

Thymalfasin, a promising adjuvant therapy in small hepatocellular carcinoma after liver resection

Chao He, MD, Wei Peng, MD, Chuan Li, MD, Tian-Fu Wen, PhD^*

Abstract

There is limited information available concerning the effect of thymalfasin ($T\alpha 1$) as an adjuvant therapy in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patient who received liver resection. The present study aimed to evaluate whether $T\alpha 1$ can improve the prognosis of small HCC patients after liver resection.

A total of 206 patients with small HCC who underwent liver resection were analyzed in our retrospective cohort study. Patients were divided into 2 groups: group A (resection $+T\alpha 1$, n = 44) and group B (resection, n = 162). Clinical data, overall survival (OS), and recurrence-free survival (RFS) were compared. Prognostic factors were identified using multivariate analysis.

After a median follow-up of 47.0 months, 134 patients (65%) had recurrence, and 62 patients (30.09%) died. The 1, 3, and 5-year OS rate of patients in group A was 97.7%, 90.6%, and 82.9%, respectively, and 95.1%, 80.5%, and 62.9%, respectively, for patients in group B (P=.014). The 1, 3, and 5-year RFS rate of patients in group A was 70.5%, 56.8%, and 53.3%, respectively, and 65.8%, 41.3%, and 32.1%, respectively, for patients in group B (P=.015). Multivariate analysis indicated that T α 1 was an independent prognostic factor for both OS (P=.015, hazard ratio 0.349, 95% confidence interval 0.149–0.816) and RFS (P=.019, hazard ratio 0.564, 95% confidence interval 0.349–0.910).

 $T\alpha 1$ as an adjuvant therapy after liver resection may improve the prognosis of small HCC patients after liver resection.

Abbreviations: HBV = hepatitis B virus, HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma, MVI = microvascular invasion, NLR = neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, OS = overall survival, RFS = recurrence-free survival, $T\alpha 1$ = thymalfasin, TACE = transarterial chemoembolization.

Keywords: hepatocellular carcinoma, liver resection, Milan criteria, prognostic factor, thymalfasin

1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is 5th common malignancies and the 3rd-leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. Because of high hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, China alone accounts for about 50% of the total number of cases and deaths.^[1,2] Although surgical techniques and perioperative management have improved, survival remains very poor in HCC patients. Therefore, continuing efforts to explore new approaches to impede recurrence and improve the outcome of HCC is necessary. Immunotherapy has been shown to have potential benefit but evidence is not strong enough.^[3,4] Thymalfasin (thymosin α 1, T α 1, commercial name: ZADAXIN)

Funding/support: This work was supported by grants from Scientific and Technological Support Project of Sichuan Province (2015SZ0049).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Department of Liver Surgery and Liver Transplantation Center, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Guoxuexiang, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China.

* Correspondence: Tian-Fu Wen, Department of Liver Surgery and Liver Transplantation Centre, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Guoxuexiang 37, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan Province, China (e-mail: 564974843@qq.com).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License 4.0, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even for commercial purposes, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Medicine (2017) 96:16(e6606)

Received: 14 February 2017 / Received in final form: 21 March 2017 / Accepted: 23 March 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000006606

is a naturally occurring thymic polypeptide of 28 amino acids.^[5] The mechanism of this drug is related to its immune-modulating activities, and T α 1 has been widely used in various diseases, including some infection disease and malignances.^[6] Previous investigations have shown that T α 1 may improve the outcomes of HCC patients who underwent transarterial chemoembolization (TACE).^[7,8] However, whether T α 1 can improve the prognosis of small HCC patients who received liver resection has not been confirmed and the underlying mechanisms remains unclear. The present study was designed to evaluate the efficacy of T α 1 as an adjuvant therapy in patients with small HCC who underwent liver resection.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of West China Hospital, Sichuan University. The HCC patients who underwent liver resection in the Department of Liver Surgery and Liver Transplantation Center of West China Hospital between February 2007 and February 2013 were identified from our prospectively maintained database. During the period, 283 small HCC patients (within Milan criteria) received curative resection in our department. Diagnoses of HCC were confirmed by postoperative histopathologic examination. Microvascular invasion (MVI) was identified under a light microscope by pathologists. HCC was histologically classified using the Edmondson–Steiner classification. Clinical data, follow-up data, recurrence, and survival data were retrieved from our prospectively maintained database. In our study, inclusion criteria were

