# Vibrotactile perception on the sole of the foot in an older group of people with normal glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes

SAGE Open Medicine Volume 8: 1–9 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/2050312120931640 journals.sagepub.com/home/smo



Magnus Peterson<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Ronnie Pingel<sup>4</sup>, Olov Rolandsson<sup>5</sup> and Lars B Dahlin<sup>6,7</sup>

# Abstract

**Objectives:** To evaluate vibrotactile sense in an older group of people with normal glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes relative to other sensory tests.

**Methods:** Vibration perception thresholds on the sole of the foot (Multifrequency vibrametry and Biothesiometer) were compared to the results from evaluation of touch (monofilament), electrophysiology (sural nerve) and thermal sensation (Thermotest®).

**Results:** Vibration perception and temperature thresholds, as well as sural nerve function, differed between normal glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes. Measuring vibration perception thresholds at lower frequencies with multifrequency vibrametry versus biothesiometer provided correlations similar to sural nerve amplitude. Temperature thresholds correlated with vibration perception thresholds and sural nerve function. Monofilaments revealed pathology in only a few participants with type 2 diabetes.

**Conclusions:** In an older group of people, vibration perception thresholds show a correlation similar to sural nerve amplitude on tactile and non-tactile surfaces. Measuring a vibration perception threshold on a tactile surface in type 2 diabetes provides no clear advantage over measuring it on the medial malleolus. In older type 2 diabetes subjects, both large and small diameter nerve fibers are affected.

#### **Keywords**

Vibration perception thresholds, diabetic neuropathy, multifrequency vibrametry, electrophysiology, sural nerve

Date received: 27 September 2019; accepted: 13 May 2020

# Introduction

Vibrotactile perception on tactile surfaces on the feet is important for somatic function<sup>1,2</sup> and may be affected early on in various neuropathies.<sup>3</sup> Peripheral neuropathy is a wellknown long-term complication, occurring in up to 50% of people with type 2 diabetes (T2DM).<sup>4,5</sup> Symptoms of neuropathy are often already present at the time of diagnosis.<sup>4,6–9</sup> Combined with reduced blood flow, neuropathy in the feet promotes risk of foot ulcers, infection, and at times even the need for limb amputation.<sup>10,11</sup> Loss of sensation and reduced balance can lead to an increased risk of falls and tissue injury.<sup>10</sup> More than a third of those with T2DM and diabetic sensory-motor polyneuropathy develop neuropathic pain, which significantly impairs their quality of life.<sup>4</sup> In Sweden, annual screening is recommended to detect retinopathy and  <sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health and Caring Sciences, Section of Family Medicine and Preventive Medicine, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
<sup>2</sup>Academic Primary Healthcare Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
<sup>3</sup>Division of Family Medicine and Primary Care, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
<sup>4</sup>Department of Statistics, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>5</sup>Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Section of Family Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

<sup>6</sup>Department of Translational Medicine—Hand Surgery, Lund University and Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden

<sup>7</sup>Department of Hand Surgery, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden

#### **Corresponding author:**

Lars B Dahlin, Department of Translational Medicine—Hand Surgery, Lund University and Skåne University Hospital, Jan Waldenströms gata 5, SE-205 02 Malmö, Sweden. Email: lars.dahlin@med.lu.se

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). neuropathy in people with T2DM<sup>12</sup> and is efficient in terms of the health economy.<sup>13–16</sup> Neuropathy is examined using monofilaments and a vibrating tuning fork. However, the sensitivity of the screening examination is low and will only screen out those with a manifest, painful neuropathy.<sup>17</sup> At an early stage, neuropathy may be reversible and with a more sensitive instrument for screening early detection<sup>18–20</sup> could reduce the risk of complications. Invasive methods, such as electrophysiological assessment, can detect neuropathy even in the pre-diabetic stage, for example., when glucose tolerance is impaired.<sup>18,21</sup> A simple and non-invasive method for a more sensitive everyday clinical diagnosis of peripheral neuropathy is called for.

