



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Environ Adv. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 April 27.

Published in final edited form as:

Environ Adv. 2022 December ; 10: . doi:10.1016/j.envadv.2022.100320.

Environmentally relevant atrazine exposure leads to increases in DNA damage and changes in morphology in the hepatopancreas of crayfish (*Faxonius virilis*)

Mohammad N. Hadeed¹,

Carlie L. Castiglione¹,

Sayf Saleem,

Diana H. Chammout,

Mariana D. Muskovac,

Karen G. Crile,

Sara A. Abdulelah,

Ali Maalhigh-Fard,

Ebrahim Y. Rampuri,

Gregory M. Grabowski,

Rachelle M. Belanger*

Biology Department, University of Detroit Mercy, Detroit, MI 48221, United States

Abstract

The herbicide atrazine is widely used for controlling broad leaf weeds and increasing crop yields in agricultural areas. Atrazine enters aquatic environments through runoff, ground water discharge and seepage where concentrations have been recorded above 300 ppb. Exposure to the herbicide atrazine at environmentally relevant concentrations has been shown to negatively impact aquatic organisms, including crayfish. Because xenobiotics are concentrated in the crayfish hepatopancreas (digestive gland), we examined changes in morphology and DNA damage in hepatopancreatic tissue structure and cells following a 10-day exposure to atrazine (0, 10, 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb). We found that there were marked morphological changes, post-exposure, for all atrazine concentrations tested. Hepatopancreatic tissue exhibited degenerated tubule epithelium with necrosis of microvilli, tubule lumen dilation, changes in tubular epithelium height

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

*Corresponding author at: Biology Department, University of Detroit Mercy, 4001 W. McNichols, Detroit, MI 48221, United States. belangra@udmercy.edu (R.M. Belanger).

¹These authors should both be considered first author.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Mohammad N. Hadeed: Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Carlie L. Castiglione:** Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Sayf Saleem:** Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. **Diana H. Chammout:** Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. **Mariana D. Muskovac:** Formal analysis, Data curation. **Karen G. Crile:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. **Sara A. Abdulelah:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Ali Maalhigh-Fard:** Methodology, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Ebrahim Y. Rampuri:** Methodology, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Gregory M. Grabowski:** Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. **Rachelle M. Belanger:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

and vacuolization of the epithelium. Likewise, we also performed a terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assay which showed the percentage of cells with DNA damage increased following atrazine exposure. Crayfish hepatopancreatic tissue displayed significant increases in TUNEL-positive cells following exposure to atrazine at 100 ppb and above. Overall, exposure to atrazine at environmentally relevant concentrations damages hepatopancreatic tissue. This impairment could lead to changes in biotransformation, detoxification, digestion and molting, subsequently reducing crayfish populations and negatively impacting the aquatic ecosystem.

Keywords

Crustaceans; Atrazine; TUNEL assay; DNA damage; Tubular degeneration; Vacuolization

Introduction

Herbicides are heavily used in agricultural areas to control unwanted plant growth and subsequently increase crop yields. Atrazine (2-chloro-4-ethylamino-6-isopropylamino-1,3,5-triazine) is a chlorotriazine herbicide and is one of the most heavily applied herbicides in the United States (U.S.) with over 32 million kg being applied annually (EPA, 2020). Atrazine is typically applied to corn, sorghum and sugarcane crops to control the growth of broad leaf weeds (EPA, 2013). After being applied to agricultural areas, atrazine can enter local streams and rivers through run-off, ground water seepage, regional transport (evaporation) and may be deposited by rain water (LeBlanc et al., 1997; Tong and Chen, 2002). Although the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets a limit of 15 µg/L (ppb) atrazine (60-day average) and an in-field downwind buffer of 4.6 m from aquatic environments, atrazine has been detected at levels above 300 ppb in some U.S. rivers (EPA, 2014; Belanger et al., 2016; EPA, 2020). These elevated concentrations have also been shown to last for several weeks during the spring season (EPA, 2014). Exposure to high concentrations of atrazine is also compounded by the fact that the half-life of atrazine ranges from six days to several months or years (Comber, 1999). Atrazine has also been detected for up to 22 years following its application due to its potential for soil sorption and high soil mobility (Walther, 2003; Jablonowski et al., 2011). This is of concern because atrazine exposure can then have long-term impacts on non-target species, like aquatic organisms, for years.

Understanding the impacts of atrazine exposure on non-target aquatic organisms has been the focus of many reviews (Giddings, 2005; Solomon et al., 2008; Van Der Kraak et al., 2014; de Albuquerque et al., 2020). Because atrazine comes into contact with a broad range of aquatic organisms, it can cause sublethal effects to nontarget species and interfere with the behavior, morphology and physiology of many species at environmentally relevant concentrations. Atrazine has been shown to affect reproductive physiology and behavior. For example, acute exposure to atrazine led to demasculinization and feminization of male frogs (*Xenopus laevis*), feminization of mussels (*Elliptio complanata*), altered sperm quality in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) and an inability to detect and localize mate odors in crayfish (*Faxonius rusticus*) (Tavera-Mendoza et al., 2002; Hayes et al., 2003; Flynn et al., 2013; Belanger et al., 2017a; Bautista et al., 2018). Further, atrazine has been shown to cause