Editor: Muhammed Mubarak.

as follows: primary HBV-related small HCC (solitary tumor <5 cm in diameter or ≤ 3 nodules, each of them < 3 cm in diameter, without major vascular invasion or distant metastasis); receiving liver resection as initial therapy in our hospital; and liver reserve function Child-Pugh grade A. Exclusion criteria included the following: extra-hepatic malignancies; previous resection, TACE, ablative therapies, or liver transplantation; loss to follow-up within 3 months after liver resection; poor liver reserve function with a Child-Pugh grade B or C; rupture of HCC; coinfection with hepatitis C virus; simultaneous splenectomy; and previously treated with T α 1. Based on our inclusion and exclusion criteria, 77 patients were excluded. Excluded patients were as follows: 8 had recurrent HCC, 9 had a preoperative Child-Pugh grade of B, 4 had a rupture of HCC, 5 had a history of therapy before resection, 8 lost to follow-up within 3 months after operation, 4 coinfected with hepatitis C virus, and 39 had poor data integrity. Finally, a total of 206 patients were included in the analysis. Patients were divided into 2 groups based on whether they received T α 1 adjuvant therapy: group A (liver resection plus T α 1, n=44), group b (liver resection only, n=162). Details about patient selection are shown in Fig. 1. Current regimen of Ta1 (ZADAXIN): 1.6 mg subcutaneously twice per week for at least 26 weeks.

2.2. Follow-up visit

All the 206 patients were regularly followed up at the 1st, 3rd, and 6th months in the 1st half year after surgery, every 3 months during the following 3 years, and every 6 months in the subsequent years. Antiviral therapy was administered to patients with positive hepatitis B DNA before and after operation. Physical examination, blood cell and differential counts, alpha fetoprotein level, liver function test and HBV-DNA, and radiology examination were included in the follow-up examinations. Tumor recurrence was diagnosed according to positive



imaging findings and arising of tumor marker (alpha fetoprotein) or pathologic report of biopsy or resection. Once identified, patients were advised to receive additional treatment. For example, liver transplantation, resection, ablative therapy, TACE, or sorafenib. The last follow-up date was the end of June 2016.

2.3. Statistical analysis

We used SPSS software version 20.0 (SPSS Company, Chicago, IL) for windows to perform statistical analysis. The continuous variables are expressed as the mean \pm the standard deviation. The categorical variables are presented as numbers (percentages). Categorical data were compared by the chi-square test or Fisher exact test. Continuous variables were compared by independent *t* test for normal distributed data or Mann–Whiney *U* test for skewness-distributed data. The overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) were analyzed by the Kaplan–Meier method, and the differences were analyzed by a log-rank test. Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to evaluate the prognostic factors. Calculated *P* values were 2-sided, and a *P* value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) was defined as absolute neutrophil counts divided by lymphocyte counts. For group A, NLR0 was defined as NLR in the first follow-up visit. AS for group B, NLR0 was defined as NLR before administration of T α 1. dNLR1, dNLR2, and dNLR3 were defined as the NLR of 2nd, 3rd, and 4th follow-up visit minus NLR0. Data of NLR were excluded if there was clinical symptoms or signs of sepsis at the time of blood sampling for NLR, or white blood cell counts >10^109/L.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics

In the present research, a total of 206 patients were included in the analysis. As described in Table 1. There were 178 males (86.4%) and 28 females (14.6%), with the mean age of $50.2\pm$ 11.8 (range from 21 to 78) year. The median follow-up time 47.0 months (range from 21.00 to 78.00). A total of 174 patients (84.5%) had 1 nodule, and 32 (15.5%) patients had 2 or 3 nodules; 78 patients (37.9%) had a nodule <3 cm in diameter, and 128 (62.1%) had a nodule 3 to 5 cm in diameter. A total of 178 (86.4%) patients had cirrhosis, 108 (52.4%) patients had HBV-DNA levels higher than 10³ IU/L. The baseline characteristics of patients in the 2 groups are described in Table 1. There was no significant difference between the 2 groups in the baseline characteristics and the number of patients who received adjuvant therapy after recurrence (Table 2). In group A, all of the 44 patients were administrated $T\alpha 1$ with a median treatment time of 33.9 months (range from 6 to 93 months).

3.2. Impact of Ta1 on OS

After a median follow-up of 47.0 months, 134 patients (65%) had recurrence, and 62 patients (30.1%) died. The 1, 3, and 5-year OS rate of all 206 patients was 95.6, 82.7, and 67.0%, respectively. When dividing the patients into 2 groups by T α 1, the 1, 3, and 5-year OS rate of patients in group A was 97.7, 90.6, and 82.9%, respectively, and 95.1, 80.5, and 62.9%, respectively, for patients in group B. The median OS time was 94.8 months (95%CI 85.7–103.8) for group A, 74.9 months (95%CI 68.2–81.7) for group B (log-rank test, P=.014, Fig. 2).

Table 1

Demographic and clinical data of 206 small HCC patients according to thymalfasin.

Factors	Group A (n $=$ 44)	Group B (n=162)	Р
Gender (male/female)	39/5	139/23	.805
Age, y	49.8 ± 11.9	51.7 ± 11.6	.342
TBIL, µmol/L	16.2 ± 8.2	15.5 ± 6.7	.974
ALB, g/L	42.6 ± 4.4	41.8 ± 4.4	.300
PT, s	11.8 ± 1.0	12.1 ± 1.2	.096
Tumor size, cm, n, %			.484
<3	19 (43.2)	59 (36.4)	
3–5	25 (56.8)	103 (63.6)	
Tumor number, n, %			1.000
1	37 (18.0)	137 (66.5)	
2–3	7 (3.4)	25 (12.1)	
MVI, n, %			.167
Yes	10 (22.7)	23 (13.9)	
No	34 (77.3)	142 (86.1)	
Differentiation, n, %			.554
High	2 (4.5)	15 (9.3)	
Moderate	41 (93.2)	14 (87.0)	
Low	1 (2.3)	6 (88.3)	
Cirrhosis, n, %			.326
Yes	36 (81.8)	142 (87.7)	
No	8 (18.2)	20 (12.3)	
HBV-eAg, n, %			.110
Positive	6 (13.6)	41 (25.3)	
Negative	38 (86.4)	121 (74.7)	
AFP, ng/mL, n, %			.139
≥400	9 (20.5)	54 (33.1)	
<400	35 (79.5)	109 (66.9)	
Transfusion, n, %			.146
Yes	3 (6.8)	26 (16.0)	
No	41 (93.2)	136 (84.0)	
Operation duration, min	224.1 <u>+</u> 51.5	219.8±63.9	.703
HBV-DNA			.085
<10^31U/mL	26 (59.1)	72 (44.4)	
≥10^3 IU/mL	18 (40.9)	90 (55.6)	
Preoperative antivirus therapy			.072
Yes	7 (15.9)	11 (6.8)	
No	37 (84.1)	151 (93.2)	

AFP=alpha fetoprotein, ALB=albumin, HBV=hepatitis B virus, HCC=hepatocellular carcinoma, MVI=microvascular invasion, PT=prothrombin time, TBIL=total bilirubin.

Table 2	2							
Adjuvant	therapy	of	206	small	нсс	patients	according	to
thymalfas	in after li	ver	resed	ction.				