Vibrotactile perception depends on the function of Pacinian corpuscles, responding to frequencies >80 Hz (in particular at 250 Hz)<sup>22</sup> and on Meissner's corpuscles, which are most sensitive at 30 Hz.<sup>23,24</sup> Evaluation of vibration perception thresholds (VPTs) at different frequencies, reflecting dysfunction in subsets of receptors and their connected axons, may be a useful tool for detecting early signs of peripheral neuropathy. In clinical practice, vibrotactile perception to detect neuropathy<sup>25,26</sup> is traditionally investigated by means of bone contact through the skin, at the pre-tibia, at the medial malleolus, and at the big toe at an arbitrarily pragmatic frequency of 128 Hz. However, it may be more appropriate to examine tactile surfaces with respect to function.<sup>26-28</sup> For example, disturbed vibrotactile perception in finger pulps has been reported in vibration-induced neuropathy and in carpal tunnel syndrome.<sup>3,29</sup> Vibrotactile perception in the foot at different frequencies in a population with T2DM had not previously been examined and compared to normative data in people with normal glucose tolerance (NGT),<sup>28</sup> but recently it has been reported in children with type 1 diabetes, showing early signs of neuropathy.<sup>30</sup> Thus, our aim was, in an older group of people with NGT and T2DM, to evaluate VPTs on tactile surfaces on the sole of the foot bilaterally and to compare them with techniques previously used to evaluate sensory nerve function.

# **Materials and methods**

Examinations were performed as part of a 10-year follow-up of a cohort originally from the population-based Västerbotten Intervention Program (VIP),<sup>31</sup> with participants recruited between November 2004 and April 2007; the original study population has been described elsewhere.<sup>32</sup> The study is defined as a case-control study, although it is a part of a long-term follow-up of a specific cohort (i.e. part of a cohort study or prospective observational study). All participants provided written informed consent and the Regional Ethical Review Board of Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden approved the study (ethical application no. Dnr 2013-21-31M). The original study was based on a certain number of participants who were recruited consecutively from the intervention program, that is, the VIP, comprising subjects with normal (n=39), impaired (n=29), and diabetic (n=51) glucose tolerance,

respectively, matched for age and sex. The intention was to study large and small nerve fiber function (i.e. electrophysiology and intraepidermal nerve fiber density).<sup>32</sup> That number of patients was considered sufficient to study such nerve functions in the original study. At the 2014 follow-up, 6 of the original 119 participants were deceased, and 26 declined further participation. The remaining 87 participants with 36, 9, and 42 participants in the NGT, IGT, and T2DM categories, respectively, underwent an examination of vibratory perception thresholds (VPTs). This was considered appropriate although no conventional power analysis was performed. The impaired glucose tolerance group was excluded from further analyses because of the small sample size. Thus, 78 participants were analyzed (Table 1). The glycemic status of individuals with NGT and IGT was verified by means of two standardized oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT).<sup>33,34</sup> NGT was defined as a capillary fasting plasma glucose < 6.1 mmol/L and a 2-h plasma glucose <7.8 mmol/L; IGT as fasting plasma glucose <7.0 mmol/L and a 2-h plasma glucose≥7.8 and <11.1 mmol/L. Finally, T2DM was defined as a fasting plasma glucose level of ≥7.0 mmol/L and/or a 2-h plasma glucose≥11.1 mmol/L as defined by the 1999 WHO criteria.33,34 The glomerular filtration rate was calculated according to the Cockroft-Gault formula where creatinine clearance=(((140 - age)  $\times$  mass (kg)  $\times$  constant) / serum creatinine (in µmol/L)). The constant is 1.23 for men and 1.04 for women.

### Examination methods

#### Electrophysiological assessment

An experienced neurophysiologist, blinded to group identity for all participants, performed a standardized nerve conduction assessment at the clinical neurophysiology laboratory at Umeå University, Sweden. All measurements were conducted on the right leg and included the amplitude and conduction velocity of the sural nerve.<sup>17</sup> The conduction velocity of the peroneal nerve was also measured but was not analyzed further as it contains a larger proportion of motor neurons than the sural nerve, which was thus deemed the most appropriate target for evaluation of sensory nerve function.

#### Vibration perception thresholds

VPTs were measured using two different methods: (1) VibroSense Meter and (2) biothesiometer.

Using a VibroSense Meter, VPTs were measured bilaterally on the sole of the foot at two different locations, that is., the pulp of the first and fifth metatarsal heads.<sup>30</sup> The sites were selected to mirror the function of the median plantar branch of the tibial (first metatarsal head) and the lateral plantar branch of the tibial (fifth metatarsal head) nerve. Measurements were performed using a modified VibroSense Meter adapted

