Remarkable Diversity of Endogenous Viruses in a Crustacean Genome

Julien Thézé¹, Sébastien Leclercq^{1,2}, Bouziane Moumen¹, Richard Cordaux¹, and Clément Gilbert^{1,*}

¹Université de Poitiers, UMR CNRS 7267 Ecologie et Biologie des Interactions, Equipe Ecologie Evolution Symbiose, Poitiers, France

²State Key Laboratory of Microbial Resources, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

*Corresponding author: E-mail: clement.gilbert@univ-poitiers.fr.

Accepted: July 25, 2014

Data deposition: The sequences generated in this study have been deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers KM034067–KM034115.

Abstract

Recent studies in paleovirology have uncovered myriads of endogenous viral elements (EVEs) integrated in the genome of their eukaryotic hosts. These fragments result from endogenization, that is, integration of the viral genome into the host germline genome followed by vertical inheritance. So far, most studies have used a virus-centered approach, whereby endogenous copies of a particular group of viruses were searched in all available sequenced genomes. Here, we follow a host-centered approach whereby the genome of a given species is comprehensively screened for the presence of EVEs using all available complete viral genomes as queries. Our analyses revealed that 54 EVEs corresponding to 10 different viral lineages belonging to 5 viral families (*Bunyaviridae, Circoviridae, Parvoviridae, and Totiviridae*) and one viral order (*Mononegavirales*) became endogenized in the genome of isopods and that *A. vulgare* viral lineages were involved in multiple host switches that took place between widely divergent taxa. Furthermore, 30 *A. vulgare* EVEs have uninterrupted open reading frames, suggesting they result from recent endogenization of viruses likely to be currently infecting isopod populations. Overall, our work shows that isopods have been and are still infected by a large variety of viruses. It also extends the host range of several families of viruses and brings new insights into their evolution. More generally, our results underline the power of paleovirology in characterizing the viral diversity currently infecting eukaryotic taxa.

Key words: paleovirology, viral diversity, viral host range, isopod crustacean, Armadillidium vulgare.

Introduction

Endogenous viral elements (EVEs) are pieces of (or entire) viral genomes that became integrated in the germline genome of their hosts and inherited vertically over host generations (Katzourakis and Gifford 2010; Feschotte and Gilbert 2012). The bulk of known EVEs are retroviruses (Belshaw et al. 2004; Katzourakis et al. 2009). These viruses encode proteins involved in the integration of the viral genome into host chromosomes (the integrated virus is then called a provirus), a step that is necessary to the completion of the retroviral replication cycle. In addition to integrating into host somatic genomes upon infections, retroviruses have recurrently colonized their host's germline genomes during evolution, spawning dozens of thousands of EVEs that now make up a substantial fraction of vertebrate genomes (e.g., 8% of the human genome). Replication of all other known viruses does not go through a proviral stage, and as such, integration in their host genome of viruses other than retroviruses is rare. This explains why only very few nonretroviral EVEs had been reported until recently (Horie et al. 2010; Katzourakis and Gifford 2010). However, thorough searches of the numerous whole-genome sequences produced at an increasing pace during the last 5 years have led to the discovery of many nonretroviral EVEs in the genomes of a large diversity of eukaryotes (Katzourakis and Gifford 2010; Liu et al. 2010, 2011a, 2011b; Chiba et al. 2011). A major conclusion of these studies is that any type of virus can become endogenous via accidental integration into its host germline genome and that much like retroviruses, some families of nonreverse transcribing viruses have been endogenized recurrently over long periods of time and sometimes independently in various taxa.

The discovery and analysis of recently uncovered nonretroviral EVEs has yielded new insights on both host biology and virus evolution. Unlike endogenous retroviruses, nonretroviral EVEs are typically few in a given genome, such that their impact on global eukaryote genome architecture is unlikely

© The Author(s) 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

to be profound. However, some studies have suggested that some nonretroviral EVEs copies have been domesticated and are now fulfilling a new, beneficial function that may be linked to immunity against circulating viruses (Maori et al. 2007; Flegel 2009; Katzourakis and Gifford 2010; Taylor et al. 2011: Aswad and Katzourakis 2012: Ballinger et al. 2012: Fort et al. 2012). In some instances, it is even clear that nonretroviral EVE domestication has been the basis of a new function that is crucial to the development of the host (Herniou et al. 2013). In terms of viral evolution, the study of EVEs has revealed that many currently circulating families of viruses are much older than previously thought (Katzourakis et al. 2009; Belvi et al. 2010; Gilbert and Feschotte 2010; Thézé et al. 2011) and that viral long-term substitution rates calculated using EVE sequences are orders of magnitude slower than rates inferred using only extant viruses (Gilbert and Feschotte 2010). Another interesting outcome of EVE discovery is that it often extends the known host range of viral families, and it may help to uncover species likely to be reservoirs of circulating zoonotic viruses (Taylor et al. 2010).

