

# Causal associations between 45 dietary intake habits and urolithiasis: insights from genetic studies

 $\Delta$ Lin Yang<sup>1#</sup>, Li Wang<sup>2#</sup>, Ying Liu<sup>1#</sup>, Erhao Bao<sup>1</sup>, Jiahao Wang<sup>1</sup>, Long Xia<sup>1</sup>, Ben Wang<sup>1</sup>, Pingyu Zhu<sup>1</sup>^

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, Nanchong, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Urology, The Second Hospital of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China

*Contributions:* (I) Conception and design: L Yang, L Wang; (II) Administrative support: P Zhu; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: Y Liu, E Bao; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: L Wang, B Wang; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: L Xia, J Wang; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

# These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence to:* Pingyu Zhu, MD, PhD. Department of Urology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, No. 1 Maoyuan South Road, Shunqing District, Nanchong 637000, China. Email: zhupingyu@nsmc.edu.cn.

> Background: Different dietary habits can have varying effects on human health and metabolism, and these can be intervened and regulated. Kidney stones, as a disease caused by multiple factors, are largely attributed to diet and metabolism, but the potential causal relationship with dietary intake habits remains unclear. Therefore, this study aims to link the predicted dietary intake based on 45 genetic factors with urolithiasis and explore the potential causal relationship.

> Methods: We extracted complete genome-wide association studies (GWASs) data on 45 dietary intake traits from the UK Biobank study. Data on kidney stones were obtained from the FinnGen database. In both univariable and multivariable Mendelian randomization analyses, we used inverse variance weighted (IVW) as the primary method to calculate P values, odds ratios (ORs), and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We examined result heterogeneity using Cochran's Q test. We also carefully investigated potential sources of horizontal pleiotropy using the Mendelian randomization (MR)-PRESSO and MR-Egger methods, and conducted linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC) analysis on the corrected P values.

> Results: Through univariable analysis, we identified 11 dietary habits that potentially causally associate with kidney stones among the 45 examined traits, including 9 protective factors and 2 risk factors. Based on the corrected results with false discovery rate (FDR) and sensitivity analysis, we found one relatively robust evidence. We controlled for common stone risk factors, such as body mass index and smoking, as confounders in multivariable analysis, and no significant results were observed after controlling for these confounders. Based on the LDSC analysis, most of the evidence supports significant genetic correlations with urolithiasis among the 11 traits with potential causal associations.

> **Conclusions:** This study confirms the impact of certain dietary factors on the development of kidney stones. Our findings contribute to providing evidence for dietary adjustments in daily life or dietary guidance specifically targeting kidney stone patients.

Keywords: Diet; dietary habits; FinnGen; urolithiasis; Mendelian randomization (MR)

Submitted Feb 08, 2024. Accepted for publication Jun 16, 2024. Published online Jul 16, 2024. doi: 10.21037/tau-24-79 **View this article at:** https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-24-79

^ ORCID: 0000-0002-8065-085X.

#### Introduction

Urinary stone disease is one of the most common diseases in urology and a challenging global public health issue. Surprisingly, the incidence rate of urolithiasis in Europe has reached as high as 5–9% and continues to rise. On the other hand, the high recurrence rate of stone formers has become a challenging problem that requires expensive medical costs to manage (1,2). Currently, treatment options for urinary stone disease mainly include surgical intervention, ESWL, and medical therapy (3). However, these conventional treatment approaches fail to effectively prevent disease recurrence and pose potential surgical risks, medication side effects, and substantial economic losses. Therefore, it is imperative to focus on disease prevention and intervention for alleviation of the disease recurrence. Renal and ureteral stones, as systemic multifactorial diseases, are closely related to metabolic processes in the body (4), and dietary habits have a significant impact on kidney stones and urine metabolism. Previous studies have found that high sulfur-containing animal proteins, such as beef and pork, generate more acid load, thereby promoting the formation of kidney stones, and have suggested that sufficient dietary intake of fruits and vegetables can reduce the risk of stone recurrence (5,6). They also supported the findings that beverages such as orange juice, alcohol, coffee, and tea are negatively associated with the formation of kidney stones (6). Certainly, besides various dietary

#### **Highlight box**

#### **Key findings**

• This study identified 11 dietary habits that potentially causally associate with kidney stones, including 9 protective factors and 2 risk factors. Genetic correlations with urolithiasis were found among these traits.

#### **What is known and what is new?**

- It is known that diet and metabolism play a role in kidney stone development, but the causal relationship with specific dietary intake habits was unclear.
- This study provides new evidence linking genetic-based dietary intake with urolithiasis.

