

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Dataset for evaluation of threescoring systems for forecasting the clinical outcomes of patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) – Ahvaz, Iran



Seyed Saeidseyedian^a, Ali Akbar Shayesteh^{b,*}, Fereshteh Beyranvandi^b

^a Alimentary Tract Research Center, Jundishapur University of Medical Science, Ahvaz, Iran ^b Department of Gastroenterology, Research Center for Infectious Diseases of Digestive System, Ahvaz

Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 August 2018 Received in revised form 7 October 2018 Accepted 8 October 2018 Available online 12 October 2018

Keywords:

Rockall scoring system Blatchford scoring system Upper gastrointestinal bleeding Ahvaz

ABSTRACT

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) which occurs proximal to the Treitz ligament is one of the most common cases is emergency medical conditions. The aim of this data article is to evaluation of Rockall and Blatchford scoring systems for predicting the clinical outcomes of patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding in Imam-Khomeini Hospital, Ahvaz, Iran. This dataset was collected by retrospective descriptive epidemiologic survey which 350 noncirrhotic patients with UGIB who referred to Ahwaz Imam-Khomeini Hospital for six months. According to the obtained data, in both clinical Rockall and complete Rockall systems, the need for re-endoscopy and the risk of re-bleeding in patients with high scores was more compared to patients with low scores. While, the obtained data about Blatchford score for re-endoscopy and re-bleeding risk was showed which no significant difference. Based on to present dataset, the Rockall systems was superior to Blatchford systems in predicting the re-bleeding as well as the need for re-endoscopy while, none of the systems were efficient in terms of predicting the need for urgent endoscopy and surgery.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sh.aliakbar1397@gmail.com (A.A. Shayesteh).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.10.029

2352-3409/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Medicine
Emergency medicine
Tables and figures
This dataset was collected by retrospective descriptive epidemio- logic survey which 350 non-cirrhotic patients with UGIB who
referred to Ahwaz Imam-Khomeini Hospital for six months.
Raw, analyzed
Required data were extracted through a questionnaire. The ques- tionnaire included demographic data, clinical symptoms, endo-
scopic maings, and chinical outcomes.
The age of over 18 years was regarded as inclusion criterion, while the patients with cirrhosis were excluded from this survey.
Ahvaz city, Iran
Data were included in this article
D. Dicu, F. Pop, D. Ionescu, T. Dicu, Comparison of risk scoring systems in predicting clinical outcome at upper gastrointestinal bleeding patients in an emergency unit, Am. J. Emerg. Med. 31 (2013) 94-9 [1]. I.C.Chen, M.S. Hung, T.F. Chiu, J.C. Chen, C.T.Hsiao, Risk scoring systems to predict need for clinical intervention for patients with nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding, Am. J. Emerg. Med. 25 (2007)774-9 [2]

Specifications table

Value of the data

- To conduct further research on the topic under discussion, the obtained data from this dataset can be the basis for performance of the future similar studies.
- The acquired data from present dataset can be useful to decide about selection of the most effective scoring systems for predicting the clinical outcomes of patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding.
- The obtained data from this dataset can be useful to increase patient satisfaction as well as reduce the cost of the health system.

1. Data

According to the demographic data, the patients were 18–94 years old, with the standard deviation of 20.63. Out of 350 patients studied, 230 patients were males (65.7%) and 120 patients were female (34.3%).

Among the patients, 126 (36%) patients suffered from hemostasis, 124 (35.42%) from melena, and 88 patients (25.14%) from both hemostasis and melena. Moreover, 4 patients (1.4%) complained about syncope, and 9 patients (2.57%) complained both about syncope and melena which were the most common causes of the referral of patients with gastrointestinal hematemesis. The frequency of clinical findings related to selected patients were shown in Table 1. The classification of the patients into low-risk and high-risk groups based on mean value (cut-off) for the three scoring systems were shown in Table 2. In addition, the characteristic curve for the Blatchford, clinical Rockall and complete Rockall scoring systems were provided in Figs. 1–3, respectively. Table 3 shows the relationship between clinical parameters and scoring systems. In Table 4, the clinical outcomes of patients was divided into low-risk and high-risk groups for three scoring systems.

Table 1

The frequency of clinical findings.