So far, most studies of nonretroviral EVEs have conducted searches of endogenous copies of a specific virus or group of viruses in a large number of whole-genome sequences. Here, we adopted a host-centered approach in which we thoroughly searched all EVEs present in the genome of one species—the common pillbug *Armadillidium vulgare* (Crustacea, Isopoda). We show that a large diversity of viruses was endogenized during the evolution of crustacean isopods and that close relatives of many of these viruses are likely to be still circulating in *A. vulgare* populations. Our analysis shows that in addition to increasing the known host range of viruses, searching for EVEs can also yield numerous information on the viral flora infecting a particular taxonomic lineage.

Materials and Methods

Genome Screening

The EVEs from the isopod crustacean A. vulgare were identified from data generated as part of the ongoing A. vulgare genome project in our laboratory. Briefly, total genomic DNA was extracted from a single A. vulgare individual. A paired-end library with approximately 370 bp inserts was prepared and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq2000. Reads were filtered with FastQC and assembled using the SOAP de novo software version 1.05. The best assembly (obtained with a k-mer size of 49) was composed of approximately 3.5 million scaffolds and contigs totaling approximately $1.5 \,\text{Gb}$ (at $40 \times$ average coverage). An in-house pipeline of in silico analyses was developed to search for EVEs in the A. vulgare genome sequences. We first constructed a comprehensive library of all nonretroviral virus nucleotide sequences available in public databases (GenBank and EMBL), including genomes from small RNA and DNA viruses, as well as large dsDNA viruses, often not considered in paleovirology screenings. This library was used as a query to perform TBLASTX searches (Altschul et al. 1997) (e value \leq 1) to screen for *A. vulgare* genome sequences exhibiting similarity to virus sequences. This analysis aimed at selecting a subset of *A. vulgare* genome sequences that matched with viral sequences before further in-depth analyses. Then, we performed reciprocal BLASTX searches (Altschul et al. 1997) using the selected subset of *A. vulgare* genome sequences as queries to screen for homologous coding sequences in the whole set of nonredundant protein sequences of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database. *Armadillidium vulgare* genome sequences were considered of viral origin if they unambiguously matched viral proteins in the reciprocal best hits (e value \leq 0.001).

From these sequences, putative viral open reading frames were inferred through a combination of automated alignments, using the exonerate program (Slater and Birney 2005) and manual editing, based on the most closely related exogenous viral sequences in the nonredundant protein database. For each putative resulting *A. vulgare* viral peptides, we retrieved the function and predicted the taxonomic assignation by comparison to the best reciprocal BLASTX hit viral proteins.

Polymerase Chain Reaction Validation of Endogenization

We verified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Sanger sequencing that the viral genome fragments we uncovered computationally in the A. vulgare whole-genome sequences were endogenous and did not result from contamination by exogenous viruses that would have been coextracted together with A. vulgare genomic DNA. For this, we designed primer pairs for eight EVEs loci representing five of the six viral groups identified in this study (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). For each pair, one primer was anchored in the upstream or downstream region flanking the EVE locus, and the other primer was anchored within the EVE sequence. We also used these primers to screen for presence/absence of orthologous EVEs in two other isopod crustacean species (A. nasatum and Cylisticus convexus). PCRs were conducted using the following temperature cycling: Initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 54–58 °C (depending on the primer set) for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 1 min, ending with a 10-min elongation step at 72°C. Purified PCR products were directly sequenced using ABI BigDye sequencing mix (1.4 ml template PCR product, 0.4 ml BigDye, 2 ml manufacturer supplied buffer, 0.3 ml primer, and 6 ml H2O). Sequencing reactions were ethanol precipitated and run on an ABI 3730 sequencer. Presence and sequences of all selected A. vulgare EVEs were confirmed as predicted in silico. Altogether, we conclude that our

final set of 54 EVEs sequences is highly unlikely to result from contamination by exogenous viruses.

Phylogenetic Analyses

Using ClustalOmega (Sievers et al. 2011) and manual edition, multiple amino acid (aa) alignments were performed for each inferred *A. vulgare* EVE peptide, including closely related exogenous and endogenous viral proteins resulting from the reciprocal BLASTX analysis and closely related proteins of representative virus species recognized by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV; King et al. 2011). In addition to *A. vulgare* EVEs, we included several previously unknown EVEs uncovered in other taxa as a result of the reciprocal BLASTX. These EVEs correspond to proteins of viral origin that have been annotated as host genes and are therefore present in the nonredundant protein database of NCBI because they are devoid of nonsense mutation.

Maximum likelihood (ML) inferences were performed on each multiple aa alignment using RAxML (Stamatakis 2006) with the substitution model and parameters WAG+G+I. Support for nodes in ML trees were obtained from 100 nonparametric bootstrap iterations, and the root of ML trees was determined by midpoint rooting.

