

OPEN

Longitudinal comparison of quality of life in patients undergoing laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication versus magnetic sphincter augmentation

Observational cohort study with propensity score analysis

Emanuele Asti, MD, Gianluca Bonitta, MSc, Andrea Lovece, MD, Veronica Lazzari, MD, Luigi Bonavina, MD, PhD, FACS^{*}

Abstract

Only a minority of patients with gastro-esophageal reflux disease (GERD) are offered a surgical option. This is mostly due to the fear of potential side effects, the variable success rate, and the extreme alteration of gastric anatomy with the current gold standard, the laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. It has been reported that laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication (LTF) and laparoscopic sphincter augmentation using a magnetic device (LINX) can treat reflux more physiologically and with a lower incidence of side-effects and reoperation rate. We present the first comparing quality of life in patients undergoing LTF versus LINX.

Observational cohort study. Consecutive patients undergoing LTF or LINX over the same time period were compared by using the propensity score full matching method and generalized estimating equation. Criteria of exclusion were >3 cm hiatal hernia, grade C–D esophagitis, ineffective esophageal motility, body mass index >35, and previous upper abdominal surgery. The primary study outcome was quality of life measured with the Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease-Health Related Quality of Life (GERD-HRQL) questionnaire. Secondary outcomes were proton pump inhibitors (PPI) use, presence of gas-related symptoms or dysphagia, and reoperation-free probability.

Between March 2007 and July 2014, 238 patients with GERD met the criteria of inclusion in the study. Of these, 103 underwent an LTF and 135 a LINX procedure. All patients had a minimum 1-year follow-up. Over time, patients in both groups had similar GERD-HRQL scores (odds ratio [OR] 1.04, confidence interval [CI] 0.89–1.27; P=0.578), PPI use (OR 1.18, CI 0.81–1.70; P=0.388), gas-related symptoms (OR 0.69, CI 0.21–2.28; P=0.542), dysphagia (OR 0.62, CI 0.26–1.30; P=0.241), and reoperation-free probability (stratified log-rank test=0.556).

In 2 concurrent cohorts of patients with early stage GERD undergoing LTF or LINX and matched by propensity score analysis, health-related quality of life significantly improved and GERD-HRQL scores had a similar decreasing trend over time up to 7 years of follow-up. We conclude that LTF and LINX provide similar disease-specific quality of life over time in patients with early stage GERD.

Abbreviations: GEE = generalized estimating equation, GERD = gastro-esophageal reflux disease, GERD-HRQL = Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease-Health Related Quality of Life, LTF = laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication, PPI = proton pump inhibitors, PS = propensity score.

Keywords: gastroesophageal reflux, GERD-HRQL score, laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication, laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication, lower esophageal sphincter, magnetic LES augmentation, propensity score

Editor: Papavramidis Theodossis.

LB has been consultant for Torax Medical Inc.

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health, Division of General Surgery, University of Milan Medical School, IRCCS Policlinico San Donato, San Donato Milanese, Milan, Italy.

^{*} Correspondence: Luigi Bonavina, Dipartimento di Chirurgia, IRCCS Policlinico San Donato, Piazza Malan 2, 20097 San Donato Milanese, Milan, Italy (e-mail: luigi.bonavina@unimi.it).

Copyright @ 2016 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2016) 95:30(e4366)

Received: 9 March 2016 / Received in final form: 21 April 2016 / Accepted: 5 July 2016

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000004366

1. Introduction

Gastro-esophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a highly prevalent disease affecting up to 30% of the population in Western countries. The burden of the disease in the general population is probably underestimated because many people with symptoms do not consult a physician. The diagnosis of GERD has increased more than 200% from 1998 to 2005, and it is now the most common reason for access to gastroenterology outpatient clinics.^[1] The impact of GERD on quality of life is worse than other common chronic conditions such as diabetes, arthritis, and congestive heart failure. Gastroesophageal reflux interferes with physical activity and social functioning, disturbs sleep, reduces productivity at work, and leads to increased healthcare resource utilization; therefore, the primary goal of therapy in uncomplicated GERD is to improve patient's symptoms and quality of life over time.^[2]

