# *Research Article*

# **NHL Pathological Image Classification Based on Hierarchical Local Information and GoogLeNet-Based Representations**

## **Jie Bai [,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2773-4138) <sup>1</sup> Huiyan Jiang [,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1428-8776) <sup>2</sup> Siqi Li,2 and Xiaoqi Ma<sup>3</sup>**

*1 Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China 2 Sofware College, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China 3 School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, UK*

Correspondence should be addressed to Huiyan Jiang; hyjiang@mail.neu.edu.cn

Received 14 November 2018; Accepted 4 March 2019; Published 21 March 2019

Academic Editor: Kwang Gi Kim

Copyright © 2019 Jie Bai et al. Tis is an open access article distributed under the [Creative Commons Attribution License,](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Background*. Accurate classifcation for diferent non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHL) is one of the main challenges in clinical pathological diagnosis due to its intrinsic complexity. Terefore, this paper proposes an efective classifcation model for three types of NHL pathological images, including mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), follicular lymphoma (FL), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). *Methods*. There are three main parts with respect to our model. First, NHL pathological images stained by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) are transferred into blue ratio (BR) and Lab spaces, respectively. Then specific patch-level textural and statistical features are extracted from BR images and color features are obtained from Lab images both using a hierarchical way, yielding a set of hand-crafed representations corresponding to diferent image spaces. A random forest classifer is subsequently trained for patchlevel classifcation. Second, H&E images are cropped and fed into a pretrained google inception net (GoogLeNet) for learning highlevel representations and a sofmax classifer is used for patch-level classifcation. Finally, three image-level classifcation strategies based on patch-level results are discussed including a novel method for calculating the weighted sum of patch results. Diferent classification results are fused at both feature 1 and image levels to obtain a more satisfactory result. *Results*. The proposed model is evaluated on a public IICBU Malignant Lymphoma Dataset and achieves an improved overall accuracy of 0.991 and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of 0.998. *Conclusion*. The experimentations demonstrate the significantly increased classifcation performance of the proposed model, indicating that it is a suitable classifcation approach for NHL pathological images.

#### **1. Introduction**

Lymphoma is a type of malignant tumors that originate from the lymphoid hematopoietic tissues [\[1\]](#page-11-0), which are divided into the Hodgkin lymphomas (HL) and the Non-Hodgkin's lymphomas (NHL). NHL is one of the common malignant tumors with the fastest growth rate, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), follicular lymphoma (FL), and mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) are the major types of NHL. Pathological characteristics vary complicatedly among diferent lymphoma subtypes. And the vision disparities of diferent subtypes are indistinguishable. Therefore, accurate classification of various lymphoma histopathology has become one of the difficult challenges for hematologists and pathologists, as well as the development of computer vision methods.

Histopathological image analysis is an important part of computer aided diagnosis (CAD). Complex structure information in pathological images provides great value for diagnosis of many diseases including most tumors. There is a mass of complicated pathological information including abundant spatial structure, various cell types, and variation in cell morphology in histopathological images. Traditionally, these characteristics are found and analyzed by pathologists with clinical experiences, which is time-consuming and inefficient. With the development of CAD, approaches have been designed to automatically extract expressive features from histopathological images for subsequent diferentiation tasks and then to facilitate disease diagnosis.

Traditionally, there are a large number of feature extraction and classifcation approaches based on traditional machine learning or handcrafed features which refect low level characteristics involving morphological descriptors, spatial arrangement, color and texture features. Sertel *et al.*[\[2](#page-11-1)] obtained semantic description by model-based intermediate representation (MBIR) and combined MBIR with low level texture features for the grading of the follicular lymphoma (FL). However, those three grades of FL have signifcant morphological diferences which make it easier to identify them. Baker *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-11-2) utilized a coarse-to-fne method to extract the local features in a two-stage way for brain tumor whole slide classifcation, but they also pointed out that the method might not be efficient for small pathological image classification with identified lesions. There are some approaches with good classifcation performances but just for binary classifcation tasks. Fukuma *et al.* [\[4\]](#page-11-3) extracted morphological features and spatial arrangement features based on graph theory as feature descriptions. Cao *et al.* [\[5](#page-11-4)] combined texture features, spatial distribution, and semantic features of the nuclear structure to describe the various morphology of the nucleus and the structural and interpretation information of the image. These binary classification methods are hard to be extended to multiclass classifcation. Zhou *et al.* [\[6](#page-11-5)] developed an image classifcation platform to give a standard solution for diferent problems, which sacrifced pertinence for specifc disease and resulted in a low accuracy of 70.9% for lymphoma classifcation. Meng *et al.* [\[7](#page-11-6)] developed an approach to lymphoma classifcation that divided images into 25 subblocks from which a set of 505 color and texture features were extracted. However, its weighting scheme was performed in a class level and majority voting was still used for image label acquisition, which might lead to losing a part of local information. Song *et al.* [\[8\]](#page-11-7) proposed a method to extract high-dimensional multimodal descriptor, and subcategory discriminant transform (SDT) was used to enhance discriminative power of descriptors. This approach extracted sufficient image information and achieved decent results, but it used deep learning method just for comparison purpose instead of beneftting from making use of the full power of deep learning. Those above approaches extract customized, manually designed features which require domain-specifc knowledge, and the extracted features just represent a portion of the image characteristics.

With the rapid development of the theory of deep learning, automatic learning approaches are developed for feature extraction and medical image classifcation. Zhou *et al.* [\[9\]](#page-11-8) proposed a multispectral feature learning model based on convolutional sparse coding (CSC) and spatial pyramid matching (SPM), which could automatically learn a set of convolution flter banks from separate spectra. Vu *et al.* [\[10](#page-11-9)] proposed an automatic feature extraction framework by learning class-specifc dictionaries, and a low-complexity method was used for histopathology classifcation and disease grading. They tried to explore the histopathological image classifcation issue from the perspective of feature discovery and dictionary learning and obtained promising results in diferent datasets. Approaches based on convolutional neural network (CNN) have been widely applied in various medical visual recognition tasks and demonstrated promising performances [\[11\]](#page-11-10). Gao *et al.* [\[12\]](#page-11-11) proposed an

automatic framework for HEp-2 cell image classifcation, where a CNN with three convolutional layers is employed. The recently prevailed various CNN pretrained on ImageNet can be explored to extend this work. Sirinukunwattana *et al.* [\[13\]](#page-11-12) proposed spatially constrained convolutional neural network (SC-CNN) for nucleus detection and a novel neighboring ensemble predictor (NEP) was developed to more accurately predict the cell nuclei class. Note that those methods based on deep learning are awfully time consuming since they need the training process.

Recently, there are some approaches that combine traditional handcrafed features and high-level representations of deep learning methods. Codella *et al.* [\[14](#page-11-13)] extracted a combination of local binary pattern (LBP) and CNN features based on several enhanced and segmented results, so it required an early precise segmentation process. Song *et al.* [\[15\]](#page-12-0) proposed Fisher vector (FV) encoding combined with multiple types of local features including high-level features from CNN and applied a novel separation-guided dimension reduction method, which achieved state-of-the-art results. However, it had low feature extraction efficiency due to complicated computation.