Based on the trees we obtained using this approach, we tentatively propose that some of the EVEs we have discovered in the *A. vulgare* genome may be considered new viral species, genus, or family. Basically, when an EVE is as or more distant from its closest known virus *a* than another known virus *b* is from the virus *a*, we consider that the EVE could be given the same taxonomic rank as viruses *a* and *b*. We acknowledge that this criterion alone may not be sufficient for the ICTV to follow our proposition and to recognize and give a name to these various new EVE lineages. However, we believe that the various taxonomical aspects we address in the article are important for the reader to fully appreciate the breadth of our results and the extent to which a paleovirological study can further our understanding of the viral fauna infecting a given eukaryotic host species.

Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers

The nucleotide sequences produced in this study have been deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers KM034067–KM034115 (see supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online, for details).

Results

EVE Diversity in the A. vulgare Genome

To identify EVEs in whole-genome sequences of the isopod crustacean *A. vulgare*, we first performed a TBLASTX search using all complete viral genomes publicly available in GenBank and EMBL (January 2014) as queries (n = 2,048). We then used all hits resulting from this search (n = 10,727) as queries to

carry out a reciprocal BLASTX on the nonredundant protein database of the NCBI. This approach yielded a total of 54 A. vulgare genome sequences of unambiguous viral origin, ranging from 42 to 588 aa in length (average = 173 aa) and showing 46-78% aa similarity (average = 58%) to their most closely related exogenous viral protein sequences (fig. 1 and supplementary table S1. Supplementary Material online). The 54 EVEs were assigned to four different families (Bunyaviridae, Circoviridae, Parvoviridae, and Totiviridae) and one order (Mononegavirales), representing three of the seven types of viral genomes (-ssRNA, dsRNA, and ssDNA). Among those families/order, the Circoviridae and Totiviridae families are not currently reported by the ICTV (King et al. 2011) to infect arthropods (but see e.g., Wu et al. 2010; Rosario et al. 2012). The diversity of EVEs discovered in the A. vulgare genome is remarkable in that most previously published paleovirology studies have reported less than 20 EVEs and/or less than 4 different viral families in a given genome (Feschotte and Gilbert 2012).

It is noteworthy that we also detected two fragments of 42 and 43 aa showing, respectively, 73% and 74% similarity to the *wsv209* gene of the Shrimp white spot syndrome virus (WSSV, Nimaviridae family of dsDNA viruses). We could not reconstruct a phylogeny for these two *A. vulgare* nimavirus-like fragments, because wsv209 is only present in the Shrimp WSSV (Yang et al. 2001). Here in fact, we cannot firmly assess whether the presence of two wsv209 homologs in the *A. vulgare* genome results from viral endogenization or whether this gene is present in the WSSV genome because of a horizontal transfer that would have taken place from an unsequenced host to the WSSV.

Several lines of evidence indicate that the virus-like sequences we found in the A. vulgare whole-genome sequences are integrated in the isopod genome and do not correspond to circulating viruses, the genome of which could have been coextracted and sequenced with that of the host. First, we used standard protocols to extract and sequence DNA, which do not involve any reverse transcription step and therefore could not allow the sequencing of RNA viruses. Second, if the virus-like sequences uncovered in the A. vulgare genomic contigs/scaffolds were from circulating viruses, one would expect to find entire viral genomes or at least fragments containing several viral ORFs. Yet, all EVEs characterized in this study correspond to partial single ORFs (except A. vulgare sequence nb 15, which contains two partial ORFs), and for each family/order, we found only one or two different ORFs but never did we recover a complete genome (fig. 1 and supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Finally, our PCR tests using primers anchored in EVEs, and their flanking regions yielded positive products of the expected size for all seven EVEs we screened in A. vulgare, which encompass five of the six virus families/order we uncovered computationally.



Fig. 1.—Mapping of the 54 Armadillidium vulgare EVEs on representative virus genomes. Light gray rectangles represent virus genes with their genomic positions, including conserved domains in dark gray. Numbered black lines represent A. vulgare EVEs. Numbers below these black lines indicate the position (continued)

In terms of the mechanisms underlying endogenization, it has been proposed that integration of viral sequences into host genomes could be facilitated by transposable element encoded enzymes (Geuking et al. 2009; Taylor and Bruenn 2009; Horie et al. 2010), DNA repair mechanisms (Bill and Summers 2004) or viral proteins, (Belyi et al. 2010). Inspection of the regions flanking the EVEs reported in this study did not reveal any obvious target site duplications, which are molecular signatures typically generated upon retrotransposition. Thus, although the *A. vulgare* genome contains a large proportion of transposable elements (including various non-LTR and LTR retrotransposons; unpublished), our data indicate that these elements are unlikely to have been involved in endogenization. Finally, we did not detect any similarity between the various EVE flanking regions, suggesting that most or all EVEs result from multiple independent



Fig. 2.—Phylogeny of the *Bunyaviridae* family. The tree was obtained from ML analysis of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase multiple aa alignment, including *Armadillidium vulgare* EVE sequences, sequences of closely related exogenous and endogenous viruses, and representative virus species of the *Bunyaviridae* family. ML nonparametric bootstrap values (100 replicates) are indicated at each node. Associated host vectors are indicated by branch colors and silhouettes at the bottom.