embryo abnormalities in *Daphnia magna*, decreased vitellogenin, glycogen and oocyte area in the estuarine crab (*Neohelice granulata*) and altered sex ratios in some Hymenoptera species (Palma et al., 2009; Leite et al., 2015; Silveyra et al., 2017). Gunkel and Streit (1980) suggest that atrazine enters the body of most aquatic organisms via the gills and can be concentrated in various organs and tissues. Atrazine has been shown to accumulate in the liver/hepatopancreas, gallbladder and ovaries in fish (*Danio rerio*, *Tilapia sparrmanii*, *Coregonus fera*, *Cyprinus carpio*) and in the visceral mass, foot and mantle of bivalves (*Ancylus fluviatilis*, *Anodontites trapesimalis* and *Corbicula fluminea*) following an acute exposure (Gunkel and Streit, 1980; du Preez and Van Vuren, 1992; Jacomini et al., 2006; Xing et al., 2012; Al-Sawafi and Yan, 2013). Atrazine was also shown to cause DNA damage in cells of tissues where it is known to accumulate. Exposure to atrazine causes biochemical changes and DNA damage in the cells of the hepatopancreas of *D. rerio* and liver of *Prochilodus lineatus* (Zhu et al., 2011b; Santos and Martinez, 2012). DNA damage was also detected in peripherally located antennule cells of crayfish (*Faxonius virilis*) following acute atrazine exposures (Abdulelah et al., 2020). Because of where they are located, these appendages and cells presumably come in contact with atrazine readily in their environment, leading to damage and long-term chemosensory deficits (Belanger et al., 2016). Changes in morphology, in addition to the expression and activity of detoxification enzymes and antioxidant biomarkers of the hepatopancreas (digestive gland) of crayfish (*F. virilis*, *Procambarus fallax f. virginalis*, *Cherax destructor*), were also noted following exposure to atrazine and its metabolites (Velisek et al., 2017; Stara et al., 2018; Steele et al., 2018; Awali et al., 2019). Many invertebrates, including crayfish, rely heavily on the hepatopancreas for biotransformation and detoxification of xenobiotics following exposure.

The hepatopancreas of the crayfish serves as the main energy reserve for growth and molting. Additionally, it is the main site of digestion, absorption and storage of nutrients. It is also the main organ of biotransformation of xenobiotic compounds (Holdich, 2002). Given that the central function of the hepatopancreas is the transformation of chemical substrates to inactive forms, the physical, physiological and morphological state of this organ can provide insights into the effects of xenobiotics (for review see Belanger et al. (2017b)). Crayfish hepatopancreatic tissue can be used to monitor the health of the crayfish and can indicate when the animal has been exposed to harmful substances (Xiao et al., 2014; Velisek et al., 2017). Following exposure to xenobiotics, the hepatopancreas bioaccumulates and stores them in intracellular vacuoles (Icely and Nott, 1992). Changes in the histological organization of the crayfish hepatopancreas may be used as a bio-indicator of contamination (Popescu-Marinescu et al., 1997; Desouky et al., 2013; Koutnik et al., 2014; Stara et al., 2016; Belanger et al., 2017b; Stara et al., 2018; Laurenz et al., 2020). The hepatopancreas is formed by numerous tubules separated by connective tissues with four types of epithelial cells, including blister-like secretory cells (B cell) which form large vacuoles that channel off harmful substances (Abd El-Atti et al., 2019). Further, these large vacuolar structures have been recognized as secondary lysosomes that are involved in enzymatic breakdown of xenobiotics and in cellular autophagy (Brown, 1982). Given the importance of the hepatopancreas in biotransformation and detoxification, its morphology and physiology can be used to identify areas of the aquatic environment that are under the stress of pollution.

In this study, we investigated whether exposure to environmentally relevant concentrations of atrazine (0, 10, 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb) for 10 days caused morphological and cellular changes in hepatopancreas of crayfish. These concentrations and exposure time selected in this study were chosen based on the range of environmentally relevant concentrations identified in Belanger et al. (2016). We hypothesized that as the exposure concentration increased, there would be changes in the morphology of the lobules of the hepatopancreas. Our hypothesis is based on studies indicating deterioration of the tubular epithelium and vacuolization of the hepatopancreatic lobules following exposure to the herbicides metolachlor and prometryne, and to pyrethroid insecticides (Wei and Yang, 2015; Stara et al., 2018, 2019). Moreover, because atrazine exposure was shown to cause significant DNA damage, we also expected to see dose-dependent increases in hepatopancreatic cells with DNA damage following exposure to atrazine (Liu et al., 2006; de Campos-Ventura et al., 2008; Cavas, 2011; Zhu et al., 2011b; Santos and Martinez, 2012; Abdulelah et al., 2020). To investigate DNA damage, we used a TdT mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assay. In support of our hypothesis, we expected the percentage of TUNEL-positive cells in the hepatopancreas to increase in a dose-dependent manner. Additionally, we also expected to see an increase in vacuolization, tubular destruction and a decrease of microvilli in the hepatopancreas with increasing biotransformation and detoxification of atrazine. Overall, it is important to understand how atrazine-induced changes affect the morphology of the hepatopancreas, as overall physiological function is correlated with physiological condition (Popescu-Marinescu et al., 1997). Acute atrazine exposure could lead to long-term changes in the crayfish's ability to detoxify xenobiotics and subsequently affect survival.

Materials and methods

Animals

Male *Faxonius virilis* crayfish (weight: 27.0 ± 10.3 g, carapace length: 4.4 ± 0.6 cm, chelae length: 3.8 ± 0.8 cm; mean \pm standard deviation, N = 18), used in this experiment, were collected from Belle Isle Park and William G. Milliken State Park (Detroit, MI) using bated traps. There was no difference in the size of crayfish used for each of the concentrations tested (one-way ANOVA; $F_{5,17} = 3.10$; $p = 0.81$). All crayfish survived this study. They were housed at the University of Detroit Mercy in large tanks [mean water chemistry parameters: pH 7.5, dissolved oxygen = 8.29 mg L^{-1} , dissolved oxygen percent saturation = 96.45%, temperature = $22.3 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, hardness = $250 \pm 25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, and TOC = 2.54 mg L^{-1} ; 14:10 h light dark cycle] for at least two weeks prior to treatments. During this time and throughout the treatments, crayfish were fed one rabbit pellet per crayfish three times per week.

