

OPEN

Haplotype-based interaction of the *PPARGC1A* and *UCP1* genes is associated with impaired fasting glucose or type 2 diabetes mellitus

Xiaoting Pei, MA^a, Li Liu, MD^b, Jialin Cai, BS^b, Wenkai Wei, BS^b, Yan Shen, BS^b, Yaxuan Wang, BS^b, Yanzi Chen, MA^a, Panpan Sun, MA^a, Mustapha Umar Imam, PhD^a, Zhiguang Ping, PhD^{a,*}, Xiaoli Fu, PhD^{a,*}

Abstract

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the *PPARGC1A* and *UCP1* genes on impaired fasting glucose (IFG) or type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and the haplotype-based interaction between these genes.

A cross-sectional study was conducted by cluster sampling in Henan province, China. Based on the level of fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and the history of T2DM, the participants were divided into 2 groups; 83 individuals were in the IFG+DM group (those with IFG or T2DM) and 445 individuals were in the NFPG group (those with normal FPG). Kernel canonical correlation analysis (KCCA), a haplotype-based gene-gene interaction method, which can increase the biological interpretability and extract nonlinear characteristics of SNPs, was used to analyze the correlation and interaction between *PPARGC1A* and *UCP1* genes.

The age, BMI, total cholesterol and triglycerides were statistically different between 2 groups ($P \le .001$). Haplotype analysis showed no significant difference in frequency distribution between the 2 groups when the *PPARGC1A* or *UCP1* gene was tested (P > .05). KCCA analysis showed that the maximum kernel canonical correlation coefficient of the *PPARGC1A* and *UCP1* genes was 0.9977 and 0.9995 in the IFG+DM and NPFG groups, respectively. A haplotype-based gene–gene interaction was observed significantly (U = -6.28, P < .001), indicating the possibility of an interaction between haplotype AAG of the *PPARGC1A* gene and haplotypes CTCG (odds ratio [OR]=1.745, 95% confidence interval [95% CI] 1.069–2.847) and CTCA (OR=0.239, 95% CI 0.060–0.958) of the *UCP1* gene.

Haplotype-based interaction between the *PPARGC1A* and *UCP1* genes is associated with IFG or T2DM among residents in Henan, China.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, DM = diabetes mellitus, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, GDM = gestational diabetes mellitus, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, HWE = Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium, IDF = International Diabetes Federation, IFG = impaired fasting glucose, IFG+DM group = those with impaired fasting glucose or type 2 diabetes mellitus, KCCA = kernel canonical correlation analysis, KCCC = kernel canonical correlation coefficient, LD = linkage disequilibrium, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, LDR = ligase detection reaction, MAF = minor allele frequency, MDR = multifactor dimensionality reduction, NFPG group = those with normal fasting plasma glucose, excluding those taking hypoglycemic agents even if their FPG was below 6.1mmol/L, PDR = proliferative diabetic retinopathy, PPARGC1A = peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 alpha, SNPs = single-nucleotide polymorphisms, T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus, TC = total cholesterol, TG = triglyceride, UCP1 = uncoupling protein 1.

Keywords: gene, haplotype, impaired fasting glucose, interaction, type 2 diabetes mellitus

1. Introduction

Impaired fasting glucose (IFG) is indicative of an abnormal health status, but it does not meet the diagnostic criteria for diabetes

Received: 18 January 2017 / Received in final form: 5 April 2017 / Accepted: 17 April 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000006941

mellitus (DM).^[1] IFG is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease,^[2] and individuals with IFG have 4 to 6 times higher risk of developing DM than healthy individuals.^[3] Patients in whom diabetes is not efficiently controlled have a significantly higher risk of developing complications such as diabetic foot, diabetic nephropathy, and diabetic retinopathy, which seriously affect the patient's quality of life and life expectancy.^[4-6] Data from the International Diabetes Federation(IDF) showed that in 2015 there were about 415 million people with diabetes, and this is expected to rise to 642 million by 2040,^[7,8] which will make DM the third leading noncommunicable chronic disease after cerebrovascular diseases and cancers. In China, the prevalence of DM is 9.7%, and that of IFG is even higher at 15.5%.^[9,10] Fortunately, unlike irreversible DM, patients with IFG can be treated with the appropriate interventions, thereby reducing the incidence of DM and greatly improving the living quality of individuals.

The peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 alpha (PPARGC1A) is a nuclear transcriptional factors, which plays a key role in metabolism of carbohydrates

Editor: Qinhong Zhang.

