

Review

Hypericum Essential Oils—Composition and Bioactivities: An Update (2012–2022)

Maria-Eleni Grafakou^{1,2}, Christina Barda¹, George Albert Karikas^{3,*}  and Helen Skaltsa^{1,*} 

¹ Department of Pharmacognosy & Chemistry of Natural Products, Faculty of Pharmacy, School of Health Sciences, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, 15771 Athens, Greece

² Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Pharmacognosy, University of Graz, Beethovenstraße 8, 8010 Graz, Austria

³ Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of West Attica, 12243 Athens, Greece

* Correspondence: karikasg@uniwa.gr (G.A.K.); skaltsa@pharm.uoa.gr (H.S.)

Abstract: *Hypericum* genus, considered to comprise over 500 species that exhibit cosmopolitan distribution, has attracted human interest since ancient times. The present review aims to provide and summarize the recent literature (2012–2022) on the essential oils of the title genus. Research articles were collected from various scientific databases such as PubMed, ScienceDirect, Reaxys, and Google Scholar. Scientific reports related to the chemical composition, as well as the in vitro and in vivo pharmacological activities, are presented, also including a brief outlook of the potential relationship between traditional uses and *Hypericum* essential oils bioactivity.

Keywords: *Hypericum*; essential oil; chemical composition; in vitro; in vivo; biological activity



Citation: Grafakou, M.-E.; Barda, C.; Karikas, G.A.; Skaltsa, H. *Hypericum* Essential Oils—Composition and Bioactivities: An Update (2012–2022). *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 5246. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27165246>

Academic Editor: Marcello Iriti

Received: 29 July 2022

Accepted: 15 August 2022

Published: 17 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The genus *Hypericum* L. (Hypericaceae) includes more than 500 taxa with a worldwide distribution classified into 36 taxonomic sections [1]. The botanical name derives from the Greek word hypericon (“*ὑπὲρ εἰκόνα*” meaning above the icon), suggesting its use against the evil eye. The use of *Hypericum* has been reported even during classical antiquity by Hippocrates [2], Dioscorides [3], and later in the Medieval era by Nikolaos Myrepsos [4,5]. The World Health Organization (WHO) reported that 80% of the world’s population uses medicinal plants for primary health needs [6]. Furthermore, research in the field of natural products has gained great attention in the past few decades, a trend expected to continue in the coming years. Considering the current decrease in new drugs introduced to the market, as well as nature’s high potential for yielding therapeutically relevant bioactive compounds, plant metabolites are emerging as new lead structures for the development of novel drugs for treating various diseases [7–9].

In this context, we should mention that several *Hypericum* spp. are used throughout the world in folk medicine, as astringent, febrifuge, diuretic, antiphlogistic agent, analgesic, and antidepressant agents [10]. In the 18th and 19th centuries, European and American physicians used *Hypericum* in the treatment of various health problems such as headaches, bed wetting, burns, puncture wounds, vertigo, hyperhidrosis, melancholy, and paranoia. In addition, modern medical research has shown that *H. perforatum* (with the common name St. John’s wort) is an effective herbal medicine for the treatment of mild to moderate depression [EMA, herbal medicine with well-established use], while a monograph also mentions wound-healing properties [EMA, herbal medicine with traditional use]. The active constituents of the genus mainly belong to the groups of phloroglucinols, naphthodianthrones, xanthones, and flavonoids [11]. These metabolites display a wide range of biological activities and attract the interest of the scientific community; apart from the well-established antidepressant activity [12], many studies describe cytotoxic, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory effects [13–16].

Essential oils (EOs) represent an interesting mixture of volatile compounds and are reported to possess strong antimicrobial, antioxidant, and antiangiogenetic activities, although *Hypericum* species are generally classified as EO-poor plants [17]. According to the European Pharmacopeia, EOs (Aetherolea) are odorous products, usually of complex composition, obtained mainly by steam distillation. These complex mixtures mostly consist of mono- and sesquiterpenes, in the form of hydrocarbons or oxygenated derivatives. Other substances that could be co-extracted with EOs from different plants are diterpenes, phenols, fats, coumarins, anthraquinones, certain alkaloids, and several compounds derived during the distillation process (artifacts). EOs from diverse plants have a plethora of uses in the cosmetic, pharmaceutical, and food industries [18].

Ten years have passed since the last reviews were published on *Hypericum* spp. EOs [17,19,20]. Since then, 71 papers have been published describing relevant research work [10,14–16,18,21–86], 34 of them referring to biological activities [20,22,24,32,34,38–42,46,49,52,54,57,58,60,62–72,74,77,79,82,83,86] of which antiviral, antimalarial, cytotoxic, neuroprotective, tyrosinase inhibition, immunomodulatory, anti-angiogenic, hepatoprotective, and wound-healing effects had not been tested earlier, thus later data are not described in previous reviews. As a result, we updated the latest information regarding *Hypericum* EOs' chemical composition and biological activities and we attempted to bridge the potential relationship between traditional uses and *Hypericum* EOs.

2. Methodology

A selection of the relevant data was performed through a search using the keyword “Hypericum essential oil” in “PubMed”, “Scopus”, “Reaxys”, and “Google Scholar” databases. Approximately 100 articles were found. In the present review, the search terms “Hypericum perforatum essential oil”, “Hypericum biological activities”, “Hypericum pharmacological activities”, “Hypericum essential oil biological”, and “Hypericum essential oil pharmacological” were further used. In total, 73 publications describing the EOs and their biological activities were used, excluding articles solely on botany and agronomy. The major constituents of the EOs are categorized by species in Table 1, and similarly, the reported biological activities are categorized by species in Table 2 and further discussed by pathology in Section 3.2. Plant taxonomy was validated using the databases of the International Plant Names Index (IPNI). Information about folk uses and botany was obtained from published books and academic papers solely in the English language.

3. Results and Discussion

Aid products of *Hypericum* plants are being sold around the world and comprise an important portion of the market. In fact, such commodities are widely consumed as supplements to maintain and improve human health, thus the investigation of *Hypericum* plants (including different preparations and also EOs) in terms of chemical composition and biological effects is of high importance. Regarding *Hypericum* EOs, several major constituents have been reported from these plants with relatively limited distribution among other genera, with potential use in food and cosmetic industries [17].

Hypericum is generally considered an EO-poor genus, with very low yields < 1%, though the literature indicates in some cases higher EO yields, similar to other genera, up to 3% [21]. Moreover, it is reported that the EO content during the full-bloom stage vs. the pre-bloom or fruiting stage is higher [17]. It is also estimated that the careful selection of inflorescences and leaves instead of the total aerial part also leads to higher EO yields of up to 13 v/w% using hydro-distillation [22]. Hydro-distillation is by far the most common method used to obtain EOs, which is also proposed by EMA monographs and European Pharmacopoeia; however, to date, other techniques have been introduced in order to improve the extraction efficiency and control the chemical composition of the plant material, including liquid or supercritical extraction, solid-phase microextraction, and ultrasound-assisted headspace [17,23,24]. Moreover, other biotechnological tools have been applied, such as callus culture, which produces biomass on a large scale and could be used as a good experimental system for further research on essential oil production. Calli

essential oil cultivation enabled the selection of a desired compound or group of compounds with specific aromas or activities as a response to chemical elicitors that stimulate biotic and abiotic stress in vitro [25,26]. Nevertheless, Gas Chromatography (GC) is, by all means, the ‘golden standard method’ in the chemical analysis of EOs, especially enforced with the aid of GC-MS (Mass Spectrometry) and GC-FID (Flame Ionization Detector) for both the identification and quantification of the content as well as the composition variations, regardless of the extraction protocol [17,22–24].

Most of the studies on *Hypericum* EOs have been conducted with single plant materials from wild populations and without repetition, though there are several taxa that have been thoroughly investigated, such as *H. perforatum*, *H. scabrum*, *H. perforatum*, and *H. triquertifolium*. During the last decade, several taxa were investigated for the first time, specifically *H. kotschyianum*, *H. salsugineum*, *H. uniglandulosum* [27], *H. silenoides*, and *H. philonotis* [28], *H. aviculariifolium* ssp. *depilatum* var. *depilatum* [29,30], *H. empetrifolium* ssp. *empetrifolium* [31], *H. reflexum*, *H. canariense* and *H. grandifolium* [32], *H. asperulum* [33], *H. gaiti* [34], *H. pruinatum* [30], *H. origanifolium* [35], *H. laricifolium* [36], *H. japonicum* [37], *H. aegypticum* subsp. *webbii* [38], *H. amblyocalyx* and *H. jovis* [39], *H. hemsleyanum* [40], *H. hookerianum* and *H. bellum* [24], *H. rochelii* and *H. umbellatum* [41], and *H. hyssopifolium* ssp. *elongatum* var. *microcalycinum* [42]. In addition, the aroma of the berry-like fruits of *H. androsamemum* was chemically investigated [43].

3.1. Chemical Constituents of *Hypericum* spp. EOs

Hypericum EOs include the following main constituents: The monoterpene hydrocarbons α - and β -pinenes, the sesquiterpene hydrocarbons E-caryophyllene and germacrene D, and the oxygenated sesquiterpenes spathulenol and caryophyllene oxide, while in some cases, the major compounds are n-alkanes, such as undecane and n-nonane (Table 1).

Table 1. Literature survey (2012–2022) on essential oils from *Hypericum* spp.

<i>Hypericum</i> spp.	Plant Origin	Main Ingredients of EOs	Reference
<i>H. aegypticum</i> ssp. <i>webbii</i> (Spach) N. Robson	Greece	α -pinene (63.4–68.5%), β -pinene (16.9–17.0%) (two collection points)	[38]
<i>H. amblyocalyx</i> Coustur. & Gand	Greece	β -elemene (17.4%), β -selinene (10.5%), α -pinene (10.2%), E-caryophyllene (8.8%), α -selinene (8.7%)	[39]
<i>H. ascyron</i> L. (syn. <i>H. hemsleyanum</i> H.Lév. & Vaniot)	China	osthole (35.6%)	[40]
<i>H. asperulum</i> Jaub. & Spach	Iran	γ -muurolene (13.1%), α -pinene (12.2%), germacrene D (11.3%), β -caryophyllene (9.8%), spathulenol (7.2%)	[33]
<i>H. bellum</i> H.L.Li	China	curdione (30.9%), eicosyl nonyl ether (15.5%), but-3-yn-2-yl ester of undec-10-ynoic acid (9.4%), palmityl palmitoleate (9.3%)	[24]
<i>H. canariense</i> L.	Canary Islands	n-nonane (44.3%), (E)-caryophyllene (7.9%), β -pinene (7.7%)	[32]
<i>H. capitatum</i> Choisy	Turkey	spathulenol (12.9%), iso-longifolene (11.2%)	[56]
<i>H. saturejifolium</i> Jaub. & Spach (syn. <i>H. confertum</i> Choisy)	Turkey	germacrene D (30.2%)	[42]
	Turkey	α -pinene (7.8%), γ -muurolene (7.2%), δ -cadinene (6.5%)	[53]
	Greece	α -pinene (37.5%), iswarane (30.5%)	[21]
<i>H. empetrifolium</i> Willd.	Greece	α -pinene (19.0%), germacrene D (12.5%), β -pinene (8.7%), E-caryophyllene (5.3%)	[39]
	Turkey	allo-aromodendrene (24.7%), α -pinene (14.7%), β -pinene (10.7%), α -terpineol (7.7%)	[55]

Table 1. Cont.

