Animal Nutrition 3 (2017) 295-299

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Animal Nutrition

Ke A<sup>®</sup> ADVANCING RESEARCH EVOLVING SCIENCE

journal homepage: http://www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/aninu/

Original Research Article

# Effect of dietary supplementation with sugar cane extract on meat quality and oxidative stability in finishing pigs



Yangchun Xia<sup>a</sup>, Yansen Li<sup>a</sup>, Xiangxing Shen<sup>a</sup>, Massami Mizu<sup>b</sup>, Toma Furuta<sup>b</sup>, Chunmei Li<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Animal Science and Technology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China
<sup>b</sup> Product Development Division, Mitsui Sugar Co., Ltd., Tokyo 103-8423, Japan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 December 2016 Accepted 6 May 2017 Available online 19 May 2017

Keywords: Sugar cane extract Feed additive Meat quality Oxidative stability Finishing pigs

# ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of dietary supplementation with sugar cane extract (SCE) on meat quality and oxidative stability of *Longissimus dorsi* muscle in finishing pigs. Eighteen barrows (Duroc × Landrace × *Jiaxing* Black), with an average initial body weight of  $62.1 \pm 5.0$  kg, were randomly allotted to 1 of 3 diets with 6 replicates per treatment for 42 days. The diets comprised a normal diet and the normal diets supplemented with 5 and 25 g/kg SCE. The results showed that SCE supplementation did not affect final body weight of finishing pigs. Dietary SCE supplementation significantly increased (P < 0.05) *Longissimus dorsi* muscle pH<sub>24 h</sub>, and tended to reduce (P < 0.1) and significantly decreased (P < 0.05) shear force, drip loss, myofiber cross sectional area and lactate dehydrogenase activity at 5 and 25 g/kg, respectively. Meanwhile, dietary SCE treatments significantly decreased (P < 0.05) malonaldehyde content and total superoxide dismutase activity in *Longissimus dorsi* muscle, and tended to reduce (P < 0.1) malonaldehyde content in serum. Altogether, these data indicate that SCE is an effective feed additive to improve pork meat quality, and the underlying mechanism may be partly due to the improved oxidative stability induced by dietary SCE supplementation.

© 2017, Chinese Association of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

With the development of meat industry, considerable attention has been paid to the improvement of meat quality parameters. Meat producers consistently produce safe, healthy and tasty meat for consumers, accompanied by eliminating deteriorative phenomenon that negatively affects meat quality. As a major cause of meat deterioration (Asghar et al., 1988), lipid oxidation can produce toxic compounds, such as fatty acid peroxides, cholesterol hydroperoxide and peroxy radicals (Grün et al., 2006) that adversely influence muscle oxidative stability. What is more, muscle

E-mail addresses: chunmeili@njau.edu.cn, lichunmei74@gmail.com (C. Li).

Peer review under responsibility of Chinese Association of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine.



oxidative stability is related to many aspects of meat quality that are represented by postmortem pH, color, water holding capacity, etc. It is generally believed that lipid oxidation can be inhibited by synthetic and natural antioxidants. However, the resistance to food additives of synthetic substances has increased due to safety and health concern (Karre et al., 2013). Therefore, there is now considerable appreciation of enhancing pork quality through antioxidant properties of natural occurring substances, such as natural plant extract (Rossi et al., 2013).

The sugar cane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.), one of the major sources of sugar, is a widely cultivated plant throughout the whole world. Sugar cane and its derived products have displayed a wide range of biological activities, including antioxidant, antiinflammatory, antiatherosclerotic, immune-stimulation, DNA damage protecting activity (El-Abasy et al., 2002; Chung et al., 2011). Sugar cane extract (SCE), a natural byproduct in sugar cane industry, has been found the antioxidant property that is due to the presence of high content of phenolic compounds, primarily, sinapic acid, chlorogenic acid, apigenin derivatives, and tricin derivatives in sugar cane juice (Duarte-Almeida et al., 2006). These natural

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2017.05.002

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>2405-6545/© 2017,</sup> Chinese Association of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

bioactive compounds, present in vegetables, beans, fruits, are generally regarded as safe chemicals exhibiting low toxicity. To the best of our knowledge, although phenolic entities in sugar cane could act as antioxidants, no relevant study was observed for effects of SCE on meat quality. On this basis, we hypothesized that dietary supplementation with SCE may change meat quality characteristics through influencing antioxidative status in finishing pigs.

