Medicine



Total bilirubin level may be a biomarker of nephropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus A meta-analysis of observational studies based on MOOSE compliant

Dan Zhang, MD^a, Bo Zhu, MD^b, Wei Zhang, MD^a, Wei Wang, MD^a, Dan Guo, MD^a, Ligang Yang, MD^a, Lu Wang, MD^{a,*}

Abstract

Recently, the number of the studies on the relationship between the total bilirubin level (TBL) and diabetic nephropathy (DN) is increasing, but their results were not consistent. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to analyze the relationship between TBL and the risk of DN.

We searched 5 databases before October 31, 2016, and reviewed the reference list of relevant articles. The fixed or randomeffects model was used to pool risk estimates. We conducted the dose–response meta-analysis to evaluate the relationship between TBL and the risk of DN.

Our meta-analysis showed that TBL in the DN group was lower than that in diabetes without the kidney disease (NDN) group (standard mean difference [SMD]: -0.63, 95% CI: -0.80, -0.46). The result of each subgroup also showed that TBL in the DN group was lower than that in the NDN group. The result of meta-regression indicated that duration of diabetes mellitus might be the source of heterogeneity. Our meta-analysis also showed that there was a significant negative relationship between TBL and the risk of DN (OR: 0.86, 95% CI: 0.82, 0.90). The results of subgroup analysis were similar to those of SMD; no sources of heterogeneity were detected by meta-regression. Sensitivity analysis indicated that the results were robust. We observed a linear association between TBL and the risk of DN.

In conclusion, bilirubin may be used as a biomarker of DN. It helps early diagnosis and effective therapeutic strategies on DN.

Abbreviations: DN = diabetic nephropathy, OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, SMD = standard mean difference, T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus, TBL = total bilirubin level.

Keywords: bilirubin, diabetes mellitus, meta-analysis, nephropathy

1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a common syndrome with disordered metabolism and endocrine, characterized by insulin resistance and beta-cell dysfunction. In 2012, the prevalence of diabetes was 8.3% all over the world.^[1] The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported that the prevalence of diabetes in the United States increased from 4.9% in 1990 to 11.3% in 2010, increased by 2.31 times.^[2] Type 2 diabetes not only caused serious harm to human health, but also brought huge economic burden for individuals and countries. Diabetic

Medicine (2017) 96:1(e5765)

Received: 17 August 2016 / Received in final form: 13 November 2016 / Accepted: 30 November 2016

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000005765

nephropathy (DN) is one of the most common and severe microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus. Once diabetic patients develop DN, pathological changes and condition often show progressive trends, cannot be easily reversed. In the United States, almost 40% patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) were developed from DN; DN was also the primary cause of ESRD in China.^[3,4] The early diagnosis and effective therapeutic strategies on DN have become particularly important, so novel biomarkers with the ability to predict DN progression accurately is needed in clinic practice.

So far, the etiology and pathogenesis of DN is not very clear. Oxidative stress has been associated with the pathogenesis and progression of DN, and it is suggested that antioxidants might inhibit the progression of kidney dysfunction. In animal models, antioxidants have been shown to be effective in treating DN.^[5,6] Bilirubin was an important factor of the endogenous antioxidant system in the human body.^[7,8] As the bilirubin level decreased, antioxidant capacity fell down. Several studies had shown that there was a negative relationship between the total bilirubin level (TBL) and diabetic microvascular complications.^[9-11] Recently, a growing number of studies had focus on the association between TBL and the risk of DN, but inconsistent results had been reported. For example, Toya et al^[12] found that no significant increase in patients with DN compared to diabetes without kidney disease (NDN), whereas Hamamoto^[13] found that TBL in NDN was higher than that in DN, and confirmed the inverse relationship. Currently, we

Editor: Jinxian Xu.

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a Department of Endocrinology, Fourth Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, ^b Department of Cancer Prevention and Treatment, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University/ Liaoning Cancer Hospital & Institute Shenyang, People's Republic of China.

^{*} Correspondence: Lu Wang, No.4, Chong Shan Dong Road, Huanggu District, Shenyang, People's Republic of China (e-mail: doctorwanglu@163.com).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

found no meta-analysis on the relationship between TBL and the risk of DN, so we first systematically reviewed the observational studies and quantitatively assessed the association between TBL and the risk of DN.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Search strategy and study selection

In this meta-analysis, we comprehensively searched relevant studies in multiple databases (PubMed, Web of Science, EMBASE, CNKI (China National Knowledge Infrastructure), Wanfang database, and VIP) before October 31, 2016. The search terms were used: "bilirubin" and "diabetes" and ("nephropathy" or "kidney disease"), (The filter: (bilirubin [Text Word] AND diabetes [Text Word]) AND (nephropathy [Text Word] OR kidney disease [Text Word])). The search was restricted to human studies. No restrictions were imposed on language. In order to find more relevant studies, the reference lists of included studies were also searched.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

DN was defined as the urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR) \geq 30 mg/g, and contained microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria. ACR of microalbuminuria was 30–299 mg/g; ACR of macroalbuminuria was equal to or higher than 300 mg/g.

Eligible studies were included by the following criteria: (1) the relationship between TBL and DN should be investigated; (2) patients with diabetic nephropathy were enrolled; (3) the study contained either the effect of bilirubin on the incidence rate of DN in T2DM or TBL in the DN group and the NDN group; (4) the study should report the mean value with standard errors or odds ratio (OR)with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) on the relationship between TBL and DN, or provide necessary data to calculate them. (5) if more than 2 studies came from the same population, the latest or highest-quality study was selected.

The studies were excluded by the following criteria: (1) the study did not report the relationship between TBL and DN; (2) the study was not original research, for example editorial, commentaries and reviews; (3) the study did not involve humans, for example, animal experiments, chemistry, and cell-line studies; (4) the study did not provide sufficient data to calculate the mean value with standard errors or OR with 95% CIs.

Two reviewers (DZ and WZ) independently carried out the process of the study selection and exclusion; the third reviewer (BZ) resolved any disagreements by discussion or consultation.

2.3. Data extraction

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the following information was independently extracted from the included studies by 2 reviewers (DZ and BZ): first author's name, published year, the design of study, number of subjects, gender, age, body mass index (BMI), duration of diabetes mellitus and TBL. The units of TBL were different among the studies and used by µmol/L and mg/dL. In order to do the statistics and analysis expediently, we converted mg/dL to µmol/L with multiplying by 17.1. We extracted or calculated the mean value with standard errors or OR with 95% CIs from each included study. We extracted risk estimates with the most adjustment (when available). For dose–response analysis, the following information was also needed: (a) the risk estimates and their corresponding 95% CIs for at least 3 exposure categories; (b) the median or

mean of TBL in each category. To reduce the effects of the relevant factors on the results, we preferred to extract and analyze the adjusted OR to unadjusted OR. If the study had several ORs which were adjusted for different combinations of relevant factors, we extract OR which was adjusted for the most number of relevant factors.

