



# The Evolutionary Success of the Marine Bacterium SAR11 Analyzed through a Metagenomic Perspective

<sup>(D)</sup> Mario López-Pérez,<sup>a</sup> Jose M. Haro-Moreno,<sup>a</sup> Felipe Hernandes Coutinho,<sup>a</sup> Manuel Martinez-Garcia,<sup>b</sup> Francisco Rodriguez-Valera<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Evolutionary Genomics Group, División de Microbiología, Universidad Miguel Hernández, San Juan, Alicante, Spain <sup>b</sup>Department of Physiology, Genetics, and Microbiology, University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain <sup>c</sup>Research Center for Molecular Mechanisms of Aging and Age-Related Diseases, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Russia

ABSTRACT The SAR11 clade of Alphaproteobacteria is the most abundant group of planktonic cells in the near-surface epipelagic waters of the ocean, but the mechanisms underlying its exceptional success have not been fully elucidated. Here, we applied a metagenomic approach to explore microdiversity patterns by measuring the accumulation of synonymous and nonsynonymous mutations as well as homologous recombination in populations of SAR11 from different aquatic habitats (marine epipelagic, bathypelagic, and surface freshwater). The patterns of mutation accumulation and recombination were compared to those of other groups of representative marine microbes with multiple ecological strategies that share the same marine habitat, namely, Cyanobacteria (Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus), Archaea ("Candidatus Nitrosopelagicus" and Marine Group II Thalassoarchaea), and some heterotrophic marine bacteria (Alteromonas and Erythrobacter). SAR11 populations showed widespread recombination among distantly related members, preventing divergence leading to a genetically stable population. Moreover, their high intrapopulation sequence diversity with an enrichment in synonymous replacements supports the idea of a very ancient divergence and the coexistence of multiple different clones. However, other microbes analyzed seem to follow different evolutionary dynamics where processes of diversification driven by geographic and ecological instability produce a higher number of nonsynonymous replacements and lower intrapopulation sequence diversity. Together, these data shed light on some of the evolutionary and ecological processes that lead to the large genomic diversity in SAR11. Furthermore, this approach can be applied to other similar microbes that are difficult to culture in the laboratory, but abundant in nature, to investigate the underlying dynamics of their genomic evolution.

**IMPORTANCE** As the most abundant bacteria in oceans, the *Pelagibacterales* order (here SAR11) plays an important role in the global carbon cycle, but the study of the evolutionary forces driving its evolution has lagged considerably due to the inherent difficulty of obtaining pure cultures. Multiple evolutionary models have been proposed to explain the diversification of distinct lineages within a population; however, the identification of many of these patterns in natural populations remains mostly enigmatic. We have used a metagenomic approach to explore microdiversity patterns in their natural habitats. Comparison with a collection of bacterial and archaeal groups from the same environments shows that SAR11 populations have a different evolutionary regime, where multiple genotypes coexist within the same population and remain stable over time. Widespread homologous recombination could be one of the main driving factors of this homogenization.

**KEYWORDS** SAR11, microdiversity, evolution, metagenomics, homologous recombination, intrapopulation diversity, evolutionary dynamics

Citation López-Pérez M, Haro-Moreno JM, Coutinho FH, Martinez-Garcia M, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2020. The evolutionary success of the marine bacterium SAR11 analyzed through a metagenomic perspective. mSystems 5: e00605-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems .00605-20.

**Editor** Michael S. Rappe, University of Hawaii at Manoa

**Copyright** © 2020 López-Pérez et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Mario López-Pérez, mario.lopezp@umh.es.

The evolutionary success of SAR11. High intra-population sequence diversity with an enrichment in synonymous replacements supports the idea of a very ancient divergence and the coexistence of multiple different clones

Received 1 July 2020 Accepted 18 September 2020 Published 6 October 2020



**m**Systems<sup>®</sup>

he open ocean is one of the largest and most biologically productive microbial habitats in the biosphere, and marine microbial communities have an essential role in global biogeochemical cycling (1). The development of culture-free approaches such as metagenomics has significantly advanced our knowledge of the geographical distribution (2, 3), seasonal dynamics (4–6), and vertical distribution throughout the water column (7, 8) of these communities. In addition, we are now starting to understand the real complexity of microbial populations within natural environments. Thus, recent advances in single-cell sequencing have offered a new view of the microbial genomic diversity in unprecedented detail (9). The reconstruction of genomes from uncultivated microbes using metagenomes, referred to as metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) or single-amplified genomes (SAGs), obtained by sequencing individual cells has improved our understanding of the microbial diversity, evolution, and ecology of these microbes (10, 11). These complementary approaches (metagenomics and single-cell sequencing) have shown that, in nature, microbes live in populations made up of complex consortia of different clonal lineages (12-14). The vast diversity of the genetic pool found within single populations has been one major discovery brought up by such technologies (15, 16).

Mapping metagenomic reads against reference genomes has been applied to capture the heterogeneity at the genomic level within natural populations (17, 18). These reads are derived from strains closely related to the strain of the reference genome that are concurrent within a sample. For most marine microbes, the minimum alignment identity threshold of the vast majority of reads assigned to the core genome is located at above 95% identity, delimiting what has been termed "sequence-discrete" populations (19-21). However, these threshold values, which have also been used for the delimitation of species (22), should be taken with caution (23). For example, an exception to this rule is the populations of the SAR11 clade. For them, the threshold goes down to ca. 92% (24) or even lower (ca. 87%) based on a more recent study (25). These variations can be analyzed at single-nucleotide resolution (i.e., microdiversity) (26). In addition, intrapopulation microdiversity has also been proposed as a measure of evolutionary success. High diversity corresponds to populations with high temporal persistence and therefore greater adaptive success in their environment (17, 27). In contrast, less diversity is associated with populations that have undergone a recent clonal sweep (18, 27).

Despite being the most abundant and successful marine microorganisms in the surface ocean (28), the study of SAR11 population structures and dynamics has lagged considerably due to the difficulty of culturing and isolating these organisms and the paradoxical difficulty of obtaining MAGs for this group, as demonstrated by several marine metagenomic studies around the world (8, 29, 30). Single-cell genomics overcame some of these limitations of metagenomic assembly and promoted the increase in the number of individual genomes sequenced of the SAR11 clade, thus disentangling part of the elusive genetic diversity among members of this taxon (24, 31–36). Although the delimitation of populations within this clade is a controversial issue, in a recent study, an improved phylogenomic classification (enriched by single-cell genomes) based on whole-genome comparisons, together with a fine ecogenomic characterization of SAR11 at a global scale, allowed discerning novel operational taxonomic units, which were called genomospecies (33). Genomes within these genomospecies showed remarkable agreement between their phylogenomic classification and patterns of metagenomic distribution across different metagenomes, displaying a minimum pairwise average nucleotide identity (ANI) value within genomospecies of ca. 80% (33). In that study, these genomospecies were used to define SAR11 populations (i.e., cells belonging to the same genomospecies). A total of 20 genomospecies were differentiated within nine phylogenomic subclades. Subclade Ia.3 was particularly well represented, with the largest number of genomes (47, including 6 pure cultures), and due to the high read recruitment to these genomes in the available metagenomic data sets, they could be split into 6 well-defined genomospecies with different spatiotemporal abundance patterns (33).

