

# Original Research

( Check for updates

# A mothers' perspective on fish and her child's fish consumption in Surakarta, Indonesia

Setyaningrum Rahmawaty (p) <sup>18</sup>, Farhanah Erwida Ramadhani (p) <sup>1</sup>, Innani Kharisma Anwar (p) <sup>1</sup>, Ola Puspitasari (p) <sup>1</sup>, Tri Suci Kusuma Dewi (p) <sup>1</sup>, and Barbara J Meyer (p) <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nutrition Science, Faculty of Health Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Surakarta, Central Java 57102, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Lipid Research Centre, School of Medicine, Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health, and Illawarra Medical Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

# ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUD/OBJECTIVES:** Understanding the factors associated with fish consumption is necessary to determine strategies to improve the fish consumption particularly those high in omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 LCPUFA). The aim of this study was to analyse the correlation between a mother's perspective on fish and actual fish consumption in their children.

**SUBJECTS/METHODS:** Two hundred thirty-one elementary school children grade 3–6 and their mothers in Surakarta were recruited using multi stage random sampling for this study. Data was collected in July and August 2017. A validated questionnaire consisted of 3 topics including knowledge related to the health benefits and organoleptic properties of fish and cooking technique-related attitudes on fish were used to measure the mother's response to the fish properties. A validated food frequency questionnaire and a food picture book of fish specifically designed for the survey were developed and used to assess fish consumption of the children. A  $\chi^2$  test was used to analyse the correlation between the mothers' perspective on fish and their children's fish consumption.

**RESULTS:** The median fish consumption in children was 65 g/d with fried non-oily or lean fish, e.g., milkfish (locally called *Bandeng*) and catfish (locally called *Lele*) were consumed more than oily fish as well as processed fish products. Of all children, 31% met the fish consumption recommended by the Environmental Protection Agency-Food and Drug Administration 2017. There was no relationship between a mother's knowledge related to health benefits, organoleptic properties and cooking technique-related attitude toward fish and her child's fish consumption.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The fish consumption of children is not influenced by their mother's perspective on fish. Nutrition education strategies are warranted to improve fish consumption and maintain the optimal benefits by consuming fish, including fish high in n-3 LCPUFA.

Keywords: Children; consumption; knowledge; mothers; questionnaire

# OPEN ACCESS

Received: Jan 11, 2019 Revised: Sep 12, 2019 Accepted: May 31, 2021

#### <sup>§</sup>Corresponding Author: Setyaningrum Rahmawaty

## Department of Nutrition Science, Faculty of Health Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Jl. A. Yani Tromol Pos 1 Pabelan Kartasura, Surakarta, Central Java 57102, Indonesia.

Tel. +62-271-717417 Fax. +62-271-715448 E-mail. setyaningrum\_r@ums.ac.id

©2021 The Korean Nutrition Society and the Korean Society of Community Nutrition This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## ORCID iDs

Setyaningrum Rahmawaty b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3552-4010 Farhanah Erwida Ramadhani b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6148-1376 Innani Kharisma Anwar b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3136-052X Ola Puspitasari b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6767-2886 Tri Suci Kusuma Dewi b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3899-9206 Barbara J Meyer b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7962-7890



#### Funding

Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta through Doctorate Research Grant (Contract Number: 132.25/A.3-III/LPPM/IV/2018) for a small funding in data analysis and the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia for financial support in fine tuning this article through World Class Professor Program (Contract Number T/76/ D2.3/KK.04.05/2019).

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interests.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Rahmawaty S; Data curation: Ramadhani FE, Anwar IK, Puspitasari O, Dewi TSK; Formal analysis: Ramadhani FE, Anwar IK, Puspitasari O, Dewi TSK; Investigation: Rahmawaty S; Writing - original draft: Rahmawaty S; Writing - review & editing: Meyer BJ.

## INTRODUCTION

"Indonesia is the largest and, arguably, the most important country in the Western Pacific region when it comes to marine resources" [1]. In Indonesia, fish is mainly recommended as source of protein, the source of fatty acids. In 2019, the Indonesian Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries has targeted that Indonesian fish consumption is 54.49 kg per capita per year [2] or approximately 150 g per day, which increased about 7% from 2017 of 47.12 kg per capita per year [2]. It has been reported that in 2015, seafood consumption of Indonesians is roughly 32 kg per capita per year, which is nearly double the global average of 19 kg per capita per year [3]. In some isolated areas, seafood can contribute up to 90% of the protein requirements for the local population [1], while in inland areas, it can be very low. For example in Surakarta City, according to the 2014 data obtained from the Agriculture Agency of Surakarta City, fish consumption of people in Surakarta has been reported of 3.8 kg per capita per year, which is only about 12% of the 2015 national seafood consumption of Indonesian.

Fish, besides being a good source of protein, is also considered as the main source of omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 LCPUFA) including eicosapentaenoic acid, docosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). The n-3 LCPUFA are required in several neuronal processes affecting on cellular signalling pathway, enzyme activities, receptor systems, membrane structures and dynamics that results in optimal neurological development, maintenance of the nervous system and slows cognitive decline through aging and thereby having optimal cognition throughout the lifespan [4]. The accretion of DHA in the brain continues up to 2 yrs of age and progressively rises in the cerebral cortex until 18 yrs of age [5,6], even in life span [7]. Hence, sufficient intake of n-3 LCPUFA is fundamental, not only during the growth spurt period, but also across the age to maintain optimal health [8].