XP and LL contributed equally to this work.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a College of Public Health, ^b School of Basic Medical Sciences, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Zhiguang Ping, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou, Henan, China (e-mail: ping_zhg@163.com); Xiaoli Fu, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou, Henan, China (e-mail: fuxiaoli@zzu.edu.cn).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2017) 96:23(e6941)

Toble 1

Information on the PPARGC1A and UCP1 SNPs.								
PPARGC1A	rs8192678	G>A	Missense	0.2658	A-2381/039			
IT ANGOTA	rs3755863	G>A	Synonymous codon	0.3522	4:23813899			
	rs3736265	G>A	Missense	0.1094	4:23813084			
UCP1	rs45539933	C>T	Missense	0.0859	4:140567914			
	rs2270565	T>A	Missense	0.0721	4:140562317			
	rs1494808	A>C	Intron variant	0.4760	4:140562581			
	rs1800592	A>G	Promoter variant	0.4683	4:140572807			

W = the wild allele, M = the mutational allele, MAF = minor allele frequency, PPARGC1A = peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 alpha, SNPs = single-nucleotide polymorphisms, UCP1 = uncoupling protein 1.

and lipids.^[11] The single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the human PPARGC1A gene are associated with the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).^[12–14] The uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1) is predominantly expressed in brown adipose tissue, where it mediates nonshivering thermogenesis and energy thermodynamics, and thus plays an important role in resisting the development of obesity in humans.^[15] Evidence shows that SNPs of the UCP1gene are associated with the development of obesity and T2DM.^[16] Thus, there might be an interaction between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes, because both genes can regulate the metabolism of lipids and can impact on the development of T2DM. There have been reports of the relationship between the PPARGC1A or UCP1 gene and T2DM,^[14,16] but neither the relationship between these 2 genes and IFG nor the correlation and interaction between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes have been reported. The interaction of genes was performed by multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR), logistic regression model, and crossover analysis in traditional studies, which are based on SNP-SNP interaction, so the results may be affected by the degree of linkage disequilibrium within SNP. In this study, we used the kernel canonical correlation analysis (KCCA) method to determine the interaction of PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes. KCCA, introduced by Akaho in 2001, is a method that is based on haplotypes and analyzes interaction between 2 whole genes. KCCA can increase the biological interpretability due to dimensional reduction. Moreover, KCCA can extract nonlinear characteristics of SNPs between 2 whole genes and conduct interaction analysis more accurately. It no longer requires the normal distributional assumption on data.^[17,18] Therefore, we used data from a crosssectional study in Henan province to establish the haplotypes of the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes and then analyze the correlation and interaction between these genes by the KCCA method to explore their relationship with IFG or T2DM.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study participants

A 2-stage cluster sampling investigation was conducted in Xin'an, a county of Luoyang City in Henan province, China in 2011. In the first stage, 5 out of 11 towns were selected randomly, while in the second stage, 1 village was selected from each town by cluster sampling. The individuals who voluntarily participated in the study and met the inclusion criteria filled out a questionnaire and had physical examinations and laboratory tests. The participants were divided into the IFG+DM group (whose FPG ≥ 6.1 mmol/L and T2DM patients taking or not taking hypoglycemic agents) and the normal FPG group (NFPG,

whose FPG < 6.1 mmol/L, excluding those taking hypoglycemic agents even if their FPG was below 6.1 mmol/L).^[19] The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhengzhou University and informed consented was sought from all participants.

2.2. Data collection

A questionnaire was completed by each participant to obtain information of demographic characteristics and lifestyle risk factors. Height and weight of each participant were measured according to the unified standards by trained staff, and then body mass index (BMI = weight/height² (kg/m²)) was calculated. Current smoking was defined as having smoked 100 cigarettes and smoking cigarettes currently. Current drinking was defined as alcohol intake more than once per month during the past 12 months. The cubital venous blood was collected and stored at -20° C to detect the levels of FPG, serum total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and highdensity lipoprotein (HDL) by trained laboratory technicians. DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood for the analysis of SNPs of the *PPARGC1A* and *UCP1* genes.

2.3. Selection of SNPs

Three SNPs of the *PPARGC1A* gene and 4 SNPs of the *UCP1* gene were selected (Table 1). The selection criteria were that the SNPs fulfilled 1 of the following conditions^[20]: the gene segment of SNPs can cause functional changes; SNPs with established association with IFG or T2DM; and it is heterozygous and its minor allele frequency (MAF) is greater than 5%.

2.4. Genotyping of the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes

DNA was extracted using the genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI) according to manufacturer's instruction and the content of DNA was detected by gel electrophoresis. Ligase detection reaction (LDR) was used to determine the genotype of each SNP. Primer information is shown in Table 2

2.5. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using the IBM SPSS 21.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) and R software 3.3.1. Demographic and biochemical characteristics of participants were described in means and standard deviation ($\overline{x} \pm s$) for quantitative variables and frequencies for qualitative variables. The χ^2 test was performed to determine differences in sex, smoking, and drinking status between 2 groups. Unpaired *t*-test or Wilcoxon rank sum test (data that were not normally distributed by the Shapiro–Wilk

Table 2

Primers used for detection of the PPARGC1A and UCP1 SNPs.						
SNP	Upstream primer (5'-3')	Downstream primer (5'-3')				
rs45539933	GCTCACGGCTTACTTTCTTTC	CCTTTCTTATTTCCAGGTCCAA				
rs2270565	CTTGAAGAAAGCCGTTGGTCC	CAAGCCCCCAAAAGTCTGATG				
rs1494808	AGAAGGTAAAGAGTAAATGGCTT	AGCTTATCAGTGGTCTCATCC				
rs1800592	TCCCAGTGGTGGCTAATGAGA	TAGCAAAGGAGTGGCAGCAA				
rs8192678	ATTGGCAGGGGCAGATTTGTT	GTGTTATTAGGGTTTTGCCAAGGTT				
rs3755863	ATTGGCAGGGGCAGATTTGTT	GTGTTATTAGGGTTTTGCCAAGGTT				
rs3736265	CAAGGAGGGGATAAAGGGAGC	CACAGTGCCGATAAACTTGGG				

