

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

# How slow K<sup>+</sup> currents impact on spike generation mechanism?

Ryota Kobayashi<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Katsunori Kitano<sup>3</sup>

From 24th Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS\*2015  
Prague, Czech Republic. 18-23 July 2015

Neuronal adaptation is the change in the responsiveness of a neuron over time, and may improve coding information from an environment. Adaptation originates from various factors, including single neurons, synapses, and network dynamics. Here we investigate adaptation in a responsiveness of a neuron. When a neuron received prolonged stimulation, it initially responds with a high firing rate, and the firing rate decrease. This is called spike-frequency adaptation, which is observed in most pyramidal neurons in various animals. Spike-frequency adaptation is usually accounted for by slow K<sup>+</sup> currents, for example, the M-type K<sup>+</sup> current ( $I_M$ ) and the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-activated K<sup>+</sup> current ( $I_{AHP}$ ), and the conductance-based (Hodgkin–Huxley type) models including the slow K<sup>+</sup> currents have succeeded to reproduce the electro-physiological properties of a neuron [1].

The detailed biophysical mechanism underlying spike-frequency adaptation may impact on the coding property of a neuron [2,3]. For example, it was suggested that  $I_M$  facilitates the spike-timing coding, whereas  $I_{AHP}$  improves the spike rate-coding [2] and  $I_M$  increases the response to low-frequency input signals, whereas  $I_{AHP}$  decreases the response to low-frequency signals [3].

Due to the complexity of the conductance-based models, it is not clear how the slow K<sup>+</sup> currents impact on spike generation mechanism, more specifically, how the parameters of the slow K<sup>+</sup> currents regulate spike generation. For understanding the impact of slow K<sup>+</sup> currents, we have developed a framework to reduce a detailed conductance-based model with slow K<sup>+</sup> currents to an adaptive threshold model [4]. We have deduced a formula that links the slow K<sup>+</sup> parameters to the parameters of the reduced model. The formula was validated with the

simulation of the detailed model. This formula clarifies how  $I_M$  and  $I_{AHP}$  impact on spike generation mechanism differently and the parameters of  $I_M$  and  $I_{AHP}$  influence spike generation.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24500372, 25870915, 25115728. We thank Shigeru Shinomoto and Romain Brette for stimulating discussions.

#### Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>Principles of Informatics Research Division, National Institute of Informatics, 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan. <sup>2</sup>Department of Informatics, SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies), 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Department of Human and Computer Intelligence, Ritsumeikan University, 1-1-1 Nojihigashi, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan.

Published: 18 December 2015

#### References

1. Koch C: *Biophysics of Computation Oxford*, Oxford University Press 1999.
2. Prescott SA, Sejnowski TJ: Spike-rate coding and spike-time coding are affected oppositely by different adaptation mechanisms. *J Neurosci* 2008, 28:13649-13661.
3. Deemyad T, Kroeger J, Chacron MJ: Sub- and suprathreshold adaptation currents have opposite effects on frequency tuning. *J Physiol* 2012, 590:4839-4858.
4. Kobayashi R, Tsubo Y, Shinomoto S: Made-to-order spiking neuron model equipped with a multi-timescale adaptive threshold. *Front Comput Neurosci* 2009, 3:9.

doi:10.1186/1471-2202-16-S1-P125

Cite this article as: Kobayashi and Kitano: How slow K<sup>+</sup> currents impact on spike generation mechanism? *BMC Neuroscience* 2015 **16**(Suppl 1): P125.

\* Correspondence: r-koba@nii.ac.jp

<sup>1</sup>Principles of Informatics Research Division, National Institute of Informatics, 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article