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the effect of dietary SCE supplementation on meat quality parameters and oxidative stability of *Longissimus dorsi* (LD) muscle in Duroc × Landrace × *Jiaxing* Black crossbred pigs.

# 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Material

Sugar cane extract was produced and kindly provided by Shin Mitsui Sugar Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). The original material for SCE production was the sugar cane juice from the raw sugar manufacturing process. After removing most of the sugar components including glucose, fructose and sucrose from sugar cane juice, the residue was dried and adsorbed to bread crumb to produce SCE, according to the production manual. The nutrient content and phenolic content of SCE are presented in Table 1.

### 2.2. Animals and experimental design

All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Nanjing Agricultural University. Eighteen barrows (Duroc × Landrace × *Jiaxing* Black) with an average initial body weight of 62.1  $\pm$  5.0 kg (means  $\pm$  SD) were randomly divided into 3 groups with 6 duplicates of 1 each as follows: a control group was fed a normal diet (basal diet, Table 2) and the other 2 groups were fed the normal diet supplemented with 5 and 25 g/kg SCE dietary for 42 days. Pigs were housed in individual pens and allowed *ad libitum* access to feed and water.

## 2.3. Slaughter and sampling

At the completion of the feeding period, all pigs were subjected feed deprivation for 12 h but free drinking including the time during transportation and then slaughtered humanely by bleeding after electrically stunning in a commercial slaughter house (Qinglian Food Co., Ltd., Zhejiang, China). At the same time, blood samples were collected and placed on ice for 1 h, and then, centrifuged at  $800 \times g$  at 4 °C for 10 min. After centrifugation, the serum from each sample was collected and frozen at -20 °C until analyzed. Immediately after the pigs were killed, LD samples were removed at the level of the last rib in each carcass. For each of these 18 samples, 5 g muscles were frozen in liquid nitrogen for analysis enzyme activity and malondialdehyde (MDA) content, 20 g were

Table 1		
Nutrient content and	phenolic content of sugar cane extract (S	SCE).

Nutrient content, %	Content	Phenolic compounds <sup>1</sup>	Content
Moisture	5.63	Total phenolic content	89.39
Crude protein	13.65	Sinapic acid	1.16
Crude fat	2.99	Chlorogenic acid	10.70
Crude fiber	1.32	Apigenin	5.68
Ash	16.64	Tricin	7.31
Nitrogen free extracts	59.77	Gallic acid	8.12

<sup>1</sup> Total phenolic content is expressed as mg/g SCE dry matter. Sinapic acid, chlorogenic acid, apigenin, tricin and gallic acid are expressed as mg/100 g SCE dry matter.

#### Table 2

Feed ingredients and nutrient content of basal diets.

Ingredients, %	Content	Nutrients, %	Content
Corn	77	Digestible energy, MJ/kg	13.90
Soybean meal	18	Crude protein	14.81
Wheat bran	2	Calcium	0.64
Dicalcium phosphate	0.9	Total phosphorus	0.50
Limestone	1	Available phosphorus	0.29
Lysine HCl	0.2	Lysine	0.82
NaCl	0.3	Methionine	0.25
Premix <sup>1</sup>	0.6	Threonine	0.55
Total	100	Tryptophan	0.16

<sup>1</sup> Provided the following per kg diet for finishing pigs: 100 mg of Fe (as ferrous sulfate); 15 mg of Cu (as copper sulfate); 120 mg of Zn (as zinc sulfate); 40 mg of Mn (as manganese sulfate); 0.3 mg of Se (as Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>); 0.25 mg of I (as KI); 13,500 IU of vitamin A; 2,250 IU of vitamin D<sub>3</sub>; 24 IU of vitamin E; 6.2 mg of riboflavin; 25 mg of nicotinic acid; 15 mg of pantothenic acid; 1.2 mg of vitamin B<sub>12</sub>; 0.15 mg of biotin.

stored frozen at -20 °C until chemical composition analysis and 5 g samples for evaluation of histology were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution. The residual muscles refrigerated at 4 °C, were subjected to measurement of pH, color, shear force, cooking loss and drip loss.

