

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Molecular Structure



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/molstr

Synthesis, crystal structure, potential drug properties for Coronavirus of Co(II) and Zn(II) 2-chlorobenzoate with 3-cyanopyridine complexes



Füreya Elif Öztürkkan^{a,*}, Mücahit Özdemir^b, Giray Buğra Akbaba^c, Mustafa Sertçelik^{a,*}, Bahattin Yalçın^b, Hacali Necefoğlu^d, Tuncer Hökelek^e

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey

^b Department of Chemistry, Marmara University, İstanbul, Turkey

^c Department of Bioengineering, Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey

^d Department of Chemistry, Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey

^e Department of Physics, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 8 September 2021 Revised 24 October 2021 Accepted 26 October 2021 Available online 30 October 2021

Keywords: Transition metal complex Arylcarboxylates SARS-CoV-2 Molecular docking DFT ADMET

ABSTRACT

Two new complexes of Co(II) and Zn(II) 2-chlorobenzoate (2-ClBA) with 3-cyanopyridine (CNP) of the general formula $[Co(2-ClBA)_2(CNP)_2(H_2O)_2]$ and $[Zn(2-ClBA)_2(CNP)_2(H_2O)_2]$ were synthesized. The structures of the complexes were characterized by single crystal XRD and FT-IR and NMR spectroscopy and Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) methods. Mononuclear complexes exhibit octahedral coordination. In addition, Hirshfeld surface analysis was performed to determine non-covalent interactions in crystal packing. The geometry optimization of the molecules was carried out using the LANL2DZ level of theory of the DFT method and the obtained findings were confirmed by comparing with the data obtained from the single crystal X-ray diffraction method. The theoretical and experimental bond angles and lengths are very close to each other. The effectiveness of the complexes against SARS-CoV-2 enzymes was investigated *in silico* using the molecular docking method, and a binding score of -8.0 kcal/mol on NSP16 of complex **1** as an inhibitor was obtained. To investigate the drug potential of the complexes, their pharmacokinetic and toxicokinetic properties were estimated by ADMET calculations.

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Metal(II) aryl carboxylate complexes have been widely studied for many years because of their many potential applications in fields such as biology, pharmacology, catalysis, sensors, and magnetism. Most of these carboxylate-containing ligands coordinate with metal ions in many different types such as monodentate, bidentate, bridging, chelating-bidentate, bridging bidentate. Different coordination types ensure the diversification of their properties in application areas [1–4]. The auxiliary ligand in the structure has a great role in the exhibit of all these different coordination modes of benzoic acid and its derivatives [5]. Arylcarboxylic acids and Ndonor ligands are widely used in the synthesis of supramolecular structures [6]. Supramolecular structures are formed through different components interacting with non-covalent interactions such as hydrogen bonding [7-10], dipole-dipole interactions, steric repulsions, van der Waals interactions, and London dispersion forces. These non-covalent interactions are important for biological systems as well as contribute to the stability of the crystal structure [11–15].

Cobalt is a bio-metal found in plants, human and animal metabolisms. In humans, cobalt is at the center of cobalamin (vitamin B12), which is necessary for many physiological processes such as DNA synthesis and the proper functioning of the nervous system. Cobalt complexes are known for their anticancer and antimicrobial properties. Recent research has focused on the synthesis and evaluation of complexes with biologically interesting ligands to increase complex activity [16–18]. Zinc is the second most abundant trace element in the human body. Approximately 95% of the zinc in the human body is in the cell. It is essential for growth and development in all forms of life. In case of both deficiency and excess, it causes some health problems. It has been suggested to have beneficial therapeutic and preventive effects on infectious diseases, including shortening the duration of the common cold for humans [17,19–21]. Besides its essential role in living systems, the zinc (II) ion is a component of compounds used medicinally in the treatment of skin diseases and as a nutritional supplement. Zinc(II) complexes are known to be used as antimicrobial [22], antifungal [23], anticancer [24], anti-inflammatory [25], antioxidant [26] and antidiabetic [27] agents.

^{*} Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: fozturkkan36@gmail.com, elif@kafkas.edu.tr (F.E. Öztürkkan), mustafasertcelik@kafkas.edu.tr (M. Sertçelik).

The disease COVID19, which emerged with the spread of a new Coronavirus called SARS-Cov-2 in late 2019, caused a worldwide pandemic and brought most activities in the world to a standstill. With the pandemic, people had to take some disposable precautions, such as masks, visors, overalls, with the guidance of some authorities. Many researchers continue to work for both treatment and permanent prevention [28–30]. Today, drug materials synthesized for use in drug therapy for many viral diseases such as Herpes and HIV are limited. This is also true for SARS-CoV-2 [31–33]. While some of the known drugs used in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 since the beginning of the pandemic are still in use, the use of some of them has been stopped [34]. We wanted to support with an *in silico* study whether two compounds synthesized for use in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2.

2. Materials and method

2.1. Chemicals

All chemicals and solvents were purchased commercially and used without further purification.

Sodium bicarbonate, 2-chlorobenzoic acid, 3-cyanopyridine, ethanol, and the metal salts $CoSO_4$ · $6H_2O$ and $ZnSO_4$ · $7H_2O$ were obtained from Sigma Aldrich, Germany.

2.2. Measurements

FT-IR spectra were recorded in the range of 600–4000 cm⁻¹ with a Perkin Elmer FrontierTM spectrometer with an ATR detector using solid samples. ¹H NMR spectrum of the complex **2** was recorded on Bruker Avance III 500 spectrometer in deuterated DMSO (d₆-DMSO). Mass spectra of the complexes were obtained by Bruker Micro flex LT MALDI-TOF MS spectrometer using dithranol (DIT) as MALDI matrix.

2.3. Preparation of the complex 1

Sodium bicarbonate (0.84 g, 10 mmol) and 2-chlorobenzoic acid (1.56 g, 10 mmol) were mixed in 100 mL of distilled water and heated and stirred at 60 °C until the CO₂ gas was completely removed. 3-cyanopyridine (1.04 g, 10 mmol) in 20 mL of ethanol

was added to a 50 mL aqueous solution of $CoSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (1.40 g, 5 mmol), followed by the addition of a previously prepared solution of sodium 2-chlorobenzoate (1.78 g, 10 mmol) in 100 mL of distilled water. The synthesis is given in Scheme 1. The pink and colorless solutions obtained were slowly evaporated at room temperature and pink and colorless single crystals suitable for Xray structure determination were obtained in two weeks. The crystals obtained were filtered, washed with distilled water, and left to dry at room temperature. The purity of the complex was checked by the thin-layer chromatography (TLC) technique. Yield 2.30 g (74.92%). Selected IR bands (cm⁻¹): ν (OH)_{H2O} 3375, ν (C–H)_{as} 2973, ν (C–N)_s 2237, ν (COO)_{as} 1596, ν (COO⁻)_s 1408, ν (C–C)_{phen} 1438, δ (C–Cl) 810. *m/z*: [M-CNP]⁺ = 510.82; [M-2CN +5H]⁺ = 568.15; [M+DIT-2H₂O-CN+Na]⁺ = 793.72;[M+DIT-2H₂O-Na]⁺ = 851.24 (Fig. S1).

2.4. Preparation of the complex 2

Unlike the synthesis of Complex **1**, $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (1.43 g, 5 mmol) was used as the metal salt. Colorless crystals were obtained from the colorless clear solution after four weeks, filtered and washed with distilled water. The purity of the complex was checked by thin layer chromatography (TLC) technique. The synthesis is given in Scheme 1. Yield 2.51 g (81.29%). Selected IR bands (cm⁻¹): ν (OH)_{H2O} 3372, ν (C–H)_{as} 2980, ν (C–N)_s 2237, ν (COO)_{as} 1596, ν (COO⁻)_s 1407, ν (C–C)_{phen} 1438, δ (C–Cl) 810. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO– d_6) δ 7.33–7.44 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.64–7.69 (m, 4H, ArH), 8.33 (d, 2H, ArH; *J* = 8.00 Hz), 8.87 (m, 2H, ArH), 9.04 (s, 2H, ArH), 3.33 (s, 4H, 2H₂O). *m/z*: [M-CNP-H₂O]⁺ = 371.95; [M-2CN +Na]⁺ = 590.87; [M + 2Na]⁺ = 665.52; [M+DIT-CN-H₂O+4H]⁺ = 806.92 (Fig. S2).

