

≪Research Note≫

# Effects of Microbial Phytase Supplementation on Egg Production and Egg Quality in Hy-line Brown Hens During the Late Laying Period

Hao Yang Sun and In Ho Kim

Department of Animal Resource & Science, Dankook University, Cheonan-si, Chungnam 31116, Korea

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of microbial phytase on egg production and egg quality in older hens. A total of 216, 63-week-old Hy-line brown laying hens were distributed in a randomized complete design 10-week feeding trial of 3 dietary treatments with 12 replications per treatment and 6 hens per replication. The 3 dietary treatments were corn-soybean meal-based diets supplemented with 0% (CON), 0.06% (TRT1), and 0.12% (TRT2) microbial phytase. Significantly higher hen-day egg production was observed in the TRT1 treatment compared to CON (P < 0.05), except during the first two weeks of the experiment. During weeks 3, 4, and 9, TRT2 had a greater hen-day egg production percentage than CON (P < 0.05). The damaged egg ratio was not affected. The egg quality parameters (e.g., eggshell color, eggshell strength, albumen height, egg weight, and the Haugh unit) were affected by microbial phytase supplementation (P < 0.05). However, there were no significant effects on the eggshell thickness and yolk color. In conclusion, microbial phytase supplementation to the diets of older hens could improve production performance, extend the peak laying period, and alter the egg quality parameters.

Key words: egg quality, microbial phytase, older hens, production performance

J. Poult. Sci., 58: 171-176, 2021

## Introduction

It is now commonplace to supplement poultry diets with feed enzymes to enhance nutrient utilization and performance by counteracting the negative influences of targeted substrates. Phytate (myo-inositol hexakisphosphate) is the main form of stored phosphorus (P) in plant-derived feedstuffs such as cereals, legumes, and oilseeds (Viveros et al., 2000; Lichtenberg et al., 2011). However, this P form is poorly available to poultry because of inefficient dephosphorylation via endogenous phytase activity in the gastrointestinal tract, especially in hens during late laying period (Torrallardona et al., 2012). Older hens are also more sensitive to P deficiency, so the total and available P levels in the diet are kept high for laying hens in the late laying period (Boling et al., 2000). Therefore, the dietary inclusion of high doses of exogenous phytase may be an effective way to combat P deficiency in the late laying period.

The phytase enzyme catalyzes the hydrolysis of phytate and releases a usable form of inorganic P to poultry (Mullaney et al., 2000). It is produced by plants, animals, and microorganisms, and microbial phytase is a commonly used exogenous enzyme in non-ruminant animals (Musilová et al., 2014). The poultry gastrointestinal tract lacks adequate amounts of endogenous phytase to hydrolyze phytate and release bound P (Sebastian et al., 1998), so their diets are usually supplemented with inorganic P. This supplementation is expensive and fails to address the issue of oversupplementation, which can lead to environmental P pollution in the soil and groundwater. For example, the manurebased excretion of excess P in areas of concentrated animal production poses an environmental threat (Ravindran et al., 1998). Economic and environmental concerns have generated renewed interest in phytase use to reduce the reliance on inorganic P supplements and to improve P utilization in feedstuffs.

Generally, the performance and egg quality of hens in the late laying period decreases, but these negative effects of age can be mitigated by nutrition. Phytase diet supplementation improved the feed intake, egg production, and egg quality of laying hens (Gordon and Roland, 1997; Kozlowski and Jeroch, 2011; Englmaierova *et al.*, 2015; Englmaierová *et al.*, 2017). However, research on higher dose microbial phytase diet supplementation is limited (Zaghari *et al.*, 2008; Deniz *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, the objective of the present

Received: January 1, 2020, Accepted: April 26, 2020

Released Online Advance Publication: September 25, 2020

Correspondence: Professor In Ho Kim, Department of Animal Resource & Science, Dankook University, Cheonan-si, Chungnam 31116, Korea. (E-mail: inhokim@dankook.ac.kr)

The Journal of Poultry Science is an Open Access journal distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view the details of this license, please visit (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

study was to determine the effects of microbial phytase on egg production and egg quality in older hens (63 to 72 weeks) fed corn-soybean meal-based diets.