# 2.4. Meat quality measurements

The pH values of the LD muscle was measured at approximately 45 min (pH<sub>45 min</sub>) and 24 h (pH<sub>24 h</sub>) postmortem using a pH meter (HI9125 pH meter, HANNA instruments, Italy), as described by Fisher (1995). The pH probe was inserted into the LD muscle directly. Each sample was determined 3 times in different locations and the average value was obtained.

The meat color  $L^*$  (lightness),  $a^*$  (redness) and  $b^*$  (yellowness) were measured at approximately 24 h postmortem using a Colorimeter (CR-10, Konica Minolta, Japan). Measurements were made at 3 different areas of the samples and the average values of  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  were recorded.

The pork drip loss was measured as below. Briefly, a muscle section (size 2 cm  $\times$  3 cm  $\times$  5 cm) was manually trimmed and weighed at about 45 min postmortem, followed by suspending from an iron wire hook within an inflated and sealed plastic bag at 0 to 4 °C for 24 h. After that, the sample was taken out from the plastic, wiped dry on filter paper, and reweighed. Drip loss was expressed as follows: Drip loss (%) = [(Initial weight – Final weight)/Initial weight]  $\times$  100. A 2.5 cm thickness sample was weighed accurately and packaged in a plastic bag prior to cooking. Then the sample was immersed in a 72 °C water bath until reaching an internal temperature of 70 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the sample was wiped with filter paper and reweighed. Cooking loss was calculated as follows: Cooking loss (%) = [(Raw weight – Cooked weight)/Raw weight]  $\times$  100.

After determining cooking loss, the same muscle was then subjected to measurement of shear force using a muscle tenderness meter (C-LM3, Northeast Agricultural University, China). Briefly, 6 cores (1.27 cm diameter, 3.0 cm length) were removed from each cooked sample along the longitudinal orientation of muscle fiber. Then, the cores were sheared perpendicular to the fiber long axis. Shear force data of each sample were recorded as means  $\pm$  SEM of 6 replicates.

# 2.5. Chemical composition analysis

Muscle samples were analyzed for moisture, crud protein, intramuscular fat content (IMF) and ash according to Association of Analytical Chemists methods (AOAC, 2000).

#### 2.6. Myofiber cross sectional area (CSA) determination

The sample myofiber CSA was determined by histomorphology observation, according to Zhang et al. (2015) and modified as below. Briefly, transverse serial sections of 5  $\mu$ m were cut from embedded muscle samples on a Leica RM2235 rotary cutting machine (Leica Instrument GmbH, Germany) followed by HE staining. Three fascicles of one sample were randomly selected from different field of microscope (Nikon YS100, Japan). Then myofibers cross sectional image was captured at a magnification of 40, and the myofiber CSA was measured using Image-Pro software (Image-Pro Plus 6.0, Silver Spring, MD, USA).

# 2.7. Enzyme activity and malondialdehyde (MDA) content measurement

About 150 mg frozen muscle sample was homogenized on ice in 1.35 mL of 0.9% saline and then centrifuged at  $800 \times g$  for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant, 10% muscle homogenate, was used to measure MDA content, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), total superoxide dismutase (T-SOD) and catalase (CAT) in triplicate at appropriate dilutions. The serum was also analyzed for MDA content, GPx, T-SOD and CAT. The assays were conducted with the commercial kits purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute.

# 2.8. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test using Prism software (GraphPad Prism Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Results were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). Differences with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant, whereas a *P*-value between 0.05 and 0.10 was classified as a trend.