Proton pump inhibitors (PPI) represent the first-line therapy approach in GERD; however, nearly 40% of patients have inadequate symptom relief despite high dose medication. This is mainly because the therapeutic gain for the relief of regurgitation is modest and considerably lower than that for heartburn.^[3]

Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication is generally recognized as the gold standard of antireflux surgery worldwide. On the other hand, the Nissen procedure is highly operator-dependent, has a variable success rate, can lead to potential side effects, and is regarded by some a sort of overtreatment for patients with mild to moderate GERD. As a consequence, the number of Nissen fundoplications has steadily declined over the recent years.^[4]

The debate about the choice of the most appropriate surgical technique to provide optimal reflux control while minimizing the side effects is still ongoing. It has been assumed that the laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication (LTF) would provide less outflow resistance, thereby lowering the dysphagia and the bloating rate, and some surgeons favor this operation arguing that a partial fundoplication is more physiological and effective at least in patients with "mild" disease.^[5]

Over the past 15 years we have been performing LTF in patients with early stage GERD and in those with large hiatal hernia or ineffective esophageal motility. In 2007, we started to perform laparoscopic magnetic sphincter augmentation with the LINX device as part of a feasibility trial,^[6] and this is still an option we offer to patients with early stage GERD. It is a simple standardized laparoscopic procedure that does not alter gastric anatomy, provides relief of reflux-related symptoms without impeding the ability to belch or vomit, and is reversible if necessary.^[7] The LINX device is FDA approved and is currently available in the market.

The aim of this study was to assess and compare health-related quality of life over time in 2 concurrent cohorts of patients undergoing LTF or LINX matched by propensity score (PS).

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

Observational cohort study. All patients undergoing an LTF or LINX procedure between March 2007 and July 2014 were identified from a prospectively collected data base. The time frame was chosen to include patients undergoing both surgical operations during the same period and to allow for a minimum 1-year follow-up. Ethical approval was waived and written consent was not given to the patients because all data were analyzed anonymously. We adopted the STROBE criteria for reporting an observational study.^[8]

Inclusion criteria were age >18 years, chronic GERD symptoms despite PPI use for at least 6 months, objective evidence of reflux at the pH study, and normal esophageal motility documented by manometry. Exclusion criteria were the following: hiatal hernia >3 cm, esophagitis grade C-D, ineffective esophageal motility, body mass index >35, and previous esophago-gastric surgery. The primary outcome was postoperative quality of life measured with the validated and diseasespecific Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease-Health Related Quality of Life (GERD-HRQL) questionnaire.^[9] Questionnaires were administered in the outpatient clinic to all patients. Secondary outcomes were PPI use, presence of gas-related symptoms or dysphagia, and reoperation-free probability. Patients in both groups were evaluated at 3 to 12 months, and then every 12 months with the GERD-HRQL survey plus questions about PPI use, gas-related symptoms and dysphagia.

2.2. Surgical procedure

Both the LTF and LINX procedures were performed according to a standard institutional protocol. In the LTF procedure, the right and left diaphragmatic crus were dissected in order to create a large retroesophageal window and encircle the esophagus en bloc with the posterior vagus nerve. The vagal branch to the gallbladder was routinely preserved. After division of the phrenoesophageal ligament, the esophagus was pulled down and dissection carried out in the mediastinum in order to obtain a 4 cm tension-free intra-abdominal esophageal segment. A posterior hiatoplasty was routinely performed. The gastrophrenic ligament along with the proximal short gastric vessels was divided and the fundus was wrapped behind the esophagus. Four cardinal nonabsorbable stitches were placed to held the fundoplication in place by tailoring the fundus symmetrically on both sides and without tension. The 2 proximal stitches were also fixed to the diaphragm. Finally, both edges of the fundic wrap were sutured to the right and left side of the esophageal wall using nonabsorbable running sutures.