#### **What is the implication, and what should change now?**

• The findings suggest the importance of dietary adjustments in daily life for kidney stone prevention and management. Healthcare providers may consider tailored dietary guidance targeting kidney stone patients based on genetic factors and identified dietary habits.

habits can interacting with kidney stones in multiple ways, there exist confounding factors beyond diet that can influence the disease. Therefore, it is necessary to further evaluate the relationship between them accurately using scientific methods. In this study, we employed Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis, which naturally eliminates the interference of confounding factors in the environment at the genetic level. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as instrumental variables (IVs) for the exposure (e.g., various dietary habits) are used to estimate whether there is a causal relationship between the exposure and the outcome. Previous studies have utilized MR analysis to investigate the causal associations between coffee, tea, alcohol intake and frequency, fresh fruit consumption, and kidney stones (7-10). However, these studies only focused on a limited part of dietary habits and did not accurately classify different types of alcoholic beverages. Diverse types of alcohol may have different effects on upper urinary tract stones and lower urinary tract stones. For example, punch is positively associated with the formation of urinary stones, while beer has a protective effect against stone formation (11,12). As a result, our study aims to use the MR analysis approach to determine the relationship between dietary habits and urinary stones, which can help in preventing the occurrence of urinary stones effectively through the regulation of modifiable dietary patterns. We present this article in accordance with the STREGA reporting checklist (available at https://tau.amegroups[.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-](https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/rc)[24-79/rc](https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/rc)).

#### Methods

#### *Study design and data source*

The research workflow framework for analysis is shown in *Figure 1*. In this study, we used 45 dietary habits as exposures, including cereal, bread, fruit and vegetables, meat and fish, dairy products, drinks, salt, and other food intake habits. The relevant genome-wide association studies (GWASs) summary data were obtained from the integrative epidemiology unit (IEU) Open GWAS project, which recruited over 500,000 participants from European populations between 2006 and 2010 (13). The participants' dietary frequency data on common foods and beverages over the past year were collected through touchscreen questionnaires. Smoking and body mass index (BMI) are common risk factors for stone formation (14-16), so we collected data on these risk factors from the



**Figure 1** The flowchart of study design. MR, Mendelian randomization; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; IVW-FE, inverse variance weighted method with fixed effects; MRE, models of random effect; FDR, false discovery rate; LDSC, linkage disequilibrium score regression; MVMR, multivariable MR; BMI, body mass index.

same source. Additionally, to control for these risk factors, they were included in the multivariable analysis together with significant MR results of risk factors. Urinary stone disease was considered as the outcome. Urinary stone disease includes upper urinary tract stones and lower urinary tract stones. However, most studies have only

focused on upper urinary tract stones and even consider them as synonymous with urinary stone disease, while neglecting the less common lower urinary tract stones. To comprehensively investigate the causal relationship between dietary habits and urinary stone disease, we have made a more detailed classification of urinary stone

Dataset type	Variable	<b>GWAS ID</b>	Sample size	Consortium	Journal	Population	Sex	
Exposure	Dietary habits	See Table S1		<b>MRC-IEU</b>	Nature	European	Males and females	
	<b>BMI</b>	ukb-b-19953	461460	<b>MRC-IEU</b>	Nature	European	Males and females	
	Ever smoked	ukb-b-20261	461066	<b>MRC-IEU</b>	Nature	European	Males and females	
Outcome	Calculus of kidney and ureter	<b>NA</b>	376406	FinnGen	<b>Nature</b>	European	Males and females	
	Calculus of lower urinary tract	<b>NA</b>	368091	FinnGen	Nature	European	Males and females	

**Table 1** Description of GWAS data sources for each phenotype

GWAS, genome-wide association study; GWAS ID, identity document of GWAS; BMI, body mass index; MRC, the medical research council; IEU, integrative epidemiology unit.

disease. Additionally, clarifying the location of the stone will aid in more subsequent detailed research and clinical recommendations. we obtained GWAS summary data for upper urinary tract stones (kidney and ureter) and lower urinary tract stones from the latest version (version 9) of the FinnGen consortium database (17). The former included 9,713 cases and 366,693 controls based on ICD-10 criteria, and the latter identified 1,398 cases and 366,693 controls based on ICD-10 codes. Detailed information and data sources for the 45 dietary habits and kidney stone outcomes can be found in [Table S1](https://cdn.amegroups.cn/static/public/TAU-24-79-Supplementary.pdf) and *Table 1*. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

#### *Selection of genetic instrument for diet habits*

We applied a parameter threshold of P<5e−6 to filter the SNPs for the IVs representing dietary intake habits. We also employed the linkage disequilibrium (LD) clumping method with parameters  $r^2$ =0.001 and kb =10,000 to remove SNPs in linkage disequilibrium. To exclude SNPs that may be associated with the outcome, we set the filtering criteria as P<5e−5. Next, we applied a threshold of F-statistic >10 to exclude weak IVs. MR-PRESSO proves to assess horizontal pleiotropy and identify outliers. After removing the outliers, we proceeded with the final MR analysis using the remaining IVs (18).