Recently, a complementary metagenomic approach using single amino acid variants has been used to investigate evolutionary processes that maintain genetic diversity within subclade la.3/V through a single isolate genome, HIMB83 (25). Results showed patterns of amino acid diversity driven by large-scale ocean circulation. Other studies using genome comparison and phylogenomic approaches have estimated that while marine SAR11 isolates showed recombination rates that were among the highest reported in bacteria (37, 38), freshwater SAR11 isolates had much lower values (34). Here, we have used a metagenomic approach to investigate the patterns of sequence microdiversity and try to understand the evolutionary forces driving the evolution within and among these six subclade la.3 genomospecies (here, the term "population" is applied to groups of individual cells belonging to the same genomospecies and sharing the same habitat, i.e., potentially exchanging DNA). In addition, in order to compare the pictures provided by these epipelagic dwellers, we included genomospecies lb.1/III and lb.2/I, which belong to subclade lb (also epipelagic), the deep-ocean bathytype (subclade Ic) (32), and the freshwater lineage LD12 (subclade IIIb) (39). We have also analyzed the evolutionary dynamics of groups of microbes that cohabit the water column with SAR11, such as Cyanobacteria (Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus), Archaea ("Candidatus Nitrosopelagicus" and Marine Group II Thalassoarchaea), and some heterotrophic marine bacteria (Alteromonas and Erythrobacter). Our results suggest a different process of bacterial diversification in SAR11 populations in comparison to the other microbes analyzed, which is in agreement with a metastable model (40), that is, one in which frequent recombination keeps the population relatively stable while maintaining high intrapopulation diversity of mostly synonymous replacements.

#### RESULTS

**Microdiversity within the la.3 subclade.** First, we studied microevolution among six genomospecies within the la.3 subclade using metagenomic read mapping to measure the ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous polymorphisms (*pN/pS* ratio) (26). Figure S1 shows the phylogenomic tree of all SAR11 genomes available, based on concatenated shared genes, with the relative positions of all genospecies as well as different subclasses defined so far (33). In order to avoid possible coverage biases, we analyzed the effect on the *pN/pS* ratio using a range from 100,000 to 1 million reads per genome, providing a range from ca.  $10 \times$  to  $100 \times$  coverage. We used as references three SAR11 genomospecies in three metagenomes. Table S1 shows that although the average percentage of polymorphic sites (PPS) per gene increased with coverage, *pN/pS* values remained constant, indicating that the effect of coverage on this parameter is negligible. Given the enormous diversity and the uneven recruitment coverage within SAR11 populations, mapped reads were subsampled to 1 million reads per genome and sample. This way, the number of reads was always the same, regardless of the depth coverage of the genome in the sample.

All analyses were performed with the three most complete genomes of each genomospecies in three different metagenomic samples (Table 1 and Table S2) (only reads with >98% identity to the reference were taken into consideration). The average PPS per gene was always higher than 16%, reaching in some cases up to 40% (Table S2). Despite this broad variation in PPS, *pN/pS* values for most Ia.3 genomospecies were always close to a median of 0.06 (Table 1 and Table S2). Together, the high PPS and low *pN/pS* values suggest strong purifying selection. Along similar lines, the percentage of proteins with a *pN/pS* ratio of >1 was very low (0.5 to 1% of the total). Most of them were hypothetical, regardless of the genome or sample. The Mediterranean Sea genomospecies Ia.3/VII, which showed the highest recruitment values of any Ia.3 genomospecies at any station (33), had only a slightly higher *pN/pS* ratio (0.09).

Given that within the Ia.3 subclade, there are broad genomic diversities (the minimum pairwise ANI value within each genomospecies was ca. 80%) (33) and distribution patterns across metagenomes, the similarity in these evolutionary parameters (PPS and pN/pS ratio) was remarkable. Therefore, we wondered if similar patterns were to be found in other SAR11 subclades. Genomospecies Ib.1/III and Ib.2/I, selected





		% polymorphic			pN/pS	Abundance	γ/μ	Recombination	Median
Genomospecies <sup>a</sup> or microbe	Group <sup>b</sup>	sites <sup>c</sup>	рN	pS	ratio	(RPKG) <sup>d</sup>	ratio	coverage	ANIr (%) <sup>e</sup>
la.3/l	А	26.30	0.25	4.05	0.06	17.92	20.42	0.61	92.00
la.3/IV	A	31.27	0.31	4.98	0.07	22.20	16.81	0.65	91.86
la.3/V	A	39.40	0.39	6.95	0.06	64.99	21.00	0.75	94.38
la.3/VI	A	34.94	0.37	6.27	0.06	29.10	24.95	0.74	93.00
la.3/VII	A	27.16	0.39	4.38	0.09	323.95	21.97	0.67	96.67
la.3/VIII	A	37.35	0.44	6.63	0.07	25.21	22.64	0.68	92.55
lb.1/III	A	33.17	0.45	8.45	0.06	42.54	21.10	0.75	95.05
lb.2/l	A	45.35	0.87	14.61	0.07	25.81	21.01	0.73	93.33
lc.1 (bathytype)	A	32.37	0.84	5.53	0.15	11.81	13.58	0.74	93.00
IIIb (freshwater-LD12)	A	16.26	0.48	1.27	0.16	80.32	12.21	0.46	93.07
Alteromonas macleodii AD45	В	8.47	0.19	0.43	0.27	54.67	3.90	0.44	97.33
Erythrobacter citreus LAMA 915	В	4.34	0.13	0.25	0.29	11.83	4.78	0.38	98.00
"Ca. Nitrosopelagicus brevis" CN25	В	29.90	1.13	1.80	0.77	128.25	15.85	0.56	95.33
MG-II Thalassoarchaea	В	12.33	0.23	0.31	0.39	36.11	20.12	0.39	98.67
Prochlorococcus marinus MED4	В	45.13	1.59	2.15	0.77	180.82	55.59	0.80	95.97
Synechococcus sp. CC9902	В	17.06	0.42	0.55	0.47	29.91	8.85	0.37	95.05

#### TABLE 1 Rates of evolutionary dynamics, abundances, and recombination for SAR11 genomospecies and other marine microbes

Values are calculated based on the average from the three most complete genomes in three different metagenomic samples.

<sup>b</sup>A, SAR11 genomospecies; B, reference marine microbes.

<sup>c</sup>Percentage of polymorphic sites per gene.

<sup>*d*</sup>RPKG, reads per kilobase of genome and gigabase of metagenome.

<sup>e</sup>ANIr, read-based average nucleotide identity.

on the grounds of their high abundance values (33, 41), which are also surface oceanic SAR11 genomes, showed similar PPS and pN/pS values. However, we observed markedly higher median pN/pS values in the bathypelagic subclade Ic (32) and the freshwater clade LD12 (subclade IIIb) (39) (pN/pS ratio of ca. 0.16) as well as a decrease in the median PPS in the freshwater subclade IIIb (Fig. 1A, Table 1, and Table S2). Unfortunately, since only one representative (SAG AAA028-C07) of subclade IIIb displayed



**FIG 1** (A) Comparison of the ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions (pN/pS ratio) (y axis) against the percentage of polymorphic sites per gene (x axis). (B) Comparison of the ratio of synonymous (y axis) to nonsynonymous (x axis) rates. Linear regressions and  $R^2$  values are indicated for the different groups: (i) surface oceanic SAR11, (ii) SAR11 bathytype, (iii) SAR11 freshwater, and (iv) reference marine microbes.



coverage high enough to carry out the analyses in several metagenomes, we were unable to obtain the averages for three genomes as we did for the other subclades. Interestingly, the pN/pS ratios within these other genomospecies remained stable regardless of the PPS values (Fig. 1A), as observed for the surface oceanic SAR11 genomes.