2.4. Quality assessment

Two authors (WW and BZ) independently assessed the included studies in our meta-analysis. The included studies were assessed by the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS).^[14] The NOS is judged on 3 broad subscales: the selection of the study groups contains 4 items; the comparability of the groups contains 2 items, and the ascertainment of the exposure or outcome of interest for observational studies contains 3 items. A maximum 9 scores could be given to the highest quality studies. A score of 5 or more was regarded as "high quality"; otherwise, the study was regarded as "low quality."

2.5. Statistical analysis

This meta-analysis was conducted using the Stata software package (Version 12.0; Stata Corp., College Station, TX). We compared the difference of bilirubin between DN and NDN groups using the standardized mean difference (SMD) and pooled odds ratios (ORs).We used the chi-square-based Q-test to assess the heterogeneity among the individual studies. Heterogeneity was quantified based on I^2 , which ranged from 0% to 100% ($I^2=0\%$ to 25%, no heterogeneity; $I^2=25\%$ to 50%, moderate heterogeneity; $I^2=50\%$ to 75%, large heterogeneity; $I^2=75\%$ to 100%, extreme heterogeneity). If I^2 was larger than 50%, a random effects model was used; otherwise, the fixed model was used.

If higher heterogeneity existed in our meta-analysis, subgroup analysis was used by study design, year of publication, gender, age, BMI, and duration of diabetes mellitus to find the source of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis was used to assess the robustness of the results in our meta-analysis. The purpose of sensitivity analysis was to evaluate the effect of a single study on the overall pooled estimates. In the sensitivity analysis, we excluded each study in turn and obtained the pooled estimates from the remaining studies. We assessed the possibility of publication bias using visual inspection of funnel plots and Egger's test. We also performed the Duval and Tweedie nonparametric "trim and fill" procedure to further assess the possible effect of publication bias in our meta-analysis. A 2-sided *P* value < 0.05 in the statistical process was considered statistical significant.

To evaluate the dose–response association between TBL and the risk of DN, we conducted the dose–response meta-analysis to calculate study-specific slopes (i.e., linear trends) and 95%CIs, which was proposed by Greenland and Longnecker and Orsini et al.^[15,16] If the study reported exposure category by a range, the midpoint was calculated by averaging the lower and upper bound; if the upper boundary for the highest category was not provided, the midpoint of the category was set at 1.5 times the lower boundary. When the lowest category was open-ended, we set the lower boundary to zero.

2.6. Ethical statement

As all the included studies were grounded on the previous publications, ethical statement was not necessary.

3. Results

3.1. Literature search and study characteristics

Figure 1 shows the study selection process in this meta-analysis. We identified 280 articles from 5 databases. In total, 227 articles were excluded after reading the title and abstract. However, 16 articles were excluded after reading the full text, which contained 14 duplication data articles and 2 case reports. After detailed evaluation, we excluded 11 articles, which did not report the mean value with standard errors or OR with 95% CIs or provide sufficient data to calculate them. Finally, 20 case-control studies,^[17-36] 3 cross-sectional studies,^[13,37,38] and 3 cohort studies^[12,39,40] were included in the meta-analysis.

There were 23,141 subjects and 7944 DN patients in our metaanalysis. Twenty-three studies provided the mean values and their standard errors, and 11 studies provided ORs and their 95% CIs. Five studies provided the exposure category and ORs with their 95% CIs. The included studies were published between 2007 and 2016. The number of subjects ranged from 87 to 9795 and the duration of diabetes mellitus ranged from 5.27 to 25.41 years. The basic characteristics of the included studies in our meta-analysis were shown in Table 1. The included 26 studies which were evaluated quality using the NOS were high quality.

3.2. Overall and subgroup analysis

Figure 2 showed the results from the random-effects meta-analysis combining the pooled SMD. It was indicated that the TBL in the

DN group was lower than that in the NDN group (SMD: -0.39, 95% CI: -0.43, -0.34), and there was an obvious heterogeneity among 23 studies ($I^2 = 92.3\%$); see Fig. 2. Therefore, we performed subgroup analysis and meta-regression to analyze the source of heterogeneity. Subgroup analysis was conducted by study design, year of publication, gender, age, BMI, and duration of diabetes mellitus; the result of each subgroup showed that TBL in the DN group was lower than that in the NDN group. The results of meta-regression indicated that the duration of diabetes mellitus might be the source of heterogeneity (Table 2).

Figure 3 showed the results from the random-effects meta-analysis combining the ORs for DN in relation to TBL. It was indicated that there was a significant negative relationship between TBL and the risk of DN (OR: 0.86, 95% CI: 0.82, 0.90), and there was an obvious heterogeneity among 8 studies ($I^2 = 61.8\%$). The results of subgroup analysis were similar to those of SMD; no sources of heterogeneity were detected by meta-regression (Table 3).

3.3. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was carried out to assess the stability and reliability of the results. After dropping each single study from the pooled analysis, both the SMD and pooled ORs were not found to be affected (Tables 3 and 4).

3.4. Publication bias

Both Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test showed that publication bias existed in the comparison between TBL in DN and NDN