#### *Statistical analysis*

Statistical analyses in this study were performed using the r4.3.0 software, with the packages "TwoSampleMR" and "MRPRESSO". In the primary univariable and twosample analyses, the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method was the main statistical approach used to assess the causal relationship between exposure and outcome. Before conducting the analysis, we assessed heterogeneity among the included studies using Cochran's Q test. If heterogeneity was present, the random-effects IVW model was used; otherwise, the fixed-effects IVW model was employed (19). We conducted sensitivity analyses using multiple MR methods, including weighted median, MR Egger, and MR-PRESSO, to examine potential outliers and horizontal pleiotropy issues, thereby enhancing the reliability of the data and results (20-22). Subsequently, we performed false discovery rate (FDR) correction on the significant p-values obtained from the IVW method and integrated the corresponding sensitivity analysis results to further stratify the evidence for potential causal relationships into "reliable", "insufficient", and "weak" levels. The criteria for evaluation were as follows: (I) corrected P value less than 0.05. (II) Significant estimates (P value less than 0.05) from MR-weighted median and MR-PRESSO, respectively. Next, we conducted multivariable MR (MVMR) analysis, which adjusts for the effects of multiple exposures on the outcome and provides direct causal estimates for individual exposures (23). In MVMR, we controlled for BMI and smoking status as covariates and used the IVW method and MR Egger to estimate whether the included models with potential causal associations had positive results and whether there was horizontal pleiotropy after FDR correction. Additionally, we performed MR Steiger analysis to explore the reverse causation of our causal relationships (24). Finally, to address the issue of collinearity among multiple exposure phenotypes, we used the linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC) R package to perform LDSC analysis on the FDR-corrected significant results, evaluating the genetic correlation (Rg) between pairs of phenotypes. If the results were not statistically significant, it indicated the absence of collinearity (25).



**Figure 2** Circle heatmap of Mendelian randomization associations between the 45 dietary intakes and urolithiasis using the IVW method. IVW, inverse variance weighted.

#### **Results**

# *Univariate MR analysis*

We conducted various univariable MR analysis methods and FDR correction analysis of P values to examine the associations between 45 dietary habits and different types of urinary stones. The analysis results were then stratified and evaluated based on specific criteria, leading to the identification of 11 potential causal associations, including 1 with reliable evidence, 4 with insufficient evidence, and 6 with weak evidence. The summary results of all univariable IVW analyses and sensitivity analyses can be found in *Figure 2* and [Tables S2-S4,](https://cdn.amegroups.cn/static/public/TAU-24-79-Supplementary.pdf) while the FDR correction results are presented in [Table S5](https://cdn.amegroups.cn/static/public/TAU-24-79-Supplementary.pdf). Among the common dietary habits, tea intake was the only one with reliable causal evidence. Even after FDR correction, it remained significantly associated with a reduced risk of upper urinary stones in the kidney and ureter [odds ratio (OR) =0.516, 95% confidence interval (CI): −0.928 to −0.394, P=1.17E−06, FDR =5.28E-05, weighted median-Pval =1.53E−03, MR-Presso-Pval =6.61E−07]. However, it did not show a significant impact on lower urinary stones (OR =0.818, 95% CI: 0.468 to 1.429, P=4.80E−01, FDR =9.99E−01). Among the associations with insufficient evidence, three dietary habits showed evidence of reducing the risk of upper urinary stones: oily fish  $(OR = 0.71,$ 97% CI: 0.54 to 0.935, P=0.015, FDR =0.165, weighted median-Pval =2.55E−02, MR-Presso-Pval =1.58E−02), wholemeal or wholegrain bread (OR =0.508, 95% CI: 0.276 to 0.935, P=0.03, FDR =0.212, weighted median-Pval =1.29E−02, MR-Presso-Pval =3.26E−02), and fresh fruit (OR = 0.656, 95% CI: 0.435 to 0.99, P=0.045, FDR =0.252, weighted median-Pval =1.11E−02, MR-Presso-Pval =4.67E−02). However, instant coffee was positively