2.5. X-ray crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses of compounds (**1** and **2**) were performed on a Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer using Mo K_{α} (λ = 0.71073 Å) radiation at a temperature of 296(2) K. Structures were solved by direct methods [35] and refined by full-matrix least-squares against F^2 using all data [35]. All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. The water H atoms were located in different Fourier maps and refined freely, while the C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically at distances of 0.93 Å (for



M: Co, Zn

Scheme 1. The synthesis procedure of the complexes.

Table 1

Experimenta	l details	for	complexes	(1	l and	2)).
-------------	-----------	-----	-----------	----	-------	----	----

	Complex			
	1	2		
Empirical Formula	C26H20Cl2CoN4O6	C26H20Cl2N4O6Zn		
formula weight	614.31	620.75		
color/shape	pink/prism	colourless/prism		
Crystal System	monoclinic	monoclinic		
Space Group	P 2 ₁ /c	P 2 ₁ /c		
a (Å)	7.0846(2)	7.1077(2)		
b (Å)	13.6645(3)	13.6178(4)		
c (Å)	14.1157(3)	14.1456(4)		
α (°)	90	90		
β (°)	101.42 (2)	101.714(2)		
γ (°)	90	90		
V (Å ³)	1339.43(6)	1340.65(7)		
Ζ	2	2		
μ (Mo K _{α}) (mm ⁻¹)	0.889	1.164		
ρ (calcd) (mg m ⁻³)	1.523	1.538		
Number of Reflections Total	25,938	22,198		
Number of Reflections Unique	3354	3341		
R _{int}	0.0288	0.0248		
$2\theta_{\rm max}$ (°)	56.82	56.64		
T _{min} / T _{max}	0.64 / 0.79	0.56 / 0.70		
Number of Parameters	186	186		
GOF	1.047	1.064		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$	0.0283	0.0283		
wR	0.0774	0.0772		
$(\Delta \rho)_{\rm max}$ (e Å ⁻³)	0.393	0.418		
$(\Delta \rho)_{\rm min}$ (e Å ⁻³)	-0.174	-0.411		

aromatic CH) from the parent C atoms; a riding model was used during the refinement processes and the $U_{iso}(H)$ values were constrained to be $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 \text{ X } U_{eq}(C)$. Experimental data are given in Table 1.

2.6. Hirshfeld surface analysis

Hirshfeld surface analysis was used to visualize the intermolecular interactions of the synthesized complexes [36,37]. CrystalExplorer Version 21.5 [38] was used to generate the Hirshfeld surface [39] and 2D fingerprint plots [37].

2.7. Density functional theory calculations

Gaussian 09 [40] was preferred for theoretical calculations and Gaussview 5.0 [41] was used to visualize. The Avogadro software [42] was partially used to visualize bond length and bond angle. Geometry optimizations of complexes **1** and **2** were calculated with Becke-3-Lee-Yang-Parr's functional correlation (B3LYP) [43–45] of LANL2DZ level of theory in the DFT method. LANL2DZ (Los Alamos National Laboratory 2 double ζ) is mostly used for calculations involving heavy elements [46]. The geometry optimization of the complex was chosen as a stable form with C1 symmetry. In addition to geometry optimization, frequency analyses were also performed and compared with experimental data. Total electron density surface was calculated according to electrostatic potential values. The total electron surface was visualized using SCF/ESP as the density matrix.

2.8. Molecular docking

Autodock Vina [47] was used to calculate binding affinity for synthesized cobalt and zinc complexes **1** and **2** The X-ray crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 non-structural protein (PDB code: 6MOJ [48], 7BV2 [49], 6WXC [50], 7BQY [51], 6WKQ [52], 7MEQ [53]) was resolved using X-ray diffraction method with a resolution factor of 2.50 Å was retrieved from the RCSB Protein Data

Bank (https://www.rcsb.org). In the protein, the presence of water molecules from the protein structure was removed and polar hydrogens and Kollman charges were added. Automatically the root of each ligand molecule is detected, and torsions were selected. The ligand's torsions were allowed to rotate, and the selected residues were tested. The ligands were docked blindly to see where they would preferentially bind. The amino acids in the active site of NSP-12 and NSP-12 with RNA were determined using the BIOVA Discovery Studio Visualizer 2021 [54]. Pre-calculated grid maps were required for running the program, which was calculated using the AutoGrid program. The energy scoring grid box was set to 32 \times 32 \times 32 dimension (x, y, and z) centered at X = 91.692; Y = 92.471; and Z = 103.743 with 0.325 Angstroms grid points spacing assigned with default atomic salvation parameters. As a docking engine, the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm was used, with all docking parameters set to default. The visualization processes were carried out using the BIOVA Discovery Studio Visualizer 2021.

2.9. ADMET predictions

In drug design, determining the pharmacokinetic and toxicokinetic properties of drug candidate molecules prevents multiple experiments, saving both time and cost and making the success rate higher. Absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity parameters (ADMET) describe the properties that a drug molecule must carry. The SwissADME online database [55] was used to estimate the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion values of the synthesized complexes. The ProTox-II online database [56] was used to estimate toxicity values.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Description of the structure

The single crystal X-ray analysis results and spectroscopic data of complexes **1** and **2** are compatible with each other. Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2. Hydrogen bond geometries are given in Table 3. Fig. 1a and 1b show the molecular structures and atom-numbering schemes, whereas Fig. 2a and 2b show the partial packing diagrams.

The asymmetric units of the mononuclear complexes (1 and 2) include metal (Co1 for 1 and Zn1 for 2) cations, positioned on symmetry centers, one 3-cyanopyridine (CNP) ligand, one 2-chlorobenzoate (2-ClBA) anion, and one water molecule. All ligands are monodentately coordinated to Metal cations (Fig. 1a and 1b). (Fig. 1a and 1b).

The M^{II} cations (Co^{II} and Zn^{II}) are coordinated monodentately through the two symmetry-related 2-ClBA anions' the two carboxylate oxygen atoms (O1 and O1ⁱ) and two oxygen atoms (O3 and O3ⁱ) of the two symmetry-related water at distances of [2.0749 (9) and 2.0976 (11) Å (for 1) and [2.0832 (10) and 2.1118 (12) Å (for **2**)], respectively, to form slightly distorted square-planar environments. The slightly distorted octahedral coordination geometry has been completed via the two symmetry-related N atoms (N1 and N1ⁱ) of the two symmetry-related CNP ligands in the axial positions. M–N1 and M–N1ⁱ distances were found as 2.1815 (12) Å (for 1) and 2.1906 (12) Å (for 2)], respectively [symmetry codes: (i) $-x_{1} - y_{2} - z_{2}$ (for 1) and (i) $-x_{2} - y_{2} + 1$, $-z_{2}$ (for 2)] (Fig. 1a and 1b). The close bong lengths of the C1–O1 [1.2569 (16) Å (for 1) and 1.2536 (17) Å (for 2)] and C1–O2 [1.2526 (17) Å (for 1) and 1.2509 (18) Å (for 2)] in the carboxylate groups are more suitable for delocalized bonding arrangements, rather than localized single and double bonds. The M–O bond lengths [2.0976 (11) Å (for 1) and 2.1118 (12) Å (for 2)] for water O atoms are about 0.023 Å (for 1) and 0.029 Å (for 2) longer than those the 2-chlorobenzoate

Table 2

Selected bond lengths	(Å) and	angles (°) for	complexes	(1 and 2).