	ed variables NOS	n marital status, 9 noking, current inysical activity, rtension, ia, treatment and	ttus of diabetes central obesity, 9	anowily searce, aux, physical 2P, TG, HDL-C, use jons, liver function and ALT), FBG, idiabetic, duration s mellitus, use of	auto, physical atts, physical bions, liver function and ALT), FBG, idiabetic, duration s meliitus, use of BMM, duration of 9 meliitus, SPP, DBP,	aus, physical aus, physical BP, 16, PDL-C, use lions, liver function and ALT), FBG, didabetic, duration s mellitus, use of BMI, duration of Pelitus, SBP, DBP, TG, LDL-C, HbA1c BMI, SBP, DBP, BM, SBP, DBP, BM, SBP, DBP, dA, apoB, WBC, J, Scr, SUA, eGFH,	aus, physical atus, physical ions, liver function and ALT), FBG, idiabetic, duration s mellitus, use of BMI, duration of relitus, SBP, DBP, BMI, duration of BMI, SBP, DBP, G, LDL-C, HbA1c BMI, SBP, DBP, G, apoB, WBC, J, Scr, SUA, eGFR, d, Spr, DBP, MI, SBP, DBP, MI, SCR, SUA, eGFR, MI, SBP, DBP, MI, SBP, DBP, DBP, MI, SBP, DBP, DBP, DBP, MI, SBP, DBP, DBP, DBP, DBP, DBP, DBP, D	aus, physical acus, acus, physical acus, physical acus, physical by f, PL-C, use by f, PL-C, use and ALT), FBG, didabetic, duration of and ALT), FBG, f, PL-C, bBMI, duration of aliabetic, duration of and thus, use of TG, LD-C, HbA1C, BMI, SBP, DBP, BMI, SBP, DBP, BMI, SBP, DBP, and ML, SBP,	aus, physical acus, acus, acus, physical acus, physical lions, liver function and ALT), FBG, and ALT), FBG, and ALT, FBG, and ALT, FBG, and ALT, FBG, and and ALT, FBG, and anoth, same linus, SBP, DBP, TG, LDL-C, HbA1C, BMM, SBP, DBP, TG, LDL-C, And anoth, SBP, DBP, anoth, SBP, DBP, anoth, TG, TC, and anoth, TG, TC, and anoth, TG, TC, and the transport of diabetes bunk, SBP, DBP, anoth, HbA1C, use BMM, HbA1C, use bions, SBP, DBP, anoth, LC, edFR, unimary LC, edFR
	OR (95%Cl) Adjus	0.79 Age, educati (0.67, 0.87) current si drinking, BMI, hypo dyslipiden	control st 0.74 (0.56, 0.98) Age, gender education drinking s activity. S	of medics (ALP, AS' use of ar of diabet	0.85 (0.77, 0.94) Center, age	0.85 (0.77, 0.94) Gender, age diabett 0.85 (0.77, 0.94) Gender, age diabetes SUA, TC, 0.91 (0.83, 1.00) Gender, age HbATC, F LDL-C, al RDW, BU	0.85 (0.77, 0.94) Gender, age diabeta aspirin 0.85 (0.77, 0.94) Gender, age diabetas 0.91 (0.83, 1.00) Gender, age diabetas 0.91 (0.83, 1.00) Gender, age HbA1C, 1 RDW, BU Cys-c 0.82 (0.69, 0.99) Age, duratio mellitus, ALT, FBG AD1-C, 1 HD1-C, 1 HD1-C, 1	0.85 (0.77, 0.94) Gender, age applim 0.85 (0.77, 0.94) Gender, age diabetes 3UA, TC, 0.91 (0.83, 1.00) Gender, age diabetes 0.82 (0.69, 0.99) Age, duration melitus, MA NA NA 0.87 (0.82, 0.93) Not reported NA NA	0.85 (0.77, 0.94) Gender, age of medices use of ar medices (ALP, AS (ALP, A
	Total bilirubin level (mean \pm SD, μ mol/L)	13.20±6.00	14.30 ± 6.30		11.46 ± 4.52	11.46 ± 4.52 10.92 ± 3.63	11.46 ± 4.52 10.92 ± 3.63 11.82 ± 2.54	11.46 \pm 4.52 10.92 \pm 3.63 11.82 \pm 2.54 11.82 \pm 2.54 10.65 \pm 5.02 10.07 \pm 3.88 10.00 \pm 4.90	11.46 \pm 4.52 10.92 \pm 3.63 11.82 \pm 2.54 11.82 \pm 5.02 10.87 \pm 1.69 10.80 \pm 4.90 8.55 \pm 3.42
	n level (µmol/L) DN	AA	ИА		10.50 ± 4.04	10.50 ± 4.04 10.18 ± 3.53	10.50 ± 4.04 10.18 ± 3.53 11.30 ± 3.00	10.50 ± 4.04 10.18 ± 3.53 10.18 ± 3.53 11.30 ± 3.00 11.86 ± 4.89 8.64 ± 1.57 8.56 ± 5.70 8.72 ± 3.42 10.80 ± 4.90	10.50 ± 4.04 10.18 ± 3.53 10.18 ± 3.53 11.30 ± 3.00 11.30 ± 3.00 8.64 ± 1.57 8.56 ± 5.70 8.72 ± 3.42 8.55 ± 3.42
	Total bilirubir NDN	AN	NA		13.13±5.26	13.13±5.26 11.97 ±3.78	13.13±5.26 11.97±3.78 12.40±1.90	13.13 ± 5.26 11.97 ± 3.78 11.97 ± 3.78 12.40 ± 1.90 12.40 ± 1.90 12.65 ± 1.83 11.61 ± 5.80 11.20 ± 5.10 11.20 ± 5.10	13.13 ± 5.26 11.97 ± 3.78 11.97 ± 3.78 12.40 ± 1.90 12.65 ± 1.83 11.61 ± 5.80 10.77 ± 4.10 11.20 ± 5.10 8.55 ± 3.42
Duration of	diabetes mellitus) 15.1±11.6	NA		12.47 ± 7.64	2 12.47±7.64	 12.47±7.64 12.47±7.64 NA 25.41±1.05 	 12.47±7.64 12.47±7.64 NA 25.41±1.05 25.41±1.05 8.89±7.21 NA 7.78±6.36 13.30±9.76 13.30±9.70 	 12.47±7.64 12.47±7.64 NA 25.41±1.05 8.89±7.21 NA 7.78±6.36 13.30±9.70 14.00±9.00
) BMI ² (kg/m)	24.70 ±2.90	M		24.08 ± 2.92	24.08 ± 2.92 23.56 ± 4.44	24.08 ± 2.92 23.56 ± 4.44 25.00 ± 3.30	24.08 ± 2.92 23.56 ± 4.44 23.50 ± 3.30 NA 23.92 ± 3.81 NA 24.70 ± 3.90	24.08 ± 2.92 23.56 ± 4.44 25.00 ± 3.30 NA NA 23.92 ± 3.49 23.72 ± 3.49
	Age (mean±SD or median)	87.40 ± 4.00	64.10 ± 7.10		66.60 ± 13.60	66.60 ± 13.60 64.55 ± 10.82	66.60 ± 13.60 64.55 ± 10.82 67.22 ± 10.60	66.60 ± 13.60 64.55 ± 10.82 67.22 ± 10.60 67.22 ± 10.02 51.60 ± 5.90 60.00 ± 11.07 61.39 ± 12.22 65.20 ± 10.90	66.60 ± 13.60 64.55 ± 10.82 67.22 ± 10.60 67.22 ± 10.02 51.80 ± 5.90 60.00 ± 11.07 61.39 ± 12.22 65.20 ± 10.90 58.78 ± 12.28
	f (male/ female)	1839/0	1346/1612		94/32	94/32 282/279	94/32 282/279 48/54	94/32 282/279 282/279 48/54 48/54 86/79 37/53 37/53 37/285 209/134 1589/922	94/32 282/279 282/279 48/79 37/53 37/53 209/134 1589/922 826/562
	Number of subjects	1839	2958		126	126 561	126 561 102	126 561 102 165 90 629 523 2511	126 561 102 90 629 523 1915 1915
	Design	Cross-sectional	Retrospective cohort		Case-control	Case-control Case-control	Case-control Case-control Case-control	Case-control Case-control Case-control Case-control Case-control Case-control Cross-sectional Prospective	Case-control Case-control Case-control Case-control Case-control Case-control Cross-sectional Prospective Cohort Prospective Cohort
	Year	2016	2016		2016	2016 2016	2016 2016 2015	2016 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015	2016 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2014 2014
	Author	Liu et al ⁽³⁸⁾	Wang et al ⁽⁴⁰⁾		Hao et al ^{(17]}	Hao et al ^{(17]} Yan et al ^{(23]}	Hao et al ¹⁷⁷ Yan et a ^[23] Cai et a ^[35]	Hao et al ^{(17]} Yan et al ^{(23]} Cai et al ^{(35]} Wei et al ^{(25]} Zhuang et al ^{(18]} Zhang et al ^{(18]} Mashitani et al ^{(13]}	Hao et al ¹⁷⁷ Yan et al ^[23] Cai et al ^[25] Wei et al ^[25] Zhuang et al ^[26] Mashitani et al ^[20] Toya et al ^{(12]}