	NGT	T2DM	p-value
	(n=36)	(n=42)	
Sex = female (%)	18 (50)	20 (47.6)	0.92
Age (years)	70.0 (1.0)	69.9 (1.4)	0.72
Current smoking (%)	2 (5.6)	I (2.4)	NA
Duration of diabetes (years)	NA	12.3 (7.3)	NA
Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m2)	25.5 (3.6)	29.4 (4.3)	<0.001
HbAIc (mmol/mol)	38.1 (2.8)	56.3 (13.7)	<0.001
HbAIc (%, DCCT)	5.6 (0.3)	7.3 (1.3)	<0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	137.7 (14.7)	142.2 (16.8)	0.22
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	79.7 (10.1)	80.2 (7.8)	0.82
S-creatinine (umol/l)	74.4 (14.7)	80.7 (33.0)	0.27
Glomerular filtration rate (mL/min)	83.3 (19.7)	92.3 (29.1)	0.11
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	6.1 (1.3)	5.0 (1.1)	<0.001
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.5 (0.8)	2.3 (1.0)	<0.001

**Table I.** Baseline characteristics of the study population. Participants with normal glucose tolerance (NGT) and type 2 diabetes (T2DM).

Values are means (SD), unless otherwise indicated. Significant p-values are marked in bold. NA = not applicable.

for measurements taken on the feet.<sup>35</sup> The thresholds were measured at four frequencies (8, 16, 32, and 125 Hz) on each site. The foot VibroSense Meter comprised a modified hand device with an extended vibrating probe and a special footplate with a hole, which was placed over the modified device as described elsewhere (for details, see Ising et al.<sup>30</sup> and Dahlin et al.<sup>35</sup>) The measuring procedure for the foot was identical to the previously reported examination of the fingers; that is., according to ISO13091-1.35 The examination sequence on the sole of the foot was (1) first metatarsal head and (2) fifth metatarsal head. The examination time was approximately 3 min/site; that is., in total 6 min/foot. Prior to the examination, the temperature at each investigated extremity was measured with the internal temperature probe on the VibroSense Meter. This is standard procedure to ensure that the VibroSense Meter device secures an appropriate interval of 27°-35° Celsius according to ISO 13091-1.36-38 The room temperature was between 20°-22°C (requirement according to ISO 13091–1).

2. Using a hand-held biothesiometer (Bio-Medical Instrument Co, ROVA Company Inc, Newbury, OH), VPT was tested according to a previously described procedure.<sup>17</sup> The VPTs, using the biothesiometer, were measured bilaterally at the medial malleolus and at the distal dorsal bony surface of both big toes.

The unit of measurement is decibels (dB).

### Monofilament

The pressure/touch sensation was examined bilaterally on the sole of the foot using a Semmes-Weinstein 10g monofilament (Gertab AB, Stockholm, Sweden) at three standard sites; that is., the plantar surface of the distal hallux and the 1st and 5th metatarsal heads.<sup>39</sup> A pathological monofilament test result was defined as the absence of sensation at one or more sites on either foot.<sup>17,40</sup>

# Thermal testing

Thermal sensory testing was performed according to the method of limits using Thermotest® equipment (Somedic AB, Hörby, Sweden) as previously described.<sup>17</sup> The limb temperature was kept above 31 °C.

#### Statistical analysis

Participant characteristics in the NGT group and the T2DM group were described in terms of counts and proportions for categorical variables and means and standard deviations for quantitative variables. Chi-square tests and Student's t-tests were used to test for statistically significant differences in characteristics between the NGT and T2D groups.

All measurements of sensory perception were summarized using means and standard deviations. Statistical differences between the left and the right side of the body regarding sensory perception were explored by studying standardized differences in means, that is., t-values from paired Student's t-tests samples. Differences in means between the NGT and T2D groups were studied using t-values from independent Student's t-tests samples. Standardized differences allow for comparisons of the different methods for studying sensory perception. All tests were two-sided and the significance level was set to 5% for all the analyses, that is., a t-value of approximately 2. Spearman's correlations were calculated between all sensory measurements stratified by NGT and T2D groups. The statistical analyses were performed using R 3.4.3 (R Core Team<sup>41</sup>) and the package "corrplot."<sup>42</sup>

