

## Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domain 2 Controls Protein Sorting in the Striatal Projection System and Its Deficiency Causes Disturbances in Motor Responses and Monoamine Dynamics

### OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Nobuyuki Takei, Niigata University, Japan

#### Reviewed by:

Tsuyoshi Yamaguchi, Dokkyo Medical University, Japan Tabrez Jamal Siddiqui, University of Manitoba, Canada

### \*Correspondence:

Jun Aruga aruga@nagasaki-u.ac.jp

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Molecular Signalling and Pathways, a section of the journal Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience

> Received: 17 January 2022 Accepted: 07 March 2022 Published: 09 May 2022

### Citation:

Ichise M, Sakoori K, Katayama K-i, Morimura N, Yamada K, Ozawa H, Matsunaga H, Hatayama M and Aruga J (2022) Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domain 2 Controls Protein Sorting in the Striatal Projection System and Its Deficiency Causes Disturbances in Motor Responses and Monoamine Dynamics. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 15:856315. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2022.856315 Misato Ichise<sup>1,2†</sup>, Kazuto Sakoori<sup>3†</sup>, Kei-ichi Katayama<sup>3†</sup>, Naoko Morimura<sup>3</sup>, Kazuyuki Yamada<sup>4</sup>, Hiroki Ozawa<sup>2</sup>, Hayato Matsunaga<sup>1</sup>, Minoru Hatayama<sup>1,3</sup> and Jun Aruga<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Pharmacology, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan, <sup>2</sup> Department of Neuropsychiatry, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan,

<sup>3</sup> Laboratory for Behavioral and Developmental Disorders, RIKEN Brain Science Institute (BSI), Wako-shi, Japan, <sup>4</sup> Support Unit for Animal Experiments, RIKEN Brain Science Institute (BSI), Wako-shi, Japan

The striatum is involved in action selection, and its disturbance can cause movement disorders. Here, we show that leucine-rich repeats and transmembrane domain 2 (Lrtm2) controls protein sorting in striatal projection systems, and its deficiency causes disturbances in monoamine dynamics and behavior. The Lrtm2 protein was broadly detected in the brain, but it was enhanced in the olfactory bulb and dorsal striatum. Immunostaining revealed a strong signal in striatal projection output, including GABAergic presynaptic boutons of the SNr. In subcellular fractionation, Lrtm2 was abundantly recovered in the synaptic plasma membrane fraction, synaptic vesicle fraction, and microsome fraction. Lrtm2 KO mice exhibited altered motor responses in both voluntary explorations and forced exercise. Dopamine metabolite content was decreased in the dorsal striatum and hypothalamus, and serotonin turnover increased in the dorsal striatum. The prefrontal cortex showed age-dependent changes in dopamine metabolites. The distribution of glutamate decarboxylase 67 (GAD67) protein and gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor type B receptor 1 (GABA<sub>B</sub>R1) protein was altered in the dorsal striatum. In cultured neurons, wild-type Lrtm2 protein enhanced axon trafficking of GAD67-GFP and GABA<sub>R</sub>R1-GFP whereas such activity was defective in sorting signal-abolished Lrtm2 mutant proteins. The topical expression of hemagglutinin-epitope-tag (HA)-Lrtm2 and a protein sorting signal abolished HA-Lrtm2 mutant differentially affected GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 protein distribution in the dorsal striatum. These results suggest that Lrtm2 is an essential component of striatal projection neurons, contributing to a better understanding of striatal pathophysiology.

Keywords: Lrtm2, gene targeting, striatal projection neuron, behavioral abnormality, monoamine metabolism, protein sorting

## INTRODUCTION

The striatum constitutes the largest nucleus of the basal ganglia and comprises the dorsal striatum (caudate and putamen) and ventral striatum (nucleus accumbens). The dorsal striatum is included in the neural circuit that regulates the initiation of voluntary movements, and the ventral striatum is involved in the cognitive processing of motivation, aversion, reward, and reinforcement learning (Hall and White, 2018).

Neural circuits in the striatum are known as below (Gerfen and Surmeier, 2011; Gerfen and Bolam, 2016; Supplementary Figure 1). All cortical areas, including the motor cortex and thalamus, form glutamatergic inputs to the striatum, forming synaptic connections with GABAergic spiny projection neurons (SPN) and four classes of interneurons. SPNs convey striatal information flow to the rest of the basal ganglia through direct and indirect pathways. Direct pathway SPNs extend their axons to the internal segment of the internal segment of globus pallidus (GPi) and substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr). Indirect pathway SPNs extend their axons to the external segment of the external segment of globus pallidus (GPe). Direct pathway neurons also send their axon collaterals to the GPe. GPe neurons (GABAergic) project to the subthalamic nucleus (STN) and output nuclei (GPi/SNr). STN neurons (glutamatergic) project to the GPi and GPi/SNr, forming a pathway parallel to the direct pathway. GPi and SNr neurons project to the thalamus, superior colliculus, and pedunculopontine nuclei.

SPNs also project to dopaminergic neurons in the SNc, inhibiting the release of dopamine (DA) that is conveyed along the nigrostriatal pathway to SPNs in the dorsal striatum. DA acts on DA receptors in SPNs and decreases the inhibitory outflow of the basal ganglia (Hall and White, 2018).

In basal ganglia neural circuits, SPN projections converge greatly on GPi/SNr. In humans, it is estimated that more than 100 SPNs innervate globus pallidus (GP) cells, where individual SPN axons are in sparse contact with many pallidal neurons before terminating densely in the axons of target neurons (Hall and White, 2018). The functional significance of the striatonigral projections is suggested by the striatonigral degeneration that occurs as a subtype of multiple systemic atrophy (MSA), a progressive neurodegenerative disorder (Krismer and Wenning, 2017). In MSA with predominant parkinsonism (MSA-P), neuronal loss is most pronounced in the striatonigral system (Krismer and Wenning, 2017). Parkinsonism, with rigidity, slow movement, postural instability, gait disability, and tendency to fall, characterizes the poorly levodopa-responsive motor presentation of MSA-P (Krismer and Wenning, 2017). Although the morphological features and clinical importance of the striatal projection system have been well recognized, the molecular basis underlying its proper function has not been fully understood. In particular, the machinery that controls nigrostriatal protein trafficking is still limited.

SPNs of the direct pathway are known to express the GABAsynthesizing enzyme GAD67 (Gad1) and GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor1 (GABA<sub>B</sub>R1, Gabbr1) genes, and their gene products are detected in the axon terminals of SNr (Biermann et al., 2010; Tritsch et al., 2014). Mechanisms underlying axon transport of these molecules have been investigated (Kanaani et al., 1999; Biermann et al., 2010; Carrel et al., 2011), and altered axon transport has been implicated in neurological disorders (Rocco et al., 2016; Dinamarca et al., 2019). However, no mechanisms selective to striatonigral projections are known.

In mouse SPN, Leucine-rich repeats and transmembrane domains 2 (Lrtm2) protein is strongly distributed as will be shown later. The functions and biological roles of Lrtm2 have not been reported. However, many transmembrane proteins with Leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domains have been shown to play critical roles in neurite and synapse control in the nervous system (Aruga and Mikoshiba, 2003; Lauren et al., 2003; Dolan et al., 2007; Ko, 2012; Schroeder and de Wit, 2018). Some of them are involved in the pathogenesis of neurological disorders (Abelson et al., 2005; Tekin et al., 2013). These circumstances led us to investigate the biological role of Lrtm2. We firstly investigated Lrtm2 protein distribution and behavioral abnormalities appeared in Lrtm2 knockout (KO) mice in an unbiased manner. Its intense distribution in SPN and SNr as well as abnormalities motor responses led us to further examine the monoamine content and molecular marker disturbances in Lrtm2 KO mice. Based on these results, we hypothesized a role of Lrtm2 in protein sorting, tested the hypothesis using ectopic expression of mutant Lrtm2 proteins in vitro and in vivo, and discussed the pathophysiological significance of the findings.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Bioinformatics Analysis**

Homology search and ortholog search was done at NCBI databases<sup>12</sup> or ENSEMBL database.<sup>3</sup> Protein motif search was done at Expasy.<sup>4</sup> Signal sequence prediction was done at SignalP.<sup>5</sup> Sequence alignment was edited by MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016).

### Animals

All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Experiment Committee of RIKEN and Animal Care and Use Committee of Nagasaki University (Approval number 1803271441) and were carried out following the guidelines for Animal Experimentation at RIKEN and Nagasaki University. CD-1 mice and Sprague-Dawley rats were purchased from Japan SLC and CLEA Japan.

## Generation of Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Null Mutant Mice

Lrtm2 null mutant mice were generated as previously described (Katayama et al., 2010). Briefly, to construct the Lrtm2 targeting vector, overlapping Lrtm2 genomic clones were isolated from a BAC library made of 129 SV strain mice. The targeting construct contained the 3.1-kb 5' and 9.1-kb 3' homology

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

<sup>3</sup>https://asia.ensembl.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://www.expasy.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/

regions, and the 9.2-kb fragment containing the open reading flame of Lrtm2 was replaced with the phosphoglycerol kinase (PGK)-neo expression cassette flanked by a loxP sequence. E14 embryonic stem (ES) cells were electroporated and selected using G418. Drug-resistant clones were analyzed by Southern blotting. EcoRI-or SpeI-digested genomic DNA was hybridized with a 0.7-kb 5' genomic fragment that corresponded to the genomic sequence outside the target vector or a 0.6-kb PstI PGK-neo probe, respectively. Chimeric mice were generated by injecting targeted ES cells into C57BL/6J blastocysts. To eliminate the PGK-neo cassette, germline-transmitted mice were first mated with mice transgenic for a Cre recombinase gene under the control of the cytomegalovirus immediate early enhancerchicken β-actin hybrid (CAG) promoter (Sakai and Miyazaki, 1997). Mice carrying the mutated Lrtm2 allele were backcrossed to C57BL/6J for more than six generations before analysis. Genotyping of the progeny was performed by Southern blotting or PCR analysis of DNA from the tail. The PCR primers used were Lrtm2S (5'-GTTCTGGCTCAACACCTCATAG-3'), Lrtm2WTAS (5'-GATTTATAACTCCCGCAGGTCAG-3'), and Lrtm2KOAS (5'-GCTTTATCACCCTTGTCCTGAG-3').

### Antibodies

Polyclonal anti-Lrtm2 antibody was raised in a rabbit against peptides corresponding to the carboxy-terminal region of mouse Lrtm2 (KRQPLMGDPEGEHEDQKQISSVA). Peptides were synthesized and conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin through cysteine added to the N-terminus of the peptide. After immunization with conventional methods, antisera were obtained, and the antibody was purified by affinity chromatography with the immunized peptide. The validity of the antibody was confirmed by the absence of the corresponding signals in Lrtm2 KO-derived samples by immunoblotting and immunostaining (**Figures 1C,D**). Information for the other antibodies is shown in **Supplementary Table 1**.

### **RNA Analysis**

Northern blotting and *in situ* hybridization were performed as previously described (Aruga and Mikoshiba, 2003). For quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis, brain punch regions and circular tissue punches were taken from the dorsal striatum, GP, and SNr using disposable biopsy needles (Biopsy Punch; Kai Medical) with diameters of 1.0, 1.0, and 0.5 mm, respectively, from 150  $\mu$ m-thick frozen coronal brain sections. RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher). cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher). Calibration curves were generated commonly by using a whole brain cDNA. Real-time RT-PCR analysis was carried out using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher) and ABI PRISM 7900HT (Thermo Fisher). Primer sequences are available upon request.

### Immunoblotting

Specimens were homogenized in RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM sodium chloride, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, and complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany)].



