

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Science Bulletin 63 (2018) 1043-1050



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Science Bulletin



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scib

Article

Severe human infection with a novel avian-origin influenza A(H7N4) virus

Xiang Huo^{a,h,1}, Lun-biao Cui^{a,h,1}, Cong Chen^{d,1}, Dayan Wang^c, Xian Qi^{a,h}, Ming-hao Zhou^a, Xiling Guo^a, Fengming Wang^d, William J. Liu^c, Weirong Kong^e, Daxin Ni^b, Ying Chi^a, Yiyue Ge^a, Haodi Huang^a, Feifei Hu^d, Chao Li^b, Xiang Zhao^c, Ruiqi Ren^b, Chang-jun Bao^{a,h,*}, George F. Gao^{b,c,f,g,*}, Feng-Cai Zhu^{a,h,*}

^a Key Laboratories of Enteric Pathogenic Microbiology (Ministry of Health), Jiangsu Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Nanjing 210009, China

^b Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (China CDC), Beijing 102206, China

^c National Institute for Viral Disease Control and Prevention, China CDC, Beijing 102206, China

^d Changzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Changzhou 213022, China

^e Liyang Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Liyang 213300, China

^f CAS Key Laboratory of Pathogenic Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Beijing 100101, China

^g Center for Influenza Research and Early-Warning (CASCIRE), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

^h Key Laboratory of Infectious Diseases, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 211166, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 June 2018 Received in revised form 29 June 2018 Accepted 29 June 2018 Available online 19 July 2018

Keywords: Avian influenza virus (AIV) Human infection H7N4 Epidemiology Pneumonia

ABSTRACT

Human infections with influenza H7 subtypes, such as H7N9, have raised concerns worldwide. Here, we report a human infection with a novel influenza A(H7N4) virus. A 68 years-old woman with cardiovascular and cholecystic comorbidities developed rapidly progressed pneumonia with influenza-like-illness as initial symptom, recovered after 23 days-hospitalization including 8 days in ICU. Laboratory indicators for liver and blood coagulation dysfunction were observed. Oseltamivir phosphate, glucocorticoids and antibiotics were jointly implemented, with nasal catheterization of oxygen inhalation for this patient. We obtained the medical records and collected serial respiratory and blood specimens from her. We collected throat, cloacal and/or feces samples of poultry and wild birds from the patient's backyard, neighborhood, local live poultry markets (LPMs) and the nearest lake. All close contacts of the patient were followed up and sampled with throat swabs and sera. Influenza viruses and other respiratory pathogens were tested by real-time RT-PCR, viral culturing and/or sequencing for human respiratory and bird samples. Micro-neutralizing assay was performed for sera. A novel reassortant wild bird-origin H7N4 virus is identified from the patient and her backyard poultry (chickens and ducks) by sequencing, which is distinct from previously-reported avian H7N4 and H7N9 viruses. At least four folds increase of neutralizing antibodies to H7N4 was detected in her convalescent sera. No samples from close contacts, wild birds or other poultry were tested positive for H7N4 by real-time RT-PCR.

© 2018 Science China Press. Published by Elsevier B.V. and Science China Press. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Avian influenza virus (AIV) H7N9 has raised global concerns since human infections of low pathogenic (LP) and highly pathogenic (HP) H7N9 viruses emerged in 2013 and 2017, respectively [1,2]. The virus has caused five waves of virulent human infections in China Mainland [3], and cases have been exported to other districts in Asia and North America [4–6], with a huge economic loss [7,8]. The H7 subtype HA gene has been found in combination with all nine NA subtype genes [9]. Other influenza A subtype H7

¹ Contributed equally.

viruses, such as H7N2, H7N3 and H7N7, were documented being capable of infecting human as well [10–13], but usually self-limiting.

Both HP and LP strains of influenza A (H7N4) virus have been identified from domestic poultry and wild birds in Australia, Korea, Europe and North America [14–17]. Here, we report a human case with severe pneumonia infected by a novel wild bird-origin H7N4 virus.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Case identification

A surveillance network on hospitalized pneumonia patients was established in Jiangsu Province, Eastern China since 2012, as a part

2095-9273/© 2018 Science China Press. Published by Elsevier B.V. and Science China Press. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: bao2000_cn@163.com (C.-j. Bao), gaof@im.ac.cn (G.F. Gao), jszfc@vip.sina.com (F.-C. Zhu).

of the China National Unknown Cause Pneumonia Surveillance Network. Patients admitted to a local hospital with diagnosis of pneumonia, who have rapid progress but lack of identified pathogenic causes, are required to be sampled and sent to laboratory networks for pathogenic analyses, including influenza viruses. As a practice in China, empirical antiviral treatment (neuraminidase inhibitor) is recommended for suspected influenza patients before laboratory confirmation, in order to acquire the most health benefits.