In order to put the PPS and pN/pS values of the SAR11 genomospecies into perspective, we applied the same analysis to a collection of bacterial and archaeal groups that share a similar pelagic marine habitat. Within this heterogeneous group, we selected microbes with different population densities (abundances) and ecological strategies, autotrophs and (photo)heterotrophs (8, 42), including copiotrophic bloomers (large genomes) (Table 1 and Table S3). Here, we refer to this set as reference marine microbes (RMM). In all cases, the pN/pS values were higher than those detected in SAR11 (Fig. 1A, Table 1, and Table S3). The cyanobacterium Prochlorococcus marinus MED4, representative of the high-light-adapted ecotype, and the thaumarchaeal genome of "Candidatus Nitrosopelagicus brevis" CN25 had similar average PPS values within the range of those obtained for SAR11 genomospecies, but the pN/pS values were more than 10 times higher (0.77) (Fig. 1A, Table 1, and Table S3). The two copiotrophic heterotrophs Alteromonas macleodii and Erythrobacter citreus (16, 43) had lower PPS and pN/pS ratio (ca. 0.28) values, although the pN/pS ratios were again higher than those for SAR11 (Table 1). In the case of these opportunistic bacteria, they probably have bloom and crash cycles (42, 44, 45) starting from a few cells and generate more homogeneous populations with lower diversity (see below).

This analysis suggests that among SAR11 genomospecies, pN/pS values do not vary across a broad range of PPS values (Fig. 1A), while among RMM genomes (Fig. 1A), we observed a clear-cut positive relationship ( $R^2$ , 0.82) between PPS and pN/pS values. To analyze this phenomenon in depth, we evaluated the relative contributions of synonymous and nonsynonymous mutations to the overall pN/pS ratio. These results showed three distinct patterns with an almost linear correlation ( $R^2$ , 0.88 to 0.99), where the fraction of synonymous replacements (pS) seemed to be the differential factor (Fig. 1B). Thus, in surface oceanic SAR11 genomospecies, we observed a higher proportion of synonymous replacements, with values up to 10 times higher than for nonsynonymous replacements (pS values of up to 20) (Fig. 1B, Table 1, and Table S2), while in RMM and freshwater SAR11 genomes, none of them had values of pS of >3 (Fig. 1, Table 1, and Table S3). This relationship was less pronounced for the SAR11 bathytype (lc.1), which displayed a trend resembling those observed for the surface SAR11 and RMM genomes (Fig. 1B).

Given the uniqueness of these parameters in SAR11 epipelagic genomospecies, we examined whether this phenomenon was a consequence of their high population densities (28). For that reason, we calculated population densities by applying metagenomic fragment recruitment analysis, normalized by the number of reads per kilobase of genome and gigabase of metagenome (RPKG). The results showed no correlation between pS and RPKG values, neither among genomospecies nor among different genomes within the same genomospecies (Fig. S2). For instance, the genomospecies la.3/VII genomes, which had the highest recruitment values of all groups (average of 320 RPKG), had a pS value of <6, while genomospecies lb.2/I, with much lower relative abundance values (average of 26 RPKG), always had pS values of >10 (Fig. S2 and Table 1). In contrast, for RMM, we found that an increase in the relative abundance was associated with increasing ratios of both synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions (Table 1, Table S3, and Fig. S2).

Next, we sought to delve deeper into the intrapopulation sequence diversity within each genomospecies using metagenomic reads to calculate the read-based average nucleotide identity (ANIr). All but one of the SAR11 genomospecies were characterized by ANIr values well below 95%, which is generally accepted as the species threshold (46) (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Only the genomospecies Ia.3/VII, with a preferential Mediterranean occurrence (33), had a median ANIr value of 96.7%. These data could reflect a more recent divergence associated with a more modern habitat (the Mediterranean





**FIG 2** Box plot indicating the average nucleotide identity based on metagenomic reads (ANIr) among SAR11 subclades and some reference marine microbes. Boxes in red represent different genomospecies belonging to the Ia.3 subclade, while boxes in green belong to the Ib subclade. Boxes in blue represent two different SAR11 ecotypes, one collected from bathypelagic waters (subclade Ic) and the other from freshwater samples (subclade IIIb). The red dotted line indicates the species threshold (95% identity). A maximum likelihood phylogenomic tree of the SAR11 genomospecies is shown on the left.

Messinian salinity crisis [47] happened only 6 million years ago). The acquisition of a set of genes involved in phosphonate utilization in the flexible genome has been suggested to be an essential part of the success of this genomospecies in the phosphate-depleted Mediterranean Sea (33). Meanwhile, RMM genomes showed lower intrapopulation sequence diversity, with ANIr values never below 95% (Fig. 2 and Table 1). In agreement with their ecological strategy (bloomers), *A. macleodii* and *E. citreus* showed ANIr values above 97%. As mentioned above, lower intrapopulation sequence diversity values might indicate more recent clonal sweeps (18).

Homologous recombination. To discriminate between single nucleotide polymorphisms introduced by mutation and those introduced by genetic exchange (homologous recombination) (48), we computed the relative rate of recombination to mutation  $(\gamma/\mu)$  (48) using, as described above, the three most complete genomes in the three metagenomic samples of maximum recruitment across the same SAR11 genomospecies. Mean estimates of the  $\gamma/\mu$  ratio were similar for all the genomospecies of the la.3 subclade, Ib.1/III, and Ib.2/I (ca. 20), with the only exception being genomospecies Ia.3/IV, a genomospecies associated with the deep chlorophyll maximum (33), which had a slightly lower ratio (ca. 16.8) (Fig. 3A and Table 1). Therefore, for all surface genomospecies, recombination-driven nucleotide replacements were much more frequent than nucleotide mutations. However, the  $\gamma/\mu$  values for the freshwater subclade IIIb and the marine bathytype Ic.1 were half of those observed for the surface oceanic clade (Fig. 3A and Table 1). These results had been previously reported using single-cell genomics, thus corroborating the reliability of both methods (34). A parameter that might affect the recombination rate could be the cell density that can be estimated by recruitment (RPKG). A lower population density could lead to a reduction in the recombination rate as in the case of the deep-ocean SAR11 bathytype (Table 1). We also measured the fraction of genomes in the samples that have undergone recombination ("c" [recombination coverage]), which ranges from 0 to 1, taking 0 as the population that has evolved without recombination (48). These data reveal that within Ia.3 genomospecies, between 0.60 and 0.75 of the reference genomes had undergone recombination (Fig. 3A). Similar values were obtained for the other marine SAR11 groups analyzed. On the other hand, c dropped to 0.46 for the freshwater subclade IIIb (Fig. 3A and Table 1). To double-check this high level of recombination detected for the Ia.3 genomospecies, we generated individual phylogenetic trees for 84 core genes and





**FIG 3** (A) Box plot showing the ratio of recombination to mutation ( $\gamma/\mu$ ) (left *y* axis) (blue boxes) and the fraction of the sample diversity (*c*) that results from recombination events (right *y* axis) (green boxes). (B) Phylogenomic tree of the la.3 subclade using 232 shared proteins in common and considering only the three most complete genomes per genomospecies. The isolated genome of HIMB058, which belongs to a distant subclade, was used as an outgroup. Numbers located in the three most ancient branches and in green or red indicate the number of proteins that, after an individual phylogenetic analysis, produced or failed to rescue the same topology, respectively. Bootstrap values are indicated as black circles on the nodes. (C) Similar to panel A but with some reference marine microbes.

compared them against the consensus tree generated by a concatenation of all genes present in all genomes (232 genes). The results (Fig. 3B) supported the highly recombinogenic nature of SAR11 populations (37) and the conclusion drawn from metagenomic data.