In the LINX procedure, the peritoneal reflection overlying the esophago-gastric junction was divided, and the mediastinal cavity was not routinely entered in an attempt to preserve the phrenoesophageal ligament. A posterior hiatoplasty was added at discretion of the surgeon only when the hiatus appeared to be markedly enlarged. The vagal branch to the gallbladder was routinely preserved. The posterior vagus nerve and the area corresponding to the Z line were identified and a tunnel was created between the posterior vagus nerve and the esophagus. A special sizing instrument was used to measure the circumference of the esophagus and an appropriately sized device was placed through the tunnel. The ends of the device were then secured anteriorly.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Continuous data are presented as median and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables are shown as numbers and percentages. Wilcoxon signed-rank, Wilcoxon matched pairs signed-rank, Fisher exact, or χ^2 tests and conditional logistic regression were performed as appropriate. Confidence intervals (CIs) at 95% confidence level, 2-sided statistical test with type I error=0.05, and q-value (q) for multiple test with false discovery rate=0.05. All analyses were carried out using R software package version 3.2.2.^[10]

To reduce the impact of treatment selection bias inherent to an observational study, we compared postoperative GERD-HRQL of patients with LTF and LINX using the PS matching method. The PS, defined as the conditional probability of assignment to a treatment given a vector of particular observed covariates, is designed to mimic some of the particular characteristics of a randomized clinical trial in the context of an observational study. As appropriate and with caution, PS analysis allows estimation of relative risk in binary outcomes.^[11]

We computed a PS for individual patients with logistic regression using demographic and clinical variables,^[12] and evaluated the interaction among all preoperative covariates and square terms without time-dependent variables. The generalized additive model was used to check linear assumption in PS model. The 2 patient groups were then matched using the PS full-matching method.^[13] The balance of baseline covariates after matching was assessed using the standardized difference of mean,^[14] and the overlap degree of PS distribution. We also performed sensitivity analysis^[15] to assess possible hidden bias

due to unobserved confounders. We analyzed correlated repeated measure of GERD-HRQL, PPI use, presence of gas-related symptoms, and dysphagia over time with generalized estimating equation (GEE) in PS full-matched dataset; we used sandwich estimator and autoregressive of order 1 working correlation matrix.^[16] In particular, to account for skewed and doubly bounded nature of GERD-HRQL scores, we performed linear transformation of GERD-HRQL values. Then, we specified mean and variance function of beta distribution with logit as mean function.^[17] The linear predictor for means includes preoperative transformed GERD-HRQL, treatment, time, and time-treatment interaction. GEE takes into account that the PS method allows for estimation of marginal treatment effect and the matched nature of data. For models selection we used quasi-likelihood information criterion. Wald test and Wald CI were computed in GEE analysis. We established a 1.5 clinical effect-size threshold for the odds ratio (OR), a value that is compatible with clinical experience and published indices.^[18]

The Kaplan–Meier reoperation-free probability curves were estimated separately for LTF and LINX in the PS full-matched sample. Stratified log-rank test was used to compare the curves. Hazard ratio was estimated with univariate marginal survival model with robust standard errors in PS full-matched data set.^[14] Proportional hazard assumption was tested. Reference group was LTF for all models.

3. Results

All 238 patients were successfully treated via a laparoscopic approach. The duration of the surgical procedure was 87 minutes (IQR 28) in the LTF group and 42 minutes (IQR 34) in the LINX group (P < 0.001). One patient in the LINX group had a respiratory arrest within the 1st hour postoperatively and was successfully resuscitated without consequences. Postoperative morbidity consisted of atrial fibrillation (n=1), urinary retention (n=1), and bleeding from a trocar site (n=1), all occurring in the LTF group.

3.1. Preoperative patient characteristics

The preoperative patients' characteristics are reported in Table 1. There were statistically significant differences in 6 of the 19 covariates. Thus, as typically occurs in observational studies, there were systematic differences in preoperative characteristics between the 2 treatments.

In the PS full-matched data, 16 of the variables had absolute standardized differences of mean after matching that exceeded 0.10 (Table 2). The absolute standardized differences of mean ranged from 0.06 to 0.1, with a median of 0.044 (25th and 75th percentiles 0.023–0.071, respectively), indicating that the means and prevalences of continuous and dichotomous variables were very similar between treatment groups. The variance ratios for continuous variables ranged from 0.83 to 1.10, indicating that the variance variables were similar between the 2 treatment groups.

3.2. Postoperative follow-up

All patients had a minimum 1-year follow-up. The mean postoperative follow-up was 42 and 44 months in LTF and LINX groups, respectively.

3.3. Quality of life

The GERD-HRQL score significantly decreased within normal values to a similar extent after both procedures (Fig. 1A and B).