	Normal glucose tolerance (NGT)		Type 2 diabetes (T2DM)	
	Left	Right	Left	Right
Vibration thresholds				
MTI 8Hz (dB)	106.6 (13.0)	107.1 (12.0)	112.0 (11.2)	.  ( 0.4)
MTI 16Hz (dB)	114.3 (13.2)	114.9 (14.1)	119.5 (13.2)	119.0 (13.5)
MTI 32 Hz (dB)	123.2 (12.8)	124.8 (11.1)	127.2 (12.9)	128.1 (13.1)
MT1 125 Hz (dB)	142.4 (11.2)	141.1 (12.3)	145.4 (10.7)	145.1 (10.9)
MT5 8 Hz (dB)	103.2 (8.7)	101.3 (11.1)	105.9 (10.3)	104.9 (10.4)
MT5 16 Hz (dB)	111.6 (9.6)	110.8 (10.2)	115.9 (11.7)	4.  (  .4)
MT5 32 Hz (dB)	120.4 (9.9)	119.5 (9.7)	123.3 (10.8)	123.1 (13.4)
MT5 125 Hz (dB)	141.8 (10.1)	140.2 (10.1)	142.7 (10.5)	144.2 (10.1)
Biothesiometer		( ),		
Greater toe (V)	27.8 (11.0)	26.8 (11.7)	31.6 (13.8)	31.7 (13.3)
Medial malleolus (V)	30.2 (9.7)	27.5 (10.6)	32.7 (12.1)	31.7 (13.3)
Electrophysiology				
Sural nerve amplitude ( $\mu$ V)	ND	7.4 (3.8)	ND	6.1 (4.1)
Sural nerve conduction velocity (m/s)	ND	46.3 (4.9)	ND	44.5 (5.9)
Temperature thresholds				. ,
Heat threshold (°C)	40.6 (3.9)	40.6 (3.9)	41.9 (3.9)	42.9 (3.7)
Cold threshold (°C)	27.9 (2.92)	27.3 (3.6)	25.2 (5.1)	25.6 (5.0)

**Table 2.** Means and standard deviations for different methods of measuring nerve function in the foot, stratified by group and extremity.

NGT: normal glucose tolerance; T2DM: type 2 diabetes.

N.B. Standardized differences between means of groups and extremities are indicated in Figure 1.

Values are mean and standard deviations. ND = not determined.

MTI = at first metatarsal head at sole of the foot.

MT5 = at fifth metatarsal head at sole of the foot.

# Results

# Demographics of participants

The characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. Out of the 78 participants in the study, 77 completed vibrametry, 78 biothesiometer, 74 electrophysiological assessments (amplitude and conduction velocity of sural nerve), 78 monofilament testing, and 73 thermal testing. For the VibroSense Meter, data were missing for the left MT1, 16Hz, and left MT5, 125 Hz in one (though not the same) subject. The mean duration of diabetes was 12.3 years in the T2DM group (Table 1). As expected, participants with diabetes had a higher BMI than those with normal glucose tolerance as well as higher HbA1c levels. Serum cholesterol was lower and serum triglycerides were higher among participants with diabetes (Table 1). Monofilament testing was pathological in 4 participants with T2DM but in none of those with normal glucose tolerance.

# Vibration perception and thermal thresholds

Vibration perception thresholds were higher with both methods (VibroSense Meter and biothesiometer) on the soles of the feet in participants with T2DM compared to participants with normal glucose tolerance (Table 2, Figure 1). There were no clear within-group differences between the right and left sides of the feet (Table 2, Figure 1). In a similar way, temperature thresholds for both heat and cold were higher among participants with T2DM than participants with normal glucose tolerance. There were no within-group differences between the right and left sides of the feet regarding temperature thresholds (Table 2, Figure 1).

# Correlations between methods of nerve assessment

Correlations between the vibration perception thresholds and amplitude of sural nerve were generally higher in the participants with diabetes than in those with normal glucose tolerance, who had correlations close to zero (Figure 2). There were similar correlations between the amplitude of the sural nerve and vibration perception thresholds, at all frequencies.

In the present study, in participants with T2DM there was a tendency for the biothesiometer to have a slightly higher correlation to the sural nerve amplitude than the multifrequency vibrametry, but in the normal glucose tolerance group, the correlations were close to zero. Using a biothesiometer, there were similar correlations between the amplitude and vibration perception at both locations of testing, that is., the medial malleolus and big toe. Multifrequency vibrametry had a tendency to correlate more strongly to the amplitude of the sural nerve at the medial plantar examination site (MT1 > MT5).



Figure 1. Standardized differences between means of groups and extremities.



**Figure 2.** Correlations (Spearman) between different methods for the examination of peripheral nerve function in the foot. The units of measurement for the methods displayed are the same as in Table 2.

The correlations between the amplitude of the sural nerve and temperature thresholds were similar to the correlations between sural nerve amplitude and vibration perception thresholds. There were similar correlations between the amplitude of the sural nerve and laterality (i.e. the right and left extremity) concerning both vibration perception thresholds and thermal thresholds. The correlation was generally weak between the conduction velocity of the sural nerve and both vibration perception and thermal thresholds (Figure 2).