The n-3 LCPUFA contents in fish are initially produced by small aquatic plans, marine microalgae that are consumed at the bottom of the marine food chain, accumulated in the fish/ seafood chain [9]. This may explain why oily fish such as mackerel, herring, anchovies, sardines, tuna, salmon and oyster are the richest food sources of n-3 LCPUFA [9]. Fish and/ or seafood consumption can be influenced by many factors which may have important roles in improvement of n-3 LCPUFA intake. A number of barriers have been reported in relation to the fish and seafood consumption from in-lands countries, such as difficulty in buying, preparing and cooking of fish, price, and unpleasant physical properties of some varieties of fish (e.g., bones and smell) [10]. Negative attitudes towards fish including smell and the accompaniments, and fear to finding bones also has been also reported as barriers to consume fish [11]. In contrast, increasing positive attitude towards fish consumption has been found to strongly influence the motivation to consume marine products [11]. Age group, gender, education background and marital status have also been reported to influence fish consumption [12]. This evidence showed that organoleptic properties of fish and the health benefits may also influence mother/parents in serving fish as family menu. Unfortunately, limited data of these variable has been reported from marine countries such as Indonesia.

The aims of the research were to analyse the adequacy fish consumption of children in Surakarta, Indonesia, based on their mother's perspective of fish including the health benefits and processing technique of fish-related knowledge, and organoleptic properties of fish-related attitude This information will be useful in order to design strategies to optimize the beneficial effects of consuming fish.



# **SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

## **Ethical approval**

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Commission of Medical Research, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta (preference number: 713/B.1/KEPK-FKUMS/ VI/2017). All participants completed written informed consent prior data collection.

## **Study design**

An observational study with cross-sectional design was conducted from July until September 2017 in Surakarta City, Central Java, Indonesia. Multi-stage random sampling was applied to select a district from 5 districts in the city, followed by the selection of 8 schools from 21 public primary schools and children (grade 3–6) in each selected school. Each randomly selected child with her/his parent were invited to participate in this study through an invitation letter was given to each child in her/his class. A validated self-administrative questionnaire and a validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) [13] together with a fish picture book were given to the mothers and their children, respectively after obtaining their informed consents. The children were asked to complete the FFQ at home under mother supervision. The food picture book was used to assist children in estimating food portion size they eaten. A total of 231 elementary school children grades 3–6 with their mothers were participated in this study and completed the survey. No monetary incentive award was provided to the respondents.

## The mothers' perspective of fish data

The mothers' perspectives of fish data including the health benefits and processing technique of fish-related knowledge, and organoleptic properties of fish-related attitude. A validated self-administrative questionnaire was used to collect the data. The questionnaire divided into 4 sections: socioeconomic characteristics (educational background and occupation of mother); health benefits of fish-related knowledge [8,9,14-17] (consisted of 22 closed-questions with dichotomous answers, yes/no); fish processing technique-related knowledge [14,18-21] (consisted of 20 questions with dichotomous answers, yes/no); and organoleptic properties of fish-related attitude (consisted of 30 questions based preliminary study [14,22-24] and interview to 50 participants [unpublished data] with 5-Likert scale: strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, strongly agree) (**Table 1**).

#### Table 1. List of domains in the questionnaire

A. Health benefits of fish-related knowledge [8,9,14-17]

- 1. Fish contains a lot of DHA.
- 2. Adequate intake of DHA supports concentration of children in learning and improve their school performance.
- 3. Electronic media, mass media and other people's experiences can be a source of knowledge to know the health benefits of fish.
- 4. Fish contains proteins that are easily absorbed in the human body.
- 5. Fish protein is easily absorbed due to their high fibre content.
- 6. The aging process could be delayed by consuming fish, because fish contains vitamin E.
- 7. Phosphorus content in fish is good for maintaining the children's dental health.
- 8. Sufficient intake of DHA can help the formation of fetal brain.
- 9. Long chain omega-3 unsaturated fatty acids are majority found from sea food, such as tuna, salmon, oysters and sardines.
- 10. Livestock meat, poultry meat and eggs are also the sources of omega-3 unsaturated fatty acids.
- 11. Child's brain development is influenced by the consumption of foods containing DHA.
- 12. Eggs contains a lot of DHA which can be an alternative source of omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids when fish unavailable.
- 13. The more consumption of oily-fish, the higher level of EPA and DHA in the human blood.
- 14. Omega-3 are semi-essential fatty acids consisted of EPA, DPA and DHA. These fatty acids can be produced by the human body but in a very small amount.
- 15. EPA and DHA play a role in the nerve development and vital organs including brain, eyes and heart muscle.
- 16. Folic acid plays a pivotal role for organ development of fetus than DHA.