Table 1

Baseline characteristics of the study sample.

	Toupet (n=103)	LINX (n = 135)	Р
Sex, M/F	61/42	44/91	< 0.001
Age, y	50 (24)	44 (20)	ns
BMI, kg/m ²	25.10 (4.47)	23.94 (4.54)	ns
Comorbidities, n (%)			
Anxiety/depression	4 (4)	20 (15)	0.008
Asthma	5 (5)	9 (7)	ns
Barrett esophagus	7 (7)	6 (4)	ns
Hypertension	24 (23)	20 (15)	ns
Symptoms, n (%)			
Typical	56 (54)	43 (32)	< 0.001
Atypical	1 (1)	7 (5)	ns
Mixed	46 (45)	85 (63)	0.008
GERD-HRQL score	19.70 (11.00)	21.00 (9.00)	ns
Disease duration, y	6.0 (7.0)	5.0 (7.0)	ns
Duration of PPI therapy, y	5.0 (5.0)	4.0 (5.5)	ns
%pH $<$ 4 (total)	8.30 (7.70)	8.00 (6.15)	ns
%pH $<$ 4 (upright)	6.50 (7.30)	7.90 (7.25)	0.04
%pH $<$ 4 (supine)	11.00 (14.20)	5.80 (9.40)	0.002
DeMeester score	37.6 (26.4)	31.4 (25.3)	ns
Hernia size, cm	2.00 (1.00)	2.00 (1.00)	ns
Hospital stay, d	2.0 (1.0)	2.0 (0.0)	ns

Continuous values are expressed as median and interquartile range.

BMI = body mass index, GERD-HRQL = Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease-Health Related Quality of Life, PPI = proton pump inhibitors.

Parameters estimate by the beta GEE model for GERD-HRQL are shown in Table 3. Over time, there was no statistical difference in the GERD-HRQL scores between the LTF and LINX groups as indicated by the time-treatment interaction term

Table 2

Standardized difference of mean before and after full propensity score matching with relative balance improvement (%) for each baseline characteristic.

	Standardized difference of me		
Variables	Before matching	After matching	
Propensity score	0.494	0.010	
Sex	0.556	0.012	
Age	0.230	0.024	
BMI	-0.319	0.050	
Comorbidities			
Anxiety/depression	-0.299	0.022	
Asthma	0.060	0.039	
Barrett esophagus	-0.134	0.090	
Hypertension	-0.221	0.057	
Symptoms			
Typical	0.465	0.044	
Atypical	-0.187	0.057	
Mixed	-0.363	-0.070	
GERD-HRQL	0.150	0.090	
Disease duration	-0.252	-0.089	
PPI therapy duration	-0.159	0.006	
%pH $<$ 4 (total)	0.049	0.010	
%pH $<$ 4 (upright)	0.191	0.042	
%pH $<$ 4 (supine)	-0.189	0.033	
DeMeester score	-0.029	0.014	
Hernia size	0.103	0.100	
Hospital stay	1.304	0.072	

BMI = body mass index, GERD-HRQL = Gastro Esophageal Reflux Disease-Health Related Quality of Life, PPI = proton pump inhibitors.



GERD-HRQL SCORE (TOUPET)

Figure 1. (A and B) Comparison of pre and postoperative GERD-HRQL scores in patients with LTF and LINX at each measured follow-up time. Wilcoxon matched pairs signed-rank, q values (q), and false discovery rate = 0.05 level. Values are expressed as median and interquartile range. GERD-HRQL = Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease-Health Related Quality of Life, LTF = laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication.

in the GEE model (OR 1.04, CI 0.89–1.27; P=0.578). Furthermore, the OR CI did not encompass the clinical significance previously established at 1.5 threshold. The raw analysis showed similar results (OR 0.99, CI 0.94–1.03; P=0.528). Due to the fact that the pattern of change was the same over time in both groups, we assumed a linear trend and refitted the model by excluding the interaction term to investigate the trend of the logit of mean of GERD-HRQL. This showed a decreasing linear trend over time (GEE model time parameter -0.069, CI -0.104 to -0.032; P < 0.001) (Fig. 2).