Complex 1			Complex 2			
Bond lengths	X-Ray	DFT		X-Ray	DFT	
Co1-01	2.0749(9)	2.0165	Zn1-01	2.0832(10)	2.1112	
Co1-03	2.0976(11)	2.1868	Zn1-03	2.1118(12)	2.0903	
Co1-N1	2.1815(12)	1.9762	Zn1-N1	2.1906(12)	2.2430	
01–C1	1.2569(16)	1.3029	01–C1	1.2536(17)	1.2999	
02–C1	1.2526(17)	1.2876	02–C1	1.2509(18)	1.2925	
C11-C13	1.441(2)	1.4381	C9–C13	1.442(2)	1.4377	
N2-C13	1.134(2)	1.1820	N2-C13	1.134(2)	1.1822	
Bond angles						
01-Co1-03	88.20 (4)	88.18	01-Zn1-03	87.77 (5)	87.95	
01-Co1-O3 ⁱ	91.80 (4)	91.82	02–Zn1–03 ⁱⁱ	92.23 (5)	92.06	
01-Co1-N1	88.71 (4)	88.71	01-Zn1-N1	90.76 (5)	90.27	
01-Co1-N1 ⁱ	91.29 (4)	90.29	01–Zn1–N1 ⁱⁱ	89.24 (5)	89.73	
03-Co1-N1	93.42 (5)	93.53	03-Zn1-N1	86.77 (5)	89.57	
03-Co1-N1 ⁱ	86.58 (9)	86.47	03 ⁱ -Zn1-N1 ⁱⁱ	93.23 (5)	90.43	
01C102	125.56 (13)	124.26	01-C1-O2	125.63 (13)	123.78	

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z, (ii) -x, -y + 1, -z.



Fig. 1. (a) An ORTEP-3 [58] view of complex **1**. The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level (Symmetry code: -x, -y, -z). (b) An ORTEP-3 [58] view of complex **2**. The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level (Symmetry code: -x, -y + 1, -z).

Table 3

Table J						
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å, c) for	complexes	(1 and	2).

		DII	п…А	DA	D-H…A
1 03	3—H31…O2i	0.76 (2)	2.07 (2)	2.7690 (17)	153 (2)
03	3—H32…O2 ⁱⁱ	0.81 (2)	1.85 (2)	2.6499 (17)	166 (2)
C4	4—H4…O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93	2.48	3.393 (2)	167
2 03	3–H31…O2 ^{iv}	0.79 (2)	1.88 (2)	2.6483 (19)	164 (3)
03	3—H32…O2v	0.75 (2)	2.08 (2)	2.7640 (18)	151 (2)

Symmetry codes. (i) x + 1, y, z, (ii) -x, -y, -z, (iii) x, -y + (iv) - x, -y + 1, -z; (v) x - 1, y, z.

O atoms [2.0749 (9) Å (for 1) and 2.0832 (10) Å (for 2)]. In the MO4N2 octahedrons, the M–N bond lengths [2.1815 (12) Å (for 1) and 2.1906 (12) Å (for 2)] are the longest. The Co and Zn atoms are located at 0.3355(1) Å (for 1) and 0.3276(1) Å (for 2) below and

above the planar (O1/O2/C1) carboxylate groups, respectively. The O1–C1–O2 bond angles [125.56 (13)° (for 1) and 125.56 (13)° (for 2)] appear to be substantially greater than in a free acid [122.2°]. The O–M–O and O–M–N bond angles [range 86.58 (5) to 93.42 (5)° (for 1) and 86.77 (5) to 93.23 (5)° (for 2) for *cis* angles; all-*trans* angles are 180° due to symmetry] are slightly different from ideal, with same average values of 90.00(4)° (for 1) and 89.89 (5)° (for 2).

The dihedral angles between the planar carboxylate groups (O1/O2/C1) and the neighboring benzene *A* (C2–C7) rings of complexes 1 and 2 are 62.81 (6)° and 64.19 (7)°, respectively. while the benzene (*A*) and pyridine (*B*) (N1/C9–C13) rings are oriented at dihedral angles of A/B = 12.62 (5)° (for **1**) and 13.04 (6)° (for **2**).

In complex **1**, the water ligands to the 2-ClBA anions are connected by the intermolecular $O-H_w...O_c$ (w = water, c = non-coordinating carboxylate oxygen atom) hydrogen bonds (Table 1),



through the R₄⁴(8) ring motifs [57], leading to the formations of layers along the a-axis direction. These layers are further connected by the weak intermolecular C–H_{bnz}…O_c (bnz = benzene) hydrogen bonds (Table 1), resulting in the construction of a three-dimensional network structure (Fig. 2a). In complex **2**, the water ligands to the 2-ClBA anions are connected by the intermolecular O–H_w…O_c (w = water, c = non-coordinating carboxylate O atom) hydrogen bonds (Table 1), through the R₄⁴(8) ring motifs [57], resulting to the formations of layers along the a-axis direction (Fig. 2b). The π … π interactions between the benzene *A* (C2–C7) and pyridine *B* (N1/C8–C12) rings, Cg1–Cg2ⁱ (for **1**) and Cg1–

Cg2ⁱⁱ (for **2**) [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 + x, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $\frac{1}{2} + z$, (ii) 1 - x, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$, where Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of rings *A* and *B*, respectively] may help to stabilize the crystal structures with the centroid-centroid distances of 3.7940(9) Å (for **1**) and 3.7857(10) Å (for **2**).

3.2. Hirshfeld surface analysis

Intermolecular interactions can be represented with varied colors and color intensities on Hirshfeld surfaces, indicating short or long contacts and the strength of interactions [59]. Fig. 3a and 3c



Fig. 2. (a) A partial packing diagram of complex **1**. Intermolecular $O-H_w \cdots O_c$ and $C-H_{bnz} \cdots O_c$ (w = water, bnz = benzene, c = non-coordinating carboxylate O atom) hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. Non-bonding H atoms have been omitted for clarity. (b) A partial packing diagram of complex **2**. Intermolecular $O-H_w \cdots O_c$ (w = water, c = non-coordinating carboxylate O atom) hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. Non-bonding H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



Fig. 2. Continued

show the complexes' d_{norm} maps. On the d_{norm} maps, Contacts with distances equal to the total of van der Waals radii are indicated by the white surface. The colors red and blue show distances that are shorter (in close contact) or longer (distinct touch) than the van der Waals radii. In the d_{norm} maps of the complexes, dark red spots are seen near the hydrogen bond donor and acceptor atoms that form hydrogen bonds. Other intermolecular interactions are seen as faint red [60–62]. Convex blue regions and concave red regions on the shape-index for complexes 1 and 2 indicate donor and acceptor groups, respectively (Fig. 3b and 3d). The existence of adjacent red and blue triangles in the crystal structures of complexes 1 and 2 confirms π - π stacking interactions.

The contribution percentage values for each interaction in 2D fingerprint plots are given in Fig. 4. The contribution values for each interaction of the two isostructure complexes are almost the same. 2D fingerprint graphs of important intermolecular contacts are given in Figs. S3 and S4 in the Supporting Material file. On the Hirshfeld surfaces of complexes 1 and 2, the H...H interactions were shown in the largest region of the fingerprint plot at $d_e + d_i =$ 2.46 Å (27.3% contribution for complex **1** and 27.4% contribution for complex 2). Because of the abundance of hydrogen in the structure, H.H interactions make the most significant contribution to the structure. For complexes 1 and 2, H. N/N. H interactions, which are the second significant contribution, contributed 19.7% to the fingerprint plot to the total Hirshfeld surface with the tips at $d_e + d_i = 2.64$ Å. C^{...}C interactions which have bullet shape cover 14.9% contributions in Hirshfeld surface of complexes 1 and 2. C–H^{...} π and π - π stacking interactions are responsible for these interactions. These weak interactions greatly contribute to the crystal packing of the complexes. For complexes 1 and 2, the contribution of H…F/F…H contacts in the fingerprint plot to the total Hirshfeld

surface is 8.7%, 10.3%, and 12.2%, respectively. H.--Cl/Cl---H contacts have been observed the symmetrical two wings in the fingerprint plot delineated and they have been contributed with 12.0% to Hirshfeld surface with the tips at $d_e + d_i = 2.94$ Å. H···O/O···H interactions 12.5% to the overall crystal packing were identified as the complex 1 and 2's fifth significant intermolecular interactions with tips at $d_e + d_i = 1.1 + 0.78 \text{ Å} = 1.88 \text{ Å}$. These interactions are also represented by two spike wings. These interactions are due to O-H...O hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure. The contribution of H···C/C···H interactions to the Hirshfeld surface of complexes 1 and 2 are 9.2%. These interactions occurred symmetrically and over a wide area, with a high concentration at the fingerprint plot's edges with the tips at d_e + d_i = 2.02 Å. C-H··· π and π - π stacking interactions are responsible for these interactions. These weak interactions greatly contribute to the crystal packing of the complexes. In addition, C. Cl/Cl. C (1.4%), C. N/N. C (0.8%), N. N (0.7%) and N···Cl/Cl···N (0.3%) interactions were also observed, these interactions made a negligible contribution to the Hirshfeld surface.