#### 3. Results

## 3.1. Meat quality

Pigs exhibited (Table 3) similar final body weight among groups after 42 days of feeding (P > 0.05). No effect of dietary SCE supplementation was detected on pH<sub>45</sub> min, L<sup>\*</sup>, a<sup>\*</sup>, b<sup>\*</sup> and cooking loss (P > 0.05). However, dietary SCE treatments significantly increased (P < 0.05) LD muscle pH<sub>24</sub> h, while tended to decrease (P < 0.1) and significantly reduced (P < 0.05) shear force and drip loss at 5 and 25 g/kg, respectively. The measured meat quality traits were not

#### Table 3

Effect of dietary sugar cane extract (SCE) supplementation on meat quality of finishing pigs.  $^{1}$ 

Item	Control	5 g/kg SCE	25 g/kg SCE
Initial body weight, kg	61.92 ± 2.22	61.83 ± 1.60	62.42 ± 2.59
Final body weight, kg	94.56 ± 3.67	97.71 ± 1.97	94.06 ± 2.93
pH <sub>45 min</sub>	$6.16 \pm 0.06$	$6.14 \pm 0.12$	6.13 ± 0.15
рН <sub>24 h</sub>	$5.75 \pm 0.05^{a}$	$6.05 \pm 0.05^{b}$	$5.96 \pm 0.05^{b}$
L*	$43.11 \pm 0.95$	$42.81 \pm 0.87$	$43.19 \pm 0.38$
a*	$8.30 \pm 0.35$	8.38 ± 0.79	8.66 ± 0.31
b <sup>*</sup>	$11.62 \pm 0.78$	$13.19 \pm 0.77$	13.25 ± 0.27
Shear force, kg	$5.03 \pm 0.25^{b}$	$4.08 \pm 0.19^{b}$	$3.65 \pm 0.32^{a}$
Drip loss, %	$6.62 \pm 0.65^{b}$	$5.11 \pm 0.25^{b}$	$4.72 \pm 0.18^{a}$
Cooking loss, %	21.03 ± 1.73	$21.85 \pm 0.54$	21.83 ± 1.55

 $L^* = lightness$ ,  $a^* = redness$ ,  $b^* = yellowness$ .

<sup>a, b</sup> Within a row, means with different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Data represent means ± SEM of 6 replicates.

significantly different between the 5 and 25 g/kg SCE-supplemented groups (P > 0.05).

# 3.2. Muscle chemical composition, myofiber cross sectional area and lactate dehydrogenase activity

No effect of dietary SCE supplementation was detected (Table 4) on muscle moisture, crud protein, IMF and ash content (P > 0.05). However, LD muscle myofiber CSA was decreased (Table 5) by the supplementation of SCE when compared with the control group (P < 0.05). Dietary SCE treatments tended to reduce (P < 0.1) and significantly decreased (P < 0.05) LDH activity at 5 and 25 g/kg, respectively. Measured muscle chemical composition (Table 4), myofiber CSA and LDH activity (Table 5) were not significantly different between the 5 and 25 g/kg SCE-supplemented groups (P > 0.05).

#### 3.3. Antioxidative enzyme activities and malondialdehyde content

Dietary SCE supplementation did not affect (P > 0.05) muscle GPx and CAT activity but resulted in decreased (P < 0.05) muscle T-SOD activity and MDA content (Table 6). Dietary SCE treatments did not affect (P > 0.05) measured serum antioxidative enzyme activities but tended to reduce (P < 0.1) serum MDA content (Table 7). There were no significant differences in measured antioxidative enzyme activities and MDA content of muscle (Table 6) and serum (Table 7) between 5 and 25 g/kg SCE supplementation groups (P > 0.05).

# 4. Discussion

Meat quality traits can be defined by physicochemical properties, mainly color, pH, water-holding capacity (WHC), tenderness, nutrient composition, etc. It is meaningful to improve these meat quality traits, because they are closely correlated to consumer acceptance and thereby financial implications. This study is the first to demonstrate that dietary supplementation with SCE increased  $pH_{24 h}$  of LD muscle of finishing pigs, while decreased shear force, drip loss and cooking loss. Therefore, SCE seems to function as a helpful feed additive to improve pork meat quality.