2.2. Epidemiological investigation and specimen collection

We acquired patient's epidemiological information, such as demographic characteristics, recent direct or indirect exposures to poultry or other animals, recent travel history and history of seasonal influenza vaccination, by a face-to-face interview with the patient and her family members. Patient's clinical data, including underlying medical conditions, clinical symptoms and signs, radiographic examinations, clinical laboratory testing results, clinical treatment, clinical complications and outcome, were derived from her medical records. Serial respiratory and blood samples were taken at an interval of 1–3 days until the patient's recovery.

Close contacts were defined as individuals who had provided care to, had been living with, or had potentially been directly exposed to respiratory secretions or body fluids of the patient during the time span of one day before the illness onset to the isolation of the patient. We took respiratory and blood samples from all close contacts, and followed them up daily for any signs or symptoms of respiratory illness till the 28th day after their last unprotected contact with the patient. No antiviral chemoprophylaxis was implemented. Those who shared a similar exposure history with the patient, such as had been exposed to the same animals or environment during the 14 days before illness onset of the patient, were defined as co-exposers.

We collected throat, cloacal and/or feces samples of poultry and wild birds from the patient's backyard, neighborhood, local live poultry markets (LPMs) and the nearest lake, for tracing source and spread range of the virus.

2.3. Laboratory analyses

2.3.1. Nucleic acid extraction and detection

Nucleic acids were extracted using Viral DN&RNA Kit (Tianlong Bio-Technology Co., Ltd, Suzhou, China), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Commercial real-time RT-PCR kits for detecting H1 to H16 and N1 to N9 subtypes were performed to detect the influenza subtypes (Jiangsu Bioperfectus Technologies Co., LTD, Taizhou, China). In addition, the patient's throat swabs were tested for 28 other respiratory pathogens (Table S1 online) using laboratory-developed specific real-time PCR assays (conditions and primers are available on request).

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2018.07.003.

2.3.2. Virus isolation

Throat swabs of the patient, cloacal swabs of chicken and ducks were collected and maintained in a viral transport medium. The swabs were propagated in the allantoic sac and amniotic cavity of 9-to-11-day-old specific pathogen-free (SPF) embryonated chicken eggs for 72 h at 36 °C. The virus titer was established with a haemagglutination (HA) test using turkey red blood cells and was recorded as the reciprocal of the highest dilution of the virus that induced hemagglutination.

2.3.3. Micro-neutralization assay

Micro-neutralization (MN) tests (from titers \geq 40) were performed for patient's serum samples collected during acute and convalescent stage of illness course, according to WHO manual [18]. The standard antigen used in the assay was produced from the A(H7N4) isolate from the chicken fed by the patient (A/ Chicken/Jiangsu/1/2018 H7N4).

2.3.4. Genome sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

Virus RNA was reversely transcripted into cDNA and viral genomes were amplified [19,20]. Sequencing was done with the Illumina MiSeq platform as previously described [21]. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analysis was conducted with RAxML-HPC2 on the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) version 8.2.10 with GTR+ Γ model and 1000 boot-straps for all the eight genes of the eight H7N4 influenza viruses by using the top 100 BLAST hits of A/Chicken/Jiangsu/103/2018(H 7N4), which has the highest identity with A/Jiangsu/1/2018 (H7N4), available from GenBank and Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID). Each tree was rooted with the earliest isolate among the hits and was visualized by FigTree v1.4.3. Of note, five human H7N9 viruses from 2013 to 2017 were added in the HA tree.

2.4. Ethic issue

The National Health and Family Planning Commission deemed the data collection for this case to be part of the continuing public health outbreak investigation and exempt from institutional review board assessment. The identity information of the case was unrevealed in this report. The institutional review board of Jiangsu Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention (JSCDC) approved the assessment of these close contacts. Written informed consent was obtained from the close contacts.