# Discussion

The present study shows that vibration perception and temperature thresholds on the sole of the foot are different in this older group of people with NGT and long-standing T2DM. Measuring vibration perception thresholds at lower frequencies, compared to the current standard of 128 Hz, provided correlations similar to the amplitude of the sural nerve. Evaluating vibration sense on a tactile area provided a similar correlation to the amplitude of the sural nerve, as compared to a non-tactile area, such as the medial malleolus.

Peripheral neuropathy is one of many potential multifactorial complications in T2DM.43 In combination with reduced blood flow, neuropathy in the feet increases the risk of foot ulcers, infection, and sometimes even the need for limb amputation.<sup>11</sup> Early detection of disturbed sensory perception in T2DM is important for the avoidance of further complications.<sup>19,20,44</sup> During the early stages of T2DM, the plasticity of the nervous system remains, and metabolic corrections have the potential to reverse the symptoms.<sup>20,45</sup> In the present study, with a case-control design and long follow-up of the participants, we used a variety of methods to detect neuropathy. Vibration sense, detected by two different methods, was evaluated in an age-matched sample of older individuals with NGT and T2DM. Most previous studies used the biothesiometer, applied at a single frequency (128 Hz) to nontactile surfaces, to detect vibrotactile perception.<sup>17</sup> This may seem a strange site at which to assess peripheral sensory perception, as a tactile sensation on the sole of the foot or on the glabrous skin in the hand, which may even differ with respect to firing characteristics in response to vibration stimuli,<sup>46</sup> is considered to be more relevant for the functioning of the lower extremity and the hand, respectively. Together with poorer balance at higher ages, a reduced tactile sensation increases the risk of falls.<sup>10</sup> This is also relevant in view of the fact of the interaction described between vibrotactile sense and proprioception at the ankle joint<sup>47</sup> since the sole of the foot is considered a sensory structure.<sup>46</sup> This suggests that measuring peripheral sensory perception on a tactile surface may be more relevant than measuring it on a non-tactile surface area. However, the present study indicates that measuring the vibration sense using the two techniques on a tactile or non-tactile area produced a correlation similar to the amplitude of the sural nerve and thus a correlation similar to a gold standard method for revealing neuropathy. Measuring vibration perception thresholds at lower frequencies than the current standard of 128 Hz provides no clear advantage, judging by the correlation to the amplitude of the sural nerve in the present study in older people with long-standing and wellcontrolled T2DM. The current practice of measuring vibration perception on the bony surface of the medial malleolus seems to be as fair an approximation to the amplitude of the sural nerve as measuring vibration perception on the tactile surface of the foot, indicating a loss of myelinated nerve fibers. Furthermore, temperature thresholds had similar correlations to sural nerve amplitude as vibration perception thresholds, indicating involvement to a similar degree of both myelinated and non-myelinated nerve fibers. Thus, in older people with long-standing and well-controlled T2DM, large and small diameter nerve fibers seem to be affected equally. In contrast, the correlation was generally weak between the conduction velocity of the sural nerve and both vibration perception and thermal thresholds. This is probably due to the fact that demyelination of nerves is less apparent than the loss of nerve fibers in the early stages of neuropathy.<sup>18</sup> Previous results suggest an association between blood glucose level and the severity of peripheral neuropathy, measured as amplitude of the sural nerve.<sup>18</sup> Thus, finding and evaluating sensitive methods for detecting a reduced function of various nerve fibers in peripheral nerves is an important task. As expected, there were differences between participants with NGT and those with T2DM. VPTs in men and women are affected differently by diabetes with males with diabetes<sup>48</sup> having a higher risk of neuropathy. No analysis of gender differences was possible in the present study due to the limited number of participants.

At present, monofilament testing, using the 10-gram monofilament, and vibration perception thresholds, measured with a 128 Hz tuning fork, is used in the routine clinical setting in Sweden.<sup>40</sup> Lack of sensation measured with monofilaments and vibration techniques has high specificity, but the sensitivity is quite low.<sup>40,49</sup> Accordingly, the 10-gram monofilament test indicated very few of the pathological values in the present study. This is in accordance with a recent study by Rinkel et al.<sup>50</sup> in which loss of sensation in patients with diabetes could be graded. Interestingly, static and moving two-point discrimination tests (i.e. 2-PD) seem to be sensitive in detecting loss of sensation, followed by a test of vibration sense (i.e. Rydel-Seifer tuning fork at medial malleolus and dorsal on the interphalangeal joint of the big toe), the 10-g monofilament and the ability to feel the cold stimulus.<sup>50</sup> However, the authors included both somewhat younger (median age 64 years) male and female patients (but more males) with types 1 (22%) and 2 (78%) diabetes, who varied regarding previous foot ulcers and amputations as well as the duration of diabetes (median 16 years). This should be taken into consideration as being slightly different from our cohort (Table 1). Nevertheless, the analysis of the vibration sense was better than when the 10 g monofilament test was used to reveal dysfunction. We did not include 2-PD