<b>Exposure</b>	No.of SNP	Outcome	<b>OR(95% CI)</b>		P	Opval
Reliable evidence						
Tea intake	135	kidney and ureter	$0.52$ (0.40 to 0.67)	<b>IDI</b>		< 0.001 < 0.001
<b>Insufficient evidence</b>						
Coffee type: Instant coffee	29	kidney and ureter	4.80 $(1.63$ to 14.11)		$\div 0.004$	0.008
Oily fish intake	159	kidney and ureter	$0.71(0.54 \text{ to } 0.93)$	юí		0.015 < 0.001
Bread type: Wholemeal or wholegrain	78	kidney and ureter	$0.51(0.28 \text{ to } 0.93)$	$H \rightarrow$	0.030	0.080
Fresh fruit intake	138	kidney and ureter	$0.66(0.43 \text{ to } 0.99)$	юH	0.045	0.007
<b>Weak</b> evidence						
Water intake	144	lower urinary tract	$0.39(0.21 \text{ to } 0.72)$	$H =$	0.003	0.632
Coffee type: Decaffeinated coffee (any type)	16	lower urinary tract	76.85 (1.97 to 2991.43)		$\rightarrow 0.020$	0.560
Average weekly beer plus cider intake	100	lower urinary tract	$0.36(0.14 \text{ to } 0.92)$	$-1$	0.032	0.114
Milk type used: Skimmed	27	kidney and ureter	$0.23$ (0.07 to 0.78)	H	0.018	0.208
Coffee intake	113	kidney and ureter	$0.60$ (0.42 to 0.87)	<b>He-li</b>		0.007 < 0.001
Coffee type: Ground coffee (include espresso, filter etc) 96		kidney and ureter	$0.51(0.28 \text{ to } 0.95)$	H $\mathbf{0}$	0.033 3 2 $\overline{4}$	0.002

Figure 3 The assessment of the strength of potential causal associations after evaluation by FDR correction and sensitivity analysis, showing the results by the IVW method. SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; FDR, false discovery rate; IVW, inverse variance weighted.

#### **Table 2** MVMR analysis in two causality evidences



M1: controlling for BMI; M2: controlling for ever smoked. MVMR, multivariable Mendelian randomization; IVW, inverse variance weighted; BMI, body mass index.

associated with upper urinary stone formation (OR =4.796, 95% CI: 1.63 to 14.113, P=0.004, FDR =0.099, weighted median-Pval =2.40E−02, MR-Presso-Pval =1.41E−02). We found weak evidence for six causal associations: skimmed milk was negatively associated with upper urinary stone risk (OR =0.230, 95% CI: 0.068 to 0.780, P=0.018, FDR =0.165, weighted median-Pval =1.08E-01, MR-Presso-Pval =2.61E−02), while water (OR =0.388, 95% CI: 0.209 to 0.721, P=0.003, FDR =0.124, weighted median-Pval =3.57E−01, MR-Presso-Pval =2.64E−03), average weekly beer plus cider (OR =0.359, 95% CI: 0.141 to 0.916, P=0.032, FDR =0.481, weighted median-Pval =6.86E−02, MR-Presso-Pval =3.45E−02), and decaffeinated coffee (any type) (OR =76.845, 95% CI: 1.974 to 2.99E+03, P=0.020, FDR =0.453, weighted median-Pval =1.97E−01, MR-Presso-Pval =2.73E-02) were associated with lower urinary stones, coffee intake (OR =0.604, 95% CI: 0.42 to 0.869, P=0.007, FDR =0.1, weighted median-Pval =6.19E−02, MR-Presso-Pval =3.86E−03) and ground coffee (OR =0.512,

95% CI: 0.277 to 0.947, P=0.033, FDR =0.212, weighted median-Pval =1.53E−03, MR-Presso-Pval =6.22E−02) might being able to reduce the risk of upper urinary stone. Furthermore, we summarized and evaluated the potential causal associations identified by the IVW method in terms of their strength, as shown in *Figure 3*.

#### *Multivariate MR analysis*

*Table 2* presents the results of the multivariable analysis after adjusting for confounding factors. In the univariable analysis, we observed that instant coffee was positively associated with the risk of kidney and ureter stones, while decaffeinated coffee was found to increase the risk of lower urinary stones. However, after adjusting for BMI and smoking status, both variables lost their significance in the multivariable analysis, suggesting that these factors may confound the association. Additionally, the MR Egger intercept tests did not indicate significant pleiotropy in the positive results, providing further





LDSC, linkage disequilibrium score regression; Rg, genetic correlation. Se, standard error.

support for the robustness of the results.

#### *Rg analysis*

To further investigate the genetic basis of the identified associations, we conducted the LDSC analysis on all potential causal associations (*Table 3*). The LDSC analysis results revealed that most of the evidence supported significant Rgs with urinary stones. However, in the case of coffee intake, instant coffee, and water, no significant Rgs were observed (P>0.05), indicating that the observed associations may not be driven by underlying genetic factors.