## Materials and Methods

The experimental protocol used in this study was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Dankook University, South Korea.

# Phytase Source

The phytase used in this study was the commercial preparation HiPhos (GT) phytase (Ronozyme<sup>®</sup>, DSM Nutritional Products, Basel, Switzerland). The active agent of the product is *6-phytase* (EC 3.1.3.26), derived from *Citrobacter braakii* bacteria expressed in the strain *Aspergillus oryzae* (DSM 22594). The product has a guaranteed minimum *6-phytase* activity of 10 000 phytase units (FYT) per gram. One FYT is defined as the amount of phytase required to release 1  $\mu$ mol of inorganic P per min from a 5 mM solution of sodium phytate at pH 5.5 and 37°C. The activity of phytase used in this experiment is 10 000 FYT/g.

## Birds, Diets, Experimental Design, and Housing

Hy-line brown laying hens (n=216; 63 weeks old) were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 treatments in a 10-week experiment, with 12 replications and 6 hens per replication (1 hen/ cage). The 3 dietary treatments were corn-soybean mealbased diets supplemented with 0%, 0.06% (6000 FYT/kg), or 0.12% (12 000 FTY/kg) phytase. The basal diet was formulated according to the recommendations of the Hy-line brown Management Guide (2014); the composition of the basal diet is shown in Table 1. To ensure complete enzyme mixture in the diets, premix processing was conducted according to the methods of Liu et al. (2019). The hens were individually housed in cages (38 cm width  $\times$  50 cm length  $\times$  40 cm height) in a windowless and climate-controlled room at 23°C, with sixteen hours (05:00 to 21:00) of artificial light per day. Feed and water were provided ad libitum, and all of the diets were presented in mash form.

#### Production Performance and Egg Quality Parameters

The number and weight of the laid eggs were recorded daily, per replication, and egg production was expressed as the average hen-day production. The collected eggs were classified as normal or damaged (i.e., broken eggs, cracked eggs, and shell-less eggs) to calculate the damaged egg ratio. At 3-week intervals and the conclusion of the experiment, 36 eggs (3 eggs per replication, excluding the damaged eggs) were randomly collected from each treatment at 17:00 to determine the egg quality at 20:00 the same day. The eggshell breaking strength was evaluated via an eggshell force gauge model II (Robotmation Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and a dial pipe gauge (Ozaki MFG. Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used to measure eggshell thickness - calculated as the average thickness of the rounded end, pointed end, and middle of the egg, excluding the inner membrane. An eggshell color fan (DSM, Basel, Switzerland) was used to visually score the eggshell color. Finally, the yolk color, albumen height, and Haugh unit (HU) were evaluated on an egg multi-tester machine (Touhoku Rhythm. Co., Ltd., Fukushima, Japan).

Table 1.	Ingredient and	l nutrient	compositions	of the
basal laying hen diet (as-fed basis)				

Item	
Ingredients (%)	
Corn	52.71
Soybean meal	10.99
Sesame meal	2
Distillers dried grains with soluble	20.01
Palm kernel meal	1.85
Tallow	0.7
Limestone	11.01
Mono-di-calcium phosphate	0.06
Salt	0.05
Methionine	0.05
Lysine	0.27
Choline	0.1
Vitamin premix <sup>1</sup>	0.1
Mineral premix <sup>2</sup>	0.1
Nutrient composition (%)	
Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)	2770
Dry matter	89.28
Moisture	10.72
Crude protein	15.70
Crude fat	4.01
Crude fiber	3.09
Crude ash	14.45
Calcium	4.31
Total phosphorus	0.37
Non-phytase phosphorus	0.12
Total lysine	0.76
Total methionine	0.38
Total cysteine	0.27
Total threonine	0.58
Total tryptophan	0.16

<sup>1</sup> Provided per kg of complete diet: 11 025 IU vitamin A; 1103 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub>; 44 IU vitamin E; 4.4 mg vitamin K; 8.3 mg riboflavin; 50 mg niacin; 4 mg thiamine; 29 mg d-pantothenic; 166 mg choline;  $33 \mu g$  vitamin B<sub>12</sub>.