in our study since that method in evaluating the diabetic foot is not clinically routine in our country, despite the results presented by Rinkel et al.<sup>50,51</sup> However, 2-PD is frequently used to measure nerve function in fingers after nerve injury and repair, even with its inherent extensive limitations and variability.<sup>52</sup> Electrophysiology, a more challenging method, does not reflect all types of nerve dysfunction and therefore a battery of tests is recommended for clinical detection of distal symmetrical diabetic neuropathy.<sup>51</sup>

The strength of the present study is that both older people with T2DM and age- and gender-matched subjects were examined. This is relevant since vibration perception thresholds are age-dependent and appropriate cut-off values for judging and distinguishing between pathological and nonpathological values vary across age categories.<sup>30</sup> Sensory perception in the foot was measured using different methods and at different sites on the lower extremities and vibration perception thresholds were measured using two different methods. The temperature of the foot was carefully measured before the examination, as this influences vibrotactile perception. One limitation of the study is that the vibration threshold at each site was only measured once with each method in each participant. However, good test-retest reliability has been shown for VPTs, which reduces the need for repeated measurements.<sup>53</sup> It is also less likely that repeated measurements would influence results and conclusions because of the number of participants. A further limitation is that a conventional sample size calculation was not done in the present follow-up since we only were able to include available subjects. In the original examined cohort, <sup>54</sup> a rough estimation of the probability to find a sufficient number of subjects with neuropathy among T2DM subjects was made based on previous assumptions and studies.<sup>1,18,54</sup>

# Conclusions

Vibration perception and temperature thresholds on the sole of the foot are different in an older group of people with NGT and long-standing well-controlled T2DM. Measuring vibration perception thresholds at lower frequencies compared to the current standard of 128 Hz, or evaluating vibration sense on a tactile area compared to a non-tactile area, provided correlations similar to the amplitude of the sural nerve. Thus, measuring vibration perception thresholds at a tactile surface did not, in the present study with an older population, seem to provide any clear advantage over the traditional way of measuring the vibration perception threshold at 128 Hz on the medial malleolus. In older people with neuropathy due to long-standing T2DM, large (myelinated) and small (nonmyelinated) diameter nerve fibers seem to be equally affected.

#### Acknowledgements

Our sincerest gratitude to Dr. Sigbritt Rasmark, RN Karin Nilsson, and biomedical scientist Anette Broberg for their skillful assessment of the participants. We would like to thank Tony Speidel, Vibrosense Dynamics, for help with technical issues. We are indebted to the late Professor Göran Sundqvist, who was one of the initiators of the study. We would also like to thank all the participants who made this study possible.

#### **Declaration of conflicting interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Ethical approval

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the regional ethical review board of Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden (ethical approval no. Dnr 2013-21-31M).

#### Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The work was supported by grants from Västerbotten County Council, and Umeå University, Sweden (to O.R.), funds from Skåne University Hospital, Lund University, the Diabetes Association in Malmö and the Swedish Diabetes Foundation (to L.D.). The funders played no part in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Informed consent**

Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the study.

### ORCID iD

Lars B Dahlin (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1334-3099

#### References

- Dahlin LB, Thrainsdottir S, Cederlund R, et al. Vibrotactile sense in median and ulnar nerve innervated fingers of men with Type 2 diabetes, normal or impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabet Med* 2008; 25: 543–549.
- Cederlund RI, Thomsen N, Thrainsdottir S, et al. Hand disorders, hand function, and activities of daily living in elderly men with type 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Complications* 2009; 23(1): 32–39.
- Thomsen NO, Cederlund R, Speidel T, et al. Vibrotactile sense in patients with diabetes and carpal tunnel syndrome. *Diabet Med* 2011; 28(11): 1401–1406.
- Peltier A, Goutman SA and Callaghan BC. Painful diabetic neuropathy. *BMJ* 2014; 348: g1799.
- Callaghan BC, Hur J and Feldman EL. Diabetic neuropathy: one disease or two? *Curr Opin Neurol* 2012; 25: 536–541.
- Papanas N, Vinik AI and Ziegler D. Neuropathy in prediabetes: does the clock start ticking early? *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2011; 7: 682–690.
- Ziegler D, Rathmann W, Dickhaus T, et al. Prevalence of polyneuropathy in pre-diabetes and diabetes is associated with abdominal obesity and macroangiopathy: the MONICA/ KORA Augsburg Surveys S2 and S3. *Diabetes Care* 2008; 31(3): 464–469.
- Ziegler D, Strom A, Lobmann R, et al. High prevalence of diagnosed and undiagnosed polyneuropathy in subjects with

and without diabetes participating in a nationwide educational initiative (PROTECT study). *J Diabetes Complicat* 2015; 29(8): 998–1002.

