical IHC staining was used for interrogating the presence or absence of *SDH* gene–encoded proteins as performed when assessing for heritable paraganglioma and pheochromocytoma (Fig. 3; Papathomas et al. 2015; Casey et al. 2017a).

We queried our institutional database and accumulated experience from our germline tumor board, taking into account clinical testing and research testing for which patients had consented to return of results. We reviewed PubMed, Web of Science databases, ClinVar, and locus-specific databases taking into account mutations detected in previous germline molecular landscaping efforts. The following keywords and annotations were used: succinate dehydrogenase, germline, *SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SDHAF2*, pediatric cancer, oncology, supplemental, variant, annotations, mutations, neuroblastoma, gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST), and immunohistochemistry (IHC). All references published from inception until 7 October, 2017 were eligible for inclusion in this review. A bibliography was created using EndNote X8.

#### Generation of the SDHA Knockout Cell Line

sgRNAs (caccGCCCATCACCTCGACCACGG and aaacCCGTGGTCGAGGTGATGGGC) were cloned into lentiCRISPR-v1 using Gibson Assembly (NEB). sgRNA expressing vector along with lentiviral packaging vectors Delta-VPR and CMV VSV-G were transfected into HEK-293T cells using the XTremeGene 9 transfection reagent (Roche). For overexpression cell lines, cDNA vectors along with retroviral packaging vectors gag-pol and CMV VSV-G were transfected into HEK-293T cells. Media was changed 24 h after transfection. The virus-containing supernatant was collected 48 and 72 h after transfection and passed through a 0.45-µm filter to eliminate cells. Target cells in six-well tissue culture plates were infected in media containing 8 µg/ml of polybrene, and a spin infection was performed by centrifugation at 2200 rpm for 1 h. Postinfection, virus was removed and cells were selected with puromycin or blasticidin.



For knockout cells, after selection, cells were single-cell-sorted with a flow cytometer into the wells of a 96-well plate containing 200  $\mu$ l of RPMI supplemented with 20% FBS. Cells were grown for 2 wk, and the resultant colonies were trypsinized and expanded. Clones were validated for loss of the relevant protein via immunoblotting.

#### Immunoblotting

MDA-MB-231 (1.5 million) cells were rinsed twice in ice-cold PBS and harvested in a standard lysis buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 40 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1.5 mM orthovanadate, 50 mM NaF, 10 mM pyrophosphate, 10 mM glycerophosphate, protease inhibitors (Roche), and 1% Triton-X-100. Proteins from total lysates were resolved by 8%–12% SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblotting as described (Birsoy et al. 2014).

#### Metabolite Profiling and Isotope Tracing

LC/MS analyses were conducted on a Q Exactive benchtop orbitrap mass spectrometer equipped with an Ion Max source and a HESI II probe, which was coupled to a Dionex UltiMate 3000 UPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Polar metabolites were extracted using 1 ml of ice-cold 80% methanol with 10 ng/ml valine-d<sub>8</sub> as an internal standard. After a 10-min vortex and centrifugation for 10 min at 4°C at 10,000*g*, samples were dried under nitrogen gas. Dried samples were stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C and then resuspended in 100 µl water; 1 µl of each sample was injected onto a ZIC-pHILIC 2.1 × 150 mm (5 µm particle size) column (EMD Millipore).

#### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

#### **Data Deposition and Access**

Variants described in this manuscript were deposited in ClinVar (https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/clinvar/) under accession numbers SCV000778379, SCV000778380.1, and SCV000778378.1. Because of lack of patient consent, raw sequencing data was not deposited.

#### **Ethics Statement**

Patients reported here provided written consent permitting use of molecular and phenotypic description under MSK's IRB approved studies #06-107 or #12-245 and The University of Utah Cancer Predisposition Familial Cancer Registry. Family histories have been illustrated in a de-identified manner. All patients participating in this study have been provided genetic counseling.

#### Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Joseph Olechnowicz for editorial assistance.

#### Variant Interpretation

The c.91C>T (p. Arg31Ter) variant in SDHA is entered eight times in ClinVar and annotated as pathogenic/likely pathogenic (Landrum et al. 2016). The variant is linked with the Database for Short Genetic Variations (dbSNP), 142441643, and the National Center for Biotechnology (NCBI), rs142441643. Submission accession numbers for this mutation in ClinVar are SCV000186863.4, SCV000288157.4, SCV000490791.1, SCV000677113.1, SCV000677772.1, SCV000195526.1, SCV00022637.1, and SCV000246126.2.

Competing Interest Statement The authors have declared no competing interest.

Received December 20, 2017; accepted in revised form May 10, 2018.