<sup>2</sup> Provided per kg of complete diet: 12 mg Cu (as Copper sulfate pentahydrate); 85 mg Zn (as Zinc sulphate); 8 mg Mn (as Manganese dioxide); 0.28 mg I (as Potassium iodide); 0.15 mg Se (as Sodium thiosulfate).

#### Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed as a randomized complete design using the General Linear Models (GLM) procedure in SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The replications (n=12) served as the experimental unit. Differences between the treatments were detected by Tukey's multiple range test, and the results are presented as means and pooled standard error of the means (SEM). Probability values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Productive Performance**

The effects of microbial phytase on the production performance of older hens are presented in Table 2. Throughout the experiment, except for the first two weeks, higher hen-

periormanee	In older nens				
Items	CON	TRT1	TRT2	SEM <sup>2</sup>	P-value
Hen-day egg pi	oduction (%)				
Week 1	82.34	82.32	81.92	0.4495	0.1795
Week 2	83.94	85.52	85.12	0.7024	0.4092
Week 3	81.55 <sup>b</sup>	$87.30^{\mathrm{a}}$	$87.70^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.0831	0.0040
Week 4	80.95 <sup>b</sup>	86.90 <sup>a</sup>	86.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.6680	0.0002
Week 5	79.96 <sup>b</sup>	$84.92^{a}$	83.13 <sup>ab</sup>	1.0259	0.0194
Week 6	82.54 <sup>b</sup>	88.89 <sup>a</sup>	84.92 <sup>b</sup>	0.7769	0.0006
Week 7	84.92 <sup>b</sup>	89.09 <sup>a</sup>	$88.10^{ab}$	1.0182	0.0389
Week 8	84.13 <sup>b</sup>	$90.48^{a}$	$87.30^{ab}$	1.2980	0.0196
Week 9	82.74 <sup>b</sup>	86.71 <sup>a</sup>	86.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.9987	0.0274
Week 10	81.35 <sup>b</sup>	85.12 <sup>a</sup>	83.53 <sup>ab</sup>	0.9516	0.0542
Over all	82.24 <sup>c</sup>	$87.02^{a}$	85.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.3063	<.0001
Damaged egg r	atio (%)				
Week 1	0.47	0.46	0.00	0.2702	0.4016
Week 2	1.45	0.00	0.00	0.4354	0.0622
Week 3	0.75	0.24	0.24	0.5009	0.7177
Week 4	1.47	0.46	0.00	0.7438	0.3939
Week 5	0.75	0.00	0.23	0.4630	0.5276
Week 6	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.1415	0.4019
Week 7	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.2801	0.1722
Week 8	1.21	0.00	0.00	0.6973	0.4019
Week 9	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.5578	0.4019
Week 10	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.1436	0.4019
Over all	0.83	0.12	0.05	0.3944	0.3414

Table 2. Effects of microbial phytase dietary supplementation on the production performance in older hens<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Note: CON, basal diet; TRT1, CON + 0.06% microbial phytase; TRT2, CON + 0.12% microbial phytase. Values represent least squares means of 12 replicate cages containing 6 birds per cage.

<sup>2</sup> Standard error of means.

<sup>a, b, c</sup> Within a row, different superscripted letters are significantly different at  $P \le 0.05$ .

day egg production percentages were observed in the TRT1 group than in the CON group ( $P \le 0.05$ ). During weeks 3, 4, and 9, the TRT2 treatment exhibited a greater hen-day egg production percentage than the CON group ( $P \le 0.05$ ). However, the damaged egg ratio was not affected by phytase supplementation (P > 0.05). Overall, TRT1 had the highest hen-day egg production ratio among the treatments ( $P \le$ 0.05), and TRT2 had a greater egg production than CON (P <0.05). Other studies have also reported that phytase dietary supplementation could affect the egg production of laying hens. Van der Klis et al. (1997) reported a significant increase in egg production percentage with phytase supplementation at 250 and 500 FTU/kg, and Ciftci et al. (2005) found that 300 and 600 U/kg microbial phytase enzyme supplementation improved the hen-day egg production of 30-week-old hens. Sari et al. (2012) included 0.035% (500 FYT phytase/kg feed) phytase in a non-P supplemented diet and observed enhanced egg performance at 23 to 43 weeks of age. More recently, Kim et al. (2017) supplemented with 20 000 FTU/kg of phytase and reported increased hen-day egg production in 42- to 47-week-old hens. Still, the effects of phytase on egg production performance remain controversial due to some conflicting reports (Jalal and Scheiderler, 2001; Liebert et al., 2005; De Morelos, 2011; Englmaierova