3. Results

3.1. Social-demographic and clinical characteristics of the patient

On January 3, 2018, a patient, female Han Chinese aged 68 years, with rapidly progressed pneumonia was detected through the Hospitalized Pneumonia Surveillance in Jiangsu Province. Her medical records retrieved from local Hospital Information System showed that she had comorbidities of coronary heart disease, hypertension, periapical periodontitis, chronic cholecystitis and cholecystolithiasis, and underwent cholecystectomy in 2016. She had no history of influenza vaccination. The timeline of her current disease course was shown in Fig. 1. She developed initial symptoms of cough, fatigue and sore muscles on Dec. 25, 2017 (day 0), and fever and chills the next day (day 1). She visited a local clinic on day 2 and was treated with Shengmai (a traditional Chinese medicine for cardiovascular disorders) intravenously once. Her symptom progressed rapidly during the following days, with a peak body temperature of 39 °C. She was admitted to the hospital on day 5, and to ICU on day 7 with complications of respiratory failure, liver and blood coagulation dysfunction. Chest CT scan showed increased density in inferior lobe of right lung and right pleural effusion on day 5, bilateral pneumonia with consolidation of right lung on day 7, and a rapid progression of diffuse groundglass opacities with consolidation in right lung on day 11 (Fig. 2a-c).

The number of white blood cell was within normal range on the day of hospital admission (day 5) and was decreased on the day of ICU admission (day 7). Lymphocytopenia was observed from day 5 to day 8. High concentration of aspartate aminotransferase (AST)



Fig. 1. (Color online) Timeline of the clinical course and key indicators of the H7N4 patient.



Fig. 2. (Color online) Chest CT scans of the H7N4 patient. (a-d) Were obtained on day 5, day 7, day 11 and day 21 after illness onset, respectively. a-1, b-1, c-1, d-1: Lung window; a-2, b-2, c-2, d-2: Mediastinal window.

was constantly recorded till day 16. Blood platelet count remained decreased until day 10, and D-dimer concentration was elevated on all tests. Blood concentrations of urea nitrogen and creatinine were normal through all tests, but urine protein was detected on day 6 and day 7. Reduced arterial partial pressure of oxygen was recorded the day of admission to hospital prior to the implementation of oxygen inhalation, and remained decreased till day 11 (Table 1). Antiviral treatment (Oseltamivir phosphate) was initiated empirically on day 5 (75 mg twice a day) and was terminated after her discharge from ICU. The dose was doubled during the first 4 days of her stay in ICU (Fig. 1). Nasal catheterization of oxygen

inhalation was implemented from the day of admission till her discharge from ICU. Glucocorticoids, intravenous albumin and immune globulin therapy were jointly implemented, with combination of antibiotics for bacteria secondary infection. Methylprednisolone sodium succinate was administered from day 5 to day 10. The dose was 20 mg per day for 2 days prior to ICU admission, 40 mg twice a day for the first 2 days in ICU and 40 mg per day for the following 2 days. Cefoxitin Sodium (2 g per day) and Azithromycin Lactobionate (0.5 g per day) were jointly administered for 2 days before the patient's ICU admission. Moxifloxacin hydrochloride (0.4 g per day) was implemented during her stay in ICU instead.

Table 1

Clinical laboratory tests.

Selected indicators	Days after disease onset									
	5	6	7	8	10	12	14	16	19	21
Number of white blood cells ($\times 10^9/L$)	3.61	3.56	2.82↓	2.44↓	6.14	7.92	8.22	12.36 ↑	7.26	6.52
Number of neutrophils ($\times 10^9/L$)	2.73	2.49	2.23	1.96	4.46	5.81	6.01	9.72 ↑	5.52	4.79
Proportion of neutrophils (%)	75.60 ↑	70.1	79.14 ↑	80.30 ↑	72.7	73.3	73	78.6 ↑	76↑	73.5
Number of lymphocytes ($\times 10^9/L$)	0.76↓	0.97↓	0.52↓	0.39↓	1.21	1.39	1.27	1.57	1.25	1.15
Proportion of lymphocytes (%)	21.1	27.2	18.44↓	16.00↓	19.7↓	17.6↓	15.5↓	12.7↓	17.2↓	17.6↓
^a C-reactive protein (mg/L)	<0.5	49.14 ↑	28.55 ↑	32.80 ↑	6.5↑	16.9 ↑	6.5↑	23.3 ↑	-	3.4
Alanine transaminase, ALT (U/L)	-	-	47.0 ↑	38	74.0 ↑	94.0 ↑	65↑	54 ↑	-	25
Aspartate aminotransferase, AST (U/L)	48.9 ↑	-	53.0 ↑	45.0 ↑	89.0 ↑	65.0 ↑	38 ↑	27	-	14
Lactic dehydrogenase (U/L)	508 ↑		657 ↑	614↑	547 ↑	496 ↑	389 ↑	305↑		210
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	-	-	48	47	44	52	64	81	-	94
Creatine kinase (U/L)	427 ↑	-	277 ↑		-	29	-	-	-	-
Creatinine (µmol/L)	57.2	-	40.9	57.8	60.8	64.4	68.1	74	-	78.4
Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)	7.95	-	5.7	5.5	7.3	6.7	7.7	7.1	-	4.4
Total protein (g/L)	-	-	53.2	51.3↓	66.2	75.4	76.7	72.4	-	64.4↓
Albumin (g/L)	-	-	29.8↓	23.1↓	33.6↓	37.4↓	38.6↓	38.1↓	-	33.4↓
Globin (g/L)	-	-	23.4	28.2	32.6	38	38.1	34.3	-	31
Platelet (×10 ⁹ per L)	87↓	58↓	58↓	64↓	137	205	335	344	315	220
Antithrombin III (%)	63↓	73↓	69↓	69↓	78	85	-	-	-	-
D-dimer (µg/mL)	3.06 ↑	4.82 ↑	4.14↑	4.11 ↑	2.58 ↑	1.96↑	-	-	-	-
Arterial partial pressure of oxygen (mmHg)	45.7↓	66.1↓	75.0↓	67↓	66↓	104	97	-	-	-
Oxygenation Index (mmHg)	-	-	188	163	165	254	237	-	-	-
Procalcitonin (ng/mL)	-	-	-	0.64↑	-	-	-	0.34	-	-
Hemoglobin (g/L)	123	129	127	131	117	113↓	115↓	112↓	101↓	99↓
^b Urine protein (g/L)	-	2+	0.25↑	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Normal ranges of selected indicators were presented in Table S4 online.