4

Table 1

(continued)

			Number of	sex (male/	Age (mean±SD	BMI ²	diabetes	Total bilirubin	level (µmol/L)	Total bilirubin level	OR		
Author	Year	Design	subjects	female)	or median)	(kg/m)	mellitus	NDN	DN	(mean \pm SD, μ mol/L)	(95%CI) Adjus	sted variables	NOS
Zhang and Zhang ^[21]	2013	Case-control	120	66/54	54.56 ± 15.18	24.25 ± 4.26	24.24 ± 4.26	11.08 ± 2.34	6.16 ± 1.72	8.01 ± 1.97	NA		9
Jia et al ^[32]	2013	Case-control	1217	607/610	55.46 ± 11.96	26.18 ± 6.54	6.22 ± 6.10	14.61 ± 6.42	13.31 ± 6.05	14.32 ± 6.34	NA		6
Luo ^[29]	2013	Case-control	246	40/53	62.15 ± 11.05	26.60 ± 3.60	10.76 ± 6.69	12.85 ± 3.59	11.28 ± 3.37	12.26 ± 3.51	0.91 (0.83, 0.99) Gender, age	e, smoking status,	7
											BMI, WH	IR, duration of	
											diabetes	mellitus, SBP, DBP,	
											FBG, Hb/ TC TG	A1c, ALT, AST, SUA, HDI -C TDI -C	
Chen et al ^[34]	2013	Case-control	913	485/428	58.60 ± 13.30	24.53 ± 3.41	5.27 ± 5.46	14.29 ± 4.92	12.54 ± 4.94	13.67 ± 4.93	NA		7
Wu et al ^[24]	2013	Case-control	461	224/237	61.20 ± 10.60	25.00 ± 3.30	9.90 ± 7.60	13.10 ± 6.80	11.53 ± 5.54	12.29 ± 6.18	NA		œ
Tang et al ^[26]	2013	Case-control	104	62/42	64.55 ± 10.82	NA	NA	12.63 ± 4.66	10.61 ± 4.65	11.58 ± 4.75	NA		7
Liu et al ^[30]	2012	Case-control	127	67/60	NA	MA	NA	14.94 ± 6.22	11.65 ± 5.06	12.81 ± 5.50	NA		7
Zhou and Chen ^[19]	2012	Case-control	120	75/45	49.42	NA	10.88 ± 7.03	14.33 ± 6.51	11.86 ± 5.40	12.79 ± 5.84	NA		7
Ai and Lin ^[36]	2011	Case-control	87	38/49	62.00 ± 7.31	NA	NA	13.62 ± 1.47	12.19 ± 1.13	12.60 ± 1.24	NA		œ
Shen et al ^[28]	2010	Case-control	258	146/112	59.11 ± 9.62	NA	8.67 ± 6.63	12.46 ± 5.37	9.96 ± 4.71	10.79 ± 4.94	NA		6
Su et al ^[27]	2010	Case-control	664	327/337	59.66 ± 9.63	24.90 ± 3.79	9.17 ± 7.04	15.15 ± 5.53	11.72 ± 3.69	14.27 ± 5.12	0.86 (0.82, 0.91) Gender, age	e, duration of	7
											diabetes	mellitus, BMI, TC,	
Han et al ^[37]	2010	Cross-sectional	6722	NA	AN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.88 (0.64, 1.19)* Age, BMI, h HDL-C, 5 (ALP, AS	ypertension, TC, TG, SUA, liver function 5T and ALT)	9
											0.68 (043, 1.08) [§] Age, BMI, h HDL-C, 5 AIP AS	Npertension, TC, TG, SUA, liver function ST and AI T	
Zhang et al ^[22]	2009	Case-control	92	55/37	46.34 ± 3.33	NA	NA	11.23 ± 4.10	8.01 ± 3.66	9.34 ± 3.85	NA		6
Gong et al ^[33]	2007	Case-control	156	NA	NA	NA	NA	10.80 ± 4.20	7.80 ± 4.60	9.18 ± 4.42	NA		9

2hPG=2-hour post-load plasma glucose, ACR= albumin-to-creathine ratio, ALP = alkaline phosphatase, ALT = alanine aminotransferase, apoA = apolipoprotein a, apoB = apolipoprotein b, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, BMI= body mass index, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, estimated glomenular filtration rate, FBG=fast blood glucose, GGT = γ -glutamy transpectidase, HbA1C = hemoglobin A1c, HDL-C = high density lipoprotein-cholesterol, hs-CRP = high C-reactive protein, LDL-C = low density lipoprotein-cholesterol, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, BMI= body mass index, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, system normal albuminuria to microalbuminuria. ⁺ From mormal albuminuria to microalbuminuria. ⁺ From microalbuminuria to macroalbuminuria. ⁺ From microalbuminuria.