FIGURE 1 | Targeted disruption of the Lrtm2 gene. (A) Structures of the Lrtm2 genomic locus, targeting vector, and mutated allele. The locations of the probes for Southern blotting (5' and neo probes) are shown. DT, diphteria toxin A; neo, neomycin-resistance gene. (B) Confirmation of homologous recombination of the mutant alleles by Southern blot. (C) Western blot performed on proteins prepared from brains of adult Lrtm2+/+ (WT), Lrtm2+/-, and Lrtm2-/- (KO) mice. (D) Immunostaining of Lrtm2 KO brain using anti-Lrtm2 antibody. 8 weeks-old. WT, littermate control. cpe, cerebral peduncle; ctx, cerebral cortex; dg, dentate gyrus of hippocampus; th, thalamus. *Scale bar*, 1 mm.

For subcellular fractionation, whole brain homogenates were fractionated by differential centrifugation, followed by postsynaptic density fractionation as previously described (Tomioka et al., 2014). The extracted proteins were loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels, electrophoresed, and transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Signals were visualized using an ECL or ECL Plus kit (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). Full blot images are shown in Supplementary Figure 3. For subcellular fractionation analysis, the blotted membrane was cut into two pieces along 75 kDa marker position. The piece containing higher molecular weight proteins was reacted with mouse anti-PSD95. The piece with lower molecular weight proteins was reacted with mouse anti-Synaptophysin antibody, followed by reaction with rabbit anti-Lrtm2 antibody after deactivating horse radish peroxidase by immersing the membrane in 0.1% sodium azide in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 10 min.

### Immunostaining

Mice were anesthetized with inhalation of isoflurane or intraperitoneal injection of 0.75 mg/kg of medetomidine, 4.0 mg/kg of midazolam, and 5.0 mg/kg of butorphanol. Cardiac perfusion was performed with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1 M sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) at a rate of 6.5 mL/min for 3 min. Excised brains were fixed in the same fixative for 4 h at 20-25°C with gentle agitation. Tissue blocks (3-mm thick) were prepared using a razor blade and Rodent Brain Matrix (ASI Instruments) and cryoprotected with 20% sucrose in PBS at 4°C overnight. After adding the OCT compound (Sakura Finetek) to 65% (v/v), the tissue blocks were placed in the resultant embedding medium for 15 min with gentle agitation. The blocks were placed in Cryomold (Sakura Finetek) with the embedding medium and rapidly frozen on dry ice or prechilled aluminum blocks in a -80°C freezer. Cryosection was performed using a CM3050 cryostat (Leica Biosystems) at a thickness of either 12 or 10 µm. After sectioning, the sections were blocked with 2% normal goat serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS and reacted with primary antibodies at 4°C for 0.5-4 days, followed by appropriate fluorescencelabeled secondary antibodies at room temperature for 1 h. The stained sections were mounted under glass coverslips with Vectashield with 4;6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector Laboratories). For double labeling with anti-GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 antibody, the sections were treated with HistoVT One (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) for 20 min at 70°C before antibody reaction. Fluorescence images of whole sections were obtained using a fluorescence microscope (BZ-X800, Keyence). For quantitative immunostaining of brain sections, confocal images were obtained using a microscope (FV1000, Olympus; LSM800 Zeiss) with a  $60 \times$  objective. For quantitative immunostaining of cultured neurons, the fluorescence images were scanned using an LSM800 (Zeiss) with a 20  $\times$  or 63  $\times$  objective. For quantitative analyses, all stained images were taken with the same laser settings, and the fluorescence intensity was quantified using ImageJ software with the same parameters. In the particle analysis for a mouse, 2 or 6 ROIs (212  $\mu$ m  $\times$  212  $\mu$ m) from independent sections were subjected to quantify the particles with 0.3–1.5  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> areas, and mean values were used for the statistical analysis.

### **Behavioral Analysis**

Adult Lrtm2 KO and WT mice (2–6-month-old male littermates from mated heterozygotes) were used for behavioral tests unless otherwise noted. Male mice were used to avoid effects of estrous cycles on behavioral phenotypes in females (Meziane et al., 2007). The mice were 2–3 months of age at the start of behavioral testing, and were tested starting with less stressful behavioral tasks. Mice were housed in a 12:12 h light-dark cycle, with the dark cycle occurring from 20:00 to 8:00, and behavioral experiments were carried out between 10:00 and 17:00. Home cage activity measurement, open field test, light-dark box test, elevated plusmaze test, fear conditioning test, forced swimming test, acoustic startle response, marble burying test, novel object test, social interaction in the open field test, and resident intruder test were performed as described in previous studies (Katayama et al., 2010; Takashima et al., 2011).

### Hole Board Test

A box made of gray plastic  $[50 \times 50 \times 40 \text{ (H) cm}]$  with four equally separated holes (3-cm in diameter with an infrared sensor) on the floor was used (Model ST-1/WII, Muromachikikai, Tokyo, Japan). The field was illuminated by fluorescent light (180 lx at the center of the field), and the level of background noise was approximately 50 dB. The behavior of each mouse was monitored by a CCD camera located approximately 1.5 m above the field. In the hole board test, the mice were individually introduced into the center of the field and allowed to explore freely for 5 min. The total moving time (s), distance traveled (cm), latency of head-dipping (s), number of head-dips, duration of head-dipping (s), duration of rearing (s), and number of rearings were measured as indices. Data were collected and analyzed using the CompACT VAS system (Muromachi-Kikai, Tokyo, Japan).

### Social Discrimination Test

This test was performed in an open field test apparatus with a luminance of 70 lx. The test consisted of the first session (a habituation session with empty cages), the second session (a test session with one caged mouse), and the third session (a test session with two caged mice). Each session lasted 15 min and occurred in the following order. In the habituation session, two empty cylindrical wire cages [inner size, 7 cm  $\phi \times 15$  cm (H); outer size, 9 cm  $\phi$  × 16.5 cm (H), with 21 vertical stainless (3-mm- $\phi$  wires) longitudinally and gray polyvinyl discs on the top and bottom, manufactured by the RIKEN Rapid Engineering Team] were placed in two adjacent corners. In the second session, a mouse (7-week-old male DBA2, purchased from Nihon SLC, Shizuoka, Japan) that was new to the test mouse was placed in one of two cylindrical cages. In the third session, another mouse that was also new to the test mouse was placed in the remaining cylindrical cage. Between the three sessions, there were 4-min intervals during which the test mouse was returned to its home cage. The three sessions were video-recorded from above, and the times spent in the two corner squares containing the cylinders within the 3  $\times$  3-square subdivision (17.7  $\times$  17.7 cm<sup>2</sup>) were measured with Image J OF4 (O'Hara). For the two test sessions, video recording was also performed from an obliquely upward position to observe contact between the test mouse and the incage mouse. Contact with the in-cage mouse was defined as a forward movement toward the mouse in the cage and subsequent direct contact with the head. The position and posture of the in-cage mice were observed through the slits of the wires. The contacts were counted on the video records by an observer who was blind to the genotypes. Each in-cage mouse was used once a day, and when the habituation session began, the mouse was simultaneously placed in its cylindrical cage on the corners of an open field box that was not being used for the tests. These rules were thought to minimize the difference between the two in-cage mice in the second test session concerning their acclimatization to the cylindrical cage and the open field box environment. After each use, the cylindrical cage was extensively washed with water and rinsed with 90% ethanol, which was then evaporated to minimize the effects of the remaining materials.

### **Rotarod Test**

Rotarod evaluations were performed for both young (3–5month-old) and aged (15 months) mice using a Rotarod Treadmill MK-610A Muromachi apparatus. Briefly, one habituation session was conducted 24 h before the beginning of the task, consisting of one practical trial of 2 min (4 rpm). The mice were then subjected to the paradigm for 4 consecutive days, each one consisting of four rotarod trials (with 1-min intervals between trials, allowing the animals to rest but avoiding them to become inactive). The rod accelerated from 4 to 40 rpm over 240 s and was maintained at 40 rpm for 60 s thereafter. The maximum trial duration was 300 s. Animals that fell off the rod or failed to turn one full revolution were returned to the cage. The set of 4 consequtive days trials was carried out twice with 4 days interval.

### **Tail Suspension Test**

The tail suspension test was conducted as previously described (Wesolowska and Nikiforuk, 2007). Mice were attached to a wire using an adhesive tape placed approximately 1.5 cm from the tip of the tail and suspended 30 cm above the floor. The duration of immobility was recorded for 5 min.

### Morris Water Maze Test

A circular maze made of white plastic (1-m diameter, 30-cm depth) was filled with water to a depth of approximately 20 cm (22-23°C). The water was colored by adding white paint to prevent the mice from seeing the platform (20 cm high, 10 cm diameter; 1 cm below the surface of the water) or other cues under the water. Some extra maze landmark cues (i.e., a calendar, figure, and plastic box) were visible to the mice in the maze. The movements of the mice in the maze were recorded and analyzed using Image J WM (O'Hara). Mice received six trials (one session) per day for 4 consecutive days. Each acquisition trial was initiated by placing each mouse into the water facing the outer edge of the maze at one of four designated starting points quasi-randomly, and the position of the submerged platform remained constant for each mouse throughout the testing. The trial was terminated when the mouse reached the platform, and the latency and distance swum were measured. The cut-off time for the trial was 60 s; mice that did not reach the platform within 60 s were removed from the water and placed on the platform for 30 s before being toweled off and placed back into their home cages. The intertrial interval was approximately 6 min. After 4 days of training, a probe test was conducted on day 5. In the probe test, the platform was removed, and each mouse was placed in the water at a point opposite the target platform and allowed to swim in the maze for 60 s. The distance swum, the number of crossings of the position of the target platform and the other three platforms, and the time spent in each of the four quadrants were measured.

### **Neurochemical Analysis**

Brains from 5-month-old mice (young group) and 13–20month-old mice (aged group) were collected immediately after decapitation, and 150  $\mu$ m-thick frozen coronal sections were prepared. Circular tissue punches were collected from the medial prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, basolateral, and cortical nuclei of the amygdala, dorsal striatum, and hypothalamus using disposable biopsy needles (Biopsy Punch; Kai Medical). The samples were homogenized in 0.1 M perchloric acid containing 0.1 mM EDTA and centrifuged for 15 min at 20,000  $\times$  g at 4°C. The supernatant was then filtered through 0.22  $\mu$ m PVDF micropore filters (Millipore), and the filtrate was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled to an electrochemical detection system (graphite electrode vs. Ag/AgCl reference, Eicom). Briefly, a Prepak AC-ODS 4.0  $\times$  5.0 mm precolumn and an Eicompak SC-5ODS 3.0 × 150 mm column were used for separation, which was a mobile phase consisting of 44.7 mM citrate, 40.3 mM sodium acetate, 15% methanol, 190 mg/L sodium 1-octanesulfonate, and 5 mg/L EDTA, adjusted to pH 3.7 using glacial acetic acid and pumped at a rate of 0.5 mL/min. The working electrode (WE-3G) potential was set at 0.5 V. The column temperature was maintained at 25°C. The HPLC data were collected automatically and analyzed using EZChrom Elite (Scientific Software). Protein levels were measured using a DC protein assay kit (Bio-Rad). All analyte information, including the retention times, peak heights, concentration, and recovery rate of the internal standards, was calculated concerning standard curves generated for known concentrations of external standards run daily.