<i>Hypericum</i> spp.	Plant Origin	Main Ingredients of EOs	Reference
<i>H. empetrifolium</i> Willd. ssp. <i>empetrifolium</i>	Greece	(E)- β -farnesene (29.5%), α -pinene (18.7%), (E)- β -caryophyllene (10.1%)	[31]
<i>H. gaitii</i> Haines	India	α -pinene (69.5%), β -caryophyllene (10.5%), sabinene (5.6%), myrcene (3.0%), geranyl acetate (2.0%)	[34]
<i>H. grandifolium</i> Choisy	Canary Islands	n-nonane (42.3%), (E)-caryophyllene (24.2%)	[32]
<i>H. helianthemoides</i> (Spach) Boiss.	Iran	α -pinene (31.9%), (E)- β -ocimene (12.5%), β -phellandrene (8.4%), β -pinene (6.3%), β -caryophyllene (5.7%), germacrene-D (4.3%)	[57]
<i>H. hircinum</i> L.	Turkey	α -pinene (88.3%)	[42]
	Greece	(E)-caryophyllene (65.87%)	[21]
<i>H. hircinum</i> L. ssp. <i>majus</i> (Aiton) N. Robson	Italy	cis- β -guaiene (29.3%), δ -selinene (11.3%), isolongifolan-7- α -ol (9.8%), (E)-caryophyllene (7.2%)	[54]
<i>H. hookerianum</i> Wight & Arn.	China	triacontane (26.4%), 1-iodotetracosane (20.6%), 2-methyl-2-decanol (14.8%), 2-(5-ethenyl-5-methyloxolan-2-yl) propan-2-yl ethyl carbonate (3.9%), aromadendrane (1.3%)	[24]
<i>H. humifusum</i> L.	Tunisia	α -pinene (27.8%), caryophyllene oxide (12.5%), β -pinene (11.5%), n-undecane (5.0%)	[60]
<i>H. japonicum</i> Thunb. ex Murray	India	2-methyl octane (24.9%), n-nonane (21.4%), (2Z)-nonenol (16.5%), n-decanal (8.2%), allo-aromadendrene epoxide (3.3%)	[37]
<i>H. jovis</i> Greuter	Greece	trans-calamenene (13.5%), α -selinene (8.3%), β -elemene (7.6%)	[39]
<i>H. kotschyianum</i> Boiss.	Turkey	α -pinene (14.4%), nonacosane (11.1%), hexadecanoic acid (9.2%), β -pinene (8.7%), spathulenol (6.3%), limonene (5.1%)	[27]
<i>H. laricifolium</i> Juss.	Mérida-Venezuela	α -pinene (20.2%), verticiol (13.4%), 3-methyl-nonane (12.3%), 2-methyl-octane (9.6%), nonane (7.6%)	[36]
	Turkey	verbenone (22.2%), caryophyllene oxide (18.3%), α -eudesmol (11.3%), cis-linolool oxide (6.8%), β -selinene (6.3%)	[53]
<i>H. lydium</i> Boiss.	Turkey	α -pinene (58%), β -pinene (5.10%), β -myrcene (3.1%)	[51]
	Turkey	α -pinene (71.2%)	[52]
<i>H. maculatum</i> Crantz	Serbia	germacrene D (21.5%), nonane (6.5%), (E)- β -farnesene (5.3%), δ -cadinene (4.5%), ledol (4.4%)	[46]
<i>H. microcalycinum</i> Boiss. & Heldr. (syn. <i>H. hyssopifolium</i> Chaix ssp. <i>elongatum</i> (Ledeb.) Woron var. <i>microcalycinum</i> . (Boiss. & Heldr.) Boiss.)	Turkey	α -pinene (57.8%)	[42]
<i>H. orientale</i> L.	Turkey	β -selinene (37.1%), β -caryophyllene (9.7%), γ -muurolene (4.4%), cadinene (6.1%)	[53]
<i>H. origanifolium</i> Willd.	Turkey	α -selinene (19.6 or 18.7%), β -selinene (16.1 or 15.3%), γ -muurolene (4.6 or 4.7%), δ -cadinene (8.2 or 7.7%), spathulenol, 4.2 or 5.1% (from leaves and flowers)	[35]
<i>H. origanifolium</i> var. <i>depilatum</i> (Frey & Bornm.) N. Robson (syn. <i>H. aviculariifolium</i> ssp. <i>depilatum</i> (Frey & Bornm.) N. Robson)	Turkey	α -pinene (52.1%), germacrene D (8.5%), β -pinene (3.6%)	[29]

Table 1. Cont.

<i>Hypericum</i> spp.	Plant Origin	Main Ingredients of EOs	Reference
<i>H. patulum</i> Thunb.	China	nonane 17.1–32.6% (undried and dried sample)	[58]
	Iran	β -pinene (30.2%), α -pinene (18.3%), limonene (8.4%), α -humulene (2.3%)	[59]
<i>H. perforatum</i> L.	Greece	γ -muurolene (8.5%), δ -cadinene (7.8%), γ -cadinene (5.3%), (E)- β -caryophyllene (6.6%), germacrene D (5.9%), n-undecane (4.2%)	[31]
	Kosovo	2-methyl-octane (1.1–15.5%), α -pinene (3.7–36.5%), β -caryophyllene (1.2–12.4%), caryophyllene oxide (3.3–17.7%), n-tetradecanol (3.6–10.4%) (different populations)	[61]
	Romania	α -pinene (30.9%), β -pinene (18.3%), caryophyllene (15.3%)	[62]
	Iran	2,6-dimethyl-heptane (6.3–36.1%), α -pinene (5.5–26.0%), γ -cadinene (0.0–22.6%), δ -cadinene (0.0–16.9%) (different populations)	[48]
	Iran	decane (59.6%), dodecane (12.9%), ethylcyclohexane (6.8%), 5-methylnonane (4.7%), 3-methylnonane (4.3%), tetradecane (3.8%)	[63]
	Iran	α -pinene (25.4%), α -amorphene (12.1%)	[64]
	Albania	caryophyllene oxide (31.0%), δ -selinene (10.5%), carvacrol (10.4%)	[65]
		β -pinene (24.9%), α -pinene (31.8%), caryophyllene (9.1%)	[66]
	Turkey	α -pinene (33.3%)	[42]
	Iran	α -pinene (12.5%), β -pinene (8.3%), undecane (7.0%), germacrene-D (6.9%)	[57]
	Tunisia	α -pinene (5.4%), β -selinene (8.9%), α -selinene (5.0%), 1-tetradecanol (10.2%)	[60]
	Albania	caryophyllene oxide (31.0%), δ -selinene (10.5%), carvacrol (10.4%)	[65]
	Iran	germacrene-D (15.2%), limonene (11.0%), β -caryophyllene (10.9%), α -pinene (10.7%), β -pinene (9.7%), germacrene-B (6.9%), α -guaiene (4.6%), β -farnesene (4.3%), spathulenol (2.5%), caryophyllene oxide (2.3%), δ -cadinene (2.1%), trans-ocimene (1.9%)	[23]
	USA Greece	(flowers) cis-p-menth-3-en-1,2-diol (9.1%), α -terpineol (6.1%), terpinen-4-ol (7.4%), limonen-4-ol (3.2%); (leaves) germacrene D (25.7%), β -caryophyllene (9.5%), terpinen-4-ol (2.6%)	[67]
		ishwarane (22.0%), α -himachalene (6.9%), α -pinene (6.4%), β -pinene (6.1%)	[22]
	China	(from Wuxic) docosyl heptyl ether (31.3%), pentyl tetracosyl ether (5.4%), 2-methyl-2-decanol (3.4%), heptacosane (1.3%) pentyl linoleate (1.0%); (from Wushan) docosyl heptyl ether (28.1%), 2-nonanone (6.8%), 2-methyl-2-decanol (5.0%), undecane (2.9%), linalyl oxide (2.3%), pentyl linoleate (2.2%)	[24]
<i>H. perforatum</i> L. ssp. <i>veronense</i> (Schrank) H. Lindb.	Croatia	α -pinene (16.6%), n-nonane (13.6%)	[49]
	Greece	α -selinene (14.6%), β -selinene (14.7%), (E)- β -caryophyllene (10.3%), α -pinene (7.5%), germacrene-D (5.52%)	[31]

Table 1. Cont.

