

Received 15 September 2016 Accepted 27 September 2016

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

**Keywords:** crystal structure; discrete metal complex; nickel(II) thiocyanate; 2-chloro-pyridine; hydrogen bonding.

CCDC reference: 1506903

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Crystal structure of diaquabis(2-chloropyridine*kN*)bis(thiocyanato-*kN*)nickel(II)

#### Stefan Suckert,\* Inke Jess and Christian Näther

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Christian-Albrechts-Universität Kiel, Max-Eyth Str. 2, D-24118 Kiel, Germany. \*Correspondence e-mail: ssuckert@ac.uni-kiel.de

The asymmetric unit of the title compound,  $[Ni(NCS)_2(C_5H_4CIN)_2(H_2O)_2]$ , consists of one nickel(II) cation that is located on a center of inversion and one thiocyanate anion, one water molecule and one 2-chloropyridine ligand all occupying general positions. The Ni<sup>II</sup> cation is octahedrally coordinated by two terminal N-bound thiocyanato ligands, two aqua ligands and two N-bound 2-chloropyridine ligands into discrete complexes. Individual complexes are linked by intermolecular  $O-H\cdots S$  and  $O-H\cdots Cl$  hydrogen-bonding interactions into a layered network extending parallel to the *bc* plane. Weak interactions of types  $C-H\cdots S$  and  $C-H\cdots Cl$  consolidate the crystal packing.

#### 1. Chemical context

The synthesis of materials with interesting cooperative magnetic properties is still a major field in coordination chemistry (Zhang et al., 2011). One feasible strategy for the preparation of such compounds is to link paramagnetic cations with small anionic ligands such as, for example, thiocvanate anions to enable a magnetic exchange between the cations (Palion-Gazda et al., 2015; Massoud et al., 2013). In this regard, our group has reported on a number of coordination polymers with bridging thiocyanato ligands. Dependent on the metal cation and the neutral co-ligand, they show different magnetic phenomena including a slow relaxation of the magnetization, which is indicative for single-chain magnetism (Werner et al., 2014, 2015a,b,c). In the context of this research, discrete complexes are likewise of interest because such compounds can be transformed into the desired polymeric systems by thermal decomposition (Näther et al., 2013). In view of our systematic studies, we became interested into compounds based on 2-chloropyridine as co-ligand, for which only two different polymorphs were found for representatives containing Zn or Co (Wöhlert et al., 2013). In a more recent study, investigations were also carried out for Ni that led to the title compound being characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Unfortunately, no single-phase crystalline powder could be synthesized, which prevented further investigations of its physical properties.

#### 2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the title compound,  $[Ni(NCS)_2(C_5H_4NCl)_2(H_2O)_2]$ , consists of one Ni<sup>II</sup> cation, one thiocyanate anion, one water molecule and one neutral 2-chloropyridine co-ligand. The cation is located on a center of inversion whereas all ligands are located on general positions.



The Ni<sup>II</sup> cation is coordinated by two terminal N-bound inorganic anionic ligands, two water molecules and two 2-chloropyridine ligands that are coordinated *via* the pyridine N atom in an all-*trans* configuration (Fig. 1). As expected, and in agreement with values reported in literature (Đaković *et al.*, 2008; Werner *et al.*, 2015*b*), the Ni–N bond lengths to the thiocyanato ligands are significantly shorter[2.018 (3) Å] than to the pyridine N atom of the neutral 2-chloropyridine ligand [2.208 (3) Å].



#### 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, discrete complexes are linked by pairs of intermolecular  $O-H \cdots S$  hydrogen bonds between one of the two water H atoms and the thiocyanato S atoms of a neighboring complex into centrosymmetric dimers that are further



#### Figure 1

View of a discrete complex with labelling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry code: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, -z, +1.$ ]

Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C11-H21\cdots S1^{i}$	0.95	2.99	3.904 (4)	162
C13−H23···S1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.99	3.796 (4)	143
$C14 - H24 \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1^{iii}$	0.95	2.96	3.796 (4)	147
$O1-H1O1\cdots S1^{iv}$	0.82	2.39	3.175 (2)	160
$O1-H2O1\cdots S1^{v}$	0.82	2.53	3.239 (2)	145
$O1 - H2O1 \cdots Cl1^{vi}$	0.82	2.75	3.180 (3)	115

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, y+1, -z+\frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x, y, -z+\frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii) x, y-1, z; (iv)  $x, -y+1, z+\frac{1}{2}$ ; (v)  $-x+\frac{1}{2}, -y+\frac{1}{2}, -z+1$ ; (vi)  $-x+\frac{1}{2}, -y+\frac{3}{2}, -z+1$ .

connected into chains along the *b* axis (Fig. 2, Table 1). Neighbouring complexes are additionally linked in the same direction by pairs of  $C-H\cdots Cl$  hydrogen bonds between the chloro substituent of one complex and one pyridine H atom of a neighbouring complex (Fig. 2, Table 1). These chains are further linked by  $O-H\cdots S$  hydrogen bonding between the second water H atom of one complex and a thiocyanato S atom of a neighbouring complex into layers parallel to the *bc* plane (Fig. 3, Table 1). Within these layers, weak  $C-H\cdots Cl$ hydrogen bonding is present (Table 1). Weak intramolecular  $O-H\cdots Cl$  interactions are also observed (Figs. 2 and 3, Table 1).

