ILLUSTRATED REVIEW



An illustrated review of bleeding assessment tools and common coagulation tests

Carolyne Elbaz MDCM, FRCPC¹ | Michelle Sholzberg MDCM, MSc, FRCPC^{2,3}

¹Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

²Departments of Medicine and Laboratory Medicine & Pathobiology, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto and University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

³Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada

Correspondence

Carolyne Elbaz, Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, 1007 - 30 Roehampton, Toronto, ON M4P 0B9, Canada. Email: carolyne.elbaz@mail.mcgill.ca

Handling Editor: Pantep Angchaisuksiri

Abstract

Recognizing the complexity of coagulation tests and currently used anticoagulants, we developed this illustrated review on bleeding assessment tools and common coagulation screening tests. Quantitative bleeding assessment tools (BATs) are available to standardize the bleeding history and improve the pretest probability prior to coagulation testing. We describe use of BATs and the principles, indications, and limitations of the prothrombin time (PT)/International Normalized Ratio, activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), and 50:50 mix. Use of these tests to identify coagulation factor deficiencies, specific and nonspecific inhibitors, coagulopathy of liver disease, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and commonly used anticoagulant medications are reviewed. Current literature suggests that unnecessary coagulation testing is rampant. The PT and APTT have astoundingly low sensitivity (1.0%-2.1%) for detection of clinically significant bleeding disorders. Thus, current guidelines recommend against the use of screening PT and APTT in preoperative patients undergoing noncardiac/vascular surgery.

KEYWORDS

bleeding disorders, clinical laboratory techniques, hemorrhage, International Normalized Ratio, thrombosis

Essentials


- Quantitative bleeding assessment tools standardize the bleeding history and improve the pretest probability of bleeding disorders prior to coagulation testing.
- Unnecessary coagulation testing is rampant.
- Thorough understanding of common hemostatic tests is essential for appropriate selection and interpretation of tests.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2020 The Authors. *Research and Practice in Thrombosis and Haemostasis* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis.

Bleeding Assessment Tools (BAT)

A bit about BATs...

The patient history is the most important tool in determining the pre-test probability of a bleeding disorder. Quantitative bleeding assessment tools (BATs) have thus been developed to standardize the bleeding history and guide appropriate testing to investigate bleeding disorders. Bleeding scores are based on symptom frequency and severity (i.e. need for surgical or medical attention).



	Vicen za BAT[13]	Condensed MCMDM-1 VWD[2,17]	PBQ[3]	ISTH BAT[7,14]	Self BAT[6]
Sensitivity of a normal score to rule out the diagnosis of VWD (true positives/all positive tests)	69%	100%	83%	64%	78%
Specificity of an abnormal score to rule in the diagnosis of VWD(true negatives/all negative tests)	98%	87%	79%	99%	23%

How to use the BAT


How BATs differ


There are many validated BATs. The following are some key distinctive features:


- The MCMDM-1 VWD and PBQ assign negative points for hemostatic challenges without bleeding complications (i.e. surgeries, deliveries, dental extractions).


- The ISTH BAT and PBQ evaluate pediatric bleeding symptoms in the "other bleeding" category (i.e. cephalohematoma, umbilical stump bleeding, cheek hematoma and conjunctival hemorrhage)


- The ISTH BAT assesses menorrhagia more comprehensively and is the only BAT that evaluates hematuria.




















ADAMTS13: A Disintegrin and Metalloproteinase with a ThromboSpondin type 1 motif, member 13; PAI-1: Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor type 1; t-PA: Tissue Plasminogen Activator; TAFI : Thrombin Activatable Fibrinolysis Inhibitor; TFPI: Tissue Factor Pathway Inhibitor; VWF: Von Willebrand Factor.

The ISTH DIC scoring system was developed in 2001 and uses widely available coagulation assays[1]	Points Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L)		0	1	2	3	A score ≥ 5 is has a sensitivity of 93%
			> 100	50-100	< 50		and specificity of 98% for the
		rin markers -dimer)	No increase		Increased but < 5x upper limit of normal	Strong increase, ≥ 5x upper limit of normal	diagnosis of DIC.
	Prolonged prothrombin time (seconds)		< 3	≥ 3 and < 6	≥ 6		The severity of this score is a strong
	Fibrinog	gen(g/L)	> 1.0	≤ 1.0			predictor for mortality in sepsis.





Effect of Direct Oral Anticoagulants on Hemostatic Tests



Horizontal bars correspond to the approximate range of detectability (sensitivity) and checkered area corresponds to linearity of each assay at below, within and above typical on therapy concentrations of dabigatran, rixaroxaban, apixaban and edoxaban. Ranges are approximations and may vary depending on the choice of reagent.