Atrazine treatments

Atrazine treatments were performed using methods from Abdulelah et al. (2020). Crayfish were treated for 10 days in 3000 mL translucent polyethylene terephthalate containers (31 cm length \pm 18.5 cm width \pm 11 cm height; N = 1 crayfish per container) with secured lids. Polyethylene was used because it does not significantly absorb atrazine (Topp and Smith, 1992). Each container was filled with control or atrazine treatment and aerated using an air stone (N = 3 crayfish per treatment with one crayfish per treatment container). Stock atrazine was prepared using the methods from Abdulelah et al. (2020) where 17 mg of

atrazine (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.1% purity) was dissolved in 2.5 mL of 100% ethanol using a vortexer. This mixture was then diluted with 997.5 mL of distilled water (final concentration of stock 17 mgL⁻¹). Control crayfish were treated 0 ppb atrazine (final ethanol concentration of 0.004%). To obtain the nominal atrazine exposure concentrations, 0.29, 1.18, 2.35, 2.95 and 8.82 mL of stock atrazine was added to 499.71, 498.82, 497.65, 497.05, 491.18 mL of dechlorinated water to obtain the final concentrations 10, 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb, respectively. During the 10-day treatment period, static exposures were refreshed every 24 h with fresh atrazine or control solutions. These concentrations were selected because Belanger et al. (2016) showed that environmental atrazine concentrations were detected between 0 to 336 ppb in the United States. Further, elevated atrazine concentrations have been seen in some sampling locations for up to 21 days (EPA, 2014). Therefore, in this study crayfish were treated with a range of environmentally relevant atrazine concentrations (10, 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb) for 10 days, which is approximately half of the longest environmental exposure period reported previously by the EPA (EPA, 2014). Reversed-phase liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS) analysis was previously performed to verify and standardize all atrazine solutions (see Belanger et al. 2017a for detailed methods).

Tissue collection, processing and staining

Following treatment, crayfish were placed in a -20 °C freezer for 10–15 min before being decapitated. The hepatopancreas was removed by making a ventral incision in the abdomen with scissors and the hepatopancreas was subsequently weighed. Once the hepatopancreas was isolated, it was cut into 0.5 cm cubes and placed in labeled falcon tubes containing 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 4 °C for at least 48 h. Following fixation, hepatopancreas tissues were prepared for paraffin embedding by treating tissues with 50%, 75%, 95% and 100% ethanol, 100% xylene and paraffin (15 min; three replicates). Hepatopancreatic tissue was embedded in a paraffin mold and allowed to cool. The molds were placed in the freezer overnight to fully solidify the paraffin blocks (Bancroft and Stevens, 1990). Paraffin-embedded blocks were sectioned (5 µm) using a microtome (Microm HM 325A, Waldorf, Germany) and floated on a water bath. Sections were subsequently collected on microscope slides and dried on a slide warmer.

Serial sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to determine if atrazine exposure caused changes in morphology and a terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assay was also used to examine if DNA damage also occurred. For H&E staining, a standard procedure was followed where sections were deparaffinized with xylene, rehydrated using a descending ethanol series, stained with hematoxylin (5 min), decolorized, counterstained with eosin (10 min), dehydrated with 95% (1 min; 1 replicate) and 100% ethanol (1 min; 3 replicates), cleared with xylene (1 min; 3 replicates) and mounted with Permount (Bancroft and Stevens, 1990). H&E sections were imaged using a Nikon Eclipse light microscope with a DAGE-MTI colored camera (Tokyo, Japan).

Hepatopancreas sections were also examined for DNA damage using a TUNEL assay (ApopTag Plus Peroxidase *In Situ* Apoptosis Detection Kit, S7101; EMD Millipore Corp.,

Temeculla, CA). This technique uses TdT to catalyze template-independent addition of digoxigenin-dUTP and dATP to 3' OH ends of fragmented DNA. The incorporated nucleotides form a heteropolymer of digoxigenin-dUTP and dATP, and an antidigoxigenin antibody conjugated to peroxidase is then added and subsequently visualized. This assay was performed following manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated and incubated with proteinase K (60 µg/mL) for 15 min at 37°C. Sections were then washed in distilled water and treated with 3% hydrogen peroxide in 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 5 min at room temperature to quench endogenous peroxidase activity. A mixture containing digoxigenin-conjugated nucleotides and TdT was applied to the sections in a humidified chamber (37°C for 1 h). Sections were then treated with antidigoxigenin-peroxidase for 30 min at room temperature in a humidified chamber and substrate-chromogen mixture for 5 min. Sections were then stained with 0.25% methyl green that was heated and filtered before the stain was applied for 5 min and rinsed in distilled water. Mounting medium (VECTASHIELD with 4',6-diamidino-2- phenylindole (DAPI), Vector Labs) was added to the slides, coverslips were applied and sealed with clear nail polish. Negative and positive controls were performed using hepatopancreas tissue from control crayfish. For the negative control, hepatopancreas sections were processed in an identical manner, however distilled water was used as opposed to the TdT enzyme. For positive controls, DNase was applied to the hepatopancreas tissue for 10 min following proteinase K treatment. Color images were visualized using the same microscope as H&E sections. To evaluate the percent TUNEL-positive cells, slides were also imaged using both light and fluorescence microscopy (Zeiss Axio Scope.A1). Nuclei of the cells fluoresce blue after being exposed to UV light due to DAPI which labels nuclei. Subsequently, a black and white image of the same section was also obtained and TUNEL-positive cells were identified from a black and white binary image where the black spots represented candidate cells that were positive in both the DAPI and TUNEL channels (Heisler-Taylor et al., 2018).

Data collection and statistical analysis

H&E images were examined and changes in hepatopancreas pathological features including examination of the tubular epithelium, vacuolization and cellular morphology were analyzed and compared for both control and atrazine-treated crayfish (Desouky et al., 2013; Stara et al., 2018). The examination of the hepatopancreas included measuring the number of vacuoles per lobule from ten representative lobules per crayfish or 30 lobules per concentration. Vacuoles that coalesced into one larger vacuole were counted as one vacuole (Xiao et al. 2014; Laurenz et al. 2020). Vacuoles were counted using Cell-Counter, a Fiji plugin (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>). To determine the height of the tubular epithelium, Fiji was also used to measure the height of the epithelium from the basement membrane to the apical surface of the epithelium at four equidistant points per lobule and averaging them. Ten random lobules per concentration were selected and the proportion of the lobule occupied by the lumen was quantified (Woodburn et al. 2011). Using Fiji, we measured the perimeter of the lumen and divided that by the total perimeter for each lobule for all exposure concentrations (Yu et al. 2018). An one-way ANOVA with a Tukey post-hoc test was used to compare the number of vacuoles per lobule, tubular epithelium height and lumen proportion.