Group A (n = 44)	Group B (n=162)	Р
18/26	90/72	.091
		.593
5/39	19/143	
		1.000
3/41	11/151	
		.577
3/41	17/145	
		.165
14/30	67/95	
nerapy		
0	3	
	5/39 3/41 3/41 14/30 herapy	5/39 19/143 3/41 11/151 3/41 17/145 14/30 67/95

HCC=hepatocellular carcinoma, RFS=recurrence-free survival, TACE=transarterial chemoembolization.



Figure 2. The graph shows the OS curve of group A (liver resection plus T α 1, n=44) and group B (liver resection, n=162). Group A had better OS than group B (log-rank test, P=.014). OS=overall survival, T α 1=thymalfasin.

3.3. Impact of T α 1 on RFS

The 1, 3, and 5-year RFS rate of all 206 patients was 67.8%, 44.7%, and 36.5%, respectively. When dividing the patients into 2 groups by T α 1, the 1, 3, and 5-year RFS rate of patients in group A was 70.5%, 56.8%, and 53.3%, respectively, and 65.8%, 41.3%, and 32.1%, respectively, for patients in group B (*P*=.015). The median RFS time was 63.4 months (95%CI 49.3–77.6) for group A, 39.6 months (95%CI 33.3–46.0) for group B (log-rank test, *P*=.015 Fig. 3).

3.4. Impact of T α 1 on dynamic NLR change

Because of lacking the integrity of the follow-up blood cell data or patients having clinical symptoms or signs of sepsis at the time of blood sampling for NLR, patient number has changed in the analysis of dynamic NLR change. In group A, dNLR1 increased in 4 patients (3.5%) and decreased in 31 patients (64.6%), in group B, 109 patients (96.5%) increased and 17 patients (35.4%) decreased. dNLR3 increased in 4 (4.3%) patients, decreased in 31 (68.9%) patients in group A, increased in 10 (96.5%), decreased





Table 3

Dynamic NLR changes.						
	Group A (n=43)	Group B (n = 153)	Р			
NLRO	2.14 ± 0.83	1.91 ± 0.86	.113			
	Group A (n=35)	Group B (n=126)				
dNLR1			<.001			
Increased, %	4 (3.5)	109 (96.5)				
Decreased, %	31 (64.6)	17 (35.4)				
	Group A (n=34)	Group B (n=104)				
dNLR3			<.001			
Increased, %	4 (4.3)	90 (95.7)				
Decreased, %	31 (68.9)	14 (31.1)				
	Group A (n=32)	Group B (n=88)				
dNLR6			<.001			
Increased, %	2 (2.7)	73 (97.3)				
Decreased, %	30 (66.7)	15 (33.3)				

In group A, NLR0=NLR in the 1st follow-up visit. In group B, NLR0=NLR before administration of T α 1. dNLR1, dNLR2, dNLR3=NLR of 2nd, 3rd, and 4th follow-up minus NLR0. NLR=neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, T α 1 = thymalfasin.

in 17patients (35.4%) in group B. dNLR6 increased in 2 patients (2.7%), decreased in 30 patients (66.7%) in group A, increased in 73 patients (97.3%), decreased in 15 patients (33.3%) in group B. *P* value of dNLR1, dNLR3, and dNLR6 are all <.001, as shown in Table 3.

3.5. Univariate and multivariate analysis

To identify the prognostic factors for OS and RFS of small HCC after liver resection, 17 potential variables were analyzed. As shown in Table 4, univariate analysis suggested that MVI, transfusion, total bilirubin, and T α 1 were significantly related to OS. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that MVI (*P*=.001, hazard ratio [HR]=2.704, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.510–4.842) and transfusion (*P*=.009, HR=2.179, 95% CI

Table 4

Univariate and multivariate analyses of prognostic factors for OS
of 206 small HCC patients after liver resection.