# **Discussion**

We conducted a univariable two-sample MR analysis to examine the overall impact of 45 dietary intake habits on urinary stone risk in different locations. We identified 11 positive evidences of potential causal associations, including 9 protective factors and 2 risk factors. Our findings suggest that the risk of urinary stone formation may be influenced by various dietary factors. These results underscore the importance of further research and contribute to a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying the impact of diet on urinary stone disease.

#### *Comparison of studies*

Tea intake, as the only reliable evidence of dietary habits

in this study, yielded consistent results with previous research in significantly reducing the risk of urinary stone formation (9,26). A previous study has already established a relationship between fresh fruit consumption and kidney stones, which aligns with our findings (10). However, due to the continuous updates in the FinnGen database, we used the latest nephrolithiasis data that categorized the occurrence of urinary stones into upper and lower urinary tract. Compared to previous literature, our study provided a more detailed analysis of the association between tea and fresh fruit intake with lower urinary tract stones, but no significant correlation was observed. Previous studies on dairy products have been controversial. Coello *et al.*  suggested that dairy products may be a risk factor for calcium oxalate stones (27), while Asoudeh *et al.*'s metaanalysis found that higher intake of dairy protein could reduce the risk of kidney stones (28). In our study, we performed a detailed classification and analysis of dairy products and found similar results to the latter study. However, in our results, apart from skim milk which was found to reduce the risk of upper urinary tract stones, there was no significant causal relationship evidence for other types of dairy products. An observational study on diet found a significant correlation between poultry, red meat (pork, beef, lamb, etc.), and the risk of urinary stones, while fish consumption, vegetarianism, and limiting meat intake to less than 50 g/d reduced the risk of urolithiasis by 30% to 50% (29). Our results only supported fish as a protective factor against kidney stone formation. A cross-sectional

study based on NHANES found that high water intake (>2.5 L/d) and maintaining a urine volume of 2 L/d could reduce the prevalence of kidney stones (30). However, our study did not find a significant causal relationship between water intake and the formation of stones in the kidneys, ureters, and other parts, but it did reduce the risk of lower urinary tract stones. This may be attributed to the fact that the previous study included stones collected from various locations. There have been many studies on the impact of alcohol on kidney stones, and some have found that alcohol consumption itself is not related to the risk of urolithiasis, but the frequency of alcohol consumption can reduce the risk. Similarly, we found that alcohol did not have a significant impact on kidney and ureteral stones in various alcohol categories, but average weekly beer plus cider intake showed a significant negative correlation with lower urinary tract stone occurrence. The causal relationship between coffee and kidney stones has been reported in relevant literature. Yuan *et al.*'s MR study on coffee, caffeine, and kidney stones showed a negative correlation between coffee and caffeine intake and the risk of kidney stones (7). We also conducted a detailed classification study on different types of coffee. Overall, non-specific coffee intake indeed reduced the risk of kidney stone formation, but different results were observed for other types of coffee: instant coffee showed a significant positive correlation with upper urinary tract stone occurrence, while ground coffee showed a significant negative correlation trend. Decaffeinated coffee showed a significant positive correlation only with the risk of lower urinary tract stone formation.

#### *Mechanism of effects*

Research has shown that tea, as a beverage, contains a large amount of flavonoid antioxidants, which can prevent chronic metabolic diseases such as cancer and cardiovascular diseases (31). Our study further confirmed the preventive effect of tea on kidney stones. Fresh fruits contain electrolytes such as potassium, magnesium, and citrate, which effectively reduce the acid load on the kidneys and promote the excretion of urinary calcium and ammonium, thereby increasing the saturation of calcium oxalate crystals in urine and preventing kidney stone formation (32). Wholemeal bread and wholegrain bread are both made from whole grain flour, but they differ slightly in processing methods (33). In our study, we categorized them together. Research has found that dietary fiber in grains contains β-glucans, which are soluble dietary fibers that can slow

down the absorption of starch and glucose, and participate in the regulation of metabolic indicators such as blood lipid levels and BMI (34). While regulating metabolism, these whole grain products provide dietary oxalates, which help regulate oxalate metabolism in urine and prevent kidney stone formation (35). The healthy DASH-style diet has been proven to significantly reduce the risk of kidney stone formation (36). Skimmed milk, as part of this diet, is rich in calcium. Dietary calcium in dairy products binds with excessive oxalate in the intestine, reducing the risk of calcium oxalate stone formation (28), as demonstrated in an observational study (37). Unlike regular red meats, fish oil reduces the levels of arachidonic acid (AA) and linoleic acid (LA) in the body, thereby alleviating the production of inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandins. Furthermore, the omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in fish oil inhibit the fatty acid synthesis pathway, thereby reducing the consumption of citrate in the reaction and increasing the excretion of citrate through the kidneys.