3.3. FT-IR spectroscopy

Experimental and theoretical FT-IR spectra of the complexes are given in Figs S5 and S6 in the Supporting Material file. The FT-IR spectra of $[Co(2-CIBA)_2(CNP)_2(H_2O)_2]$ and $[Zn(2-CIBA)_2(CNP)_2(H_2O)_2]$ show bands with wavenumbers close to those reported in the literature for similar structures. When the FT-IR spectra of the complexes are examined, the absorption bands observed in the range of 3550–3300 cm⁻¹ are due to stretching vibrations of water molecules. These vibrations were found in the different regions in FT-IR spectra calculated using the B3LYP/LANL2DZ level of theory. In the theoretical study, O–H bands



Fig. 3. The Hirshfeld surface mapped for complexes 1 and 2 over (a and c) dnorm in the range -0,5655 to 1,2178 a.u., and -0,5612 to 1,2270 a.u., respectively. A view of the Hirshfeld surface mapped for complexes 1 and 2 over (b and d) the shape-index.



Fig. 4. The percentages of the intermolecular interactions from obtained Hirshfeld surface analysis of complexes 1 and 2.

	Unit	Comple	ex 1	Complex 2
Polarizability (α)	cm ⁻³	9890.59	Ð	9307.57
Total Energy (E _{Total})	eV	-50,28	7.29	-48,124.90
LUMO +1 Energy (E_{LUMO+1})	eV	-2.31		-2.28
LUMO Energy (E _{LUMO})	eV	-2.35		-2.29
HOMO Energy (E _{HOMO})	eV	-5.95		-7.01
HOMO -1 Energy (E _{HOMO-1})	eV	-6.69		-7.04
Energy Gap (E _{GAP})	eV	3.60		4.72
Dipole Moment (D)	eV	0.00		0.00
onization Potential (IP)	eV	5.95		7.01
Electron Affinity (EA)	eV	2.35		2.29
Electronegativity (χ)	eV	4.15		4.65
Chemical Hardness (η)	eV	1.80		2.36
Global Softness (σ)	eV^{-1}	0.28		0.21
Electrophilicity index (ω)	eV	4.78		4.58
$E_{GAP} = E_{HOMO} - E_{LUMO}$	IP = -I	Е _{номо}	$EA = -E_{LUMO}$	$\chi = (IP + EA)/2$
$\eta = (IP - EA)/2$	$\sigma = 1/$	2η	$\omega = \chi^2/2\eta$	

Some calculated	chemical	parameters	of com	plexes 1	and 2 b	v the I	DFT	method.
Some curculated	ciferineur	purumeters	or com	pickes I		y the i		methou

Table 4

Ligand

 ΔG

En	zyme / Compound	Amino Acia Interactions	(kcal/mol)	Efficiency
ke S1	Complex 1	■TYR453; ■■ GLN498; ■ASN501; ■■■GLY496; ■GLN498; ■■TYR505; ■ARG403	-7.70	-0.20
Spil	Complex 2	■ARG403; ■■GLN498; ■■GLY496; ■ARG403; ■ASN501; ■TYR505; ■TYR449	-7.70	-0.20
CE2	Complex 1	■TYR453; ■■■■GLN498; ■ASN501; ■■■■GLY496; ■■TYR505; ■ARG403	-7.90	-0.20
AC	Complex 2	■ARG403; ■■GLN498; ■■GLY496; ■ARG403; ■ASN501; ■TYR505; ■TYR449	-7.40	-0.19
NSP12	Complex 1	<pre>■ARG555; ■ARG553; ■ASN691; ■ASP760; ■CYS813</pre>	-6.10	-0.16
	Complex 2	 ARG555, ASP760, ARG553, ASN691, ASP760, CYS813 	-6.10	-0.16
NSP12+RNA	Complex 1	ARG553; ARG555; SER682; ASP623; ASP760; U20	-6.80	-0.17
	Complex 2	<pre>ARG555; ASP623; ASP760; THR680; ASP623; U20; CYS622; ASP623</pre>	-6.80	-0.17
P15	Complex 1	 HIS250; LYS290; CLVS290; HIS250; LYS290; VAL292; HIS235; LYS345; TYR343; VAL292 	-7.00	-0.18
SN	Complex 2	■GLN245; ■SER294; ■HIS250; ■THR341; ■TYR343; ■■TRP333; ■VAL292	-7.20	-0.19
pro	Complex 1	■SER144; ■HIS163; ■GLN189; ■GLU166; ■PRO168; ■CYS145; ■MET49	-7.70	-0.20
Μ	Complex 2	■SER144; ■HIS163; ■GLN189; ■■GLU166; ■PRO168; ■MET49	-7.70	-0.20
216	Complex 1	<pre>■ASN6841; ■■ASP6897; ■TYR6930; ■GLY6869; ■MET6929; ■ASP6873; ■■LEU6898; ■PRO6932</pre>	-8.00	-0.21
SN	Complex 2	■■■ASP6897; ■LYS6968; ■■LEU6898; ■MET6929; ■PRO6932	-7.50	-0.19
RSS2	Complex 1	■■■ARG150; ■TRP168; ■VAL479; ■PRO369	-6.20	-0.16
TMP	Complex 2	■■■ARG150; ■TRP168; ■VAL479; ■PRO369	-6.30	-0.16

Amino Acid Interactions

Table 5

The docking results of complexes 1 and 2 on SARS-CoV-2 proteins.

Enzyme / Compound

The meaning of colors: ■ = Conventional Hydrogen Bond; □ = Carbon Hydrogen Bond; ■ = Electrostatic Salt Bridge-Attractive Charge; \blacksquare = Hydrophobic π - π Stacked or T-shaped; \blacksquare = Hydrophobic π -Alkyl; $\blacksquare = \pi$ -Sigma; $\blacksquare = \pi$ -Sulfur; $\blacksquare =$ Halogen

of both complexes were observed around 2850 cm⁻¹. Reviewing previous studies, this large chemical shift is attributed to anharmonic contributions. Due to the anharmonic effects, the vibrations of hydrogen bond formation affected by the H-bond are observed in a different region [63–65]. The stretching vibrations related to the aromatic C-H stretching vibrations were observed around 3000 cm⁻¹ in the FT-IR Spectra of complexes **1** and **2**. The mentioned vibrations for FT-IR Spectra were computed around 3200–3100 cm⁻¹. The v(C-N) stretching vibration of 3-cyanopyridine is reported at 2230 cm⁻¹ in the FT-IR spectra. In the FT-IR spectra, while the experimentally observed and theoretically calculated values for complex **1** are 2237 cm⁻¹ and 2272 cm⁻¹, respectively and for complex **2**, these values are 2237 cm⁻¹ and 2272 cm⁻¹, respectively. The fact that these vibrations occur in the same region without chemical shifts also shows that the metal atom is not coordinated with the cyano group. The FT-IR spectroscopy gives also important information regarding the carboxylate ion's coordination type [66]. This information is determined by the Δv value calculated