	Univariate		Multivariate	
Factors	Р	HR	95% CI	Р
Gender (F/M)	.658			
Age (65 vs <65 y)	.236			
Differentiation (H, M, L)	.200			
MVI (yes vs no)	.035	2.704	1.510-4.842	.001
Tumor size, cm (3–5 vs $<$ 3)	.300			
Tumor number (2–3 vs 1)	.321			
Cirrhosis (yes vs no)	.530			
HBV-eAg (yes vs no)	.061			
AFP, ng/mL (400 vs <400)	.695			
Operation duration, min	.683			
Transfusion (yes vs no)	.001	2.179	1.215-3.907	.009
TBIL, μmol/L	.031			.081
ALB, g/L	.398			
PT, s	.501			
HBV-DNA (positive vs negative)	.255			
Preoperative antivirus therapy (yes vs no)	.446			
Thymalfasin	.007	0.349	0.149–0.816	.015

AFP=alpha fetoprotein, ALB=albumin, CI=confidence interval, HBV=hepatitis B virus, HCC= hepatocellular carcinoma, HR=hazard ratio, MVI=microvascular invasion, OS=overall survival, PT=prothrombin time, TBIL=total bilirubin.

Table 5

Univariate and multivariate analyses of prognostic factors for RFS of 206 small HCC patients after liver resection.

	Univariate	Multivariate			
Factors	Р	HR	95% CI	Р	
Gender (F/M)	.345				
Age (65 vs <65 y)	.235				
Differentiation (H, M, L)	.761				
MVI (yes vs no)	.009	2.481	1.615-3.812	<.001	
Tumor size, cm (3–5 vs $<$ 3)	.937				
Tumor number (2-3 vs 1)	.001	2.113	1.380-3.234	.001	
Cirrhosis (yes vs no)	.002	1.966	1.100-3.515	.023	
HBV-eAg (yes vs no)	.233				
AFP, ng/L (>400 vs <400)	.746				
Operation duration, min	.302				
Transfusion (yes vs no)	.082				
TBIL, µmol/L	.878				
ALB, g/L	.360				
PT, s	.180				
HBV-DNA, IU/mL (≥10^3 vs <10^3)	.004			.294	
Preoperative antivirus therapy (yes vs no)	.055				
Thymalfasin	.002	0.564	0.349-0.910	.019	

AFP=alpha fetoprotein, ALB=albumin, CI=confidence interval, HBV=hepatitis B virus, HCC= hepatocellular carcinoma, HR=hazard ratio, MVI=microvascular invasion, PT=prothrombin time, RFS=recurrence-free survival, TBIL=total bilirubin.

1.215–3.907), and T α 1 (*P*=.015, HR=.349, 95% CI 0.149–0.816) were the prognostic factors for OS of small HCC patients after liver resection. As shown in Table 5, univariate analysis suggested that MVI, tumor number, cirrhosis, HBV-DNA, and T α 1 were significantly related to the RFS. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that microvascular (*P*= <.001, HR=2.481, 95% CI 1.615–3.812), tumor number (*P*=.001, HR=2.113, 95% CI 1.380–3.234), cirrhosis (*P*=.023, HR=1.966, 95% CI 1.100–3.515), and T α 1 (*P*=.019, HR =.564, 95% CI .349–.910) were independent prognostic factors for RFS of small HCC patients.

4. Discussion

Liver resection has been widely accepted as standard treatment for HCC patients. However, recurrence rate is high as 70% at 5 years.^[3] Risk factors for recurrence are multiple and prevalent. Consistent with previous research, our research confirmed MVI, tumor number, and cirrhosis were independent risk factors for small HCC recurrence. In small HCC, about 15% to 35% cases are with MVI,^[9] about 60% to 90% cases are with cirrhosis,^[10,11] and about 15% to 45% cases are multifocal.^[10,12,13] Therefore, even in small HCC, 5-year RFS rate is only about 40%,^[14,15] improvements in preventing recurrence and prolonging OS are essential. However, methods to prevent recurrence are limited. Adjuvant therapy, such as TACE and sorafenib, although are generally used for HCC patients who have risk factors of recurrence, remains controversial.^[8,16] TACE is restricted by invasive nature, possibility of severe complications. As for sorafenib, its application is mainly compromised by high cost which is not affordable for most HCC patients. Immunotherapy has shown some potential efficacy, but evidence is not strong enough.^[3,17] Previous investigations have shown Ta1 may improve the outcome of unresectable HCC patients who received TACE.^[7,18,19] However, little is known about its efficacy in HCC patients who received liver resection. Shuqun et al^[20] report that Ta1 plus lamivudine postoperatively compared to lamivudine