et al., 2015). De Morelos (2011) reported no effects on daily egg production, egg numbers, egg mass, or egg weights in 35- to 47-week-old hens supplemented with 1200 IU/kg of phytase. Abbasi et al. (2015) also reported no effects of 600 U/kg of phytase supplementation on the egg production ratio or egg production of the hen house. Owing to the lack of available data, comparisons of the phytase supplementation responses in late laying period hens (63 to 72 weeks) is impossible. Inconsistencies in the prior results may be due to variations in the supplemental duration, dose, and sources of phytase, or the feed ingredients and age of the hens. According to the Hy-Line Brown Commercial Layers Management Guide (2016), the average egg production of 63- to 72week-old hens is 82.2%. In this study, the egg production of the CON treatment was similar to the breeder recommendations, while the TRT1 and TRT2 treatments had significantly improved egg production. There were no nutritional limitations in the diet of the control group, suggesting that the improvements in hen-day egg production in TRT1 and TRT2 were not due to increased feed consumption but improved nutrient use by the birds. Sohail and Roland (2000) reported that 300 FTU/kg of phytase improved calcium (Ca) availability and egg specific gravity. Camden et al. (2001) found that the ileal digestibility of P, starch, and fat were linearly

affected by the addition of 250, 500, and 1000 U/ kg of phytase to the diets. Supplementation with 500 U/kg of phytase enhanced the utilization of total P and crude protein compared to non-supplemented treatments (Yao et al., 2007). Li et al. (2014) reported that 250 U/kg phytase supplementation could improve the intestinal development of 24- to 47-weekold hens. Furthermore, recent work has highlighted the increased interest in higher levels of phytase supplementation in poultry diets. Cowieson et al. (2009) compared the recommended levels of supplementation to  $> 1000 \,\text{FTU/kg}$  of phytase and reported improved P and other nutrient utilization in broilers. Kim et al. (2017) super-dosed phytase (20 000 FTU/kg) and observed positive effects on the egg production rate in 42-week-old hens. Following the degradation of phytate, inorganic P and other nutrient components were obtained, indicating better digestibility and nutrient

absorption. Nutrients play a crucial role in the late laying period, improving egg production and extending the peak of the laying period. The results of this study suggest positive effects of phytase supplementation on the hen-day egg production and the damaged egg ratio in the late laying period and provide new insights for phytase use in older hens.

## Egg Quality

The effects of microbial phytase supplementation on the egg quality parameters in older hens are shown in Table 3. Phytase supplementation did not affect eggshell thickness and yolk color throughout the experiment (P > 0.05). For eggshell color, TRT2 had a significantly lower score than CON and TRT1 at week 9 (P < 0.05), whereas TRT2 had a significantly higher score than CON and TRT1 at the end of the experimental period (P < 0.05). Eggshell strength dif-

Table 3. Effects of microbial phytase dietary supplementation on the egg quality in older hens<sup>1</sup>