Meat quality development is often influenced by post-slaughter muscle metabolism, which is indicated by the rate of lactic acid generation and subsequent reduction of pH (Park et al., 2010). Lactate dehydrogenase is a key enzyme in the conversion of pyroracemic acid to lactic acid under postmortem anaerobic conditions in the muscle (Li et al., 2015). Previous studies have reported that LDH activity was positively and negatively correlated with lactic acid and ultimate pH in muscle, respectively (Pérez et al., 2002; Marrocco et al., 2011). The abnormally low pH induces denaturation of sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar proteins and damages integrity of muscle cell membrane, resulting in worse WHC and unattractive appearance (Zhang et al., 2014). Studies have

Table	4
-------	---

Effect of dietary sugar cane extract (SCE) supplementation on *Longissimus dorsi* muscle chemical composition (%) of finishing pigs.<sup>1</sup>

Item	Control	5 g/kg SCE	25 g/kg SCE
Moisture IMF Crude protein Ash	$73.82 \pm 0.36 \\ 2.70 \pm 0.11 \\ 20.68 \pm 0.12 \\ 1.92 \pm 0.08$	$73.60 \pm 0.32 \\ 2.96 \pm 0.17 \\ 20.21 \pm 0.14 \\ 1.77 \pm 0.07$	$73.91 \pm 1.13 3.04 \pm 0.29 20.12 \pm 0.46 1.83 \pm 0.04$

IMF = intramuscular fat content.

<sup>a, b</sup> Within a row, means with different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup> Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM of 6 replicates.

#### Table 5

Effect of dietary sugar cane extract (SCE) supplementation on myofiber crosssectional area (myofiber CSA) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activities in *Longissimus dorsi* muscle of finishing pigs.<sup>1</sup>

Item	Control	5 g/kg SCE	25 g/kg SCE
Myofiber CSA, μm <sup>2</sup>	$3,738 \pm 233.7^{b}$	$2,786 \pm 130.0^{a}$	$2,736 \pm 89.36^{a}$
LDH, U/g protein	$79.32 \pm 7.58^{b}$	$62.59 \pm 2.10^{b}$	54.41 ± 4.20 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a, b</sup> Within a row, means with different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup> Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM of 6 replicates.

#### Table 6

Effect of dietary sugar cane extract (SCE) supplementation on *Longissimus dorsi* muscle MDA content and antioxidative enzyme activities of finishing pigs.<sup>1</sup>

Item	Control	5 g/kg SCE	25 g/kg SCE
MDA, nmol/mg protein T-SOD, U/mg protein CAT, U/mg protein GPx, U/mg protein	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30 \pm 0.01^{b} \\ 48.72 \pm 2.15^{b} \\ 93.45 \pm 5.01 \\ 26.73 \pm 2.79 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.22 \pm 0.02^a \\ 39.74 \pm 3.43^a \\ 94.26 \pm 4.59 \\ 23.93 \pm 5.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.20 \pm 0.03^a \\ 38.24 \pm 0.88^a \\ 92.72 \pm 4.14 \\ 26.06 \pm 3.14 \end{array}$

MDA = malonaldehyde; T-SOD = total superoxide dismutase; CAT = catalase; GPx = glutathione peroxidase.

<sup>a, b</sup> Within a row, means with different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup> Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM of 6 replicates.

# Table 7

Effect of dietary sugar cane extract (SCE) supplementation on serum MDA content and antioxidative enzyme activities of finishing pigs.<sup>1</sup>

Item	Control	5 g/kg SCE	25 g/kg SCE
MDA, nmol/mL	$3.48 \pm 0.17$	$3.04 \pm 0.11$	$3.00 \pm 0.16$
T-SOD, U/L	59.81 ± 1.72	56.25 ± 1.51	59.88 ± 3.57
CAT, U/L	$7.21 \pm 0.86$	$7.45 \pm 0.98$	$7.76 \pm 0.72$
GPx, U/L	$402.4\pm24.78$	$420.6 \pm 17.57$	$425.8 \pm 18.78$

MDA = malonaldehyde; T-SOD = total superoxide dismutase; CAT = catalase; GPx = glutathione peroxidase.