^a Hypersensitive C-reactive protein was tested on day 5 and day 6.

^b Urine protein was tested qualitatively on day 6 and quantitatively on day 7.

After that, Cefoperazone Sodium and Sulbactam Sodium (3 g per day) were initiated on day 16 as a substitution, and Linezolid (0.6 g per day) was added the following day. Antibiotics treatment ended on day 24.

Mycoplasma pneumoniae (MP) was cultured from patient's throat swab collected on the day of ICU admission (day 7), but the specific IgM antibody was only weakly positive in patient's blood specimen obtained on day 8, indicated by indirect immunofluorescent assay (kits manufactured by VIRCELL, S.L.). During her stay in ICU, patient's sputum was collected on day 8, 9, 12, 13 and 14. From them, Monilia albican was continuously cultured and Klebsiella pneumoniae was cultured from the sputum collected on day 12 only. The patient recovered and was discharged from hospital on day 28 (Figs. 1 and 2d).

3.2. Laboratory analyses

Throat swab samples obtained from the patient on day 9 and day 11 were shown to be positive for H7N4, while samples obtained since day 12 showed negative, by real-time RT-PCR. All samples showed negative for other influenza subtypes and 28 respiratory pathogens, except for herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1, positive from day 9 to day 17) and human coronavirus type 229E (HCov 229E, positive from day 20 to day 27) (Table S1). Next generation sequencing (NGS) and genome assembly showed 8 consensus gene segments of H7N4 from the throat swab on day 9 (designated as A/Jiangsu/1/2018 (H7N4), henceforth, JS1). The results were confirmed subsequently by the Chinese National Influenza Center, the WHO Reference Laboratory. Microneutralization assay showed that patient's antibody titer to H7N4 was less than 40 on day 9, when she was in ICU with considerable progress of bilateral pneumonia indicated by CT scan and throat swab positive for H7N4 virus by real-time RT-PCR. On day 12, a neutralizing antibody titer of 40 to H7N4 was detected from serum, while the patient's throat swab became negative for H7N4 virus by real-time RT-PCR. The neutralizing antibody titer continued increasing to 80 on day 14 and to 160 on day 23, which coincided with the patient's discharge from ICU and rehabilitation indicated by chest CT scans (Table S2 online). In contrast, HSV-1 was detected in patient's throat swabs even two days after the patient's discharge from ICU, and HCov 229E was only detected during the patient's convalescent stage.

As we failed to isolate virus from throat swab of the patient collected on the 5th day post antiviral treatment, viral genome was sequenced following influenza virus specific amplification and was deposited in the GISAID (accession number: EPI1139727-EPI1139730, EPI1139738, EPI1139761, EPI1139780, EPI1139806). Meanwhile, we successfully isolated five and two H7N4 strains from the duck and chicken raised by the patient, respectively, and sequenced the genomes (Fig. 3). Theses viral genome sequences were also deposited in the GISAID (accession number: EPI1139887-EPI1139894, EPI1150038-EPI1150053, EPI1150055 -EPI1150086).