- Papanas N and Ziegler D. Polyneuropathy in impaired glucose tolerance: is postprandial hyperglycemia the main culprit? A mini-review. *Gerontology* 2013; 59(3): 193–198.
- Callaghan BC, Cheng HT, Stables CL, et al. Diabetic neuropathy: clinical manifestations and current treatments. *Lancet Neurol* 2012; 11(6): 521–534.
- 11. WHO. Diabetes fact sheet no 312, 2015, http://who.int/media centre/factsheets/fs312/en/
- Olafsdottir E, Andersson DK, Dedorsson I, et al. Early detection of type 2 diabetes mellitus and screening for retinopathy are associated with reduced prevalence and severity of retinopathy. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2016; 94(3): 232–239.
- Yuan H, Zhang J, Tian R, et al. Evaluating the usefulness of the diabetic peripheral neuropathy screening process. *Journal* of Diabetes & Metabolism 2012; S5: 007.
- Callaghan B, Kerber K, Langa KM, et al. Longitudinal patientoriented outcomes in neuropathy: importance of early detection and falls. *Neurology* 2015; 85: 71–79.
- Mehra M, Merchant S, Gupta S, et al. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: resource utilization and burden of illness. *J Med Econ* 2014; 17(9): 637–645.
- Ebata-Kogure N, Nozawa K, Murakami A, et al. Clinical and economic burdens experienced by patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: an observational study using a Japanese claims database. *PLoS ONE* 2017; 12: e0187250.
- Pourhamidi K, Dahlin LB, Englund E, et al. Evaluation of clinical tools and their diagnostic use in distal symmetric polyneuropathy. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2014; 8(1): 77–84.
- Peterson M, Pingel R, Lagali N, et al. Association between HbA1c and peripheral neuropathy in a 10-year follow-up study of people with normal glucose tolerance, impaired glucose tolerance and Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2017; 34(12): 1756–1764.
- Chang CW and Chuang LM. Correlation of HbA1c concentration and single-fiber EMG findings in diabetic neuropathy. *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1996; 36(7): 425–432.
- Hur J, Sullivan KA, Callaghan BC, et al. Identification of factors associated with sural nerve regeneration and degeneration in diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36(12): 4043–4049.
- Putz Z, Tabak AG, Toth N, et al. Noninvasive evaluation of neural impairment in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Care* 2009; 32(1): 181–183.
- Bell J, Bolanowski S and Holmes MH. The structure and function of Pacinian corpuscles: a review. *Prog Neurobiol* 1994; 42(1): 79–128.
- Lundström R. Responses of mechanoreceptive afferent units in the glabrous skin of the human hand of vibration. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1986; 12: 413–416.
- Gardner EP, Martin JH and Jessell TM. Coding of sensory information. In: Kandel ER, Schwartz JH and Jessell TM (eds) *Principles of neural science*. 4th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2000, pp. 411–429.
- Kärvestedt L, Martensson E, Grill V, et al. Peripheral sensory neuropathy associates with micro- or macroangiopathy: results from a population-based study of type 2 diabetic patients in Sweden. *Diabetes Care* 2009; 32(2): 317–322.