To sum up, while a number of approaches have been proposed, accurate histopathological image classifcation, especially for malignant lymphoma images, still remains a challenging task due to some issues. First, the key to classifcation task is feature extraction and the above methods all explored diferent features to represent image information, but more efficient and complete representations still need extracting in an appropriate way. Second, some methods performed classifcation by employing patches-division and showed their ability for local knowledge extraction, but they have not considered diferent classifcation contributions for those cropped patches with diverse locations in one image. Third, most of those methods were just based on either handcrafed features or high-level features. Some approaches combined them together, but still needed improvement to achieve better performance with lower computational complexity.

In this study, to address these problems, we propose a hierarchical local information and transfer learning based approach specifc to transformed image spaces for the classifcation of MCL, FL, and CLL histopathological images. Original RGB images are transformed to blue ratio and CIE Lab image space [\[16](#page-12-1)] to amplify the texture and color information, respectively. Then image patches are cropped at two hierarchies; parent patches are cropped from transformed images and subpatches are obtained from parent patches by utilizing sliding window. Handcrafed features are extracted from subpatches specifc to diferent image spaces and parent-patch features are statistically computed from corresponding subpatches representations. Random forest classifer is trained for parent patch classifcation. Diferent strategies for image level classifcation are discussed, including a novel distance matrix weighting (*DMW*) method for calculating weighted sum of parent patch results. Furthermore, a pretrained Google Inception Net v3 model is fne-tuned to perform patch-level classifcation based on transfer learning. Prediction fusion at both feature and image level is employed for more adaptable performance.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>

<span id="page-2-1"></span>FIGURE 1: The lymphoma histological image samples for different NHL subtypes: (a) CLL, (b) FL, and (c) MCL.

The contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows.

- (i) It proposes a novel lymphoma subtypes classifcation approach utilizing a multiple hierarchies classifcation method from local to global, which improves the completeness of features and reduces the spatial complexity of computation simultaneously by combining small patch level feature extraction and efficient image level classifcation strategies.
- (ii) It shows the benefts of appropriate image level classifcation strategy based on patch level results by comparing three strategies, namely, majority voting, mean score of patches, and weighted sum of patch scores.
- (iii) It proposes a novel distance matrix weighting (*DMW*) method to calculate appropriate weights for patch level results and presents improved performance.
- (iv) It presents that more efective features can be extracted from transformed image space. Diferent image space transformations are employed to enhance particular image characteristics. Space-specifc feature set can be extracted which is better than features extracted from original images.
- (v) It shows that transfer learning technique based on deep CNN network can provide better efficiency for lymphoma classifcation. Pretrained Google Inception Net is fne-tuned to improve the performance and leave out time-consuming training process simultaneously.

This paper is organized as follows. [Section 2](#page-2-0) introduces the dataset used in this study and illustrates the detailed methodology. [Section 3](#page-7-0) shows the experimental setup and results. [Section 4](#page-9-0) presents the comparison with other existing works and discussions for experiment performances. Finally, the conclusions are provided in [Section 5.](#page-11-14)

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**2. Materials and Methodology**

*2.1. Dataset.* In this study, a public dataset named IICBU malignant lymphoma dataset [\[18](#page-12-2)] with respect to studies in National Cancer Institute and National Institute on Aging, both in the United States [\[19](#page-12-3), [20\]](#page-12-4), is used. There are 10

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-3"></span>cases for each of these three lymphoma types, namely, CLL, FL, and MCL, and in total 30 H&E stained lymphoma histological slides used for this study. A Zeiss Axioscope white light microscope with a 20× objective and a color digital camera AxioCam MR5 are used to obtain these images. Lesion regions in these slides are digitally photographed and reserved without compression in the *tif* format, using RGB color model, with  $1388 \times 1040$  resolution and 24 bits quantization. The final dataset contains 374 images with 113, 139, and 122 regions of CLL, FL, and MCL. In our study, the whole data set is randomly divided into training and testing sets by a ratio of 7:3.

[Figure 1](#page-2-1) shows the samples of histological images employed in our experiments to evaluate the proposed approach. A CLL sample is depicted in [Figure 1\(a\),](#page-2-2) an FL sample is shown in [Figure 1\(b\),](#page-2-3) and an MCL sample is presented in Figure  $l(c)$ . These images are from biopsies of different patients in diferent hospitals, so the inner class diference could be prominent because of the staining variance [\[21](#page-12-5)], which makes the classifcation task quite challenging.

*2.2. Method.* The proposed classification pipeline takes an H&E stained malignant lymphoma image section as input and fnally yields as output the image level classifcation result with likelihood estimations for each class. [Figure 2](#page-3-0) illustrates the overall fow of our proposed methodology which has four basic steps.

*(a) Image Space Transformation*. Both single channel and multiple channel image transformation methods are considered. The original images are mapped into the blue ratio gray space to emphasize cellular morphology. The CIE Lab color space transformation is applied to original RGB images to amplify the color characteristic. These two space transformation methods are used to enhance diferent features of original images by which targeted discriminative features can be extracted subsequently. This process can also be considered as a preprocessing step.

*(b) Handcrafed Features Extraction and Classifcation*. A set of texture and statistical features are obtained from blue ratio gray space and color features are extracted from Lab space images. A hierarchical local-to-global feature extraction method is used to obtain complete patch-wise features in



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIGURE 2: The overall flow of the proposed method.

different scales. Then different feature subsets are combined to train a patch level random forest classifer.

*(c) Transfer Learning Based High-Level Feature Extraction and Classifcation*. A pretrained deep convolutional neural network is fne-tuned to extract patch level automatic features from cropped original images. A sofmax classifer is connected to the output layer to implement patch level classifcation.

*(d) Multi-Path Integration and Image Level Classifcation.* Image level prediction is calculated based on patch level prediction of this image using several strategies. Weighted fusion in both patch level and image level prediction is used to integrate results from diferent paths.

Detailed description of the proposed pipeline's steps is shown in [Figure 2.](#page-3-0)

#### <span id="page-3-2"></span>*2.2.1. Image Space Transformation*

*(A) Blue Ratio Image*. Chang *et al.* [\[22\]](#page-12-6) proposed that RGB images could be transformed into a one channel gray space named blue ratio image to enhance the nuclear dye and attenuate background. And it is time-consuming or even impractical to extract some kinds of handcrafed features in three channel images. Therefore, in order to calculate texture and statistical features of original images in a more efficient way, the original RGB images can be mapped into blue ratio image space. The conversion policy is defined as

$$
BR = \frac{100 \times B}{1 + R + G} \times \frac{256}{1 + B + R + G}
$$
 (1)

where  $B$ ,  $R$ , and  $G$  are intensities of blue, red, and green channels and *BR* represents the intensity at the corresponding position in transformed blue ratio gray space. The first term enhances the nuclear signal and the second term attenuates the background. [Figure 3\(b\)](#page-4-0) illustrates the blue ratio transformation result for the original image as shown in [Figure 3\(a\).](#page-4-1) Subsequently, texture and statistical features are extracted in the transformed gray level images.