For the TUNEL analysis, five slides were prepared per crayfish with at least five sections per slide. Ten representative fields of view were imaged and analyzed (two fields of view from each slide was examined and counted) from each crayfish hepatopancreas. To examine the effects of atrazine on DNA damage in hepatopancreas, ten images of TUNEL-positive cells (cells that were both black and DAPI-positive) and DAPI-positive cells were obtained from each animal. Each image (22.6 mm²) was evaluated to determine the percentage of cells that were TUNEL positive. TUNEL-positive and DAPI-positive cells from a 22.6 mm² area of each section were counted. Cells were counted using Cell-Counter in Fiji (Muñoz de Toro et al., 1998; Abdulelah et al., 2020). The average number of cells counted (\pm standard error (SE)) in each image was 178.7 ± 5.8 cells. The percent TUNEL-positive cell data was obtained by dividing the total number of TUNEL-positive cells by the total number of DAPI-positive cells and multiplying by 100 for each image. Percentages were subsequently arcsine transformed. A linear mixed models method followed by analysis of deviance tables using Type III Wald v2 tests with Satterthwaite's method (Zuur et al., 2009) were used to determine the effect of concentration on the presence of TUNEL-positive cells in the lme4 package in R statistical software (Bates et al., 2015; R Development Core Team, 2019). Differences of least squares means ('diffsmeans') from the lmerTest package in R was used as a post hoc test to discern which concentrations were significantly different from each other (Kuznetsova et al., 2017). Fixed factors include concentration and length of exposure and random factors include TUNEL-positive cell counts from individual crayfish.

Results

Histopathology

Histological examination of hepatopancreas lobules was performed following 10-day atrazine exposures. In control crayfish, the lobules were well organized, unbroken and uniform with asterisk-like lumens. An intact microvillar brush border was apparent in all sections examined. Many small lipid vacuoles were observed in the lobules with very few B cells containing large vacuoles (Fig. 1A). The hepatopancreas of crayfish exposed to atrazine exhibited degenerated tubule epithelium with necrosis of microvilli, tubule lumen dilation and vacuolization of the epithelium (Fig. 1B–F). Pronounced disintegration of the microvilli brush border as well as the presence of B cells containing large vacuoles increased in hepatopancreas lobules following all atrazine exposures ($F_{5,174,0.05} = 31.97$; $p < 0.0001$). Control lobules contained 1.07 ± 0.29 vacuoles/lobule (average \pm SE) while all atrazine treated crayfish had significantly more vacuoles/lobule ($p < 0.0001$ compared to control). The lobules of atrazine treated crayfish contained 7.2 ± 1.2 , 11.3 ± 0.8 , 11.2 ± 0.9 , 11.9 ± 0.7 and 13.7 ± 0.7 vacuoles/lobule for atrazine exposures of 10, 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb respectively (Fig. 2). Moreover, the lumen of the lobules of crayfish treated with atrazine became less organized, contained irregular and damaged epithelia, lost their asterisk-like shape and became more dilated. The proportion of the lobule occupied by the lumen increased following atrazine exposures of 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb ($F_{5,54,0.05} = 8.29$; $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 3). Lumen proportion was 0.57 ± 0.03 for control crayfish while it was 0.54 ± 0.07 , 0.79 ± 0.02 , 0.77 ± 0.01 , 0.75 ± 0.02 , 0.75 ± 0.04 for crayfish exposed to 10, 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb atrazine respectively ($p < 0.05$ for crayfish exposed to 40 ppb and higher when compared to control crayfish). Further, tubular epithelium height was altered

following atrazine exposure ($F_{5,54,0.05} = 54.65$; $P < 0.0001$). Tubular epithelium was $94.6 \pm 5.7 \mu\text{m}$ for control crayfish. Following atrazine exposures of 10, 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb, the epithelium was $125.5 \pm 6.1 \mu\text{m}$, $75.4 \pm 5.2 \mu\text{m}$, $66.4 \pm 4.6 \mu\text{m}$, $37.8 \pm 2.1 \mu\text{m}$, $35.1 \pm 3.0 \mu\text{m}$ respectively. The epithelium was significantly reduced following exposures to 80 ppb and above ($p < 0.001$).

DNA damage

Examination of DNA damage was done using a TUNEL assay which labeled the nuclei of cells with DNA damage a black-brown color. The hepatopancreas of control crayfish, treated with 0 ppb atrazine, contained very few TUNEL-positive nuclei (Fig. 4A). Following atrazine exposure, increases in TUNEL-positive cells can be visualized in all tissue sections (Fig. 4B–F). The number of TUNEL-positive cells increased with increasing atrazine exposure concentrations following 10-day exposures ($F_{5,72,0.05} = 3.46$, $P < 0.0001$; linear mixed-model with Satterthwaite's method; Fig. 5). Control crayfish (0 ppb atrazine), had $5.7 \pm 0.6 \%$ (average \pm SE) TUNEL-positive cells present in representative sections of 22.6 mm^2 of hepatopancreas tissue. Following exposure to 10, 40 and 80 ppb atrazine, $9.3 \pm 0.9 \%$, $15.1 \pm 1.8 \%$ and $14.9 \pm 2.3 \%$ TUNEL-positive cells were visualized respectfully. There was a significant increase in TUNEL-positive cells seen following 10-day exposures to 100 ppb ($p = 0.008$) and 300 ppb ($p = 0.007$) atrazine were compared to the 0 ppb atrazine treatments. Following an exposure to 100 and 300 ppb atrazine, $33.5 \pm 5.8 \%$ and $32.6 \pm 1.7 \%$ TUNEL-positive cells were present (Fig. 5).