Sequence comparison showed that all strains isolated from chicken and ducks shared high similarity with JS1 (99.5–100%). BLAST search against GenBank and Gisaid showed that all eight gene segments of JS1 were homologous to those of influenza viruses of the Eurasian waterfowl. The haemagglutinin gene shared the highest identity with A/environment/Korea/MA-478/2016 (H7N7) and A/environment/Korea/W478/2014 (H7N7) (98.5% identity), and the neuraminidase gene was most similar to that of A/mallard/Chany/126K-2/2014 (H8N4) (98.5% identity). All the internal genes were distinct from H7 and N4 subtypes previously reported (Table S3 online).

Phylogenetic analysis showed that the H7 genes belonged to the Euroasian lineage. They were closely related to the H7N7 viruses from wild birds in China and Korea, but separated from the human-infecting H7N9 (Fig. 3a). The NA and internal genes phylogenies showed a similar topology that all genes belonged to the Euroasian lineage and had been circulating within migratory birds (Fig. 3b). Of note, the JS1 had all eight genes most closely related to A/chicken/Jiangsu/103/2018 from the phylogenetic trees, the virus isolated from her fed chicken in her backyard.



Fig. 3. (Color online) Phylogenetics of HA and NA genes of the novel H7N4 viruses. (a) HA; (b) NA. Maximum likelihood trees were inferred by using RAxML with GTR-Γ model. The branch of H7N4 viruses were in magenta, and the lineage of human-infecting H7N9 virus was in blue. The bootstrap values of major branches were labeled.

Key functional loci analyses indicated that avian H7N4 strains were nearly identical to JS1. The HA possessed a low pathogenic pattern (ELPKGR|G) at the cleavage site, and the receptor binding site presented the QSG motif at residues 226–228 (H3 numbering), which was different from that of the H7N9 virus (LSG). No deletion was detected in the NA stalk. All viruses had H274 (N2 numbering) in NA and S31 in M2, indicative of susceptibility to oseltamivir and adamantane. Mutations of M30D and T215A in M1, and P42S in NS1 were observed in all viruses. Of special note, the JS1 possessed the substitution of E627K in PB2, whereas the poultry H7N4 viruses presented the consensus E627. Analysis of the sequencing reads revealed that JS1 had 98.4% of A (resulting in K627) and 1.6% of G (resulting in E627). The most closely related virus (A/ chicken/Jiangsu/103/2018) had 0.8% of A and 99.2% of G at 627 in PB2 (Table 2).

3.3. Epidemiological investigation

The patient lives in a rural area in southern Jiangsu Province of eastern China. She had no history of visiting LPMs, or travelling other area in the previous 4 weeks before her illness onset. She runs a crab farm and lives by the side of her crab pond with her husband. Her residence is at least 500 m away from the hamlets nearby. The patient purchased 26 chickens and 23 ducks from LPMs during August and September in 2017, and raised them in her backyard for self-consumption. The ducks were usually sent to the adjacent river for breeding where wild birds (predominantly, egret) were frequently observed. On Dec. 23, 2017 (2 days preceding her illness onset), the patient helped her husband slaughter one of the chicken raised in her backyard.

A total of 28 close contacts were identified, including four family members and 24 health care workers. During the 28-day following-up, two of them developed fever and cough, and recovered. However, throat swabs collected from them before empirical antiviral treatment were negative for influenza by real-time RT-PCR. Paired serum samples from ten of the close contacts including a co-exposer and nine health workers were collected at the beginning and the end of the medical observation, single serum sample was collected from each of other close contacts at the end of the following-up, but none showed detectable neutralizing antibodies to H7N4 virus.

After the patient was suspected infection with H7N4 virus, throat and/or cloacal swabs were sampled from each of the poultry raised by her (all 19 ducks and 16 chickens available when the investigation was implemented). None of the poultry showed signs of illness. Among them, 18 ducks and six chickens were tested positive for H7N4, negative for other subtypes by real-time RT-PCR. From Jan. 6 to Jan 11, 2018, a total of 46 cloacal swab or feces samples were collected from the chickens and ducks raised by other residents who live in the same region, and all were negative for H7N4 and other influenza subtypes. Moreover, 20 swab or feces samples were collected from the nearest local LPMs where the patient and her neighbors bought poultry. The results were also negative for H7N4, but nine samples were H9N2 positive. A total of 16 and 25 feces samples of wild birds (probable egrets) were obtained around the patient's residence and the nearby lake, respectively, but all were H7N4 negative.

Table 2
Mutations in human and poultry H7N4 viruses, compared with H7N9 (A/Anhui/1/2013).