*(B) CIE Lab Color Space*. The CIE Lab color space is a color mode enacted by International Commission on Illumination (CIE) in 1976 [\[16\]](#page-12-1). It is a device-independent color system based on physiological features. It has three basic coordinates *L* ∗ *a* ∗ *b* ∗, abbreviated as Lab [\[23\]](#page-12-7). *L* component represents the luminosity, and  $a$  and  $b$  represent the green-red and blueyellow color value components, respectively. The range of *L* channel's value is [0, 100], which corresponds to diferent lightness from black to white. The range of  $a$  channel's value is [-128, 127] and *b* channel has values in range [-128, 127]. [Figure 3\(c\)](#page-4-2) is a sample of Lab color space transformation result for the original image in [Figure 3\(a\).](#page-4-1)

RGB color space is transformed into XYZ color space frst and then the XYZ space is converted into Lab color space [\[24](#page-12-8)], as given by [\(2\)](#page-3-1) and [\(3\),](#page-4-3) respectively. Subsequently, color features are extracted from the transformed Lab images.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\begin{bmatrix} X \ Y \ Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.412453 & 0.357580 & 0.180423 \\ 0.212671 & 0.715160 & 0.072169 \\ 0.019334 & 0.119193 & 0.950227 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (2)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

Figure 3: Sample patches of diferent image spaces. (a) One sample patch of original RGB image for CLL. (b) Blue ratio image. (c) Lab color space image.

$$
L = 116 \times f\left(\frac{Y}{Y_n}\right) - 16
$$
  
\n
$$
a = 500 \times \left[ f\left(\frac{X}{X_n}\right) - f\left(\frac{Y}{Y_n}\right) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
b = 200 \times \left[ f\left(\frac{Y}{Y_n}\right) - f\left(\frac{Z}{Z_n}\right) \right]
$$
  
\n(3)

where  $X_n = 0.950456$ ,  $Y_n = 1.0$ , and  $Z_n = 1.088754$ .  $f(t)$  is defned as

$$
f(t) = \begin{cases} t^{1/3} & \text{if } t > \left(\frac{6}{29}\right)^3\\ \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{29}{6}\right)^2 t + \frac{4}{29} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
(4)

#### <span id="page-4-4"></span>*2.2.2. Handcrafed Feature Extraction*

*(A) Overall Process*. Based on the image preprocessing results discussed in [Section 2.2.1,](#page-3-2) discriminative patch-wise manual features are extracted in a local to global way. The entire process for manual feature extraction can be expounded in four major steps as follows.

*(a) Cropping Parent Patches*. Big parent patches are cropped in preprocessed 1388  $\times$  1040 images with window size  $s_1$ . Parent patches are cropped in a nonoverlapping way to reduce subsequent computation complexity. An appropriate value of parent window size  $s_1$  is necessary to capture local information completely and precisely.

*(b) Cropping Subpatches.* Relatively smaller subpatches are cropped from parent patches using sliding window with window size  $s_2$ . In order to fully extract local information, subpatches are cropped in an overlapped way with overlapping ratio  $r_o$ .

*(c) Extracting Subpatch Features*. The preprocessed single or multiple channel images with original size are frst sliced into  $s_1 \times s_1$  parent patches. Then  $s_2 \times s_2$  subpatches are obtained

<span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>from each parent patch using sliding window. Specifc ndimensional feature sets are extracted from subpatch. For example, texture features are extracted from single channel patch, and three channel Lab images contribute color features.

*(d) Calculating Parent Patch Features.* Since each parent patch has its corresponding subpatches set, its features can be generated from subpatches' features. As described in [Section 2.2.2,](#page-4-4) *n*-dimensional features are extracted from each subpatch. First, we can calculate the mean and standard deviation as well as the 10th and 90th percentile of each dimension of the *n* features among all subpatches cropped from this parent patch, which yields a total of 4*n*-dimensional features as the parent patch's representation.

Finally, 4*n* features at parent patch level are extracted. Since it is more likely that parent patches contain complete and representative information, computation of features from subpatch level to parent patch level is done to obtain more meaningful and discriminative features. The detailed kinds of original features extracted from subpatch are discussed below.

*(B) Features Extraction in Blue Ratio Images*. As described in [Section 2.2.1,](#page-3-2) blue ratio image emphasizes the foreground in H&E stained histopathology images, namely, the nuclear structure, and cellular morphology is discriminative for tumor classifcation. In addition, blue ratio image is a single channel gray space image which reduces computation cost of some texture and statistic features.

Local binary pattern (LBP) texture representations and a set of statistical features, expressed as  $r_{LBP}$  and  $r_{sta}$ , respectively, as explained below, are extracted from processed blue ratio image in view of its characteristics.

LBP is a local texture descriptor which has the characteristics of simple calculation, insensitivity to illumination changes, and good texture expression ability [\[25](#page-12-9)]. The specific operation steps are as follows:

(a) Set the center pixel of the window with a fxed radius *r* and sampling points' number *p* as the threshold, and compare the pixel values of the *p* sample points with it.

- (b) If the pixel value of the sample point is greater than the center pixel value, the corresponding position of this pixel point is marked as 1, otherwise it is 0.
- (c) The above procedure produces a  $p$ -bit binary number, which is the LBP value of the center pixel of the window.

Therefore, an LBP operator with  $p$  sample points in a circular region of radius  $r$  will produce  $2^p$  patterns. As the neighborhood sampling points' number  $p$  increases, the type of binary pattern augments exponentially. The statistical histogram of the LBP patterns is usually used to express image information when the LBP operator is used for texture classifcation, and excessive pattern types will result in too large data quantity and too sparse histogram. Therefore, it is necessary to perform dimensionality reduction on the original LBP patterns, that is to use fewer feature dimensions to represent image information efectively.

Ojala *et al.* proposed using uniform local binary patterns (ULBP) to reduce the dimension of LBP operator since most LBP patterns only contain two 0-1 or 1-0 transitions in real images [\[26\]](#page-12-10). The "uniform pattern" is defined as follows: if the cyclic binary value corresponding to an LBP has at most two 0-1 or 1-0 transitions, this binary pattern is one kind of uniform pattern. Specifically, let  $p$  be the sampling points' number, there are 2 patterns for 0 transition,  $2(p-1)$  patterns for 1 transition, and  $(p - 1)(p - 2)$  patterns for 2 transitions. Moreover, nonuniform patterns with more than 2 transitions are regarded as one pattern; thus a total of  $2 + 2(p - 1)$  +  $(p - 1)(p - 2) + 1 = p(p - 1) + 3$  patterns are generated. As a consequence, the statistical histogram dimension of LBP is reduced from  $2^p$  to  $p(p - 1) + 3$ . For instance, for a fixed radius r, when the sampling points number  $p = 8$ , the feature dimension is reduced from  $2^8 = 256$  to  $8 \times (8 - 1) + 3 = 59$ .

The detailed LBP and statistical features extraction settings are as follows:

 $r_{LBP}$ : ULBP for radii 1 and 2 with 8 sampling points is extracted, which is 118-dimentional for each subpatch. So  $r_{LBP}$ contains 472 features for each parent patch.