Lower urinary tract stones mainly include bladder stones and urethral stones, with a combined prevalence rate of only 0.5%. Bladder stones are the most common and are mainly caused by urinary tract obstruction due to prostate hyperplasia, neurogenic bladder dysfunction, urinary tract infections, and foreign bodies. In women, bladder stones may be caused by displacement of intrauterine devices. The prevalence rate is higher in economically underdeveloped areas compared to economically developed areas (38-40). In this study, we identified two dietary habits that act as protective factors against lower urinary tract stone formation: water intake and average weekly beer plus cider intake. Many studies have shown that low urine volume is a risk factor for kidney stones, and adequate intake of drinking water can prevent kidney stone formation. A large amount of water intake can dilute the concentration of urine, prevent the formation of crystals of uric acid, oxalate, and other chemical components in urine, and promote their excretion (41-44). However, unexpectedly, the MR analysis in our study did not show a significant association between water intake and kidney or ureteral stones, although water intake was found to reduce the risk of bladder and other lower urinary tract stone formation. Alcohol is also a common beverage, and recent studies on the association between alcohol intake and urolithiasis do not have sufficient evidence to support the causal relationship between the two (8,45-47). The potential causal relationship between alcohol and lower urinary tract stones still needs

further experimental research to explore the underlying mechanisms.

Coffee, as a common beverage in this era, comes in various types (48). Caffeine in coffee has been found to be significantly negatively correlated with the risk of kidney stones, as confirmed by relevant cross-sectional and MR studies (7,49). Caffeine can have a diuretic effect and increase the urinary flow rate in patients (50,51). In addition, related *in vitro* studies have found that caffeine can reduce the crystal binding ability of renal tubular epithelial cells and prevent further formation of kidney crystals (52). The negative results of decaffeinated coffee on upper urinary tract stones validate the above points, but research on its positive correlation with the risk of lower urinary tract stones is relatively scarce. The mechanism of instant coffee on kidney stones is not yet clear, but it may contain a large amount of hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , which acts by oxidative stress damage to immune cells related to oxalate metabolism (53). After adjusting for two common risk factor variables, BMI and smoking, the significance no longer exists, indicating that BMI and smoking may mediate the effects of these two risk factors mentioned above.

# *The pros and cons*

We differentiated lower urinary tract stones into different locations, which is not commonly done in previous studies on urinary tract stones. Additionally, we utilized the newer version (9th edition) of the FinnGen Consortium database to obtain relevant outcome data. By conducting a causal relationship study between these outcomes and a comprehensive set of 45 common dietary habits, we were able to provide more authoritative evidence on the potential associations and causal directions between diet and urinary tract stone formation, while also minimizing the interference of confounding factors. In the multivariableadjusted results for the risk factor diet (decaffeinated coffee and instant coffee), we found that BMI and smoking may act as potential mediating factors, offering some revelations for further exploration.

However, there are some limitations in our study. Firstly, the dietary habit data we used were collected through touchscreen questionnaires, which may introduce some subjectivity and potentially impact the accuracy and bias of the data. Secondly, our data did not include subgroup analyses stratified by age and gender, and we did not perform detailed classification and differentiation of stone

composition in different locations. Although we performed sensitivity analysis and detected no significant bias in the data, heterogeneity issues inevitably emerged in some of our analysis results, which were not further explored. Additionally, each instrument variable may remain potential issues of pleiotropy, which could impact our research results. Finally, further research is needed to elucidate the mechanisms underlying these associations and validate these findings in larger and more diverse populations.

## Conclusions

Our MR study provides scientific genetic evidence for the causal relationship between dietary habits and urinary tract stones. We identified nine dietary habits as protective factors for urinary tract stone formation, while two types of coffee intake were identified as risk factors. The mechanisms of the latter's effects on urinary tract stones may be influenced by BMI and smoking. The significance of our research lies in providing some basis for dietary adjustments in the daily lives of kidney stone patients or dietary guidance specifically targeting stone diseases.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the IEU Open GWAS project and the FinnGen Alliance database for providing the aggregated statistical data. *Funding:* None.

