

Physical activity and risk of breast cancer in premenopausal women

GA Colditz^{*,1,2}, D Feskanich², WY Chen^{2,3}, DJ Hunter^{1,2,4} and WC Willett^{1,2,4,5}

¹Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, USA; ²Channing Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA; ³The Department of Medical Oncology, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 02115, USA; ⁴Department of Nutrition, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA; ⁵The Cancer Epidemiology Program, Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center

Physical activity appears to be inversely related to risk of breast cancer, yet the results remain inconsistent. To evaluate this relation among premenopausal women and examine variation in risk according to level of obesity and use of oral contraceptives (OCs), the authors examined data from the Nurses' Health Study II. During 10 years of follow-up, 849 cases of invasive premenopausal breast cancer were confirmed. Physical activity was assessed by self-report at baseline and during follow-up using a validated questionnaire. Total physical activity was unrelated to risk of breast cancer. Women engaging in ≥ 27 metabolic equivalent (MET)-h week⁻¹ had a multivariate-adjusted relative risk (RR) of 1.04 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.82–1.33) compared to those in the < 3 MET-h week⁻¹ category. Among women with a BMI ≥ 30 kg m⁻², we observed a significant positive dose–response relation ($P = 0.04$). Activity was unrelated to breast cancer risk at lower levels of BMI. A test for interaction between activity and BMI (< 30 , ≥ 30 kg m⁻²) was statistically significant ($P = 0.02$). Among current OC users, higher activity was associated with a non-significantly lower risk of breast cancer (RR = 0.59, 95% CI 0.30–1.16 for ≥ 27 vs < 9 MET-h week⁻¹, P for linear trend = 0.14). These results show no overall association between physical activity and risk of breast cancer among premenopausal women, but suggest that the effect of physical activity could be substantially modified by the underlying degree of adiposity. The potential interactions between physical activity, adiposity, and current use of OCs require further study.

British Journal of Cancer (2003) 89, 847–851. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6601175 www.bjcancer.com
© 2003 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: breast cancer; physical activity; prospective cohort study

The relation of physical activity to risk of breast cancer has been assessed by the International Agency for Research on Cancer, which concluded that, although studies have not been entirely consistent, the overall results support a reduction in risk with higher levels of activity (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2002). The majority of studies, however, have been focused on postmenopausal breast cancer. Also, upon review of more than 30 studies, it was noted that the most important time periods in life for activity is not currently known. Furthermore, which activities may offer the greatest protection have not been systematically examined.

In a previous analysis of the Nurses' Health Study II (NHSII) cohort with 372 cases among predominantly premenopausal women, Rockhill *et al* (1998) observed no association between nonoccupational activity levels in late adolescence or the recent past and risk of breast cancer. We now extend that analysis, adding 477 additional premenopausal cases in an effort to understand better the relation between physical activity and risk of premenopausal breast cancer.

In addition to evaluating the type of physical activity, we examined the relation between activity and breast cancer within subgroups of adiposity and oral contraceptive (OC) use, which we hypothesised, might modify the relationship. Potential health effects of (OCs) were the original focus of the NHS II cohort.

METHODS

The NHS II began in 1989 when 116 671 female registered nurses between 25 and 42 years of age and living within 14 US states responded to an initial mailed questionnaire. Follow-up questionnaires are sent every 2 years and the response rate has been at least 90% in each cycle. Participants report diagnosed diseases and information on lifestyle and other risk factors for disease. Deaths are detected by reports from the postal service or family members and by a search of the National Death Index (Stampfer *et al*, 1984). This analysis includes the 110 468 premenopausal women who responded to the physical activity questions at baseline and had not reported a previous diagnosis of any cancer other than nonmelanoma skin cancer.