 $r_{sta}:$  9 statistical features of the gray level histogram are computed for each subpatch including maximum, minimum, sum, mean, standard deviation, median, frst and third quartiles, and interquartile range. So  $r_{sta}$  contains 36 features for each parent patch.

*(C) Features Extraction in Lab Images*. RGB color channels tend to be afected by color variance in images; hence Lab color space is used to extract color features. As illuminated in [Section 2.2.1,](#page-3-2) Lab color space contains three channels of which  $a$  and  $b$  channels represent color component. Therefore, the color representation  $r_{Lab}$  is extracted as follows:

 $r_{Lab}$ : Extracting statistics from color histogram with 85 bins of *a* and *b* channels, respectively, and cascading the two results. As a result, 170-dimentional features can be extracted from each subpatch and  $r_{Lab}$  contains 680 features for one parent patch.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>*2.2.3. High-Level Feature Extraction.* CNN is a multilayer neural network including convolutional, pooling, and fully connected layers, which can learn features in an automatic and supervised way. It has shown success in biomedical imaging applications [\[13,](#page-11-12) [27,](#page-12-11) [28](#page-12-12)]. Some customized deep CNN models trained on ImageNet have displayed improved performance, and their efectiveness on biomedical images implies natural and biomedical images which virtually share similar low level features [\[29\]](#page-12-13).

Since a deep network usually contains a large number of parameters that need to be fully trained and optimized, suitable parameter initialization is extremely helpful for training process, which is of great importance for model's fnal performance. Poor parameter initialization may lead to slow convergence rate and insufficient parameter training, especially for small biomedical data sets. The deep network trained on ImageNet performs well on the complex classifcation tasks of other natural images, and some existing studies have shown that classifcation network learning from natural images has certain transfer efects on biomedical image data sets [\[30\]](#page-12-14). This effectiveness, which may originate from the similarity of natural images and biomedical images in some low level texture and shape features, has also been verifed by experiments in this paper. Therefore, the pretrained deep network on ImageNet can provide excellent parameter initialization. This paper makes some customized modifications to the network output structure based on the initialized parameters and performs fne-tuning process on the whole network using the lymphoma data set to make it more suitable for our classifcation task.

Specifcally, the Google Inception Net (GoogLeNet) v3 model pretrained on ImageNet [\[31\]](#page-12-15) is applied to classifcation task in this study. It is a deep network with 42 layers and provides state-of-the-art classifcation result for ImageNet dataset. The original RGB image is cropped into  $s_3 \times s_3$ patches and 2048-dimensional local features  $r_G$  are densely extracted from the last fully connected layer for each patch. The patches are used to perform a basic fine-tuning process, that is, to add a fully connected linear layer with the true number of classes afer the last layer in GoogLeNet and perform backpropagation just for last fully connected layers. In this way, the pretrained GoogLeNet is customized to ft characteristics of this specifc data set. Finally, a sofmax classifier is connected after the network to perform classification. Parameters in other layers keep invariant as in the pretrained model.

By utilizing transferred GoogLeNet, time used for the training process can be saved signifcantly; meanwhile, the classifcation performance is improved due to powerful representation ability and high universality of pretrained GoogLeNet.

Note that training a new customized CNN model for specifc biomedical imaging application is a more usual choice than using pretrained models. A non-pretrained Google Inception Net v3 implemented by ourselves is experimented for comparison. The model is trained totally using datasets in this study. Experimental result shows the improved efficiency of transfer learning in this dataset with small number of biomedical images.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>*2.2.4. Integration and Classifcation*

*(A) Patch Level Integration and Classifcation*. Diferent feature sets are extracted for each parent patch in Sections [2.2.2](#page-4-4) and [2.2.3,](#page-5-0) including LBP features  $r_{LBP}$ , statistical features  $r_{sta}$ , Lab color features  $r_{Lab}$ , and high-level features  $r_G$ . For handcrafted features  $r_{LBP}$ ,  $r_{sta}$ , and  $r_{Lab}$ , an auxiliary random forest classifier is trained on specific feature set  $F$  to perform patch level classification. Feature set  $F$  can be a single type of manual features, namely,  $r_{LBP}$ ,  $r_{sta}$ , or  $r_{Lab}$ , and it can also be a combination of multiple kinds of features, which involves a fusion process at feature level. There are mainly two kinds of feature combination modes considered in this study, which are  $F_1$  :  $\{r_{LBP}, r_{sta}\}$  and  $F_2$  :  $\{r_{LBP}, r_{sta}, r_{Lab}\}$  , since feature set  $F_1$  is extracted from blue ratio image and  $F_2$  is an expansion of  $F_1$  with additional color feature from Lab image. For high-level feature  $r_G$ , the softmax classifier cascaded after GoogLeNet is used for patch level classifcation.

*(B) Image Level Classifcation*. One original sized image can be cropped into a set of parent patches. There are diferent methods for parent patch classifcation as discussed in Sections [2.2.2,](#page-4-4) [2.2.3,](#page-5-0) and [2.2.4.](#page-6-0) For each parent patch, the probability scores for three classes can be calculated. Image level classifcation can be performed based on parent patch prediction result considering that patches of the corresponding original image refect local knowledge of the whole image. For image level classifcation, three strategies are chosen to make sufficient use of patch level result and to obtain convictive image level prediction. Two of them are commonly used approaches illustrated as follows.

*(a) Majority Voting (MV)*. Assume an original image *I* can be cropped into *m* parent patches. Let  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$ , and  $m_3$  denote the numbers of patches classifed as the frst, second, and third classes.  $K(max([m_1, m_2, m_3]), [m_1, m_2, m_3])$  calculates the final prediction class of the whole image, where  $K(x, y)$ is the function that calculates value  $x$ 's index in array  $y$ .

*(b) Mean Score (MS) of All Patches*. Since each parent patch has probability scores for three classes, scores for the whole image can be calculated taking average over all parent patches' scores. The image level classification result can be obtained based on image scores.

In addition, a novel strategy to calculate weighted sum of patch scores for image level classifcation is proposed. Diferent patches are corresponding to diferent locations of one image and contain various local information, so they own diferent discrimination and contribution for classifcation task. Therefore, appropriate weights for different patches are favorable for acquisition of conclusive image classifcation result. The most crucial part is the determination of weights. A distance matrix weighting (*DMW*) method is proposed to determine weights in this study, which is described below.

Suppose the patch with closer association to other patches is inclined to provide more contribution to the whole image. This hypothesis is made based on the fact that the lymphoma samples in this study are all sections from lesions, so classspecifc characteristics are refected on most cropped patches.

One original image *I* can be cropped into *m* parent patches  $\{I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m\}$ . Specific feature set can be extracted for each parent patch, which generates *m* patch-wise feature sets  $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m\}$ . And patch level prediction scores set  ${s_1, s_2,..., s_m}$  can be obtained from the trained classifier based on feature set for each parent patch, where  $s_i$  is the classification score for the  $i<sup>th</sup>$  patch and contains three score values corresponding to three classes. For one patch  $I_k$ , a weight  $w_k$  should be calculated.