# Footnote

*Reporting Checklist:* The authors have completed the STREGA reporting checklist. Available at [https://tau.](https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/rc) [amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/rc](https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/rc)

*Peer Review File:* Available at [https://tau.amegroups.com/](https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/prf) [article/view/10.21037/tau-](https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/prf)24-79/prf

*Conflicts of Interest:* All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at [https://tau.amegroups.](https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/coif) [com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/coif](https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-24-79/coif)). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See:<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

#### **References**

- 1. Sorokin I, Mamoulakis C, Miyazawa K, et al. Epidemiology of stone disease across the world. World J Urol 2017;35:1301-20.
- 2. Stamatelou K, Goldfarb DS. Epidemiology of Kidney Stones. Healthcare (Basel) 2023;11:424.
- 3. Strohmaier WL. Economics of stone disease/treatment. Arab J Urol 2012;10:273-8.
- 4. Shastri S, Patel J, Sambandam KK, et al. Kidney Stone Pathophysiology, Evaluation and Management: Core Curriculum 2023. Am J Kidney Dis 2023;82:617-34.
- 5. Shah S, Calle JC. Dietary and medical management of recurrent nephrolithiasis. Cleve Clin J Med 2016;83:463-71.
- 6. Cole AP, Loeb S. Dietary and Lifestyle Recommendations that Align Patient and Planetary Health. Eur Urol Focus 2023;9:869-72.
- 7. Yuan S, Larsson SC. Coffee and Caffeine Consumption and Risk of Kidney Stones: A Mendelian Randomization Study. Am J Kidney Dis 2022;79:9-14.e1.
- 8. Yang S, Tan W, Wei B, et al. Association between alcohol and urolithiasis: a mendelian randomization study. Urolithiasis 2023;51:103.
- 9. Liu D, Wang J, Chen Y, et al. Tea intake and risk of kidney stones: A mendelian randomization study. Nutrition 2023;107:111919.
- 10. Lin Y, Zhou C, Wu Y, et al. Mendelian randomization analysis reveals fresh fruit intake as a protective factor for urolithiasis. Hum Genomics 2023;17:89.
- 11. D'Alessandro C, Ferraro PM, Cianchi C, et al. Which Diet for Calcium Stone Patients: A Real-World Approach to Preventive Care. Nutrients 2019;11:1182.
- 12. Ferraro PM, Taylor EN, Gambaro G, et al. Soda and other beverages and the risk of kidney stones. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2013;8:1389-95.
- 13. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature 2018;562:203-9.
- 14. Yue L, Pai Q, Wu X, et al. Smoking and Risk of Urolithiasis: Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. Front Public Health 2022;10:816756.
- 15. Soligo M, Morlacco A, Zattoni F, et al. Metabolic syndrome and stone disease. Panminerva Med 2022;64:344-58.
- 16. Lovegrove CE, Bešević J, Wiberg A, et al. Central Adiposity Increases Risk of Kidney Stone Disease through Effects on Serum Calcium Concentrations. J Am Soc Nephrol 2023;34:1991-2011.
- 17. Kurki MI, Karjalainen J, Palta P, et al. FinnGen provides genetic insights from a well-phenotyped isolated population. Nature 2023;613:508-18.
- 18. Levin MG, Burgess S. Mendelian Randomization as a Tool for Cardiovascular Research: A Review. JAMA Cardiol 2024;9:79-89.
- 19. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. Genet Epidemiol 2013;37:658-65.
- 20. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, et al. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. Nat Genet 2018;50:693-8.
- 21. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, et al. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. Genet Epidemiol 2016;40:304-14.
- 22. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. Int J Epidemiol 2015;44:512-25.
- 23. Sanderson E, Spiller W, Bowden J. Testing and correcting for weak and pleiotropic instruments in two-sample multivariable Mendelian randomization. Stat Med 2021;40:5434-52.
- 24. Hemani G, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. PLoS Genet 2017;13:e1007081.
- 25. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. Nat Genet 2015;47:1236-41.
- 26. Shu X, Cai H, Xiang YB, et al. Green tea intake and risk of incident kidney stones: Prospective cohort studies in middle-aged and elderly Chinese individuals. Int J Urol 2019;26:241-6.
- 27. Coello I, Sanchis P, Pieras EC, et al. Diet in Different Calcium Oxalate Kidney Stones. Nutrients 2023;15:2607.