#### Table 1. (Continued) List of domains in the questionnaire

- 17. The recommendation of fish/seafoods consumption for pregnant woman is the same with non-pregnant woman of 500 mg per day (EPA plus DHA).
- 18. Cognitive performance of children who were born by mother consumed EPA and DHA supplementation during her pregnancy period is better than they were born by mother without EPA and DHA supplementation.
- 19. Eating fish at least once a week can reduce the risk of heart disease.
- 20. The risk of heart disease can be delayed by consuming seafoods containing EPA and DHA.
- 21. Children who are accustomed to get their fat intake from fish oil, fish/seafood tend to have the risk of overweight or obesity.
- 22. Incidence of allergic in infants can be reduced by supplementing EPA and DHA.
- B. Fish processing technique-related knowledge [14,18-21]
  - 1. The boiling process of food included fish can affect the quality and nutritional value of the food.
  - 2. The use of high temperatures in the boiling process can cause a decrease in the nutrients content including fat content of fish.
  - 3. The length of boiling also affects the decrease in nutrients content including fat contents of fish.
  - 4. Fried processing technique affect the nutrients content of fish including contents of fat, EPA and DHA.
  - 5. The quality of cooking oil used more than twice is still good.
  - 6. Repeatedly excessive heating above 90°C can damage the fish aroma.
  - 7. The bad odor of processed fish products can be caused by less hygienic processing techniques.
  - 8. The waste produced in processing fish can have a negative impact on the environment if it is not managed properly.
  - 9. Parts of the fish head can be processed as chips that contain of calcium.
  - 10. Fish skin can be processed into crispy fish skin.
  - 11. Filleting of fish can be done when the fish condition is still fresh.
  - 12. Filleting of fish should be done with special techniques.
  - 13. The taste of fish may not be delicious.
  - 14. Fish have a taste that resembles the smell of soil; hence it is necessary to add special spices during processing of the fish.
  - 15. Fish have bones and spines that risk to injure the throat.
  - 16. Fish bones and spines can be removed in an easy way.
  - 17. Processed boneless fish products are more practical and attract children's interest.
  - 18. Weeding of fish aimed to remove the fish stomach content.
- 19. Averrhoa bilimbi or Belimbing wuluh (in Indonesian language) can be used to reduce fishy odor before processing the fish.
- 20. The fishy odor of fish can be reduced by adding spices during processing.
- C. Organoleptic properties of fish-related attitude (preliminary study: interview to 50 participants-unpublished data [14,22-24])
  - 1. Fish is not suitable for a family menu because it can cause nausea.
  - 2. Cooked fish usually has a bit bitter taste that children do not like.
  - 3. Taste of fish is suitable for being combined with all kinds of dishes.
  - 4. Even though I often provide fish in family menus, my family never feel bored with the taste.
  - 5. Fish is always the main menu in every event of my family.
  - 6. Fish processed by chopping will reduce the specific taste of fish.
  - 7. My family members do not like the taste of fish.
  - 8. The strong taste of fish can improve children's appetite.
  - 9. The taste of fish is not delicious.
- 10. All fresh and processed fish have a fishy odor.
- 11. When I smell fish, I immediately avoid it.
- 12. If the smell of fish is fishy, I immediately throw it away.
- 13. The smell of fresh fish makes me sick.
- 14. The smell of canned fish is better than raw fish.
- 15. The aroma of fish will remain fishy even though it has been processed.
- 16. The aroma of fish will disappear when cooked in combination with other food ingredients.
- 17. Fish has a smell like soil that will remain in their meat even though it has been processed.
- 18. The color of the fish looks dirty because it's mixed with a lot of dirt.
- 19. Fish usually contains non-food coloring.
- 20. Fish is identical with unpleasant food.
- 21. The color of the fish does not attract the child's attention when served.
- 22. The color combination between fish and other foods is really difficult.
- 23. The color of cooked fish will turn brown, therefore less attractive to the children's appetite.
- 24. Fish bones can hurt the throat.
- 25. It is very difficult to separate the bones and meat of fish for children's menu.
- 26. Fish bones can be removed easily.
- 27. I do not consume fish because the content of the fish bones.
- 28. A fish stomach is smelly, it makes me reluctant to separate them from its meat.
- 29. The texture of fish is soft and slimy which causes slipperiness so it is difficult to hold.
- 30. I feel bothered when consuming fish due to making my hands dirty.

DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid.



There were 3 steps in the development of items in the questionnaire, namely item development, scale development, and scale evaluation [25]. Item development included identification of domains and item generation and consideration of content validity. A total 72 constructs of the questionnaire were developed based on thorough literature review related to the topics. Expert opinion by 3 lecturers in the field of nutrition science were applied to maintain the content validity of the questionnaire and showed in agreement (content validity index = 1). The next step was scale development included pre-testing of questions, sampling and survey administration, item reduction and extraction of factors. Finally, the questionnaire was assessed for the internal validity on 30 mothers who have similar characteristic to the real study, and showed a good reliability with the Cronbach's alpha value was 0.906.

## Children's fish consumption data

A validated FFQ consisted of 38 species of fish including 27 species of fresh fish and 11 species of processed fish were used to collect fish consumption data of children during the last 3 mon [13]. A fish picture book was used to help children and their mothers to complete the FFQ. Both the FFQ and the fish picture book were designed and developed specifically for this study.

### Data analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (version 21.0; IBM Corp., Chicago, IL, USA). Educational background of mother is the last formal education and presented as basic ( $\leq 12$  yrs) and advanced ( $\geq 12$  yrs), while occupation is presented as working and housewife. The score of the mother's knowledge about fish including the health benefits and cooking technique of fish served at home were presented as a percent of corrected answers from total score and categorized into 3 levels, namely good (76–100%), medium (56–75%) and low ( $\leq$  55%) [26]. The mother's attitudes to the organoleptic properties of fish were converted into interval data and grouped based on type of data distribution; positive (score > median value) and negative (score  $\leq$  median value). Children's fish consumption data were presented as g/day. The recommendation of fish consumption issued by the Environmental Protection Agency-Food and Drug Administration 2017 for children aged 9-12 yrs were used to analyze the sufficiency of children's fish consumption [27]. The recommended-fish consumption for children is similar to adults, which is 2 servings fish in a week with a serving size is 3 ounces for children aged 9 yrs and 4 ounces for children aged > 11 yrs [27] or equivalent to 24.3 g/d and 32.4 g/d for each group of children, respectively. The  $\chi^2$  tests were used to analyse the correlations between mother's perspective of fish and her child fish consumption. A probability of P < 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