### Plasmid Construction and Recombinant Adeno-Associated Virus Vector Preparation

Mouse Lrtm2, Gad1, and Gabbr1 cDNAs were PCR-cloned from mouse brain cDNA (Takara Bio), optionally epitope-tagged, and sequenced. Lrtm2 protein sorting sequence mutants have been generated by DpnI-mediated site-directed mutagenesis (Fisher and Pei, 1997). For transfection into hippocampal neurons, the above DNA fragments were inserted into pCAGGS (Niwa et al., 1991), pcDNA3.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), or pEGFP-N3 (Takara Bio). The GAD67: GFP expression plasmid was constructed by inserting the Gad1 cDNA without a termination codon inserted between the SalI-ApaI sites of pEGFP-N3 and the remaining G-P-G-S-I-A-T as a linker peptide sequence between Gad1 and GFP. The GABA<sub>B</sub>R1a:GFP expression plasmid was constructed by inserting Gabbr1 cDNA without a termination codon inserted into the BamHI site of pEGFP-N3 (Takara Bio), and the remaining G-S-I-A-T as a linker peptide sequence between GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 and GFP. For viral expression constructs, the tdTomato coding sequence of pAAV-CAG-tdTomato (codon diversified, a gift from Edward Boyden Addgene plasmid #59462; RRID:Addgene\_59462)6 was replaced with the HA-Lrtm2WT, HA-Lrtm2mAD, or GAD67:GFP sequence. Recombinant adenoassociated virus (AAV) preparation, purification, and titration were performed as previously described (Challis et al., 2019).

## **Cell Culture and Transfection**

Hippocampal neurons were isolated from embryonic day 18 rat brains and cultured in a neurobasal medium supplemented with B27 (Invitrogen) at the density of  $1.25 \times 10^4$  cells/well of 24-well dish as described in a previous study (Morimura et al., 2017). Transfection was performed 7 days after using Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen). At least three independent culture experiments were done to collect the well separated neurons with sufficient neurites. For immunofluorescence staining, cells were fixed with PFA fixative 4 days after the transfection, blocked with 2% normal goat serum (Jackson

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>http://n2t.net/addgene:59462

ImmunoResearch), 0.1% TritonX100, PBS, and reacted with primary antibodies. Axons were identified by anti-neurofilament monoclonal antibody cocktails (SMI312, Biolegend) and dendrites were identified by anti-MAP2 antibody (AP-20, Sigma). To measure the neurites area, MAP2 or SMI312-immunopositive signals within 15  $\mu$ m distant from the center of target neuron cell body was removed. Then, the areas of the remaining MAP2 or SMI312-immunopositive regions were measured by ImageJ function. Neurite length and dendrite complexity was measured by using Neurolucida software (MBF Bioscience).

## Adeno-Associated Virus Injection and Analysis

Recombinant AAV injection was performed as described (Stoica et al., 2013) using a stereotaxic apparatus (SR-6M-HT, Narishige) and a microliter syringe (Hamilton). Mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of 0.75 mg/kg of medetomidine, 4.0 mg/kg of midazolam, and 5.0 mg/kg of butorphanol. The dorsal striatum was targeted to the following coordinates by bregma: AP, -1.0 mm; ML, + 2.0 mm; DV, + 3.5 mm. Two microliters of virus solution (AAV-HA-Lrtm2WT and AAV-HA-Lrtm2mAD,  $1.8 \times 10^{12}$  virus genome/mL; AAV-GAD67: GFP,  $7.5 \times 10^{11}$  virus genome/mL) was injected at a rate of 0.5  $\mu$ L/min. The needle tip was kept in the injected position for 1 min and kept in AP, -1.0 mm; ML, +2.0 mm; DV, +2.5 mm for 4 min. The injected mice were fixed by cardiac perfusion and immersion, as described above, 12 days after injection. Immunostaining analysis was performed as described previously. The age and sex of the mice were matched by using littermates between the groups to compare.

# Experimental Design and Statistical Analyses

Data are expressed as means with standard deviations (SD) otherwise stated. Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05. *p*-values are those obtained by statistical tests between WT and KO mice (n = mouse number) otherwise stated. The sample sizes for each experiment were determined such that the power and significance in the two-sided test were 80 and 5%, respectively (Festing, 2018). However, the number of samples from the animals was minimized empirically. The Student's two-tailed unpaired t-test, two-tailed paired t -test, Welch's two-tailed unpaired t-test, or Mann-Whitney U-test was used to determine the statistical significance of differences between the two groups. Student's two-tailed unpaired t-test was used unless otherwise stated. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normal distribution was carried out to judge whether parametric or non-parametric tests should be used. To compare the effects of mutations on Lrtm2 properties, one-way ANOVA and post hoc Dunnett test was performed. To examine the influence of the two independent categorical variables, a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was performed. Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft), SPSS statistical package (ver. 16, SPSS Inc.), and BellCurve for Excel (Social Survey Research Information Co., Ltd.).

## RESULTS

## Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Gene and Its Products

Lrtm2 is a gene conserved in vertebrates (Figure 2A). Lrtm2 encodes a type I transmembrane protein of 370 aa in humans and mice (Figure 2A), which is relatively small compared to the other LRR-transmembrane protein families (Dolan et al., 2007). The LRR domain is located between the N-terminal signal sequence and the transmembrane domain and includes six repeated LRR motifs and capping structures at both terminals (LRR-NT and LRR-CT) (Figure 2). Lrtm2 does not belong to Lrrtm family proteins, which are known as synaptic adhesion molecules (Ko, 2012; Schroeder and de Wit, 2018), in terms of the domain organization and the protein sizes (Dolan et al., 2007). In the presumptive cytoplasmic domain, there were some candidate sequences for protein sorting (Figure 2). These included YxxL/Yxx\u03c6 (endocytosis-associated/somatodendritic targeting) (Li et al., 2000), KKxx (ER retrieving signal) (Townsley and Pelham, 1994), and acidic domain (AD) (axon targeting) (Kratchmarov et al., 2013).

First, we examined the distribution of Lrtm2 mRNA in mice. In an adult organ, it was strongly detected in the brain and weakly in the lung, kidney, and testes, while a faint distribution was observed in organs other than the placenta (**Figure 3A**). In contrast, the Lrtm2 related gene Lrtm1 was faintly detected in the brain (**Supplementary Figure 2**). In developing embryos, Lrtm2 mRNA increased during E13.5-E18.5 (**Figure 3A**).

Next, we raised an antibody against mouse Lrtm2 and examined the properties of the Lrtm2 protein in immunoblots (Figures 3B-E). In the adult mouse brain, the Lrtm2 protein was strongly detected in the olfactory bulb, striatum, cerebral cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, thalamus, hypothalamus, and midbrain regions, and weakly in the others (Figure 3B). The abundance of the whole brain increased during postnatal development (Figure 3C). Lrtm2 is a glycosylated protein but is not a proteoglycan, as indicated by its sensitivity to peptide N-glycosidase F treatment and by its resistance to chondroitinase ABC, heparinase I, heparinase II, and endoglycosidase H treatments (Figure 3D). In a subcellular fractionation experiment (Figure 3E), the Lrtm2 protein was recovered in membrane fractions (P2 and P3), predominantly in synaptosomal plasma membrane fractions (LP1, LP2) and in the microsomal fraction (P3). Recovery from the LP1 (synaptosomal membrane fraction) and LP2 (synaptic vesicle enriched fraction) suggested a localization at both plasma membrane and internal compartments like recycling endosomes or synaptic vesicles.

### Distribution of the Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Protein and mRNA

We then examined the distribution of the Lrtm2 protein by immunostaining. In the adult mouse brain, the strongest immunopositive signals were observed in the SNr (**Figures 3F**, 4).

Δ	SP	
Mus musculus	MIAPGGGPEORSKI VIOW-ROVSWITCWIALCAVEVIPACPESCTCDSRSLEVDCSG	56
Homo sapiens	- MIAPGSSPGQRGRIALQW-ROVSWITCWIALYAVEALPTCPFSCKCDSRSLEVDCSG	56
Gallus gallus	MIPHSASHOWSSSIMRW-OFACILGC-WISICAALSFACPSSCKCNSGLLEVDCSG	56
Xenopus tropicalis	MRVARRYYPGRW-AQGSFLACCLSLCAASSLSCPSLCKCNTSSLEVDCSG	50
Callorhinchus milii	-MFTMECVTG-ASITQGKN-TSCTFLLCFLFLLLSIADALLACPTRCTCKRYEVDCSN	55
Lrtm1 Mus	- MLNEGLCCGAWAMKGTLLLVSSVGLLLPGVGSCPMKCLCHPSSNSVDCSG	51
Lrtm1 Homo	SSVIVLLQVVCSCPDKCYCQSSTNFVDCSQ	38
	LRR * LRR	
Mus musculus	LGLTTVPPDVPAATQSLLLLNNKLSALPSWAFANLSNLQRLDLSNNFLDQLPRSIFEDLV 1	16
Homo sapiens	LGLTTVPPDVPAATRTLLLLNNKLSALPSWAFANLSSLQRLDLSNNFLDRLPRSIFGDLT 1	16
Gallus gallus	LGLSSIPSDIPTNTRTFLFLNNKLSILPGPVFSNLSALQRLDLSNNFLDQLPQNIFSDLG 1	16
Xenopus tropicalis	RGLISVPPDIPQDIRILLLINNRLSSLSEKAFSNLSSLHRLDISNNFLDQLPSQLLSDLG 1	10
Callorninchus milli	RGLITIPINIPPUTKSLSLNNNKLSTLQFLILANLITLEKLDLSNNYLDQLPVNVFNDVG 1	115
Littm1 Homo	QGLAFIDENIDUTRTI HIODNOIHHIDAFAFRSVDWIMTINISNNSISNIAAEAFIGLI I	98
Ertim Homo		50
	* * LRR LRR	
Mus musculus	NLTELQLRNNSIRTLDRDLLQHSPLLRHLDLSINGLAQLPPGLFDGLLALRSLSLRSNRL 1	176
Homo sapiens	NLTELQLRNNSIRTLDRDLLRHSPLLRHLDLSINGLAQLPPGLFDGLLALRSLSLRSNRL 1	176
Gallus gallus	NLTELQLRNNSIRALDKDLLQHTALLRQLDLSINGLAQIPSGIFDDLPALRSLSLRSNRL 1	76
Xenopus tropicalis	NLTELRLRNNSIRSLDRDILQGLSLLRRLDLSLNGLSQLPSGLFDGLSMLHWLSLHSNRL 1	170
Callorhinchus milii	NLIDLNLRNNSIRSLDKKIFYRTINLQRLDLSVNGISQIPLDLFDEMQNLTWLSLKGNRM 1	75
Lrtm1 Mus	HLOVINI ANSLLSIESSFAHALPGLRELDISSNSLRILPISLGKPWENLIVFAVQQNHL 1	1/1
Lrtm1 Homo	HLQVENETQNSLESKEFHSEPQEREEDESSNNTSHEPTSEGETWENETTEAVQQNQE T	158
Mus musculus		236
Homo sapiens	QNLDRLTFEPLANLQLLQVGDNPWECDCNLREFKHWMEWFSYRGGRLDQLACTLPKELRG 2	236
Gallus gallus	QSLDRVTFEPLTSLQQLQVGDNPWECDCNLRDFKHWMEWFSYRGGKIDQLACTLPKELKG 2	236
Xenopus tropicalis	QSLDRETFEPLDKLEIIQLGDNPWECDCNLRDFKHWMEWFTYRGGKMDELQCTLPKDLRG 2	230
Callorhinchus milii	QSLERAAFEPMVHLQYLLLGGNPWECDCSLRDFKHWMEWFLYKGGKLDGIECSLPKDLRG 2	235
Lrtm1 Mus	LHLDRELLEAMPKVRLVLLKDNPWICDCHLLGLKLWLERFTFQGGETDGAICRLPEPWQG 2	231
Lrtm1 Homo	QQLDRALLESMPSVRLLLLKDNLWKCNCHLLGLKLWLEKFVYKGGLTDGIICESPDTWKG 2	218
Mus mussilus		04
Mus musculus	KOMRAVPMEMENYCSQLEDENNSA GLDAPGPPCTKASPEPPKPKPGAEPEPEPSIACP 2	294
Homo sapiens	KDMRMVPMEMENTOSQLEDENSSAGLDIPGPPCIKASPEPAKPKPGAEPEPEPSIACP 2	294
		294
Callorhinchus milii		295
Lrtm1 Mus	KALLSIPHELYQPCSLPSQDLAPSLVQQPGSAPQDAQKSHEN-SSGQQDPLECE 2	285
Lrtm1 Homo	KDLLRIPHELYQPCPLPAPDPVSS QAQWPGSAHGVVLRPPENHNAGERELLE CE 2	272
	TM YxxL KKxx	
Mus musculus	- QKQRYRPVSVRRAIGTVIIAGVVCGIVCIMMVVAAAYGCIYASLMAKYHRELKKRQPLM 3	353
Homo sapiens	- QKQRHRPASVRRAMGTVIIAGVVCGVVCIMMVVAAAYGCIYASLMAKYHRELKKRQPLM 3	353
Gallus gallus	- QKQRYRPVSVRRAIGTVIIAGVVCGIVCIMMVVAAAYGCIYASLMAKYHRELKKRQPLM 3	353
Xenopus tropicalis	- QRQRYRPASVRRAIGIVIIAGVVCGVVCIMMVVAAAYGCIYASLMAKYHRELKKRQPLM 3	339
Littm1 Mus	- AK PK PK PN R NA VA TVV I ROVVCGI VCI MM VAGATOCI TASLMANTINEL K KRUPLM 3	30
Littm1 Homo		326
Ertit Hollo		20
	AD PDZ	
Mus musculus	GDPEGEHEDQKQI SSVA 3	370
Homo sapiens	GDPEGEHEDQKQI SSVA 3	370
Gallus gallus	GDTEGEHEEQKQI SSVA 3	370
Xenopus tropicalis	GDAEGEQEEQRQI SSVA 3	356
Callorhinchus milii	GDGEQEQEQKQA SSIA 3	372
Lrtm1 Mus	SARKSEKMGSKELMDSSSA 3	356
Lrtm1 Homo	QINDPGKVEEKERFDSSPA 3	343
в		
5		
	100 aa YASL  KKRQ  GDPEGEHED	
FIGURE 2   Structure of L	rtm2 protein. (A) Sequence alignment and conserved domains of Lrtm2 proteins. N-terminal box, predicted signal pentide sec	quence:
shaded concerved corus	non motifs, astarisks, N-glycosylation sites: I.B.R.   auging_rich repost   B.R.N.T. I.B.R.N.tarming , I.B.C.T. I.D.C. tarming  Vec	d
sindueu, conserveu seque		<u>`</u> ∟,
enaocytosis motif; KKxx, I	ER retrieving mouri; AD, acidic domain known as a motif for axon targeting and KIF1-binding; PDZ, PDZ domain-binding; IM,	
transmembrane domain. (	B) Domain structure of Lrtm2 protein. SP, signal peptide; LRR-NT and LRR-CT, cap structures of LRR domains; ellipse, a unit	of
Leucine-rich repeat; TM, t	ransmembrane domain. YxxL, somatodendritic sorting signal; KKxx, ER-retrieval signal; AD, acidic residue clustered domain.	