<i>Hypericum</i> spp.	Plant Origin	Main Ingredients of EOs	Reference
<i>H. philonotis</i> Schltld. & Cham.	Mexico	2-methyloctane (52.7%), n-nonane (35.9%), β -pinene (3.5%), 3-methyl-nonane (2.3%)	[28]
<i>H. pruinatum</i> Boiss. & Balansa	Turkey	β -selinene (15%), β -caryophyllene (8%), γ -muurolene (7%), α -selinene (6%), E- β -farnesene (4%), caryophyllene oxide (9%)	[30]
<i>H. pseudohenryi</i> N.Robson	China	heptacosane (2.7%), geranylgeraniol (1.9%), palmitic acid (1.8%)	[24]
<i>H. reflexum</i> L.	Canary Islands	α -pinene (3.3–16.7%), β -pinene (4.6–7.6%), n-undecane (9.7–17.6%), (E)-caryophyllene (4.9–8.2%), δ -cadinene (6.1–7.0%), α -cadinol (1.1–2.8%), caryophyllene oxide (1.4–1.6%) (from 2 collection sites)	[32]
<i>H. rochelii</i> Griseb. & Schenk	Serbia	n-nonane (24.7%), β -pinene (22.4%), germacrene D (7.5%), n-undecane (6.8%), α -pinene (5.8%)	[41]
<i>H. rumeliacum</i> Boiss.	Serbia	flowering phase: undecane (6.6%), dodecanal (10.8%), germacrene D (14.1%); fruitforming phase: α -pinene (7.3%), β -pinene (26.1%), (Z)- β -ocimene (8.5%), (E)-ocimene (10.2%), bicyclogermacrene (7.7%), germacrene D (15.1%)	[44]
<i>H. salsugineum</i> N.Robson & Hub.-Mor.	Turkey	nonacosane (42.7%), hexadecanoic acid (23.2%), baeckeol (6.1%)	[27]
<i>H. scabroides</i> N.Robson & Poulter	Turkey	hexadecanoic acid (17.7%), spathulenol (5.3%), nonacosane (4.4%), dodecanoic acid (4.1%), baeckeol (4.1%), γ -muurolene (3.9%)	[27]
<i>H. scabrum</i> L.	Iran	α -pinene (50.0%), β -pinene (9.7%), limonene (6.6%), (E)- β -ocimene (5.6%), carvacrol (5.8%)	[57]
	Iran	α -pinene (40.9%), spathulenol (7.9%), β -pinene (5%), α -cadinol (4.7%), limonene (4.3%), epi- α -muurolol (3.2%)	[68]
	Iran	α -pinene (32.2%), β -pinene (9.2%), germacrene-D (7.1%), nonane (6.9%), limonene (6.4%), δ -cadinene (5.4%), 2-methyl-octane (3.8%), valencene (3.3%), 2-methyl-decane (3.3%), α -amorphene (3.10%), β -caryophyllene (2.1%)	[23]
	Turkey	α -pinene (74%), β -pinene (4.8%), myrcene (3.4%)	[69]
	Iran	α -pinene (70.2%), p-mentha-1,5-dien-8-ol (2.9%)	[64]
	Turkey	roots: undecane (66.1%); aerial parts: α -pinene (17.5%), γ -terpinene (17.4%), α -thujene (16.9%); flowers: α -pinene (55.6%), α -thujene (10.9%), γ -terpinene (7.7%); fruits oils: α -pinene (85.2%)	[70]
	Lebanon	α -pinene (37.8%), limonene (11.6%), myrcene (5.6%), β -pinene (3.4%), nonane (3%)	[71]
<i>H. silenoides</i> Juss.	Mexico	n-nonane (31.9%), α -pinene (16.1%), n-decanal (15.2%), 1-tridecanol (11.6%), n-dodecanal (10.5%)	[28]
<i>H. thymopsis</i> Boiss.	Turkey	α -pinene (44.0%), baeckeol (32.9%), spathulenol (8.0%), limonene (7.6%), camphene (5.2%)	[27]
<i>H. tomentosum</i> L.	Tunisia	α -pinene (3.7 or 26.3%), β -selinene (1.5 or 4.2%), n-pentacosane (57.0 or 0.6%), 1-heneicosene (10.3% or not detected), n-undecane (3.8 or 6.8%) (from different populations)	[60]

Table 1. Cont.

<i>Hypericum</i> spp.	Plant Origin	Main Ingredients of EOs	Reference
<i>H. triquetrifolium</i> Turra	Turkey	1-hexanal (18.8%), 3-methylnonane (12.5%), α -pinene (12.3%)	[29]
	Iran	germacrene-D (21.7%), β -caryophyllene (18.3%), δ -cadinene (6.4%), trans- β -farnesene (4.3%), α -humulene (3.8%), β -selinene (3.7%), γ -cadinene (3.3%), trans-phytol (3.2%)	[50]
	Greece	(E)- β -caryophyllene (27.9%), caryophyllene oxide (15.7%)	[31]
	Greece	α -pinene (13.9%), 3-methyl-nonane (10.2%), E-caryophyllenne (14.0%), caryophyllene oxide (9.7%), germacrene D (8.2%)	[22]
<i>H. umbellatum</i> A. Kern	Serbia	germacrene D (6.1%), (E)-nerolidol (4.4%), n-nonane (4.0%), (E)-caryophyllene (3.0%), caryophyllene oxide (3.0%)	[41]
<i>H. uniglandulosum</i> Hausskn. ex Bornm.	Turkey	2,6-dimethyl-3,5-heptadien-2-one (40.7%), nonacosane (3.2%), hexadecanoic acid (2.7%), α -pinene (2.7%)	[27]
	Turkey	α -pinene (35.1%), undecane (19.2%), benzoic acid (2.7%), cyclohexasiloxane (2.3%)	[51]

Plant botanical authorities according to IPNI.

During the first studies of *Hypericum* EOs, it was suggested that this group of compounds could serve as chemotaxonomic markers of the genus, and there are a few reports in the literature using statistical techniques to evaluate potential relations [44–48]. However, Crockett [17] pointed out the variability of the EOs at this taxonomic level and that such a hypothesis is not supported when examining data from a wide range of geographic distributions, taxonomic ranks, and seasonality. In general, the various parameters affecting the content, composition, and yields of *Hypericum* EOs could be related to the effect of variables such as genetic factors, developmental stages, and seasonal variation phenological cycle, types of plant material and specific organs used, methods of extraction, environmental conditions, and geographic distribution.

The extensive qualitative and quantitative variability reported for the chemical profile of the EOs from *H. perforatum* [17,19,20] was further supported by the studies of the last decade. The typical compounds being yielded in high amounts include monoterpenes such as α - and β -pinenes, sesquiterpenes such as germacrene-D, ishwarane, cadinenes, β -caryophyllene, and caryophyllene oxide, as well as several hydrocarbons such as n-decane, n-nonane, undecane, and dodecane (Table 1). Much variability has also been reported between subspecies, which is justified by the wide range of morphological variations characterizing these taxa [17]. *H. perforatum* ssp. *veronense* oil from Croatia showed α -pinene and n-nonane as the major constituents [49], while the oil from Greece yielded high amounts of selinenes [31]. Some homogeneity is reported for the composition of the EO of *H. scabrum* from different collection sites, showing that α -pinene is the most represented compound (Table 1). Another study on hypocotyl explants of ten different wild populations of *H. scabrum* evaluated the callus essential oils production with industrial application. According to the analyses, a total of forty-one components were detected with relatively high variation in their essential oil composition. Among constituents, α -pinene (7.6–40.2%), β -pinene (1.3–35.7%), limonene (0.0–32.2%), β -ocimene (0.0–37.9%), and germacrene D (0.2–30.6%) were found as the most abundant constituents [26]. Moreover, significant variability has been documented for the EOs of *H. triquetrifolium*, which, when collected in Greece, yielded either high amounts of α -pinene together with β -caryophyllene [22] or high percentages of β -caryophyllene and very low quantities of α -pinene [31]. Much diversity has been reported for this plant when collected from different populations in Tunisia [45], while the oils from Iran and Turkey were abundant in germacrene-D and hexanal, respectively [29,50]. Tahir et al. [25] characterized the EO from *H. triquetrifolium* cultures produced by the root and stem for the first time and cited that alkane, aldehyde, and monoterpene compounds are the foremost fractions. Furthermore, *H. thymopsis* and

H. scabroides showed different results when collected from other locations in Turkey [27]. *H. uniglandulosum* collected again in Turkey presented α -pinene as the major compound of the EO (35.1%) [51], though Ahmed et al. [27] reported much lower levels of this compound (2.7%), while 2,6-dimethyl-3,5-heptadien-2-one was found to be the major constituent (40.7%). Similar differences in the EOs composition of *H. lydium* collected from Turkey were observed, reporting, on the one hand, α -pinene (58%; 71.2%) [51,52] as the major component, and on the other hand, oxygenated terpenes (verbenone 22.2%, caryophyllene oxide 18.3% [53]). In the latter study, *H. orientale* also collected from Turkey showed high levels of β -selinene (37.1%), though samples collected from France were abundant in α -pinene and undecane [53]. The major compounds for *H. confertum* (syn. *H. saturejifolium*) from different collection sites in Turkey were identified as germacrene D (30.2%) [42], α -pinene (7.8%), γ -muurolene (7.2%), or δ -cadinene (6.5%) [53]. The main compound of *H. hircinum* EO from Greece was (E)-caryophyllene (65.9%), while samples collected in Italy identified nonane or cis-guaiene as the major compounds [21]. The EO from *H. hircinum* ssp. *majus* also afforded cis-guaiene as the main metabolite [54]. Several studies reported α -pinene to be the main constituent of EOs from *H. empetrifolium* collected from Greece [21,39], while when the plant material was collected in Turkey, a sesquiterpene hydrocarbon, i.e., alloaromadendrene, was among the top major compounds [55]. Another sesquiterpene hydrocarbon was identified as the main component of *H. empetrifolium* ssp. *empetrifolium* collected in Greece, (E)- β -farnesene (29.5%) [31]. *H. capitatum* similarly presented differences in the composition of its EO when collected from different locations, more specifically, spathulenol (12.9%) and iso-longifolene (11.2%) or α -pinene (20.3%) were reported as the main constituents from different collection sites in Turkey [56]. Likewise, *H. helianthemoides* from different collection sites in Iran showed β -caryophyllene as the major metabolite [20], which, in a later study, was found in lower levels and the main constituent was α -pinene [57]. *H. patulum* from China showed nonane as the major constituent [58], while an older study presented α -pinene as the main compound, which was also at high levels in a sample from Iran, although the rest of the composition of these EOs was different, for example, β -pinene, which was the main constituent in the EO from Iran was absent in the oil from China [59]. A recent study on *H. pseudohenryi* showed different results in comparison to a previous study and reports the occurrence of highly polar compounds, which is justified by the use of supercritical CO₂ extraction [24]. Regarding *H. perforatum*, a recent study showed that the two most abundant constituents in the EO from Greece (γ -muurolene and δ -cadinene) were described at much lower levels in previous studies [31]. In addition to the documentation of the EO content of inflorescences and leaves from *Hypericum* plants, the aroma of the berry-like fruits from *H. androsamemum* was chemically investigated, showing mainly monoterpene hydrocarbons and especially limonene as the most abundant compound [43].

3.2. Bioactivities from *Hypericum* Essential Oils

During the last decade, further studies were performed using the EOs from *Hypericum* plants and evaluating their biological effects. In fact, 23 of the 27 plants in Table 2 are described herein for new biological effects in comparison with former surveys [20]. Previously, many reports on antimicrobial activities, only a few on insecticidal effects [20], and two studies evaluating antiangiogenetic (*H. perforatum*, using the chicken chorio allantoic membrane assay), and antioxidant (*H. undulatum*) activities were published [20]. Out of the studies presented in Table 2, 17 reports include antimicrobial studies (antibacterial and antifungal) together with an evaluation of other activities, while 10 reports are inclusive of antimicrobial effects. As there is a variety of assays used for the evaluation of antimicrobial potential from *Hypericum* EOs (such as disc diffusion, microdilution, and measurement of MICs assays), it is not easy to make a direct comparison between the observed efficacies between different studies. Moreover, the main constituents characterizing several *Hypericum* EOs, such as α -pinene, β -pinene, and (E)-caryophyllene, also possess significant antimicrobial activities, which justifies the exploration of the genus EOs as antimicrobial

agents [20]. In addition, further biological effects of *Hypericum* EOs are gathered (in vitro: Antiviral, antimalarial, cytotoxic, neuroprotective, tyrosinase inhibitory, and immunomodulatory activities; in vivo: Anti-angiogenic, hepatoprotective, and wound-healing effects), attempting to bridge the potential relationship between traditional uses and *Hypericum* EOs' biological effects.