Fig. 1.—Continued

of *A. vulgare* EVEs on the above viral genome. Numbers in red correspond to EVEs that were PCR amplified and sequenced. Numbers in blue correspond to EVEs for which recognizable flanking regions were identified (4: 3'-flanking region contains a host gene of unknown function approximately 700 bp away from the EVE, 19 and 42: 3'-flanking regions contain nonlong terminal repeat retrotransposon-like reverse transcriptases approximately 8,600 bp and 250 bp away from the EVEs, respectively). Dots and vertical bars represent stop codons and frameshifts found in *A. vulgare* EVEs, respectively. The Rift Valley fever virus (NC_014395, NC_014396, NC_014397; *Bunyaviridae*), Midway virus (NC_012702; *Mononegavirales*), Armigeres subalbatus virus SaX06-AK20 (NC_014609; *Totiviridae*), Dragonfly orbiculatus virus (NC_023854; *Circoviridae* like), and infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (NC_002190; *Parvoviridae*) were the representative virus genomes used for the mapping.



Fig. 3.—Phylogeny of the Mononegavirales order. The tree was obtained from ML analysis of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase multiple aa alignment, including Armadillidium vulgare EVE sequences, sequences of closely related exogenous and endogenous viruses, and representative virus species of the Mononegavirales order. ML nonparametric bootstrap values (100 replicates) are indicated at each node. Associated hosts are indicated by branch colors and silhouettes at the bottom.

events of endogenization rather than from segmental duplication of one or a few EVE loci.

Phylogeny and Evolution of A. vulgare EVEs

To better understand the evolutionary history of *A. vulgare* EVEs, we aligned these sequences together with representative viral species of each viral family/order recognized by the ICTV and with other closely related exogenous and endogenous viral proteins (identified based on our BLASTX search) and reconstructed their phylogenies in an ML framework. Overall, the topology of the resulting trees is congruent with the trees described in the ICTV (figs. 2–6; supplementary figs. S1–S3, Supplementary Material online; King et al. 2011). In these trees, *A. vulgare* EVEs or groups of EVEs are characterized by long branches, distantly related to known or newly discovered viruses, suggesting they belong to new lineages, some of them may correspond to new genera or families.

Bunyaviridae

Within bunyaviruses, the seven *A. vulgare* EVEs fall in two distinct lineages. The first one (*A. vulgare* sequence number 2 in fig. 2 and number 5 in supplementary fig. S1,

Supplementary Material online) falls within an extended *Phlebovirus* genus that in addition to well-characterized exogenous viruses (e.g., Uukuniemi, Rift Valley, and Toscana viruses; Palacios et al. 2013) has recently been proposed to include endogenous viruses from three water flea species (*Daphnia* genus, Cladocera, Crustacea) (Ballinger et al. 2013). The second one (fig. 2; *A. vulgare* sequence numbers 1, 3, 4, and 6–8) forms a well-supported clade (boot-strap = 100) sister to a large clade including the *Phlebovirus* and *Tenuivirus* genera and unclassified *Bunyaviridae* viruses infecting insects (Cumuto and Gouleako viruses; Marklewitz et al. 2011; Auguste et al. 2014) (bootstrap = 75).

Mononegavirales

The seven *A. vulgare* mononegaviruses also fall into two distantly related lineages. The first one (fig. 3; *A. vulgare* sequences 11–16 and supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online; *A. vulgare* sequences 10 and 15) forms a mildly supported clade with the Soybean cyst nematode midway virus and the midway and Nyamanini viruses isolated from ticks and proposed to form a new genus (*Nyavirus*) (Mihindukulasuriya et al. 2009) (bootstrap=63). Given the large phylogenetic distance separating those viruses from



Fig. 4.—Phylogeny of the *Totiviridae* family. The tree was obtained from ML analysis of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase multiple aa alignment, including *Armadillidium vulgare* EVE sequences, viral sequences of closely related exogenous and endogenous viruses and of representative virus species of the *Totiviridae* family. ML nonparametric bootstrap values (100 replicates) are indicated at each node. Associated hosts are indicated by branch colors and silhouettes at the bottom.

the closest well-characterized family (*Bornaviridae*), the nyaviruses + Soybean cyst nematode midway virus + *A. vul-gare* EVEs probably deserves recognition as an entirely new family that has been tentatively named *Nyamiviridae* by Kuhn et al. (2013). The remaining *A. vulgare* sequence (fig. 3; sequence 9) forms a well-supported clade together with closely related EVEs newly discovered in various zebrafish BAC clones (CU694452.16, CR759863.7, CR846102.12, BX323595.8, BX855590.3, BX248129.5, CR847797.8, CU207259.10, and BX284614.8), and a more distantly related EVE previously found in the *Aedes* mosquito (boot-strap = 100; Katzourakis and Gifford 2010).