PPARGC1A = peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 alpha, SNPs = single-nucleotide polymorphisms, UCP1 = uncoupling protein 1.

test) was used to compare the differences of age, BMI, and biochemical characteristics between the 2 groups. The SNPassoc package^[21] of R software was used to analyze the associations between genes and IFG or T2DM. The linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis and the haplotype analysis were carried out by SHEsis online (http://analysis.bio-x.cn/myAnalysis.php).^[20] Kernlab package ^[22] of R software was used for KCCA. Deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were assessed by the χ^2 tests. All *P*-values were 2-tailed, and the level of significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. The characteristics of participants

A total of 583 people were investigated. Excluding invalid questionnaires and people with genetic relationship within 3 generations according to the research objectives and methods, we identified 528 participants, including 198 males and 330 females. There were 445 in the NFPG group and 83 in the IFG+DM group. The results showed that there were no statistical differences between the 2 groups in sex, smoking, drinking status, and the levels of HDL and LDL (P > .05). On the other hand, the age, BMI, and the levels of TC, TG, and FPG were statistically different between the 2 groups ($P \le .001$), with those of the IFG+DM group being higher than the NFPG group (Table 3).

3.2. Distribution of genotypes and alleles and the test of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium

Seven SNPs were genotyped in all the 528 participants. All of the SNPs showed no statistical deviation from the test of HWE

(P > .05) (Table 4), which indicated that the population of this study was representative.

3.3. Associations between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 gene polymorphisms and IFG or T2DM

When considering the genotype distribution of the 7 SNPs, no significant differences were observed between the IFG+DM and NFPG groups, using the codominant, dominant, and recessive genetic models, even after adjusting for age, sex, drinking status, and BMI (P > .05). There were also no significant differences in allele frequencies (P > .05) (Table 5).

3.4. Haplotype analyses

The human PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes are both located on chromosome 4. The SHEsis online was used to analyze the degree of linkage disequilibrium of the 7 SNPs and haplotypes in this study. It was observed that there was linkage disequilibrium among 3 SNPs of the PPARGC1A gene and 4 SNPs of the UCP1 gene. However, there was linkage equilibrium between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes (Fig. 1). For SNPs of the PPARGC1A gene, 3 haplotypes each with a frequency greater than 1% were detected due to linkage disequilibrium, and 6 haplotypes for 4 SNPs of the UCP1 gene were also detected. The 3 linked haplotypes of the PPARGC1A gene from left to right were the alleles of rs8192678, rs3755863, and rs3736265, respectively, while the 4 linked haplotypes of the UCP1 gene from left to right were the alleles of rs45539933, rs2270565, rs1494808, and rs1800592, respectively. Haplotype analysis showed that there were no significant differences in frequency distribution between the 2 groups for both the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes (P > .05) (Table 6).

Table 3

Comparison of demographic and biochemical characteristics between the 2 groups.

Variable	NFPG group ($n=445$)	IFG+DM group (n=83)	Total (<i>n</i> =528)	χ ²/z *	Р			
Sex (male/female)	174/271	24/59	198/330	3.096	.078			
Smoking (yes/no)	101/344	11/72	112/416	3.733	.053			
Drinking (yes/no)	59/386	5/78	64/464	3.437	.064			
Age, years	51.57 ± 13.13	56.71 ± 11.96	52.38 ± 13.07	-3.312	.001			
BMI, kg/m ²	24.00 ± 3.54	26.06 ± 3.56	24.32 ± 3.59	-5.013	<.001			
TC, mmol/L	4.38 ± 0.84	4.87 ± 1.03	4.45 ± 0.89	-4.072	<.001			
TG, mmol/L	1.51 ± 0.90	2.48 ± 1.71	1.67±1.13	-5.735	<.001			
HDL, mmol/L	1.16 ± 0.27	1.11 ± 0.22	1.16 ± 0.27	1.457	.145			
LDL, mmol/L	2.53 ± 0.69	2.63 ± 0.92	2.54 ± 0.73	-0.590	.555			
FPG, mmol/L	5.20 ± 0.46	7.52 ± 2.19	5.56 ± 1.28	-14.470	<.001			

* Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare the differences of age. BMI, and biochemical characteristics between 2 groups after using the normality test.

BMI = body mass index, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, IFG+DM = participants with impaired fasting glucose or type 2 diabetes mellitus, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, NFPG = participants with normal fasting plasma glucose, TC = total cholesterol, TG = triglyceride.

Table 4

Distribution of g	Distribution of genotypes and alleles and the test of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.								
SNP	WW	WM	ММ	W	М	HWE χ^2	Р		
rs8192678	203	248	77	654	402	0.008	.929		
rs3755863	202	249	77	653	403	0.000	.985		
rs3736265	331	171	26	833	223	0.411	.521		
rs45539933	458	69	1	985	71	0.926	.336		
rs2270565	424	100	4	948	108	0.521	.470		
rs1494808	128	278	122	534	522	1.492	.222		
rs1800592	135	275	118	545	511	0.965	.326		

HWE = Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test, M = the mutational allele, W = the wild allele.