Items	CON	TRT1	TRT2	SEM <sup>2</sup>	P-value
Week 3					
Eggshell color	11.07	11.27	11.40	0.1509	0.2982
Eggshell thickness $(10^{-2} \text{ mm})$	35.47	35.71	34.99	0.3335	0.3081
Eggshell strength (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$3.71^{\mathrm{a}}$	3.63 <sup>ab</sup>	3.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.0649	0.0420
Albumen height (mm)	$12.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	9.31 <sup>b</sup>	9.31 <sup>b</sup>	0.2803	<.0001
Yolk color	6.95	7.03	7.22	0.0941	0.1106
Egg weight (g)	64.34	65.94	64.15	0.5836	0.0663
Haugh unit	$104.77^{a}$	92.76 <sup>b</sup>	90.94 <sup>b</sup>	1.6385	<.0001
Week 6					
Eggshell color	10.80	10.90	11.17	0.1791	0.3334
Eggshell thickness (10 <sup>-2</sup> mm)	33.26	33.60	33.42	0.4065	0.8371
Eggshell strength (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	3.54 <sup>a</sup>	3.25 <sup>b</sup>	3.26 <sup>b</sup>	0.544	0.0005
Albumen height (mm)	7.45	7.46	7.83	0.1667	0.1860
Yolk color	6.59	6.82	6.90	0.1259	0.2166
Egg weight (g)	$63.53^{a}$	$65.58^{a}$	$60.56^{b}$	0.6286	<.0001
Haugh unit	89.12	90.14	87.34	0.9359	0.1103
Week 9					
Eggshell color	11.37 <sup>a</sup>	11.48 <sup>a</sup>	10.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.2936	0.0013
Eggshell thickness (10 <sup>-2</sup> mm)	34.59	33.47	34.56	0.5368	0.2485
Eggshell strength (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	3.66	3.61	3.46	0.1013	0.3536
Albumen height (mm)	7.75	7.79	7.44	0.2573	0.5736
Yolk color	6.69	6.54	6.43	0.1279	0.3781
Egg weight (g)	63.44	64.70	62.25	1.1046	0.3007
Haugh unit	$89.24^{ab}$	$91.80^{\mathrm{a}}$	$84.02^{b}$	1.8650	0.0150
Week 10					
Eggshell color	10.70 <sup>b</sup>	10.67 <sup>b</sup>	11.50 <sup>a</sup>	0.1996	0.0060
Eggshell thickness $(10^{-2} \text{ mm})$	33.66	33.54	33.13	0.3927	0.6089
Eggshell strength (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	3.45	3.61	3.42	0.0961	0.3399
Albumen height (mm)	7.77	7.72	7.68	0.2492	0.8840
Yolk color	6.64	6.56	6.56	0.1397	0.8840
Egg weight (g)	63.33	63.96	62.29	1.0409	0.5199
Haugh unit	91.01	85.72	86.80	1.7110	0.0782

<sup>1</sup>Note: CON, basal diet; TRT1, CON + 0.06% microbial phytase; TRT2, CON + 0.12% microbial phytase. Values represent least squares means of 12 replicate cages containing 6 birds per cage.

<sup>2</sup> SEM, Standard error of means.

<sup>a, b</sup> Within a row, different superscripted letters are significantly different at P < 0.05.

fered significantly between TRT2 and CON at week 3 ( $P \le$ 0.05), but at week 6, the CON group had a significantly stronger eggshell than TRT1 and TRT2 ( $P \le 0.05$ ). For albumen height, TRT1 and TRT2 were both lower than CON at week 3 ( $P \le 0.05$ ). Additionally, the Haugh unit was significantly affected at weeks 3 and 9 ( $P \le 0.05$ ). After 12 months of laying, the egg-laying ability of commercial hens starts to decline to a point where the flock is commercially unviable (Browne, 2002). The hens used in this study were from this period, which may explain the erratic results for the egg quality parameters. Kim et al. (2017) also reported no effects of super-dosing phytase (10 000 to 30 000 FTU/kg) on the eggshell thickness and eggshell strength of 47-weekold hens. However, Englmaierova et al. (2015) supplemented 38- to 52-week-old hens with 350 FTU/kg of phytase and 2.1 g/kg non-phytate P and observed high eggshell thickness values but no effects on the shell breaking strength. The eggshell protects the egg against damage and microbial contamination and prevents desiccation. The bulk of the eggshell is made of calcium carbonate crystals that are stabilized by a protein matrix, and the organic matrix is thought to play a role in calcium deposition during the mineralization process (Lavelin et al., 2000; Arias and Fernandez, 2001; Hunton, 2005). The effectiveness of phytase in improving the eggshell strength may be associated with the utilization of minerals. The color agent present in the diet could affect yolk color, and the yolk color is directly influenced by pigments in the feed ingredients (Park et al., 2018). The results of this study showed that yolk color was affected by phytase supplementation; similar results were documented by Hassanien and Sanaa (2011). Li et al. (2014) reported that phytase in the diet may enhance nutrient absorption via greater villus height and crypt depth, thereby improving intestinal health. Similarly, Yan et al. (2009) noted that the digestibility of calcium, nitrogen, and P increased linearly with increasing phytase doses in 68-weekold hens. Um et al. (1999) supplemented with 250 U/kg of phytase and observed significant effects on the mineral contents of the tibia (i.e., zinc, iron, copper, and magnesium). The beneficial effects on egg weight and HU may be due to favorable alterations in the intestinal environment and function, which subsequently increased intestinal nutrient absorption. However, further experiments are needed to explore the mechanisms of phytase supplementation on egg quality and to identify the optimal dose in older hens.