Table 2. Survey of the biological effects exerted from *Hypericum* spp. EOs.

<i>Hypericum</i> spp.	Plant Origin	EO Biological Activities	Reference
<i>H. aegypticum</i> ssp. <i>webbii</i> (Spach) N. Robson	Greece	antibacterial activity (<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Micrococcus luteus</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella abony</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>S. epidermis</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>)	[38]
<i>H. amblycalyx</i> Coustur. & Gand	Greece	antibacterial activity (<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> , <i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida tropicalis</i> , <i>Candida krusei</i> , <i>Penicillium funiculosum</i> , <i>Penicillium verucosum</i>)	[39]
<i>H. annulatum</i> Moris	Serbia	antibacterial activity (<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella abony</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>Candida albicans</i>)	[41]
<i>H. bellum</i> H.L.Li	China	neurite outgrowth-promoting assay; neuroprotective activity assay; antibacterial activity (<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella enterica</i> ssp. <i>enterica</i> <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ssp. <i>aureus</i>), antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>); tyrosinase inhibitory assay	[24]
<i>H. canariense</i> L.	Canary Islands	antiproliferative (A375 and MDA-MB 231, HCT116 cells by MTT assay); antioxidant activity (phenolic content, DPPH, ABTS and FRAP assays); antibacterial activity (<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>)	[32]
<i>H. saturejifolium</i> Jaub. & Spach (syn. <i>H. confertum</i> Choisy)	Turkey	anti-angiogenic effects using the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay	[42]
<i>H. elegans</i> Steph. ex Willd. mboxemphCandida albicans)	Serbia [41]	antibacterial activity (<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Salmonella abony</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Aspergillus niger</i> ,	
<i>H. empetrifolium</i> Willd.	Greece	antibacterial activity (<i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> , <i>Penicillium funiculosum</i> , <i>P. verucosum</i> , <i>Candida albicans</i> , <i>C. tropicalis</i> , <i>C. krusei</i>)	[39]
	Greece	wound healing in vivo using SKH-hr1 mice	[22]
<i>H. gaitii</i> Haines	India	antioxidant activity (DPPH, ABTS, reducing power assay)	[34]
<i>H. grandifolium</i> Choisy	Canary Islands	antiproliferative (A375 and MDA-MB 231, HCT116 cells by MTT assay); antioxidant activity (phenolic content, DPPH, ABTS and FRAP assays); antibacterial activity (<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>)	[32]

Table 2. Cont.

<i>Hypericum</i> spp.	Plant Origin	EO Biological Activities	Reference
<i>H. helianthemoides</i> (Spach) Boiss.	Iran	antibacterial (<i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> , <i>Proteus vulgaris</i> , <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>); antioxidant activity (DPPH)	[57]
<i>H. ascyron</i> L. (syn. <i>H. hemsleyanum</i> H.Lév. & Vaniot)	China	insecticidal activity (repellency of three plant essential oils against red flour beetle <i>Tribolium castaneum</i>)	[40]
<i>H. hircinum</i> L.	Turkey	anti-angiogenic effects using the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay	[42]
<i>H. hircinum</i> L. ssp. <i>majus</i> (Aiton) N. Robson	Italy	antioxidant activity (DPPH, ABTS); antiproliferative activity (human glioblastoma (T98G), human prostatic adenocarcinoma (PC3), human squamous carcinoma (A431) and mouse melanoma (B16-F1) tumor cell lines by MTT assay)	[54]
<i>H. hookerianum</i> Wight & Arnott	China	neurite outgrowth-promoting assay; neuroprotective activity assay, antibacterial activity (<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella enterica</i> ssp. <i>enterica</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ssp. <i>aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>); tyrosinase inhibitory assay	[24]
<i>H. humifusum</i> L.	Tunisia	insecticidal (larvicidal) activity (<i>Culex pipiens</i>)	[60]
<i>H. jovis</i> Greuter	Greece	antibacterial activity (<i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> , <i>Penicillium funiculosum</i> , <i>P. verucosum</i> , <i>Candida tropicalis</i> , <i>C. krusei</i>)	[39]
<i>H. lydium</i> Boiss.	Turkey	antioxidant activity (on liposome peroxidation, DPPH, superoxide radical scavenging activity, non-site and site-specific hydroxyl radical-mediated 2-deoxy-d-ribose degradation)	[52]
<i>H. maculatum</i> Crantz	Serbia	antibacterial activity (<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella abony</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>Candida albicans</i>)	[46]
<i>H. microcalycinum</i> Boiss. & Heldr. [syn. <i>H. hyssopifolium</i> Chaix ssp. <i>elongatum</i> (Ledeb.) Woron var. <i>microcalycinum</i> . (Boiss. & Heldr.) Boiss.]	Turkey	anti-angiogenic effects using the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay	[42]
<i>H. patulum</i> Thumb.	China	antioxidant activity (DPPH and ABTS ⁺ radicals scavenging assays)	[58]
<i>H. perforatum</i> L.	Romania	antibacterial activity (<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>)	[62]
	Iran	insecticidal effects against <i>Tribolium castaneum</i>	[63]
	Tunisia	larvicidal activity (<i>Culex pipiens</i>)	[60]
	Serbia	antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>)	[86]
	Turkey	insecticidal against adults of Colorado potato beetle, <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i>	[79]
	Turkey	insecticidal activity (fumigant Toxicity against <i>Sitophilus zeamais</i>)	[78]
	Iran	antioxidant activity (β -carotene bleaching and DPPH); antibacterial (<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>)	[64]

Table 2. Cont.

<i>Hypericum</i> spp.	Plant Origin	EO Biological Activities	Reference
	Iran	antibacterial (<i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> , <i>Proteus vulgaris</i> , <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>); antioxidant activity (DPPH)	[57]
	Albania	antioxidant activity (Inhibition of linoleic acid lipid peroxidation, soybean lipoxygenase inhibition, DPPH)	[65]
	Albania	antimicrobial activity (<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>)	[66]
	Turkey	insecticidal activity on <i>Sitophilus granarius</i>	[77]
	Turkey	anti-angiogenic effects using the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane assay	[42]
	Greece	wound healing in vivo using SKH-hr1 mice	[22]
	China	neurite outgrowth-promoting assay; neuroprotective activity assay; antibacterial activity (<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella enterica</i> ssp. <i>Enterica</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ssp. <i>aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>); tyrosinase inhibitory assay	[24]
	USA	immunomodulatory activity	[67]
<i>H. perforatum</i> L. ssp. <i>veronense</i> (Schrank) H. Lindb.	Croatia	antiproliferative (HeLa, HCT116, U2OS); antioxidant activity (ORAC, DPPH); antiphytoviral (Tobacco mosaic virus) activities	[49]
<i>H. pseudohenryi</i> N.Robson	China	neurite outgrowth-promoting assay; neuroprotective activity assay; antibacterial activity (<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella enterica</i> ssp. <i>enterica</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ssp. <i>aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>); tyrosinase inhibitory assay	[24]
<i>H. reflexum</i> L.	Canary Islands	antiproliferative (A375 and MDA-MB 231, HCT116 cells by MTT assay); antioxidant activity (phenolic content, DPPH, ABTS and FRAP assays); antibacterial activity (<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i>)	[32]
<i>H. rochelii</i> Griseb. & Schenk	Serbia	antibacterial activity (<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella abony</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>Candida albicans</i>)	[41]
	Turkey	biting deterrent activity against <i>Aedes aegypti</i> ; antimalarial activity against <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> ; <i>Mycobacterium intracellulare</i> ; antifungal activity (<i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i> , <i>Candida krusei</i>)	[69]
	Turkey	insecticidal effects against adults of <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> Say	[79]
<i>H. scabrum</i> L.	Iran	antioxidant activity (β -carotene bleaching and DPPH); antibacterial (<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>)	[64]
	Iran	modulating effect on hepatic metabolizing enzymes in vivo in rats treated by acetaminophen	[83]
	Iran	hepatoprotective effects against oxidative stress induced by acetaminophen in vivo in rats	[68]
	Iran	antioxidant activity (DPPH and β -carotene assays)	[82]

Table 2. Cont.

<i>Hypericum</i> spp.	Plant Origin	EO Biological Activities	Reference
	Iran	antibacterial activity (<i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> , <i>Proteus vulgaris</i> , <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>); antioxidant activity (DPPH)	[57]
	Turkey	insecticidal activity (<i>Sitophilus granarius</i>)	[77]
	Turkey	antibacterial activity (<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i> , <i>C. tropicalis</i>); antioxidant activity (DPPH)	[70]
	Lebanon	antibacterial activity (<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Candida albicans</i> , <i>Trichophyton rubrum</i> , <i>T. mentagrophytes</i> , <i>T. soudanense</i> , <i>T. violaceum</i> , <i>T. tonsurans</i>); synergistic effect with amphotericin B	[71]
<i>H. tomentosum</i> L.	Tunisia	insecticidal (larvicidal) activity (<i>Culex pipiens</i>)	[60]
<i>H. triquetrifolium</i> Turra	Tunisia	antibacterial activity (<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> , <i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> , <i>Vibrio cholerae</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>Fusarium solani</i> , <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> , <i>Candida albicans</i> , <i>Candida glabrata</i> , <i>Candida krusei</i>); antiviral activity (Coxsackievirus) activities	[72]
	Greece	wound healing in vivo using SKH-hr1 mice	[22]
<i>H. umbellatum</i> A. Kern	Serbia	antibacterial activity (<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Salmonella abony</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>); antifungal activity (<i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>Candida albicans</i>)	[41]

Plant botanical authorities according to IPNI.

3.2.1. In Vitro Studies

Antibacterial Activity

Gram Positive bacteria: The antimicrobial evaluation against *Micrococcus luteus* (ATCC 9341) and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (ATCC 12228) showed moderate effects from the EOs of *H. aegypticum* ssp. *webbii* [38], although previous studies from other plants reported average to good MIC values against these bacteria [20]. Similarly, *S. epidermidis* was reported mostly as resistant to the EOs from *H. triquetrifolium* plants from different collection points [72]. In the same study, the EOs from *H. triquetrifolium* showed, in general, a wide range from moderate to potent activity against other Gram-positive bacteria (such as *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus*), which could be supported by the chemical variation due to environmental parameters. Within the evaluated *Hypericum* EOs, *S. aureus* is on the front line of antibacterial screening, being included in twenty-four studies of sixteen *Hypericum* spp. (Table 2). Moderate effects were presented for *H. aegypticum* ssp. *webbii* and *H. perforatum* EOs against *Enterococcus faecalis* [38] and similar results were reported for *H. perforatum* using the disk diffusion assay [62,66]. Five *Hypericum* species showed good inhibitory activity against *Listeria monocytogene* in two studies. More specifically, the MIC values were 0.020 mg/mL and 0.010 mg/mL for *H. jovis* and *H. amblyocalyx*, respectively, which were comparable with the MIC values observed for the positive controls streptomycin (MIC 0.2 mg/mL) and ampicillin (MIC 0.4 mg/mL) [39]. Likewise, the antimicrobial effects against *L. monocytogene* were evaluated for the EOs from *H. helianthemoides* (MIC 125 µg/mL), *H. scabrum* (MIC 62 µg/mL), and *H. perforatum* (MIC 250 µg/mL), with flumequine (MIC 125 µg/mL), ciprofloxacin (MIC 62 µg/mL), and ampicillin (MIC 62 µg/mL) used as positive controls [57]. The two latter studies also tested the same EOs against *Bacillus cereus*, where good antibacterial activity was observed for *H. empetrifolium* [39] and

H. scabrum [57], which, in comparison with the other under-investigation species, included higher levels of α -pinene. Furthermore, *H. scabrum* presented selective activity with IC_{50} value of 52.98 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ against *Mycobacterium intracellulare* (ATCC 23068), a Gram-positive bacterium that was not tested before [69].