Discussion

A properly functioning hepatopancreas is essential for digestion, growth, molting and detoxication of xenobiotics. When crayfish are exposed to atrazine, enzymes such as cytochrome P450 and glutathione-S-transferase detoxify it by producing more water-soluble metabolites that can easily be excreted from the body (Brzezicki et al., 2003). If the hepatopancreas is damaged, crucial functions may be severely inhibited (Awali et al., 2019). Our data show that when crayfish are exposed to atrazine for 10 days at environmentally relevant concentrations, hepatopancreatic tissue degenerates in a dose-dependent manner. More specifically, the lobule morphology is altered and necrosis of the microvillar brush border is evident (see Fig. 1). The number of blister-like cells with large vacuoles increased following all atrazine exposures tested, lumen became dilated and the tubular epithelium degenerated (Figs. 2 & 3). Moreover, an increase in DNA damage in over 30% of cells of the hepatopancreas following exposures to 100 and 300 ppb atrazine is evident (See Figs. 4 & 5). This is significant because environmental exposures to atrazine have been found at concentration exceeding these exposures and thus exposure concentrations above 100 ppb can have profound effects on the ability of the hepatopancreas to function effectively (Graymore et al., 2001; EPA, 2014; Belanger et al., 2016).

Exposure to xenobiotics such as atrazine can have many negative impacts on the morphology, physiological processes and biochemical pathways in aquatic organisms, like crayfish (Belanger et al., 2017b). In crustaceans, there is a link between the physiological condition of the animal and the structure and morphology of the hepatopancreas

(Popescu-Marinescu et al., 1997). Acute atrazine exposures altered the morphology of the hepatopancreas at all concentrations tested. Structural changes were noted following exposures to atrazine concentrations as low as 10 ppb. Following a 10-day exposure to 10 ppb atrazine, we saw changes to the hepatopancreas tubules including degeneration of the microvillar brush border and increases in vacuolization of secretory B (blister-like) cells. These pathologies increased as atrazine exposure concentrations increased. For example, the epithelium lining the lumen of the lobules was almost completely degenerated at exposure to atrazine concentrations over 100 ppb. Further, there was also an increase in tubule lumen dilation following atrazine exposures of 40 ppb and higher, which is also an indication of tissue atrophy. Vacuolization of the secretory B cells also increased in a dose-dependent manner as they are known to store xenobiotics like atrazine (Icely and Nott, 1992). This morphology deviates from normal morphology, which has been described by Desouky et al. (2013) as having a microvillar brush border lining the lumen of the lobules, small lipid granules and very few vacuoles. The description for “normal hepatopancreas” is similar to what we visualized in crayfish treated with 0 ppb atrazine for 10 days (Fig. 1A). Stara et al. (2018) also examined crayfish (*Cherax destructor*) hepatopancreas tissues following a 14-day exposure to 6.86 and 1210 ppb atrazine. They did not see any atrazine-induced damage following an exposure to 6.86 ppb; however, they found that following the exposure to 1210 ppb, hepatopancreatic tissue displayed disintegration of the epithelium and vacuolization. It should be noted that concentrations above 350 ppb are rarely encountered in the environment. Our study showed similar morphological changes occurred following atrazine exposure; however, we found that these changes can occur at exposure concentrations as low as 10 ppb. These effects on morphology also increase in a dose-dependent manner.

In addition to causing changes in the morphology of the hepatopancreas lobules, atrazine-induced DNA damage also occurred in cells of the hepatopancreas in a dose-dependent manner post exposure. We found a significant increase in the percentage of cells that were TUNEL positive and presumably apoptotic following a 10-day atrazine exposure to 100 and 300 ppb. atrazine exposure has been shown to cause DNA damage in the liver and hepatopancreas of other aquatic organisms. For example, when streaked prochilod (*P. lineatus*) received an acute (48 h) atrazine exposure of 10 ppb atrazine, they displayed a significant increase in DNA damage following a comet assay (Santos and Martinez, 2012). Zhu et al. (2011b) also showed that when zebrafish were exposed to atrazine ranging from 10 to 1000 ppb for 5 to 25 days, there was a significant increase DNA damage. DNA damage was also seen in olfactory sensory neurons of crayfish (*F. virilis*), erythrocytes of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) and Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and blood and gill cells of streaked prochilod fish following environmentally relevant atrazine exposures (de Campos-Ventura et al., 2008; Cavas, 2011; Santos and Martinez, 2012; Abdulelah et al., 2020). Overall, atrazine-induced alterations of tissue morphology and DNA damage may lead to functional changes in the tissues affected.

Physiological and biochemical changes have also been noted in hepatopancreas and liver tissues post-atrazine exposure. For example, change in biochemical profiles in crayfish were observed following atrazine exposures. These include increases in antioxidant biomarkers (catalase activity and glutathione reductase) as well as changes in the expression and activity

of cytochrome P450 and glutathione-S-transferase, post-exposure (Stara et al., 2018; Awali et al., 2019). Santos and Martinez (2012) also showed that acute atrazine exposures in streaked prochilod led to decreases in antioxidant and biotransformation enzymes. Similar changes were noted in zebrafish (Zhu et al., 2011a, 2011b). Alterations in physiology reported in these studies may be caused by the changes in the histopathology of the hepatopancreas or liver tissue and its subsequent inability to function properly. Further, reallocating energy resources for cell and tissue repair as well as biotransformation and detoxification of atrazine and/or other xenobiotics may be detrimental to the animal's long-term health. Overall, because the hepatopancreas serves as the main energy reserve for growth and molting and is the main organ for detoxification, any impairments in this organ could lead to decreased chance of survival and ultimately affect population size.