3.4. PPI use, gas-related symptoms, dysphagia, and reoperation rate

As indicated by the time-treatment interaction term in the GEE model, over time there was no statistical difference in PPI use (OR 1.18, CI 0.81–1.70; P=0.388), gas-related symptoms (OR 0.69, CI 0.21–2.28; P=0.532), and dysphagia (OR 0.62, CI 0.26–1.30; P=0.241) between the LTF and LINX groups. As expected, the prevalence of dysphagia was significantly greater in patients with LINX at 3-month follow up (OR 9.42, CI 2.22–20.10; P<0.001). At 1-year follow-up, there was no

difference in the prevalence of dysphagia (interaction time term not significant, treatment term 0.78, CI -0.02 to 1.57; *P* = 0.357). There was no statistical difference in the reoperation-free probability between patients with LTF and LINX (stratified log-

	1.1		-	L
la	9	E	9	L

Results of beta GEE model for GERD-HRQL outcomes.

Beta GEE			
Estimate	95% Confidence interval	Р	
-1.735	-2.359/-1.111	< 0.001	
0.318	-0.770/1.406	0.365	
-0.130	-0.261/-0.002	0.049	
-0.309	-0.666/0.047	0.090	
0.043	-0.110/0.197	0.578	
0.565	—	—	
0.267	—	—	
	Estimate -1.735 0.318 -0.130 -0.309 0.043 0.565 0.267	Beta GEE 95% Confidence interval -1.735 -2.359/-1.111 0.318 -0.770/1.406 -0.130 -0.261/-0.002 -0.309 -0.666/0.047 0.043 -0.110/0.197 0.565 0.267	

AR = auto regressive, GEE = generalized estimating equation, GERD-HRQL = Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease-Health Related Quality of Life.



Figure 2. Spaghetti plot: trajectories over time of linearly transformed GERD-HRQL scores for each individual according to the surgical technique. GERD-HRQL = Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease-Health Related Quality of Life.

rank test, P=0.556, HR 0.77, CI 0.234–2.57; P=0.687). At 80 months, the estimated Kaplan–Meier reoperation-free probability survival was 0.97 (CI 0.88–0.99) in patients with LTF and 0.94 (CI 0.89–0.98) in patients with LINX (Fig. 3).

All study outcomes are summarized in Table 4.

4. Discussion

The main finding of this observational study is that long-term health-related quality of life was similar in patients undergoing LTF and LINX. We also found that over time the GERD-HRQL scores had a similar decreasing trend in both groups. Longitudinal data, analyzed with appropriate statistical techniques such as GEE, can depict the temporal evolution of the outcome and take into account intra-individual variability. Since patient perception and satisfaction are reasonable and measurable indicators of the success of a surgical procedure, we assume that longitudinal quality of life data play an important role in the process of decision-making and counseling patients with GERD who are candidates for a laparoscopic antireflux procedure.

The long-held dogma that Nissen fundoplication is the ideal antireflux operation has recently been challenged. Systematic



Figure 3. Reoperation-free probability in patients with LTF and LINX (stratified log-rank test for comparison of Kaplan–Meier curves, P=0.556). LTF= laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication.

review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled studies have shown that LTF is equally effective to improve quality of life and is associated with less postoperative dysphagia and gas-related symptoms compared to Nissen fundoplication.^[19,20]

Laparoscopic magnetic sphincter augmentation with the LINX device is an emerging surgical option for the treatment of GERD. A single-center study^[21] and a multicenter single-arm study,^[22] enrolling 100 patients each, evaluated the long-term results of magnetic augmentation and showed that the procedure provides significant and sustained control of reflux with minimal sideeffects or complications up to 6 years of follow-up. However, no randomized trials exist that can validate the effectiveness of the LINX and reliably compare its results with other established surgical therapies. Interestingly, the patient profile for the LINX procedure is very similar to that required for the Toupet fundoplication, which has been successfully employed in patients with "mild" GERD.^[5] Three recent observational studies have compared LINX and laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. Louie et al^[23] performed a retrospective case-control study comparing 66 patients undergoing LINX or Nissen fundoplication; at a mean follow-up of 6 and 10 months, respectively, scores on the GERD-HRQL scale significantly improved in both groups. Reynolds et al^[24] conducted a retrospective analysis of 1-year outcomes of 100 patients matched by PS; although the GERD-HRQL scores were similar in both groups, there were 10.6% of patients in the Nissen group complaining of severe gas-bloat symptoms compared with 0% in the LINX group. Finally, a smaller case-matched study by Sheu et al^[25] including 24 patients followed for an average of 7 months showed that severe dysphagia requiring endoscopic dilation was more frequent in the LINX group.