Totiviridae

The three *A. vulgare* totiviruses (fig. 4; sequences 24–26 and supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online;

sequences 17–23) form a clade (bootstrap = 76) with the Penaeid shrimp infectious myonecrosis virus, Armigeres subalbatus virus, *Drosophila melanogaster* totivirus, and Omono River, which belong to an unassigned *Totiviridae* genus of arthropod-infecting viruses (suggested *Artivirus* genus; Poulos et al. 2006; Wu et al. 2010; Zhai et al. 2010; Isawa et al. 2011). Given that these various viruses are all infecting arthropod hosts and that their grouping is relatively well supported, we believe *A. vulgare* totiviruses should be included in the *Artivirus* genus.

Circoviridae

The 20*A. vulgare* circovirus-like sequences (fig. 5; 27–46) seemingly form a monophyletic clade that appears to be most closely related to the unclassified Dragonfly orbiculatus virus (Rosario et al. 2012), though this position is not well



Fig. 5.—Phylogeny of the Circular Rep-dependent ssDNA viruses. The tree was obtained from ML analysis of the replication-associated protein multiple aa alignment, including *Armadillidium vulgare* EVE sequences, viral sequences of closely related exogenous and endogenous viruses and of representative species of the *Circoviridae* and *Nanoviridae* families. ML nonparametric bootstrap values (100 replicates) are indicated at each node. Associated hosts are indicated by branch colors and silhouettes at the bottom.

supported (bootstrap = 51). Overall, the phylogeny indicates that *A. vulgare* circovirus-like EVEs likely belong to a new lineage of circular Rep-dependent ssDNA viruses (CRESS-DNA according to Rosario et al. 2012) distantly related to the *Circoviridae* and *Nanoviridae* families. In addition, the circovirus-like sequences we found in two mollusc species (the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* and *Lottia gigantea*) likely correspond to new nonplant *Nanoviridae* lineages.

Parvoviridae

The eight *A. vulgare* parvovirus sequences (fig. 6; sequences 47–54) fall into a large clade that includes the penaeid shrimp infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (Bonami et al. 1990), its endogenous relative found in the shrimp *Penaeus monodon* genome (Tang and Lightner 2006), and densoviruses from *Aedes* mosquitoes (Boublik et al. 1994; Sivaram et al. 2009). Given that these exogenous viruses all belong to the *Brevidensovirus* genus and that their grouping with *A. vulgare* EVEs is relatively well supported

(bootstrap = 83), *A. vulgare* EVEs should be considered new arthropod-infecting lineages of brevidensoviruses.

Discussion

Paleovirology and metagenomics studies are gradually changing our global understanding of viral evolution, which has long been heavily based on pathogenic viruses isolated from model species or from species of economical or medical interest. The ongoing characterization of myriads of new viral genes and genomes from various environments (including host genomes) is revealing that the viral diversity is extremely large and that current families of viruses are much older and have a larger host tropism than previously thought (Katzourakis and Gifford 2010; Rosario and Breitbart 2011; Feschotte and Gilbert 2012; Roossinck 2012). Only one virus belonging to the *Iridoviridae* family (large dsDNA) is currently known to infect isopod crustaceans (Cole and Morris 1980; Federici 1980). This virus was detected and isolated because of the iridescent blue color of infected individuals, which is due to



Fig. 6.—Parvoviridae family phylogeny. The tree was obtained from ML analysis of the nonstructural protein 1 multiple aa alignment, including Armadillidium vulgare EVE sequences, sequences of closely related exogenous and endogenous viruses and of representative species of the Parvoviridae family. ML nonparametric bootstrap values (100 replicates) are indicated at each node. Associated hosts are indicated by branch colors and silhouettes at the bottom.

paracrystalline arrays formed by virions inside parasitized cells (Lupetti et al. 2013). Together our results indicate that isopod crustaceans have been additionally exposed to a remarkable diversity of viruses. Not only the A. vulgare EVEs belong to or are related to five major groups of known viruses, but within four of these groups (bunyaviruses, Mononegavirales, totiviruses, and parvoviruses), the EVEs also belong to at least two distinct lineages. Each of these lineages is most closely related to different known exogenous or endogenous viruses or to newly discovered endogenous viruses that are distantly related to each other and separated by long branches. In total, we have uncovered no less than ten new viral lineages, some of which may be new genera (one in the Bunyaviridae, one in the Densovirinae, and one in the Mononegavirales) or new families (one in the Mononegavirales and one family of CRESS-DNA viruses).