5

(continued). **Table 1**

Yang, Hao (2016) Yan, Pijun (2016) Cai, Junwei (2015) Wei, Xiang (2015) Zhuang, Qi (2015) Zhang, Yuhai (2015) S Hamamoto (2015) Tsuyoshi Mashitani (2014) Kiwako Toya (2014) Lai, Jie (2013) Jia, Yumei (2013) Jia, Yumei (2013) Luo, Yajing (2013) Chen, Yu (2013) Wu, Jingcheng (2013) Lu, Ping (2012) Lu, Ping (2012)	-0.58 (-0.95, -0.21) -0.49 (-0.66, -0.32) -0.43 (-0.83, -0.04) -0.40 (-0.71, -0.09) -2.37 (-2.91, -1.83) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.34) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.35) -0.08 (-0.22, 0.06) 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10) -1.08 (-1.28, -0.88)	1.36 6.42 1.21 1.93 0.63 5.53 5.56 9.48
Yan,Pijun (2016) Cal.Junwei (2015) Wei,Xiang (2015) Zhuang,Qi (2015) S Hamamoto (2015) S Hamamoto (2015) Tsuyoshi Mashitani (2014) Kiwako Toya (2014) Lai,Jie (2013) Zhang,Xiaofan (2013) Chen,Yu (2013) Uu,Yajing (2013) Chen,Yu (2013) Uu,Jingcheng (2013) Tang,Sihai (2013) Lu,Ping (2012) Lu,Ping (2012)	-0.49 (-0.66, -0.32) -0.43 (-0.83, -0.04) -0.40 (-0.71, -0.09) -2.37 (-2.91, -1.83) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.34) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.35) -0.08 (-0.22, 0.06) 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10) -1.08 (-1.28, -0.88)	6.42 1.21 1.93 0.63 5.53 5.56 9.48
Cai, Junwei (2015) Wei, Xiang (2015) Zhuang, Qi (2015) Zhang, Yuhai (2015) S Hamamoto (2015) Tsuyoshi Mashitani (2014) Kiwako Toya (2014) Lai, Jie (2013) Zhang, Xiaofan (2013) Jia, Yumei (2013) Luo, Yajing (2013) Wu, Jingcheng (2013) Lu, Ping (2012) Lu, Ping (2012)	-0.43 (-0.83, -0.04) -0.40 (-0.71, -0.09) -2.37 (-2.91, -1.83) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.34) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.35) -0.08 (-0.22, 0.06) 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10) -1.08 (-1.28, -0.88)	1.21 1.93 0.63 5.53 5.56 9.48
Wei Xiang (2015) Zhuang,Qi (2015) S Hamamoto (2015) S Hamamoto (2015) Tsuyoshi Mashitani (2014) Kiwako Toya (2014) Lai,Jie (2013) Zhang,Xiaofan (2013) Jla,Yumei (2013) Luo,Yajing (2013) Chen,Yu (2013) Wu,Jingcheng (2013) Tang,Sihai (2013) Liu,Ping (2012)	-0.40 (-0.71, -0.09) -2.37 (-2.91, -1.83) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.34) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.35) -0.08 (-0.22, 0.06) 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10) -1.08 (-1.28, -0.88)	1.93 0.63 5.53 5.56 9.48
Zhuang,Qi (2015) Zhang,Yuhai (2015) S Hamamoto (2015) Tsuyoshi Mashitani (2014) Kiwako Toya (2014) Lai,Jie (2013) Jia,Yumei (2013) Luo,Yajing (2013) Chen,Yu (2013) Wu,Jingcheng (2013) Tang,Sihai (2013) Liu,Ping (2012)	-2.37 (-2.91, -1.83) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.34) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.35) -0.08 (-0.22, 0.06) 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10) -1.08 (-1.28, -0.88)	0.63 5.53 5.56 9.48
Zhang,Yuhai (2015) S Hamamoto (2015) Tsuyoshi Mashitani (2014) Kiwako Toya (2014) Lai,Jie (2013) Jia,Yumei (2013) Luo,Yajing (2013) Chen,Yu (2013) Wu,Jingcheng (2013) Tang,Sihai (2013) Liu,Ping (2012)	-0.53 (-0.71, -0.34) -0.53 (-0.71, -0.35) -0.08 (-0.22, 0.06) 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10) -1 08 (-1.28, -0.88)	5.53 5.56 9.48
S Hamamoto (2015) Tsuyoshi Mashitani (2014) Kiwako Toya (2014) Lai, Jie (2013) Lai, Yumei (2013) Luo, Yajing (2013) Chen, Yu (2013) Wu, Jingcheng (2013) Tang, Sihai (2013) Liu, Ping (2012)	-0.53 (-0.71, -0.35) -0.08 (-0.22, 0.06) 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10) -1.08 (-1.28 -0.88)	5.56 9.48
Tsuyoshi Mashitani (2014) Kiwako Toya (2014) Lal,Jie (2013) Zhang,Xiaofan (2013) Luo, Yajing (2013) Chen,Yu (2013) Wu,Jingcheng (2013) Tang,Sihai (2013) Liu,Ping (2012) Chen,Yu (2012)	-0.08 (-0.22, 0.06) 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10) -1.08 (-1.28 -0.88)	9.48
Kiwako Toya (2014) Lai, Jie (2013) Zhang, Xiaofan (2013) Jia, Yumei (2013) Luo, Yajing (2013) Chen, Yu (2013) Wu, Jingcheng (2013) Tang, Sihai (2013) Liu, Ping (2012) Liu, Ping (2012)	0.00 (-0.10, 0.10)	
Lai, Jie (2013) Zhang, Xiaofan (2013) Jia, Yumei (2013) Luo, Yajing (2013) Chen, Yu (2013) Wu, Jingcheng (2013) Tang, Sihai (2013) Liu, Ping (2012) Liu, Ping (2012)	-1 08 (-1 28 -0 88)	17.88
Zhang,Xiaofan (2013) Jia,Yumei (2013) Luo,Yajing (2013) Chen,Yu (2013) Wu,Jingcheng (2013) Tang,Sihai (2013) Liu,Ping (2012) Zhang,Sihai (2012)	-1.00 (-1.20, -0.00)	4.61
Jia,Yumei (2013)	-2.49 (-2.98, -2.00)	0.79
Luo, Yajing (2013) Chen, Yu (2013) Wu, Jingcheng (2013) Tang, Sihai (2013) Liu, Ping (2012)	-0.21 (-0.34, -0.07)	10.20
Chen,Yu (2013) Wu,Jingcheng (2013) Tang,Sihai (2013) Liu,Ping (2012) Chenying (2012)	-0.45 (-0.71, -0.19)	2.75
Wu,Jingcheng (2013)	-0.36 (-0.49, -0.22)	10.04
Tang,Sihai (2013)	-0.25 (-0.44, -0.07)	5.55
Liu,Ping (2012)	-0.43 (-0.81, -0.04)	1.23
Zhou, Wenving (2012)	-0.60 (-0.97, -0.23)	1.36
	-0.42 (-0.80, -0.05)	1.34
Ai,Shihui (2011)	-1.16 (-1.65, -0.66)	0.76
Shen, Yufen (2010)	-0.51 (-0.77, -0.24)	2.71
Su,Zhiyan (2010)	-0.67 (-0.85, -0.49)	5.89
Zhang,Guoming (2009)	-0.84 (-1.27, -0.40)	1.00
Gong,Junhua (2007)	-0.68 (-1.00, -0.35)	1.78
Overall (I-squared = 92.3%, p = 0.000)	-0.39 (-0.43, -0.34)	100.00

Figure 2. The SMD in the comparison between TBL in DN and NDN. DN = diabetic nephropathy, SMD = standard mean difference, TBL = total bilirubin level.

(*P* for Begger's test=0.008; *P* for Egger's test < 0.001) (Fig. 4). Thus, we perform the trim and fill method to identify and correct the asymmetry of the funnel plot; the result showed a significant association between TBL and the risk of DN (SMD: -0.81, 95%)

CI: -1.03, -0.58, *P* for heterogeneity < 0.001). Both Begg's funnel plot (Fig. 5) and Egger's test showed no publication bias in the effect of TBL on the risk of DN (*P* for Begger's test=0.200; *P* for Egger's test=0.367).

Table 2

The standard mean difference (SMD) and pooled ORs on behalf of the relationship between the bilirubin level and the risk of DN.