<sup>a, b</sup> Within a row, means with different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup> Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM of 6 replicates.

reported that low ultimate pH was usually accompanied by low WHC (Allison et al., 2003; Hufflonergan and Lonergan, 2005), and a lower WHC has been observed with an enlargement of myofiber CSA (Dietl et al., 1993). Moreover, increased ultimate pH has a beneficial influence on the tenderness of meat (Huff-Lonergan et al., 2000). Ryu and Kim (2005) have also reported that there is an inverse correlation between myofiber CSA and tenderness. In addition, a higher body weight was indicated to be accompanied by an enlarged myofiber size (Ramaswamy et al., 1992), which suggests a negative correlation between body weight and tenderness of muscle. In the present study, dietary SCE supplementation did not affect final body of finishing pigs, while decreased muscle LDH activity and myofiber CSA. Therefore, the reduced LDH activity and myofiber size may be the partial explanation for the improved meat quality traits in response to SCE treatment.

Under the physiological status, the molecular oxygen undergoes several types of biochemical reactions that leads to the formation of free radicals. Free radicals are potentially harmful because of their high biochemical reactivity and cause the oxidation of biomolecules, for example protein, lipid, and nucleic acid, which results in cell injury or even death (Fang et al., 2002). It was reported that lipid peroxidation led to disruption of muscle cell membrane integrity, which may badly induce exudative loss from meat (Buckley et al., 1995). Malondialdehyde is the main end-products of lipid peroxides that generally used as an indicator of an increased oxidative stress in the body (Gaweł et al., 2004), and is considered to be a carcinogenic initiator and mutagen, which can endanger the safety of food (Fernandez et al., 1997). In addition, meat tenderization process can be interfered by the suppressed calpain activity and slowed rate of proteolysis occurring in oxidative conditions, which increases meat toughness (Rowe et al., 2004). Therefore, oxidation in meat may involve in the decline in meat quality characteristics and contribute to potential health risks.

Antioxidants have the capacity to avoid tissue damage by preventing the formation of radicals, by scavenging them or by promoting their decomposition (Falowo et al., 2014). The principal defense systems which combat against free radicals inside body are enzymatic antioxidants including superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) and CAT, and non-enzymatic antioxidant nutrients (Fang et al., 2002). In recent years, natural plant extracts contain phenolic compounds that have been considered as potential dietary antioxidants to decrease lipid peroxidation in the body. For example, soybean isoflavone in broiler diets, and resveratrol (Zhang et al., 2015) and verbascoside (Rossi et al., 2013) in pig diets could improve meat quality by reducing lipid peroxidation and ameliorating antioxidative status. Previous studies have demonstrated that SCE had remarkable superoxide anion scavenging activity, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging activity and FFKBP12-Rapamycin-associated protein (FRAP) activity in vitro, and the high antioxidant activity was positively related to a high content of phenolic compounds existed in SCE (Nagai and Koge, 2000; Chung et al., 2011; Feng et al., 2014). In this study, SCE presented a high content of total phenolic compounds, among which, sinapic acid, chlorogenic acid, apigenin, tricin and gallic acid were detected. These phenolics detected are very well known for their antioxidant properties in different assay models (Nićiforović and Abramovič, 2014; Sato et al., 2011; Sadasivam and Kumaresan, 2011; Duarte-Almeida et al., 2007) and hence, may play important roles in the antioxidant function of SCE. However, it is still unknown whether SCE could contribute to antioxidation like other plant extracts in vivo. Excitingly, this study provides the first evidence that dietary SCE supplementation reduced the MDA content of muscle and serum in finishing pigs which may indicate a decreased lipid peroxidation, and indirectly indicate an increased antioxidative capacity. Moreover, at the same point, decreased total SOD activity in muscle was also observed as the result of dietary SCE supplementation. Superoxide dismutase was an enzyme that converts superoxide radicals into hydrogen peroxide and oxygen, playing a crucial role in antioxidant defense (Thirach et al., 2007). Actually, SCE presents high superoxide anion scavenging activity same as SOD, indicating the scavenging activity turned into enzymatic activity (Nagai and Koge, 2000). Kinoyama et al. (2007) reported that oral administration of antioxidant containing plant-based SOD had the effect of lowing the activity and content of SOD inside body. Similarly, it seems likely that SCE may act as an exogenous SOD to eliminate free radicals and improve antioxidative statues, and thereby suppress the generation of endogenous SOD, which results in lower SOD activity in muscle. Accordingly, it is appropriate to assume that antioxidant capacity is enhanced by the SCE treatment. In view of the going, we speculate that the improved pork quality in dietary SCE treatment of this study possibly ascribed to improved oxidative stability.