Though more information on the morphology/replication of these viruses are required to propose names for these new lineages, we believe it is important to place new viral genes or genomes discovered by paleovirology or metagenomics studies in a comprehensive phylogenetic and taxonomical framework (King et al. 2011). This will facilitate their inclusion in future classifications based on mechanistic studies of closely related viruses that we anticipate are awaiting discovery, fostering our global understanding of viral diversity and evolution.

Interestingly, 30 of the 54 *A. vulgare* EVEs are devoid of nonsense mutations (fig. 1 and supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online) suggesting either that they have a recent origin or that they may be ancient but would have been exapted and evolved under purifying selection since endogenization (e.g., Lavialle et al. 2013). To test which of these two scenarios was the most likely, we carried out crossspecies PCR screenings of eight EVE loci, all from distinct viral lineages, in a species closely related to A. vulgare (A. nasatum) and a more distantly related one (C. convexus) (Michel-Salzat and Bouchon 2000). None of the loci amplified in both species, seven amplified in three A. vulgare individuals (the one for which we sequenced the genome and two others), and the last one amplified only in the A. vulgare individual for which we sequenced the genome. We acknowledge the fact that the absence of amplification for some of these loci may be due to insufficient sequence conservation for the PCR primers to bind properly and not necessarily imply absence of the orthologous EVE locus in other species. However, together with the fact that we find intact A. vulgare EVEs in each of the six viral groups and that exaptation of nonretro EVEs appears to be relatively rare (Kobayashi et al. 2011; but see also Taylor et al. 2011; Ballinger et al. 2012; Fort et al. 2012), we believe these results tend to support recent or even ongoing endogenization of at least some of these EVEs. This further suggests that the very exogenous viruses that produced these EVEs or closely related ones may still circulate in extant populations of A. vulgare and other isopod crustaceans.

Our study is a clear illustration of the potential of the paleovirology approach in furthering our understanding of viruses and host-virus interactions. In addition to the large diversity of EVEs we uncovered in A. vulgare, our comprehensive mapping of host lineages on the viral trees reveals multiple incongruences between host and viral phylogenies (figs. 2–6; supplementary figs. S1–S3, Supplementary Material online). In fact, for each of the five viral groups, crustacean viruses are clearly polyphyletic. Other clear examples of polyphylies include insect parvoviruses, insect and arachnid bunyaviruses, insect rhabdoviruses, and fungus totiviruses. This pattern suggests that the evolution of the various viral families found in A. vulgare has involved multiple host switches between widely divergent taxa, which likely took place over a large evolutionary timescale. Furthermore, we extend the known host range of the six viral groups to isopod crustaceans, as well as to molluscs for the family Nanoviridae (fig. 5) and flatworms for the Densovirinae (fig. 6). The finding of phleboviruses in A. vulgare is intriguing given that all known viruses from this genus were isolated from various mammalian species (including humans in which they are the cause of various diseases) and from arthropod vectors such as ticks, sandflies, and mosquitoes (Elliott and Brennan 2014). Whether the A. vulgare phleboviruses have developed a strategy allowing them to replicate and persist only in a single (arthropod) host or whether isopods, that are cosmopolitan and often in contact with humans, can act as vectors of these viruses and transmit them to mammals is an interesting question that deserves further investigation.

Finally, the large viral diversity we uncovered in A. vulgare using a paleovirology approach is surprisingly as high as that detected in recent metagenomic studies of exogenous viruses targeting a given host species (e.g., Granberg et al. 2013; Rosario et al. 2014). Given the fact that endogenization of nonretro EVEs results from accidental-thus relatively infrequent—recombination between host and viral genomes, we speculate that A. vulgare EVEs represent only a fraction of the total viral diversity that is circulating in these animals today. These findings provide a solid ground justifying the inclusion of viruses in studies considering eukaryotic organisms as holobionts, that is, organisms harboring and interacting with a diverse microbial community (Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg 2008), which have so far focused only on communities of bacteria. We anticipate that in addition to the role of viruses in pathogenesis and their likely involvement in horizontal transfer of DNA (Piskurek and Okada 2007; Routh et al. 2012; Gilbert et al. 2014), such studies will uncover a wide range of novel types of interactions with their hosts (Roossinck 2011), further emphasizing the major influence of viruses on the evolution of their hosts.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data S1–S8, tables S1 and S2, and figure S1–S3 are available at *Genome Biology and Evolution* online (http://www.gbe.oxfordjournals.org/).

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge all the technical staff of UMR EBI 7267 for their assistance in the laboratory. This work was supported by a European Research Council Starting Grant (FP7/2007-2013, grant 260729 EndoSexDet) to R.C.