alone may suppress HBV reaction, delay the recurrent time, and prolong the survival for HCC patients. However, their sample size was small and without stratification based on BCLC staging system. Our research is the first one to focus the efficacy of T α 1 in small HCC patients who underwent liver resection. The present study demonstrated that T α 1 adjuvant therapy resulted in significant better OS and RFS than resection only. Several mechanisms may be related to the efficacy of T α 1 in improving the prognosis of HCC patients.

In recent years, accumulated evidence suggest that the systemic inflammatory response plays a significant role in various malignancies including HCC. NLR is one of the systemic inflammation markers, high postoperative NLR has been shown to be related to poor prognosis of HCC, and reduction of postoperative NLR is associated better prognosis.^[15] Our research first reports that $T\alpha 1$ can reduce postoperative NLR. The decline of NLR was associated with reduction of neutrophils and increment of lymphocytes. As lymphocytes have pivotal roles in inhibiting proliferation and metastatic activity of tumor cells. A relative lymphopenia may reflect deficient immune response to malignances. On the other hand, increased neutrophils can increase the level of circulating vascular endothelial growth factor, angiopoietin-1, and matrix metalloproteinase-9 which are major contributors to tumor-related angiogenesis.^[21,22] Second, the immune response against tumor is weakened in HCC patients.^[23,24] $T\alpha 1$ can stimulate both adaptive and innate immune system against tumor by various paths. For instance, augmenting T-cell function, modulating cytokine and chemokine production, and augmenting the function of macrophages and other immune cells involving innate immune system.^[6,25] Furthermore, $T\alpha 1$ even suppress proliferation and induce apoptosis of tumor cells.^[26] Third, postoperative active HBV replication has been proved to be associated with early HCC recurrence and shortened OS. Several studies have proved that combination of antivirus therapy and $T\alpha 1$ postoperatively may be more effective in control HBV infection, and thus improve the prognosis of HCC. Therefore, $T\alpha 1$ may improve the prognosis of HBV-related HCC by inhibiting HBV active replication.^[20,27]

There are several limitations in our study. First, this is a retrospective analysis from our single institution, and the sample size is relatively small. Second, the analysis of the dynamic change of NLR did not incorporate all the recruited patients, because of lacking the integrity of the follow-up blood cell data and patients had clinical symptoms or signs of sepsis at the time of blood sampling for NLR. Therefore, the result of dynamic NLR change must be tested in prospective research. Third, the present study only investigates the effect of T α 1 in small HCC patients who underwent liver resection, it is uncertain its effect in other stages of HCC, or other modalities of treatment.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that $T\alpha 1$ as adjuvant therapy in small HCC after liver resection may delay recurrence and prolong OS. It is rational for small HCC patients who have high risk for recurrence after resection to receive $T\alpha 1$ adjuvant therapy.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Scientific and Technological Support Project of Sichuan Province (2015SZ0049) for the support.

References

 Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 2015;65:87–108.