First, a distance matrix  $M_d$  is generated for all patches based on their feature values. Let  $d_{ij}$  =  $D(r_i, r_j)$  denote the element of row *i* and column *j* in  $M_d$ , where  $D(x, y)$ is a function to calculate distance between vectors  $x$  and  $y$ , and Euclidean distance is used in our study. Then the average distance  $da_k$  between parent patch  $I_k$  and all others is calculated based on  $M_d$  as follows:

$$
da_k = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{ki}
$$
 (5)

In essence,  $da_k$  is the mean value of the  $k^{th}$  row in  $M_d$ , and the patch with smaller average distance should be given greater weights. Thus a distance score  $Sd_k$  for patch  $I_k$  is defined by taking the reciprocal of  $da_k$  to represent this inversely proportional relationship, making it convenient to calculate patch weight.

$$
Sd_k = \frac{1}{da_k} = (m-1)\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^m d_{ki}}
$$
 (6)

Then the weight  $w_k$  for patch  $I_k$  is calculated as follows:

$$
w_k = S d_k \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^m S d_i} \tag{7}
$$

Finally the image-level classification score  $S_I$  is obtained based on patch level prediction scores set  $S\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\}$ and weights set  $W{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m}$  as shown in the following equation:

$$
S_I = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i \cdot s_i \tag{8}
$$

As a result, we consider totally three image-level classifcation strategies denoted as *MV*, *MS,* and *DMW*.

*(C) Image Level Integration*. Diferent feature set selection methods at patch level can be combined with one of the image level classification strategies. The probability scores of multiple methods can be fused at both patch level and image level. There are mainly five method combination modes experimentalized in this study:

- (a)  $C_1(F_1+DMW)$ : Feature set  $F_1$  at patch level combined with *DMW* at image level.
- (b)  $C_2(F_2 + MS)$ : Feature set  $F_2$  at patch level combined with *MS* method at image level.
- (c)  $C_3(F_1+F_2+DMW)$ : For one original image, two patch level prediction scores sets  $S_1 = \{s_1^{(1)}, s_2^{(1)}, \ldots, s_m^{(1)}\}$

 $S_2 = \{s_1^{(2)}, s_2^{(2)}, \ldots, s_m^{(2)}\}$  of cropped parent patches can be obtained based on  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively, where  $s_i^{(j)}$  is the classification score of the image's  $i^{th}$  parent patch based on feature set  $F_i$ . Score fusion at patch level can be performed by calculating weighted sum of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  to integrate characteristics of two methods. Then the final patch level probability score set  $S =$  $w_P \cdot S_1 + (1 - w_P) \cdot S_2$  can be obtained. *DMW* strategy is used for image level classifcation.

- (d)  $C_4(r_G + DMW)$ : High-level feature set  $r_G$  combined with *DMW* at image level.
- (e)  $C_5(C_3 + C_4)$ : Weighted summation with weight  $w_I$  of image level results  $S_{I3}$  and  $S_{I4}$  from  $C_3$  and  $C_4$ . The final image level probability score  $S_{I5} = w_I \cdot S_{I3} + (1$  $w_I$ ) ·  $S_{I4}$ .

Method combination modes  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $C_3$  are based on handcrafted features among which  $C_3$  integrates features in  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , whereas  $C_4$  is totally based on deep automatic features. In order to bind handcrafed and automatic features, the image level probability scores of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  are fused by weighted summation in  $C_5$ .

Note that there are more alternative combination modes at features, patches, and images levels. The core principle is to choose diferent typical feature sets in diferent combination modes, and three image-level strategies are all experimentally evaluated with specifc features and the best strategy is fnally combined with this feature set (except image level fusion method  $C_5$ ). Finally, only several typical choices are experimentally compared to discuss each part's classifcation contribution.

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>**3. Experiments and Results**

*3.1. Evaluation Metrics.* Classifcation performances at both patch level and image level can be evaluated using several commonly used metrics calculated as follows:

$$
\text{ACC} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{I} \left( f \left( x_i \right) = y_i \right) \tag{9}
$$

$$
SEN\left(c_i\right) = \frac{Num\left( PC\left(c_i\right)\right)}{Num\left( GT\left(c_i\right)\right)}\tag{10}
$$

$$
SPE(c_i) = \frac{Num (PC (-c_i))}{Num (GT (-c_i))}
$$
\n(11)

$$
P(c_i) = \frac{Num (PC (c_i))}{Num (PT (c_i))}
$$
\n(12)

$$
R(c_i) = \frac{Num (PC (c_i))}{Num (GT (c_i))}
$$
\n(13)

$$
F_1(c_i) = \frac{2 \times P(c_i) \times R(c_i)}{P(c_i) + R(c_i)}
$$
(14)

where ACC represents the overall classifcation accuracy.  $SEN(c_i)$  and  $SPE(c_i)$  mean the sensitivity and specificity for

class  $c_i$ . P( $c_i$ ), R( $c_i$ ), and F<sub>1</sub>( $c_i$ ) are precision, recall, and F<sub>1</sub> score for class  $c_i$ , respectively. I( $f(x_i) = y_i$ ) defines that if  $f(x_i) = y_i$ , I( $f(x_i) = y_i$ ) = 1, otherwise I( $f(x_i) = y_i$ ) = 0. − $c_i$  denotes all the other classes except  $c_i$ . Num(PC( $c_i$ )), Num( $PT(c_i)$ ), and Num( $GT(c_i)$ ) represent correctly predicted number of class  $c_i$ , the total number of all predicted  $c_i$ , and the total number of  $c_i$  in the ground truth, respectively. Note that recall is equal to sensitivity. Furthermore, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) is also calculated for evaluation.

*3.2. Parameter Sensitivity.* Some parameters in the study have high sensitivity for fnal performances, such as sizes of parent and subpatches, overlapping rate of subpatches, number of decision trees of random forest classifer, and fnetuning iteration times of pretrained GoogLeNet. Sensitivity of these parameters is experimentally analyzed by observing both patch and corresponding image levels classifcation performances when just one primary parameter is adjusted at a time, as shown in [Figure 4.](#page-8-0) Sensitivity for three parameters: parent patch size  $s_1$ , subpatch size  $s_2$ , and subpatch overlap rate  $r<sub>o</sub>$  are depicted in [Figure 4.](#page-8-0) (a), (b), (c) are patch level accuracy and (d), (e), (f) are the corresponding image level accuracy.

Figures [4\(a\)](#page-8-1) and [4\(d\)](#page-8-2) show the patch and image levels accuracy with different parent patch size  $s<sub>1</sub>$ , respectively. Let the subpatch size  $s_2$  = 50 and its overlapping rate  $r_o$  = 0.5. The selected feature set is  $r_{LBP} + r_{sta}$  extracted from blue ratio image and a random forest classifer with 350 decision trees is trained for classifcation. Majority voting (*MV*) is applied for image level prediction. Note that the above is the default experimental setup for all the subsequent experiments. Performances for three parent patch scales (100×100, 200×200, and 300×300) are compared at both patch level and image level.