		Bilirubin level in D	N and N	DN	Bilirubin level and risk of DN					
	No. of study	SMD (95%CI)	<i>ľ</i> ² (%)	P for heterogeneity	P for Meta- regression	No. of study	OR (95%CI)	<i>Î</i> ² (%)	P for heterogeneity	<i>P</i> for Meta-regression
Overall	23	-0.39 (-0.43, -0.34)	92.3	< 0.001		13	0.86 (0.82, 0.90)	61.8	0.002	
Study design					0.110					0.922
Case-control	20	-0.52 (-0.58, -0.47)	89.8	< 0.001		6	0.85 (0.81, 0.90)	56.9	0.040	
Cross-sectional	1	-0.53 (-0.56, -0.36)	NA	NA		4	0.85 (0.81, 0.90)	0.0	0.424	
Cohort	2	-0.03 (-0.11, -0.06)	0.0	0.372		3	0.89 (0.79, 1.00)	68.4	0.042	
Year of publication					0.809					0.973
≥ 2013	16	-0.34 (-0.39, -0.30)	94.1	< 0.001		10	0.86 (0.82, 0.91)	70.1	< 0.001	
< 2013	7	-0.65 (-0.76, -0.54)	19.6	0.280		3	0.86 (0.82, 0.90)	0.0	0.598	
Gender					0.343					0.844
Male/female \geq 1	16	-0.34 (-0.39, -0.30)	92.8	< 0.001		8	0.86 (0.81, 0.92)	74.7	< 0.001	
Male/female < 1	6	-0.57 (-0.67, -0.46)	91.9	< 0.001		5	0.87 (0.83, 0.91)	0.0	0.445	
Age					0.110					0.160
≥ 60	12	-0.45 (-0.51, -0.39)	86.1	< 0.001		9	0.85 (0.81, 0.89)	43.1	0.080	
< 60	9	-0.31 (-0.37, -0.25)	95.9	< 0.001		2	0.91 (0.81, 1.02)	88.5	0.003	
BMI					0.758					0.425
≥ 24	10	-0.41 (-0.47, -0.35)	94.2	< 0.001		7	0.84 (0.80, 0.88)	42.1	0.110	
< 24	3	-0.20 (-0.28, -0.12)	94.8	< 0.001		2	0.95 (0.90, 0.99)	9.6	0.293	
Duration of diabetes mellitus					0.038					0.448
≥ 10	9	-0.31 (-0.37, -0.24)	95.8	< 0.001		8	0.86 (0.81, 0.91)	75.1	< 0.001	
< 10	7	-0.38 (-0.45, -0.32)	72.6	0.001		1	0.91 (0.82, 0.99)	NA	NA	

BMI = body mass index, DN = diabetic nephropathy, NA=not available, SMD = standard mean difference.



3.5. Dose-response relationship between TBL and the risk of DN

For dose-response analysis, we included 5 studies involving 14,391 subjects and 1693 DN cases which divided TBL into at

Table 3							
Sensitivity	analysis	on	standard	mean	difference	(SMD)	by
removing e	each study	/ in e	each mode	el.			

		95%	6 CI
Study omitted	SMD	Lower CI limit	Upper Cl limit
Hao et al (2016)	-0.38	-0.43	-0.34
Yan et al (2016)	-0.38	-0.42	-0.34
Cai et al (2015)	-0.39	-0.43	-0.34
Wei et al (2015)	-0.39	-0.43	-0.34
Zhuang et al (2015)	-0.37	-0.42	-0.33
Zhang et al (2015)	-0.38	-0.42	-0.33
Hamamoto et al (2015)	-0.38	-0.42	-0.33
Mashitani et al (2014)	-0.42	-0.46	-0.37
Toya et al (2014)	-0.47	-0.52	-0.42
Lai (2013)	-0.35	-0.40	-0.31
Zhang X and Zhang H (2013)	-0.37	-0.41	-0.33
Jia et al (2013)	-0.41	-0.45	-0.36
Luo (2013)	-0.38	-0.43	-0.34
Chen et al (2013)	-0.39	-0.44	-0.35
Wu et al (2013)	-0.40	-0.44	-0.35
Tang et al (2013)	-0.39	-0.43	-0.34
Liu et al (2012)	-0.38	-0.43	-0.34
Zhou and Chen (2012)	-0.39	-0.43	-0.34
Ai and Lin (2011)	-0.38	-0.42	-0.34
Shen et al (2010)	-0.38	-0.43	-0.34
Su et al (2010)	-0.37	-0.41	-0.33
Zhang et al (2009)	-0.38	-0.43	-0.34
Gong et al (2007)	-0.38	-0.43	-0.34
Overall	-0.39	-0.43	-0.34

least 3 exposure categories. We observed a linear association between TBL and the risk of DN (P=0.156 > 0.05) (Fig. 6). A positive dose–response relationship exited between TBL and the risk of DN (the pooled OR was 0.75 [95%CI: 0.68, 0.84] per 17.1 µmol/L [1mg/dL] increase in TBL), without significant heterogeneity across studies (P=0.599, $I^2=15.9\%$) (Fig. 7).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this study was the first meta-analysis to assess the association between TBL and DN. In our meta-analysis, first, the results showed that TBL in the DN group was lower than that in the NDN group (SMD: -0.39, 95% CI: -0.43, -0.34), and the results were not affected by study design, year of publication,

Table 4

Sensitivity analysis on the pooled ORs by removing each study in each model.

		95% CI				
Study omitted	OR	Lower CI Limit	Upper CI Limit			
Liu et al (2016)	0.87	0.83	0.91			
Wang et al (2016)	0.86	0.83	0.90			
Hao et al (2016)	0.86	0.82	0.90			
Yan et al (2016)	0.86	0.82	0.90			
Caiet al (2015)	0.86	0.82	0.90			
Hamamoto et al (2015)	0.86	0.82	0.90			
Kiwako Toya (1) (2014)	0.85	0.82	0.88			
Kiwako Toya (2) (2014)	0.86	0.82	0.90			
Luo (2013)	0.86	0.82	0.90			
Lai (2013)	0.88	0.85	0.91			
Su et al (2010)	0.86	0.82	0.91			
Seung Seok Han (1) (2010)	0.86	0.82	0.90			
Seung Seok Han (2) (2010)	0.86	0.83	0.90			
Overall	0.86	0.82	0.90			

CI = confidence interval, SMD = standard mean difference.

CI=confidence interval, OR=odds ratio, SMD = standard mean difference.



Figure 4. The trim and fill funnel plot in the comparison between TBL in DN and NDN. DN = diabetic nephropathy, TBL = total bilirubin level.

gender, age, BMI, and duration of diabetes mellitus. The results of meta-regression found that the duration of diabetes mellitus might be the source of heterogeneity. Second, our results also showed that there was a significant negative relationship between TBL and the risk of DN (OR: 0.86, 95% CI: 0.82, 0.90), the results of subgroup analysis were similar to those of SMD, and no sources of heterogeneity were detected by meta-regression. Sensitivity analysis did not find the stability and reliability of the results were not affected. We also found a positive dose–response relationship exited between TBL and the risk of DN and the pooled OR was 0.75 (95% CI: 0.68, 0.84) per 17.1 μ mol/L (1 mg/dL) increase in TBL.

Bilirubin is the end product of heme catabolism by heme oxygenase (HO), especially HO-1. HO converts heme to biliverdin, and then biliverdin was reduced to bilirubin by biliverdin reductase. As a nonpolar molecule, bilirubin is solubilized in the vascular bed by binding to album, belongs to a phylogenetically old superfamily of tetrapyrrolic compounds, which have many biological functions, such as chronobiology, energy generation, transport, and homeostasis of oxygen by hemoglobins and myoglobin. Hemoglobin (Hb) degradation is the main source of bilirubin; it is possible that individual differences in bilirubin concentrations could be due to differences in the Hb concentration. We also found that an increase in red blood cell mass led to higher bilirubin levels.^[41]



Figure 5. Begg's funnel plot in the effect of TBL on the risk of DN. DN = diabetic nephropathy, TBL = total bilirubin level.