from the difference between asymmetric and symmetric carboxylate vibrations. If this value is greater than the Δv value of the sodium salt of the acid, it is suggested that the coordination type of the carboxylate group be monodentate. Asymmetric and symmetrical vibrations of the COO⁻ moiety for complexes 1 and 2 were observed at 1596 cm⁻¹ and 1375 cm⁻¹ and, respectively. The $\Delta \nu$ (COO⁻) values for complexes **1** and **2** were calculated as 210 and 220 cm⁻¹, respectively. Theoretically asymmetric and symmetrical vibrations of the COO⁻ moiety were calculated at 1514 cm⁻¹ and 1376 cm⁻¹ for complex **1** and 1511 and 1367 cm⁻¹ for complex 2, respectively. When the synthesized complexes' (COO⁻) values are compared to the sodium salt of 2-chlorobenzoic acids, it is evident that the 2-chlorobenzoate anions coordinate monodentate. The v(C-N) stretching vibration of the pyridine ring was observed at 1048 cm^{-1} for complex 1 and 1047 cm^{-1} for complex 2. For complexes 1 and 2, these vibrations were calculated theoretically at 1061 cm⁻¹ and 1081 cm⁻¹, respectively. The complexes' and 2-chlorobenzoate's ν (C–Cl) vibrations were recorded at



Fig. 5. The calculated molecular orbital diagram of complexes 1 and 2 by the DFT method.

the same frequency (about 810 cm^{-1}). This result supports that the 2-chlorobenzoate anion of the metal atom is not coordinated with the chloro groups [67,68].

3.4. ¹H NMR spectra

Because Co(II) is paramagnetic, no signal was observed in ¹H NMR for complex **1**. Resonances of the aromatic protons of benzene rings of 2-chlorobenzoic acid and pyridine ring of 3-cyanopyridine showed at 7.33–9.04 ppm for complex **2**. The signal related to the proton of coordinated water molecules for complex **2** was observed at δ 3.33 ppm (Fig. S7) [60].

3.4. DFT results

Density functional theory was used to study the chemical properties of complexes 1-2 and calculated using the LANL2DZ level of theory of the B3LYP basis set. The geometries of the crystal structures were optimized in the gas phase and some computational parameters were evaluated. The Koopmans theorem shows the relationship between ionization potential and electron affinity with HOMO and LUMO orbital energies: electron affinity is the inverse of LUMO energy value, while ionization potential is the inverse of HOMO value. From these energy data, ionization potential (I.P.), electron affinity (E.A.), electronegativity (χ), electrophilicity index (ω), global softness (σ) and chemical hardness (η) values of complexes **1–2** were calculated according to the formulas specified in Table 4 [69–74].

The calculated bond lengths and angles of complexes **1** and **2** optimized with DFT indicate that the X-ray values for complex **1** bond lengths are numerically close to each other compared to experimental values. The computational bond lengths for Co1–O1 and Co1–O3 are 2.0165 and 2.1868 Å, respectively. For Co1–N1, the calculated bond length was 1.9762 Å and lower than the experimental value (2.1815 Å). The computational bond lengths for Zn1–O1 and Zn1–O3 are 2.1112 and 2.0903 Å, respectively. For Zn1–N1, the computational bond length was 2.2430 Å and greater than the experimental value (2.1906 Å). The bond angles for both Co and Zn complexes are the same as experimental values (Table 2).



Fig. 6. The Molecular docking results of complexes **1** and **2** on the NSP12 protein of Coronavirus. (a) Docking result of complex **1** and the spike protein of the Coronavirus. (b) 2D interactions of the complex **1** with amino acids in the active site of the spike protein. (c) Docking result of complex **1** and the NSP16 protein of the Coronavirus. (d) 2D interactions of the complex **1** with amino acids in the active site of the NSP16 protein.

Electron migration is easier in molecules with a high polarizability. The cobalt complex can be more polarized than the zinc complex. The electronic energy of the cobalt complex is lower, i.e., more stable, than the energy of the zinc complex. This situation is in correlation with the band gap and the bandgap of complex **1** (3.60 eV) is narrower than the bandgap of complex **2** (4.72 eV) as seen in Fig. 5. There is a positive correlation between molecular docking results and bandgap values. Reactive complex **1**, which has a narrower bandgap and easier electron transitions, is more effective compared to complex **2**, which has fewer values.

3.5. Molecular docking results

The Coronavirus consists of Envelope (E), Membrane (M), Spike (S), Nucleocapsid (N), and genomic RNA and nonstructural proteins (NSP1–16). Inhibition of one or more of these proteins will stop or slow the effects of the Coronavirus. There are some model inhibitors for enzyme inhibition, but their efficacy is also insufficient. N3 [K], Remdesivir nucleoside monophosphate (K), Tipiracil [K], Sinefungin [K] and N-Acetyl-beta-D-glucosamine [K] are model inhibitors. Despite being a small molecule, favipiravir is a highly effective antiviral because it exhibits covalent interactions with Coronavirus proteins. By taking all these model inhibitors as a reference, it is possible to discover new inhibitors that are more effective and have lower toxicity.

Complexes 1 and 2 were inserted by molecular docking study on five important proteins of SARS-CoV-2 (Spike, Main protease, NSP12, NSP15, and NSP16) and ACE2 and Transmembrane protease, serine 2 on the cell membrane, and their binding affinities and ligand efficiencies were computed (Table 5). Complex 1 has the most effective binding score for NSP16 (-8.00 kcal/mol). NSP16 plays an important role in viral transcription by stimulating 2'-Omethyltransferase activities [75]. Thus, complex **1** being a specific inhibitor candidate for NSP16 may inhibit viral transcription. In addition, the binding score for the spike protein of complex 1, Coronavirus is -7.90 kcal/mol. The spike protein enters the cell by interacting with ACE2 in the cell membrane. Complex 1 has a high docking score for both spike protein and ACE2. Therefore, complex **1** placed in the catalytic region between spike + ACE2 can act as an antagonist and prevent it from penetrating the cell. Complex 1 has a binding value of -7.70 kcal/mol for the main protease, which is essential for viral replication and feeds non-structural proteins [76]. For the docked NSP12, NSP15, and TMPRSS2 proteins, the complex 1 model inhibitor had slightly lower scores and ligand efficiencies (Fig. 6 and Table 5).

The binding scores of complex 2 correlate with those of complex 1, the main protease and ACE2 docking scores are the same. The docking score of zinc complex for main protease and ACE2 is -7.70 kcal/mol. In other proteins, the zinc complex has relatively lower scores and ligand efficiencies than the cobalt complex. This shows that ligands rather than the central metal atom are effective on the enzyme. It was determined that there are conventional hydrogen, carbon-hydrogen, electrostatic salt bridge-attractive charge, hydrophobic π - π stacked or T-shaped, hydrophobic π -alkyl, π sigma, π -sulfur, and halogen bonds non-covalent interactions between candidate inhibitors and amino acids. Non-covalent interactions of candidate inhibitors with amino acids are given in Table 5. Considering all these results, it is predicted that the complexes are very suitable for non-covalent interaction due to their structure and will exhibit a positive inhibitory effect when interacting with Coronavirus enzymes.

3.6. Estimated pharmacokinetic and toxicokinetic properties

Predicting the pharmacokinetic and toxicokinetic properties of drug candidate compounds increases the success of reaching the Table 6

Гhe	pharmacokinetic	properties	of the	complexes	1-2.
-----	-----------------	------------	--------	-----------	------

n	Complex			
Properties	(1)	(2)		
Molecular weight ^a	614.30	620.75		
Number of atoms	59	59		
Heavy atoms	39	39		
Rotatable bonds	8	8		
H-Bond acceptors	8	8		
H-Bond donors	2	2		
Molar refractivity	137.60	137.60		
TPSA (Å ²)	126.40	126.40		
Log P _{o/w}	2.53	2.55		
GI absorption	Low	Low		
BBB permeant	No	No		
P-gp substrate	No	No		
CYP1A2 inhibitor	No	No		
CYP2C19 inhibitor	Yes	Yes		
CYP2C9 inhibitor	Yes	Yes		
CYP2D6 inhibitor	No	No		
CYP3A4 inhibitor	No	No		
$Log K_p (cm/s)$	-6.21	-6.25		
Lipinski	Yes	Yes		
Toxicity class ^b	5	5		
Predicted LD ₅₀ ^c	2190	2190		
Hepatotoxicity	Inactive	Inactive		
Carcinogenicity	Inactive	Inactive		
Immunotoxicity	Inactive	Inactive		
Mutagenicity	Inactive	Inactive		
Cytotoxicity	Inactive	Inactive		
MMP ^d	Inactive	Inactive		

^a Molecular weight unit is g/mol.