The other striatal projection systems (striatum, GPe, and GPi) also produced strong signals. Moderate to strong signals were observed in the thalamic nuclei. In the cerebral cortex, there were moderate signals throughout, but the signals were enhanced in

the deep layer (layer 4) (**Supplementary Figure 4**). The cerebral peduncle showed strong signals (**Figure 3F**). In the hippocampus, dentate gyrus cells, and mossy fibers of the granule neurons gave the signals (**Figures 1**, **3F** and **Supplementary Figure 4**).



**FIGURE 3** | Expression of Lrtm2 and distribution of Lrtm2 protein. **(A)** Northern blot analysis. *Top*, organ blot. *Bottom*, temporal expression profile during embryonic development. sk, skeletal; E, embryonic day. **(B–E)** Immunoblot analysis. **(B)** Regional distribution of Lrtm2 in the brain. **(C)** Lrtm2 abundance in the brain during postnatal development. P, postnatal day or week (w). **(D)** Glycosidase sensitivity of Lrtm2 protein. Only peptide N-glycosidase F (PNGase) resulted in a band shift to a lower molecular weight range, indicating that Lrtm2 is an N-glycosylated protein. CHase, chondroitinase ABC; Hep I, heparinase I; Hep II, heparinase II; EndoH, endoglycosidase H. **(E)** Subcellular distribution. H, homogenates; S1, postnuclear supernatant; S2, supernatant after P2 precipitation; P2, crude synaptosome; S3, cytosol; P3, light membrane; P2A, myelin-enriched fraction; P2B, synaptosome-enriched fraction; P2C, mitochoindria-enriched fraction; LP1-S, 1% Triton-X100-soluble LP1 fraction; LP1-P, 1% Triton-X100-insoluble LP1 fraction; SPM, synaptosomal plasma membranes; PSD, postsynaptic density fraction; PSD95, an excitatory postsynaptic protein; Synphy, synaptophysin, a presynaptic marker. **(F)** Immunostaining. 8-weeks-old or 3-weeks-old (3W) mouse brain sections are immunostained with an anti-Lrtm2 antibody. cp, caudoputamen; cpe, cerebral peduncle; ctx, cerebral cortex; dg, dentate gyrus of hippocampus; gp, globus pallidus; gpe, external segment of globus pallidus; gpi, internal segment of globus pallidus; ob, olfactory bulb; ot, olfactory tubercle; srn, substantia nigra pars reticulata; th, thalamus. *Numerical values* in the pictures indicate relative distance (mm) from Bregma or midsagittal plane (L) (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001). *Bright field image, in situ* hybridization showing Lrtm2 mRNA distribution in 1.44 (L) parasagittal section. Note the difference when compared with Lrtm2 protein (left adjacent image). *Scale bar*, 1 mm.

Lrtm2

markers

DAT

GAD67

GAD67

GAD65

VGAT

GABA<sub>B</sub>R1

merge

TABLE 1   Comparison of Lrtm2 mRNA and Lrtm2 prot	teir
distribution in mouse brain.	

Region	Structure	Lrtm2 mRNA	Lrtm2 protein
Olfactory bulb			
	Glomerular layer	+	
	Outer plexiform layer		++
	Mitral cell layer	+	
	Inner plexiform layer		+
	Granule cell layer	++	
Cerebral cortex		All layer	Enhanced at IV, weakly a
	Frontal pole	+	17 111
		, ++	
	Infralimbic	++	
	Motor		+
	Somatosenson	-	т 
	Visual	+	+
	Potrosplonial	1	, 1)
	Piriform cortex II	+	+ 1)
	Olfactory tubercle II	++	
	Entorhinal cortex	+	
Midbrain Hippocampus	Cerebral peduncle		++
nppoodinpdo	Deptate gyrus		
	Molecular laver		±
	Granule cell laver	4.4	I
	Hilus	TT	+
	CA3		-
Thalamus	0.45		т
malamao	Ventral posterolateral/posteromedial nuclei	++	+
	Reticular nucleus, medical/lateral habenula	-	+ 2)
	Lateral geniculate complex	++	
	Medial geniculate complex	++	
	Posterior complex	+	+
	Paraventricular nucleus	++ 3)	-
	Parataenial nucleus	++	
	Anterodorsal/anteroventral nuclei	++	+
	Anteromedial nucleus	+	
	Intermediodorsal nucleus	+	-
	Mediodorsal nucleus	+	
	Central medial nucleus	+	
	Rhomboid nucleus	+	
	Submedial nucleus	+	
	Paracentral nucleus	+	
	Central lateral nucleus	+	
	Lateral posterior nucleus	-	+
	Nucleus of reuniens	+	
		т 	- 2)
		++	+ 0)
Rocal gonglia		++	
uasai yanyiid			
	Dorsal striatum (caudate and putamen)	++	++
	ventral striatum (nucleus accumbens)	+	+

FIGURE 4 | Distribution of Lrtm2 in SNr. All pictures derive from double immunostaining of SNr in parasagittal sections with Lrtm2 (green) and indicated markers (red). Lrtm2 and GAD67 are colocalized except for local inhibitory neurons in which the cell body is marked with strong GAD67 signals surrounding the nuclei (blue, DAPI), as seen in panels with higher magnification. Scale bars, 500-µm-thick; 20-µm-thin.

In the olfactory bulb, both the outer and inner plexiform layers produced strong signals (**Figure 3F**). The olfactory tubercle also provided signals (**Figure 3F**).

There were differences in the distribution between the Lrtm2 immunopositive signals (**Figure 3F**) and mRNA signals that can be seen at bright field image in **Figure 3F** and images deposited in Allen Brain Atlas database (Lein et al., 2007). Lrtm2 mRNA signals were the strongest in the thalamus. In the striatal projection systems, mRNA signals were observed in the striatum, but not in the SNr, GPe, GPi, or STN (**Figure 3F** and **Table 1**). In the cerebral cortex, Lrtm2 mRNA was distributed in layers 2 and 3, whereas the immunopositive signals were strong in layer 4 (**Figure 3F**, **Table 1**, and **Supplementary Figure 4**). In the olfactory bulb, Lrtm2 mRNA was strongly in the granule cell layer and scarce in the outer and inner plexiform layers in an opposite manner to the Lrtm2 protein (**Figure 3F** and **Table 1**). When we compared the Lrtm2 mRNA and protein distribution (**Table 1**), it became clear that Lrtm2 proteins are

(Continued)

### TABLE 1 | (Continued)

Region	Structure	Lrtm2 mRNA	Lrtm2 protein
	Globus pallidus, external segment		++
	Globus pallidus, internal segment		+
	Substantia nigra reticular part		++
Cerebellum			
	Purkinje cell layer	+	
	Deep cerebellar nuclei (interpositus)		+
Medulla			
	Torapezoid body	+	
	Medial vestibular nucleus	+	+
	Lateral vestibular nucleus		+
	External cuneate nucleus	+	+
	Dorsal motor nucleus of vagus nerve	+	
	Medullary reticular nucleus, ventral part	+	

Protein distribution is based on this study, and mRNA distribution is based on Allen brain atlas (https://mouse.brain-map.org/, 69838338, 74819475). (1) Immunopositive signals are also observed at layer I; (2) lateral habenula; (3) dorsal part.

abundant at the sites where Lrtm2 mRNA-positive neurons extend their axons. This relationship is commonly observed in striatal projection systems, cortical projections (cerebral cortex to cerebral peduncle), thalamocortical projections (thalamus to cerebral cortex layer 4), and olfactory bulb (granule neuron to plexiform layers). We hypothesized that Lrtm2 mRNA is mainly translated in soma or dendrites, and some fraction of the Lrtm2 protein was preferentially transported to the axon and axon terminals.

Lrtm2 protein distribution was further examined at higher magnification in SNr, where a dense distribution of immunopositive signals was observed. Among synaptic marker proteins, Lrtm2 immunopositive signals overlapped well with those of GAD65 (Gad2) and GAD67 (Gad1), partly with VGAT but not with gephyrin, VGLUT1, or VGLUT2 (**Figure 4**). In SNr, Lrtm2 signals were distributed in a reticular pattern where VGAT-positive punctate signals were surrounded by strong Lrtm2 signals (**Figure 4**). It is known that SNr includes dendrites of dopaminergic neurons that extend from the SNc. Lrtm2 signals were not overlapped with, but were located adjacent to dopaminergic dendrites in the ventral tiers of SNc (**Figure 4**). These results suggested that Lrtm2 protein was localized in axon terminals of SPNs throughout SNr without enhancement on the synaptic vesicles.