Outcomes	Frequency		
	Number	Percent	
Re-bleeding	38	10.85	
Re-endoscopy	50	14. 3	
Surgery	3	0.9	
Mortality	26	9.15	
Transfusion	207	59.14	
Urgent endoscopy	163	46.57	
No endoscopy	24	6.8	

 Table 2

 Classification of the patients into low-risk and high-risk groups based on mean value (cut-off).

Scoring systems	Cut off	Sensitivity	Specificity	Low Risk	High Risk	Total
Blatchford	11.5	55.4	64.4	196	154	350
Clinical Rockall	2.5	79.7	80.2	192	158	350
Complete Rockall	3.5	78.4	77.2	188	162	350





2. Experimental design, materials and methods

The aim of the data article is to evaluate the three scoring systems including clinical Rockall, Complete Rockall and Blatchford for forecasting the clinical outcomes of patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) This dataset was collected by retrospective descriptive epidemiologic survey which 350 non-cirrhotic patients with UGIB who referred to Ahwaz Imam-Khomeini Hospital for six months. The medical records and required data were extracted through a questionnaire. The questionnaire included demographic data, clinical symptoms, endoscopic findings, and clinical outcomes [1,2]. In addition, the outcomes included re-bleeding, the need for re-endoscopy, surgery and patient mortality. The need for blood transfusion or endoscopy was recorded as an emergency in



Fig. 2. The characteristic curve for clinical Rockall system.



Fig. 3. The characteristic curve for the complete Rockall system.

the questionnaire. After Blatchford score were inserted, the clinical Rockall and complete Rockall scored were determined for each patient. The age of over 18 years was regarded as inclusion criterion, while the patients with cirrhosis were excluded from the study. According to Blatchford, clinical Rockall, and complete Rockall scoring systems, patients were evaluated and scored. In order to classify the patients into two low-risk and high-risk groups based on the scoring systems mentioned above, the statistical characteristic curve (ROC) was used which was defined as a cut-off with specific sensitivity and specificity. In order to determine the relationship between the variables and the clinical outcomes, the Chi Square test was used by SPSS.21 software (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA).

Clinical parameters	Blatchford	Clinical Rockall	Complete Rockall
Re-endoscopy	0.35ª	0.02 ^a	0.001 ^a
Re-bleeding	0.25	0.01	0.001
Surgery	0.08	0.59	0.09
Transfusion	0.001	0.001	0.001
Mortality	0.001	0.001	0.001
Urgent endoscopy	0.07	0.33	0.39

Table 3

The relationship between clinical parameters and scoring systems.

^a Correlation coefficient.

Table 4

Dividing the clinical outcomes of patients into low-risk and high-risk groups for three scoring systems.

Scoring system	Risk level	Re-endoscopy	Re-bleeding	Surgery	Transfusion	Mortality	Urgent endoscopy
Blatchford	Low risk: 196	25 (12.8%)	18 (9.2%)	0 (0%)	69 (35.2%)	4 (2%)	79 (40.3%)
	High risk: 154	25 (16.2%)	20 (13%)	(1.9%) 3	138 (89.6%)	22 (14.3%)	48 (31.2%)
Clinical Rockall	Low risk: 192	20 (10.4%)	14 (7.3%)	1 (0.5%)	86 (44.8%)	3 (1.6%)	74 (38.5%)
	High risk: 158	30 (19%)	24 (15.2%)	2 (1.3%)	121 (76.6%)	(14.6%) 23	53 (33.5%)
Complete Rockall	Low risk: 188	16 (8.5%)	9 (4.8%)	0 (0%)	81 (43.1%)	5 (2.7%)	72 (38.3%)
	High risk: 162	34 (21%)	29 (17.9%)	3 (1.9%)	126 (77.8%)	21 (13%)	55 (34%)

Acknowledgment

This article is the result of the thesis of Mrs. Fereshteh Beyranvandi. The authors thank the Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences for financing this thesis (code: 1401) as well as the Research Deputy's support of this university for this research project (Grant number: GP-94022).

Transparency document. Supporting information

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.dib.2018.10.029.

References

- D. Dicu, F. Pop, D. Ionescu, T. Dicu, Comparison of risk scoring systems in predicting clinical outcome at upper gastrointestinal bleeding patients in an emergency unit, Am. J. Emerg. Med. 31 (2013) 94–99.
- [2] I.C. Chen, M.S. Hung, T.F. Chiu, J.C. Chen, C.T. Hsiao, Risk scoring systems to predict need for clinical intervention for patients with nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding, Am. J. Emerg. Med. 25 (2007) 774–779.

2530