3.5. Correlation and interaction between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes

The haplotype-based gene-gene interaction method, KCCA was used to analyze the correlation and interaction between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 SNPs. In the IFG+DM and NPFG groups, the maximum kernel canonical correlation coefficients (KCCC) of the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes were 0.9977 ($r_D =$ 0.9977) and 0.9995 ($r_{\rm C} = 0.9995$), respectively. Based on the difference of KCCC of the 2 genes between the 2 groups, a Fisher r-to-z transformation was proposed for testing the interaction between the 2 genes on disease outcomes. This transformation was done to r_D and r_C , that is, $Z_D = \frac{1}{2}(\ln(1+r_D) - \ln(1-r_D))$, $Z_C = \frac{1}{2}(\ln(1+r_C) - \ln(1-r_C))$.^[23] The KCCU statistic ^[24] was taken as a measure of haplotype-based gene-gene interaction in the IFG+DM and NFPG groups, which can be defined as

$$U = \frac{Z_D - Z_C}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_D - 3} + \frac{1}{n_C - 3}}}$$

The result of this study was U = -6.28, P < .001, suggesting that the interaction of the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes affects IFG or T2DM. To examine whether this interaction does exist, we constructed haplotypes using SHEsis online. The haplotypes of these 2 genes from left to right were the alleles of rs8192678, rs3755863, rs3736265, rs45539933, rs2270565, rs1494808, and rs1800592, respectively. Thirteen haplotypes, each with a frequency greater than 1%, were detected. The results of the haplotype analysis showed that there was an interaction between haplotype AAG of the PPARGC1A gene and haplotypes CTCG (P=.024, odds ratio [OR]=1.745, 95% confidence interval [95% CI] 1.069–2.847) and CTCA (P=.028, OR=0.239, 95% CI 0.060–0.958) of the UCP1 gene (Table 7). This suggested that the individuals with the haplotypes AAG (PPARGC1A gene) and CTCG (UCP1 gene) have higher susceptibility to IFG or T2DM, while those with haplotypes of AAG (PPARGC1A gene) and CTCA (UCP1 gene) have lower susceptibility to IFG or T2DM. In other words, the interaction between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes is associated with IFG or T2DM in Chinese Han population.

4. Discussion

There is a better understanding of the pathogenesis of T2DM, due to which more efficient control measures are being adopted. However, environmental and lifestyle factors have largely kept the prevalence of T2DM high and the age of onset is becoming younger than before.^[7] PPARGC1A is a transcriptional factor that belongs to the PPAR family and is involved in regulating energy metabolism, fatty acid oxidation, and glucose utilization.^[25-27] On the other hand, UCP1 exerts influence on obesity, T2DM, and other noncommunicable chronic diseases by regulating the metabolism of carbohydrates and lipids.^[16] In this study, we selected the loci of rs3755863, rs3736265, rs45539933, rs2270565, and rs1494808 from the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes and evaluated the relationship between these loci and IFG or T2DM as well as the interaction between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes, which have never been reported either for the Chinese or the global population. In addition, we considered both FPG and T2DM as groups, which is different from previous studies. It is helpful to detect and diagnose the early stages of T2DM, so that appropriate measures can be instituted to reverse the disease or slow down its progression, thereby reducing the prevalence of T2DM.

This study indicated that the age, BMI, and the levels of TC, TG, and FPG of those with IFG and/or T2DM were significantly higher than those without any of these conditions. These findings are similar to those from a study in Sichuan, China by Song et al.^[28] Additionally, the present study detected no difference in the genotypes and allele distribution of the 3 SNPs of the PPARGC1A gene (rs8192678, rs3755863, and rs3736265) between the IFG+DM and NFPG groups even after adjusting for age, sex, BMI, and drinking status, suggesting that the polymorphisms of the PPARGC1A gene were not associated with IFG or T2DM. A meta-analysis by Yang et al^[29] indicated that the polymorphisms of Gly482Ser (rs8192678) and Thr394Thr (rs2970847) in the PPARGC1A gene were significantly associated with the risk of T2DM, especially in the Indian population, with OR values (95% CI) of 1.19(1.05-1.34) and 1.33(1.34-1.70), respectively. No relationship was observed between the Thr612Met locus and the risk of T2DM. Zhang et al^[30] found that the polymorphisms of Thr394Thr (rs2970847) and Gly482Ser (rs8192678) in the PPARGC1A gene were associated with the therapeutic efficacy of multipledose rosiglitazone in Chinese patients with T2DM. Another study suggested that the C allele of rs2946386 in the promoter region of the PPARGC1A gene was not associated with T2DM.^[14] In the present study, susceptibility analysis on the UCP1 gene revealed that the genotypes and allele distribution of the 4 SNPs in the UCP1 gene (rs45539933, rs2270565, rs1494808 and rs1800592) were also not significantly different between the IFG+DM and NFPG groups, suggesting no association between these polymorphisms and IFG or T2DM. Nicoletti et al^[16] analyzed the polymorphic locus of -3826 A>G (rs1800592) in the UCP1 gene and found that it increased the risk of T2DM in obese individuals. Besides that, a study by Zhang et al^[31] showed that the allele G and genotype GG of the SNP rs1800592 in the UCP1 gene was associated with the increased risk of proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) in the Chinese population with T2DM. Reasons for the inconsistent results may