Conclusions

Following acute atrazine exposures, we visualized marked changes in the morphology of the hepatopancreas. There were increases in degeneration of the tubular epithelium, necrosis of the microvillar brush border and dilation of the lumen. The number of secretory B (blister-like) cells containing vacuoles increased following atrazine exposure for all concentrations tested. Furthermore, there was a significant increase in the percentage of cells that contained DNA damage and were presumptively apoptotic in crayfish treated with 100 and 300 ppb atrazine, both ecologically relevant atrazine concentrations. This is concerning as an overall degeneration of the lobules of the hepatopancreas may lead to long-term changes in physiology as the hepatopancreas is important for growth and molting as well as, digestion, absorption, storage of nutrients and detoxification (Holdich, 2002). These negative physiological changes may in turn negatively impact crayfish growth, development and population size, which may subsequently have cascading detrimental effects on the aquatic ecosystem. In future studies, it is important to determine if atrazine-induced cellular damage in the hepatopancreas can be repaired and proper function restored. Moreover, knowledge of the exposure concentrations that cause physiological and biochemical impairments is important for regulating exposure concentrations and length of exposure. Although the EPA limits atrazine concentrations at 15 ppb, we observed morphological changes in the hepatopancreas occurring following 10 ppb exposures and above (EPA, 2020). Further research will be able to compare treatment concentrations with hepatopancreas atrazine accumulation.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Kendra Evans (Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Detroit Mercy) for previous analysis of atrazine treatment concentrations and Abdrhman Almouseli for help with crayfish treatments and dissections. We are also grateful for statistical support from Dr. Paul Moore (Bowling Green State University) and for the generous support from the Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education (scholarship awarded to S.A.A) and the University of Detroit Mercy (Faculty Research Award to R.M.B.). Work reported in this publication was supported by the National Institutes of Health Common Fund and Office of Scientific Workforce Diversity under three linked awards RL5GM118981, TL4GM118983, and 1UL1GM118982 administered by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Rachelle Belanger reports financial support was provided by National Institutes of Health. Sara Abdulelah reports a relationship with The Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Foundation that includes.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

References

- Abd El-Atti M, Desouky M, Mohamadien A, Said R, 2019. Effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on red swamp crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii*: bioaccumulation, oxidative stress and histopathological biomarkers. Egypt. J. Aquat. Res 45, 11–18.
- Abdulelah S, Crile K, Almouseli A, Awali S, Tutwiler A, Tien E, Manzo V, Hadeed M, Belanger R, 2020. Environmentally relevant atrazine exposures cause DNA damage in cells of the lateral antennules of crayfish (*Faxonius virilis*). Chemosphere 239.
- Al-Sawafi A, Yan Y, 2013. Bioconcentration and antioxidant status responses in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) under atrazine exposure. Int. J. Chem. Eng. Appl 4, 204.
- Awali S, Abdulelah S, Crile K, Yacoo K, Almouseli A, Torres V, Dayfield D, Evans K, Belanger R, 2019. Cytochrome P450 and Glutathione-S-transferase activity are altered following environmentally relevant atrazine exposures in crayfish (*Faxonius virilis*). Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol 103, 579–584. [PubMed: 31273423]
- Bancroft J, Stevens A, 1990. Theory and practice of histological techniques, 3 Ed. Churchill Livingstone, New York.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S, 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J. Stat. Software 67, 1–48.
- Bautista F, Junior A, Corcini C, Acosta I, Caldas S, Primel E, Zanette J, 2018. The herbicide atrazine affects sperm quality and the expression of antioxidant and spermatogenesis genes in zebrafish testes. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol 206, 17–22. [PubMed: 29471151]
- Belanger R, Evans K, Abraham N, Barawi K, 2017a. Diminished conspecific odor recognition in the rusty crayfish (*Orconectes rusticus*) following a 96-h exposure to atrazine. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol 99, 555–560. [PubMed: 28894911]
- Belanger RM, Lahman SE, Moore PA, 2017b. Crayfish, an experimental model for examining exposure to environmental contamination. In: Larramendy ML (Ed.), Ecotoxicology and Genotoxicology: Non-Traditional Aquatic Models. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK, pp. 124–156.
- Belanger RM, Mooney LN, Nguyen HM, Abraham NK, Peters TJ, Kana MA, May LA, 2016. Acute atrazine exposure has lasting effects on chemosensory responses to food odors in crayfish (*Orconectes virilis*). Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol 70, 289–300. [PubMed: 26487338]
- Brown B, 1982. The form and function of metal-containing ‘granules in invertebrate tissues. Biol. Rev 57, 621–667.
- Brzezicki JM, Andersen ME, Cranmer BK, Tessari JD, 2003. Quantitative identification of atrazine and its chlorinated metabolites in plasma. J. Anal. Toxicol 27, 569–573. [PubMed: 14670135]
- Cavas T, 2011. In vivo genotoxicity evaluation of atrazine and atrazine-based herbicide on fish *Carassius auratus* using the micronucleus test and the comet assay. Food Chem. Toxicol 49, 1431–1435. [PubMed: 21443921]
- Comber SDW, 1999. Abiotic persistence of atrazine and simazine in water. Pestic. Sci 55, 696–702.
- de Albuquerque F, de Oliveira J, Moschini-Carlos V, Fraceto L, 2020. An overview of the potential impacts of atrazine in aquatic environments: perspectives for tailored solutions based on nanotechnology. Sci. Total Environ 700, 1–9.
- de Campos-Ventura B, de Franceschi de Angelis D, Marin-Morales M, 2008. Mutagenic and genotoxic effects of the Atrazine herbicide in *Oreochromis niloticus* (Perciformes, Cichlidae) detected by the micronuclei test and the comet assay. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol 90, 42–51.