Literature Cited

- Altschul SF, et al. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 3389–3402.
- Aswad A, Katzourakis A. 2012. Paleovirology and virally derived immunity. Trends Ecol Evol. 27:627–636.
- Auguste AJ, et al. 2014. Characterization of a novel *Negevirus* and a novel *Bunyavirus* isolated from *Culex* (*Culex*) *declarator* mosquitoes in Trinidad. J Gen Virol. 95:481–485.
- Ballinger MJ, Bruenn JA, Kotov AA, Taylor DJ. 2013. Selectively maintained paleoviruses in Holarctic water fleas reveal an ancient origin for phleboviruses. Virology 446:276–282.
- Ballinger MJ, Bruenn JA, Taylor DJ. 2012. Phylogeny, integration and expression of sigma virus-like genes in *Drosophila*. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 65:251–258.
- Belshaw R, et al. 2004. Long-term reinfection of the human genome by endogenous retroviruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 101:4894–4899.
- Belyi VA, Levine AJ, Skalka AM. 2010. Sequences from ancestral singlestranded DNA viruses in vertebrate genomes: the *Parvoviridae* and *Circoviridae* are more than 40 to 50 million years old. J Virol. 84: 12458–12462.

- Bill CA, Summers J. 2004. Genomic DNA double-strand breaks are targets for hepadnaviral DNA integration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 101: 11135–11140.
- Bonami JR, Trumper B, Mari J, Brehelin M, Lightner DV. 1990. Purification and characterization of the infectious hypodermal and haematopoietic necrosis virus of penaeid shrimps. J Gen Virol. 71: 2657–2664.
- Boublik Y, Jousset FX, Bergoin M. 1994. Complete nucleotide sequence and genomic organization of the Aedes albopictus Parvovirus (AaPV) pathogenic for Aedes aegypti larvae. Virology 200: 752–763.
- Chiba S, et al. 2011. Widespread endogenization of genome sequences of non-retroviral RNA viruses into plant genomes. PLoS Pathog. 7: e1002146.
- Cole A, Morris TJ. 1980. A new iridovirus of two species of terrestrial isopods, *Armadillidium vulgare* and *Porcellio scaber*. Intervirology 14: 21–30.
- Elliott RM, Brennan B. 2014. Emerging phleboviruses. Curr Opin Virol. 5C: 50–57.
- Federici BA. 1980. Isolation of an iridovirus from two terrestrial isopods, the pill bug, *Armadillidium vulgare*, and the sow bug, *Porcellio dilatatus*. J Invertebr Pathol. 36:373–381.
- Feschotte C, Gilbert C. 2012. Endogenous viruses: insights into viral evolution and impact on host biology. Nat Rev Genet. 13:283–296.
- Flegel TW. 2009. Hypothesis for heritable, anti-viral immunity in crustaceans and insects. Biol Direct. 4:32.
- Fort P, et al. 2012. Fossil rhabdoviral sequences integrated into arthropod genomes: ontogeny, evolution, and potential functionality. Mol Biol Evol. 29:381–390.
- Geuking MB, et al. 2009. Recombination of retrotransposon and exogenous RNA virus results in nonretroviral cDNA integration. Science 323: 393–396.
- Gilbert C, Feschotte C. 2010. Genomic fossils calibrate the long-term evolution of hepadnaviruses. PLoS Biol. 8:e1000495.
- Gilbert C, et al. 2014. Population genomics supports baculoviruses as vectors of horizontal transfer of insect transposons. Nat Commun. 5: 3348.
- Granberg F, et al. 2013. Metagenomic detection of viral pathogens in Spanish honeybees: co-infection by Aphid Lethal Paralysis, Israel Acute Paralysis and Lake Sinai Viruses. PLoS One 8:e57459.
- Herniou EA, et al. 2013. When parasitic wasps hijacked viruses: genomic and functional evolution of polydnaviruses. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 368:20130051.
- Horie M, et al. 2010. Endogenous non-retroviral RNA virus elements in mammalian genomes. Nature 463:84–87.
- Isawa H, et al. 2011. Identification and molecular characterization of a new nonsegmented double-stranded RNA virus isolated from *Culex* mosquitoes in Japan. Virus Res. 155:147–155.
- Katzourakis A, Gifford RJ. 2010. Endogenous viral elements in animal genomes. PLoS Genet. 6:e1001191.
- Katzourakis A, Gifford RJ, Tristem M, Gilbert MTP, Pybus OG. 2009. Macroevolution of complex retroviruses. Science 325:1512.
- King AM, Lefkowitz E, Adams MJ, Carstens EB. 2011. Virus taxonomy: Ninth Report of the International Committee of Taxonomy of Viruses. Boston (MA): Elsevier.
- Kobayashi Y, Horie M, Tomonaga K, Suzuki Y. 2011. No evidence for natural selection on endogenous borna-like nucleoprotein elements after the divergence of Old World and New World monkeys. PLoS One 6:e24403.
- Kuhn JH, et al. 2013. *Nyamiviridae*: proposal for a new family in the order *Mononegavirales*. Arch Virol. 158:2209–2226.
- Lavialle C, et al. 2013. Paleovirology of "syncytins," retroviral env genes exapted for a role in placentation. Philos Trans R Soc B. 368: 20120507.