Breast cancer cases

Over the 10 years of follow-up among the premenopausal women, we obtained medical records from 977 (88%) of the 1106 reported

*Correspondence: Dr GA Colditz, Channing Laboratory, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA;

E-mail graham.colditz@channing.harvard.edu

Supported by Grant CA50838 from the National Institutes of Health
Received 3 March 2003; revised 4 June 2003; accepted 6 June 2003

diagnoses of breast cancer prior to 1 June, 1999 and before menopause, defined as cessation of menses due to natural causes, surgery, radiation, or chemotherapy. From the medical records, 761 (78%) were confirmed as invasive cancer and included as cases in this analysis; the other 22% were *in situ* and were excluded. Owing to the high degree of self-reporting accuracy, we included in analysis the 88 reports that were confirmed verbally or in writing by the participant but for which we could not obtain medical records, bringing the final breast cancer case count to 849. The median age at diagnosis was 42 years.

Activity assessment

In 1989, participants reported their average amount of time spent per week during the previous year in each of eight activities: walking or hiking outdoors, jogging (>10 min mile⁻¹), running, bicycling (including stationary machine), racquet sports, lap swimming, calisthenics/aerobics, and other aerobic activity. Although most of these activities were recreational, walking could be nonrecreational. For each activity, women chose one of 10 duration categories that ranged from zero to 11 or more hours per week. Walking pace was also reported as easy, average, brisk, or very brisk. Activity was reassessed in 1991 and 1997.

Each activity on the questionnaire was assigned a metabolic equivalent (MET) score based on the classification by Ainsworth *et al* (1993). The MET score for an activity is defined as the ratio of the metabolic rate associated with that activity divided by the resting metabolic rate. For example, walking at an average pace, jogging, and running were assigned MET scores of 3, 7 and 12, respectively. We calculated MET-h week⁻¹ for each activity as the reported hours per week engaged in the activity multiplied by the assigned MET score, and the values from the individual activities were summed for a total MET-h week⁻¹. To obtain the best long-term measure of activity, values for total MET-h week⁻¹ were cumulatively averaged in analyses; that is, at the beginning of each 2-year follow-up cycle, MET-h week⁻¹ was the mean of all MET-h week⁻¹ calculated from the questionnaires up to that time. We selected cutpoints for data analysis *a priori* to be in three MET increments because three METs is the score for 1 h of walking and we wanted to be able to describe results from our MET-h analyses in terms of the equivalent amount of walking.

The ability of the questionnaire to assess total activity over the previous year was evaluated in a sample of 151 NHS II women (Wolf *et al*, 1994). Compared with four 7-day activity diaries, the questionnaire underascertained activity by approximately 20%. However, the correlation for total MET-h week⁻¹ of activity was 0.62 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.44–0.75), indicating that the questionnaire is a reasonable tool for categorical ranking. For walking, the primary activity among the women in this cohort, the correlation was 0.70 (95% CI 0.49–0.84) when the past-year activity questionnaire was compared with four past-week questionnaires collected seasonally during the year.

Other risk factors

Weight, use of OCs, reproductive history, and diagnosis of benign breast disease were reported at baseline in 1989 and updated on each follow-up questionnaire. Age at menarche, height, and weight at age 18 years were reported once in 1989. Alcohol consumption was assessed in 1991 and 1995 from a food frequency questionnaire, and family history (mother or sister) of breast cancer was reported in 1989 and again in 1997. Current body mass index (BMI, kg m⁻²) and BMI at age 18 years were calculated from the reported weights and height (Rimm *et al*, 1990; Troy *et al*, 1995), and weight change was calculated as the difference in weight at age 18 years and the current weight in each 2 years follow-up cycle. Time since last birth was also calculated and updated in each follow-up cycle.