## **1084** Yang et al. Causal links between genetic-based diet and urolithiasis

- 28. Asoudeh F, Talebi S, Jayedi A, et al. Associations of Total Protein or Animal Protein Intake and Animal Protein Sources with Risk of Kidney Stones: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis. Adv Nutr 2022;13:821-32.
- 29. Turney BW, Appleby PN, Reynard JM, et al. Diet and risk of kidney stones in the Oxford cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). Eur J Epidemiol 2014;29:363-9.
- 30. Wang JS, Chiang HY, Chen HL, et al. Association of water intake and hydration status with risk of kidney stone formation based on NHANES 2009-2012 cycles. Public Health Nutr 2022;25:2403-14.
- 31. Yang CS, Hong J. Prevention of chronic diseases by tea: possible mechanisms and human relevance. Annu Rev Nutr 2013;33:161-81.
- 32. Meschi T, Maggiore U, Fiaccadori E, et al. The effect of fruits and vegetables on urinary stone risk factors. Kidney Int 2004;66:2402-10.
- 33. Buddrick O, Jones OAH, Hughes JG, et al. The effect of fermentation and addition of vegetable oil on resistant starch formation in wholegrain breads. Food Chem 2015;180:181-5.
- 34. Tosh SM, Bordenave N. Emerging science on benefits of whole grain oat and barley and their soluble dietary fibers for heart health, glycemic response, and gut microbiota. Nutr Rev 2020;78:13-20.
- 35. Siener R, Hönow R, Voss S, et al. Oxalate content of cereals and cereal products. J Agric Food Chem 2006;54:3008-11.
- 36. Taylor EN, Fung TT, Curhan GC. DASH-style diet associates with reduced risk for kidney stones. J Am Soc Nephrol 2009;20:2253-9.
- 37. Taylor EN, Curhan GC. Dietary calcium from dairy and nondairy sources, and risk of symptomatic kidney stones. J Urol 2013;190:1255-9.
- 38. Torricelli FC, Mazzucchi E, Danilovic A, et al. Surgical management of bladder stones: literature review. Rev Col Bras Cir 2013;40:227-33.
- 39. Stav K, Dwyer PL. Urinary bladder stones in women. Obstet Gynecol Surv 2012;67:715-25.
- 40. Soliman NA, Rizvi SAH. Endemic bladder calculi in children. Pediatr Nephrol 2017;32:1489-99.
- 41. Prezioso D, Strazzullo P, Lotti T, et al. Dietary treatment of urinary risk factors for renal stone formation. A review of CLU Working Group. Arch Ital Urol Androl 2015;87:105-20.
- 42. Bao Y, Tu X, Wei Q. Water for preventing urinary stones.

Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020;2:CD004292.

- 43. Siener R, Löhr P, Hesse A. Urinary Risk Profile, Impact of Diet, and Risk of Calcium Oxalate Urolithiasis in Idiopathic Uric Acid Stone Disease. Nutrients 2023;15:572.
- 44. Courbebaisse M, Travers S, Bouderlique E, et al. Hydration for Adult Patients with Nephrolithiasis: Specificities and Current Recommendations. Nutrients 2023;15:4885.
- 45. Jones P, Karim Sulaiman S, Gamage KN, et al. Do Lifestyle Factors Including Smoking, Alcohol, and Exercise Impact Your Risk of Developing Kidney Stone Disease? Outcomes of a Systematic Review. J Endourol 2021;35:1-7.
- 46. Yin S, Wang J, Bai Y, et al. Association Between Healthy Eating Index-2015 and Kidney Stones in American Adults: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of NHANES 2007-2018. Front Nutr 2022;9:820190.
- 47. Zhou Z, Huang Z, Ai G, et al. Association between alcohol consumption and kidney stones in American adults: 2007- 2016 NHANES. Front Public Health 2023;11:1156097.
- 48. Park H, Noh E, Kim M, et al. Analysis of volatile and nonvolatile compounds in decaffeinated and regular coffee prepared under various roasting conditions. Food Chem 2024;435:137543.
- 49. Sun Y, Wang D, Zhou Q. Caffeine intake and the risk of recurrent kidney stones in adults, an analysis of 2007-2014 National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys. Eur J Nutr 2020;59:2683-92.
- 50. Zhang Y, Coca A, Casa DJ, et al. Caffeine and diuresis during rest and exercise: A meta-analysis. J Sci Med Sport 2015;18:569-74.
- 51. Wu SE, Chen WL. Exploring the Association between Urine Caffeine Metabolites and Urine Flow Rate: A Cross-Sectional Study. Nutrients 2020;12:2803.
- 52. Peerapen P, Thongboonkerd V. Caffeine prevents kidney stone formation by translocation of apical surface annexin A1 crystal-binding protein into cytoplasm: In vitro evidence. Sci Rep 2016;6:38536.
- 53. Kumar P, Laurence E, Crossman DK, et al. Oxalate disrupts monocyte and macrophage cellular function via Interleukin-10 and mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) signaling. Redox Biol 2023;67:102919.

**Cite this article as:** Yang L, Wang L, Liu Y, Bao E, Wang J, Xia L, Wang B, Zhu P. Causal associations between 45 dietary intake habits and urolithiasis: insights from genetic studies. Transl Androl Urol 2024;13(7):1074-1084. doi: 10.21037/tau-24-79