- Thrainsdottir S, Malik RA, Rosen I, et al. Sural nerve biopsy may predict future nerve dysfunction. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2009; 120(1): 38–46.
- Dahlin LB, Granberg V, Rolandsson O, et al. Disturbed vibrotactile sense in finger pulps in patients with Type 1 diabetes correlations with glycaemic level, clinical examination and electrophysiology. *Diabet Med* 2011; 28(9): 1045–1052.
- Nelander J, Speidel T, Bjorkman A, et al. Vibration thresholds are increased at low frequencies in the sole of the foot in diabetes-a novel multi-frequency approach. *Diabet Med* 2012; 29(12): e449–e456.
- 29. Stromberg T, Dahlin LB and Lundborg G. Vibrotactile sense in the hand-arm vibration syndrome. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1998; 24: 495–502.
- Ising E, Dahlin LB and Elding Larsson H. Impaired vibrotactile sense in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes—Signs of peripheral neuropathy. *PLoS ONE* 2018; 13: e0196243.
- Norberg M, Wall S, Boman K, et al. The vasterbotten intervention prgraomme: background, design and implications. *Global Health Action* 2010; 3: 4643.
- Pourhamidi K, Dahlin LB, Boman K, et al. Heat shock protein 27 is associated with better nerve function and fewer signs of neuropathy. *Diabetologia* 2011; 54(12): 3143–3149.
- 33. World Health Organization. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications Report of a WHO consultation. Part 1. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1999.
- Gabir MM, Hanson RL, Dabelea D, et al. The 1997 American Diabetes Association and 1999 World Health Organization criteria for hyperglycemia in the diagnosis and prediction of diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2000; 23(8): 1108–1112.
- Dahlin LB, Guner N, Elding Larsson H, et al. Vibrotactile perception in finger pulps and in the sole of the foot in healthy subjects among children or adolescents. *Plos One* 2015; 10: e0119753.
- Schlee G, Sterzing T and Milani TL. Foot sole skin temperature affects plantar foot sensitivity. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2009; 120(8): 1548–1551.
- Verrillo RT and Bolanowski SJ. Effects of temperature on the subjective magnitude of vibration. *Somatosens Mot Res* 2003; 20(2): 133–137.
- ISO 13091-2001. Mechanical vibration vibrotactile perception thresholds for the assessment of nerve dysfunction Part 1: methods of measurement at the fingertips.
- Feng Y, Schlosser FJ and Sumpio BE. The Semmes Weinstein monofilament examination as a screening tool for diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *J Vasc Surg* 2009; 50(3): 675–82682.
- Katon JG, Reiber GE and Nelson KM. Peripheral neuropathy defined by monofilament insensitivity and diabetes status: NHANES 1999-2004. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36(6): 1604–1606.
- R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2016, https://www.r-project.org/
- Wei T and Simko V. R packahe "corrplot": visualization of a correlation matrix. Version 0.84 ed, 2017, https://cran.r-pro ject.org/web/packages/corrplot/corrplot.pdf
- Calabek B, Callaghan B and Feldman EL. Therapy for diabetic neuropathy: an overview. *Handb Clin Neurol* 2014; 126: 317–333.

- 44. Azmi S, Ferdousi M, Petropoulos IN, et al. Corneal confocal microscopy identifies small-fiber neuropathy in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance who develop type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2015; 38(8): 1502–1508.
- 45. Sima AA and Kamiya H. Diabetic neuropathy differs in type 1 and type 2 diabetes. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2006; 1084: 235–249.
- 46. Strzalkowski NDJ, Peters RM, Inglis JT, et al. Cutaneous afferent innervation of the human foot sole: what can we learn from single-unit recordings? *J Neurophysiol* 2018; 120: 1233–1246.
- Mildren RL and Bent LR. Vibrotactile stimulation of fastadapting cutaneous afferents from the foot modulates proprioception at the ankle joint. *J Appl Physiol* 2016; 120: 855–864.
- Aaberg ML, Burch DM, Hud ZR, et al. Gender differences in the onset of diabetic neuropathy. *J Diabetes Complications* 2008; 22(2): 83–87.
- Dros J, Wewerinke A, Bindels PJ, et al. Accuracy of monofilament testing to diagnose peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review. *Ann Fam Med* 2009; 7(6): 555–558.

- Rinkel WD, Rizopoulos D, Aziz MH, et al. Grading the loss of sensation in diabetic patients: a psychometric evaluation of the rotterdam diabetic foot study test battery. *Muscle Nerve* 2018; 58(4): 559–565.
- Rinkel WD, Aziz MH, Van Neck JW, et al. Development of grading scales of pedal sensory loss using Mokken scale analysis on the Rotterdam Diabetic Foot Study Test Battery data. *Muscle Nerve* 2019; 60(5): 520–527.
- Lundborg G and Rosen B. The two-point discrimination test time for a re-appraisal. *J Hand Surg Br* 2004; 29(5): 418–422.
- Gerhardsson L, Gillstrom L and Hagberg M. Test-retest reliability of neurophysiological tests of hand-arm vibration syndrome in vibration exposed workers and unexposed referents. *J Occup Med Toxicol* 2014; 9: 38.
- Pourhamidi K, Dahlin LB, Englund E, et al. No difference in small or large nerve fiber function between individuals with normal glucose tolerance and impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36: 962–964.