Gene	Key sites	Patient's strains	Poultry strains	H7N9 strain
HA	Cleavage site	PELPKGRGLF	PELPKGRGLF	PEIPKGRGLF
	RBS positions (H3 numbering), altered receptor specificity			
	Q226L	Q	Q	L
	S227N	S	S	S
	G228S	G	G	G
NA	Stalk, 69-73 deletion	No	No	Yes
	Antiviral resistance (oseltamivir)			
	H274Y	Н	Н	Н
	R292K	R	R	R
PB2	Enhanced polymerase activity and increased virulence in mice			
	L89V	V	V	V
	E627K	К	E	К
PB1	H5 virus transmissible among ferrets			
	H99Y	Н	Н	Н
	I368V	I	I	V
PB1-F2	Full length	90aa	90aa	90aa
M1	Increased virulence in mice			
	M30D	D	D	D
	T215A	Α	А	А
M2	Antiviral resistance (amantadine) S31N	S	S	Ν
NS1	Increased virulence in mice P42S	S	S	S

4. Discussion and conclusion

We report the infections of both human and poultry with a novel reassortant avian influenza A(H7N4) virus, and a poultry-to-human viral transmission route.

The patient was featured with initial symptoms of influenzalike-illness, followed by rapidly progressed pneumonia. No conjunctivitis presented. As many of the H7N9 patients, the H7N4 patient also had similar comorbidities, clinical abnormalities and complications, as well as bacterial secondary infection. Analogous treatment [22], such as the combination of antiviral, immunoglobulin and antibiotics therapy, showed effectiveness for treating H7N4 infection in this case as well. The patient's recovery was associated with the negativity of H7N4 virus in throat swabs and the elevation of neutralizing antibody titers to H7N4 in sera. Both results of clinical blood biochemistry tests, such as normal or decreased number of white blood cells and lymphocytopenia during acute phase, and fast progressed diffuse ground-glass opacities with consolidation indicated by chest CT scans, are the typical characteristics of infection with avian influenza, rather than MP, which is featured with slowly developing syndrome and bibasilar streaky infiltrates presented on chest CT scan [23]. The detection of HSV-1 was probably due to the reactivation of the latent virus [24], and the detection of HCov 229E during the patient's recovery phase was likely a mild secondary infection. The occasional identification of Klebsiella pneumoniae in patient's sputum was probably due to a transient nosocomial infection or contamination [25], as the pathogen was consistently negative for PCR in the patient's throat swabs collected from day 11 to day 27. Our PCRtesting platform for 28 respiratory pathogens, including viruses and bacteria, provided an efficient alternative for detecting infection or co-infection of pathogenic agents.

Specific antiviral treatment was initiated early in this H7N4 case (5 days after onset of disease), compared with the median time of H7N9 patients (7 days) [22]. High-dose corticosteroid therapy (>150 mg/d methylprednisolone or equivalent) was reported to significantly increase mortality and viral shedding time of H7N9 patients [26]. In this case, the dose implemented was low to moderate (20–80 mg/d).

HP and LP H7N4 viruses have been identified in avian from Australia, Korea, Europe and North America [14–17], but not from China before. However, the genomic analysis indicated that the H7N4 viruses described here differed from those reported previously, especially for the six internal genes. In addition, the H7N4

viruses were in a different subclade from H7N9 viruses. Its apparently low pathogenicity could help it to circulate in poultry without noticing, which highlighted the importance of hospitalized pneumonia surveillance in early detection of novel pathogens.

Several features of the H7N4 virus might contribute to the disease severity and recovery of the patient. The binding preference of avian-like $\alpha 2$, 3-linked sialic acid receptor, which is dominant in human lower respiratory tract, makes the virus could result in lung damage [27]. K627 in PB2 could increase virulence in mammalian hosts [28]. Comparison of the NGS data of JS1 and chicken viruses suggests that virus adaptation caused by E627K conversion had occurred during virus replication in the patient. Besides, M30D and T215A mutations in M1, and P42S mutation in NS1 are associated with increased virulence in mice [29]. The existence of multiple comorbidities of the patient could also add to the severity of the H7N4 infection. In contrast, the susceptibility of the virus to antivirals (H274 in NA) suggested that the early administration of Oseltamivir phosphate probably contributed to the fully recovery of the patient.

Unlike the H7N2, H7N3 and H7N7 mainly caused only mild symptoms [10–13], the H7N4 virus led to a severe human infection, as most of the reported H7N9 patients [22]. However, distinct from the H7N9, all gene segments of the H7N4 were from influenza viruses of wild birds, where reassortments might occur. And the human infection might have occurred during her butchering the chicken. The molecular data supported the assumption, as one of the chicken H7N4 viruses (A/Chicken/Jiangsu/103/2018) shared the most similarity to human-infecting H7N4 virus (A/Jiangsu/1/2018).