## RESULTS

## The mothers' perspective on fish

The response rate or the number of respondents who completed the survey divided by the number of total samples of the study was 93.1%. The characteristics of children and mothers is shown in **Table 2**. The majority of the mothers' education and occupation were the 9 yrs basic or compulsory education required by the Indonesian Government and a housewife of 52.9% and 60.6%, respectively. Distribution of mother's perspective related to fish is shown in **Table 3**. The results found that most of the mothers have a good knowledge about the health benefits of fish (66.7%), as well as fish cooking technique (76.2%). The response of the mothers on the organoleptic properties of fish including taste, aroma, and color are approximately similar, both in positive and negative response.

Characteristics	No. (%)
Mothers	
Education	
Basic (≤ 9 yrs)	122 (52.9)
Advanced (> 9 yrs)	109 (47.1)
Occupation	
Housewife	140 (60.6)
Worker	91 (39.4)
Children	
Age (yrs)	
8	32 (13.9)
9	55 (23.8)
10	76 (32.9)
11	53 (22.9)
12	15 (6.5)
Sex	
Воу	111 (48.1)
Girl	120 (51.9)

 Table 2. Characteristics of respondents (n = 231)

Table 3. Mothers'	perspective of fish (	n = 231)
-------------------	-----------------------	----------

Domain	No. (%)
Health benefits of fish-related knowledge <sup>1)</sup>	
Good	154 (66.7)
Medium	52 (22.5)
Low	25 (10.8)
Cooking technique-related knowledge <sup>1)</sup>	
Good	176 (76.2)
Medium	46 (19.9)
Low	9 (3.9)
Organoleptic properties of fish-related attitude <sup>2)</sup>	
Taste	
Positive	106 (45.9)
Negative	125 (54.1)
Aroma	
Positive	94 (40.7)
Negative	137 (59.3)
Color	
Positive	114 (49.4)
Negative	117 (50.6)
Texture	
Positive	100 (43.3)
Negative	131 (56.7)

<sup>1)</sup>Good (76–100%), medium (56–75%) and low (< 55%) of total corrected answers; <sup>2)</sup>Positive (> median value); negative (< median value).

## The children's fish consumption

The median fish consumption of total children was 65 g/d, while fish consumption of children who met the recommendation was 3 times higher (157 g/d) than children who did not meet the recommendation (50 g/d) (**Table 4**). There was a trend that the amount of fish consumed by the children increased by age, and boys higher than girls as well as by educated mothers and housewives, but there were no significant differences in each category. Less than a third (31%) of the total children met the fish consumption goals suggested by the Environmental Protection Agency-Food and Drug Administration advice 2017 (**Table 4**). In terms of fish types consumed by children, local non-oily fish including milkfish (locally called *Bandeng*) and catfish (locally called *Lele*) were consumed more frequently than oily fish as well as processed fish products. Snapper (locally called *Kakap*) was oily fish that consumed more frequently than other oily fish. Frying is the only method in cooking fish for the children's meals, irrespective of the fish consumed (**Table 5**).

#### Mother and her child fish consumption

Table 4. Fish consumption of elementar	y school children grades 3–6	in Surakarta, Indonesia based on age group	, sex and mothers' characteristics

Variable	Total fish consumption of children (g/d)			Children's fish consumption compared to the recommended fish consumption (g/d)						
		(n = 23	31)	Met	Met recommendation $(n = 72)^{1}$			Did not meet recommendation $(n = 159)^{1}$		
	No. (%)	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	Median (IQR)	No. (%)	Mean ± SD	Median (IQR)	No. (%)	Mean ± SD	Median (IQR)	
Children's characteristic	S									
Age (yrs)										
All	231 (100)	$87.8\pm67.7$	64.6 (37.4–119.2)	72 (100)	$170.6 \pm 57.2$	156.8 (124.9–207.6)	159 (100)	$50.3 \pm 25.8$	50.6 (29.1-66.6)	
8	32 (13.9)	$79.9 \pm 65.5$	57.1 (37.5–118.7)	10 (13.9)	$162.0 \pm 54.8$	163.5 (118.7–194.5)	22 (13.8)	$42.6 \pm 19.3$	45.7 (23.5-58.0)	
9	55 (23.8)	$92.1 \pm 76.5$	61.9 (38.6-127.2)	22 (30.6)	166.1 ± 48.4	150.1 (108.3-219.7)	33 (20.8)	42.9 ± 20.1	43.1 (24.7-57.9)	
10	76 (32.9)	82.1 ± 62.7	57.8 (35.4–111.7)	18 (25.0)	$76.3 \pm 55.9$	165.4 (148.2–199.3)	58 (36.5)	$52.8 \pm 28.3$	48.2 (30.7-73.7)	
11	53 (22.9)	94.2 ± 67.0	73.6 (49.3–119.9)	17 (23.6)	172.9 ± 55.8	150.1 (120.1-227.4)	36 (22.6)	$57.0 \pm 28.6$	60.0 (32.1-74.9)	
12	15 (6.5)	95.4 ± 70.0	72.2 (36.9–136.1)	5 (6.9)	179.3 ± 51.8	183.2 (127.5-229.2)	10 (6.3)	$53.2 \pm 23.3$	57.2 (32.5-72.8)	
Sex										
Boys	111 (48.1)	$93.7 \pm 68.6$	72.2 (44.6–129.4)	37 (51.4)	174.7 ± 53.8	162.0 (129.3–213.1)	74 (46.5)	$53.2 \pm 26.0$	52.3 (33.2-72.3)	
Girls	120 (51.9)	$82.3 \pm 66.7$	59.1 (36.0–111.1)	35 (48.6)	$166.2 \pm 61.1$	152.9 (117.5–202.7)	85 (53.5)	47.8 ± 25.5	46.0 (28.1-61.9)	
Mother' characteristics										
Education										
Basic (≤ 9 yrs)	122 (52.9)	80.8 ± 61.7	62.0 (33.3-109.0)	34 (47.2)	$163.2 \pm 48.2$	157.0 (118.7–203.6)	88 (55.3)	$48.9 \pm 26.9$	47.3 (25.3-65.7)	
Advanced (> 9 yrs)	109 (47.1)	95.7 ± 73.4	68.4 (43.8-133.6)	38 (52.8)	177.2 ± 64.2	156.5 (127.2-223.9)	71 (44.7)	52.1 ± 24.4	51.7 (35.5-68.1)	
Occupation										
Housewife	140 (60.6)	86.6 ± 65.1	65.2 (40.1–118.5)	42 (58.3)	168.4 ± 55.7	153.4 (120.5–209.2)	98 (61.6)	51.6 ± 25.0	51.8 (34.9-68.6	
Worker	91 (39.4)	89.6 ± 71.8	63.8 (35.4-136.1)	30 (41.7)	173.5 ± 60.2	159.9 (134.4-209.2)	61 (38.4)	48.4 ± 27.1	46.1 (27.6-64.4	