- Desouky MMA, Abdel-Gawad H, Hegazi B, 2013. Distribution, fate and histopathological effects of ethion insecticide on selected organs of the crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii*. Food Chem. Toxicol 52, 42–52. [PubMed: 23127602]
- du Preez H, Van Vuren J, 1992. Bioconcentration of atrazine in the banded tilapia, *Tilapia sparrmanii*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Comp. Pharmacol. Toxicol 101, 651–655. [PubMed: 1354144]
- EPA, 2013. Atrazine updates. US Environmental Protection Agency. https://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/atrazineazine/atrazineazine_update.htm.
- EPA, 2014. Atrazine updates: 2014 Atrazine Ecological Exposure Monitoring Program Data. United States Environmental Protection Agency. <https://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2003-0367-0303>.
- EPA, 2020. Atrazine: interim registration review decision environmental protection agency case number 0062 1–57. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0266-1605>.
- Flynn K, Wedin MB, Bonventre JA, Dillon-White M, Hines J, Weeks BS, Andre C, Schreiber MP, Gagne F, 2013. Burrowing in the freshwater mussel *Elliptio complanata* is sexually dimorphic and feminized at low levels of atrazine. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health-Part a-Current Issues 76, 1168–1181.
- Giddings J, 2005. Atrazine in North American Surface Waters: A Probabilistic Aquatic Ecological Risk Assessment. SETAC.
- Graymore M, Stagnitti F, Allinson G, 2001. Impacts of atrazine in aquatic ecosystems. Environ. Int 26, 483–495. [PubMed: 11485216]
- Gunkel G, Streit B, 1980. Mechanisms of bioaccumulation of a herbicide (atrazine, s-triazine) in a freshwater mollusc (*Ancylus fluviatilis müll.*) and a fish (*Coregonus fera jurine*). Water Res. 14, 1573–1584.
- Hayes T, Haston K, Tsui M, Hoang A, Haeffele C, Vonk A, 2003. Atrazine-induced hermaphroditism at 0.1 ppb in American leopard frogs (*Rana pipiens*): Laboratory and field evidence. Environ. Health Perspect 111, 568–575. [PubMed: 12676617]
- Heisler-Taylor T, Kim B, Reese A, Hamadmad S, Kusibati R, Fischer A, Cebulla C, 2018. A new multichannel method quantitating TUNEL in detached photoreceptor nuclei. Exp. Eye Res 176, 121–129. [PubMed: 29959928]
- Holdich DM, 2002. Biology of Freshwater Crayfish. Blackwell Science, Oxford. New York, NY.
- Icely JD, Nott JA, 1992. Digestion and absorption: digestive system and associated organs. Microscopic Anatomy of Invertebrates 10, 147–201.
- Jablonowski ND, Schaffer A, Burauel P, 2011. Still present after all these years: persistence plus potential toxicity raise questions about the use of atrazine. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res 18, 328–331.
- Jacomini A, Avelar W, Martinêz A, Bonato P, 2006. Bioaccumulation of atrazine in freshwater bivalves *Anodontites trapesialis* (Lamarck, 1819) and *Corbicula fluminea* (Müller, 1774). Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol 51, 387–391. [PubMed: 16865601]
- Koutnik D, Stara A, Zuskova E, Kouba A, Velisek J, 2014. The effect of subchronic metribuzin exposure to signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus* Dana 1852). Neuro Endocrinol. Lett 35 (Suppl 2), 51–56. [PubMed: 25638366]
- Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff P, Christensen R, 2017. lmerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. J. Statist. Software 82, 1–26.
- Laurenz J, Lietz L, Brendelberger H, Lehmann K, Georg A, 2020. Noble crayfish are more sensitive to terbuthylazine than parthenogenetic marbled crayfish. Water Air Soil Pollut. 231, 1–11.
- LeBlanc R, Brown R, FitzGibbon J, 1997. Modeling the effects of land use change on the water temperature in unregulated urban streams. J. Environ. Manag 49, 445–469.
- Leite G, de Paulo P, Nanuncio J, Alvarenga A, Soares M, de Souza Tavares W, Tuffi-Santos L, de Carvalho Spínola-Filho P, 2015. Effects of atrazine-based herbicide on emergence and sex ratio of Trichogrammatidae (Hymenoptera). Florida Entomol. 98, 899–902.
- Liu XM, Shao JZ, Xiang LX, Chen XY, 2006. Cytotoxic effects and apoptosis induction of atrazine in a grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) cell line. Environ. Toxicol 21, 80–89. [PubMed: 16463256]