Subpatch size impacts completeness of local knowledge extraction and then may make a diference for the fnal results. The accuracy for different subpatch size at patch and image levels is shown in Figures [4\(b\)](#page-8-3) and [4\(e\),](#page-8-4) respectively. Parent patch is cropped in a nonoverlapping way considering calculation complexity, so only the subpatch overlapping rate  $r<sub>o</sub>$  is experimented as shown in Figures [4\(c\)](#page-8-5) and [4\(f\).](#page-8-6) Parent patch size is fixed to  $s_1 = 300$  in above experiments. Default setup is used for other parameters.

Transfer learning is used by applying the pretrained GoogLeNet model and performing fne-tuning process for the last fully connected layer using dataset in this study. Patch-level accuracy on testing set for diferent iteration times *t* in fine-tuning process is shown in [Figure 5.](#page-8-7) Size  $s_3$ of the input original RGB patch is set to 300. Ten percent of training data is randomly divided into validation set to monitor training situation. The model is implemented based on tensorflow. Learning rate  $e = 0.001$  and cross entropy loss function are used. Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) is selected as the optimizer and the training batch size is set to 100. As shown in [Figure 5,](#page-8-7) the test accuracy increases as  $t$  increases and reaches maximum 83.55% when  $t=9000$ , but after that, the accuracy begins to decline because of overftting.

<span id="page-8-5"></span><span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>

<span id="page-8-4"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>FIGURE 4: Sensitivity for three parameters at both patch and image levels.



<span id="page-8-7"></span>FIGURE 5: Patch level classification accuracy of transferred GoogLeNet with diferent fne-tuning iteration times *t*.

<span id="page-8-6"></span>

<span id="page-8-8"></span>FIGURE 6: Patch level classification accuracy for different decision tree number.

A random forest (RF) classifer is used for patch-wise classifcation based on handcrafed features as discussed in [Section 2.2.4.](#page-6-0) The influence of RF's decision tree number  $n_t$  is experimentally evaluated. [Figure 6](#page-8-8) exhibits patch level classifcation accuracy with diferent decision tree numbers. Parent patch size  $s_1$  is set to 200. Other related parameters are determined using default setup. The effect of decision tree number varies among diferent classes; however, as a whole, RF classifer obtains best patch level classifcation result when  $n_t$  = 200. Note that [Figure 6](#page-8-8) only shows patch level

performance, and rational choice for image level classifcation strategy may make additional adjustment for fnal prediction.

Weighted fusion is used at both patch and image levels as described in [Section 2.2.4](#page-6-0) with weights  $w_p$  and  $w_t$ , which are set to 0.7 and 0.5, respectively, using parameter scanning.

*3.3. Experimental Results.* To evaluate the contributions of diferent feature sets, several kinds of features involved in this study are tested and compared. Specifc RF classifers are trained for different handcrafted feature sets  $r_{LBP}$ ,  $r_{sta}$ ,  $r_{sta}$  as well as their combination. Fine-tuned GoogLeNet combined

| Feature Set                     | <b>CLL</b> | FL     | <b>MCL</b> | Total  |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Gist                            | 0.5424     | 0.5829 | 0.6252     | 0.5850 |
| <b>LPQ</b>                      | 0.6556     | 0.8070 | 0.6405     | 0.7083 |
| $r_{LBP}$                       | 0.7343     | 0.8171 | 0.7000     | 0.7546 |
| $r_{sta}$                       | 0.7828     | 0.8713 | 0.7414     | 0.8029 |
| $r_{Lab}$                       | 0.7394     | 0.8837 | 0.8631     | 0.8348 |
| $r_G$                           | 0.7394     | 0.8837 | 0.8631     | 0.8355 |
| $\{r_{LBP}, r_{sta}\}$          | 0.8253     | 0.8969 | 0.8324     | 0.8549 |
| $\{r_{LBP}, r_{sta}, r_{Lab}\}$ | 0.9283     | 0.9217 | 0.9324     | 0.9271 |

<span id="page-9-1"></span>Table 1: Patch level classifcation accuracy for diferent feature set.



<span id="page-9-2"></span>Figure 7: Classifcation accuracy of diferent image level classifcation strategies.

with softmax is used to test high-level feature  $r_G$ . To confirm the efficiency of the concerned features, two additional kinds of handcrafed features Gist [\[32](#page-12-16)] and local phase quantization  $LPQ$  [\[33\]](#page-12-17) are considered. The accuracy of different feature sets is displayed in [Table 1,](#page-9-1) where parent patch size  $s_1 = 200$ . Fine-tuning iteration time of GoogLeNet is set to 9000.

Several strategies in image level classifcation are used to take full advantages of patch level results as illustrated in [Section 2.2.4.](#page-6-0) [Figure 7](#page-9-2) shows the image level accuracy of the three strategies. Parent patch size  $s_1 = 200$ , and default setup is used for other parameters. The impact of different image level strategies is mainly refected in classifcation performance for CLL in this setup. By utilizing the proposed *DMW* image level strategy, the accuracy for CLL is signifcantly improved compared with commonly used *MV*. In fact, our experimental result shows the proposed method *DMW* can provide better or comparative performance for diferent parameters setups, which indicates its efficiency is superior to those of other commonly used methods to calculate image level results based on patch level predictions.

There are mainly several method combination modes (a)∼(e) in [Section 2.2.4.](#page-6-0) Fusion at patch level, namely, combination mode  $C_3$ , is based on  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Fusion at image level is implemented in  $C_5$  based on  $C_3$  and  $C_4$ . Image level classification accuracy of the five combination schemes  $C_1 \sim$  $C_5$  is shown in [Figure 8.](#page-9-3) Performances for  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $C_4$  are



<span id="page-9-3"></span>Figure 8: Image level classifcation accuracy for diferent method combination modes.

relatively low because these combination modes are based on specifc feature set, but they show diferent discrimination power for three classes. Therefore, combination modes that fuse their characteristics obtain improved performances.  $C_3$ combines  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  by score fusion at patch level which provides prominent performance improvement.  $C_5$  integrates  $C_3$ and  $C_4$  through weighted summation at image level results and obtains further amelioration for classifcation of CLL, which is considered as the fnal scheme for this classifcation task. [Table 2](#page-10-0) shows the classifcation indices including overall accuracy (ACC), overall area under the curve (AUC) and AUC, sensitivity (SEN), recall (R), specifcity (SPE), precision (P), and  $F_1$  score for each class of different method combination modes  $C_1 \sim C_5$ .