^b The toxicity class consists of six numbers. Number 1 means toxic; number 6 means nontoxic.

^c Predicted LD₅₀ unit is mg/kg.

^d MMP: Mitochondrial Membrane Potential.

target in drug discovery. Lipinski et al. proposed five rules for a compound to be a drug [77]. Complexes 1 and 2 conform to Lipinski's rules, except for one (both compounds have a molecular weight above 500 g/mol). There is no difference between the predicted values of cobalt and zinc complexes. Due to the bulky nature of the compounds, their gastrointestinal absorption is low, they cannot cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB), and they cannot be used as substrates of P-glycoprotein. The complexes' solubility in water/octanol is low due to their rigid structure. The complexes can induce or inhibit cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs) CYP2C19 and CYP2C9. In addition, it does not interact with CYP1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4. The lack of lipophilic groups in the structures of the complexes also reduces skin permeation or lipid permeability. The estimated toxicity values were examined and the compounds were determined to be in the nontoxic class. The similarity rate to the compounds used in toxicity estimation is 38%. The estimated lethal dose amounts are similar for complexes 1 and 2, being 2190 mg/kg. Complexes 1 and 2 are inactive, ie nontoxic, as hepatotoxicity, carcinogenicity, immunotoxicity, mutagenicity, cytotoxicity, and mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP). Considering all these advantages and disadvantages, it is predicted that our compounds can be candidate drugs, drug formulation studies can be conducted and they will be a guide for those who study similar molecules. The estimated pharmacokinetic properties for complexes 1-2 are given in Table 6.

4. Conclusion

The new cobalt(III) and zinc(II) 2-chlorobenzoate with 3cyanopyridine complexes were synthesized and determined the crystal structure. The M^{2+} cations have octahedrally coordinated by two 2-chlorobenzoate anions, two 3-cyanopyridine ligands, and two water molecules leading to an overall MN2O4 coordination environment. It was determined by Hirshfeld surface analysis that the functional groups in the crystal structure of the complexes formed non-covalent interactions. We optimized the crystal structures of complexes **1–2** and calculated their physiological properties to understand their activities. The compounds were evaluated in terms of the structure-activity relationship and there were no major differences between the results. The substrate effect of our complexes was investigated as *in silico* against the proteins of SARS-CoV-2, which caused the global pandemic, and satisfactory results were found. Based on the data obtained, it is believed that *in vitro/in vivo* experiments of complexes can be conducted and will be promising for further research.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The numerical calculations reported in this paper were fully performed at TUBITAK ULAKBIM, High Performance, and Grid Computing Center (TRUBA resources). The authors thank Murat Beytur for his supports.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2021.131825.

References

- J. Hao, B. Yu, K. Van Hecke, G. Cui, A series of d¹⁰ metal coordination polymers based on a flexible bis(2-methylbenzimidazole) ligand and different carboxylates: synthesis, structures, photoluminescence and catalytic properties, CrystEngComm 17 (2015) 2279–2293, doi:10.1039/C4CE02090A.
- [2] N. Palanisami, R. Murugavel, Synthesis, spectral characterization, and single crystal X-ray structures of a series of manganese-2,2'-bipyridine complexes derived from substituted aromatic carboxylic acids, Inorg. Chim. Acta 365 (2011) 430–438, doi:10.1016/j.ica.2010.09.040.
- [3] J.-M. Rueff, N. Masciocchi, P. Rabu, A. Sironi, A. Skoulios, Structure and magnetism of a polycrystalline transition metal soap-Coll[OOC(CH2)10COO](H2O)2, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2001 (2001) 2843–2848, doi:10.1002/1099-0682(200111) 2001:11 (2843::AID-EJIC2843)3.0.CO;2-T.
- [4] Q. Wang, Z. Yu, Q. Wang, W. Li, F. Gao, S. Li, Synthesis, crystal structure and DNA-binding properties of a mononuclear copper complex with pyridine-2carboxylate ligand, Inorg. Chim. Acta 383 (2012) 230–234, doi:10.1016/j.ica. 2011.11.013.
- [5] A. Ozarowski, C.J. Calzado, R.P. Sharma, S. Kumar, J. Jezierska, C. Angeli, F. Spizzo, V. Ferretti, Metal-metal interactions in trinuclear copper(II) complexes [Cu ₃ (RCOO) ₄ (H ₂ TEA) ₂] and binuclear [Cu ₂ (RCOO) ₂ (H ₂ TEA) ₂]. syntheses and combined structural, magnetic, high-field electron paramagnetic resonance, and theoretical studies, Inorg. Chem. 54 (2015) 11916–11934, doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b02199.
- [6] V. Gómez, M. Corbella, Versatility in the coordination modes of nchlorobenzoato ligands: synthesis, structure and magnetic properties of three types of polynuclear Mn ^{II} compounds, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009 (2009) 4471– 4482, doi:10.1002/ejic.200900532.
- [7] V. Siva, A. Murugan, A. samad Shameem, S. Athimoolam, S.A. Bahadur, A new metal-organic hybrid material: synthesis, structural, electro-optical properties and quantum chemical investigation, Opt. Mater. 121 (2021) 111616 (Amst), doi:10.1016/j.optmat.2021.111616.
- [8] V. Siva, A. Shameem, A. Murugan, S. Athimoolam, G. Vinitha, S.A. Bahadur, Structural, thermal and electro-optical properties of guanidine based metalorganic framework (MOF), Chin. J. Phys. 68 (2020) 764–777, doi:10.1016/j.cjph. 2020.09.036.
- [9] V. Siva, A. Shameem, A. Murugan, S. Athimoolam, M. Suresh, S. Asath Bahadur, A promising guanidinium based metal-organic single crystal for optical power limiting applications, Chin. J. Phys. 64 (2020) 103–114, doi:10.1016/j.cjph.2020. 01.001.
- [10] V. Siva, S.A. Bahadur, A. Shameem, S. Athimoolam, K.U. Lakshmi, G. Vinitha, Synthesis, structural, vibrational, thermal, dielectric and optical properties of third order nonlinear optical single crystal for optical power limiting applications, J. Mol. Struct. 1191 (2019) 110–117, doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2019.04.091.