Statistical analysis

Study participants contributed person-time from the return date of their 1989 questionnaire until menopause, the report of a diagnosis of breast cancer or other cancer (except nonmelanoma skin cancer), death, or the end of follow-up on 1999 June 1. The 110 468 women in this analysis contributed a total of 934 082 person-years. Person-time was allocated to the appropriate category for activity and for each of the risk factors at the beginning of every 2-year follow-up cycle. Breast cancer incidence rates were calculated as the number of cases divided by the person-time in each activity category and relative risks (RR) were the ratio of the rate in each upper category compared with the rate in the lowest or referent category. The Cox proportional hazards models were used to calculate multivariate RRs adjusted for all risk factors (Cox, 1972). The *P*-values for linear trend were determined using continuous activity values in the proportional hazards models. The level of statistical significance in all analyses was *P* < 0.05.

RESULTS

At baseline in 1989, the median total activity among the premenopausal women in the study population was 13 MET-h week⁻¹; 9% reported zero or minimal activity (i. e., no more than 15 min week⁻¹). Walking, the most popular activity, was reported by 84% of the women and contributed 32% of the total MET-h. Calisthenics/aerobics (19%), other aerobic activity (16%), and biking (16%) were the other major contributors.

More active women had a lower BMI, consumed somewhat more alcohol, and were more likely to be nulliparous than sedentary or less-active women (Table 1). Other risk factors for breast cancer, including age, height, age at menarche, OC use, parity, age at first birth, history of benign breast disease, and family history of breast cancer were unrelated to activity.

Total physical activity was unrelated to risk of breast cancer (Table 2). In the multivariate-adjusted analyses, women engaging in ≥ 27 MET-h week⁻¹ had an RR of 1.04 (95% CI 0.82–1.33) compared to those in the <3 MET-h week⁻¹ category. Adjustment for the risk factors, including BMI, only slightly attenuated the RRs adjusted only for age. Results were also unchanged when we included BMI at age 18 years and weight change since age 18 years, instead of current BMI, in the multivariate model, or when we included time since last birth instead of parity and age at first birth (data not shown).

We also evaluated specific low-intensity (walking) and high-intensity (running or jogging) activities (Table 3). Walking was unrelated to breast cancer risk (RR = 1.07, 95% CI 0.81–1.40, for ≥ 4 h week⁻¹ vs <20 min/wk), while women who ran or jogged for ≥ 2 h week⁻¹ had a nonsignificantly lower risk (RR = 0.71, 95% CI 0.45–1.12, *P* for linear trend = 0.10) compared to those who did not engage in either activity. Running and jogging were reported by only 6 and 10%, respectively, of the women in this study, providing modest power for analysis.

We examined the relation between total physical activity and risk of breast cancer within strata of several risk factors (Table 4). Among women with a BMI ≥ 30 kg m², we observed a significant positive dose–response relation (*P* = 0.04), although the RR in the highest activity category of ≥ 27 MET-h week⁻¹ was not significant (RR = 1.53, 95% CI 0.89–2.63). Activity was unrelated to breast cancer risk at lower levels of BMI. A test for interaction between activity (five categories) and BMI (<30 , ≥ 30 kg m²) was statistically significant (*P* = 0.02). Among current OC users, higher activity was associated with a lower risk of breast cancer (RR = 0.59, 95% CI 0.30–1.16 for ≥ 27 vs <9 MET-h week⁻¹, *p* for linear trend = 0.14), although a test for interaction between activity (five categories) and OC use (never/past, current) was not statistically significant (*P* = 0.08). An inverse association was

Table 1 Breast cancer risk factors according to categories of MET-h per week among the 110468 premenopausal women in the NHS II study population^a

| | MET-h/Week ⁻¹ (median) | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| | <3 (1.6) | 3–8.9 (5.8) | 9–17.9 (12.9) | 18–26.9 (21.9) | ≥27 (41.7) |
| Age (years) | 38.4 | 38.5 | 38.4 | 38.1 | 37.5 |
| BMI (kg m ⁻²) | 26.3 | 25.7 | 25.1 | 24.7 | 24.1 |
| Height (cm) | 164 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| Alcohol intake (g day ⁻¹) | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| Age at menarche <12 years (%) | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Oral contraceptive user (%) | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Nulliparous (%) | 19 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 28 |
| Parity ^b | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Age at first birth ^b (years) | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| History of benign breast disease (%) | 34 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 35 |
| Mother or sister with breast cancer (%) | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

^aValues are the mean or the percent of the person-time over follow-up from 1989 to 1999, standardized to the age distribution of the study population. ^bParity and age at first birth were calculated among parous women only.