SNP Genetic model Genotype/allele n % n rs8192678 Codominant GG 35 42.2 168 GA 34 41.0 214 AA 14 16.9 63 Dominant GG 35 42.2 168 64 44.0 214 AA 14 16.9 63 63 63 64 69 83.2 382 Dominant GG 104 62.7 550 63 63 63 64		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	%	OR (95% CI)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37.8	1.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	48.1	0.84 (0.49-1.45)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14.2	1.16 (0.57-2.38)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37.8	1.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	62.3	0.92 (0.55-1.51)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	85.9	1.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14.2	1.27 (0.66-2.46)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	61.8	1.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38.2	0.96 (0.69–1.36)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37.5	1.00
AA 14 16.9 63 Dominant GG 35 42.2 167 GA+AA 48 57.9 278 Recessive GG+GA 69 83.2 382 AA 14 16.9 63 G 104 62.7 549 A 62 37.3 341 rs3736265 Codominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA 29 34.9 142 AA 2 2.4 24 Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA 29 34.9 142 AA 2 2.4 24 Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA+AA 31 37.3 166	48.3	0.84 (0.49–1.43)
Dominant GG 35 42.2 167 GA+AA 48 57.9 278 Recessive GG+GA 69 83.2 382 AA 14 16.9 63 G 104 62.7 549 A 62 37.3 341 rs3736265 Codominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA 29 34.9 142 AA 2 2.4 24 Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA 29 34.9 142 AA 2 2.4 24 Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA+AA 31 37.3 166	14.2	1.15 (0.56–2.36)
GA+AA 48 57.9 278 Recessive GG+GA 69 83.2 382 AA 14 16.9 63 G 104 62.7 549 A 62 37.3 341 rs3736265 Codominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA 29 34.9 142 AA 2 2.4 24 Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA+AA 31 37.3 166	37.5	1.00
Recessive GG+GA 69 83.2 382 AA 14 16.9 63 G 104 62.7 549 A 62 37.3 341 rs3736265 Codominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA 29 34.9 142 AA 2 2.4 24 Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA+AA 31 37.3 166	62.5	0.91 (0.55–1.50)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	85.8	1.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14.2	1.27 (0.66–2.46)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	61.7	1.00
rs3/36265 Codominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA 29 34.9 142 AA 2 2.4 24 Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA+AA 31 37.3 166	38.3	0.96 (0.68–1.35)
GA 29 34.9 142 AA 2 2.4 24 Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA+AA 31 37.3 166	62.7	1.00
AA 2 2.4 24 Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA+AA 31 37.3 166	31.9	0.96 (0.57–1.62)
Dominant GG 52 62.7 279 GA+AA 31 37.3 166	5.4	0.36 (0.08–1.66)
GA+AA 31 37.3 166	62.7	1.00
	37.3	0.87 (0.53–1.45)
Recessive GG+GA 81 97.6 421	94.6	1.00
AA 2 2.4 24	5.4	0.37 (0.08-1.66)
G 133 80.1 700	/8./	
A 33 19.9 190	21.3	0.91 (0.61-1.38)
1545539933 COUDININAIL CC 70 84.3 388	0/.Z	
	12.0	1.39 (0.69–2.78)
11 U U.U I	0.2	1.00
Dominant CC 70 84.3 388	0/.Z	
Decesive CC 22 100 0 444	12.0	1.30 (0.09-2.77)
	99.0	1.00
	0.2	1.00
T 12 7 50	93.3	1.00
ro2270565 Codeminant TT 65 70.2 250	0.0	1.23 (0.07-2.33)
1522/0303 GOUDINIAIR 11 03 70.5 339	0U./ 10 /	
	0.0	1.20 (0.70–2.34)
Dominant TT 65 79.3 250	0.9 80.7	1.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.2	
AITAA 10 21.7 00 Pacassiva TT 22 100.0 441	19.5	1.24 (0.00-2.20)
	00	1.00
T 1/12 20.2 200	0.9 20.0	1.00
	10.1	
re1404808 Codominant AA 10 22.0 100	24.5	1.00 (0.03-1.03)
Λ° 16 55 4 232	24.J 52.1	1 16 (0 63_2 11)
	22.1	0.08 (0.03-2.11)
Dominant ΔΔ 19 22.9 109	20.4	1 00
$\Delta C_{\perp}CC \qquad 64 \qquad 77 \qquad 1336$	24.5 75.5	1 10 (0 62–1 96)
Recessive ΔΔΔΔC 65 78.3 341	76.6	1.10 (0.02 1.00)
CC 18 217 104	23.4	0.89 (0.49-1.62)
A 84 50.6 450	50.6	1 00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	49.4	1.00 (0.72-1.30)
rs1800592 Codominant AA 20 24.1 115	25.8	1 00
AG 41 49.4 224	52.6	0.95 (0.52-1.74)
GG 22 26 5 QG	21.6	1.32 (0.66-2.65)
Dominant AA 20 24.1 115	25.8	1 00
AG+GG 63 75.9 330	74.2	1 0.5 (0 60-1 86)
Becessive AA+AG 61 73.5 349	78.4	1 00
GG 22 26.5 96	21.6	1.36 (0.77-2.40)
A 81 48.8 464	52.1	
G 85 51.2 426	02.1	1.00

* The results were adjusted for age, sex, drinking status, and BMI.

BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, IFG+DM group = those with impaired fasting glucose or type 2 diabetes mellitus, NFPG group = those with normal fasting plasma glucose, OR = odds ratio, PPARGC1A = peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 alpha, SNPs = single-nucleotide polymorphisms, T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus, UCP1 = uncoupling protein 1.



Figure 1. Analysis of linkage disequilibrium of the 7 SNPs. (A) The color and figure were determined by the value of D'. (B) The color and figure were determined by the value of r^2 . SNPs = single-nucleotide polymorphisms.

Table 6

Table 7

Associations between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 gene haplotypes and IFG or T2DM.

	Haplotype	IFG+DM	IFG+DM group		NFPG group		
Gene		<i>n</i> [*] = 166	%	<i>n</i> [*] =890	%	Р	OR (95% CI)
PPARGC1A	GGG	71	42.8	360	40.5	.583	1.098 (0.785-1.677)
	AAG	62	37.5	340	38.2	.827	0.963 (0.684-1.356)
	GGA	33	19.9	189	21.2	.688	0.919 (0.607-1.389)
UCP1	CTAA	62	37.4	349	39.2	.736	0.943 (0.669-1.329)
	CTCG	48	28.9	244	27.4	.676	1.082 (0.749-1.562)
	CTAG	22	13.3	99	11.1	.489	1.192 (0.742-1.964)
	CTCA	16	9.6	108	12.1	.380	0.781 (0.449-1.562)
	TACG	11	6.6	49	5.5	.644	1.176 (0.591-2.338)
	CACG	5	3.0	32	3.6	.715	0.837 (0.321-2.181)

 * The theoretical frequencies were estimated by SHEsis online.

CI = confidence interval, IFG+DM group = those with impaired fasting glucose or type 2 diabetes mellitus, NFPG group = those with normal fasting plasma glucose, OR = odds ratio, PPARGC1A = peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 alpha, T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus, UCP1 = uncoupling protein 1.

i abie i						
Distribution	of haplotypes	of the PPARGO	1A and UCP	aenes in the	e IFG+DM and	NFPG aroups.

	IFG+DM	group	oup NFPG group				
Haplotype	<i>n</i> [*] =166	%	$n^* = 890$	%	χ 2	Р	OR (95% CI)
AAGCTCG	24	14.5	78	8.8	5.068	.024	1.745 (1.069–2.847)
GGGCTAA	22	13.3	134	15.1	0.438	.508	0.849 (0.524-1.378)
AAGCTAA	20	12.0	138	15.5	1.767	.184	0.711 (0.429-1.178)
GGACTAA	20	12.0	76	8.5	1.711	.191	1.417 (0.839-2.395)
GGGCTCG	18	10.8	125	14.0	1.572	.210	0.715 (0.422-1.211)
GGGCTCA	12	7.2	42	4.7	1.477	.224	1.510 (0.774–2.947)
GGGCTAG	10	6.0	32	3.6	1.944	.163	1.678 (0.805-3.499)
GGACTCG	7	4.2	42	4.7	0.043	.836	0.919 (0.414-2.040)
AAGCTAG	7	4.2	36	4.0	0.004	.952	1.026 (0.451-2.333)
GGGTACG	7	4.2	19	2.1	1.703	.192	1.813 (0.733-4.487)
AAGCACG	5	3.0	20	2.2	0.310	.578	1.326 (0.489-3.591)
AAGCTCA	2	1.2	44	4.9	4.803	.028	0.239 (0.060-0.958)
GGACTAG	2	1.2	31	3.5	2.308	.153	0.397 (0.107-1.474)

* The theoretical frequencies were estimated using SHEsis online.

CI = confidence interval, IFG+DM group = those with impaired fasting glucose or type 2 diabetes mellitus, NFPG group = those with normal fasting plasma glucose, OR = odds ratio.

include: the occurrence and development of diseases are not only associated with the gene but may be modified by lifestyle and environment such as differences in nutritional and economic status.^[32] All of these may have an impact on the level of blood glucose, thus leading to the different results. We considered both

the level of FPG and the history of T2DM to divide participants into 2 groups in this study, while in previous studies, most of the researchers grouped participants according to the diagnostic criteria of T2DM or gestational diabetes mellitus. Different stages or types of DM may have different impacts on the body, which may lead to inconsistent results in previous studies. Each study selected a variety of SNPs, and different SNPs even if they are in the same gene may have a different degree of linkage disequilibrium and interaction, so that the effect of genes on disease is diverse. The various sample sizes and composition of each group may have introduced different sampling errors and confounding factors, which may affect the results of the studies.