In conclusion, the results of this study suggest that microbial phytase supplementation to corn-soybean meal-based diets could improve the hen-day egg production, reduce the egg damage ratio, and alter the egg quality parameters in 63to 72-week-old hens.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

Abbasi M, Zaghari M, Ganjkhanlo M and Khalaji S. Is dietary iron requirement of broiler breeder hens at the late stage of production cycle influenced by phytase supplementation? Journal of Applied Animal Research, 43: 166–176. 2015.

- Arias JL and Fernandez MS. Role of extracellular matrix molecules in shell formation and structure. World's Poultry Science Journal, 57: 349–357. 2001.
- Browne A. Ten weeks to live. Observer, 22-6. 2002.
- Boling SD, Douglas MW, Johnson ML, Wang X, Parsons CM, Koelkebeck KW and Zimmerman RA. The effects of dietary available phosphorus levels and phytase on performance of young and older laying hens. Poultry Science, 79: 224–230. 2000.
- Camden BJ, Morel PCH, Thomas DV, Ravindran V and Bedford MR. Effectiveness of exogenous microbial phytase in improving the bioavailabilities of phosphorus and other nutrients in maize-soya-bean meal diets for broilers. Animal Science, 73: 289–297. 2001.
- Ciftci M, Dalkilic B and Azman MA. Effects of microbial phytase supplementation on feed consumption and egg production of laying hens. International Journal of Poultry Science, 410: 758-760. 2005.
- Cowieson AJ, Acamovic T and Bedford MR. Supplementation of corn-soy-based diets with an Eschericia coli-derived phytase: effects on broiler chick performance and the digestibility of amino acids and metabolizability of minerals and energy. Poultry Science, 85: 1389–1397. 2006.
- Deniz G, Gezen SS, Kara C, Gencoglu H, Meral Y and Baser E. Evaluation of nutrient equivalency of microbial phytase in hens in late lay given maize–soybean or distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS) diets. British Poultry Science, 544: 494–502. 2013.
- De Morelos GT. Effect of energy level and phytase addition on egg production and quality. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 56: 1368–1371. 2011.
- Englmaierova M, Skrivan M, Skrivanova E, Bubancova I, Cermak L and Vlckova J. Effects of a low-phosphorus diet and exogenous phytase on performance, egg quality, and bacterial colonisation and digestibility of minerals in the digestive tract of laying hens. Czech Journal of Animal Science, 60: 542–549. 2015.
- Englmaierová M, Skřivan M, Skřivanová E and Čermák L. Limestone particle size and Aspergillus niger phytase in the diet of older hens. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 16: 608–615. 2017.
- Gordon RW and Roland Sr DA. Performance of commercial laying hens fed various phosphorus levels, with and without supplemental phytase. Poultry Science, 76: 1172–1177. 1997.
- Hassanien HHM and Sanaa HME. Comparison difference levels of phytase enzyme supplementation on laying hen performance, egg quality and some blood parameters. Asian Journal of Poultry Science, 5: 77–85. 2011.
- Hunton P. Research on eggshell structure and quality: An historical overview. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science, 7: 67–71. 2005.
- Jalal MA and Scheideler SE. Effect of supplementation of two different sources of phytase on egg production parameters in laying hens and nutrient digestiblity. Poultry Science, 80: 1463–1471. 2001.
- Kim JH, Pitargue FM, Jung H, Han GP, Choi HS and Kil DY. Effect of super dosing phytase on productive performance and egg quality in laying hens. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 30: 994. 2017.
- Kozlowski K and Jeroch H. Efficacy of different levels of Escherichia coli phytase in hens fed maize-soyabean meal based diets with a decreased non-phytase phosphorus content. Journal

of Animal and Feed Sciences, 2: 20. 2011.