Gram Negative bacteria: Pirbalouti et al. [57] mentioned the antimicrobial evaluation against *Proteus vulgaris*; however, by oversight, the respective table provided data against *Pseudomonas aureginosa* (showing moderate-to-good inhibitory activities from *H. helianthemoides*, *H. scabrum*, and *H. perforatum*). In the same study, the antimicrobial effects against *Salmonella typhimurium* were evaluated for the EOs from *H. helianthemoides* (MIC 125 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$), *H. scabrum* (MIC 125 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$), and *H. perforatum* (MIC 500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$), with the controls flumequine (MIC 62 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$), ciprofloxacin (MIC 125 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$), and ampicillin (MIC 125 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) [57]. *Salmonella typhimurium* strain ATCC 14028 was sensitive against *H. triquertifolium* EOs from different populations with a range of MIC values from 0.39 to 25.00 mg/mL [72]. Moleriu et al. [62] mentioned strong antimicrobial activity from the EO of *H. perforatum* against the same strain using the disc diffusion method. Several EOs from *Hypericum* species showed weak to moderate effects against *Salmonella abony* NCTC 6017 [38,41,46]. Another *Salmonella* sp. (*S. enterica* ssp. *enterica* ATCC14028) was tested using the EOs from *H. perforatum*, *H. hookerarium*, and *H. belum*, and the inhibition rate varied from 1.2 to 32% [24]. Rouis et al. [72] tested *Vibrio cholera* (ATCC 39315) and *Aeromonas hydrophila* (ATCC 7966) for the first time, and *H. triquertifolium* EO showed bacteriostatic effects; however, the results could not be correlated with the main constituents of the EOs from the different collection sites. *H. perforatum* was found to be active against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 13882) using the disc diffusion assay [62,66], while for the strain NCIMB 9111, both *H. perforatum* and *H. aegypticum* ssp. *webbii* showed moderate activity using the broth microdilution method [38]. Contradictory results have been reported for *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aureginosa*, being either sensitive or resistant against the EOs from *Hypericum* spp. [24,32,39,41,64,70]. The observed differences could be attributed to experimental parameters such as the selected protocols, the tested concentrations, or the controls, as well as the chemical profile of the samples.

Antifungal Activity

In the last decade, many *Hypericum* species were used for the antifungal evaluation of their EOs (Table 2). Specifically, similar potent effects were reported against three fungi from the *H. triquertifolium* EOs collected in several sites in Tunisia, showing MIC and MFC values of 3.12 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for *Botrytis cinerea* (tested for the first time in genus EO), *Fusarium solani*, and *Aspergillus niger* [72]. In the same study, the best antifungal activity was exerted against *Candida glabrata*, and the MIC and MFC values were 0.39 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and 1.56 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, respectively. The EO from *H. scabrum* showed selective antimicrobial activity against *Cryptococcus neoformans* (ATCC 90113) (tested for the first time in genus EO) (IC_{50} 34.71 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$), while the IC_{50} for amphotericin B was 0.32 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ [69]. Two further species tested for the first time, *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Penicillium funiculosum*, were sensitive against the EOs from *H. jovis* (MIC and MFC values of 0.015 and 0.030 mg/mL), *H. empetrifolium* (MIC and MFC values of 0.030 and 0.060 mg/mL), and *H. amblyocalyx* (MIC and MFC values of 0.010 and 0.020 mg/mL) [39]. Moreover, *H. jovis* (MIC and MFC values of 0.025 and 0.050 mg/mL), *H. empetrifolium* (MIC and MFC values of 0.030 and 0.060 mg/mL), and *H. amblyocalyx* (MIC and MFC values of 0.010 and 0.020 mg/mL) were active against *Penicillium verucosum* (also evaluated for the first time); the yeasts *C. tropicalis* and *C. krusei* were more sensitive, with MIC values of 0.001–0.010 mg/mL and MFC values of 0.002–0.030 mg/mL , while no remarkable activity was detected against *A. niger* and *C. albicans*. *H. empetrifolium*, which presented greater activity, yielded higher amounts of monoterpene hydrocarbons (especially α -pinene) in comparison with the other under-investigation species [39]. *H. scabrum* EO obtained from flowers was found active against the same strain of *C. tropicalis* (ATCC 750) with an MIC value of 312.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, while the MIC value from the EO from aerial parts was 156.25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, which could be

explained by the differences in the levels of α -pinene in the biomass used (55.6% in flowers vs. 17.5% in aerial parts) [70]. Antimicrobial effects of *Hypericum* EOs against *C. krusei* were firstly evaluated during the last decade, for a clinical isolate as mentioned above [39], as well as the strain ATCC 6258 for *H. triquertifolium* EOs [72] and *H. scabrum* [69], which showed selective antimicrobial activity with IC₅₀ 104.43 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ (amphotericin B used as positive control showed IC₅₀ 0.52 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$). Several studies reported the screening against *Candida albicansi*, featuring mainly fungal resistance or low effects from *Hypericum* EOs. Moreover, *Aspergillus niger* appeared to be the most resistant in several studies [39,41,46], while Rouis et al. [72] reported weak to moderate effects for *H. triquertifolium* EOs.

Antiviral Activity

EOs have been screened against several pathogenic viruses, and their components may act synergistically or potentiate other antiviral agents, or even provide symptom relief [73,74]. *H. triquertifolium* EOs did not show antiviral activity against the coxsackie virus B3 Nancy strain, whether incubated with the virus prior to infection or incubated with Vero cells before the inoculation [72]. However, the activities against other viruses cannot be ruled out because of findings regarding antiviral activities of either EOs from other plants [74] or from *Hypericum* extracts [75]. Moreover, the recent study by Vuko et al. [49] mentioned that the EO from *H. perforatum* was an effective antiphytoviral agent against Tobacco mosaic virus.

Antimalarial Activity

Hypericum has been traditionally used for the treatment of malaria, and there are a few studies investigating the antimalarial activity of its extracts. These studies showed promising results for some extracts and isolated compounds, while the first report for the investigation of the EO from *H. scabrum* showed weak antimalarial activity against two strains of *Plasmodium falciparum* with IC₅₀ values of 28.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ (for D6) and 15.7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ (for W2). Moreover, both strains appeared to be resistant to the pure compounds (α - and β -pinenes and myrcene) [69].

Insecticidal Activity

The insecticidal activity of many plant materials, such as extracts and EOs, has been evaluated against different pests, and monoterpenoids are regarded as the responsible constituents for the observed effects [76]. In the current review, the EOs obtained from *Hypericum* spp. showed insecticidal effects against pests found in stored products and crops, as well as against mosquitos. Parchin et al. [63] reported a remarkable biological response from *H. perforatum* EO by increasing the mortality rate and acting as an antifeedant agent against the adults of the red flour beetle (*Tribolium castaneum* Herbst.), which is a major pest in stored products. The EO from *H. hemsleyanum* was tested against the same pest at a concentration of 31.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ and showed the strongest repellency throughout the experiment (72 h) [40]. *H. perforatum* and *H. scabrum* have been shown to possess insecticidal activities against two further pests related to stored products, i.e., *Sitophilus granarius* [77] and *S. zeamais* [78]. Another study included the EOs from *H. perforatum* and *H. scabrum* among other tested medicinal plants and showed low insecticidal effects against the Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata*) in comparison with the other taxa [79]. *H. scabrum* EO was tested using an in vitro mosquito-biting bioassay against female *Aedes aegypt* and showed higher biting deterrent activity than the solvent control, but the activity was significantly lower than the positive control, DEET (N,N-diethyl-3-methylbenzamide) [69]. EOs from *H. tomentosum*, *H. humifusum*, and *H. perforatum* were subjected to a larval toxicity assay against another mosquito, i.e., *Culex pipiens larvae* [60]. All four oils possessed larvicidal properties, with the EO from *H. tomentosum* being the most promising.

Cytotoxic Activity

In the last decade, the first attempts to evaluate the antiproliferative effects of *Hypericum* EOs were made by Zorzetto et al. [32] reporting strong cytotoxic activity against three human cell lines (A375 human malignant melanoma, MDA-MB 231 human breast adenocarcinoma, and HCT116 human colon carcinoma), using the MTT assay. The authors suggested that synergistic effects take place in the observed cytotoxicity, as previous studies on the commercially available major constituents such as α -pinene, β -pinene, (E)-caryophyllene, and n-nonane exhibited lower activities than the EOs. However, low cytotoxic effects were observed from *H. triquertifolium* EOs using an animal cell line (Vero cells from *Chlorocebus* sp. kidney) [72], as well as from *H. hircinum* ssp. *majus* EO against three human and one animal cell lines (human glioblastoma (T98G), human prostatic adenocarcinoma (PC3), human squamous carcinoma (A431), and mouse melanoma (B16-F1)) using the MTT assay [54]. In a recent study, *H. perforatum* ssp. *veronense* EO showed moderate results against three human cell lines (cervical cancer HeLa, human colon cancer HCT116, and human osteosarcoma U2OS) using the MTS-based cell proliferation assay [49].