#### 4. Database survey

To the best of our knowledge, there are only four coordination compounds containing thiocyanato and 2-chloropyridine ligands deposited in the Cambridge Structure Database (Version 5.37, last update 2015; Groom et al., 2016). The structures consist of tetrahedrally coordinated metal cations (Co and Zn) where each metal cation is surrounded by two 2-chloropyridine ligands as well as two thiocyanate anions (Wöhlert et al., 2013). A general search for coordination compounds with 2-chloropyridine ligands resulted in 16 structures including the aforementioned ones. Two examples relate to a Pd compound, similar to the Co and Zn ones, however with the Pd<sup>II</sup> cation in a square-planar conformation coordinated by two 2-chloropyridine ligands as well as two azide anions (Beck et al., 2001) as well as a Cu compound with a square-pyramidal coordinated metal cation surrounded by two 2-chloropyridine ligands, one water ligand and two chloride anions (Jin et al., 2005).



Figure 2

View of the hydrogen-bonded chain that elongates along the b axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

# research communications



Figure 3

Crystal structure of the title compound showing the hydrogen-bonded layers with hydrogen bonds shown as dashed lines.

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

Ba(NCS)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, Ni(SO<sub>4</sub>)·6H<sub>2</sub>O and 2-chloropyridine were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub> was synthesized by stirring 17.5 g Ba(NCS)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (57 mmol) with 15.0 g Ni(SO<sub>4</sub>)·6H<sub>2</sub>O (57 mmol) in 500 ml water. The green residue was filtered off and the filtrate was dried using a rotary evaporator. The homogeneity was checked by X-ray powder diffraction and elemental analysis. Crystals of the title compound suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by the reaction of 26.2 mg Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub> (0.15 mmol) with 56.0 µl 2-chloropyridine (0.6 mmol) in ethanol (1.0 ml) after a few days.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection, and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The CH H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry and were refined in a riding model with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The OH H atoms were located in a difference map, and their bond lengths constrained to 0.82 Å, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$ .

#### Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Project No. NA 720/5–1) and the State of Schleswig-Holstein. We thank Professor Dr Wolfgang Bensch for access to his experimental facilities.

#### References

- Beck, W., Fehlhammer, W. P., Feldl, K., Klapötke, T. M., Kramer, G., Mayer, P., Piotrowski, H., Pöllmann, P., Ponikwar, W., Schütt, T., Schuierer, E. & Vogt, M. (2001). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 627, 1751– 1758.
- Brandenburg, K. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Đaković, M., Popović, Z. & Smrečki-Lolić, N. (2008). J. Mol. Struct. 888, 394–400.

Table 2	
Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Ni(NCS)_2(C_5H_4ClN)_2(H_2O)_2]$
M <sub>r</sub>	437.99
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c
Temperature (K)	200
a, b, c (Å)	19.5045 (15), 7.5486 (5), 14.9387 (11)
$\beta$ (°)	125.560 (7)
$V(Å^3)$	1789.3 (3)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	1.63
Crystal size (mm)	$0.14\times0.09\times0.06$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	STOE IPDS1
Absorption correction	Numerical (X-RED32 and X-SHAPE; Stoe, 2008)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.796, 0.881
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	7195, 1568, 1321
R <sub>int</sub>	0.086
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \text{\AA}^{-1} )$	0.596
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.049, 0.123, 1.03
No. of reflections	1568
No. of parameters	107
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.87, -0.87