- [2] Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, et al. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. Int J Cancer 2010;127:2893–917.
- [3] Forner A, Llovet JM, Bruix J. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Lancet 2012;379:1245–55.
- [4] Jeng WJ, Lin CC, Chen WT, et al. Adjuvant therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma after curative treatment. Dig Dis 2014;32:747–54.
- [5] Goldstein AL, Guha A, Zatz MM, et al. Purification and biological activity of thymosin, a hormone of the thymus gland. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 1972;69:1800–3.
- [6] Moretti S, Oikonomou V, Garaci E, et al. Thymosin alpha1: burying secrets in the thymus. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2015;15(Suppl 1):S51–8.
- [7] Gish RG, Gordon SC, Nelson D, et al. A randomized controlled trial of thymalfasin plus transarterial chemoembolization for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatol Int 2009;3:480–9.
- [8] Zhong JH, Li LQ. Postoperative adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization for participants with hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. Hepatol Res 2010;40:943–53.
- [9] Ko CJ, Chien SY, Chou CT, et al. Factors affecting the prognosis of small hepatocellularcarcinoma in Taiwanese patients following hepatic resection. Gastroenterology 2011;25:485–91.
- [10] Hosaka T, Ikeda K, Kobayashi M, et al. Predictive factors of advanced recurrence after curative resection of small hepatocellular carcinoma. Liver Int 2009;29:736–42.
- [11] Hong YJ, Kim SH, Choi GH, et al. Long-term outcome after liver resection and clinicopathological features in patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma. Korean J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 2011;15:199–205.
- [12] Baccarani U, Benzoni E, Adani GL, et al. Superiority of transplantation versus resection for the treatment of small hepatocellular carcinoma. Transplant Proc 2007;39:1898–900.
- [13] Shimozawa N, Hanazaki K. Longterm prognosis after hepatic resection for small hepatocellular carcinoma. J Am Coll Surg 2004;198:356–65.
- [14] Zhu YB, Xu X, Zheng SS. Association of microvascular invasion with recurrence and prognosis of patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing liver transplantation. Zhejiang Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban 2014;43:658–63.
- [15] Peng W, Li C, Wen TF, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio changes predict small hepatocellular carcinoma survival. J Surg Res 2014; 192:402–8.
- [16] Zhong J-H, Du XK, Xiang BD, et al. Adjuvant sorafenib in hepatocellular carcinoma: a cautionary comment of STORM trial. World J Hepatol 2016;8:957–60.
- [17] Yang S, Lin Q, Lin W, et al. Effect of adjuvant interferon therapy on hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review. World J Surg Oncol 2016;14:159.
- [18] Stefanini GF, Foschi FG, Castelli E, et al. Alpha-1-thymosin and transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in hepatocellar carcinoma patients: a preliminary experience. Hepatogastroenterology 1998;45: 209–15.
- [19] Cheng SQ, Wu MC, Chen H, et al. Transcatheter hepatic arterial chemoembolization and thymosin alpha1 in postoperative treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi 2004;26:305–7.
- [20] Shuqun C, Mengchao W, Han C, et al. Antiviral therapy using lamivudine and thymosin alpha1 for hepatocellular carcinoma coexisting with chronic hepatitis B infection. Hepatogastroenterology 2006;53: 249–52.
- [21] Kuang DM, Zhao Q, Wu Y, et al. Peritumoral neutrophils link inflammatory response to disease progression by fostering angiogenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2011;54:948–55.
- [22] Kusumanto YH, Dam WA, Hospers GA, et al. Platelets and granulocytes, in particular the neutrophils, form important compartments for circulating vascular endothelial growth factor. Angiogenesis 2003;6:283–7.
- [23] Meng W, Bai B, Bai Z, et al. The immunosuppression role of alphafetoprotein in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Discov Med 2016;21: 489–94.
- [24] Zhao F, Korangy F, Greten TF. Cellular immune suppressor mechanisms in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Dig Dis 2012;30:477–82.
- [25] Serafino A, Pierimarchi P, Pica F, et al. Thymosin alpha1 as a stimulatory agent of innate cell-mediated immune response. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2012;1270:13–20.
- [26] Fan YZ, Chang H, Yu Y, et al. Thymosin alpha1 suppresses proliferation and induces apoptosis in human leukemia cell lines. Peptides 2006;27: 2165–73.
- [27] Kim BK, Park JY, Kim DY, et al. Persistent hepatitis B viral replication affects recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection. Liver Int 2008;28:393–401.