# 5. Conclusion

To our best knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate that SCE can serve as an effective feed additive that beneficially improve meat quality of finishing pigs. Furthermore, this study also provides the first evidence that dietary SCE supplementation can decrease myofiber CSA and LDH activity, and improve oxidative stability in pork, which may serve as useful information for understanding the underlying mechanisms of improved meat quality.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0500505) and the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No.31272485).

### References

- Allison C, Bates R, Booren A, Johnson R, Doumit M. Pork quality variation is not explained by glycolytic enzyme capacity. Meat Sci 2003;63:17–22.
- AOAC. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. 17th ed., vol. 1. Gaithersburg, MD: AOAC Int; 2000.
- Asghar A, Gray J, Buckley D, Pearson A, Booren A. Perspectives on warmed-over flavor. Food Technol 1988;42:102–8.
- Buckley DJ, Morrissey PA, Gray JI. Influence of dietary vitamin E on the oxidative stability and quality of pig meat. J Animal Sci 1995;73:3122–30.
- Chung YM, Wang HC, El-ShazJy M, Leu YL, Cheng MC, Lee CL, et al. Antioxidant and tyrosinase inhibitory constituents from a desugared sugar cane extract, a byproduct of sugar production. J Agric Food Chem 2011;59:9219–25.
- Dietl G, Groeneveld E, Fiedler I. Genetic parameters of muscle structure traits in pigs. In: Proceedings of the 44th EAAP Ann. Meeting, Aarhus, Denmark; 1993.
- Duarte-Almeida JM, Negri G, Salatino A, Carvalho JED, Lajolo FM. Antiproliferative and antioxidant activities of a tricin acylated glycoside from sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum) juice. Phytochemistry 2007;68:1165–71.
- Duarte-Almeida JM, Novoa AV, Linares AF, Lajolo FM, Genovese MI. Antioxidant activity of phenolics compounds from sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum L.) juice. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 2006;61:187–92.
- El-Abasy M, Motobu M, Shimura K, Na KJ, Kang CB, Koge K, et al. Immunostimulating and growth-promoting effects of sugar cane extract (SCE) in chickens. J Vet Med Sci 2002;64:1061–3.
- Falowo AB, Fayemi PO, Muchenje V. Natural antioxidants against lipid—protein oxidative deterioration in meat and meat products: a review. Food Res Int 2014;64:171–81.
- Fang YZ, Yang S, Wu G. Free radicals, antioxidants, and nutrition. Nutrition 2002;18: 872–9.
- Feng S, Luo Z, Zhang Y, Zhong Z, Lu B. Phytochemical contents and antioxidant capacities of different parts of two sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) cultivars. Food Chem 2014;151:452–8.
- Fernandez J, Perezalvarez JA, Fernandezlopez JA. Thiobarbituric acid test for monitoring lipid oxidation in meat. Food Chem 1997;59:345–53.
- Fisher P. Carcass and meat quality characteristics of three halothane genotypes in pigs. Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch University; 1995.
- Gawet S, Wardas M, Niedworok E, Wardas P. Malondialdehyde (MDA) as a lipid peroxidation marker. Wiadomosci Lekarskie 2004;57:453–5.