Figure 6. Begg's funnel plot in the comparison between TBL in DN and NDN. DN = diabetic nephropathy, TBL = total bilirubin level.

against oxidative damage, preventing the development and progression of oxidative stress-mediated vascular diseases.^[42] In recent years, several animal studies have indicated that bilirubin treatment protects against T2DM and its vascular complications.^[42-44] A number of observational studies had shown there was a negative relationship between TBLs and risk of T2DM and its vascular complications, but their results were inconsistent.^[44,45] In Japanese patients with T2DM, the risk of developing albuminuria was higher in the lowest quartile of serum TBL than that in the highest quartile of serum TBL (HR: 5.76, 95% CI: 1.65, 24.93).^[46] After adjusting for factors known to be associated with diabetic retinopathy, the prevalence was significantly lower among persons with the highest bilirubin quartile compared with those with the lowest quartile (OR: 0.25, 95%CI: 0.09, 0.72) or compared with those in the third lower quartiles (OR: 0.25, 95% CI: 0.11, 0.58).^[11]

Possible mechanisms between bilirubin and diabetic nephropathy: hyperglycemia leads to mitochondrial superoxide overproduction in endothelial cells of both large and small vessels, the increased superoxide production causes the activation of 5 major pathways involved in the pathogenesis of complications.^[47,48] Five major pathways included polyol pathway flux, increased formation of AGEs (advanced glycation end products), increased expression of the receptor for AGEs and its activating ligands, activation of protein kinase C isoforms, and over activity of the hexosamine pathway.^[47] As an antioxidant, bilirubin can inhibit



Figure 7. The dose–response analysis in the effect of TBL on the risk of DN. DN = diabetic nephropathy, TBL = total bilirubin level.

lipid peroxidation and attenuate LDL oxidation, and reduce the generation of reactive oxygen species.^[47] In addition, bilirubin also can prevent endothelial cell death in diabetic rats by deriving from HO enzyme activity or administering exogenously.^[49]

To make our results reliable, we had made efforts in several aspects. First, in our meta-analysis, all the included 26 studies were better quality by using NOS. In order to prove the credibility of our results, we not only compared TBL between the DN group and the NDN group, but also analyzed the effect of bilirubin on DN and dose-response relationship between TBL and the risk of DN. All the results were found that there was a negative relationship between TBL and DN. Second, serum TBL is affected by many factors including sex, age, BMI, vascular disease, dyslipidemia, and so on^[44,50]: we found that all the studies which contained OR were adjusted for potential confounders, which contained age, gender, BMI, and the relevant biochemical indexes, and we extracted OR which was adjusted for the most number of relevant factors. Third, we performed subgroup analysis and meta-regression to explore the source of heterogeneity. We found that duration of diabetes mellitus might be the source of heterogeneity, the incidence of DN increased with the duration of diabetes mellitus. The result of subgroup analysis was similar to the pooled SMD and OR, indicated that duration of diabetes mellitus had no effect on our pooled results. We also performed subgroup analysis by other factors (study design, year of publication, gender, age, BMI), and their results were still similar to the pooled SMD and OR. The sensitivity analysis and trim and fill method also indicated that the results were stable and reliable.

However, our meta-analysis also has some limitations. First, when we calculated the SMD, the publication bias was found. Therefore, we used the trim and fill method to solve the question and also found there was a negative association between TBL and DN. Second, all the included studies were carried out in Asia. This might lead to selection bias due to race. Chan et al^[51] studied on the relationship between TBL and the risk of diabetic amputation events in the Australia, and found that there was a significant difference in the association between bilirubin concentration and the risk of diabetic amputation events. The results suggested that in addition to Asian population, bilirubin might have an impact on the risk of DN in the population from other counties. Third, when we carried out the dose-response analysis, there were only 5 studies, including 1 prospective cohort study, 1 retrospective cohort study, and 3 cross-sectional studies. The number of studies was small in our meta-analysis especially in the cohort study, so large-sample, long-term prospective cohort studies were still needed to validate our results.

In summary, our study speculates that decreased TBL might increase the risk of DN. Therefore, bilirubin which is one of the indexes of hepatic function may be used as a biomarker of DN. It helps early diagnosis and effective therapeutic strategies on DN.

References

- [1] Ushigome E, Fukui M, Hamaguchi M, et al. Morning pulse pressure is associated more strongly with elevated albuminuria than systolic blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: post hoc analysis of a cross-sectional multicenter study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2013;101: 270–7.
- [2] Montesi L, Caletti MT, Marchesini G. Diabetes in migrants and ethnic minorities in a changing world. World J Diabetes 2016;7:34–44.
- [3] Gerstein HC, Mann JF, Yi Q, et al. Albuminuria and risk of cardiovascular events, death, and heart failure in diabetic and nondiabetic individuals. JAMA 2001;286:421–6.