The occurrence and development of disease usually depend on the interrelation of several SNPs or genes not only a single gene locus. Genetic susceptibility is often inherited in the form of haplotypes, and gene–gene interaction may have diverse effects on extrinsic phenotype.^[33] Comparing with the traditional methods, KCCA is based on haplotypes and analyzes interaction between 2 whole genes, so it may better capture the true underlying genotypic–proteinic–phenotypic relationship by dimensional reduction under multi-locus SNP models. Moreover, KCCA can extract nonlinear characteristics of SNPs between 2 whole genes and conduct interaction analysis more accurately and reliably.^[17,34] In addition, the relationship between the *PPARGC1A* or *UCP1* gene and IFG or T2DM, and the interaction between these genes toward the development of these disease conditions have not been documented elsewhere.

In the present study, the distribution of haplotypes in the IFG +DM and NFPG groups was analyzed by constructing haplotypes of the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes, and no significant differences were observed between the 2 groups for either the PPARGC1A or UCP1 gene. It suggested that there was no association between haplotypes of both of the genes and IFG or T2DM among residents of Luoyang, Henan province. The interaction of the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes on IFG or T2DM was analyzed by calculating the KCCC between the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes. The KCCA showed that the PPARGC1A gene highly correlated with the UCP1 gene in both IFG+DM and NFPG groups, and an interaction between these genes affected the development of IFG or T2DM. Moreover, this result was also confirmed by the analysis of "haplotypes" composed of the PPARGC1A and UCP1 genes. Furthermore, haplotype analysis showed that there was an interaction between haplotype AAG of the PPARGC1A gene and haplotypes CTCG and CTCA of the UCP1 gene. The interaction of the haplotypes AAG (PPARGC1A gene) and CTCG (UCP1 gene) increased the susceptibility of IFG or T2DM, while that of AAG (PPARGC1A gene) and CTCA (UCP1 gene) lowered risk of IFG or T2DM. A study on backcross progenies of mice reported that there was a strong correlation between the levels of the PPARGC1A and UCP1 mRNA, with a correlation coefficient of 0.85.[35] Another study showed that PPARGC1A can regulate the expression of UCP1.^[36]

The main limitations of this study are the limited sample size especially in the IFG+DM group and that the study participants were drawn from 1 province. It would be helpful to examine these findings in larger samples in future studies. Such larger studies are important in order to establish the definitive association between the UCPI and PPARGC1A genes and IFG or T2DM, for a clearer picture on their public health significance.

In conclusion, the haplotype-based interaction between the *PPARGC1A* and *UCP1* genes is associated with IFG or T2DM among the residents of Henan province, China. Individuals with the haplotype AAG (*PPARGC1A* gene) and CTCG (*UCP1* gene) have increased susceptibility to IFG or T2DM, while those with haplotype AAG (*PPARGC1A* gene) and CTCA (*UCP1* gene) have a lower risk of IFG or T2DM.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 81001280, 81202277, 81373096); the Key Research Project for Colleges and Universities in Henan Province (grant numbers 16A330003). The authors thank the volunteers in this project for their devotion to the study of impaired fasting glucose or type 2 diabetes mellitus.

References

- Chen CM, Lou MF, Gau BS. Prevalence of impaired fasting glucose and analysis of related factors in Taiwanese adolescents. Pediatr Diabetes 2014;15:220–8.
- [2] Giraldez-Garcia C, Sangros FJ, Diaz-Redondo A, et al. Cardiometabolic risk profiles in patients with impaired fasting glucose and/or hemoglobin A1c 5.7% to 6.4%: evidence for a gradient according to diagnostic criteria: the PREDAPS study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94: e1935.
- [3] Liu FR, Wu LX, Kang XP, et al. The association of hyperuricemia with impaired fasting glucose between different genders using the longitudinal data. Chin J Health Statist 2015;32:375–8.
- [4] Downer B, Rote S, Markides KS, et al. The comorbid influence of high depressive symptoms and diabetes on mortality and disability in Mexican Americans aged 75 and above. Gerontol Geriatr Med 2016;2:1–4.
- [5] Liu XT, Li YQ, Li LL, et al. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, control of type 2 diabetes mellitus and risk factors in Chinese rural population: the RuralDiab study. Sci Rep 2016;6:1–9.
- [6] Zhang D, Zhu B, Zhang W, et al. Total bilirubin level may be a biomarker of nephropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of observational studies based on MOOSE compliant. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e5765.
- [7] International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas 7th Edition [Internet]. 2016 [cited November7, 2016]. Available at: http://www.diabetesatlas.org/.
- [8] Yang S, Wang S, Yang B, et al. Weight loss before a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus is a risk factor for diabetes complications. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e5618.
- [9] Yang WY, Lu JM, Weng J, et al. Prevalance of diabetes among men and women in China. N Engl J Med 2010;362:1090–101.
- [10] Gao Q, He B, Zhu C, et al. Factors associated with lower extremity atherosclerotic disease in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a case-control study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e5230.
- [11] Li MJ, Liu M, Liu D, et al. Polymorphisms in the promoter region of the Chinese Bovine PPARGC1A gene. Asian–Australasian J Anim Sci 2013;26:483–7.
- [12] Mootha VK, Handschin C, Arlow D, et al. Erralpha and Gabpa/b specify PGC-1alpha-dependent oxidative phosphorylation gene expression that is altered in diabetic muscle. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:6570–5.
- [13] Gillberg L, Jacobsen SC, Rönn T, et al. PPARGC1A DNA methylation in subcutaneous adipose tissue in low birth weight subjects—impact of 5 days of high-fat overfeeding. Metab Clin Exp 2014;63:263–71.
- [14] Sun L, Wang SL, Qu YC, et al. Screening of variation in the promoter of PPARGC1A gene and study of its association with the risk of type 2 diabetes in ethnic Hans from Beijing. Chin J Med Genet 2014;31:352–6.
- [15] You Y, Yang XG, Zhao WH. Characters of uncoupling protein and its relation with obesity. J Hyg Res 2001;30:254–6.
- [16] Nicoletti CF, de Oliveira AP, Brochado MJ, et al. UCP1-3826 A>G polymorphism affects weight, fat mass, and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in grade III obese patients. Nutrition 2016;32:83–7.
- [17] Larson NB, Jenkins GD, Larson MC, et al. Kernel canonical correlation analysis for assessing gene–gene interactions and application to ovarian cancer. Eur J Hum Genet 2014;22:126–31.
- [18] Huang SY, Lee MH, Hsiao CK. Nonlinear measures of association with kernel canonical correlation analysis and applications. J Statist Plann Inference 2009;139:2162–74.
- [19] Megerssa YC, Gebre MW, Birru SK, et al. Impact of American diabetes association criteria on the frequency of impaired fasting glucose. Int J Med Med Sci 2014;4:169–71.
- [20] Zhan FF, Li L, Qi MJ, et al. Association of polymorphisms of rs2651899, rs2236518, and rs2282198 in PRDM16 gene with overweight and obesity. J Zhengzhou Univ (Med Sci) 2015;50:354–8.
- [21] González JR, Armengol L, Solé X, et al. SNPassoc: an R package to perform whole genome association studies. Bioinformatics 2007;23: 644–5.