Computer programs: X-AREA (Stoe, 2008), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2015), XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1999) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Jin, Z.-M., Li, Z.-G., Tu, B., Shen, Z.-L. & Hu, M.-L. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m2566–m2567.
- Massoud, S. S., Guilbeau, A. E., Luong, H. T., Vicente, R., Albering, J. H., Fischer, R. C. & Mautner, F. A. (2013). *Polyhedron*, **54**, 26–33.
- Näther, C., Wöhlert, S., Boeckmann, J., Wriedt, M. & Jess, I. (2013). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 639, 2696–2714.
- Palion-Gazda, J., Machura, B., Lloret, F. & Julve, M. (2015). Cryst. Growth Des. 15, 2380–2388.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Stoe (2008). X-AREA, X-RED32 and X-SHAPE. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Werner, J., Rams, M., Tomkowicz, Z. & N\u00e4ther, C. (2014). Dalton Trans. 43, 17333–17342.
- Werner, J., Rams, M., Tomkowicz, Z., Runčevski, T., Dinnebier, R. E., Suckert, S. & Näther, C. (2015a). *Inorg. Chem.* 54, 2893–2901.
- Werner, J., Runčevski, T., Dinnebier, R. E., Ebbinghaus, S. G., Suckert, S. & Näther, C. (2015b). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 3236– 3245.
- Werner, J., Tomkowicz, Z., Rams, M., Ebbinghaus, S. G., Neumann, T. & Näther, C. (2015c). *Dalton Trans.* 44, 14149–14158.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wöhlert, S., Jess, I., Englert, U. & Näther, C. (2013). CrystEngComm, 15, 5326–5336.
- Zhang, S.-Y., Zhang, Z.-J., Shi, W., Zhao, B., Cheng, P., Liao, D.-Z. & Yan, S.-P. (2011). *Dalton Trans.* **40**, 7993–8002.

# supporting information

### Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 1492-1494 [doi:10.1107/S2056989016015218]

Crystal structure of diaquabis(2-chloropyridine- $\kappa N$ )bis(thiocyanato- $\kappa N$ )nickel(II)

## Stefan Suckert, Inke Jess and Christian Näther

### **Computing details**

Data collection: *X-AREA* (Stoe, 2008); cell refinement: *X-AREA* (Stoe, 2008); data reduction: *X-AREA* (Stoe, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014*/7 (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *XP* in *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Diaquabis(2-chloropyridine-κN)bis(thiocyanato-κN)nickel(II)

Crystal data	
$[Ni(NCS)_2(C_5H_4ClN)_2(H_2O)_2]$	F(000) = 888
$M_r = 437.99$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.626 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo <i>K</i> $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
a = 19.5045 (15)  Å	Cell parameters from 7195 reflections
b = 7.5486(5) Å	$\theta = 2.8 - 25.1^{\circ}$
c = 14.9387 (11)  Å	$\mu = 1.63 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 125.560 \ (7)^{\circ}$	T = 200  K
V = 1789.3 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>	Block, blue
Z = 4	$0.14 \times 0.09 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
STOE IPDS-1	1568 independent reflections
diffractometer	1321 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Phi scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.086$
Absorption correction: numerical	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.1^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.8^\circ$
(X-Red and X-Shape; Stoe, 2008)	$h = -23 \rightarrow 23$
$T_{\min} = 0.796, \ T_{\max} = 0.881$	$k = -8 \rightarrow 8$
7195 measured reflections	$l = -17 \rightarrow 17$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0887P)^2]$
Least-squares matrix: full	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$wR(F^2) = 0.123$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.87 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.03	$\Delta  ho_{\min} = -0.87 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
1568 reflections	Extinction correction: SHELXL-2014/7
107 parameters	(Sheldrick 2015),
0 restraints	$Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Hydrogen site location: mixed	Extinction coefficient: 0.0046 (11)
H-atom parameters constrained	

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Ni1	0.2500	0.7500	0.5000	0.0294 (3)
N1	0.2189 (2)	0.5551 (4)	0.3900 (2)	0.0374 (7)
C1	0.2063 (2)	0.4433 (4)	0.3291 (3)	0.0307 (7)
S1	0.18836 (7)	0.28294 (11)	0.24339 (8)	0.0404 (3)
N10	0.1155 (2)	0.7772 (4)	0.4334 (3)	0.0360 (7)
C10	0.0670 (2)	0.9202 (4)	0.4075 (3)	0.0367 (8)
C11	-0.0154 (3)	0.9163 (6)	0.3732 (3)	0.0500 (10)
H21	-0.0466	1.0227	0.3568	0.060*
C12	-0.0520 (3)	0.7528 (6)	0.3630 (4)	0.0586 (12)
H22	-0.1088	0.7447	0.3395	0.070*
C13	-0.0039 (3)	0.6027 (6)	0.3879 (4)	0.0520 (10)
H23	-0.0271	0.4888	0.3814	0.062*
C14	0.0780 (3)	0.6201 (5)	0.4222 (3)	0.0425 (8)
H24	0.1104	0.5153	0.4391	0.051*
C11	0.11007 (7)	1.12552 (11)	0.41519 (9)	0.0523 (4)
01	0.26098 (19)	0.5775 (3)	0.6135 (2)	0.0506 (8)
H1O1	0.2506	0.5933	0.6588	0.076*
H2O1	0.2750	0.4730	0.6262	0.076*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Ni1	0.0383 (4)	0.0205 (4)	0.0375 (4)	0.0053 (2)	0.0267 (3)	0.0031 (2)
N1	0.0443 (18)	0.0298 (14)	0.0434 (17)	0.0060 (11)	0.0285 (15)	-0.0008 (12)
C1	0.0350 (18)	0.0247 (15)	0.0417 (19)	0.0052 (12)	0.0276 (17)	0.0077 (13)
S1	0.0613 (7)	0.0251 (4)	0.0505 (6)	-0.0030 (3)	0.0415 (5)	-0.0032 (3)
N10	0.0388 (17)	0.0317 (14)	0.0423 (17)	0.0040 (11)	0.0264 (15)	0.0018 (11)
C10	0.036 (2)	0.0375 (17)	0.0383 (19)	0.0087 (14)	0.0225 (17)	0.0037 (14)
C11	0.036 (2)	0.064 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.0151 (18)	0.019 (2)	0.0064 (18)
C12	0.034 (2)	0.087 (4)	0.050 (3)	-0.0007 (19)	0.022 (2)	-0.004 (2)
C13	0.042 (2)	0.057 (2)	0.054 (3)	-0.0120 (19)	0.026 (2)	-0.0078 (19)
C14	0.042 (2)	0.0371 (18)	0.051 (2)	-0.0066 (14)	0.029 (2)	-0.0054 (15)
C11	0.0574 (7)	0.0308 (5)	0.0770 (8)	0.0163 (4)	0.0438 (6)	0.0128 (4)
01	0.079 (2)	0.0367 (13)	0.0661 (18)	0.0270 (13)	0.0597 (18)	0.0243 (12)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)* 