- Grün I, Clarke A, Lorenzen C, Grun JA. Reducing oxidation of meat. Food Technol 2006;60:36–43.
- Huff-Lonergan E, Lonergan S, Vaske L. pH relationships to quality attributes: tenderness. Meat Sci Reciprocation Ser 2000;53:1–4.
- Hufflonergan E, Lonergan SM. Mechanisms of water-holding capacity of meat: the role of postmortem biochemical and structural changes. Meat Sci 2005;71: 194–204.
- Karre L, Lopez K, Getty KJ. Natural antioxidants in meat and poultry products. Meat Sci 2013;94:220–7.
- Kinoyama M, Nitta H, Hara S, Watanabe A, Shirao K. Blood superoxide dismutase (SOD) decrease following oral administration of plant SOD to healthy subjects. J Health Sci 2007;53:608–14.
- Li JL, Guo ZY, Li YJ, Zhang L, Gao F, Zhou GH. Effect of creatine monohydrate supplementation on carcass traits, meat quality and postmortem energy metabolism of finishing pigs. Anim Prod Sci 2015;56:49–54.
- Marrocco C, Zolla V, Zolla L. Meat quality of the *longissimus lumborum* muscle of Casertana and Large White pigs: metabolomics and proteomics intertwined. J Proteomics 2011;75:610–27.
- Nagai Y, Koge K. Satoukibityuusyutubutu no Fuumikaizenkouka. Gekkan Food Chem 2000;7:84–8.
- Nićiforović N, Abramovič H. Sinapic acid and its derivatives: natural sources and bioactivity. Food Sci food Saf 2014;13:34–51.
- Pérez M, Palacio J, Santolaria M, Aceña M, Chacón G, Gascón M, et al. Effect of transport time on welfare and meat quality in pigs. Meat Sci 2002;61:425–33.
- Park KM, Pramod AB, Kim JH, Choe HS, Hwang IH. Molecular and biological factors affecting skeletal muscle cells after slaughtering and their impact on meat quality: a mini review. J Muscle Foods 2010;21:280–307.
- Ramaswamy AM, Jayaprasad IA, Radhakrishnan KT, Kannan G. Influence of slaughter weight on fibre diameter sarcomere length, shear force value and tenderness score in Large White Yorkshire barrows. Indian J Anim Res 1992;26: 67–74.
- Rossi R, Pastorelli G, Cannata S, Tavaniello S, Maiorano G, Corino C. Effect of long term dietary supplementation with plant extract on carcass characteristics meat quality and oxidative stability in pork. Meat Sci 2013;95:542–8.
- Rowe LJ, Maddock KR, Lonergan SM, Huff-Lonergan E. Oxidative environments decrease tenderization of beef steaks through inactivation of mu-calpain. J Anim Sci 2004;82:3254–66.
- Ryu Y, Kim BC. The relationship between muscle fiber characteristics, postmortem metabolic rate, and meat quality of pig longissimus dorsi muscle. Meat Sci 2005;71:351–7.
- Sadasivam K, Kumaresan R. A comparative DFT study on the antioxidant activity of apigenin and scutellarein flavonoid compounds. Mol Phys 2011;109:839–52.
- Sato Y, Itagaki S, Kurokawa T, Ogura J, Kobayashi M, Hirano T, et al. In vitro and in vivo antioxidant properties of chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid. Int J Pharm 2011;403:136–8.
- Thirach S, Cooper CR, Vanittanakom P, Vanittanakom N. The copper, zinc superoxide dismutase gene of *Penicillium marneffei*: cloning, characterization, and differential expression during phase transition and macrophage infection. Med Mycol 2007;45:409–17.
- Zhang C, Luo J, Yu B, Zheng P, Huang Z, Mao X, et al. Dietary resveratrol supplementation improves meat quality of finishing pigs through changing muscle fiber characteristics and antioxidative status. Meat Sci 2015;102:15–21.
- Zhang M, Wang D, Geng Z, Bian H, Liu F, Zhu Y, et al. The level of heat shock protein 90 in pig longissimus dorsi muscle and its relationship with meat pH and quality. Food Chem 2014;165:337–41.