- Muñoz de Toro M, Maffini M, Giardina R, Luque E, 1998. Processing fine needle aspirates of prostate carcinomas for standard immunocytochemical studies and *in situ* apoptosis detection. *Pathol. Res. Pract* 194, 631–636. [PubMed: 9793962]
- Palma P, Palma V, Matos C, Fernandes R, Bohn A, Soares A, Barbosa I, 2009. Effects of atrazine and endosulfan sulphate on the ecdysteroid system of *Daphnia magna*. *Chemosphere* 74, 676–681. [PubMed: 19042009]
- Popescu-Marinescu V, Manolache V, Nastasescu M, Marinescu C, 1997. Structural modifications induced by cooper in *Astacus leptodactylus* (Crustacea, Decapoda) hepatopancreas. *Rom. J. Biol. Sci* 1, 99–105.
- Santos T, Martinez C, 2012. Atrazine promotes biochemical changes and DNA damage in a Neotropical fish species. *Chemosphere* 89, 1118–1125. [PubMed: 22739540]
- Silveyra G, Canosa I, Rodriguez E, Medesani D, 2017. Effects of atrazine on ovarian growth, in the estuarine crab *Neohelice granulata*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol* 192, 1–6. [PubMed: 27890715]
- Solomon KR, Carr JA, Du Preez LH, Giesy JP, Kendall RJ, Smith EE, Van Der Kraak GJ, 2008. Effects of atrazine on fish, amphibians, and aquatic reptiles: a critical review. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol* 38, 721–772. [PubMed: 18941967]
- Stara A, Kouba A, Velisek J, 2018. Biochemical and histological effects of sub-chronic exposure to atrazine in crayfish *Cherax destructor*. *Chem. Biol. Interact* 291, 95–102. [PubMed: 29908168]
- Stara A, Kubec J, Zuskova E, Buric M, Faggio C, Kouba A, Velisek J, 2019. Effects of S-metolachlor and its degradation product metolachlor OA on marbled crayfish (*Procambarus virginalis*). *Chemosphere* 224, 616–625. [PubMed: 30849622]
- Stara A, Zuskova E, Kouba A, Velisek J, 2016. Effects of terbuthylazine-desethyl, a terbuthylazine degradation product, on red swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*). *Sci. Total Environ* 566–567, 733–740.
- Steele A, Belanger R, Moore P, 2018. Exposure through runoff and ground water contamination differentially impact behavior and physiology of Crustaceans in fluvial systems. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol* 75, 436–448. [PubMed: 29923112]
- Tavera-Mendoza L, Ruby S, Brousseau P, Fournier M, Marcogliese D, 2002. Response of the amphibian tadpole (*Xenopus laevis*) to atrazine during sexual differentiation of the testis. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem* 21, 527–531. [PubMed: 11878466]
- Team, R.C., 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing, In: *Computing*, R.F.f.S. (Ed.), Vienna, Austria.
- Tong S, Chen W, 2002. Modeling the relationship between land use and surface water quality. *J. Environ. Manage* 66, 377–393. [PubMed: 12503494]
- Topp E, Smith W, 1992. Sorption of the herbicides atrazine and metolachlor to selected plastics and silicone rubber. *J. Environ. Qual* 21, 316–317.
- Van Der Kraak G, Hosmer A, Hanson M, Kloas W, Solomon K, 2014. Effects of atrazine in fish, amphibians, and reptiles: an analysis based on quantitative weight of evidence. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol* 44, 1–66.
- Velisek J, Stara A, Zuskova E, Kouba A, 2017. Effects of three triazine metabolites and their mixture at environmentally relevant concentrations on early life stages of marbled crayfish (*Procambarus fallax f. virginalis*). *Chemosphere* 175, 440–445. [PubMed: 28242459]
- Walther JS, 2003. Surface Water Pesticide Contamination in the Upper Terrebonne Basin of Louisiana. Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, p. 55. Master's thesis.
- Wei K, Yang J, 2015. Oxidative damage of hepatopancreas induced by pollution depresses humoral immunity response in the freshwater crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 43, 510–519. [PubMed: 25655324]
- Woodburn K, Walton R, McCrohan C, White K, 2011. Accumulation and toxicity of aluminium-contaminated food in the freshwater crayfish, *Pacifastacus leniusculus*. *Aquat. Toxicol* 105, 535–542. [PubMed: 21924698]
- Xiao X, Han D, Zhu X, Yang Y, Xie S, Huang Y, 2014. Effect of dietary cornstarch levels on growth performance, enzyme activity and hepatopancreas histology of juvenile red swamp crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii* (Girard). *Aquaculture* 426, 112–119.

- Xing H, Wang X, Sun G, Gao X, Xu S, Wang X, 2012. Effects of atrazine and chlorpyrifos on activity and transcription of glutathione S-transferase in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol 33, 233–244. [PubMed: 22236720]
- Yu J, Xu EG, Li W, Jin S, Yuan T, Liu J, Li Z, Zhang T, 2018. Acute toxicity of an emerging insecticide pymetrozine to *Procambarus clarkii* associated with rice-crayfish culture (RCIS). Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 15, 984. [PubMed: 29757963]
- Zhu L-S, Shao B, Song Y, Xie H, Wang J, Wang J-H, Liu W, Hou X-X, 2011a. DNA damage and effects on antioxidative enzymes in zebra fish (*Danio rerio*) induced by atrazine. Toxicol. Mech. Methods 21, 31–36. [PubMed: 21114466]
- Zhu LS, Dong XL, Xie H, Wang J, Wang JH, Su J, Yu CW, 2011b. DNA damage and effects on glutathione-S-transferase activity induced by atrazine exposure in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Environ. Toxicol 26, 480–488. [PubMed: 20549606]
- Zuur A, Ieno E, Walker N, Saveliev A, Smith G, 2009. Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R. Springer Science & Business Media, New York.




Fig. 1. H&E histology of transverse sections through the hepatopancreas of crayfish following a 10-day exposure to atrazine. A: 0 ppb, B: 10 ppb, C: 40 ppb, D: 80 ppb, E: 100 ppb, F: 300 ppb. Secretory B (blister-like) cells (b) containing single large secretory vesicles (vacuoles) increased in number as atrazine exposure concentration increased. Tubule lumen (L) dilation was also observed following atrazine exposures above 40 ppb. Necrosis of microvilli brush border and membrane degeneration was visualized in hepatopancreas lobules post- atrazine exposure (arrows). Scale bar is 50 μ m.




Fig. 2. Blister-like cells containing vacuoles were assessed following atrazine exposure. The number of vacuoles per lobule was increased for all atrazine exposures. Control and atrazine treated groups are assigned different letters a, b, c when they are significantly.




Fig. 3. Proportion of the lobule occupied by the lumen was calculated for hepatopancreas lobules control and atrazine-treated crayfish. The lobules of crayfish exposed to 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb for 10 days were significantly larger proportionally than control crayfish and crayfish exposed to 10 ppb atrazine. Control and atrazine treated groups are assigned different letters a, b when they are significantly different.




Fig. 4. Light micrographs of transverse sections of TUNEL-labeled hepatopancreas tissue following a 10-day exposure to atrazine. A: 0 ppb, B: 10 ppb, C: 40 ppb, D: 80 ppb, E: 100 ppb, F: 300 ppb. Increases in TUNEL-positive cells can be seen following atrazine exposures of 10 ppb and above (arrows). Scale bar is 50 μm .




Fig. 5. Percentage of TUNEL-positive cells in the hepatopancreas of crayfish following a 10-day exposure to 0, 10, 40, 80, 100 and 300 ppb atrazine. Percent TUNEL-positive cells are present in all concentrations tested, but increased significantly at exposure concentrations of 100 ppb atrazine and above. Control and atrazine-treated groups labeled with an asterisk (*) are significantly different ($p = 0.008$ and $p = 0.007$ for percent TUNEL positive cells following 100 ppb and 300 ppb treatments compared to the control (0 ppb) treatment, respectfully).