- Lavelin I, Meiri N and Pines M. New insight in eggshell formation. Poultry Science, 79: 1014–1017. 2000.
- Lichtenberg J, Pedersen PB, Elvig-Joergensen SG, Skov LK, Olsen CL and Glitsoe LV. Toxicological studies on a novel phytase expressed from synthetic genes in Aspergillus oryzae. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 60: 401–410. 2011.
- Liebert F, Htoo JK and Sünder A. Performance and nutrient utilization of laying hens fed low-phosphorus corn-soybean and wheat-soybean diets supplemented with microbial phytase. Poultry Science, 84: 1576–1583. 2005.
- Liu W, Yuan Y, Sun C, Balasubramanian B, Zhao Z and An L. Effects of Dietary Betaine on Growth Performance, Digestive Function, Carcass Traits, and Meat Quality in Indigenous Yellow-Feathered Broilers under Long-Term Heat Stress. Animals, 9: 506. 2019.
- Li LB, Chen XL, Yue HY, Gao YP and Wu SG. Effects of Phytase on Performance, Metabolism of Calcium and Phosphorus and Intestinal Morphology of Layer Hens Fed Corn-Miscellaneous Meal Diets. Chinese Journal of Animal Nutrition, 26: 1593– 1600. 2014.
- Mullaney EJ, Daly CB and Ullah AH. Advances in phytase research. Advances in Applied Microbiology, 47: 157–199. 2000.
- Musilová A, Lichovníková M and Przywarová A. Effect of exogenous phytase on egg quality in laying hens. Acta Fytotechnica et Zootechnica, 17: 79–83. 2014.
- Park JH, Kim YM and Kim IH. Egg Production, Egg Quality, Blood Profiles, Cecal Microflora, and Excreta Noxious Gas Emission in Laying Hens Fed with Fenugreek (Trigonella foenumgraecum L.) Seed Extract. Journal of Poultry Science, 55: 47– 53. 2018.
- Sari M, Onol AG, Daskiran M and Cengiz O. Egg production and calcium-phosphorus utilization of laying hens fed diets supplemented with phytase alone or in combination with organic acid. International Journal of Poultry Science, 11: 181–189. 2012.

- Sebastian S, Touchburn SP, Chavez ER and Lague PC. The effects of supplemental microbial phytase on the performance and utilization of dietary calcium, phosphorus, copper, and zinc in broiler chickens fed corn-soybean diets. Poultry Science, 75: 729–736. 1996.
- Sohail SS and Roland Sr DA. Influence of phytase on calcium utilization in commercial layers. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 9: 81-87. 2000.
- Torrallardona D, Salvadó R and Broz J. The supplementation of low-P diets with microbial 6-phytase expressed in *Aspergillus* oryzae increases P and Ca digestibility in growing pigs. Journal of Animal Science, 90: 77–79. 2012.
- Um JS, Paik IK, Chang MB and Lee BH. Effects of microbial phytase supplementation to diets with low non-phytate phosphorus levels on the performance and bioavailability of nutrients in laying hens. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 12: 203–208. 1999.
- Van der Klis JD, Versteegh HA, Simons PC and Kies AK. The efficacy of phytase in corn-soybean meal-based diets for laying hens. Poultry Science, 76: 1535–1542. 1997.
- Viveros A, Centeno C, Brenes A, Canales R and Lozano A. Phytase and acid phosphatase activities in plant feedstuffs. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 48: 4009–4013. 2000.
- Yan L, Zhou TX, Jang HD, Hyun Y, Kim HS and Kim IH. Comparative effects of phytase derived from Escherichia coli and Aspergillus niger in sixty eight-week-old laying hens fed cornsoy diet. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 22: 1391–1399. 2009.
- Yao JH, Han JC, Wu SY, Xu M, Zhong LL, Liu YR and Wang YJ. Supplemental wheat bran and microbial phytase could replace inorganic phosphorus in laying hen diets. Czech Journal of Animal Science, 52: 407. 2007.
- Zaghari M, Gaykani R, Shivazad M and Taherkhani R. Evaluation of phytase nutrient equivalency for old layer hens. Asian Journal of Poultry Science, 2: 24–29. 2008.