Antioxidant Activity

Many studies have been conducted on the antioxidant capacity of *Hypericum* species featuring polar extracts and rarely essential oils. Based on our survey, EOs from eleven species have been investigated so far for their antioxidant effects by in vitro methods such as the evaluation of total phenolic content or β -carotene, DPPH, FRAP, ABTS, and ORAC assays, which have attributed their potential to phenolic compounds (terpenoid and phenylpropanoid), which accounted for some of the principal components of the EOs. The antioxidant activities of *H. scabrum* and *H. perforatum* EOs were evaluated using the β -carotene/linoleic acid and DPPH assays, and the higher activities observed on the DPPH assay were attributed to the synergy of compounds, although the effects were proportional to the levels of α -pinene [64]. The EOs from *H. helianthemoides*, *H. perforatum*, and *H. scabrum* were tested using the DPPH assay, and the authors suggested that the highest observed antioxidant effects of *H. scabrum* could be correlated to the relatively high amounts of α -pinene [57]. Zorzetto et al. [32] investigated the antioxidant power of three species, *H. grandifolium*, *H. reflexum*, and *H. canariense*, by ABTS, DPPH, and FRAP assays resulting in the most active being *H. grandifolium* (from La Esperanza); however, the activities were low in comparison to the respective methanol-acetone extracts. In this case, the authors concluded that the higher levels of oxygenated sesquiterpenes positively contribute to the total antioxidant effects of the EOs. A recent study showed higher antioxidant activity of *H. perforatum* ssp. *veronense* EO than hydrosol in both ORAC and DPPH methods [49]. Another study on *H. gaitii* EO showed moderate antioxidant capacity using the DPPH and ABTS assays [34]. On the other hand, relatively high antioxidant effects were found using DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging assays on *H. hircinum* ssp. *majus* EO with IC₅₀ 680 and 270 mg/mL, respectively (vs. Trolox 32.5 mg/mL and ABTS 24.4 mg/mL), and the main compound was cis- β -guaiene [54]. Similar results were reported for *H. scabrum* EO (major constituent α -pinene 40.9%), which had enough strong radical scavenging activity using the DPPH assay with 18.5% inhibition in comparison to Trolox 36.1%, as well in the β -carotene assay showing 59.9% inhibition in the formation of peroxidation products compared to BHT (91.7%) [68]. The antioxidant potential of EOs has been studied in correlation to their chemistry, and autoxidation occurs in the case of α -pinene or similar components [80].

Neuroprotective Activity

In a recent study, the EOs from *H. perforatum*, *H. hookerianum*, *H. bellum*, and *H. pseudohenryi* were evaluated in the neurite outgrowth-promoting and corticosterone-induced neurotoxicity assays using PC12 cells [24]. *H. bellum* demonstrated the most significant neurite-promoting and neuroprotective activity, and had high levels of sesquiterpenes and especially curdione (30.9%), which presented neuroprotective effects in vivo [81].

Tyrosinase Inhibitory Activity

H. perforatum, *H. hookerianum*, *H. bellum*, as well as *H. pseudohenryi* are traditionally used in China for skin care, thus a recent study by Ji et al. [24] evaluated their EOs in the tyrosinase inhibitory assay. Only the EOs from *H. perforatum* (collected in Wushan) and *H. pseudohenryi* showed tyrosinase inhibition activity but were less active than that of the positive control, kojic acid. Better results on tyrosinase inhibition have been obtained with aqueous or methanolic extracts of *Hypericum* plants [24].

Immunomodulatory Activity

Recently, *H. perforatum* EOs were evaluated for their immunotherapeutic properties by applying Ca^{2+} mobilization, chemotaxis, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, kinase Kd determination, and elastase inhibition assays using human neutrophils [67]. The EO from the leaves was more active in the inhibition of neutrophil Ca^{2+} mobilization, chemotaxis, and ROS production, and yielded higher amounts of mono- and sesqui- terpene hydrocarbons in comparison to the EO from the flowers. The major compounds, such as germacrene D, β -caryophyllene, and α -humulene, were suggested as the active compounds of the EOs from the leaves as they also inhibited the neutrophil responses [67].

3.2.2. In Vivo

Anti-Angiogenic Activity

Excessive angiogenesis characterizes a variety of disorders such as cancer, autoimmune diseases, diabetic retinopathy, obesity, etc. The anti-angiogenic effects of four *Hypericum* EOs were evaluated in vivo using the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane assay [42]. *H. perforatum* EO showed the most significant anti-angiogenic effects, whereas *H. confertum*, *H. hircinum*, and *H. hyssopifolium* ssp. *elongatum* var. *microcalycinum* showed no activity compared to the controls. Though α -pinene was the major metabolite in the EO from *H. perforatum*, other under-investigation species yielding even higher concentrations of this compound were inactive, which suggests the synergistic effects of the minor compounds in the EO of *H. perforatum* [42].

Hepatoprotective Activity

Acetaminophen (paracetamol) is widely used over the counter for pain relief, and acute overdoses are responsible for liver damage. The protective role of *H. scabrum* EO was evaluated on acetaminophen-induced liver damage using Wistar rats in two studies [82,83]. The authors reported that the hepatoprotective effects could be attributed to the modulation of the hepatotoxicity induced by the acetaminophen by adjusting the oxidative stress/antioxidant parameters [82] or rebalancing cytochrome P450, glutathione s-transferase (GST), and liver function markers [83]. The major constituent of *H. scabrum* EO was identified as α -pinene (40.9%) [68].

Wound Healing Activity

In a recent study, the wound healing efficacy of EOs from *Hypericum* spp. was evaluated and compared between species [22]. It is noteworthy that the content of the translucent glands of *Hypericum* plants (EOs and phloroglucinols) is extracted in the infused oil (Oleum Hyperici) [17], which is the well-known preparation, used since ancient Greek times for the treatment of wounds, thus justifying the evaluation of *Hypericum* EOs as wound healing agents. *H. empetrifolium* possessed the most significant healing properties while using *H. perforatum* and *H. triquetrifolium* EOs, but skin inflammation persisted in an in vivo model using hairless SKH-hr1 mice [22]. *H. empetrifolium* (whose EO yielded higher levels of α -pinene (19.0%, vs. 6.4% and 13.9% for *H. perforatum* and *H. triquetrifolium*, respectively)) shows long-term use in Greece for wounds and skin inflammations and it may be the 'hypericon' quoted by Dioscorides, since the previously reported *H. coris* does not grow wild in Greece [22].

3.3. Potential Relationship between Traditional Uses and *Hypericum* Essential Oils Activities

The EOs from *Hypericum* spp. showed promising results as wound healing agents in vivo [22], and since they could be important constituents of the infused oil (*Oleum Hyperici*), they are highly likely to contribute to the wound healing efficacy of *Hypericum* preparations. Moreover, several microorganisms, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli*, are frequently isolated from skin wounds in humans and animals [84], thus the well-documented antimicrobial activities from *Hypericum* EOs could play an important role in order to understand the wound healing effects of *Hypericum*. It is also noteworthy that the enzyme inhibitory activities of *Hypericum* EOs are based on their traditional use for skin care [24]. The studies so far suggest that *Hypericum* EOs have only weak activity against *Plasmodium falciparum*; consequently, other compound classes produced from *Hypericum* plants are responsible for their traditional use as antimalarial agents [85]. The observed hepatoprotective activity of *Hypericum* EOs in vivo [68,83] could correlate with several reports of traditional uses of *Hypericum* plants for liver problems [20]. Moreover, as oxidative stress and inflammatory pathways are linked to depression, the antioxidant effects from *Hypericum* EOs [54,57] could be involved in the anti-depressant efficacies of *Hypericum* preparations. Taking into account the long-term use of *Hypericum* in folk medicine, and in order to establish the traditional uses, further studies based on modern techniques should be conducted on this topic to fulfill the requirement for information on the efficacy and safety of *Hypericum* preparations.