### Behavioral Abnormalities of Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Knockout Mice

To determine the role of Lrtm2 *in vivo*, we generated the Lrtm2 null type mutation allele ( $Lrtm2^{-}$ ) by replacing the entire Lrtm2 coding sequence with a loxP sequence (**Figure 1**). Abnormalities of male homozygotes ( $Lrtm2^{-/-}$ , KO) were explored by

comparing them with wild-type littermates ( $Lrtm2^{+/+}$ , WT) in behavioral test batteries (**Table 2**).

Lrtm2 KO mice did not show abnormalities in locomotor activity in their home cage or the open field test (**Table 2**). However, when they were placed in an open field box with four holes (hole board test), KO mice took a longer time before investigating any holes (t = 3.05, p = 0.011, Welch's *t*-test) and spent a shorter time investigating the holes (t = 4.39, p = 0.00035) (**Figure 5A**). The count of the approach to an inanimate novel object in an open field box was also reduced (t = 3.50, p = 0.0036) (**Figure 5B**). In a social discrimination test, KO mice spent a shorter time near the cylinder cage with a mouse (2nd-session, t = 2.28, p = 0.029, *t*-test; 3rd-session-near, t = 2.09, p = 0.045) (**Figure 5C**). Taken together, these results suggest that the Lrtm2 KO mice exhibited altered exploratory behavior.

Lrtm2 KO mice also showed abnormalities in behavioral tasks that forced movement. In the tail suspension test, the immobility was reduced (U = 25, p = 0.013, U-test) (**Figure 5D**). In the Morris water maze test, no movement time was increased [ $F_{(1, 79)} = 5.33$ ,  $p_{genotype} = 0.033$ , two-way repeated-measures ANOVA for genotype and trials as main factors], but the movement time and moving speed in the swimming pool were not increased without any abnormalities in spatial learning-related parameters (**Figure 5E** and **Table 2**). In the rotarod test performed for both 3–5-month-old and 15-month-old mice, 15-month-old Lrtm2 KO mice stayed longer on the rotating rod (day 9–12, mean, t = 29, p = 0.021) (**Figure 5F** and **Table 2**).

Other behavioral tasks, including the elevated plus maze, lightdark box test, elevated plus maze test, fear conditioning test, forced swimming test, hot plate test, tail-flick test, acoustic startle response test, marble burying test, and social interaction tests for freely moving animal objects did not show any obvious abnormalities, but the body weight of Lrtm2 KO mice was slightly lower than that of WT mice (-6.6%, t = 3.35, p = 0.0020) (**Table 2**). Overall, the behavioral abnormalities in Lrtm2 KO mice were believed to reflect altered voluntary movement initiation, motor learning, and exploratory behaviors.

### Brain Monoamine Contents Were Altered in Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Knockout Mice

The above results of the Lrtm2 expression analysis and behavioral tests led us to hypothesize that brain monoamine dynamics might be altered in Lrtm2 KO mice. We then measured the levels of DA, 5-HT, noradrenaline (NA), and their metabolites in the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, dorsal striatum (CP), and hypothalamus of the two age groups (**Figure 6**). As a result, there were significant differences in DA and 5-HT metabolites in multiple brain regions. For DA, its metabolite DOPAC showed a reduction in the dorsal striatum (t = 2.12, p = 0.043) and hypothalamus (t = 2.99, p = 0.0056) in the young group Lrtm2 KO and showed age-dependent changes (low in young and high in old Lrtm2 KO) in prefrontal cortex [ $F_{(1, 56)} = 4.24$ ,  $p_{genotype \times age} = 0.044$ , two-way ANOVA]. Another DA metabolite, homovanillic acid (HVA), showed analogous changes but not

### TABLE 2 | Summary of Lrtm2 KO behavioral analysis.

Test		Result	Statistical significance and notes	wт	ко
Home-cage activity	No. of count by infrared sensor	No change		7	10
Open field (dark)	Locomotor	No change		10	10
	% Time in center	No change		10	10
Open field (bright)	Locomotor	No change		10	10
	% time in center	No change		10	10
Light-dark box	% time in light box	No change		7	10
Home-cage activity Open field (dark) Open field (bright) Light-dark box Elevated plus maze Hole-board Staircase Water maze Fear conditioning — conditioning — conditioning — context Fear conditioning — cue Rotarod (3-5 M-old) Rotarod (15 M-old) Tail suspension Forced swimming	No. of transitions	Slightly decreased	p = 0.073	7	10
	Latency entering dark box	No change		7	10
	Total distance	No change		7	10
Elevated plus maze	% Time in open arm	No change		7	10
	% Entries to open arm	No change		7	10
	Total distance	No change		7	10
Hole-board	Head-dip latency	Increased	*p = 0.011 (Welch's <i>t</i> -test)	10	10
	Total distance	No change		10	10
	Rearing number	No change		10	10
	Head-dip time	Decreased	***p = 0.00035	10	10
	Head-dip number	Decreased	*p = 0.014	10	10
Staircase	Latency	Slightly increased	p = 0.062	7	10
	No. of step ascend	No change		7	10
Water maze	Latency reaching goal	No change		10	10
	Probe test of time in quadrant	No change		10	10
	Probe test of crossing	No change		10	10
	Moving speed	Decreased	**,*day1–3, <i>p</i> = 0.0024, 0.0079, 0.032	10	10
	No movement time	Increased	**day1–2, <i>p</i> = 0.0099, 0.0095	10	10
Fear conditioning – conditioning	Freezing response before unconditioned stimulus	No change		7	10
Ŭ	freezing response after unconditioned stimulus (tone + footshock)	No change		7	10
Fear conditioning—context	freezing response	No change		7	10
Fear conditioning—cue	Freezing response before conditioned stimulus	No change		7	10
	Freezing response after conditioned stimulus (tone cue)	No change		7	10
Rotarod (3-5 M-old)	Time stayed on rotating rod	No change		16	18
Rotarod (15 M-old)	Time stayed on rotating rod	Increased at later stage (day 9–12, mean)	*p = 0.021	16	16
Tail suspension	Immobility time	Decreased	*p = 0.013 (U-test)	10	13
Forced swimming	Immobility time	No change		11	16
Hotplate	Latency licking forepaws	No change		10	10
	Latency flinching	No change		10	10
Tail flick	Latency removing tail	No change		10	10
Acoustic startle response	Startle response amplitude	No change		7	10
	Prepulse inhibition	No change		7	10
Marble burying	No. of marbles buried	No change		16	18
Novel object	Approach in homecage	Slightly decreased	p = 0.075	16	18
	Approach time in open field	Slightly decreased	p = 0.054	10	10
	Approach count in open field	Decreased	**p = 0.0036	10	10
Social interaction	Particles in novel environment	No change		10	10
Social discrimination	Session1	No change		16	18
	Session2	Reduced approach to mice in cage	*p = 0.029	16	18

(Continued)

### TABLE 2 | (Continued)

Test		Result	Statistical significance and notes	wт	ко
	Session3	Reduced approach to mice in cage	$*\rho = 0.045$ (near zone-stayed time, decreased)	16	18
			*p = 0.028 (far zone-stayed time, increased)		
Resident intruder	Nose to anogenital contact	No change		16	18
	Nose to head contacting	No change		16	18
Body weight		Decreased	(-6.6%) **p = 0.0020	17	20

Statistical significance indicates the difference between WT and KO, \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001 in Student's unpaired two-tailed t-test unless otherwise indicated.

significantly  $[F_{(1, 56)} = 3.98, p_{genotype \times age} = 0.051]$ . For 5-HT, its metabolite 5-hydroxy indole acetic acid (5HIAA) was decreased in the hypothalamus (t = 2.22, p = 0.034), and 5-HT turnover (5HIAA/5-HT) was decreased in both the amygdala (t = 25.6, p = 0.024, Welch's *t*-test) and the dorsal striatum (t = 25.1, p = 0.016, Welch's *t*-test) of the young group Lrtm2 KO mice. For NA, significant changes were limited to the hippocampus, where NA increased slightly in young Lrtm2 KO mice (t = 29, p = 0.022) (**Supplementary Figure 5**). Thus, monoamine content analysis revealed that Lrtm2 deficiency affects monoaminergic systems. In particular, we observed changes in the dopaminergic system, which may be associated with the Lrtm2 abundant striatal projections and behavioral abnormalities in Lrtm2 KO mice.

### Altered Presynaptic Protein Distribution in Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Knockout Striatal Projections

Our next challenge was to clarify the molecular events that occurred in the Lrtm2 KO striatal projection system. The morphology of striatum or substantia nigra did not show clear differences between WT and KO mice, as shown by DA transporter or choline acetyltransferase immunostaining (**Figure 7**). We then performed a quantitative analysis for mRNA and/or proteins of genes that are expressed or functionally important in the striatal projection system (**Figures 8**, **9**). These included proteins involved in inhibitory presynapse [GAD67 (Gad1), GAD65 (Gad2), VGAT (Slc32a1), GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 (Gabbr1)], excitatory presynapse [VGLUT1 (Slc17a7)], direct pathway SPN [DRD1 (Drd1), Substance P (SP, Tac1), Adora1 (Adora1)], indirect pathway SPN [DRD2 (Drd2), Met-enkephalin (MetEnk, Penk), Adora2 (Adora2)], and monoamine metabolizing enzyme [MAO-B (Maob)].

The mRNA levels of GAD67, GAD65, DRD1, SP, and Adora1 were commonly increased at SNr (GAD67, t = 5.86, p = 0.00038; GAD65, t = 3.91, p = 0.0045; DRD1, t = 6.18, p = 0.00027; SP, t = 3.52, p = 0.0078; Adora1, t = 5.83, p = 0.00039) (**Figure 8**). GAD67, SP, MetEnk, and Adora2 were increased (GAD67, t = 4.78, p = 0.0014; SP, t = 4.69, p = 0.0016; MetEnk, t = 2.93, p = 0.019; Adora2, t = 2.75, p = 0.025), and MAO-B levels were decreased (t = 3.77, p = 0.020) in the dorsal striatum (caudatoputamen, CP) (**Figure 8**). In terms of pathways, direct pathway genes (DRD1, SP, and Adora1) were

all upregulated in KO SNr, whereas the indirect pathway genes (DRD2, MetEnk, and Adora2) did not show differences in GP or SNr (**Figure 8**). Collectively, transcript levels of several marker genes were affected by Lrtm2 deficiency either at CP or SNr.

Protein level analysis was performed by particle analysis of immunostaining signals (**Figure 9** and **Supplementary Figures 7–10**). The number of GAD67 immunopositive particles increased (t = 3.72, p = 0.0040) in the Lrtm2 KO CP. The number of GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 particles also increased in CP (t = 2.61, p = 0.021), but decreased in GP (t = 2.84, p = 0.013) (**Figure 9**). GAD65 immunopositive signals did not show clear differences in any region (**Supplementary Figures 8, 10**). DRD1 showed a higher intensity in SNr (t = 3.18, p = 0.0099) (**Supplementary Figures 9, 10**), and MAO-B showed lower integrated density in SNr (t = 2.86, p = 0.017) (**Supplementary Figure 7**). The other proteins did not show significant differences between WT and KO mice (**Supplementary Figures 8–10**).

Based on the results of mRNA and protein level analyses, our attention was attracted by the altered distribution of GAD67 and GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 proteins. Either protein dynamics might be affected by the absence of the Lrtm2 protein, which is densely distributed in the SNr. Therefore, we aimed to observe the effects of Lrtm2 protein mislocalization on GAD67 and GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 localization.