Table 2 Relative risks (RR) of breast cancer (*n* = 849) by total activity among premenopausal women in the NHS II cohort, 1989–1999

| | NHS | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------|---|--|
| | Person-years (thousands) | Cases | Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) | Multivariate ^a without BMI RR (95% CI) | Multivariate ^b with BMI RR (95% CI) |
| Total activity (MET-h week ⁻¹) | | | | | |
| <3 | 106.1 | 95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 3–8.9 | 217.5 | 210 | 1.07 (0.84–1.37) | 1.05 (0.82–1.34) | 1.05 (0.82–1.33) |
| 9–17.9 | 225.5 | 197 | 0.99 (0.77–1.26) | 0.96 (0.75–1.23) | 0.95 (0.74–1.21) |
| 18–26.9 | 140.2 | 131 | 1.08 (0.83–1.41) | 1.05 (0.80–1.37) | 1.03 (0.79–1.35) |
| ≥27 | 244.8 | 216 | 1.10 (0.86–1.40) | 1.07 (0.84–1.36) | 1.04 (0.82–1.33) |
| <i>P</i> for linear trend | | | 0.57 | 0.69 | 0.86 |

^aAdjusted for age, height, alcohol intake, age at menarche, age at first birth, oral contraceptive use, history of benign breast disease, and mother or sister with breast cancer.

^bAdjusted for the factors listed above plus BMI.

Table 3 Relative risks (RR) of breast cancer by time spent walking and by time spent running or jogging among premenopausal women in the NHS II cohort, 1989–1999

| | Person-years (thousands) | Cases | Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) | Multivariate ^a RR (95% CI) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <i>Walking</i> | | | | |
| <20 min week ⁻¹ | 166.2 | 126 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 20–59 min week ⁻¹ | 239.3 | 228 | 1.16 (0.93–1.44) | 1.17 (0.94–1.46) |
| 1–1.9 h week ⁻¹ | 251.9 | 241 | 1.20 (0.97–1.49) | 1.20 (0.97–1.50) |
| 2–3.9 h week ⁻¹ | 157.7 | 160 | 1.27 (1.00–1.60) | 1.23 (0.97–1.57) |
| ≥4 h week ⁻¹ | 119.0 | 94 | 1.07 (0.82–1.40) | 1.07 (0.81–1.40) |
| <i>P</i> for linear trend | | | 0.74 | 0.80 |
| <i>Running or jogging</i> | | | | |
| None | 760.4 | 701 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| <1 h week ⁻¹ | 107.9 | 92 | 0.94 (0.76–1.17) | 0.92 (0.74–1.15) |
| 1–1.9 h week ⁻¹ | 36.5 | 37 | 1.20 (0.48–1.19) | 1.15 (0.83–1.61) |
| ≥2 h week ⁻¹ | 29.3 | 19 | 0.76 (0.48–1.19) | 0.71 (0.45–1.12) |
| <i>P</i> for linear trend | | | 0.20 | 0.10 |

^aAdjusted for age, BMI, height, alcohol intake, age at menarche, age at first birth, oral contraceptive use, history of benign breast disease, mother or sister with breast cancer, and MET-h week⁻¹ from other activities.