In the present study, for the first time, the long-term outcomes of a sizeable number of patients with LTF and LINX having a

Table 4					
Results of primary and secondary study outcomes over time.					
Outcome	Measure	Estimate	CI	Р	
HRQL	OR	1.04	0.89-1.27	0.578	
PPL use	OB	1 18	0 81-1 70	0.388	

OR	1.04	0.89-1.27	0.578
OR	1.18	0.81-1.70	0.388
OR	0.69	0.21-2.28	0.532
OR	0.62	0.26-1.30	0.241
HR	0.77	0.234-2.57	0.687
	OR OR OR HR	OR 1.04 OR 1.18 OR 0.69 OR 0.62 HR 0.77	OR 1.04 0.89–1.27 OR 1.18 0.81–1.70 OR 0.69 0.21–2.28 OR 0.62 0.26–1.30 HR 0.77 0.234–2.57

OR values are relative to time procedure interaction of GEE.

CI = confidence interval, GEE = generalized estimating equation, HR = hazards ratio, HRQL = Health Related Quality of Life, OR = odds ratio, PPI = proton pump inhibitors.

minimum 1-year follow-up and sharing similar characteristics of disease severity were compared in a longitudinal manner. We confirm that these surgical procedures equally normalize GERD-HRQL scores, and that the results are maintained over time for up to 7 years with a similar trend in both groups. As expected, dysphagia occurred more frequently at 3 months in patients undergoing the LINX procedure but this difference disappeared at 1 year. The reason for reoperation among patients with LINX was persistent dysphagia in 3, recurrent heartburn/regurgitation in 3, and erosion in 1. In all these individuals, the LINX device was safely removed through laparoscopy and a standard fundoplication was performed. Conversely, all 4 patients with LTF who required a reoperation complained of recurrent heartburn/regurgitation.

A strength of this study is that we used a longitudinal model to analyze health-related quality of life scores over time. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the GERD-HRQL questionnaire is employed in a longitudinal study using beta GEE analysis. As opposed to pure cross-sectional studies, longitudinal studies with repeated measurements taken over time are more reliable in establishing causality.^[26] Waiting for a randomized clinical trial, the present study may provide some indications to surgeons and patients for selecting the most suitable laparoscopic antireflux procedure. The study sample size was sufficient to produce a narrow OR CI that did not encompass the clinical significance. The possible residual selection bias of our propensity-matched analysis was further mitigated by the fact that we have compared LTF to the LINX and not to the Nissen, a procedure that we usually reserve to patients with advanced GERD. In addition, we used similar criteria of exclusion from the study for both procedures.

Limitations of this study are that the GERD-HRQL is a validated, but still subjective test, and the LINX procedures were not standardized regarding crural repair. Hidden bias typical of an observational study cannot be excluded due to unmeasured and unmeasurable confounding factors. The PS model could be biased, and we did not consider possible measurable timedependant confounders. Despite the sensitivity analysis showed negligible residual bias, we need to be cautious in interpreting the overall study results.

5. Conclusions

Both LTF and LINX can be safely offered as a first choice surgical option in patients with early stage GERD. However, a randomized clinical trial would be required to demonstrate the equivalence of the 2 procedures. Compared to fundoplication, LINX appears to be a simple, standardized, and easily reversible procedure that does not alter gastric anatomy; operative time is shorter but the cost of the device should be considered. Further research is needed to investigate correlation between longitudinal quality of life data with objective long-term outcome of these procedures.

References

- Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) Hospitalizations in 1998 and 2005. HCUP-US Home Page. http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/ statbriefs/sb44.jsp.
- [2] Wicklund L. Review of the quality of life and burden of illness in gastroesophageal reflux disease. Dig Dis 2004;22:108–14.

- [3] Kahrilas PJ, Howden CW, Hughes N. Response of regurgitation to proton pump inhibitor therapy in clinical trials of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2011;106:1419–25.
- [4] Finks JF, Wei Y, Brinkmeyer JD. The rise and fall of antireflux surgery in the United States. Surg Endosc 2006;20:1698–701.
- [5] Bell RCW, Hanna P, Mills MR, et al. Patterns of success and failure with laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication. Surg Endosc 1999;13:1189–94.
- [6] Bonavina L, Saino G, Bona D, et al. Magnetic augmentation of the lower esophageal sphincter: results of a feasibility clinical trial. J Gastrointest Surg 2008;12:2133–40.
- [7] Bonavina L, DeMeester TR, Fockens P, et al. Laparoscopic sphincter augmentation device eliminates reflux symptoms and normalizes esophageal acid exposure: one and 2-year results of a feasibility trial. Ann Surg 2010;252:857–62.
- [8] von Elm E, Altman DG, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:573–7.
- [9] Velanovich V. Comparison of generic (SF-36) vs disease-specific quality of life (GERD-HRQL) scales for gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Gastrointest Surg 1998;2:141–5.
- [10] R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2015. ISBN 3-900051-07-0. http://www.R-project.org. Accessed February 29, 2016.
- [11] Austin PC. An introduction to propensity score methods for reducing the effects of confounding in observational studies. Multivar Behav Res 2011;46:399–424.
- [12] Brookhart MA, Schneeweiss S, Rothman KJ, et al. Variable selection for propensity score models. Am J Epidemiol 2006;163:1149–56.
- [13] Stuart EA, Green KM. Using full matching to estimate causal effects in nonexperimental studies: examining the relationship between adolescent marijuana use and adult outcomes. Dev Psychol 2008;44:395–406.
- [14] Austin P. A tutorial and case study in propensity score analysis: an application to estimating the effect of in-hospital smoking cessation counseling on mortality. Multivar Behav Res 2011;46:119–51.
- [15] Liu W, Kuramoto SJ, Stuart EA. An introduction to sensitivity analysis for unobserved confounding in nonexperimental prevention research. Prev Sci 2013;14:570–80.
- [16] Fitzmaurice GM, Laird NM, Ware JH. Applied Longitudinal Analysis. 2nd ed.New York:Wiley; 2011.
- [17] Hunger M, Döring A, Holle R. Longitudinal beta regression models for analyzing health-related quality of life scores over time. BMC Med Res Methodol 2012;12:144.
- [18] Sullivan GM, Feinn R. Using effect size—or why the P value is not enough. J Grad Med Educ 2012;9:279–82.
- [19] Broeders JAJL, Mauritz FA, Ahmed Ali U, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of laparoscopic Nissen (posterior total) versus Toupet (posterior partial) fundoplication for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Br J Surg 2010;97:1318–30.
- [20] Tian XC, Wang B, Shan CX, et al. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials to compare long-term outcomes of Nissen and Toupet fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease. PLoS ONE 2015;10: e0127627.
- [21] Bonavina L, Saino G, Bona D, et al. One-hundred consecutive patients treated with magnetic sphincter augmentation for gastroesophageal reflux disease: 6 years of clinical experience from a single center. J Am Coll Surg 2013;17:577–85.
- [22] Ganz RA, Edmundowicz SA, Taiganides P, et al. Long-term outcomes of patients receiving a magnetic sphincter augmentation device for gastroesophageal reflux. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;14:671–7. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2015.05.028 [Epub ahead of print].
- [23] Louie BE, Farivar A, Shultz D, et al. Short-term outcomes using magnetic sphincter augmentation versus Nissen fundoplication for medically resistant gastroesophageal reflux disease. Ann Thorac Surg 2014;98:498–504.
- [24] Reynolds J, Zehetner J, Wu P, et al. Laparoscopic magnetic sphincter augmentation vs laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication: a matched-pair analysis of 100 patients. J Am Coll Surg 2015;221:123–8.
- [25] Sheu EG, Nau P, Nath B, et al. A comparative trial of laparoscopic magnetic sphincter augmentation and Nissen fundoplication. Surg Endosc 2015;29:505–9.
- [26] Cambridge University Press, Frees EW. Longitudinal and Panel Data: Analysis and Applications in the Social Sciences. 2004.