Some person-to-person transmission of influenza H7 subtype viruses, such as H7N9 and H7N7 [13,30], has been reported. However, in this study, the medical observation and laboratory testing of close contacts showed no evidence of person-to-person transmission of this novel H7N4 virus, in the context of no close contacts receiving oseltamivir chemoprophylaxis. Absence of the novel H7N4 virus in poultry raised by other residents who lived in the same region, and in local LPMs also indicates that the virus has not spread out by poultry. However, considering the virus was very likely carried by migratory birds, similar cross-species transmission would happen elsewhere at the interface of wild and domestic birds, and thus infect humans.

The symptomatic surveillance system and pathogen detection platform, including NGS, established in Jiangsu Province play an important role in the early identification and early intervention on novel influenza viruses which could infect human. Our study added that a novel influenza H7 subtype virus could severely infect human. Unlike H7N9, the new wild bird-origin H7N4 virus can directly cause human infection, without reassortments with any other poultry influenza viruses. These results highlight a potential threat on human health that backyard poultry feeding might serve as the media in the human infection with AIVs carried by wild birds.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by National Science and Technology Major Project of China (2015ZX09101044), Science & Technology Demonstration Project for Emerging Infectious Diseases Control and Prevention of Jiangsu Province, China (BE2015714 & BE2017749) and Key Medical Discipline of Jiangsu Science & Technology Project of China (epidemiology, ZDXKA2016008). The authors would like to thank Dr. Di Liu (Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China) for his assistance in phylogenetic analysis and manuscript preparation.

References

- Gao R, Cao B, Hu Y, et al. Human infection with a novel avian-origin influenza A (H7N9) virus. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1888–97.
- [2] Ke C, Mok C, Zhu W, et al. Human Infection with Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H7N9) Virus, China. Emerg Infect Dis 2017;23:1332–40.
- [3] Wang X, Jiang H, Wu P, et al. Epidemiology of avian influenza A H7N9 virus in human beings across five epidemics in mainland China, 2013–17: an epidemiological study of laboratory–confirmed case series. Lancet Infect Dis 2017;17:822–32.
- [4] William T, Thevarajah B, Lee SF, et al. Avian influenza (H7N9) virus infection in Chinese tourist in Malaysia, 2014. Emerg Infect Dis 2015;21:142–5.
- [5] Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Gustafson R, et al. Avian influenza A(H7N9) virus infection in 2 travelers returning from China to Canada, January 2015. Emerg Infect Dis 2016;22:71–4.
- [6] Kile JC, Ren R, Liu L, et al. Update: increase in human infections with novel Asian lineage avian influenza A(H7N9) viruses during the fifth epidemic – China, October 1, 2016–August 7, 2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2017;66:928–32.
- [7] Qi X, Jiang D, Wang H, et al. Calculating the burden of disease of avian-origin H7N9 infections in China. BMJ Open 2014;4:e4189.
- [8] Huo X, Chen LL, Hong L, et al. Economic burden and its associated factors of hospitalized patients infected with A (H7N9) virus: a retrospective study in Eastern China, 2013–2014. Infect Dis Poverty 2016;5:79.
- [9] Abdelwhab EM, Veits J, Mettenleiter TC. Prevalence and control of H7 avian influenza viruses in birds and humans. Epidemiol Infect 2014;142:896–920.
- [10] Marinova-Petkova A, Laplante J, Jang Y, et al. Avian influenza A(H7N2) virus in human exposed to sick cats, New York, USA, 2016. Emerg Infect Dis 2017;23:2046–9.
- [11] Tweed SA, Skowronski DM, David ST, et al. Human illness from avian influenza H7N3. British Columbia. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;10:2196–9.
- [12] Puzelli S, Rossini G, Facchini M, et al. Human infection with highly pathogenic A(H7N7) avian influenza virus, Italy, 2013. Emerg Infect Dis 2014;20:1745–9.
- [13] Du Ry VBHM, Meijer A, Koopmans M, de Jager CM. Human-to-human transmission of avian influenza A/H7N7, The Netherlands, 2003. Euro Surveill 2005;10:264–8.
- [14] Selleck PW, Arzey G, Kirkland PD, et al. An outbreak of highly pathogenic avian influenza in Australia in 1997 caused by an H7N4 virus. Avian Dis 2003;47:806–11.
- [15] Terregino C, Cattoli G, De Nardi R, et al. Isolation of influenza A viruses subtype H7N7 and H7N4 from waterfowl in Italy. Vet Rec 2005;156:292.
- [16] Kim YI, Kim SW, Si YJ, et al. Genetic diversity and pathogenic potential of low pathogenic H7 avian influenza viruses isolated from wild migratory birds in Korea. Infect Genet Evol 2016;45:268–84.
- [17] Xu Y, Bailey E, Spackman E, et al. Limited antigenic diversity in contemporary H7 avian-origin influenza A viruses from North America. Sci Rep 2016;6:20688.
- [18] World Health Organization. Laboratory Procedures: Serological detection of avian influenza A(H7N9) infections by microneutralization assay; 2013.