4. Conclusions

Essential oils are highly concentrated complex mixtures biosynthesized by plants to serve specific biological functions, including endogenous defense mechanisms, interaction with other organisms, and adaptations to the environment. Every year, many research papers are produced on this topic, considering EOs' highly valuable sources due to their aromatic and medicinal properties. In this framework, the present review covers literature data from various scientific databases for the period of 2012–2022 and summarizes the existing knowledge on the chemical composition of *Hypericum* EOs, their modern pharmacological data, and, in parallel, attempts to correlate up-to-date knowledge with its traditional uses. Chemically, *Hypericum* EOs include, among others, monoterpene hydrocarbons (α - and β -pinene), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (E-caryophyllene and germacrene D), and oxygenated sesquiterpenes (spathulenol and caryophyllene oxide), while major constituents are, in some cases, n-alkanes (undecane and n-nonane). *Hypericum* EOs have been investigated for a wide range of biological activities in this survey: In vitro antimicrobial, antiangiogenic, antioxidant, antiviral, antimalarial, cytotoxic, neuroprotective, tyrosinase inhibitory, and immunomodulatory activities, as well as in vivo experiments for anti-angiogenic, hepatoprotective, and wound-healing effects. However, other important aspects of the genus, such as the analysis of a standardized *Hypericum* population and the detection of new promising bioactivities, including the establishment of their mechanisms of action and potential drug interactions, should be further investigated.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.S. and G.A.K.; formal analysis, M.-E.G. and C.B.; investigation, M.-E.G. and C.B.; writing—original draft preparation, M.-E.G. and C.B.; writing—review and editing, H.S. and G.A.K.; supervision, H.S. and G.A.K.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Crockett, S.L.; Robson, N.K.B. Taxonomy and Chemotaxonomy of the Genus *Hypericum*. *Med. Aromat. Plant Sci. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *5*, 1–13. [[PubMed](#)]
2. Totelin, L.M.V. Hippocratic Recipes: Oral and written transmission of pharmacological knowledge in fifth- and fourth-century Greece. In *Studies in Ancient Medicine*; Brill: Leiden, The Netherlands, 2009.
3. Berendes, J. *Des Pedianos Dioskurides aus Anazarbos Arzneimittellehre*; F. Enke: Stutgard, Germany, 1902; Reprinted Dr. Martin Sandig; M. Sandig: Wiesbaden, Germany, 1970.
4. Valiakos, E.; Marselos, M.; Sakellaridis, N.; Constantinidis, T.; Skaltsa, H. Ethnopharmacological approach to the herbal medicines of the “Antidotes” in Nikolaos Myrepsos’ Dynameron. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2015**, *163*, 68–82. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Valiakos, E.; Marselos, M.; Sakellaridis, N.; Constantinidis, T.; Skaltsa, H. Ethnopharmacological approach to the herbal medicines of the “Elements Alpha to Delta” in Nikolaos Myrepsos’ Dynameron. Part II. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2017**, *205*, 246–260. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Khan, M.S.A. Chapter 1. Herbal Medicine: Current Trends and Future Prospects. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* **2003**, *4*, 281–288.
7. Mushtaq, S.; Abbasi, B.H.; Uzair, B.; Abbasi, R. Natural products as reservoirs of novel therapeutic agents. *EXCLI J.* **2018**, *17*, 420–451. [[PubMed](#)]
8. Shin, S.-A.; Moon, S.Y.; Kim, W.-Y.; Paek, S.-M.; Park, H.H.; Lee, C.S. Structure-Based Classification and Anti-Cancer Effects of Plant Metabolites. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 2651. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Newman, D.J.; Cragg, G.M. Natural Products as Sources of New Drugs over the Nearly Four Decades from 01/1981 to 09/2019. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2020**, *83*, 770–803. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Zhang, R.; Ji, Y.; Zhang, X.; Kennelly, E.J.; Long, C. Ethnopharmacology of *Hypericum* species in China: A comprehensive review on ethnobotany, phytochemistry and pharmacology. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2020**, *254*, 112686. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Avato, P. A Survey on the *Hypericum* Genus: Secondary Metabolites and Bioactivity. *Stud. in Nat. Prod. Chem.* **2005**, *30*, 603–634.
12. Rodríguez-Landa, J.F.; Contreras, C.M. A review of clinical and experimental observations about antidepressant actions and side effects produced by *Hypericum perforatum* extracts. *Phytomedicine* **2003**, *10*, 688–699. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Winkelmann, K.; San, M.; Kypriotakis, Z.; Skaltsa, H.; Bosilij, B.; Heilmann, J. Antibacterial and Cytotoxic Activity of Prenylated Bicyclic Acylphloroglucinol Derivatives from *Hypericum amblycalyx*. *Z. Nat. C* **2003**, *58*, 527–532. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Jendželovská, Z.; Jendželovský, R.; Kuchárová, B.; Fedoročko, P. *Hypericin* in the Light and in the Dark: Two Sides of the Same Coin. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 560. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Bridi, H.; de Meirelles, G.C.; von Poser, G.L. Structural diversity and biological activities of phloroglucinol derivatives from *Hypericum* species. *Phytochemistry* **2018**, *155*, 203–232. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Grafakou, M.-E.; Barda, C.; Pintač, D.; Lesjak, M.; Heilmann, J.; Skaltsa, H. Prenylated Acylphloroglucinols from *Hypericum jovis* with Anti-inflammatory Potential. *Planta Med.* **2021**, *87*, 1184–1191. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Crockett, S.L. Essential Oil and Volatile Components of the Genus *Hypericum* (Hypericaceae). *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2010**, *5*, 1934578X1000500. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Rios, J.-L. Essential Oils. In *Essential Oils in Food Preservation, Flavor and Safety*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; pp. 3–10.
19. Bertoli, A.; Çirak, C.; Jaime, A. *Hypericum* Species as Sources of Valuable Essential Oils. *Med. Aromat. Plant Sci. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *5*, 29–47.
20. Guedes, A.P.; Franklin, G.; Fernandes-Ferreira, M. *Hypericum* sp.: Essential oil composition and biological activities. *Phytochem. Rev.* **2012**, *11*, 127–152. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Fanouriou, E.; Kalivas, D.; Daferera, D.; Tarantilis, P.; Trigas, P.; Vahamidis, P.; Economou, G. Hippocratic medicinal flora on the Greek Island of Kos: Spatial distribution, assessment of soil conditions, essential oil content and chemotype analysis. *J. Appl. Res. Med. Aromat. Plants* **2018**, *9*, 97–109. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Grafakou, M.-E.; Diamanti, A.; Simirioti, E.; Terezaki, A.; Barda, C.; Sfiniadakis, I.; Rallis, M.; Skaltsa, H. Wound Healing Effects from 3 *Hypericum* spp. Essential Oils. *Planta Med. Int. Open* **2021**, *8*, e69–e77. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Ghiasvand, A.; Shadabi, S.; Hajipour, S.; Nasirian, A.; Borzouei, M.; Hassani-Moghadam, E.; Hashemi, P. Comparison of Ultrasound-Assisted Headspace Solid-Phase Microextraction and Hydrodistillation for the Identification of Major Constituents in Two Species of *Hypericum*. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **2016**, *54*, 264–270. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Ji, Y.; Yang, J.; Zhang, R.; Chen, Q.; Xu, R.; Wei, X.; Chen, X.; Chen, S.; Guo, F.; Kennelly, E.J.; et al. Chemical characterization, neuroprotective, antimicrobial and enzyme inhibitory activities of *Hypericum* volatile oils. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2021**, *172*, 113991. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Tahir, N.A.-R.; Azeez, H.A.; Muhammad, K.A.; Faqe, S.A.; Omer, D.A. Exploring of bioactive compounds in essential oil acquired from the stem and root derivatives of *Hypericum triquetrifolium* callus cultures. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2019**, *33*, 1504–1508. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Kiliç, Ö.; Özdemir, F.A. In vitro callus culture and these callus essential oil compositions of ten populations *Hypericum scabrum* L. from Turkey. *Prog. Nutr.* **2016**, *18*, 166–175.
27. Ahmed, M.; Djabli, N.; Aissat, S.; Khiati, B.; Douichene, S.; Meslem, A.; Berrani, A. Composition of Essential Oils from Five Endemic *Hypericum* Species of Turkey. *Org. Chem. Curr. Res.* **2013**, *2*, 113.

28. La Cruz, L.G.; Caballero-Caballero, S.; Zamudio, S.; Duarte-Lisci, G.; Navarrete, A. Essential Oil Composition of Aerial Parts of *Hypericum silenoides* Juss. and *Hypericum philonotis* Cham. & Schlecht. Growing in Central Mexico. *J. Essent. Oil Bear. Plants* **2013**, *16*, 456–460.
29. Yuce, E.; Bagci, E. The essential oils of the aerial parts of two *Hypericum* taxa (*Hypericum triquetrifolium* and *Hypericum aviculariifolium* subsp. *depilatum* var. *depilatum* (Clusiaceae)) from Turkey. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2012**, *26*, 1985–1990.
30. Cirak, C.; Bertoli, A. Aromatic profiling of wild and rare species growing in Turkey: *Hypericum aviculariifolium* Jaub. and Spach subsp. *depilatum* (Freyn and Bornm.) Robson var. *depilatum* and *Hypericum pruinatum* Boiss. and Bal. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2013**, *27*, 100–107. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Zeliou, K.; Kouli, E.-M.; Papaioannou, C.; Koulakiotis, N.S.; Iatrou, G.; Tsarbopoulos, A.; Papisotiropoulos, V.; Lamari, F.N. Metabolomic fingerprinting and genetic discrimination of four *Hypericum* taxa from Greece. *Phytochemistry* **2020**, *174*, 112290. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Zorzetto, C.; Sánchez-Mateo, C.C.; Rabanal, R.M.; Lupidi, G.; Petrelli, D.; Vitali, L.A.; Bramucci, M.; Quassinti, L.; Caprioli, G.; Papa, F.; et al. Phytochemical analysis and in vitro biological activity of three *Hypericum* species from the Canary Islands (*Hypericum reflexum*, *Hypericum canariense* and *Hypericum grandifolium*). *Fitoterapia* **2015**, *100*, 95–109. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Azadi, B. Volatile constituents of *Hypericum asperulum* Jaub. & Spach aerial parts from Iran. *Int. J. Phytomed.* **2013**, *5*, 367–372.
34. Kamila, P.K.; Ray, A.; Jena, S.; Mohapatra, P.K.; Panda, P.C. Chemical composition and antioxidant activities of the essential oil of *Hypericum gaitii* Haines—An endemic species of Eastern India. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2018**, *32*, 739–742. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Bertoli, A.; Çirak, C.; Seyis, F. *Hypericum origanifolium* Willd.: The essential oil composition of a new valuable species. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2015**, *77*, 676–679. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Rojas, J.; Buitrago, A.; Rojas, L.B.; Morales, A. Chemical Composition of *Hypericum laricifolium* Juss. Essential Oil Collected from merida-Venezuela. *Med. Aromat. Plants* **2012**, *2*, 5.
37. Verma, R.S.; Padalia, R.C.; Chauhan, A.; Chanotiya, C.S.; Yadav, A. Chemical composition of the aliphatic compounds rich essential oil of *Hypericum japonicum* Thunb. ex Murray from India. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **2012**, *24*, 501–505. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Marčetić, M.D.; Milenković, M.T.; Lakušić, D.V.; Lakušić, B.S. Chemical Composition and Antimicrobial Activity of the Essential Oil and Methanol Extract of *Hypericum aegypticum* subsp. *webbii* (SPACH) N. ROBSON. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2016**, *13*, 427–436. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Grafakou, M.-E.; Diamanti, A.; Antaloudaki, E.; Kypriotakis, Z.; Ćirić, A.; Soković, M.; Skaltsa, H. Chemical Composition and Antimicrobial Activity of the Essential Oils of Three Closely Related *Hypericum* Species Growing Wild on the Island of Crete, Greece. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 2823. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Wagan, T.A.; Hu, D.; He, Y.; Nawaz, M.; Nazir, T.; Mabubu, J.I.; Hua, H. Repellency of three plant essential oils against red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst, 1797) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Turk. J. Entomol.* **2016**, *40*, 347–354. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Đorđević, A.; Lazarević, J.; Šmelcerović, A.; Stojanović, G. The case of *Hypericum rochelii* Griseb. & Schenk and *Hypericum umbellatum* A. Kern. essential oils: Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2013**, *77*, 145–148.
42. Kıyan, H.T.; Demirci, B.; Başer KH, C.; Demirci, F. The in vivo evaluation of anti-angiogenic effects of *Hypericum* essential oils using the chorioallantoic membrane assay. *Pharm. Biol.* **2014**, *52*, 44–50. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Caprioli, G.; Iannarelli, R.; Cianfaglione, K.; Fiorini, D.; Giuliani, C.; Lucarini, D.; Papa, F.; Sagratini, G.; Vittori, S.; Maggi, F. Volatile profile, nutritional value and secretory structures of the berry-like fruits of *Hypericum androsaemum* L. *Food Res. Int.* **2016**, *79*, 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Radulović, N.S.; Blagojević, P.D. Chemical Composition of *Hypericum rumeliacum* BOISS. Essential Oil. A New Chemotype of This Pharmacologically Valuable Species? *Chem. Biodivers.* **2012**, *9*, 2324–2341. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Rouis, Z.; Elaissi, A.; Abid, N.B.S.; Lassoued, M.A.; Cioni, P.L.; Flamini, G.; Aouni, M. Chemical Composition and Intraspecific Variability of the Essential Oils of Five Populations of *Hypericum triquetrifolium* Turra Growing in North Tunisia. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2012**, *9*, 806–816. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Đorđević, A.S.; Lazarević, J.S.; Petrović, G.M.; Zlatković, B.K.; Solujić, S.R. Chemical and Biological Evaluation of *Hypericum maculatum* CRANTZ Essential Oil. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2014**, *11*, 140–149. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Bardhi, N.; Stefkov, G.; Karapandzova, M.; Cvetkovikj, I.; Kulevanova, S. Essential oil composition of indigenous populations of *Hypericum perforatum* L. from southern Albania. *Maced. J. Chem. Chem. Eng.* **2015**, *34*, 333. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Morshedloo, M.R.; Ebadi, A.; Maggi, F.; Fattahi, R.; Yazdani, D.; Jafari, M. Chemical characterization of the essential oil compositions from Iranian populations of *Hypericum perforatum* L. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2015**, *76*, 565–573. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Vuko, E.; Dunkić, V.; Ruščić, M.; Nazlić, M.; Mandić, N.; Soldo, B.; Šprung, M.; Fredotović, Ž. Chemical Composition and New Biological Activities of Essential Oil and Hydrosol of *Hypericum perforatum* L. ssp. *veronense* (Schrank) H. Lindb. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, 1014. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Sajjadi, S.E.; Mehregan, I.; Taheri, M. Essential oil composition of *Hypericum triquetrifolium* Turra growing wild in Iran. *Res. Pharm. Sci.* **2015**, *10*, 90–94.
51. Yüce-Babacan, E.; Bagci, E. Essential oil composition of *Hypericum uniglandulosum* Hausskn. ex Bornm. and *Hypericum lydium* Boiss. from Turkey. *Int. J. Nat. Life Sci.* **2017**, *1*, 12–16.
52. Şerbetçi, T.; Özsoy, N.; Demirci, B.; Can, A.; Kültür, Ş.; Başer, K.H.C. Chemical composition of the essential oil and antioxidant activity of methanolic extracts from fruits and flowers of *Hypericum lydium* Boiss. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2012**, *36*, 599–606. [[CrossRef](#)]