evident among both shorter (<5 years) and longer (≥10 years) duration users, although power to assess these relations was low. Also, there was no indication of an inverse association for physical activity once OC use ended. Among the past users who stopped taking OCs within the last 5 years, the relative risk was 1.20 (95% CI 0.57–2.49) for ≥27 vs <3 MET-h week⁻¹. In other stratified analyses, associations between physical activity and risk of breast cancer remained null when limited to women <40 or ≥40 years of

age or to nulliparous or parous women, and in strata of smoking and alcohol intake (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In these prospective data among premenopausal women, we observed no overall association between recent physical activity

and progesterone. Some evidence suggests that physical activity insufficient to cause anovulation may also reduce oestrogen levels (Bullen *et al*, 1985). Among premenopausal women, increasing BMI is associated with lower risk of breast cancer (van den Brandt *et al*, 2000), and this has been hypothesised to result from anovulation induced by insulin resistance, which is reduced by physical activity. The increase in risk associated with greater physical activity among obese premenopausal women could then be due to a reduction in insulin resistance and increased frequency of ovulation. Indirect evidence supporting this hypothesis comes from the current prospective cohort study in which we have observed that obese women have more irregular menstrual cycles, and greater risk of infertility (Rich-Edwards *et al*, 1994), and that regular activity is associated with reduced anovulatory infertility (Rich-Edwards *et al*, 2002). Furthermore, in this cohort, irregular

menstrual cycles and anovulatory infertility are associated with reduced risk of premenopausal breast cancer (Garland *et al*, 1998). The suggestion of a benefit among women who currently use OCs would be compatible with a lack of adverse effect by reducing ovulation (users are all anovulatory) combined with a benefit independent of ovulation.

In conclusion, these data among premenopausal women suggest that there is no overall association between activity and risk of breast cancer. However, benefits from high-intensity activity such as running and jogging cannot be excluded and require further evaluation. Our findings also suggest that the effect of physical activity could be substantially modified by the underlying degree of adiposity. The potential interactions between physical activity, adiposity, and current use of oral contraceptives require additional study.