The opportunistic bloomers *A. macleodii* and *E. citreus* showed  $\gamma/\mu$  values of ca. 4, similar to those obtained using phylogenomic comparisons (49). Significantly, higher  $\gamma/\mu$  values were found for *Synechococcus*, within the range of those detected in freshwater SAR11 genomospecies IIIb and bathytype Ic representatives (Fig. 3C and Table 1). In addition, the two archaeal genomes analyzed (from the phyla *Euryarchaeota* and *Thaumarchaeota*) showed  $\gamma/\mu$  values comparable to those of SAR11 (ca. 20). Finally, *Prochlorococcus* had  $\gamma/\mu$  values close to three times those of SAR11 (ca. 60), although the recombination coverage was similar (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The *c* values were lower for all other microbes, ranging from 0.33 to 0.58 of the reference genome (Fig. 3C, Table 1, and Table S3). We also compared these SAR11 parameters ( $\gamma/\mu$  ratio and *c*) with those obtained in some pathogenic microbes using the same methodology (48). *Mycobacterium abscessus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (known to be highly recombinogenic [50, 51]), with the highest recombination values (13 and 11, respectively), had  $\gamma/\mu$  values close to half of those detected in the surface oceanic SAR11 clades.

Although the specific mechanisms of gene transfer in SAR11 populations are unknown, it seems to be clear that these microbes exchange parts of their genome with remarkable frequency. It has been suggested that SAR11 can take up DNA from the environment due to the presence of DNA uptake and competence genes (52). Furthermore, we found a prophage inserted in a tRNA-Val in the SAG-MED28 genome (Fig. S3) that clustered with several viral sequences recovered from a metagenomic sample from the Mediterranean deep chlorophyll maximum (53). While this SAG is a member of another subclade (IIa.B), this showed that transduction occurs among SAR11 clades as proposed previously (54).

**Environmentally persistent clones.** Despite the remarkably high intrapopulation diversity in SAR11, the increased genomic diversity in public data sets has led to the



discovery of two pairs of nearly identical genomes from different samples and years, i.e., evidence of environmentally persistent clones. Specifically, HTCC7217 and HTCC7211, belonging to the genomospecies la.3/l, were isolated from the Bermuda-Atlantic Time Series (BATS) site in 2006 (55). While these genomes had a divergence of 95% ANI, the genomes from HTCC7217 and the single-amplified genome AG-414-C04, which were retrieved from the same region but 4 years apart, presented an ANI of 99.8% (Fig. S4). Along these lines, we found another single-amplified genome (SAG-MED25 [33]) that was nearly identical to the other BATS isolate, HTCC7211 (99.8% ANI), in the Mediterranean Sea, 9 years later (Fig. S4). The coverage of the single-amplified genome on the pure-culture genomes was in both cases more than 70%. Furthermore, the gene contents of the flexible regions found to be drastically different between HTCC7217 and HTCC7211, including the previously identified hypervariable region 2 (HVR2) (56), were also conserved in these nearly identical genomes rescued much later (Fig. S4). These results suggest that there are SAR11 lineages with high persistence and minimal genomic variation within the available time frames (years apart).

# DISCUSSION

Understanding the high genomic level of heterogeneity within marine prokaryotic populations has been a challenge for microbiologists in recent years (57). In asexual microorganisms, one of the possible scenarios proposed to explain such diversity is the presence of several clonal subpopulations (or ecotypes) with different ecological adaptations to discrete niches, which generates barriers and promotes the decrease of recombination between them (58). Another possibility is that these subpopulations occupy the same niche, and the overall diversity is provided, mainly, by high recombination rates, preventing clonal sweeps in a "quasisexual" manner (59). Multiple evolutionary models have been proposed to explain the diversification of distinct lineages within a population (40, 60, 61); however, the identification of many of these patterns in natural populations is something that has not been elucidated so far. Using a metagenomics approach, we have studied the ecological and evolutionary processes of natural populations of SAR11. Our results are in agreement with evolutionary dynamics of some SAR11 representatives consistent with quasisexual evolution, as has been described for cyanobacterial biofilms (59), where high recombination rates between closely and distantly related lineages promote the homogenization of the populations, leading to a stable population that may remain unchanged (but with high intrapopulation diversity) for extended periods (Fig. 4A and B). The cohesiveness of the core genome driven by homologous recombination has also been explained by mathematical models (62). Recently, a similar evolutionary regime has been characterized using a computational model and defined the concept of "metastable" populations (40). In addition, we observed an accumulation of synonymous replacements that, combined with the high intrapopulation sequence diversity, suggests an evolutionary scenario in which nonsynonymous mutations probably have been purged over time since purifying selection cannot act at short time scales (Fig. 4B). Therefore, this could support the idea of a very ancient divergence of SAR11 populations. The presence of high genomic diversity within each population (genomospecies) might be maintained by negative density-dependent selection by viruses (kill the winner) as predicted by the constant-diversity model (14). This is reflected in the linear recruitment plots, where the threshold is located above 80% identity, and by the higher intrapopulation sequence diversity (ANIr of <95%) showing less clonal populations (Fig. 4C). This high genomic diversity of SAR11 genomospecies might provide the population with better flexibility to adjust to environmental oscillations (25), such as a greater affinity for certain micronutrients with patchy distributions near the nutrient-depleted surface. Within the broad environmental niche (surface waters of oceans around the world), if there are no barriers to gene flow, all SAR11 populations could be able to remain at a basal level of abundance provided that their extinction is prevented and multiple beneficial mutations spread throughout the population at the same time ("soft sweeps") (60, 63). Interestingly, the adaptation of SAR11 genomospecies to other environments in which



**FIG 4** Evolutionary model for reference marine microbes (RMM) and SAR11 populations. RMM evolution is characterized by "hard" selective sweeps that reduce genomic diversity, and only a single adaptive lineage arises from the population. However, SAR11 evolution is characterized by "soft" sweeps, where multiple beneficial mutations contribute to an adaptive substitution, which are dispersed by the population, contributing to an increase in genetic diversity. (A) Relative abundances of the populations (*y* axis) and evolution over time (*x* axis). (B) Dynamics of different evolutionary parameters over time, where each vertical dotted line differentiates different diversification events in RMM, while in SAR11 populations, the same structure is maintained over time. (C) Recruitment plot showing intrapopulation sequence diversity. The red dashed line indicates the species threshold (95%). Different colors indicate different populations.

their populations are less dense, such as the bathypelagic ocean, makes their genomic diversification more similar to that of the RMM with higher, density-dependent pN/pS ratios.

In comparison with a study conducted by Delmont and collaborators (25), we have identified far fewer nonsynonymous variables. This can be attributed to differences in mapping stringencies, coverage cutoffs, algorithms identifying variants, and, finally, the portions of the genomes being considered.