- Liu H, et al. 2010. Widespread horizontal gene transfer from doublestranded RNA viruses to eukaryotic nuclear genomes. J Virol. 84: 11876–11887.
- Liu H, et al. 2011a. Widespread horizontal gene transfer from circular single-stranded DNA viruses to eukaryotic genomes. BMC Evol Biol. 11:276.
- Liu H, et al. 2011b. Widespread endogenization of densoviruses and parvoviruses in animal and human genomes. J Virol. 85:9863–9876.
- Lupetti P, et al. 2013. Iridovirus infection in terrestrial isopods from Sicily (Italy). Tissue Cell. 45:321–327.
- Maori E, Tanne E, Sela I. 2007. Reciprocal sequence exchange between non-retro viruses and hosts leading to the appearance of new host phenotypes. Virology 362:342–349.
- Marklewitz M, et al. 2011. Gouleako virus isolated from West African mosquitoes constitutes a proposed novel genus in the family *Bunyaviridae*. J Virol. 85:9227–9234.
- Michel-Salzat A, Bouchon D. 2000. Phylogenetic analysis of mitochondrial LSU rRNA in oniscids. C R Acad Sci III. 323:827–837.
- Mihindukulasuriya KA, et al. 2009. Nyamanini and midway viruses define a novel taxon of RNA viruses in the order *Mononegavirales*. J Virol. 83: 5109–5116.
- Palacios G, et al. 2013. Characterization of the Uukuniemi virus group (*Phlebovirus: Bunyaviridae*): evidence for seven distinct species. J Virol. 87:3187–3195.
- Piskurek O, Okada N. 2007. Poxviruses as possible vectors for horizontal transfer of retroposons from reptiles to mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 104:12046–12051.
- Poulos BT, Tang KFJ, Pantoja CR, Bonami JR, Lightner DV. 2006. Purification and characterization of infectious myonecrosis virus of penaeid shrimp. J Gen Virol. 87:987–996.
- Roossinck MJ. 2011. The good viruses: viral mutualistic symbioses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 9:99–108.
- Roossinck MJ. 2012. Plant virus metagenomics: biodiversity and ecology. Annu Rev Genet. 46:359–369.
- Rosario K, Breitbart M. 2011. Exploring the viral world through metagenomics. Curr Opin Virol. 1:289–297.
- Rosario K, Capobianco H, Ng TFF, Breitbart M, Polston JE. 2014. RNA viral metagenome of whiteflies leads to the discovery and characterization of a whitefly-transmitted carlavirus in North America. PLoS One 9: e86748.
- Rosario K, et al. 2012. Diverse circular ssDNA viruses discovered in dragonflies (Odonata: Epiprocta). J Gen Virol. 93:2668–2681.
- Routh A, Domitrovic T, Johnson JE. 2012. Host RNAs, including transposons, are encapsidated by a eukaryotic single-stranded RNA virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 109:1907–1912.
- Sievers F, et al. 2011. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol. 7: 539.
- Sivaram A, et al. 2009. Isolation and characterization of densonucleosis virus from *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes and its distribution in India. Intervirology 52:1–7.
- Slater GSC, Birney E. 2005. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. BMC Bioinformatics 6:31.
- Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22:2688–2690.
- Tang KFJ, Lightner DV. 2006. Infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV)-related sequences in the genome of the black tiger prawn *Penaeus monodon* from Africa and Australia. Virus Res. 118:185–191.
- Taylor DJ, Bruenn J. 2009. The evolution of novel fungal genes from nonretroviral RNA viruses. BMC Biol. 7:88.
- Taylor DJ, Dittmar K, Ballinger MJ, Bruenn JA. 2011. Evolutionary maintenance of filovirus-like genes in bat genomes. BMC Evol. Biol. 11:336.

- Taylor DJ, Leach RW, Bruenn J. 2010. Filoviruses are ancient and integrated into mammalian genomes. BMC Evol Biol. 10:193.
- Thézé J, Bézier A, Periquet G, Drezen J-M, Herniou EA. 2011. Paleozoic origin of insect large dsDNA viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108: 15931–15935.
- Wu Q, et al. 2010. Virus discovery by deep sequencing and assembly of virus-derived small silencing RNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 107: 1606–1611.
- Yang F, et al. 2001. Complete genome sequence of the shrimp white spot bacilliform virus. J Virol. 75:11811–11820.
- Zhai Y, et al. 2010. Isolation and full-length sequence analysis of Armigeres subalbatus totivirus, the first totivirus isolate from mosquitoes representing a proposed novel genus (*Artivirus*) of the family *Totiviridae*. J Gen Virol. 91: 2836–2845.
- Zilber-Rosenberg I, Rosenberg E. 2008. Role of microorganisms in the evolution of animals and plants: the hologenome theory of evolution. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 32:723–735.

Associate editor: Purificación López-García