There are no significant differences median fish consumption of total children based on age group (P = 0.777 by Kruskal-Wallis test), sex, mother's education and occupation of P = 0.127, 0.127 and 0.901, respectively by Mann-Whitney test.

IQR, inter quartile range.

<sup>1)</sup>The recommended fish consumption based on Environmental Protection Agency-Food and Drug Administration advised 2017.

Table 5. Type of fish consumed by elementary school children grades 3-6 in Surakarta City, Indonesia

Fresh fish (n = 231)					Р	rocessed fi	sh (n = 215)	)			
	Oily fish (n = 197) Non oily fish (n = 231)										
Fish name <sup>1)</sup>	Consumer	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean} \pm \text{SD} \\ (\text{g/d}) \end{array}$	Median (IQR) (g/d)	Fish name <sup>1)</sup>	Consumer	Mean ± SD (g/d)	Median (IQR) (g/d)	Processed fish <sup>1)</sup>	Consumer	Mean ± SD (g/d)	Median (IQR) (g/d)
Snapper (Kakap)	175 (88.8)	9.2 ± 11.1	5.6 (2.0–11.2)	Milkfish (Bandeng)	221 (95.7)	11.4 ± 11.8	10.5 (5.6–11.2)	Tempura	172 (80.0)	6.4 ± 6.9	4.2 (2.0–7.5)
Squid ( <i>Cumi</i> )	86 (43.7)	$10.7 \pm 18.5$	2.3 (2.3-11.0)	Catfish (Lele)	198 (85.7)	19.6 ± 21.1	14.5 (6.5-3.0)	Prawn crackers	170 (79.1)	$1.7 \pm 2.3$	0.8 (0.3-2.1)
Macherel tuna ( <i>Tongkol</i> )	78 (39.6)	8.6 ± 10.3	5.6 (1.2–11.2)	Shrimp (Udang)	123 (53.2)	$1.9 \pm 2.7$	0.8 (0.3–2.5)	Fish ball	158 (73.5)	$6.2\pm7.0$	3.5 (1.4–7.0)
Tuna	42 (21.3)	4.6 ± 5.3	2.0 (1.5–7.0)	Parrot fish (Nila)	117 (50.6)	9.3 ± 11.4	5.6 (1.2–11.2)	Shredded fish (Abon)	83 (38.6)	$3.3 \pm 3.3$	1.9 (0.9–3.9)
Black pomfret (Bawal hitam)	( )	7.0 ± 8.5	3.5 (1.2–11.2)	Carp (Gurami)	86 (37.2)	$6.1\pm7.2$	3.2 (1.2–8.1)	Fish fried in flour	75 (34.9)	1.4 ± 1.7	0.7 (0.3–1.4)
Macherel ( <i>Selar</i> )	34 (17.3)	8.5 ± 6.3	3.2 (1.2–6.8)	Eel ( <i>Belut</i> )	80 (34.6)	4.5 ± 6.4	2.4 (0.9–6.5)	Prawn fritter (Bakwan)	70 (32.6)	2.3 ± 3.1	0.8 (0.3-4.2)
Salmon	25 (12.7)	$2.3 \pm 1.7$	1.5 (1.5–2.6)	Cat fish (Patin)	78 (33.8)	$6.7\pm6.5$	5.6 (1.2–11.2)	Tuna fish ball	65 (30.2)	$1.5 \pm 3.5$	0.9 (0.3–1.7)
				Crab fish (Jambal)	76 (32.9)	$8.5 \pm 16.1$	2.3 (1.2-5.6)	Scallop	59 (27.4)	$2.1 \pm 2.7$	1.1 (0.4–2.5)
				Crab fish (Tengiri)	75 (32.5)	$\textbf{6.5} \pm \textbf{7.5}$	3.6 (1.4-7.0)	Prawn ball	59 (27.4)	$1.9 \pm 2.7$	0.8 (0.3-2.9)
				Gold fish (Ikan Mas)	66 (28.6)	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{7.9}$	3.5 (1.2–11.2)	Fish roll	38 (17.7)	$3.7\pm5.2$	1.9 (0.6-3.9)
				Mullet (Belanak)	55 (23.8)	$6.0 \pm 7.1$	3.5 (1.2-7.0)	Crab stick	32 (14.9)	$0.8 \pm 1.4$	0.2 (0.1-0.7)
				Salem fish	54 (23.4)	$6.9\pm5.9$	5.6 (1.2–11.2)				
				Tilapia ( <i>Mujair</i> )	45 (19.5)	$7.0 \pm 7.6$	3.5 (1.7–11.2)				
				Pindang	43 (18.6)	14.9 ± 20.6	65.6 (1.2-20.0)				