In contrast, the selected RMM (regardless of their ecological strategy) appear to adjust more closely to the ecotype model (57, 64). A higher relative abundance (more read recruitment) is positively correlated with higher PPS values, and these are correlated with a higher pN/pS ratio (nonsynonymous mutations that have not yet been purged) (Fig. 4B). This phenomenon could be driven by an earlier stage of ecological, geographic, or behavioral processes of diversification (65). Unlike SAR11 evolution (soft sweep), successive "hard" selective sweeps seem to dominate the evolution of these other marine microbes, where part of the genomic diversity is purged from the population by the rise of a few adaptive lineages (66). There is also the possibility that higher growth rates (or sporadic growth rates in the case of bloomers) generate mutation rates that selection cannot purge before the next crash of the population. These demographic patterns would also lead to lower intrapopulation sequence diversity (ANIr of >95%) (Fig. 4C). Overall, the results obtained in this study improve our understanding of the evolutionary processes behind marine microbial populations and, in particular, shed light on the extraordinary success of SAR11. Our evolutionary model



and metagenomic approaches are broadly applicable to examine ecological and evolutionary patterns in natural populations in other environments.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Genome retrieval from public data sets.** Genomes belonging to the SAR11 clade (marine and freshwater) were downloaded from the NCBI database and phylogenomically classified in previous work (33). In addition, some representatives of well-known marine microbes were also downloaded from the NCBI: *Alteromonas macleodii* AD45 (NCBI accession number GCF\_000300185.1), *Erythrobacter citreus* LAMA-915 (NCBI accession number GCA\_001235865.1), *Synechococcus* sp. strain CC9902 (NCBI accession number GCA\_00012505.1), *"Ca.* Nitrosopelagicus brevis" CN25 (NCBI accession number GCA\_00012185.1), *MG*-II *Thalassoarchaea* (BioSample accession number SAMN02954236), and *Prochlorococcus marinus* MED4 (NCBI accession number GCA\_000011465.1). The general features of these genomes are shown in Table S4 in the supplemental material. For each genome, coding DNA sequences were predicted using Prodigal (67). tRNA and rRNA genes were predicted using tRNAscan-SE (68), ssu-align (69), and meta-rna (70). To infer the function, predicted protein sequences were compared against NCBI NR databases using DIAMOND (71) and against COG (72) and TIGFRAM (73) using HMMscan (74).

**Metagenomic fragment recruitment.** Several metagenomic data sets were used to recruit reads against several SAR11 subclades (including the freshwater LD12 and the marine bathypelagic ecotypes) and some reference marine microbes (see above). Briefly, raw reads from the *Tara* Oceans (3) and GEOTRACES (31) expeditions and a metagenomic data set collected at different depths, years, and seasons from the Mediterranean Sea (8, 75) were downloaded from the ENA and NCBI databases (BioProject accession numbers PRJEB1787, PRJNA385854, PRJNA352798, and PRJNA257723). In addition, we performed recruitment analyses of several freshwater metagenomes downloaded from the JGI database (https://img.jgi.doe.gov/).

To avoid an overestimation of genome abundances (33) in the samples, the complete ribosomal operon gene cluster was manually removed from each genome sequence prior to recruitment. Metagenomic reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.36 (76). Only reads with a Phred score of  $\geq$ 30, that were  $\geq$ 50 bp long, and that had no ambiguous bases (N's) were kept. These high-quality trimmed metagenomic reads were then aligned using BLASTN (77), using a cutoff of 98% nucleotide identity and an alignment length of  $\geq$ 50 nucleotides. They were used to compute the RPKG (reads recruited per kilobase of genome and per gigabase of metagenome) values, which provide a normalized number comparable across various metagenomes. Since different data sets with different read lengths (Illumina HiSeq 2×100 bp and 2×150 bp) were used for recruitment, each metagenome was also normalized, dividing the size of the database by its average read size.

Pairwise comparison between genomes and environmental metagenomic reads. The average nucleotide identity (ANI) between a pair of genomes was calculated using JSpecies software with default parameters (78). Meanwhile, the average nucleotide identity of metagenomic short reads (ANIr) was calculated by recruiting high-quality trimmed metagenomic reads (see above) against reference genomes using BLASTN (77), with a cutoff of 80% nucleotide identity and an alignment length of  $\geq$ 50 nucleotides.

**Recombination rates among groups.** High-quality trimmed metagenomic reads were aligned against individual genomes using the Bowtie2 -sensitive-local mode (79). In more detail, for each SAR11 genomospecies, three genomes were used to align reads from three metagenomes. Conversely, for the freshwater LD12 clade and the other marine representative genomes, only one genome was used to align reads from three metagenomes. The resulting SAM files were converted and sorted into BAM files using SAMtools (80) and used to carry out the analysis of the rates of recombination among groups. In a first approach, we applied mcorr software (https://github.com/kussell-lab/mcorr) (48) to infer the parameters of homologous recombination within *in situ* samples, that is, the rate of recombination events. As described previously (48), a c value of 0 indicates clonal evolution, whereas if the value reaches 1, the microbe has recombined nearly its whole genome.

In another approach, we analyzed in more detail the high rate of recombination within the Ia.3 subclade (genomospecies I, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII) (33) by phylogenetic analyses. To do that, encoded proteins were clustered using cd-hit (81), with identity and alignment thresholds of 70% identity and 80% length, respectively. Only clusters with one protein per genome were considered. In the end, 84 shared proteins among genomes were selected and phylogenetically studied individually. Individual sets of proteins were aligned with muscle (82), and a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed using iq-tree (83) with the following parameters: Jones-Taylor-Thornton model, five discrete rate gamma categories, 1,000 ultrafast bootstrap approximations, and elimination of positions with <80% site coverage. Next, the resulting topologies were compared to the phylogenomic tree obtained by using all shared proteins reported previously (33).

**Microdiversity.** To estimate mutational frequencies, raw reads were mapped to assembled genomes using Bowtie2 (79). Following read mapping, the generated bam files were downsampled to 1 million reads per genome. This step was performed to avoid that differences in genome coverage affected the subsequent results. Next, the subsampled BAM files were analyzed through Diversitools (http://josephhughes.github.io/DiversiTools/) to obtain counts of synonymous and nonsynonymous mutations in each protein, from each genome in each tested metagenome sample. We considered valid only those codon mutations that were detected at least four times, in at least 0.1% of the mapped reads, with a coverage equal to or above 5×. The frequencies of mutations that passed the above-mentioned criteria



were used as the input to calculate pN/pS ratios and the percentage of polymorphic sites, as previously described (84). We calculated the pN/pS ratio using a range from 100,000 to 1 million reads per genome in order to analyze the coverage bias. Finally, 1 million reads per genome and sample were used for the analysis to normalize the values.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only.

FIG S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S2, PDF file, 0.04 MB. FIG S3, PDF file, 0.02 MB. FIG S4, PDF file, 0.02 MB. TABLE S1, PDF file, 0.3 MB. TABLE S2, PDF file, 0.4 MB. TABLE S3, PDF file, 0.4 MB. TABLE S4, PDF file, 0.2 MB.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants VIREVO CGL2016-76273-P (AEI/FEDER, EU) (cofunded with FEDER funds) from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía, Industria, y Competitividad and HIDRAS3 PROMETEU/2019/009 from the Generalitat Valenciana to F.R.-V. and by grants CGL2013-40564-R and SAF2013-49267-EXP from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía, Industria, y Competitividad; grant ACIF/2015/332 from the Generalitat Valenciana; and grant 5334 from the Betty Moore Foundation to M.M.-G. F.R.-V. was also a beneficiary of the 5top100-program of the Ministry for Science and Education of Russia. J.M.H.-M. was supported by a Ph.D. fellowship from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (BES-2014-067828). F.H.C. was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Generalitat Valenciana (APOSTD/2018/ 186). M.L.-P. was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía, Industria, y Competitividad (IJCI-2017-34002).