- [22] Karatzoglou A, Smola A, Hornik K, et al. kernlab—an S4 package for kernel methods in R. J Statist Softw 2004;11:721–9.
- [23] Yuan ZS, Gao QS, He YG, et al. Detection for gene–gene co-association via kernel canonical correlation analysis. BMC Genet 2012;13:83.
- [24] Yuan ZS, Liu H, Zhang XS, et al. From interaction to co-association—a Fisher r-to-z transformation-based simple statistic for real world genome-wide association study. PLoS One 2013;8:e70774.
- [25] Gineviciene V, Jakaitiene A, Aksenov MO, et al. Association analysis of ACE, ACTN3 and PPARGC1A gene polymorphisms in two cohorts of European strength and power athletes. Biol Sport 2016;33:199–206.
- [26] Villena JA. New insights into PGC-1 coactivators: redefining their role in the regulation of mitochondrial function and beyond. FEBS J 2015; 282:647–72.
- [27] Lai L, Wang M, Martin OJ, et al. A role for peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma coactivator 1 (PGC-1) in the regulation of cardiac mitochondrial phospholipid biosynthesis. J Biol Chem 2014; 289:2250–9.
- [28] Song J, Liu YY, Long Y, et al. PPARGC1A gene Thr394Thr G/A polymorphism and its association with type 2 diabetes mellitus and insulin resistance in a Chinese population. Chin J Med Genet 2010; 27:550–3.
- [29] Yang Y, Mo XB, Chen SF, et al. Association of peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma coactivator 1 alpha (PPARGC1A) gene polymorphisms and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2011;27:177–84.

- [30] Zhang KH, Huang Q, Dai XP, et al. Effects of the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-gamma coactivator-1alpha (PGC-1alpha) Thr394Thr and Gly482Ser polymorphisms on rosiglitazone response in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Pharmacol 2010;50:1022–30.
- [31] Zhang Y, Meng N, Lv Z, et al. The gene polymorphisms of UCP1 but not PPAR gamma and TCF7L2 are associated with diabetic retinopathy in Chinese type 2 diabetes mellitus cases. Acta Ophthalmol 2015;93: e223–9.
- [32] Agyemang C, Beune E, Meeks K, et al. Rationale and cross-sectional study design of the research on obesity and type 2 diabetes among African migrants: the RODAM study. BMJ Open 2014;4: e004877.
- [33] Romao I, Roth J. Genetic and environmental interactions in obesity and type 2 diabetes. J Am Diet Assoc 2008;108:S24–8.
- [34] Zhan FF, Liu L, Ping ZG, et al. Detection for gene-based gene-gene interaction via kernel canonical correlation analysis. J Hygiene Res 2015;44:666–70.
- [35] Courlter AA, Bearden CM, Liu X, et al. Dietary fat interacts with QTLs controlling induction of Pgc-1 alpha and Ucp1 during conversion of white to brown fat. Physiol Genomics 2003;14:139–47.
- [36] Lehr L, Canola K, Asensio C, et al. The control of UCP1 is dissociated from that of PGC-1alpha or of mitochondriogenesis as revealed by a study using beta-less mouse brown adipocytes in culture. FEBS Lett 2006;580:4661–6.