Values are presented as number (%). All mothers reported that frying fish is the most preferred cooking method for their children meal. <sup>1)</sup>Only the highest fish consumed were present in this table, bracket in the fish name column is local (Indonesian) name of the fish. IQR, inter quartile range.

# Correlation between the mothers' perspective on fish and her child fish consumption

**Table 6** showed a correlation between the mother's perspective on fish and her child meeting or not meeting the recommended fish intakes. There is no correlation between the mothers' perspective on fish including the health benefits and organoleptic properties-related knowledge of fish as well as cooking technique-related attitude of fish and her child's fish consumption (P > 0.05).

#### Mother and her child fish consumption

Table 6. Crosstabulation between mothers'	perspective of fish and their children's fish consumption category ( $n = 231$ )

Mothers' perspective of fish	Children's fis	$\chi^2$	df	P-value	
	Met recommendation <sup>1)</sup>	Did not meet recommendation <sup>1)</sup>	-		
Health benefits of fish-related knowledge <sup>2)</sup>			0.169	2	0.919
Good	49	105			
Medium	15	37			
Low	8	17			
Cooking technique of fish-related knowledge <sup>2)</sup>			0.084	2	0.959
Good	54	122			
Medium	15	31			
Low	3	6			
Organoleptic properties of fish-related attitude <sup>3)</sup>					
Taste			1.275	1	0.259
Positive	37	69			
Negative	35	90			
Aroma			2.718	1	0.099
Positive	35	59			
Negative	37	100			
Color			2.413	1	0.120
Positive	41	73			
Negative	31	86			
Texture			0.002	1	0.961
Positive	31	69			
Negative	41	90			

All statistical analysis by  $\chi^2$  test.

<sup>1)</sup>The recommended fish consumption based on Environmental Protection Agency-Food and Drug Administration advised 2017; <sup>2)</sup>Good (76–100%), medium (56–75%) and low (< 55%) of total corrected answers; <sup>3)</sup>Positive (> median value); negative (< median value).

# DISCUSSION

The present study has identified fish consumption of primary school-aged children in relation to her/his mother's perspective on fish. Understanding factors related to fish consumption of children through the mother's perspectives of fish are important to modify food choice and healthy eating behaviour of children which may improve not only the quality of children's nutrients intake but also their health status. A high level of awareness of mothers related to the health benefits of fish and a high amount of fish consumed by children identified in the current study, although there was no correlation between the 2 variables. This attention and good intake probably due to the existence of a national program in Indonesia called *Gemarikan* (action to popularize eating fish) for healthy and smart generation have been launched in 2004 by the Marine and Fishery Minister of Indonesia, followed by the formation a forum to improve fish consumption nationally called *Forikan* Indonesia in 2016. This forum has a considerable influence in increasing consumption of fish in community [2].

In terms of fish types consumed by the children, our data showed that local freshwater fish are more popular than oily fish. Indonesia is a maritime country, where marine resources especially local fish such as *Bandeng*, *Lele* and other types of fresh water fish are available or easily found in markets and are cheap. For example, the price of *Lele* is approximately IDR 23.000 (USD 1.58) per kg [28]. In addition, increasing import fee of animal livestock such as chickens and cows currently in Indonesia, has resulted in higher prices for chicken and cow meat, and this may shift the consumer to eat other source of animal protein such as fish. In 2015, seafood consumption in Indonesia is roughly 32 kg per capita per year, which is nearly double the global average suggested by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). In some remote islands in Indonesia, seafood can contribute up to 90 percent of the protein requirements for local populations [1]. In Surakarta, the average cost for fish



consumption in 2016 is IDR 15.034 per capita, which might use to buy approximately 560 g of *Lele*. Besides the geographical position of Indonesian, the government policy may also influencing the high consumption of fish in Indonesia. In 2019, the Indonesian Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries has targeted that Indonesian fish consumption at 54.49 kg per capita per year [2], which is nearly triple the global average of fish consumption suggested by FAO of 19 kg per capita per year [3].

The amount of fish consumption data in our study is approximately 6 times higher than fish consumption that had been reported in a previous survey reported by the Agriculture Agency of Surakarta City in 2014. These differences could be due to differences in the methods and instruments used in collection of fish consumption data. The fish consumption data in our study used an FFQ specifically designed to collect fish intake in Surakarta City for the duration of 3mon. The previous survey used a food recall for a day. The use of the 24-h recall to estimate habitual intake of food that occasionally eaten such as fish may lead to potential reporting bias. The fish will be reported zero if the dietary recall happens on a day that fish is not consumed. Therefore, the use of FFQ that covers the frequency of fish consumption for a longer duration of time, might ameliorate n-3 LCPUFA intakes. Food frequency information could be a useful covariate or predictor in estimating the probability of consumption and amount of episodically consumed foods such as foods that are not consumed nearly every day [29,30] including fish. By reporting the frequency in the FFQ, can improve reporting food consumption obtained from 24-h food recall.