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**4. Comparison and Discussions**

To further evaluate our model, several existing methods for this lymphoma dataset are used for comparison, as shown in [Table 3.](#page-10-1) Method combination mode  $C_5$  is used as a representative of our method since it has the best performance and shows better classifcation results than those of the state-of-the-art methods can be obtained. The current state-of-the-art method [\[15\]](#page-12-0) proposed Fisher vector (FV) encoding combined with multiple types of local features. It applied a novel separation-guided dimension reduction

| Index      |            | $C_{1}$ | C <sub>2</sub> | $C_3$  | $C_4$  | $C_5$  |
|------------|------------|---------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| ACC        | Overall    | 0.9558  | 0.9558         | 0.9823 | 0.9558 | 0.9912 |
| <b>AUC</b> | Overall    | 0.9846  | 0.9971         | 0.9943 | 0.9894 | 0.9982 |
|            | <b>CLL</b> | 0.9709  | 0.9903         | 0.9820 | 0.9875 | 0.9972 |
|            | FL         | 0.9987  |                |        | 0.9907 | 0.9960 |
|            | MCL        | 0.9971  | 0.9985         |        | 0.9912 |        |
| SEN/R      | <b>CLL</b> | 0.9091  | 0.9697         | 0.9697 | 0.9697 |        |
|            | FL         | 0.9767  | 0.9302         | 0.9767 | 0.9429 | 0.9767 |
|            | MCL        | 0.9730  | 0.9730         |        | 0.9189 | 1      |
| <b>SPE</b> | <b>CLL</b> | 0.9750  | 0.9500         | 0.9875 | 0.9500 | 0.9875 |
|            | FL         | 0.9429  | 0.9714         | 0.9857 | 0.9429 | 1      |
|            | MCL        | 0.9474  | 0.9474         | 0.9737 | 0.9737 | 0.9868 |
| P          | <b>CLL</b> | 0.9677  | 0.8889         | 0.9697 | 0.9412 | 0.9706 |
|            | FL         | 0.9767  |                |        | 0.9545 |        |
|            | MCL        | 0.9231  | 0.9730         | 0.9737 | 0.9414 | 1      |
| $F_1$      | <b>CLL</b> | 0.9375  | 0.9275         | 0.9697 | 0.9552 | 0.9851 |
|            | FL         | 0.9767  | 0.9639         | 0.9882 | 0.9655 | 0.9882 |
|            | MCL        | 0.9474  | 0.9730         | 0.9867 | 0.9444 |        |
|            |            |         |                |        |        |        |

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Table 2: Image level classifcation indices for diferent method combination modes.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>Table 3: Image level classifcation performance of our method and existing studies.



method and provides 97.9% accuracy and 0.993 AUC. Codella *et al.* [\[14](#page-11-13)] used descriptors including LBP and CNN based on segmented results. SVM was used as classifer and it obtained 95.5% accuracy. Song *et al.* [\[8](#page-11-7)] proposed a method to extract high-dimensional descriptor and subcategory discriminant transform (SDT) was used to enhance discriminative power of descriptors, which achieved the accuracy of 96.8%. Meng *et al.* [\[7\]](#page-11-6) proposed a framework based on the Collateral Representative Subspace Projection Modeling (CRSPM) supervised classifcation model for histology image classifcation. Shamir *et al.* [\[17](#page-12-18)] presented an open source utility Wndchrm for standardized classifcation which provided accuracy of 85% for lymphoma classifcation. In our study, we achieve 99.1% accuracy and 0.998 AUC with method combination  $C_5$ .

[Table 3](#page-10-1) provides a quantitative comparison in terms of AUC and ACC values, which can be seen as complementary. The results demonstrate the advantages of our proposed model for lymphoma classifcation over the state-of-the-art approaches. Song *et al.* [\[15\]](#page-12-0) calculated both ACC and AUC and achieved the best performance in existing approaches with 97.9% ACC, while we achieve 99.1% accuracy employing the  $C_5$  combination mode with a similar AUC value. This suggests that the extracted feature sets and RF classifer in our study is more discriminative for malignant lymphoma pathological images.

According to [Table 3,](#page-10-1) our method achieves better accuracy than all other existing methods. Shamir *et al.* [\[17](#page-12-18)] proposed solutions based on an open source platform for standardized support of diferent problems, including tissue age

diferentiation, subcellular protein localization, lymphoma subtyping, and pollen grain distinction. It is not targeted so the performance for lymphoma is relatively low. Meng *et al.* [\[7\]](#page-11-6) and Song *et al.* [\[8](#page-11-7)] extracted high-dimensional features and applied popular machine learning method; however, they have not considered deep learning techniques. According to our research, high-level features of CNN have promising contribution for fnal classifcation. Codella *et al.* [\[14\]](#page-11-13) and Song *et al.* [\[15\]](#page-12-0) both combined handcrafted and high-level features to perform classifcation. Codella *et al.* [\[14](#page-11-13)] applied a segmentation process which is time-consuming, and the segmentation-based features can easily be nondiscriminative due to imprecise segment results. Song *et al.* [\[15](#page-12-0)] utilized Fisher vector to encode the whole image, which resulted in low efficiency in feature extraction stage. In addition, Codella *et al.*[\[14](#page-11-13)] and Song *et al.* [\[15](#page-12-0)] performed classifcation with SVM, while our study experimentally confrmed that random forest classifer can achieve better performance for this classifcation task.

To sum up, accurate lymphoma classifcation is an important prerequisite for malignant lymphoma CADs. Our proposed classifcation method performs well in diferent image space transformations of original images, noting that blue ratio and Lab space amplify the texture and color characteristics of original images, respectively. Therefore, the subsequent feature extraction with customized feature sets specifc to image spaces is meaningful. Classifcation is performed utilizing multiple hierarchies of local knowledge, which ensures the completeness of features and efficiency of the whole approach. Patch based classifcation reduces the spatial complexity but sacrifces some local information, so the strategy for image level classifcation is investigated in detail for a remedy. Transfer learning is used to reduce consumption of time and it shows improved performance. Multiple paths are combined at diferent stages to fuse their characteristics sufficiently. Random forest classifier is employed, and it shows better performances than commonly used SVM.

### <span id="page-11-14"></span>**5. Conclusions**

This paper proposes a new CAD model for lymphoma classification on H&E stained histopathological images. This model includes three major steps, namely, image space transformation, feature extraction, and classifcation design. First, original images are transformed into diferent image spaces including blue ratio and Lab. Then two hierarchies of patches are cropped to perform a local-to-global classifcation. Afer that, specifc feature set is extracted from corresponding image space. RF classifer is then trained for patch level classifcation based on handcrafed features. Meanwhile, transfer learning is introduced by utilization of a pretrained GoogLeNet model which also performs patch level classifcation. Finally, image level classifcation strategies are discussed and fusion at diferent levels is performed. The identification task is performed on IICBU Malignant Lymphoma Dataset. The experiment results and comparisons with the related work present that out proposed model can achieve better identifcation performances than others. We demonstrate the benefts of our multihierarchy classifcation scheme and the novel *DMW* method for weights computation of patch-level results, as well as the advantages of image space transformation.

In the future, we intend to apply the proposed model on more kinds of datasets to evaluate its generality when extended to different problems. The analysis of alternative for pretrained CNN can be performed to explore the potential of deep learning. Moreover, since the lymphoma sections in this study are all from lesions, a preceding lesion identifcation procedure can be designed for those datasets containing extra normal tissues. Then the proposed hierarchical strategy can be applied to the classifcation for precise identifed lesions.

### **Data Availability**

(1) The dataset includes three types of malignant lymphoma.  $(2)$  The data can be accessed from [https://ome.grc.nia.nih](https://ome.grc.nia.nih.gov/iicbu2008/lymphoma/index.html) [.gov/iicbu2008/lymphoma/index.html.](https://ome.grc.nia.nih.gov/iicbu2008/lymphoma/index.html) (3) In addition, there are no restrictions on data access.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

### **Acknowledgments**

The research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61872075).