- [11] S. Kubik, Supramolecular Chemistry: From Concepts to Applications, De Gruyter, 2020, doi:10.1515/9783110595611.
- [12] J.M. Lehn, Supramolecular Chemistry Concepts and Perspectives, VCH, Weinheim, 2006 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/book/10.1002/3527607439 (accessed July 27, 2021).
- [13] J.W. Steed, J.L. Atwood, Supramolecular Chemistry, 2nd ed., Wiley, Chichester, 2009.
- [14] A. Chitra Devi, V. Siva, S. Thangarasu, S. Athimoolam, S. Asath Bahadur, Supramolecular architecture, thermal, quantum chemical analysis and *in vitro* biological properties on sulfate salt of 4-aminoantipyrine, J. Mol. Struct. 1245 (2021) 131033, doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2021.131033.
- [15] S.S. Patil, S.K. Tadavi, A. Dikundwar, R.S. Bendre, The transition metal complexes of Fe(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II) derived from phthalazine based ligands: synthesis, crystal structures and biological activities, J. Mol. Struct. 1247 (2022) 131293, doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2021.131293.
- [16] S. Akcha, S. Gómez-Ruiz, S. Kellou-Tairi, L. Lezama, F.B. Pérez, O. Benali-Baitich, Synthesis, characterization, solution equilibria, DFT study, DNA binding affinity and cytotoxic properties of a cobalt(II) complex with a 5-pyrazolone ligand, Inorg. Chim. Acta 482 (2018) 738–748, doi:10.1016/j.ica.2018.06.051.
- [17] R.R. Crichton, Biological Inorganic Chemistry: An Introduction, 1st ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2008 Repr.
- [18] G.P. Talwar, L.M. Srivastava, Textbook of Biochemistry and Human Biology, Prentice Hall Of India, New Delhi, 2006.
- [19] T.P. Andrejević, B. Warżajtis, B.D. Glišić, S. Vojnovic, M. Mojicevic, N.Lj. Stevanović, J. Nikodinovic-Runic, U. Rychlewska, M.I. Djuran, Zinc(II) complexes with aromatic nitrogen-containing heterocycles as antifungal agents: synergistic activity with clinically used drug nystatin, J. Inorg. Biochem. 208 (2020) 111089, doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2020.111089.
- [20] C.P. Larson, U.R. Saha, H. Nazrul, Impact monitoring of the national scale up of zinc treatment for childhood diarrhea in Bangladesh: repeat ecologic surveys, PLoS Med. 6 (2009) e1000175, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000175.
- [21] H. Vahrenkamp, Why does nature use zinc-a personal view, Dalton Trans. (2007) 4751-4759, doi:10.1039/B712138E.
- [22] A. Tarushi, K. Lafazanis, J. Kljun, I. Turel, A.A. Pantazaki, G. Psomas, D.P. Kessissoglou, First- and second-generation quinolone antibacterial drugs interacting with zinc(II): structure and biological perspectives, J. Inorg. Biochem. 121 (2013) 53–65, doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2012.12.009.
- [23] J. Xie, L. Zhu, T. Zhu, Y. Jian, Y. Ding, M. Zhou, X. Feng, Zinc supplementation reduces *Candida* infections in pediatric intensive care unit: a randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial, J. Clin. Biochem. Nutr. 64 (2019) 170–173, doi:10.3164/jcbn.18-74.
- [24] R. Anjum, D. Palanimuthu, D.S. Kalinowski, W. Lewis, K.C. Park, Z. Kovacevic, I.U. Khan, D.R. Richardson, Synthesis, characterization, and *in vitro* anticancer activity of copper and zinc bis(thiosemicarbazone) complexes, Inorg. Chem. 58 (2019) 13709–13723, doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b01281.
- [25] A.S. Peter, Oral zinc sulphate in rheumatoid arthritis, Lancet N. Am. Ed. 308 (1976) 539–542, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(76)91793-1.
- [26] A.S. Prasad, Zinc is an antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agent: its role in human health, Front. Nutr. (2014) 1, doi:10.3389/fnut.2014.00014.
- [27] H. Sakurai, Y. Kojima, Y. Yoshikawa, K. Kawabe, H. Yasui, Antidiabetic vanadium(IV) and zinc(II) complexes, Coord. Chem. Rev. 226 (2002) 187–198, doi:10.1016/S0010-8545(01)00447-7.
- [28] S. Jain, H. Potschka, P.P. Chandra, M. Tripathi, D. Vohora, Management of COVID-19 in patients with seizures: mechanisms of action of potential COVID-19 drug treatments and consideration for potential drug-drug interactions with anti-seizure medications, Epilepsy Res. 174 (2021) 106675, doi:10.1016/ j.eplepsyres.2021.106675.
- [29] H.A. Rothan, S.N. Byrareddy, The epidemiology and pathogenesis of Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak, J. Autoimmun. 109 (2020) 102433, doi:10. 1016/j.jaut.2020.102433.
- [30] T. Verma, M. Sinha, B. Nitin, S. Yadav, K. Shah, N. Chauhan, A review on Coronavirus disease and potentially active drugs targeting Coronavirus, Biomed. Biotechnol. Res. J. 5 (2021) 110, doi:10.4103/bbrj.bbrj_14_21.
- [31] W.H. Prusoff, T.S. Lin, E.M. August, T.G. Wood, M.E. Marongiu, Approaches to antiviral drug development, Yale J. Biol. Med. 62 (1989) 215–225.
- [32] A.S. Dousari, M.T. Moghadam, N. Satarzadeh, COVID-19 (Coronavirus disease 2019): A new Coronavirus disease, Infect. Drug. Resist. 13 (2020) 2819–2828. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S259279.
- [33] X.J. Wang, J.X. Li, M.R. Wang, Z.Y. Zhou, B.C. Zhu, X.X. Zhang, R. Zhang, W. Tang, Y.F. Wu, W.T. Zhang, Q.Y. Li, Antiviral properties of traditional chinese medicine against Coronavirus: research clues for Coronavirus disease-2019, World J. Tradit. Chin. Med. 6 (2020) 132, doi:10.4103/wjtcm.wjtcm_15_20.
- [34] Z. Fakhar, S. Khan, S.Y. AlOmar, A. Alkhuriji, A. Ahmad, ABBV-744 as a potential inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 main protease enzyme against COVID-19, Sci. Rep. 11 (2021) 234, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-79918-3.
- [35] G.M. Sheldrick, A short history of SHELX, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A Found. Crystallogr. 64 (2008) 112–122, doi:10.1107/S0108767307043930.
- [36] F.L. Hirshfeld, Bonded-atom fragments for describing molecular charge densities, Theor. Chim. Acta 44 (1977) 129–138, doi:10.1007/BF00549096.
- [37] M.A. Spackman, D. Jayatilaka, Hirshfeld surface analysis, CrystEngComm 11 (2009) 19-32, doi:10.1039/B818330A.
- [38] P.R. Spackman, M.J. Turner, J.J. McKinnon, S.K. Wolff, D.J. Grimwood, D. Jayatilaka, M.A. Spackman, *CrystalExplorer* : a program for Hirshfeld surface analysis, visualization and quantitative analysis of molecular crystals, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 54 (2021) 1006–1011, doi:10.1107/S1600576721002910.