<http://www.who.int/influenza/gisrs_laboratory/cnic_serological_diagnosis_ microneutralization_a_h7n9.pdf> [accessed March 12, 2018].

- [19] Hoffmann E, Stech J, Guan Y, Webster RG, Perez DR. Universal primer set for the full-length amplification of all influenza A viruses. Arch Virol 2001;146:2275–89.
- [20] Zhou B, Jerzak G, Scholes DT, Donnelly ME, Li Y, Wentworth DE. Reverse genetics plasmid for cloning unstable influenza A virus gene segments. J Virol Methods 2011;173:378–83.
- [21] Cui L, Liu D, Shi W, et al. Dynamic reassortments and genetic heterogeneity of the human–infecting influenza A (H7N9) virus. Nat Commun 2014;5:3142.
- [22] Gao HN, Lu HZ, Cao B, et al. Clinical findings in 111 cases of influenza A (H7N9) virus infection. N Engl J Med 2013;368:2277–85.
- [23] Atkinson TP, Balish MF, Waites KB. Epidemiology, clinical manifestations, pathogenesis and laboratory detection of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2008;32:956–73.
- [24] Saleh D, Herpes Bermudez R. Simplex, Type 1. StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2018.
- [25] Zhang Y, Yao Z, Zhan S, et al. Disease burden of intensive care unit-acquired pneumonia in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Infect Dis 2014;29:84–90.
- [26] Cao B, Gao H, Zhou B, et al. Adjuvant corticosteroid treatment in adults with influenza A (H7N9) viral pneumonia. Crit Care Med 2016;44:e318–28.
 [27] van Riel D, Munster VJ, de Wit E, et al. H5N1 virus attachment to lower
- respiratory tract. Science 2006;312:399. [28] Hatta M, Gao P, Halfmann P, Kawaoka Y. Molecular basis for high virulence of
- Harda M, Gao I, Haimann J, Kawaoka F. Morcedial basis for high viruence of Hong Kong H5N1 influenza A viruses. Science 2001;293:1840–2.
- [29] To KK, Tsang AK, Chan JF, Cheng VC, Chen H, Yuen KY. Emergence in China of human disease due to avian influenza A(H10N8)--cause for concern? J Infect 2014;68:205–15.
- [30] Qi X, Qian YH, Bao CJ, et al. Probable person to person transmission of novel avian influenza A (H7N9) virus in Eastern China, 2013: epidemiological investigation. BMJ 2013;347:f4752.



Xiang Huo received his Bachelor of Medicine and M.Sc. Degree from School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University in 2004 and 2007. He works in Department of Acute Infectious Disease, Jiangsu Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention thereafter. His research interests focus on the epidemiology, control and evaluation of acute infectious diseases, especially respiratory diseases, including influenza and other respiratory pathogens.



Chang-Jun Bao received his M.Sc. degree from Southeast University. He serves as the chief of Department of Acute Infectious Diseases Control and Prevention in Jiangsu Province Center for Disease Control and Prevention. His research interests include epidemiology and control strategies of acute infectious disease and emerging infectious diseases.



George F. Gao is the Director-General of Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention; and a Professor at the Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Dr. Gao's research interests include enveloped viruses and molecular immunology, mainly focusing on the enveloped virus entry and release, esp. interspecies transmission (host jump) of influenza virus and coronaviruses. His research has recently expanded on public health policy and global health strategy. He has been elected as a member/fellow of several academies, including Chinese Academy of Sciences, the Third World Academy of Sciences (TWAS), European Molecular Biology Organization (EMBO) and Royal Society of Edinburgh (RSE).

X. Huo et al./Science Bulletin 63 (2018) 1043-1050



Feng-Cai Zhu is the deputy Director of Jiangsu Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, a member of National Drug Review Expert and of the National Expert Advisory Committee on Immunization Program. His main research interests are infectious disease control and clinical trial of vaccines.