### Identification of Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Protein Sorting Elements

To mislocalize the Lrtm2 protein, we had to verify the candidate protein sorting signals in the cytoplasmic region of Lrtm2 (Figure 2). For this purpose, the three candidate sequences in were mutated as follows:  $YxxL/Yxx\phi$  (endocytosisassociated/somatodendritic targeting), YASL → AASA; KKxx (ER retrieving signal), KKRQ  $\rightarrow$  AARQ; acidic domain (AD) (axon targeting), GDPEGEHED  $\rightarrow$  GRPRGRHRR. After transfecting their expression vectors with an amino-terminal epitope (HA) tag, we examined the localization of mutant Lrtm2 proteins within cultured hippocampal neurons (Figure 10 and Supplementary Figures 11, 12). Mutated AD (mAD) or mutated KKxx (mKKxx) containing Lrtm2 showed poor localization in both axons and dendrites (mAD-axon, p = 0.038; mKKxxaxon, p = 0.00015; mAD-dendrite, p = 0.049; mKKxx-dendrite, p < 0.00001, ANOVA and *post hoc* Dunnett test) (Figure 10B). We also examined the neurites areas (Figure 10C) and the



FIGURE 5 | Behavioral abnormalities of Lrtm2 KO mice. 2-6-month-old male were subjected for systematic behavioral analysis. Results for additional parameters for the above tests and additional test subjects are indicated in Table 2. (A) Hole board test. Mice are placed in an open field box with holes for 5 min. Left, head dip (HD) time latency. Middle, HD count. Right, total HD time. WT, n = 10, KO, n = 10. (B) Novel object approach (NOA) test. Mice are placed in an open field with an unfamiliar object (inverted paper cup). The number of contacts is counted. WT, n = 10, KO, n = 10. (C) Social discrimination test. Bottom, experimental design. Graphs indicate the time spent in the gray areas indicated below. near, the region close to the caged mice; far, the region distant from the caged mice. WT, n = 10, KO, n = 10. (D) Tail suspension test. The percentage of immobile time in 5 min test period is measured. WT, n = 10, KO, n = 13. (E) No movement time in the water maze test. WT, n = 10, KO, n = 10. (F) Rotarod test. The tests are carried out daily on days 1–4 and days 9–12. The stayed time on accelerating rotarod is measured. WT, n = 16, KO, n = 16-18. \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001 in Welch's *t*-test (1A, HD latency), *U*-test (D), and Student's *t*-test (the others). Error bars, SD. (A-D) Gray circles indicate the individual value of each mouse. (E,F) The numbers in parentheses indicate *n* (the number of mice) in each experimental group.

neurites shapes (**Supplementary Figure 12**) of the transfectants. HA-Lrtm2 increased the axon and dendrite areas (axon, t = 4.43, p = 0.00011, Welch's *t*-test; dendrite, t = 3.40, p = 0.0013) (Figure 10C). Regarding mutants, the AD mutation reduced the axon/dendrite area-increasing ability (axon, p = 0.028; dendrite, p < 0.00001, ANOVA and *post hoc* Dunnett test) and both mYxxL and mKKxx mutations reduced dendrite area-increasing ability (mYxxL, p = 0.035; mKKxx, p = 0.012, ANOVA and post hoc Dunnett test) in comparison to HA-Lrtm2 wild-type (Figure 10C). In terms of neurites shapes, HA-Lrtm2 reduced the dendrite complexity (p = 0.00048, twoway repeated measures ANOVA and post hoc Dunnett test), and both mYxxL and mKKxx impaired the reducing ability (mKKxx, p = 0.00026; mYxxL, p = 0.013, two-way repeated measures ANOVA and post hoc Dunnett test) (Supplementary Figure 12). Taken together, both mKKxx and mAD impaired the neurites localization of HA-Lrtm2 while all of the three mutants affected the neurite modulating abilities of HA-Lrtm2 differentially.

### Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Co-expression Enhanced Axon Localization of GAD67: GFP and GABA<sub>B</sub>R1: GFP in Cultured Neurons

We then examined the effects of untagged Lrtm2, mAD, mYxxL, or mKKxx co-existence with the GFP-tagged GAD67 (GAD67:GFP) or GFP-tagged GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 (GABA<sub>B</sub>R1:GFP) (Figure 11 and Supplementary Figure 13). Regarding the axon localization of the GFP-tagged proteins, Lrtm2 enhanced axon localization of both GAD67:GFP (t = 2.78, p = 0.0077) and GABA<sub>B</sub>R1:GFP (t = 2.38, p = 0.022) (Figure 11). All three mutants showed reduced GAD67:GFP axon localization, and mAD reduced it more severely (mAD, p < 0.00001; mYxxL, p = 0.00039; mKKxx, p < 0.00001, ANOVA and post hoc Dunnett test), which was lower than the Lrtm2 absence (empty vector) control (t = 4.52, p = 0.000045, Welch's *t*-test) (Figure 11). GABA<sub>B</sub>R1:GFP axon localization was reduced by mAD (t = 2.78, p = 0.0092, ANOVA and post hoc Dunnett test), but not by mYxxL or mKKxx (Figure 11). Neither Lrtm2 nor any mutants affected GAD67:GFP dendrite localization. mYxxL enhanced GABA<sub>B</sub>R1:GFP dendrite localization (t = 3.32, p = 0.0020), and Lrtm2 wild-type showed similar tendency (t = 1.81, p = 0.068, Welch's *t*-test) in comparison to the empty vector control (Figure 11 and Supplementary Figure 13). These results indicate that Lrtm2 overexpression can alter the subcellular localization of GAD67:GFP and GABA<sub>B</sub>R1:GFP in cultured neurons, particularly the axon targeting of both proteins.

## Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 mAD Mutant Was Defective in Redistributing GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 in the Brain of Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Knockout Mice

We also examined the effects of HA-Lrtm2WT and HA-Lrtm2mAD expression in the brain. To this end, we injected



**FIGURE 6** Monoamine content is altered in the brain of Lrtm2 KO mice. Graphs indicate mean monoamine concentration values (pg/mg protein; *white circle*, WT; *black square*, KO) for 5-month-old (young) and 13–20-month-old (old) mice, n = 13 (WT, young), 14 (KO, young), 13 (WT, old), or 13 (KO, old) mice for each group. *Error bars,* standard error of the mean (SEM). <sup>#</sup>p < 0.1; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01 in Student's t-test. Top horizontal bars in PFC-DOPAC and PFC-HVA graphs indicate #, p < 0.1; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01 in Student's t-test. Top horizontal bars in PFC-DOPAC and PFC-HVA graphs indicate #, p < 0.1; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01 in Student's t-test. Top horizontal bars in PFC-DOPAC and PFC-HVA graphs indicate #, p < 0.1; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01 in Student's t-test. Top horizontal bars in PFC-DOPAC and PFC-HVA graphs indicate #, p < 0.1; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01 in Student's t-test. Top horizontal bars in PFC-DOPAC and PFC-HVA graphs indicate #, p < 0.1; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01 in Student's t-test. Top horizontal bars in PFC-DOPAC and PFC-HVA graphs indicate #, p < 0.1; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01; <sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; <sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.05



AAVs that could express either protein into the Lrtm2 KO dorsal striatum (**Figure 12**). The size of HA-Lrtm2mAD immunoreactive particles tended to be smaller (t = 2.29, p = 0.052), but the number tended to be greater (t = 2.12,

p = 0.067) than that of HA-Lrtm2WT in CP (**Figures 12B,C**), suggesting that the localization of Lrtm2 protein was affected by the mAD mutation also *in vivo*. The GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 signal count was higher in CP (t = 2.94, p = 0.018) but lower in







each region after immunofluorescence staining. (A) Representative images. Error bars, SD. Scale bar, 20 µm. (B) Mean of four sections is used as a representative value for a mouse. 10–12 week-old mice (n = 6, 3 males and 3 females) were analyzed. *Gray circles* indicate the individual values, which are the means of six sections per mouse for each mouse. \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01 in Student's *t*-test. *Error bars*, SD. Additional results are indicated in **Supplementary Figures 8–10**.

GP (t = 3.50, p = 0.0081) and SNr (t = 2.41, p = 0.069, Welch's *t*-test) compared to HA-Lrtm2mAD to HA-Lrtm2WT. These results suggested that HA-Lrtm2mAD was defective in enhancing axon sorting of endogenous GABA<sub>B</sub>R1, in agreement with the *in vitro* experiment using GABA<sub>B</sub>R1: GFP (**Figure 11**). Regarding the GAD67-derived signals, the intensity was lower in SNr in HA-Lrtm2mAD than in HA-Lrtm2WT (t = 2.49, p = 0.038) (**Figure 12**). Collectively, the localization of GAD67 and GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 was differentially



membrane-anchored CFP (GFP, green). Scale bar, 50  $\mu$ m. Representative images for MAP2 immunostaining are indicated in **Supplementary Figure 11. (B)** Quantification of HA-Lrtm2 WT or HA-Lrtm2 mutants in axons (*left*, defined as a ratio of HA-positive area to SMI312-positive area) or dendrites (*right*, defined as a ratio of HA-positive area to MAP2-positive area). n = 19-24 cells for each expression construct. Analysis of the neurites shapes is indicated in **Supplementary Figure 12. (C)** Effects of HA-Lrtm2 WT on neurites' areas. *Gray circles* indicate the individual value of each cell. \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001 in \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001 in ANOVA and *post hoc* Dunnett test, compared to WT values. *Error bars*, SD.

affected between HA-Lrtm2WT and HA-Lrtm2mAD, supporting the role of Lrtm2 in protein sorting to the inhibitory axon terminals of SPN.



## GAD67: GFP Was Retained in the Leucine-Rich Repeats and Transmembrane Domains 2 Knockout Dorsal Striatum

Concerning GAD67 in Lrtm2 KO SPN, increased GAD67 expression was thought to reflect increased mRNA levels, but the difference was not so clearly seen in SNr. To test whether exogenous GAD67 protein is affected by Lrtm2 deficiency, we

expressed GAD67:GFP that can be transported into presynaptic clusters (Kanaani et al., 2010) in the dorsal striatum of Lrtm2 WT and KO mice by injecting AAV-CAG-GAD67:GFP into the hemilateral dorsal striatum. The GFP signals were widely detected in the dorsal striatum, but were scarce at SNr on 4 days after the injection (Supplementary Figure 14). We then quantified GFP signals at CP, GP, and SNr 10-12 days after the injection (Figure 13). As a result, the GFP signal count was higher in the KO dorsal striatum than in the WT dorsal striatum (t = 3.05, p = 0.016) as we observed for endogenous GAD67 protein. In addition, the GFP signal intensity in the KO SNr was lower than the WT SNr (t = 2.66, p = 0.029) (Figure 13). It was thought that the increased count in CP represents increased GAD67-GFPpositive Golgi membranes and cytosolic vesicles (Kanaani et al., 2010). The decreased intensity in SNr may reflect the reduced GAD67-GFP amount per presynaptic terminal. These results indicated that Lrtm2 is necessary for proper GAD67 protein levels in CP, and the exogenous GAD67:GFP was less efficiently sorted to SNr in the absence of Lrtm2.

## DISCUSSION

This study dealt with an uncharacterized protein, Lrtm2. We determined its molecular properties and biological significance. Lrtm2 is a small glycosylated single membrane-spanning protein with an LRR domain in the extracellular or luminal region and three protein sorting motifs in the cytoplasmic region. Its distribution in the brain is enhanced at the axon terminal of neurons and is abundant in striatal projections, thalamocortical projections, corticospinal projections, hippocampal mossy fibers, and projections within the olfactory bulb. The prevalent and consistent feature of the protein distribution implies that Lrtm2 is involved in establishing common neuronal properties among projection neurons. In agreement with the immunostaining results, Lrtm2 is recovered at both plasma membrane and internal compartments like recycling endosomes or synaptic vesicles.