REFERENCES

- Ainsworth B, Haskell W, Leon A, Jacobs Jr D, Montoye H, Sallis J, Paffenbarger Jr, R (1993) Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 25: 71–80
- Bernstein L, Ross R, Lobo R, Hanisch R, Krailo M, Henderson B (1987) The effects of moderate physical activity on menstrual cycle patterns in adolescence: implications for breast cancer prevention. *Br J Cancer* 55: 681–685
- Bullen BA, Skrinar GS, Beitins IZ, von Mering G, Turnbull BA, McArthur JW (1985) Induction of menstrual disorders by strenuous exercise in untrained women. *N Engl J Med* 312: 1349–1353
- Carpenter CL, Ross RK, Paganini-Hill A, Bernstein L (1999) Lifetime exercise activity and breast cancer risk among post-menopausal women. *Br J Cancer* 80 (11): 1852–1858
- Chen CL, White E, Malone KE, Daling JR (1997) Leisure-time physical activity in relation to breast cancer among young women (Washington, United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 8: 77–84
- Coogan P, Newcomb P, Clapp R, Trentham-Dietz A, Baron J, Longnecker M (1997) Physical activity in usual occupation and risk of breast cancer (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 8: 626–631
- Cox DR (1972) Regression models and life-tables [with discussion]. *J Royal Stat Soc (B)* 34: 187–220
- Feskanich D, Willett W, Colditz G (2002) Walking and leisure-time activity and risk of hip fracture in postmenopausal women. *JAMA* 288 (18): 2300–2306
- Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Johnson CL (2002) Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999–2000. *JAMA* 288 (14): 1723–1727
- Friedenreich CM, Courneya KS, Bryant HE (2001) Influence of physical activity in different age and life periods on the risk of breast cancer. *Epidemiology* 12 (6): 604–612
- Gammon MD, John EM, Britton JA (1998) Recreational and occupational physical activities and risk of breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 90: 100–117
- Garland M, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Spiegelman D, Speizer F, Willett WC (1998) Menstrual cycle characteristics and history of ovulatory infertility in relation to breast cancer risk in a large cohort of women. *Am J Epidemiol* 147: 636–643
- Hu F, Sigal R, Rich-Edwards J, Colditz G, Solomon C, Willett W, Speizer F, Manson J (1999) Walking compared with vigorous physical activity and risk of type 2 diabetes in women: a prospective study. *JAMA* 282: 1433–1439
- Hu F, Stampfer M, Colditz G, Ascherio A, Rexrode K, Willett W, Manson J (2000) Physical activity and risk of stroke in women. *JAMA* 283: 2961–2967
- Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Chasan-Taber L, Troy L, Stampfer MJ, Speizer FE, Willett WC (1997) Reproducibility of oral contraceptive histories and validity of hormone composition reported in a cohort of US women. *Contraception* 56: 373–378
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (2002) *Weight Control and Physical Activity*. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer
- Kawachi I, Troisi R, Rotnitzky A, Coakley E, Colditz G (1996) Can physical activity minimize weight gain in women after smoking cessation? *Am J Public Health* 86: 999–1004
- Manson J, Hu F, Rich-Edwards J, Colditz G, Stampfer M, Willett W, Speizer F, Hennekens C (1999) A prospective study of walking as compared with vigorous exercise in the prevention of coronary heart disease in women. *N Engl J Med* 341: 650–658
- Martinez M, Heddens D, Earnest D, Bogert C, Roe D, Einspahr J, Marshall J, Alberts D (1999) Physical activity, body mass index, and prostaglandin E2 levels in rectal mucosa. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 91: 950–953
- Rich-Edwards JW, Goldman MB, Willett WC, Hunter DJ, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Manson JE (1994) Adolescent body mass index and ovulatory infertility. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 171: 171–177
- Rich-Edwards JW, Spiegelman D, Garland M, Hertzmark E, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Wand H, Manson JE (2002) Physical activity, body mass index, and ovulatory disorder infertility. *Epidemiology* 13 (2): 184–190
- Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Chute CG, Litin LB, Willett WC (1990) Validity of self-reported waist and hip circumferences in men and women. *Epidemiology* 1: 466–473
- Rockhill B, Willett WC, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Hankinson SE, Spiegelman D, Colditz GA (1998) Physical activity and breast cancer risk in a cohort of young women. *Natl Cancer Inst* 90: 1155–1160
- Shoff SM, Newcomb PA, Trentham-Dietz A, Remington PL, Mittendorf R, Greenberg ER, Willett WC (2000) Early-life physical activity and postmenopausal breast cancer: effect of body size and weight change. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 9 (6): 591–595
- Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Speizer FE, Dysert DC, Lipnick R, Rosner B, Hennekens CH (1984) Test of the National Death Index. *Am J Epidemiol* 119: 837–839
- Thune I, Brenn T, Lund E, Gaard M (1997) Physical activity and the risk of breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 336: 1269–1275
- Troy LM, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC (1995) The validity of recalled height and past weight among younger women. *Int J Obes* 19: 570–572
- van den Brandt PA, Spiegelman D, Yaun SS, Adami HO, Beeson L, Folsom AR, Fraser G, Goldbohm RA, Graham S, Kushi L, Marshall JR, Miller AB, Rohan T, Smith-Warner SA, Speizer FE, Willett WC, Wolk A, Hunter DJ (2000) Pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies on height, weight, and breast cancer risk. *Am J Epidemiol* 152: 514–527
- Verloop J, Rookus MA, van der Kooy K, van Leeuwen FE (2000) Physical activity and breast cancer risk in women aged 20–54 years. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 92 (2): 128–135
- Wolf A, Hunter D, Colditz GA, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Corsano K, Corsano KA, Rosner B, Kriska A, Willett WC (1994) Reproducibility and validity of a self-administered physical activity questionnaire. *Int J Epidemiol* 23: 991–999