M.L.-P. conceived the study. J.M.H.-M., F.H.C., F.R.-V., and M.L.-P. analyzed the data. J.M.H.-M., F.R.-V., F.H.C., M.M.-G., and M.L.-P. contributed to writing the manuscript.

We declare that we have no competing interests.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Arrigo KR. 2005. Marine microorganisms and global nutrient cycles. Nature 437:349–355. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04159.
- Rusch DB, Halpern AL, Sutton G, Heidelberg KB, Williamson S, Yooseph S, Wu D, Eisen JA, Hoffman JM, Remington K, Beeson K, Tran B, Smith H, Baden-Tillson H, Stewart C, Thorpe J, Freeman J, Andrews-Pfannkoch C, Venter JE, Li K, Kravitz S, Heidelberg JF, Utterback T, Rogers Y-H, Falcón LI, Souza V, Bonilla-Rosso G, Eguiarte LE, Karl DM, Sathyendranath S, Platt T, Bermingham E, Gallardo V, Tamayo-Castillo G, Ferrari MR, Strausberg RL, Nealson K, Friedman R, Frazier M, Venter JC. 2007. The Sorcerer II Global Ocean Sampling expedition: northwest Atlantic through eastern tropical Pacific. PLoS Biol 5:e77. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050077.
- 3. Sunagawa S, Coelho LP, Chaffron S, Kultima JR, Labadie K, Salazar G, Djahanschiri B, Zeller G, Mende DR, Alberti A, Cornejo-Castillo FM, Costea PI, Cruaud C, d'Ovidio F, Engelen S, Ferrera I, Gasol JM, Guidi L, Hildebrand F, Kokoszka F, Lepoivre C, Lima-Mendez G, Poulain J, Poulos BT, Royo-Llonch M, Sarmento H, Vieira-Silva S, Dimier C, Picheral M, Searson S, Kandels-Lewis S, Bowler C, de Vargas C, Gorsky G, Grimsley N, Hingamp P, Iudicone D, Jaillon O, Not F, Ogata H, Pesant S, Speich S, Stemmann L, Sullivan MB, Weissenbach J, Wincker P, Karsenti E, Raes J, Acinas SG, Bork P, Tara Oceans Coordinators. 2015. Ocean plankton. Structure and function of the global ocean microbiome. Science 348: 1261359. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261359.
- Fuhrman JA, Hewson I, Schwalbach MS, Steele JA, Brown MV, Naeem S. 2006. Annually reoccurring bacterial communities are predictable from ocean conditions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:13104–13109. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602399103.
- 5. Treusch AH, Vergin KL, Finlay LA, Donatz MG, Burton RM, Carlson CA, Giovannoni SJ. 2009. Seasonality and vertical structure of microbial

September/October 2020 Volume 5 Issue 5 e00605-20

communities in an ocean gyre. ISME J 3:1148–1163. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ismej.2009.60.

- Gilbert JA, Steele JA, Caporaso JG, Steinbrück L, Reeder J, Temperton B, Huse S, McHardy AC, Knight R, Joint I, Somerfield P, Fuhrman JA, Field D. 2012. Defining seasonal marine microbial community dynamics. ISME J 6:298–308. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.107.
- Delong EF, Preston CM, Mincer T, Rich V, Hallam SJ, Frigaard N, Martinez A, Sullivan MB, Edwards R, Brito BR, Chisholm SW, Karl DM. 2006. Community genomics among microbial assemblages in the Ocean's interior. Science 311:496–503. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1120250.
- Haro-Moreno JM, López-Pérez M, de la Torre JR, Picazo A, Camacho A, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2018. Fine metagenomic profile of the Mediterranean stratified and mixed water columns revealed by assembly and recruitment. Microbiome 6:128. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018 -0513-5.
- Pachiadaki MG, Brown JM, Brown J, Bezuidt O, Berube PM, Biller SJ, Poulton NJ, Burkart MD, La Clair JJ, Chisholm SW, Stepanauskas R. 2019. Charting the complexity of the marine microbiome through single-cell genomics. Cell 179:1623–1635.e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.11 .017.
- Alneberg J, Karlsson CMG, Divne A-M, Bergin C, Homa F, Lindh MV, Hugerth LW, Ettema TJG, Bertilsson S, Andersson AF, Pinhassi J. 2018. Genomes from uncultivated prokaryotes: a comparison of metagenomeassembled and single-amplified genomes. Microbiome 6:173. https://doi .org/10.1186/s40168-018-0550-0.
- Bowers RM, Kyrpides NC, Stepanauskas R, Harmon-Smith M, Doud D, Reddy TBK, Schulz F, Jarett J, Rivers AR, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Tringe SG, Ivanova NN, Copeland A, Clum A, Becraft ED, Malmstrom RR, Birren B, Podar M, Bork P, Weinstock GM, Garrity GM, Dodsworth JA, Yooseph S,

**mSystems**<sup>°</sup>

Sutton G, Glöckner FO, Gilbert JA, Nelson WC, Hallam SJ, Jungbluth SP, Ettema TJG, Tighe S, Konstantinidis KT, Liu WT, Baker BJ, Rattei T, Eisen JA, Hedlund B, McMahon KD, Fierer N, Knight R, Finn R, Cochrane G, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Tyson GW, Rinke C, Lapidus A, Meyer F, Yilmaz P, Parks DH, et al. 2017. Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. Nat Biotechnol 35:725–731. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt .3893.