### **References**

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[1] "A. C. Society, Cancer Statistics Center," [https://cancerstatis](https://cancerstatisticscenter.cancer.org)[ticscenter.cancer.org.](https://cancerstatisticscenter.cancer.org)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[2] O. Sertel, J. Kong, U. V. Catalyurek, G. Lozanski, J. H. Saltz, and M. N. Gurcan, "Histopathological image analysis using modelbased intermediate representations and color texture: follicular lymphoma grading," *Journal of Signal Processing Systems*, vol. 55, no. 1-3, pp. 169–183, 2008.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[3] J. Barker, A. Hoogi, A. Depeursinge, and D. L. Rubin, "Automated classifcation of brain tumor type in whole-slide digital pathology images using local representative tiles," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 30, pp. 60–71, 2016.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[4] K. Fukuma, V. B. Prasath, H. Kawanaka, B. J. Aronow, and H. Takase, "A study on feature extraction and disease stage classifcation for Glioma pathology images," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE '16)*, pp. 2150–2156, Vancouver, BC, Canada, July 2016.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[5] J. Cao, Z. Qin, J. Jing, J. Chen, and T. Wan, "An automatic breast" cancer grading method in histopathological images based on pixel-, object-, and semantic-level features," in *Proceedings of the IEEE 13th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI '16)*, pp. 1151–1154, Prague, Czech Republic, April 2016.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[6] J. Zhou, S. Lamichhane, G. Sterne, B. Ye, and H. Peng, "BIOCAT: a pattern recognition platform for customizable biological image classifcation and annotation," *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 14, p. 291, 2013.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[7] T. Meng, L. Lin, M.-L. Shyu, and S.-C. Chen, "Histology image classifcation using supervised classifcation and multimodal fusion," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Multimedia (ISM '10 )*, 152, 145 pages, 2010.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[8] Y. Song, W. Cai, H. Huang, D. Feng, Y. Wang, and M. Chen, "Bioimage classifcation with subcategory discriminant transform of high dimensional visual descriptors," *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 17, no. 465, 2016.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[9] Y. Zhou, H. Chang, K. Barner, P. Spellman, and B. Parvin, "Classifcation of histology sections via multispectral convolutional sparse coding," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '14)*, pp. 3081– 3088, Columbus, OH, USA, June 2014.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>[10] T. H. Vu, H. S. Mousavi, V. Monga, G. Rao, and U. K. A. Rao, "Histopathological image classifcation using discriminative feature-oriented dictionary learning," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 35, no. 3, Article ID 2493530, pp. 738–751, 2016.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>[11] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, "ImageNet classifcation with deep convolutional neural networks," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 60, no. 6, Article ID 3065386, pp. 84–90, 2017.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>[12] Z. Gao, L. Wang, L. Zhou, and J. Zhang, "HEp-2 cell image classifcation with deep convolutional neural networks," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 21, no. 2, Article ID 2526603, pp. 416–428, 2017.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>[13] K. Sirinukunwattana, S. E. A. Raza, Y.-W. Tsang, D. R. J. Snead, I. A. Cree, and N. M. Rajpoot, "Locality sensitive deep learning for detection and classifcation of nuclei in routine colon cancer histology images," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1196–1206, 2016.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>[14] M. N. Gurcan, A. Madabhushi, N. Codella et al., "Lymphoma diagnosis in histopathology using a multi-stage visual learning approach," in *Proceedings of the SPIE Medical Imaging*, M. N.

Gurcan and A. Madabhushi, Eds., San Diego, Calif, United States.

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[15] Y. Song, Q. Li, H. Huang, D. Feng, M. Chen, and W. Cai, "Low dimensional representation of fsher vectors for microscopy image classifcation," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 1636–1649, 2017.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[16] G. Wyszecki and W. S. Stiles, *Color Science: Concepts and Methods, Quantitative Data and Formulae*, vol. 8 of *Color Research & Application*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1983.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>[17] L. Shamir, N. Orlov, D. M. Eckley, T. Macura, J. Johnston, and I. G. Goldberg, "Wndchrm—an open source utility for biological image analysis," *Source Code for Biology and Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 1, article 13, 2008.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[18] N. I. on Aging, "NIA Intramural Research Program Laboratory of Genetics," [https://ome.grc.nia.nih.gov/iicbu2008/lymphoma/](https://ome.grc.nia.nih.gov/iicbu2008/lymphoma/index.html) [index.html.](https://ome.grc.nia.nih.gov/iicbu2008/lymphoma/index.html)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[19] N. C. Institute, [http://www.cancer.gov/.](http://www.cancer.gov/)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[20] N. I. on Aging, [https://www.nia.nih.gov/.](https://www.nia.nih.gov/)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[21] L. Shamir, N. Orlov, D. M. Eckley, T. J. Macura, and I. G. Goldberg, "IICBU 2008: a proposed benchmark suite for biological image analysis," *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 943–947, 2008.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[22] H. Chang, J. Han, A. Borowsky et al., "Invariant delineation of nuclear architecture in glioblastoma multiforme for clinical and molecular association," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 670–682, 2013.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[23] O. Sertel, J. Kong, G. Lozanski et al., "Texture classifcation using nonlinear color quantization: application to histopathological image analysis," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP '08)*, pp. 597–600, Las Vegas, NV, USA, 2008.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[24] T. Acharya and A. K. Ray, *Image Processing: Principles and Applications*, Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2005.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[25] T. Ojala, M. Pietikäinen, and D. Harwood, "A comparative study of texture measures with classifcation based on featured distributions," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 51–59, 1996.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[26] S. Kaplan Berkaya, H. Gunduz, O. Ozsen, C. Akinlar, and S. Gunal, "On circular traffic sign detection and recognition," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 48, pp. 67–75, 2016.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[27] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, "U-net: convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention (MICCAI '15)*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 234–241, Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[28] F. Xing, Y. Xie, and L. Yang, "An automatic learning-based framework for robust nucleus segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 550–566, 2016.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[29] Y. Bar, I. Diamant, L. Wolf, S. Lieberman, E. Konen, and H. Greenspan, "Chest pathology detection using deep learning with non-medical training," in *Proceedings of the IEEE 12th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI '15)*, pp. 294–297, Brooklyn, NY, USA, April 2015.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[30] N. Tajbakhsh, J. Y. Shin, S. R. Gurudu et al., "Convolutional neural networks for medical image analysis: full training or fne tuning?" *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1299–1312, 2016.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[31] C. Szegedy, V. Vanhoucke, S. Ioffe, J. Shlens, and Z. Wojna, "Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and*

*Pattern Recognition (CVPR '16)*, pp. 2818–2826, Las Vegas, NV, USA, June 2016.

- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[32] A. Oliva and A. Torralba, "Modeling the shape of the scene: a holistic representation of the spatial envelope," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 145–175, 2001.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>[33] V. Ojansivu and J. Heikkilä, "Blur insensitive texture classification using local phase quantization," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Image and Signal Processing (ICISP '08)*, vol. 5099 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2008, pp. 236–243.