- [39] J.J. McKinnon, D. Jayatilaka, M.A. Spackman, Towards quantitative analysis of intermolecular interactions with Hirshfeld surfaces, Chem. Commun. (2007) 3814–3816, doi:10.1039/b704980c.
- [40] M. Frisch, G. Trucks, H. Schlegel, G. Scuseria, M. Robb, J. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. Hratchian, A. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. Montgomery, J. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. Kudin, V. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. Burant, S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. Millam, M. Klene, J. Knox, J. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. Ochterski, R. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. Zakrzewski, G. Voth, P. Salvador, J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. Daniels, J. Farkas, J. Foresman, J. Ortiz, D.Fox Cioslowski, Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- [41] R. Dennington, T. Keith, J. Millam, Semichem Inc., GaussView, Version 5, Shawnee Mission KS, 2009.
- [42] M.D. Hanwell, D.E. Curtis, D.C. Lonie, T. Vandermeersch, E. Zurek, G.R. Hutchison, Avogadro: an advanced semantic chemical editor, visualization, and analysis platform, J. Cheminform. 4 (2012) 17, doi:10.1186/1758-2946-4-17.
- [43] A.D. Becke, Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior, Phys. Rev. A 38 (1988) 3098–3100, doi:10.1103/PhysRevA. 38.3098.
- [44] A.D. Becke, Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange, J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 5648–5652, doi:10.1063/1.464913.
- [45] C. Lee, W. Yang, R.G. Parr, Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density, Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988) 785– 789, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785.
- [46] Y. Yang, M.N. Weaver, K.M. Merz, Assessment of the "6-31+G** + LANL2DZ" mixed basis set coupled with density functional theory methods and the effective core potential: prediction of heats of formation and ionization potentials for first-row-transition-metal complexes, J. Phys. Chem. A 113 (2009) 9843– 9851, doi:10.1021/jp807643p.
- [47] O. Trott, A.J. Olson, AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading, J. Comput. Chem. (2009) NA-NA, doi:10.1002/jcc.21334.
- [48] J. Lan, J. Ge, J. Yu, S. Shan, H. Zhou, S. Fan, Q. Zhang, X. Shi, Q. Wang, L. Zhang, X. Wang, Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor, Nature 581 (2020) 215–220, doi:10.1038/ s41586-020-2180-5.
- [49] W. Yin, C. Mao, X. Luan, D.-.D. Shen, Q. Shen, H. Su, X. Wang, F. Zhou, W. Zhao, M. Gao, S. Chang, Y.C. Xie, G. Tian, H.W. Jiang, S.C. Tao, J. Shen, Y. Jiang, H. Jiang, Y. Xu, S. Zhang, Y. Zhang, H.E. Xu, Structural basis for inhibition of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase from SARS-CoV-2 by remdesivir, Science 368 (2020) 1499–1504, doi:10.1126/science.abc1560.
- [50] Y. Kim, J. Wower, N. Maltseva, C. Chang, R. Jedrzejczak, M. Wilamowski, S. Kang, V. Nicolaescu, G. Randall, K. Michalska, A. Joachimiak, Tipiracil binds to uridine site and inhibits Nsp15 endoribonuclease NendoU from SARS-CoV-2, Commun. Biol. 4 (2021) 193, doi:10.1038/s42003-021-01735-9.
- [51] Z. Jin, X. Du, Y. Xu, Y. Deng, M. Liu, Y. Zhao, B. Zhang, X. Li, L. Zhang, C. Peng, Y. Duan, J. Yu, L. Wang, K. Yang, F. Liu, R. Jiang, X. Yang, T. You, X. Liu, X. Yang, F. Bai, H. Liu, X. Liu, L.W. Guddat, W. Xu, G. Xiao, C. Qin, Z. Shi, H. Jiang, Z. Rao, H. Yang, Structure of Mpro from SARS-CoV-2 and discovery of its inhibitors, Nature 582 (2020) 289–293, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2223-y.
- [52] M. Rosas-Lemus, G. Minasov, L. Shuvalova, N.L. Inniss, O. Kiryukhina, J. Brunzelle, K.J.F. Satchell, High-resolution structures of the SARS-CoV-2 2'- 0 methyltransferase reveal strategies for structure-based inhibitor design, Sci. Signal. 13 (2020) eabe1202, doi:10.1126/scisignal.abe1202.
- [53] B. Fraser, S. Beldar, A. Hutchinson, Y. Li, A. Seitova, A.M. Edwards, F. Benard, C.H. Arrowsmith, L. Halabelian, Crystal structure of human TMPRSS2 in complex with Nafamostat: protein, data bank (2021).
- [54] BIOVIADassault Systèmes, BIOVA Discovery Studio Visualizer 2021, Dassault Systèmes, San Diego, 2021 v21.1.0.20298.
- [55] A. Daina, O. Michielin, V. Zoete, SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 42717, doi:10.1038/srep42717.

- [56] P. Banerjee, A.O. Eckert, A.K. Schrey, R. Preissner, ProTox-II: a webserver for the prediction of toxicity of chemicals, Nucleic Acids Res. 46 (2018) W257–W263, doi:10.1093/nar/gky318.
- [57] J. Bernstein, R.E. Davis, L. Shimoni, N.L. Chang, Patterns in hydrogen bonding: functionality and graph set analysis in crystals, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34 (1995) 1555–1573, doi:10.1002/anie.199515551.
- [58] LJ. Farrugia, WinGX and ORTEP for Windows : an update, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 45 (2012) 849–854, doi:10.1107/S0021889812029111.
- [59] D. Jayatilaka, D.J. Grimwood, A. Lee, A. Lemay, A.J. Russel, C. Taylor, S.K. Wolff, P. Cassam-Chenai, A. Whitton, A. (2005). TONTO-a system for computational chemistry. Available at: http://hirshfeldsurface.net/
- [60] M.A. Spackman, J.J. McKinnon, D. Jayatilaka, Electrostatic potentials mapped on Hirshfeld surfaces provide direct insight into intermolecular interactions in crystals, CrystEngComm (2008) 10.1039.b715227b, doi:10.1039/b715227b.
- [61] P. Venkatesan, S. Thamotharan, A. Ilangovan, H. Liang, T. Sundius, Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surfaces and DFT computation of NLO active (2E)-2-(ethoxycarbonyl)-3-[(1-methoxy-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)amino] prop-2enoic acid, Spectrochim. Acta Part A 153 (2016) 625–636, doi:10.1016/j.saa. 2015.09.002.
- [62] A. Kaiba, M.H. Geesi, Y. Riadi, E.O. Ibnouf, T.A. Aljohani, P. Guionneau, A new Organic–Inorganic hybrid compound (NH3(CH2)2C6H5)2[SnCl6]: crystal structure, characterization, Hirshfeld surface analysis, DFT calculation, vibrational properties and biological evaluation, J. Solid State Chem. 304 (2021) 122587, doi:10.1016/j.jssc.2021.122587.
- [63] C. Sandorfy, Anharmonicity and hydrogen bonding, J. Mol. Struct. 614 (2002) 365–366, doi:10.1016/S0022-2860(02)00277-6.
- [64] Z. Smith, E.B. Wilson, R.W. Duerst, The infrared spectrum of gaseous malonaldehyde (3-hydroxy-2-propenal), Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Spectrosc. 39 (1983) 1117–1129, doi:10.1016/0584-8539(83)80135-4.
- [65] P.E. Hansen, J. Spanget-Larsen, NMR and IR investigations of strong intramolecular hydrogen bonds, Molecules 22 (2017) 552, doi:10.3390/ molecules22040552.
- [66] K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, 4th ed., Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [67] LJ. Bellamy, The Infrared Spectra of Complex Molecules: Volume Two Advances in Infrared Group Frequencies, Springer, Netherlands, 1980, doi:10. 1007/978-94-011-6520-4.
- [68] D.L. Pavia, G.M. Lampman, G.S. Kriz, Introduction to spectroscopy: a Guide For Students of Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed., Brooks/Cole, South Melbourne, 2001.
- [69] R.S. Mulliken, A new electroaffinity scale; together with data on valence states and on valence ionization potentials and electron affinities, J. Chem. Phys. 2 (1934) 782–793, doi:10.1063/1.1749394.
- [70] R.G. Pearson, Recent advances in the concept of hard and soft acids and bases, J. Chem. Educ. 64 (1987) 561, doi:10.1021/ed064p561.
- [71] R.G. Parr, R.G. Pearson, Absolute hardness: companion parameter to absolute electronegativity, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105 (1983) 7512–7516, doi:10.1021/ ja00364a005.
- [72] R.G. Parr, P.K. Chattaraj, Principle of maximum hardness, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113 (1991) 1854–1855, doi:10.1021/ja00005a072.
- [73] R.G. Parr, L.v. Szentpály, S. Liu, Electrophilicity index, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121 (1999) 1922–1924, doi:10.1021/ja983494x.
- [74] J. Padmanabhan, R. Parthasarathi, V. Subramanian, P.K. Chattaraj, Electrophilicity-based charge transfer descriptor, J. Phys. Chem. A 111 (2007) 1358–1361, doi:10.1021/jp0649549.
- [75] P. Krafcikova, J. Silhan, R. Nencka, E. Boura, Structural analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 methyltransferase complex involved in RNA cap creation bound to sinefungin, Nat. Commun. 11 (2020) 3717, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17495-9.
- [76] R.K. Hussein, H.M. Elkhair, Molecular docking identification for the efficacy of some zinc complexes with chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine against main protease of COVID-19, J. Mol. Struct. 1231 (2021) 129979, doi:10.1016/ j.molstruc.2021.129979.
- [77] C.A. Lipinski, F. Lombardo, B.W. Dominy, P.J. Feeney, Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 23 (1997) 3–25, doi:10.1016/ S0169-409X(96)00423-1.