#### Funding

This research was funded in part through the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Cancer Institute (NCI) Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA008748. M.F.W. receives research funding through the V Foundation Scholar Grant, Niehaus Center for Inherited Genomics, the Crawford fund for Pediatric Genomics, and the Corning Heritable Cancer Genetics Fund.

### REFERENCES

- Aliabadi Z, Winkler HH, Wood DO. 1993. Isolation and characterization of the *Rickettsia prowazekii* gene encoding the flavoprotein subunit of succinate dehydrogenase. *Gene* **133**: 135–140.
- Baysal BE, Rubinstein WS, Taschner PE. 2001. Phenotypic dichotomy in mitochondrial complex II genetic disorders. J Mol Med (Berl) 79: 495–503.
- Belinsky MG, Rink L, von Mehren M. 2013. Succinate dehydrogenase deficiency in pediatric and adult gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Front Oncol* **3:** 117.
- Belinsky MG, Cai KQ, Zhou Y, Luo B, Pei J, Rink L, von Mehren M. 2017. Succinate dehydrogenase deficiency in a PDGFRA mutated GIST. *BMC Cancer* **17**: 512.
- Birsoy K, Possemato R, Lorbeer FK, Bayraktar EC, Thiru P, Yucel B, Wang T, Chen WW, Clish CB, Sabatini DM. 2014. Metabolic determinants of cancer cell sensitivity to glucose limitation and biguanides. *Nature* **508**: 108–112.
- Burnichon N, Brière JJ, Libé R, Vescovo L, Rivière J, Tissier F, Jouanno E, Jeunemaitre X, Bénit P, Tzagoloff A, et al. 2010. *SDHA* is a tumor suppressor gene causing paraganglioma. *Hum Mol Genet* **19:** 3011–3020.
- Casey RT, Ascher DB, Rattenberry E, Izatt L, Andrews KA, Simpson HL, Challis B, Park SM, Bulusu VR, Lalloo F, et al. 2017a. SDHA related tumorigenesis: a new case series and literature review for variant interpretation and pathogenicity. *Mol Genet Genomic Med* **5:** 237–250.
- Casey RT, Challis BG, Marker A, Pitfield D, Cheow HK, Shaw A, Park SM, Simpson HL, Maher ER. 2017b. A case of a metastatic *SDHA* mutated paraganglioma re-presenting twenty-three years after initial surgery. *Endocr Relat Cancer* **24**: L69–L71.
- Cheng DT, Mitchell TN, Zehir A, Shah RH, Benayed R, Syed A, Chandramohan R, Liu ZY, Won HH, Scott SN, et al. 2015. Memorial Sloan Kettering–Integrated Mutation Profiling of Actionable Cancer Targets (MSK-IMPACT): a hybridization capture–based next-generation sequencing clinical assay for solid tumor molecular oncology. J Mol Diagn 17: 251–264.
- Cordray JP, Merceron RE, Nys P, Guillerd X, Reboul P, Rainaut M. 1995. [Critical study of the characterization of hyperandrogenism in a group of obese women]. *Rev Fr Gynecol Obstet* **90**: 403–408.
- Henze K, Martin W. 2003. Evolutionary biology: essence of mitochondria. Nature 426: 127-128.
- Hofmeister AE, Textor S, Buckel W. 1997. Cloning and expression of the two genes coding for L-serine dehydratase from *Peptostreptococcus asaccharolyticus*: relationship of the iron-sulfur protein to both L-serine dehydratases from *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol **179**: 4937–4941.
- Holmfeldt L, Wei L, Diaz-Flores E, Walsh M, Zhang J, Ding L, Payne-Turner D, Churchman M, Andersson A, Chen SC, et al. 2013. The genomic landscape of hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet* **45**: 242–252.
- Jiang Q, Zhang Y, Zhou YH, Hou YY, Wang JY, Li JL, Li M, Tong HX, Lu WQ. 2015. A novel germline mutation in SDHA identified in a rare case of gastrointestinal stromal tumor complicated with renal cell carcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 8: 12188–12197.
- Korpershoek E, Favier J, Gaal J, Burnichon N, van Gessel B, Oudijk L, Badoual C, Gadessaud N, Venisse A, Bayley JP, et al. 2011. SDHA immunohistochemistry detects germline SDHA gene mutations in apparently sporadic paragangliomas and pheochromocytomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 96: E1472–E1476.
- Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Benson M, Brown G, Chao C, Chitipiralla S, Gu B, Hart J, Hoffman D, Hoover J, et al. 2016. ClinVar: public archive of interpretations of clinically relevant variants. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: D862–D868.
- Magnusson K, Hederstedt L, Rutberg L. 1985. Cloning and expression in *Escherichia coli* of sdhA, the structural gene for cytochrome b558 of the *Bacillus subtilis* succinate dehydrogenase complex. *J Bacteriol* **162**: 1180–1185.
- Magnusson K, Philips MK, Guest JR, Rutberg L. 1986. Nucleotide sequence of the gene for cytochrome b558 of the *Bacillus subtilis* succinate dehydrogenase complex. *J Bacteriol* **166**: 1067–1071.