In phylogenetic terms, Lrtm2 is distributed in vertebrate clades, similar to known neural LRR-transmembrane proteins that play diverse and essential roles in the CNS. It is noteworthy that the mammalian paralog, Lrtm1 (Dolan et al., 2007), shows a highly restricted expression in midbrain DA neuron progenitors and is utilized as a cell surface marker to enrich DA progenitors from stem cell-derived immature neurons (Samata et al., 2016). Together with the abundant distribution of Lrtm2 in striatonigral projections, we can conclude that the Lrtm family is involved in striatonigral neural circuits in both directions.

The role of Lrtm2 in higher brain function may be the control of voluntary movement initiation, motor function and learning, and exploratory behaviors, as indicated by Lrtm2 KO behavior analysis. The altered brain function is believed to reflect the altered function of many Lrtm2-expressing neural circuits. We speculate that striatonigral projections may be principally associated with behavioral abnormalities in Lrtm2 KO mice. This is because striatal projection systems are known to be involved in action selection (Gerfen and Surmeier, 2011) or in controlling



the right dorsal striatum of Lrtm2 KO mice (n = 5 mice, 5–27 M-old 2 males and 3 females for each construct). The injected mouse brains are subjected to immunostaining analysis using anti-GAD67 or anti-GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 antibodies. (A) Representative images. (B) Representative images at higher magnification. *Scale bars*, 1-mm-thick; 10- $\mu$ m-thin. (C) Quantitative analysis. *Gray circles* indicate individual values, which are the means of two sections per mouse. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, Student's -test. *Error bars*, SD.

goal-directed actions (Zhai et al., 2018), and altered monoamine dynamics, including decreased DA metabolite content and decreased serotonin turnover, were found in the dorsal striatum of Lrtm2 KO mice. In addition to striatonigral projections, alterations in corticospinal projection and thalamocortical projection properties are possible, considering their known roles in motor control (Ebbesen and Brecht, 2017) and attention and executive control (Halassa and Sherman, 2019).



In the monoamine content analysis, the following changes in DA or serotonin metabolism seem to be associated with Lrtm2 KO behavioral abnormalities. First, the administration of DA reuptake inhibitors is known to increase motor learning performance in the rotarod test (Shiotsuki et al., 2010). Second, mouse exploratory behavior in the open field is highly sensitive to striatal DA efflux (Patel et al., 2012). Third, altered serotonin signaling affects immobility in tail suspension tests, as evidenced by many studies that adopted pharmacological treatment or genetic manipulation in mice (Cryan et al., 2005). Thus, Lrtm2 expression, behavioral and neurochemical abnormalities in Lrtm2 KO mice, and the known roles of neural circuits and monoamine transmitters led us to focus on the role of Lrtm2 in SPN in this study.

Molecular marker analysis of SPN showed that both the striatal GAD67 protein (**Figure 9**) and exogenous protein (**Figure 13**, GAD67:GFP) were abnormally accumulated in Lrtm2 KO CP. These results suggest that Lrtm2 decreases CP GAD67 levels directly or indirectly. Because CP includes the somatodendritic compartment of SPN, the results were thought to reflect excessive GAD67 production in the soma/dendrites of SPN or the blockage of axonal transport of GAD67. In our *in vitro* experiments, all Lrtm2 mutants impaired axonal localization, but not in the dendritic location of GAD67: GFP (**Figure 11**), raising the possibility that Lrtm2 is involved in the axonal transport of GAD67. However, in SNr, GAD67:GFP, which was virally introduced into the dorsal striatum (**Figure 13**) and endogenous GAD67 protein (**Figure 9**), were comparable

between WT and KO mice. Combining all results, in SPN, axon transport of GAD67 SPN may not require Lrtm2 *in vivo*, but Lrtm2 can control GAD67 axon transport *in vitro*. Therefore, we speculate that Lrtm2 is involved in GAD67 axon transport in a context-dependent or functionally redundant manner.

Meanwhile, it is probable that the increase in CP GAD67 in Lrtm2 KO mice reflects the increase in the level of GAD67 mRNA. GAD67 expression is regulated in a neuronal activitydependent manner (Lau and Murthy, 2012). A voltage-gated sodium channel blocker, tetrodotoxin, reduces whereas a GABAA receptor blocker, picrotoxin, increases GAD67 expression in cultured hippocampal neurons at the transcription level (Lau and Murthy, 2012). Ablation of olfactory sensory neurons reduces GAD67 expression in the olfactory bulb (Lau and Murthy, 2012). Therefore, it is likely that Lrtm2 deficiency increases the excitability of SPN. It is important to determine whether any Lrtm2-binding proteins can influence neuronal excitability. Assuming that the increase in mRNA primarily causes GAD67 protein accumulation in CP, we must presume a restrictive or turning point for GAD67 axon transport. Accordingly, a previous study revealed that the proximal axons, including the axon initial segment (AIS), selectively sorts cargo entry. AIS is a scaffolding protein, ankyrin G, and an F-actin-dependent protein network (Song et al., 2009). However, little is known about the role of AIS in GAD67 axon entry. Meanwhile, GAD67 and its paralog GAD65 have been studied regarding the mechanism of localization in neurons (Kanaani et al., 1999; Fenalti et al., 2007; reviewed in reviewed in Wei and Wu, 2008; Kanaani et al., 2010). GAD67 is constitutively active and produces > 90% of GABA in the CNS, and GAD65 is transiently activated (Kanaani et al., 2010). The membrane anchoring and trafficking of GAD65 are mediated by intrinsic hydrophobic modifications; GAD67 remains hydrophilic yet is targeted to vesicular membrane pathways and synaptic clusters in neurons by both a GAD65-dependent (forming a heterodimer with GAD65) and a distinct GAD65-independent mechanism (Kanaani et al., 2010). It is possible that GAD65 acts as a rate-limiting factor for GAD67 axon transport and that Lrtm2 are associated with the presumptive GAD65 independent mechanism of GAD67 axon transport.

In contrast to GAD67, GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 protein particles were increased in KO CP, while GABABR1 mRNA levels were comparable between WT and KO mice. Together with decreased particles in GP and increased intensity in SNr, Lrtm2 deficiency appears to have affected the subcellular localization of GABA<sub>B</sub>R1. Furthermore, the involvement of Lrtm2 in controlling GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 localization was supported by Lrtm2 WT or sorting signalmutant overexpression in vitro and in vivo. Therefore, in the case of GABA<sub>B</sub>R1, Lrtm2 affected its protein distribution in an experimental design-independent manner, raising the possibility that GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 localization is under the control of Lrtm2 in physiological contexts. In previous studies, the N-terminal Sushi domain of GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 contains axon targeting signals (Biermann et al., 2010), Sushi domains interact with β-APP (Schwenk et al., 2016), and APP associates with c-Jun N-terminal kinase-interacting protein and calsyntenin proteins that link the APP/GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 complex in cargo vesicles to the axonal trafficking motor (Dinamarca et al., 2019). Whether or not Lrtm2 molecular function is linked to the known mechanism of  $GABA_BR1$  protein sorting remains an important challenge.

The altered protein localization of GAD67 and GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 may partly account for the behavioral abnormalities in Lrtm2 KO mice because previous studies showed their critical roles in SPN. Absence of GAD67 in direct pathway SPN impairs rotarod test performance (Heusner et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015). In unilateral 6-OHDA injection-induced hemiparkinsonian mice, the absence of GAD67 in direct pathway SPN does not cause abnormal involuntary movement (Zhang et al., 2015). In the hemiparkinsonian mice, tonic presynaptic inhibition by GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors is lost, which potentiates evoked GABA release from SPN efferents to the SNr and drives motor sensitization (Borgkvist et al., 2015).

On the other hand, the effects of GAD67 and GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 disturbance on the modification of monoaminergic system in Lrtm2 KO remain unclear. Concerning the basis of the monoamine dysregulation, the reduced MAO-B mRNA at CP and the reduced MAO-B protein at SNr in Lrtm2 KO mice may reflect some adaptive responses of SPN to the Lrtm2 deficiency. It is known that MAO-B in several brain regions increases during aging of WT mouse (Saura et al., 1994; Mahy et al., 2000). Considering the age-dependent change of the monoamine metabolite, we hypothesize that Lrtm2 deficiency causes sustained alteration of the striatonigral outputs, which affects monoamine-fine-tuning mechanism including the control of MAO-B expression.

Finally, we describe the molecular properties, expression, and physiological roles of Lrtm2. Although this study is directed by the Lrtm2 protein distribution and Lrtm2 KO behavioral abnormalities, we cannot exclude its involvement in the transsynaptic adhesion as are the case in other neural LRR-domain containing transmembrane proteins (Ko, 2012; Schroeder and de Wit, 2018). Whether Lrtm2 can behave as a trans-synaptic adhesion molecule depends on future studies. The behavioral and neurochemical phenotypes of Lrtm2 KO mice suggested that Lrtm2 is a significant molecule for further basic and clinical investigations. Although this study has chosen a limited number of molecular markers to explore Lrtm2 molecular functions, more comprehensive approaches are needed to fully describe the molecular consequences of Lrtm2 deficiency. The identification of a direct binding partner for Lrtm2 is essential to clarify its molecular function. Considering the preferential axon localization in several types of projection neurons, Lrtm2 may play a universal role associated with integrity of axon properties in these neurons. Therefore, we expect that further clarification of the Lrtm2-associated mechanism of

### REFERENCES

- Abelson, J. F., Kwan, K. Y., O'Roak, B. J., Baek, D. Y., Stillman, A. A., Morgan, T. M., et al. (2005). Sequence variants in SLITRK1 are associated with Tourette's syndrome. *Science* 310, 317–320. doi: 10.1126/science.1116502
- Aruga, J., and Mikoshiba, K. (2003). Identification and characterization of Slitrk, a novel neuronal transmembrane protein family controlling neurite outgrowth. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* 24, 117–129. doi: 10.1016/S1567-133X(03)00141-8

SPN regulation would contribute to a better understanding of neuronal cell physiology.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

## **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Experiment Committee of RIKEN and Animal Care and Use Committee of Nagasaki University.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MI, KS, K-IK, NM, HO, and JA: conceptualization. MI, KS, K-IK, NM, KY, HM, MH, and JA: investigation, MI, KS, K-IK, and JA: writing-original draft. MI, KS, NM, KY, HO, and JA: writing-review and editing. MI and JA: funding acquisition. JA: supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

This study was supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (16H04666, 16K07057, 18K13232, 19H03327, 19K06568, 20K06927, and 20K21605), Smoking Research Foundation, and Uehara Memorial Foundation.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Maya Odagawa, Yayoi Nozaki, and other technical staff at RIKEN BSI and animal facility staff at Nagasaki University for providing high-quality technical support.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnmol. 2022.856315/full#supplementary-material

Biermann, B., Ivankova-Susankova, K., Bradaia, A., Abdel Aziz, S., Besseyrias, V., Kapfhammer, J. P., et al. (2010). The Sushi domains of GABAB receptors function as axonal targeting signals. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 1385–1394. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.3172-09.2010

Borgkvist, A., Avegno, E. M., Wong, M. Y., Kheirbek, M. A., Sonders, M. S., Hen, R., et al. (2015). Loss of Striatonigral GABAergic Presynaptic inhibition enables motor sensitization in parkinsonian mice. *Neuron* 87, 976–988. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.08.022