ECA = ecarin chromogenic assay; ECT = ecarin clotting time; TT = thrombin time

Adapted from Cuker et al. with permission[5,15]

RELATIONSHIP DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CE and MS developed the concepts and images, wrote the manuscript, and approved the final content.

TWITTER

Carolyne Elbaz 💆 @ElbCarolyne Michelle Sholzberg 💟 @sholzberg

REFERENCES

- Bakhtiari K, Meijers JCM, De Jonge E, Levi M. Prospective validation of the International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis scoring system for disseminated intravascular coagulation. Crit Care Med. 2004;32(12):2416-21.
- Bowman M, Mundell G, Grabell J, Hopman WM, Rapson D, Lillicrap D, et al. Generation and validation of the Condensed MCMDM-1VWD Bleeding Questionnaire for von Willebrand disease. J Thromb Haemost. 2008;6(12):2062–6.
- Bowman M, Riddel J, Rand ML, Tosetto A, Silva M, James PD. Evaluation of the diagnostic utility for von Willebrand disease of a pediatric bleeding questionnaire. J Thromb Haemost. 2009;7(8):1418-21.
- Capoor M, Stonemetz J, Baird J, Ahmed F, Awan A, Birkenmaier C, et al. Prothrombin time and activated partial thromboplastin time testing: a comparative effectiveness study in a million-patient sample. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(8):e0133317.
- Cuker A, Husseinzadeh H. Laboratory measurement of the anticoagulant activity of edoxaban : a systematic review. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2015;39(3):288–94.
- Deforest M, Grabell J, Albert S, Young J, Tuttle A, Hopman WM, et al. Generation and optimization of the self-administered bleeding assessment tool and its validation as a screening test for von Willebrand disease. Haemophilia. 2015;21(5):e384–8.
- Elbatarny M, Mollah S, Grabell J, Bae S, Deforest M, Tuttle A, et al. Normal range of bleeding scores for the ISTH-BAT: adult and pediatric data from the merging project. Haemophilia. 2014;20(6):831–5.

- Garcia D, Erkan D. Diagnosis and management of the antiphospholipid syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(21):2010–21.
- Hayward CM, Moffat K, Liu Y. Laboratory investigations for bleeding disorders. Semin Thromb Hemost. 2012;38(7):742–52.
- Kitchens CS. Prolonged activated partial thromboplastin time of unknown etiology: a prospective study of 100 consecutive cases referred for consultation. Am J Hematol. 1988;27:38–45.
- 11. Poller L. International Normalized Ratios (INR): the first 20 years. J Thromb Haemost. 2004;2(6):849–60.
- 12. Quick AJ. The clotting time an enigma resolved. Am J Clin Pathol. 1974;62(5):670–2.
- Rodeghiero F, Castaman G, Tosetto A, Batlle J, Baudo F, Cappelletti A, et al. The discriminant power of bleeding history for the diagnosis of type 1 von Willebrand disease: An international, multicenter study. J Thromb Haemost. 2005;3(12):2619–26.
- Rodeghiero F, Tosetto A, Abshire T, Arnold DM, Coller B, James P, et al. ISTH/SSC bleeding assessment tool: A standardized questionnaire and a proposal for a new bleeding score for inherited bleeding disorders. J Thromb Haemost. 2010;8(9):2063–5.
- Samuelson BT, Cuker A, Siegal DM, Crowther M, Garcia DA. Laboratory Assessment of the Anticoagulant Activity of Direct Oral Anticoagulants: A Systematic Review. Chest. 2017;151(1):127–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2016.08.1462.
- Spradbrow J, Letourneau S, Grabell J, Liang Y, Riddel J, Hopman W, et al. Bleeding assessment tools to predict von Willebrand disease: Utility of individual bleeding symptoms. Res Pract Thromb Haemost. 2020;4(1):92–9.
- Tosetto A, Rodeghiero F, Castaman G, Goodeve A, Federici AB, Batlle J, et al. A quantitative analysis of bleeding symptoms in type 1 von Willebrand disease: results from a multicenter European study (MCMDM-1 VWD). J Thromb Haemost. 2006;4(4):766-73.
- Local data obtained from St. Michael's Hospital Laboratory Information System.

How to cite this article: Elbaz C, Sholzberg M. An illustrated review of bleeding assessment tools and common coagulation tests. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost*. 2020;4:761–773. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rth2.12339</u>