- Mandelker D, Zhang L, Kemel Y, Stadler ZK, Joseph V, Zehir A, Pradhan N, Arnold A, Walsh MF, Li Y, et al. 2017. Mutation detection in patients with advanced cancer by universal sequencing of cancer-related genes in tumor and normal DNA vs guideline-based germline testing. *JAMA* **318**: 825–835.
- McKusick AHaV. 1986–2016. Leigh syndrome. https://www.omim.org/entry/600857?search=SDHA&high light=sdha.
- Melin L, Friden H, Dehlin E, Rutberg L, von Gabain A. 1990. The importance of the 5'-region in regulating the stability of sdh mRNA in *Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol* **4**: 1881–1889.
- Miller P, Mueller J, Hill K, Taber H. 1988. Transcriptional regulation of a promoter in the men gene cluster of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol **170**: 2742–2748.
- Papathomas TG, Oudijk L, Persu A, Gill AJ, van Nederveen F, Tischler AS, Tissier F, Volante M, Matias-Guiu X, Smid M, et al. 2015. SDHB/SDHA immunohistochemistry in pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas: a multicenter interobserver variation analysis using virtual microscopy: a Multinational Study of the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors (ENS@T). *Mod Pathol* **28**: 807–821.
- Porquet D. 1997. [Endocrine biochemistry of puberty]. Ann Biol Clin (Paris) 55: 425-433.
- Pritchard CC, Mateo J, Walsh MF, De Sarkar N, Abida W, Beltran H, Garofalo A, Gulati R, Carreira S, Eeles R, et al. 2016. Inherited DNA-repair gene mutations in men with metastatic prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* **375**: 443–453.
- Rednam SP, Erez A, Druker H, Janeway KA, Kamihara J, Kohlmann WK, Nathanson KL, States LJ, Tomlinson GE, Villani A, et al. 2017. Von Hippel–Lindau and hereditary pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma syndromes: clinical features, genetics, and surveillance recommendations in childhood. *Clin Cancer Res* 23: e68–e75.
- Renkema GH, Wortmann SB, Smeets RJ, Venselaar H, Antoine M, Visser G, Ben-Omran T, van den Heuvel LP, Timmers HJ, Smeitink JA, et al. 2015. SDHA mutations causing a multisystem mitochondrial disease: novel mutations and genetic overlap with hereditary tumors. *Eur J Hum Genet* **23**: 202–209.
- Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M, Lyon E, Spector E, et al. 2015. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* **17:** 405–424.
- Shen R, Seshan VE. 2016. FACETS: allele-specific copy number and clonal heterogeneity analysis tool for highthroughput DNA sequencing. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: e131.
- Tomitsuka E, Hirawake H, Goto Y, Taniwaki M, Harada S, Kita K. 2003. Direct evidence for two distinct forms of the flavoprotein subunit of human mitochondrial complex II (succinate-ubiquinone reductase). *J Biochem* **134**: 191–195.
- Van Coster R, Seneca S, Smet J, Van Hecke R, Gerlo E, Devreese B, Van Beeumen J, Leroy JG, De Meirleir L, Lissens W. 2003. Homozygous Gly555Glu mutation in the nuclear-encoded 70 kDa flavoprotein gene causes instability of the respiratory chain complex II. Am J Med Genet A 120A: 13–18.
- Walsh MF, Kennedy J, Harlan M, Kentsis A, Shukla N, Roberts S, Kung AL, Robson M, Kushner BH, Meyers P, et al. 2017. Germ line *BRCA2* mutations detected in pediatric sequencing studies impact parents' evaluation and care. *Cold Spring Harb Mol Case Stud* **3**: a001925.
- Xu J, Johnson RC. 1995. Identification of genes negatively regulated by Fis: Fis and RpoS comodulate growthphase-dependent gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol **177**: 938–947.
- Zhang J, Walsh MF, Wu G, Edmonson MN, Gruber TA, Easton J, Hedges D, Ma X, Zhou X, Yergeau DA, et al. 2015. Germline mutations in predisposition genes in pediatric cancer. N Engl J Med **373:** 2336–2346.