Nil—Nl <sup>i</sup>	2.018 (3)	C10—C11	1.734 (4)
Ni1—N1	2.018 (3)	C11—C12	1.389 (6)

# supporting information

Ni1—O1	2.048 (2)	C11—H21	0.9500
Ni1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.048 (2)	C12—C13	1.377 (6)
Ni1—N10	2.208 (3)	C12—H22	0.9500
Ni1—N10 <sup>i</sup>	2.208 (3)	C13—C14	1.372 (6)
N1—C1	1.158 (4)	С13—Н23	0.9500
C1—S1	1.645 (3)	C14—H24	0.9500
N10-C10	1.336 (4)	O1—H1O1	0.8198
N10—C14	1.352 (4)	O1—H2O1	0.8201
C10—C11	1.375 (6)		
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N1	180.0	C14—N10—Ni1	112.9 (2)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1	87.27 (12)	N10-C10-C11	124.6 (4)
N1—Ni1—O1	92.73 (12)	N10-C10-C11	117.9 (3)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	92.73 (12)	C11—C10—C11	117.4 (3)
N1-Ni1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	87.27 (12)	C10-C11-C12	118.3 (4)
O1-Ni1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	C10-C11-H21	120.8
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N10	90.88 (11)	C12—C11—H21	120.8
N1—Ni1—N10	89.12 (11)	C13—C12—C11	118.4 (4)
O1—Ni1—N10	87.55 (11)	C13—C12—H22	120.8
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N10	92.45 (11)	C11—C12—H22	120.8
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N10 <sup>i</sup>	89.11 (11)	C14—C13—C12	119.0 (4)
N1—Ni1—N10 <sup>i</sup>	90.88 (11)	C14—C13—H23	120.5
O1—Ni1—N10 <sup>i</sup>	92.45 (11)	С12—С13—Н23	120.5
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N10 <sup>i</sup>	87.55 (11)	N10-C14-C13	124.0 (4)
N10-Ni1-N10 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	N10-C14-H24	118.0
C1—N1—Ni1	175.7 (3)	C13—C14—H24	118.0
N1—C1—S1	179.4 (3)	Ni1-01-H101	129.3
C10-N10-C14	115.6 (3)	Ni1—O1—H2O1	131.5
C10—N10—Ni1	131.4 (2)	H1O1—O1—H2O1	99.1

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1/2, -y+3/2, -z+1.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	D···· $A$	D—H···A
C11—H21…S1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.99	3.904 (4)	162
C13—H23…S1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.99	3.796 (4)	143
C14—H24···Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.95	2.96	3.796 (4)	147
$O1$ — $H1O1$ ··· $S1^{v}$	0.82	2.39	3.175 (2)	160
$O1$ — $H2O1$ ···S $1^{vi}$	0.82	2.53	3.239 (2)	145
O1—H2O1···Cl1 <sup>i</sup>	0.82	2.75	3.180 (3)	115

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1/2, -y+3/2, -z+1; (ii) -x, y+1, -z+1/2; (iii) -x, y, -z+1/2; (iv) x, y-1, z; (v) x, -y+1, z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; -y+1/2; -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; -y+1/2; -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2; -z+1/2; -z+