53. Bertoli, A.; Çirak, C.; Seyis, F. *Hypericum* spp. volatile profiling and the potential significance in the quality control of new valuable raw material. *Microchem. J.* **2018**, *136*, 94–100. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Quassinti, L.; Lupidi, G.; Maggi, F.; Sagratini, G.; Papa, F.; Vittori, S.; Bianco, A.; Bramucci, M. Antioxidant and antiproliferative activity of *Hypericum hircinum* L. subsp. *majus* (Aiton) N. Robson essential oil. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2013**, *27*, 862–868. [[PubMed](#)]
55. Boga, M.; Ersoy, E.; Eroglu Ozkan, E.; Cinar, E.; Mataraci Kara, E.; Yesil Canturk, Y.; Zengin, G. Volatile and phenolic profiling of a traditional medicinal plant, *Hypericum empetrifolium* with in vitro biological activities. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2021**, *272*, 113933. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Boga, M.; Ertas, A.; Eroglu-Ozkan, E.; Kizil, M.; Ceken, B.; Topcu, G. Phytochemical analysis, antioxidant, antimicrobial, anticholinesterase and DNA protective effects of *Hypericum capitatum* var. *capitatum* extracts. *S. Afr. J. Bot.* **2016**, *104*, 249–257. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Ghasemi Pirbalouti, A.; Fatahi-Vanani, M.; Craker, L.; Shirmardi, H. Chemical composition and bioactivity of essential oils of *Hypericum helianthemoides*, *Hypericum perforatum* and *Hypericum scabrum*. *Pharm. Biol.* **2014**, *52*, 175–181. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Duan, J.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, W.; Yao, H.; Li, Y.; Zhang, C. Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Activity of the Essential Oil of *Hypericum patulum* (Family: Clusiaceae). *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **2018**, *20*, 6.
59. Morshedloo, M.R.; Yazdani, D.; Aghayi, F. Chemical Composition of the Essential Oil from *Hypericum patulum* Thunb. Cultivated in Iran. *J. Essent. Oil Bear. Plants* **2014**, *17*, 131–135. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Rouis, Z.; Laamari, A.; Abid, N.; Elaissi, A.; Cioni, P.L.; Flamini, G.; Aouni, M. Chemical composition and larvicidal activity of several essential oils from *Hypericum* species from Tunisia. *Parasitol. Res.* **2013**, *112*, 699–705. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Hajdari, A.; Mustafa, B.; Nebija, D.; Kashtanjeva, A.; Widelski, J.; Glowinski, K.; Novak, J. Essential oil composition and variability of *Hypericum perforatum* L. from wild population in Kosovo. *Curr. Issues Pharm. Med. Sci.* **2014**, *27*, 51–54. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Moleriu, L.; Jianu, C.; Bujanca, G.; Doros, G.; Misca, C.; Ilie, O.C.; Moleriu, R.D.; Ilie, A.C. Essential Oil of *Hypericum perforatum*. The chemical composition and antimicrobial activity. *Rev. Chim.* **2017**, *68*, 687–692. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Parchin, R.A.; Ebadollahi, A. Biological Activities of *Hypericum perforatum* L. Essential Oil against Red Flour Beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *J. Entomol.* **2016**, *13*, 91–97. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Akhbari, M.; Batooli, H.; Mozdianfard, M. Comparative study of composition and biological activities of SDE prepared essential oils from flowers and fruits of two *Hypericum* species from central Iran. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2012**, *26*, 193–202. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
65. Hodaj-Çeliku, E.; Tsiftoglou, O.; Shuka, L.; Abazi, S.; Hadjipavlou-Litina, D.; Lazari, D. Antioxidant Activity and Chemical Composition of Essential Oils of some Aromatic and Medicinal Plants from Albania. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2017**, *12*, 1934578X1701200. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Jianu, C.; Golet, I.; Misca, C.; Jianu, A.M.; Pop, G.; Gruia, A.T. Antimicrobial Properties and Chemical Composition of Essential Oils Isolated from Six Medicinal Plants Grown in Romania Against Foodborne Pathogens. *Rev. Chim.-Buchar.-Orig. Ed.* **2016**, *67*, 1056–1061.
67. Schepetkin, I.; Özek, G.; Özek, T.; Kirpotina, L.; Khlebnikov, A.; Quinn, M. Chemical Composition and Immunomodulatory Activity of *Hypericum perforatum* Essential Oils. *Biomolecules* **2020**, *10*, 916. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Dadkhah, A.; Roshanaei, K.; Fatemi, F.; Kazemi, M.; Alipour, M.; Abdolmohammadi, M.H. Biological Properties of Iranian *Hypericum Scabrum* Essential oil and Hydroalcoholic Extract from Alamut Mountain. *J. Essent. Oil Bear. Plants* **2014**, *17*, 186–195. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Tabanca, N.; Demircib, B.; Alia, A.; Khana, S.I.; Jacoba, M.R.; Aytacc, Z.; Khana, I.A. Chemical Composition, Biting Deterrent, Antimalarial and Antimicrobial Activity of Essential Oil from *Hypericum scabrum* L. *Curr. Bioact. Compd.* **2015**, *11*, 62–72. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Ergin, K.N.; Karakaya, S.; Göger, G.; Sytar, O.; Demirci, B.; Duman, H. Anatomical and Phytochemical Characteristics of Different Parts of *Hypericum scabrum* L. Extracts, Essential Oils, and Their Antimicrobial Potential. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 1228. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Fahed, L.; Beyrouthy, M.E.; Ouaini, N.; Eparvier, V.; Stien, D.; Vitalini, S.; Iriti, M. Antimicrobial Activity and Synergy Investigation of *Hypericum scabrum* Essential Oil with Antifungal Drugs. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 6545. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Rouis, Z.; Abid, N.; Koudja, S.; Yangui, T.; Elaissi, A.; Cioni, P.L.; Flamini, G.; Aouni, M. Evaluation of the cytotoxic effect and antibacterial, antifungal, and antiviral activities of *Hypericum triquetrifolium* Turra essential oils from Tunisia. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* **2013**, *13*, 24. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Da Silva, J.K.R.; Figueiredo, P.L.B.; Byler, K.G.; Setzer, W.N. Essential Oils as Antiviral Agents, Potential of Essential Oils to Treat SARS-CoV-2 Infection: An In-Silico Investigation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 3426. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Ma, L.; Yao, L. Antiviral Effects of Plant-Derived Essential Oils and Their Components: An Updated Review. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 2627. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Birt, D.F.; Widrlechner, M.P.; Hammer, K.D.P.; Hillwig, M.L.; Wei, J.; Kraus, G.A.; Murphy, P.A.; McCoy, J.-A.; Wurtele, E.S.; Neighbors, J.D.; et al. *Hypericum* in infection: Identification of anti-viral and anti-inflammatory constituents. *Pharm. Biol.* **2009**, *47*, 774–782. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
76. Ayvaz, A.; Sagdic, O.; Karaborklu, S.; Ozturk, I. Insecticidal Activity of the Essential Oils from Different Plants against Three Stored-Product Insects. *J. Insect Sci.* **2010**, *10*, 21. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Kordali, S.; Usanmaz, A.; Bayrak, N.; Çakır, A. Fumigant Toxicity of Essential Oils of Nine Plant Species from Asteraceae and Clusiaceae against *Sitophilus granarius* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control* **2012**, *22*, 11–14.
78. Kordali, S.; Emsen, B.; Yildirim, E. Fumigant Toxicity of Essential Oils from Fifteen Plant Species against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control* **2013**, *23*, 241–246.

79. Usanman, A.; Usanmaz, B.; Kordali, A.S.K.M.; Altinok, M.A.; Ercisli, S.; Kaya, Y. Toxic Effects of Eight Plant Essential Oils Against Adults of Colorado Potato Beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control* **2016**, *26*, 439–443.
80. Amorati, R.; Foti, M.C.; Valgimigli, L. Antioxidant Activity of Essential Oils. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2013**, *61*, 10835–10847. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Li, X.-J.; Liang, L.; Shi, H.-X.; Sun, X.-P.S.; Wang, J.; Zhang, L.-S. Neuroprotective effects of curdione against focal cerebral ischemia reperfusion injury in rats. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* **2017**, *13*, 1733–1740. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Dadkhah, A.; Fatemi, F.; Farsani, M.E.; Roshanaei, K.; Alipour, M.; Aligolzadeh, H. Hepatoprotective effects of Iranian *Hypericum scabrum* essential oils against oxidative stress induced by acetaminophen in rats. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* **2014**, *57*, 340–348. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Dadkhah, A.; Fatemi, F.; Alipour, M.; Fatourehchi, S.; Parchini, F.S. Regulatory Effect of Iranian *Hypericum Scabrum* Essential Oils on Hepatic Metabolizing Enzymes in Rats Treated by Acetaminophen. *J. Essent. Oil Bear. Plants* **2015**, *18*, 335–348. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Puca, V.; Marulli, R.Z.; Grande, R.; Vitale, I.; Niro, A.; Molinaro, G.; Prezioso, S.; Muraro, R.; Di Giovanni, P. Microbial Species Isolated from Infected Wounds and Antimicrobial Resistance Analysis: Data Emerging from a Three-Years Retrospective Study. *Antibiotics* **2021**, *10*, 1162. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Zofou, D.; Kowa, T.K.; Wabo, H.K.; Ngemenya, M.N.; Tane, P.; Titanji, V.P. *Hypericum lanceolatum* (Hypericaceae) as a potential source of new anti-malarial agents: A bioassay-guided fractionation of the stem bark. *Malar. J.* **2011**, *10*, 167. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
86. Tambur, Z.; Milošević, D.C.; Mileusnić, I.; Doder, R.; Marjanović, M.; Selimović, B.M.; Kulišić, Z.; Opačić, D. Inhibitory effects of different medicinal plants on *Candida albicans* growth. *Med. Weter.* **2018**, *74*, 473–476. [[CrossRef](#)]