- Carrel, D., Simon, A., Emerit, M. B., Rivals, I., Leterrier, C., Biard, M., et al. (2011). Axonal targeting of the 5-HT1B serotonin receptor relies on structure-specific constitutive activation. *Traffic* 12, 1501–1520. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2011. 01260.x
- Challis, R. C., Ravindra Kumar, S., Chan, K. Y., Challis, C., Beadle, K., Jang, M. J., et al. (2019). Systemic AAV vectors for widespread and targeted gene delivery in rodents. *Nat. Protoc.* 14, 379–414. doi: 10.1038/s41596-018-0097-3
- Cryan, J. F., Mombereau, C., and Vassout, A. (2005). The tail suspension test as a model for assessing antidepressant activity: review of pharmacological and genetic studies in mice. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 29, 571–625. doi: 10.1016/j. neubiorev.2005.03.009
- Dinamarca, M. C., Raveh, A., Schneider, A., Fritzius, T., Fruh, S., Rem, P. D., et al. (2019). Complex formation of APP with GABAB receptors links axonal trafficking to amyloidogenic processing. *Nat. Commun.* 10:1331. doi: 10.1038/ s41467-019-09164-3
- Dolan, J., Walshe, K., Alsbury, S., Hokamp, K., O'Keeffe, S., Okafuji, T., et al. (2007). The extracellular leucine-rich repeat superfamily; a comparative survey and analysis of evolutionary relationships and expression patterns. *BMC Genomics* 8:320. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-8-320
- Ebbesen, C. L., and Brecht, M. (2017). Motor cortex to act or not to act? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 18, 694–705. doi: 10.1038/nrn.2017.119
- Fenalti, G., Law, R. H., Buckle, A. M., Langendorf, C., Tuck, K., Rosado, C. J., et al. (2007). GABA production by glutamic acid decarboxylase is regulated by a dynamic catalytic loop. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 14, 280–286. doi: 10.1038/ nsmb1228
- Festing, M. F. (2018). On determining sample size in experiments involving laboratory animals. Lab. Anim. 52, 341–350. doi: 10.1177/0023677217738268
- Fisher, C. L., and Pei, G. K. (1997). Modification of a PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis method. *Biotechniques* 23, 570–574. doi: 10.2144/97234bm01
- Gerfen, C. R., and Bolam, J. P. (2016). "Chapter 1 The neuroanatomical organization of the basal ganglia," in *Handbook of Behavioral Neuroscience*, eds H. Steiner and K. Y. Tseng (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 3–32. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-374767-9.00001-9
- Gerfen, C. R., and Surmeier, D. J. (2011). Modulation of striatal projection systems by dopamine. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 34, 441–466. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-061010-113641
- Halassa, M. M., and Sherman, S. M. (2019). Thalamocortical circuit motifs: a general framework. *Neuron* 103, 762–770. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.06.005
- Hall, W. C., and White, L. E. (2018). "Modulation of movement by the basal ganglia," in *Neuroscience*, 6th Edn, eds D. Purves, G. Augustine, D. Fitzpatrick, W. C. Hall, A. LaMantia, L. E. White, et al. (Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates).
- Heusner, C. L., Beutler, L. R., Houser, C. R., and Palmiter, R. D. (2008). Deletion of GAD67 in dopamine receptor-1 expressing cells causes specific motor deficits. *Genesis* 46, 357–367. doi: 10.1002/dvg.20405
- Kanaani, J., Kolibachuk, J., Martinez, H., and Baekkeskov, S. (2010). Two distinct mechanisms target GAD67 to vesicular pathways and presynaptic clusters. *J. Cell Biol.* 190, 911–925. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200912101
- Kanaani, J., Lissin, D., Kash, S. F., and Baekkeskov, S. (1999). The hydrophilic isoform of glutamate decarboxylase, GAD67, is targeted to membranes and nerve terminals independent of dimerization with the hydrophobic membraneanchored isoform, GAD65. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 37200–37209. doi: 10.1074/jbc. 274.52.37200
- Katayama, K., Yamada, K., Ornthanalai, V. G., Inoue, T., Ota, M., Murphy, N. P., et al. (2010). Slitrk1-deficient mice display elevated anxiety-like behavior and noradrenergic abnormalities. *Mol. Psychiatry* 15, 177–184. doi: 10.1038/mp. 2008.97
- Ko, J. (2012). The leucine-rich repeat superfamily of synaptic adhesion molecules: LRRTMs and slitrks. *Mol. Cells* 34, 335–340. doi: 10.1007/s10059-012-0113-3
- Kratchmarov, R., Taylor, M. P., and Enquist, L. W. (2013). Role of Us9 phosphorylation in axonal sorting and anterograde transport of pseudorabies virus. *PLoS One* 8:e58776. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058776
- Krismer, F., and Wenning, G. K. (2017). Multiple system atrophy: insights into a rare and debilitating movement disorder. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 13, 232–243. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2017.26
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054

- Lau, C. G., and Murthy, V. N. (2012). Activity-dependent regulation of inhibition via GAD67. J. Neurosci. 32:8521. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1245-12.2012
- Lauren, J., Airaksinen, M. S., Saarma, M., and Timmusk, T. (2003). A novel gene family encoding leucine-rich repeat transmembrane proteins differentially expressed in the nervous system. *Genomics* 81, 411–421. doi: 10.1016/s0888-7543(03)00030-2
- Lein, E. S., Hawrylycz, M. J., Ao, N., Ayres, M., Bensinger, A., Bernard, A., et al. (2007). Genome-wide atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain. *Nature* 445, 168–176. doi: 10.1038/nature05453
- Li, Y., Marzolo, M. P., van Kerkhof, P., Strous, G. J., and Bu, G. (2000). The YXXL motif, but not the two NPXY motifs, serves as the dominant endocytosis signal for low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 17187–17194. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M000490200
- Mahy, N., Andrés, N., Andrade, C., and Saura, J. (2000). Age-related changes of MAO-A and -B distribution in human and mouse brain. *Neurobiology* 8, 47–54.
- Meziane, H., Ouagazzal, A. M., Aubert, L., Wietrzych, M., and Krezel, W. (2007). Estrous cycle effects on behavior of C57BL/6J and BALB/cByJ female mice: implications for phenotyping strategies. *Genes Brain Behav.* 6, 192–200. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-183X.2006.00249.x
- Morimura, N., Yasuda, H., Yamaguchi, K., Katayama, K. I., Hatayama, M., Tomioka, N. H., et al. (2017). Autism-like behaviours and enhanced memory formation and synaptic plasticity in Lrfn2/SALM1-deficient mice. *Nat. Commun.* 8:15800. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15800
- Niwa, H., Yamamura, K., and Miyazaki, J. (1991). Efficient selection for highexpression transfectants with a novel eukaryotic vector. *Gene* 108, 193–199. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(91)90434-d
- Patel, J. C., Rossignol, E., Rice, M. E., and Machold, R. P. (2012). Opposing regulation of dopaminergic activity and exploratory motor behavior by forebrain and brainstem cholinergic circuits. *Nat. Commun.* 3:1172. doi: 10. 1038/ncomms2144
- Paxinos, G., and Franklin, K. B. J. (2001). *The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Rocco, B. R., Lewis, D. A., and Fish, K. N. (2016). Markedly lower glutamic acid decarboxylase 67 protein levels in a subset of boutons in schizophrenia. *Biol. Psychiatry* 79, 1006–1015. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.0 7.022
- Sakai, K., and Miyazaki, J. (1997). A transgenic mouse line that retains Cre recombinase activity in mature oocytes irrespective of the cre transgene transmission. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 237, 318–324. doi: 10.1006/bbrc. 1997.7111
- Samata, B., Doi, D., Nishimura, K., Kikuchi, T., Watanabe, A., Sakamoto, Y., et al. (2016). Purification of functional human ES and iPSC-derived midbrain dopaminergic progenitors using LRTM1. *Nat. Commun.* 7:13097. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms13097
- Saura, J., Richards, J. G., and Mahy, N. (1994). Differential age-related changes of mao-a and mao-b in mouse brain and pe peripheral organs. *Neurobiol. Aging* 15, 399–408. doi: 10.1016/0197-4580(94)90071-X
- Schroeder, A., and de Wit, J. (2018). Leucine-rich repeat-containing synaptic adhesion molecules as organizers of synaptic specificity and diversity. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 50:10. doi: 10.1038/s12276-017-0023-8
- Schwenk, J., Perez-Garci, E., Schneider, A., Kollewe, A., Gauthier-Kemper, A., Fritzius, T., et al. (2016). Modular composition and dynamics of native GABAB receptors identified by high-resolution proteomics. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 233–242. doi: 10.1038/nn.4198
- Shiotsuki, H., Yoshimi, K., Shimo, Y., Funayama, M., Takamatsu, Y., Ikeda, K., et al. (2010). A rotarod test for evaluation of motor skill learning. *J. Neurosci. Method* 189, 180–185. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2010.03.026
- Song, A. H., Wang, D., Chen, G., Li, Y., Luo, J., Duan, S., et al. (2009). A selective filter for cytoplasmic transport at the axon initial segment. *Cell* 136, 1148–1160. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.016
- Stoica, L., Ahmed, S. S., Gao, G., and Sena-Esteves, M. (2013). Gene transfer to the CNS using recombinant adeno-associated virus. *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* 14:15. doi: 10.1002/9780471729259.mc14d05s29
- Takashima, N., Odaka, Y. S., Sakoori, K., Akagi, T., Hashikawa, T., Morimura, N., et al. (2011). Impaired cognitive function and altered hippocampal synapse morphology in mice lacking Lrrtm1, a gene associated with schizophrenia. *PLoS One* 6:e22716. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0 022716

- Tekin, M., Chioza, B. A., Matsumoto, Y., Diaz-Horta, O., Cross, H. E., Duman, D., et al. (2013). SLITRK6 mutations cause myopia and deafness in humans and mice. J. Clin. Invest. 123, 2094–2102. doi: 10.1172/JCI65853
- Tomioka, N. H., Yasuda, H., Miyamoto, H., Hatayama, M., Morimura, N., Matsumoto, Y., et al. (2014). Elfn1 recruits presynaptic mGluR7 in trans and its loss results in seizures. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4501. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5501
- Townsley, F. M., and Pelham, H. R. (1994). The KKXX signal mediates retrieval of membrane proteins from the Golgi to the ER in yeast. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 64, 211–216.
- Tritsch, N. X., Oh, W.-J., Gu, C., and Sabatini, B. L. (2014). Midbrain dopamine neurons sustain inhibitory transmission using plasma membrane uptake of GABA, not synthesis. *eLife* 3:e01936. doi: 10.7554/eLife.01936
- Wei, J., and Wu, J.-Y. (2008). Post-translational regulation of l-Glutamic acid decarboxylase in the brain. *Neurochem. Res.* 33, 1459–1465. doi: 10.1007/ s11064-008-9600-5
- Wesolowska, A., and Nikiforuk, A. (2007). Effects of the brain-penetrant and selective 5-HT6 receptor antagonist SB-399885 in animal models of anxiety and depression. *Neuropharmacology* 52, 1274–1283. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm. 2007.01.007
- Zhai, S., Tanimura, A., Graves, S. M., Shen, W., and Surmeier, D. J. (2018). Striatal synapses, circuits, and Parkinson's disease. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 48, 9–16. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2017.08.004

Zhang, K., Chammas, C., and Soghomonian, J. J. (2015). Loss of glutamic acid decarboxylase (Gad67) in striatal neurons expressing the Drdr1a dopamine receptor prevents l-DOPA-induced dyskinesia in 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned mice. *Neuroscience* 303, 586–594. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.07.032

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ichise, Sakoori, Katayama, Morimura, Yamada, Ozawa, Matsunaga, Hatayama and Aruga. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.