- [4] Huang WH, Chen CY, Lin JL, et al. High body mass index reduces glomerular filtration rate decline in type II diabetes mellitus patients with stage 3 or 4 chronic kidney disease. Medicine 2014;93:e41.
- [5] Jha JC, Banal C, Chow BS, et al. Diabetes and kidney disease: role of oxidative stress. Antioxid Redox Signal 2016;25:657–84.
- [6] Koya D, Hayashi K, Kitada M, et al. Effects of antioxidants in diabetesinduced oxidative stress in the glomeruli of diabetic rats. J Am Soc Nephrol 2003;14(8 suppl 3):S250–3.
- [7] Boon AC, Lam AK, Gopalan V, et al. Endogenously elevated bilirubin modulates kidney function and protects from circulating oxidative stress in a rat model of adenine-induced kidney failure. Scient Rep 2015;5: 15482.
- [8] Nascimento H, Alves AI, Coimbra S, et al. Bilirubin is independently associated with oxidized LDL levels in young obese patients. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2015;7:4.
- [9] Inoguchi T, Sasaki S, Kobayashi K, et al. Relationship between Gilbert syndrome and prevalence of vascular complications in patients with diabetes. JAMA 2007;298:1398–400.
- [10] Fukui M, Tanaka M, Shiraishi E, et al. Relationship between serum bilirubin and albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes. Kidney Int 2008;74:1197–201.
- [11] Yasuda M, Kiyohara Y, Wang JJ, et al. High serum bilirubin levels and diabetic retinopathy: the Hisayama Study. Ophthalmology 2011;118: 1423–8.
- [12] Toya K, Babazono T, Hanai K, et al. Association of serum bilirubin levels with development and progression of albuminuria, and decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Invest 2014;5:228–35.
- [13] Hamamoto S, Kaneto H, Kamei S, et al. Low bilirubin levels are an independent risk factor for diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Metab 2015;41:429–31.
- [14] Zhang D, Zhou Y, Han L, et al. The effect of MTHFR C677T polymorphism on type 2 diabetes mellitus with vascular complications in Chinese Han population: a meta-analysis. Endocr J 2014;61:717–26.
- [15] Orsini N, Li R, Wolk A, et al. Meta-analysis for linear and nonlinear dose-response relations: examples, an evaluation of approximations, and software. Am J Epidemiol 2012;175:66–73.
- [16] Greenland S, Longnecker MP. Methods for trend estimation from summarized dose-response data, with applications to meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol 1992;135:1301–9.
- [17] Hao Y, Yan L, Li Z, et al. Study on relationship between serum total bilirubin and diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Chin J Clin Health 2016;246–9.
- [18] Zhuang Q, Jiang X, Hua F, et al. The study on serum bilirubin level in type 2 diabetes and diabetic nephropathy. China Practical Med 2015;10:28–9.
- [19] Zhou W, Chen H. The index changes and clinical significance of heme oxygenase metabolites and oxidative stress in patients with diabetic nephropathy. J Hubei Univ Nationalities Med Edition 2012;22–4.
- [20] Zhang Y, Liu H, Chen D, et al. Relationship between serum bilirubin, fibrinogen and diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Hainan Med J 2015;2205–8.
- [21] Zhang X, Zhang H. The relationship between serum bilirubin and type 2 diabetic patients with metabolic and early diabetic nephropathy. J Pract Diabetol 2013;10:43–5.
- [22] Zhang G, Liyi H, Zhang G, et al. Analysis of serum bilirubin level and its correlation to microalbumin in diabetes nephropathy. Med Innovation China 2009;6:165–6.
- [23] Yan P, Feng J, Zhang Z, et al. Correlation between serum bilirubin level and cystatin-c in patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy. J Xi'an Jiaotong Univ (Med Sci) 2016;92–7.
- [24] Wu J, Li X, Peng Y. Relationship between serum bilirubin and diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Shanghai Jiaotong Univ Med Sci 2013;33:813–7.
- [25] Wei X, Ge P, Tao H, et al. The significance of blood D-dimer and bilirubin levels in the patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy. J Clin Transfus Lab Med 2015;17:492–5.
- [26] Tang S, Gao X, Du R. Serum bilirubin, sialic acid and its clinical significance in Type 2 diabetes patients with nephropathy. Jilin Med J 2013;34:1847–8.
- [27] Su Z, Yang J, Zhang L. The relationship between serum bilirubin level and diabetic nephropathy. Chin J Misdiagnostics 2010;10:3100–1.
- [28] Shen Y, Zhang H, Li G, et al. The analysis on serum bilirubin levels in different stages diabetic nephropathy patients. Clinical Focus 2010;329–31.
- [29] Luo Y. Relationship Between Serum Bilirubin in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Diabetes-related Chronic Complications. 2013;Dalian Medical University,

- [30] Liu P, Shen Y, Qiu M. The Changes in indicators of serum heme oxygenase /carbon monoxide system in patients with nephropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Chin J Prev Control Chronic Non-Communicable Dis 2012;103–5.
- [31] Lai J. Study on the relationship between urUmry albumin and serum bilirubin in pafienb with type 2 diabetes memtus. Chin J Postgraduates Med 2013;16–9.
- [32] Jia Y, Zhao Y, Yao Y, et al. Relationship between type 2 diabetic nephropathy and serum bilirubin levels. Chin Gen Pract 2013;16:3406–9.
- [33] Gong J, Song M, Wang Z, et al. The applications of ultra-sensitivity Creactive protein and bilirubin in diabetic nephropathy. Modern J Integr Tradit Chin Western Med 2007;16:4686–7.
- [34] Chen Y, Han X, Zhou Y, et al. Relationship between serum bilirubin, lipid and diabetic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Nantong Univ (Med Sci) 2013;175–8.
- [35] Cai J, Li X, Zeng Y, et al. A Correlation study serum bilirubin level and early stage diabetic nephropathy. Clin Misdiagnosis Misther 2015;28:78–80.
- [36] Ai S, Lin L. The correlation study between serum total bilirubin and superoxide dismutase in diabetes nephrosis patients. China Mod Med 2011;18:56–7.
- [37] Han SS, Na KY, Chae D-W, et al. High serum bilirubin is associated with the reduced risk of diabetes mellitus and diabetic nephropathy. Tohoku J Exp Med 2010;221:133–40.
- [38] Liu M, Li Y, Li J, et al. Elevated serum total bilirubin levels are negatively associated with major diabetic complications among Chinese senile diabetic patients. J Diabetes Complications 2016;16:S1056–8727.
- [39] Mashitani T, Hayashino Y, Okamura S, et al. Correlations between serum bilirubin levels and diabetic nephropathy progression among Japanese type 2 diabetic patients: a prospective cohort study (Diabetes Distress and Care Registry at Tenri [DDCRT 5]). Diabetes Care 2014;37:252–8.
- [40] Wang J, Li Y, Han X, et al. Association between serum bilirubin levels and decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate among patients with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Complications 2016;30:1255–60.

- [41] Buyukasik Y, Akman U, Buyukasik NS, et al. Evidence for higher red blood cell mass in persons with unconjugated hyperbilirubinemia and Gilbert's syndrome. Am J Med Sci 2008;335:115–9.
- [42] Boon AC, Bulmer AC, Coombes JS, et al. Circulating bilirubin and defense against kidney disease and cardiovascular mortality: mechanisms contributing to protection in clinical investigations. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2014;307:F123–136.
- [43] Ollinger R, Yamashita K, Bilban M, et al. Bilirubin and biliverdin treatment of atherosclerotic diseases. Cell Cycle (Georgetown, TX) 2007;6:39–43.
- [44] Breimer LH, Mikhailidis DP. Does bilirubin protect against developing diabetes mellitus? J Diabetes Complications 2016;30:728–37.
- [45] Ohnaka K, Kono S, Inoguchi T, et al. Inverse associations of serum bilirubin with high sensitivity C-reactive protein, glycated hemoglobin, and prevalence of type 2 diabetes in middle-aged and elderly Japanese men and women. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2010;88:103–10.
- [46] Okada H, Fukui M, Tanaka M, et al. Low serum bilirubin concentration is a novel risk factor for the development of albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes. Metabolism 2014;63:409–14.
- [47] Vikram A, Tripathi DN, Kumar A, et al. Oxidative stress and inflammation in diabetic complications. Int J Endocrinol 2014;2014: 679754.
- [48] Vitek L. The role of bilirubin in diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular diseases. Front Pharmacol 2012;3:55.
- [49] Rodella L, Lamon BD, Rezzani R, et al. Carbon monoxide and biliverdin prevent endothelial cell sloughing in rats with type I diabetes. Free Radical Biol Med 2006;40:2198–205.
- [50] Vitek L, Schwertner HA. The heme catabolic pathway and its protective effects on oxidative stress-mediated diseases. Adv Clin Chem 2007;43:1–57.
- [51] Chan KH, O'Connell RL, Sullivan DR, et al. Plasma total bilirubin levels predict amputation events in type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study. Diabetologia 2013;56:724–36.