Of the many fish processing techniques, frying is the only processing method of fish consumed by all children, both fish served at home as well as commercially processed fish in our study. The cooking technique probably is the easiest method for serving fish, as well as preferred by children. A study in Australia families with school aged children, also reported that nearly half of the respondent reported consuming take-away fish (primarily fried fish) at least once a month [30]. It is noteworthy that the method of cooking does not necessarily affect the n-3 LCPUFA in the fish, however, if the fish is fried using an omega-6 (n-6) oil (e.g., sunflower or safflower), the fish absorbs the n-6 oil, such that the ratio of n-3 to n-6 decreases [31-33]. Thus, the promotion of oily fish to improve n-3 LCPUFA intakes may require attention to cooking method thereof.

The type of foods consumed by children is influenced by their mother [34]. Children may copy the parent's food choice [35-37]. Evidences have been showed positive impacts in children's dietary habit by involving their parents to encourage healthy eating habit [35,36,38]. Our findings regarding factors influencing fish consumption of children showed that the mothers' knowledge related to the health benefits and the cooking technique of the fish as well as their attitude to the organoleptic properties of fish are not correlated to the adequacy of their children's fish consumption. In addition, there was no significantly different between median fish consumption of children based on education background and occupation of mother. This might be explained due to favorable attitude, personal norm and attitude influence someone's decisions to consume fish [39].

Our finding is the opposite of the previous studies that fish properties include unpleasant organoleptic properties of fish (e.g., bones and smell), difficulties associated with preparation of fish, and the high cost are associated to the preference of consuming fish in Australia [14]. This difference might be attributed to cultural differences between Indonesia and Australia. In Australia a lot of people eat meat, and not much fish/seafood.



Australians consume approximately 7 times more meat than fish/seafood [40]. Whereas fish consumption in Indonesia is higher due to lower cost of fish/seafood but also fish/seafood is traditionally consumed in Indonesia. The limitation of the study includes the inclusion of one city in Indonesia, which is not representative of Indonesia. Self-reported intake, e.g., from the FFQ is not as accurate as actual intake.

Given that the majority of children in this study did not meet the recommended fish consumption and fish cooking technique is frying, a recommendation would be to develop nutrition education strategies to improve fish consumption and provide information regarding the optimal benefits of consuming non-fried fish containing high levels of n-3 LCPUFA.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank to the participants and the schools which participated in this study.

# REFERENCES

- California Environmental Associates. Indonesia fisheries: 2015 review. A report on trends in coastal marine resources and fisheries management in Indonesia. Prepared for The David and Lucile Packard Foundation [Internet]. San Francisco (CA): CEA; 2016 [cited 2018 August 16]. Available from: https:// www.packard.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Indonesia-Fisheries-2015-Review.pdf.
- 2. Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (KKP). Fish consumption rate. Jakarta: KKP; 2020 [cited 2021 July 17]. Available from: https://akikkp.org/kkpindonesia/.
- Future Directions International. Food security in Indonesia: a continued reliance on foreign markets [Internet]. Dalkeith: Future Directions International; 2016 [cited 2018 September 5]. Available from: https://www.futuredirections.org.au/publication/food-security-in-indonesia-a-continued-reliance-onforeign-markets/.
- Weiser MJ, Butt CM, Mohajeri MH. Docosahexaenoic acid and cognition throughout the lifespan. Nutrients 2016;8:99.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Lauritzen L, Hansen HS, Jørgensen MH, Michaelsen KF. The essentiality of long chain n-3 fatty acids in relation to development and function of the brain and retina. Prog Lipid Res 2001;40:1-94.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Carver JD, Benford VJ, Han B, Cantor AB. The relationship between age and the fatty acid composition of cerebral cortex and erythrocytes in human subjects. Brain Res Bull 2001;56:79-85.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 7. Doidge N. The Brain That Changes Itself. New York (NY): Viking Press; 2007.
- Rahmawaty S, Meyer BJ. Stunting is a recognized problem: evidence for the potential benefits of ω-3 longchain polyunsaturated fatty acids. Nutrition 2020;73:110564.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Nichols PD, Petrie J, Singh S. Long-chain omega-3 oils-an update on sustainable sources. Nutrients 2010;2:572-85.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 10. Leek S, Muddock S, Foxall G. Situational determinants of fish consumption. Br Food J 2000;102:18-39.
- Prell H, Berg C, Jonsson L. Why don't adolescents eat fish? Factors influencing fish consumption in school. Food Nutr Res 2002;46:184-91.
   CROSSREF
- Ferit CM, Günlü A, Yeşim CY. Fish consumption preferences and factors influencing it. Food Sci Technol (Campinas) 2015;35:339-46.
   CROSSREF
- 13. Rahmawaty S, Meyer BJ. Development and validation of a cultural-based food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) against 7-day food diary (7d FD) to access fish intake among elementary school children. Curr Res Nutr Food Sci 2021;9.