- Kashtan N, Roggensack SE, Rodrigue S, Thompson JW, Biller SJ, Coe A, Ding H, Marttinen P, Malmstrom RR, Stocker R, Follows MJ, Stepanauskas R, Chisholm SW. 2014. Single-cell genomics reveals hundreds of coexisting subpopulations in wild Prochlorococcus. Science 344:416–420. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1248575.
- Gonzaga A, Martin-Cuadrado AB, López-Pérez M, Mizuno CM, García-Heredia I, Kimes NE, Lopez-García P, Moreira D, Ussery D, Zaballos M, Ghai R, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2012. Polyclonality of concurrent natural populations of Alteromonas macleodii. Genome Biol Evol 4:1360–1374. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evs112.
- Rodriguez-Valera F, Martin-Cuadrado A-B, Rodriguez-Brito B, Pasić L, Thingstad TF, Rohwer F, Mira A. 2009. Explaining microbial population genomics through phage predation. Nat Rev Microbiol 7:828–836. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2235.
- Tettelin H, Riley D, Cattuto C, Medini D. 2008. Comparative genomics: the bacterial pan-genome. Curr Opin Microbiol 11:472–477. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.mib.2008.09.006.
- López-Pérez M, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2016. Pangenome evolution in the marine bacterium Alteromonas. Genome Biol Evol 8:1556–1570. https:// doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evw098.
- Meziti A, Tsementzi D, Rodriguez-R LM, Hatt JK, Karayanni H, Kormas KA, Konstantinidis KT. 2019. Quantifying the changes in genetic diversity within sequence-discrete bacterial populations across a spatial and temporal riverine gradient. ISME J 13:767–779. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41396-018-0307-6.
- Garcia SL, Stevens SLR, Crary B, Martinez-Garcia M, Stepanauskas R, Woyke T, Tringe SG, Andersson SGE, Bertilsson S, Malmstrom RR, McMahon KD. 2018. Contrasting patterns of genome-level diversity across distinct co-occurring bacterial populations. ISME J 12:742–755. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41396-017-0001-0.
- Bendall ML, Stevens SL, Chan L-K, Malfatti S, Schwientek P, Tremblay J, Schackwitz W, Martin J, Pati A, Bushnell B, Froula J, Kang D, Tringe SG, Bertilsson S, Moran MA, Shade A, Newton RJ, McMahon KD, Malmstrom RR. 2016. Genome-wide selective sweeps and gene-specific sweeps in natural bacterial populations. ISME J 10:1589–1513. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ismej.2015.241.
- Caro-Quintero A, Konstantinidis KT. 2012. Bacterial species may exist, metagenomics reveal. Environ Microbiol 14:347–355. https://doi.org/10 .1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02668.x.
- Konstantinidis KT, Delong EF. 2008. Genomic patterns of recombination clonal divergence and environment in marine microbial populations. ISME J 2:1052–1065. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.62.
- Konstantinidis KT, Tiedje JM. 2005. Genomic insights that advance the species definition for prokaryotes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 2567–2572. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409727102.
- Cohan FM. 2019. Systematics: the cohesive nature of bacterial species taxa. Curr Biol 29:R169–R172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.01.033.
- Tsementzi D, Wu J, Deutsch S, Nath S, Rodriguez-R LM, Burns AS, Ranjan P, Sarode N, Malmstrom RR, Padilla CC, Stone BK, Bristow LA, Larsen M, Glass JB, Thamdrup B, Woyke T, Konstantinidis KT, Stewart FJ. 2016. SAR11 bacteria linked to ocean anoxia and nitrogen loss. Nature 536: 179–183. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19068.
- Delmont TO, Kiefl E, Kilinc O, Esen OC, Uysal I, Rappé MS, Giovannoni S, Eren AM. 2019. Single-amino acid variants reveal evolutionary processes that shape the biogeography of a global SAR11 subclade. Elife 8:e46497. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46497.
- Coutinho FH, Rosselli R, Rodríguez-Valera F. 2019. Trends of microdiversity reveal depth-dependent evolutionary strategies of viruses in the Mediterranean. mSystems 4:e00554-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/ mSystems.00554-19.
- Orellana LH, Ben Francis T, Krüger K, Teeling H, Müller M-C, Fuchs BM, Konstantinidis KT, Amann RI. 2019. Niche differentiation among annually recurrent coastal marine group II Euryarchaeota. ISME J 13:3024–3036. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0491-z.
- 28. Giovannoni SJ. 2017. SAR11 bacteria: the most abundant plankton in the

oceans. Annu Rev Mar Sci 9:231-255. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev -marine-010814-015934.

- Delmont TO, Quince C, Shaiber A, Esen ÖC, Lee STM, Rappé MS, McLellan SL, Lücker S, Eren AM. 2018. Nitrogen-fixing populations of Planctomycetes and Proteobacteria are abundant in surface ocean metagenomes. Nat Microbiol 3:804–813. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0176-9.
- Parks DH, Rinke C, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil P-A, Woodcroft BJ, Evans PN, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2017. Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenomeassembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life. Nat Microbiol 2:1533–1542. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-017-0012-7.
- Biller SJ, Berube PM, Dooley K, Williams M, Satinsky BM, Hackl T, Hogle SL, Coe A, Bergauer K, Bouman HA, Browning TJ, De Corte D, Hassler C, Hulston D, Jacquot JE, Maas EW, Reinthaler T, Sintes E, Yokokawa T, Chisholm SW. 2018. Data descriptor: marine microbial metagenomes sampled across space and time. Sci Data 5:180176. https://doi.org/10 .1038/sdata.2018.176.
- Thrash J, Temperton B, Swan BK, Landry ZC, Woyke T, DeLong EF, Stepanauskas R, Giovannoni SJ. 2014. Single-cell enabled comparative genomics of a deep ocean SAR11 bathytype. ISME J 8:1440–1451. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.243.
- Haro-Moreno JM, Rodriguez-Valera F, Rosselli R, Martinez-Hernandez F, Roda-Garcia JJ, Lluesma Gomez M, Fornas O, Martinez-Garcia M, López-Pérez M. 2020. Ecogenomics of the SAR11 clade. Environ Microbiol 22:1748–1763. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14896.
- Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka K, Viklund J, Zhao W, Ast J, Sczyrba A, Woyke T, McMahon K, Bertilsson S, Stepanauskas R, Andersson SGE. 2013. Singlecell genomics reveal low recombination frequencies in freshwater bacteria of the SAR11 clade. Genome Biol 14:R130. https://doi.org/10.1186/ gb-2013-14-11-r130.
- Luo H, Thompson LR, Stingl U, Hughes AL. 2015. Selection maintains low genomic GC content in marine SAR11 lineages. Mol Biol Evol 32: 2738–2748. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv149.
- Thompson LR, Haroon MF, Shibl AA, Cahill MJ, Ngugi DK, Williams GJ, Morton JT, Knight R, Goodwin KD, Stingl U. 2019. Red Sea SAR11 and *Prochlorococcus* single-cell genomes reflect globally distributed pangenomes. Appl Environ Microbiol 85:e00369-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.00369-19.
- Vergin KL, Tripp HJ, Wilhelm LJ, Denver DR, Rappé MS, Giovannoni SJ. 2007. High intraspecific recombination rate in a native population of Candidatus Pelagibacter ubique (SAR11). Environ Microbiol 9:2430–2440. https://doi .org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01361.x.
- Vos M, Didelot X. 2009. A comparison of homologous recombination rates in bacteria and archaea. ISME J 3:199–208. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ismej.2008.93.
- Henson MW, Lanclos VC, Faircloth BC, Thrash JC. 2018. Cultivation and genomics of the first freshwater SAR11 (LD12) isolate. ISME J 12: 1846–1860. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0092-2.
- Dixit PD, Pang TY, Maslov S. 2017. Recombination-driven genome evolution and stability of bacterial species. Genetics 207:281–295. https:// doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.300061.
- Brown MV, Lauro FM, DeMaere MZ, Muir L, Wilkins D, Thomas T, Riddle MJ, Fuhrman JA, Andrews-Pfannkoch C, Hoffman JM, McQuaid JB, Allen A, Rintoul SR, Cavicchioli R. 2012. Global biogeography of SAR11 marine bacteria. Mol Syst Biol 8:595. https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2012.28.
- Haro-Moreno JM, Rodriguez-Valera F, López-Pérez M. 2019. Prokaryotic population dynamics and viral predation in a marine succession experiment using metagenomics. Front Microbiol 10:2926. https://doi.org/10 .3389/fmicb.2019.02926.
- Zheng Q, Lin W, Liu Y, Chen C, Jiao N. 2016. A comparison of 14 Erythrobacter genomes provides insights into the genomic divergence and scattered distribution of phototrophs. Front Microbiol 7:984. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00984.
- Hou S, López-Pérez M, Pfreundt U, Belkin N, Stüber K, Huettel B, Reinhardt R, Berman-Frank I, Rodriguez-Valera F, Hess WR. 2018. Benefit from decline: the primary transcriptome of Alteromonas macleodii str. Te101 during Trichodesmium demise. ISME J 12:981–996. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-017-0034-4.
- Tada Y, Taniguchi A, Nagao I, Miki T, Uematsu M, Tsuda A, Hamasaki K. 2011. Differing growth responses of major phylogenetic groups of marine bacteria to natural phytoplankton blooms in the Western North Pacific Ocean. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:4055–4065. https://doi.org/10 .1128/AEM.02952-10.
- Oh S, Caro-Quintero A, Tsementzi D, DeLeon-Rodriguez N, Luo C, Poretsky R, Konstantinidis KT. 2011. Metagenomic insights into the



evolution, function, and complexity of the planktonic microbial community of Lake Lanier, a temperate freshwater ecosystem. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:6000–6011. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00107-11.

- Roveri M, Flecker R, Krijgsman W, Lofi J, Lugli S, Manzi V, Sierro FJ, Bertini A, Camerlenghi A, De Lange G, Govers R, Hilgen FJ, Hübscher C, Meijer PT, Stoica M. 2014. The Messinian salinity crisis: past and future of a great challenge for marine sciences. Mar Geol 352:25–58. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.margeo.2014.02.002.
- Lin M, Kussell E. 2019. Inferring bacterial recombination rates from large-scale sequencing datasets. Nat Methods 16:199–204. https://doi .org/10.1038/s41592-018-0293-7.
- López-Pérez M, Gonzaga A, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2013. Genomic diversity of "deep ecotype" Alteromonas macleodii isolates: evidence for pan-Mediterranean clonal frames. Genome Biol Evol 5:1220–1232. https:// doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evt089.
- Sapriel G, Konjek J, Orgeur M, Bouri L, Frézal L, Roux AL, Dumas E, Brosch R, Bouchier C, Brisse S, Vandenbogaert M, Thiberge JM, Caro V, Ngeow YF, Tan JL, Herrmann JL, Gaillard JL, Heym B, Wirth T. 2016. Genomewide mosaicism within Mycobacterium abscessus: evolutionary and epidemiological implications. BMC Genomics 17:118. https://doi.org/10 .1186/s12864-016-2448-1.
- Dettman JR, Rodrigue N, Kassen R. 2014. Genome-wide patterns of recombination in the opportunistic human pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Genome Biol Evol 7:18–34. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/ evu260.
- Giovannoni SJ, Tripp HJ, Givan S, Podar M, Vergin KL, Baptista D, Bibbs L, Eads J, Richardson TH, Noordewier M, Rappé MS, Short JM, Carrington JC, Mathur EJ. 2005. Genome streamlining in a cosmopolitan oceanic bacterium. Science 309:1242–1245. https://doi.org/10.1126/science .1114057.
- Mizuno CM, Rodriguez-Valera F, Kimes NE, Ghai R. 2013. Expanding the marine virosphere using metagenomics. PLoS Genet 9:e1003987. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003987.
- Zhao Y, Qin F, Zhang R, Giovannoni SJ, Zhang Z, Sun J, Du S, Rensing C. 2019. Pelagiphages in the Podoviridae family integrate into host genomes. Environ Microbiol 21:1989–2001. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462 -2920.14487.
- 55. Stingl U, Tripp HJ, Giovannoni SJ. 2007. Improvements of highthroughput culturing yielded novel SAR11 strains and other abundant marine bacteria from the Oregon coast and the Bermuda Atlantic Time Series study site. ISME J 1:361–371. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ismej.2007.49.
- Wilhelm LJ, Tripp HJ, Givan SA, Smith DP, Giovannoni SJ. 2007. Natural variation in SAR11 marine bacterioplankton genomes inferred from metagenomic data. Biol Direct 2:27. https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6150 -2-27.
- Cordero OX, Polz MF. 2014. Explaining microbial genomic diversity in light of evolutionary ecology. Nat Rev Microbiol 12:263–273. https://doi .org/10.1038/nrmicro3218.
- Cohan FM, Perry EB. 2007. A systematics for discovering the fundamental units of bacterial diversity. Curr Biol 17:R373–R386. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cub.2007.03.032.
- 59. Rosen MJ, Davison M, Bhaya D, Fisher DS. 2015. Fine-scale diversity and extensive recombination in a quasisexual bacterial population occupying a broad niche. Science 348:1019–1023. https://doi.org/10 .1126/science.aaa4456.
- Messer PW, Petrov DA. 2013. Population genomics of rapid adaptation by soft selective sweeps. Trends Ecol Evol 28:659–669. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tree.2013.08.003.
- Rocha EPC. 2018. Neutral theory, microbial practice: challenges in bacterial population genetics. Mol Biol Evol 35:1338–1347. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/molbev/msy078.
- Iranzo J, Wolf YI, Koonin EV, Sela I. 2019. Gene gain and loss push prokaryotes beyond the homologous recombination barrier and accelerate genome sequence divergence. Nat Commun 10:5376. https://doi .org/10.1038/s41467-019-13429-2.
- Hermisson J, Pennings PS. 2005. Soft sweeps: molecular population genetics of adaptation from standing genetic variation. Genetics 169: 2335–2352. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.036947.

- 64. Cohan FM. 2001. Bacterial species and speciation. Syst Biol 50:513–524. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150118398.
- Shapiro BJ, Friedman J, Cordero OX, Preheim SP, Timberlake SC, Szabó G, Polz MF, Alm EJ. 2012. Population genomics of early events in the ecological differentiation of bacteria. Science 336:48–51. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1218198.
- 66. Messer PW, Neher RA. 2012. Estimating the strength of selective sweeps from deep population diversity data. Genetics 191:593–605. https://doi .org/10.1534/genetics.112.138461.
- Hyatt D, Chen G-L, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics 11:119. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471 -2105-11-119.
- Lowe TM, Eddy SR. 1997. TRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res 25:955–964. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.5.955.
- 69. Nawrocki EP. 2009. Structural RNA homology search and alignment using covariance models. PhD thesis. Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, MO.
- Huang Y, Gilna P, Li W. 2009. Identification of ribosomal RNA genes in metagenomic fragments. Bioinformatics 25:1338–1340. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp161.
- Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat Methods 12:59–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth .3176.
- Tatusov RL, Natale DA, Garkavtsev IV, Tatusova TA, Shankavaram UT, Rao BS, Kiryutin B, Galperin MY, Fedorova ND, Koonin EV. 2001. The COG database: new developments in phylogenetic classification of proteins from complete genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 29:22–28. https://doi.org/10 .1093/nar/29.1.22.
- Haft DH, Loftus BJ, Richardson DL, Yang F, Eisen JA, Paulsen IT, White O. 2001. TIGRFAMs: a protein family resource for the functional identification of proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 29:41–43. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/29.1.41.
- 74. Eddy SR. 2011. Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput Biol 7:e1002195. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195.
- Haro-Moreno JM, Rodriguez-Valera F, López-García P, Moreira D, Martin-Cuadrado A-B. 2017. New insights into marine group III Euryarchaeota, from dark to light. ISME J 11:1102–1117. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej .2016.188.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389.
- Richter M, Rossello-Mora R. 2009. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species definition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 19126–19131. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906412106.
- 79. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
- Huang Y, Niu B, Gao Y, Fu L, Li W. 2010. CD-HIT Suite: a Web server for clustering and comparing biological sequences. Bioinformatics 26: 680–682. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq003.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/gkh340.
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 32:268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msu300.
- Schloissnig S, Arumugam M, Sunagawa S, Mitreva M, Tap J, Zhu A, Waller A, Mende DR, Kultima JR, Martin J, Kota K, Sunyaev SR, Weinstock GM, Bork P. 2013. Genomic variation landscape of the human gut microbiome. Nature 493:45–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11711.