- Rahmawaty S, Charlton K, Lyons-Wall P, Meyer BJ. Factor that influence consumption of fish and omega-3 enriched food: a survey of Australian families with young children. Nutr Diet 2013;70:286-93.
   CROSSREF
- Dalton A, Wolmarans P, Witthuhn RC, van Stuijvenberg ME, Swanevelder SA, Smuts CM. A randomised control trial in schoolchildren showed improvement in cognitive function after consuming a bread spread, containing fish flour from a marine source. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 2009;80:143-9.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Saraf RA, Balamurugan J. The role of mass media in health care development: a review article. J Adv Res Journal Mass Commun 2018;5:39-43.
- Ariño A, Beltrán JA, Herrera A, et al. Fish and seafood: nutritional value. In: Caballero B, editor. Encyclopedia of Human Nutrition, Third Edition. Cambridge (MA): Academic Press; 2013. p. 254-261.
- Poernomo D, Suseno SH, Wijatmoko A. Utilization of vinegar, lime (*Citrus aurantifolia*) and starfruit (*Averthoa bilimbi*) to reduce the fishy smell of Layang fish paste (*Decapterus* spp.). J Pengolah Has Perikan Indones 2004;7:11-8.
- Haryati S, Munandar A. Application of Zero Waste Concept on Milkfish Shredded Meat Processing. Fish Mar J 2012;2:127-30.
   CROSSREF
- 20. Uju, Nitibaskara R, Ibrahim B. The effect of washing frequency of Surimi on the quality of Jangilus fish ball (*Istiophorus* sp.). J Pengolah Has Perikan Indones 2004;7:140.
- Hafiluddin H, Perwitasari Y, Budiarto S. Analysis of nutritional contents and mud odor of milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) from two different locations. J Kelaut 2014;7:33-44.
- Aslin HJ, Byron IG. Community perceptions of fishing implication for industry image, marketing and sustainability [Internet]. Canberra: Fisheries Research and Development Corporation; 2003 [cited 2017 April 5]. Available from: http://www.frdc.com.au/Archived-Reports/FRDC%20Projects/2001-309-DLD.pdf.
- 23. Sam A, Bi X, House L. Identifying the Attitudes and Preferences of Parents and Children for Seafood: Summary of Focus Group Results. Gainesville (FL): UF/IFAS Extension; 2016.
- Dias NAA, Lara SB, Miranda LS, Pires ISC, Pires CV, Halboth NV. Influence of color on acceptance and identification of flavor of foods by adults. Food Sci Technol 2012;32:296-301. CROSSREF
- 25. Boateng GO, Neilands TB, Frongillo EA, Melgar-Quiñonez HR, Young SL. Best practice for developing and validating scale for health, social, and behavioral research: a primer. Front Public Health 2018;6:149. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 26. Nursalam. Concept and Application of Nursing Research Methodology. Jakarta: Salemba Medika; 2008.
- Environmental Protection Agency. EPA-FDA advice about eating fish and shellfish [Internet].
   Washington, D.C.: EPA; 2017 [cited 2017 October 17]. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/fish-tech/2017-epa-fda-advice-about-eating-fish-and-shellfish.
- MiefBird. List of the latest prices of catfish (lele) per kg this month on the market [Internet]. [place unknown]: MiefBird; 2018 [cited 2018 September 5]. Available from: http://miefbird.blogspot. com/2017/03/daftar-harga-ikan-lele-per-kg-terbaru.html.
- Subar AF, Dodd KW, Guenther PM, Kipnis V, Midthune D, McDowell M, Tooze JA, Freedman LS, Krebs-Smith SM. The food propensity questionnaire: concept, development, and validation for use as a covariate in a model to estimate usual food intake. J Am Diet Assoc 2006;106:1556-63.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Rahmawaty S, Charlton K, Lyons-Wall P, Meyer BJ. Development and validation of a food frequency questionnaire to assess omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acid intake in Australian children aged 9-13 years. J Hum Nutr Diet 2017;30:429-38.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Gladyshev MI, Sushchik NN, Gubanenko GA, Demirchieva S, Kalachova G. Effect of way of cooking on content of essential polyunsaturated fatty acids in muscle tissue of humpback salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*). Food Chem 2006;96:446-51.
   CROSSREF
- Candela M, Astiasarán I, Bello J. Deep-fat frying modifies high-fat fish lipid fraction. J Agric Food Chem 1998;46:2793-6.
   CROSSREF



- Candela M, Astiasarán I, Bello J. Effects of frying and warm holding on fatty acids and cholesterol of sole (*Solea solea*), codfish (*Gadus morrhua*) and hake (*Merluccius merluccius*). Food Chem 1997;58:227-31.
   CROSSREF
- Skinner JD, Carruth BR, Wendy B, Ziegler PJ. Children's food preferences: a longitudinal analysis. J Am Diet Assoc 2002;102:1638-47.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 35. Kral TV, Rauh EM. Eating behaviors of children in the context of their family environment. Physiol Behav 2010;100:567-73.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- 36. Savage JS, Fisher JO, Birch LL. Parental influence on eating behavior: conception to adolescence. J Law Med Ethics 2007;35:22-34.
  PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Imm P, Knobeloch L, Anderson HA. Maternal recall of children's consumption of commercial and sportcaught fish: findings from a multi-state study. Environ Res 2007;103:198-204.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman SL, Frazier AL, Rockett HR, Camargo CA Jr, Field AE, Berkey CS, Colditz GA. Family dinner and diet quality among older children and adolescents. Arch Fam Med 2000;9:235-40.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Verbeke W, Vackier I. Individual determinants of fish consumption: application of the theory of planned behaviour. Appetite 2005;44:67-82.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ollis TE, Meyer BJ, Howe PR. Australian food sources and intakes of omega-6 and omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. Ann Nutr Metab 1999;43:346-55.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF