

# 

**Citation:** Peláez R, Morales X, Salvo E, Garasa S, Ortiz de Solórzano C, Martínez A, et al. (2017) β3 integrin expression is required for invadopodiamediated ECM degradation in lung carcinoma cells. PLoS ONE 12(8): e0181579. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0181579

**Editor:** Jung Weon Lee, Seoul National University College of Pharmacy, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Received: March 21, 2017

Accepted: July 3, 2017

Published: August 2, 2017

**Copyright:** © 2017 Peláez et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** RP was supported by Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness /Torres Quevedo program PTQ-11-04778. This work was funded by Institute of Health Carlos III grants [PI10/02131 (AR) and PI13/02313 (AR)] and Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness grants [DPI2012-38090-C03-02(COS) and DPI2015-64221-C2-2 (COS)]. The funders had no role in **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# β3 integrin expression is required for invadopodia-mediated ECM degradation in lung carcinoma cells

Rafael Peláez<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Xabier Morales<sup>1,3</sup>, Elizabeth Salvo<sup>1¤</sup>, Saray Garasa<sup>1,4</sup>, Carlos Ortiz de Solórzano<sup>3</sup>, Alfredo Martínez<sup>5</sup>, Ignacio M. Larrayoz<sup>2</sup>\*, Ana Rouzaut<sup>1,4,6</sup>\*

 Department of Oncology, Center for Applied Medical Research CIMA, Pamplona, Spain, 2 Biomarkers and Molecular Signaling Group, Neurodegenerative Diseases Area, Center for Biomedical Research of La Rioja, CIBIR, Logroño, Spain, 3 Laboratory of Preclinical Models and Analytical Tools, Division of Solid Tumors and Biomarkers, Center for Applied Medical Research and CIBERONC, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain,
Department of Immunology and Immunotherapy, CIMA, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain, 5 Oncology Area, Center for Biomedical Research of La Rioja, CIBIR, Logroño, Spain, 6 Department of Biochemistry and Genetics, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

¤ Current address: Bluestone Center for Clinical Research, NYU College of Dentistry, New York, United States of America

\* rpelaez@riojasalud.es (RP); arouzaut@unav.es (AR); ilarrayoz@riojasalud.es (IML)

# Abstract

Cancer related deaths are primarily due to tumor metastasis. To facilitate their dissemination to distant sites, cancer cells develop invadopodia, actin-rich protrusions capable of degrading the surrounding extracellular matrix (ECM). We aimed to determine whether  $\beta$ 3 integrin participates in invadopodia formed by lung carcinoma cells, based on our previous findings of specific TGF-ß induction of ß3 integrin dependent metastasis in animal models of lung carcinoma. In this study, we demonstrate that lung carcinoma cells form invadopodia in response to TGF-β exposure. Invadopodia formation and degradation activity is dependent on β3 integrin expression since  $\beta$ 3 integrin deficient cells are not able to degrade gelatin-coated surfaces. Even more, transient over-expression of SRC did not restore invadopodia formation in β3 integrin deficient cells. Finally, we observed that blockade of PLC-dependent signaling leads to more intense labeling for  $\beta$ 3 integrin in invadopodia. Our results suggest that  $\beta$ 3 integrin function, and location, in lung cancer cells are essential for invadopodia formation, and this integrin regulates the activation of different signal pathways necessary for the invasive structure. B3 integrin has been associated with poor prognosis and increased metastasis in several carcinoma types, including lung cancer. Our findings provide new evidence to support the use of targeted therapies against this integrin to combat the onset of metastases.

## Introduction

Metastasis is the main cause of cancer-related death [1]. For metastasis to occur, cancer cells must leave their primary niche and move towards target organs. This journey requires overcoming tissue barriers otherwise intended to constrain cells from escaping their physiological niche. In these processes, cancer cells need to acquire matrix degrading phenotypes in which



study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

the development of stiff membrane-derived structures and the activation of matrix metalloproteinases play prominent roles as tools to drill new paths.

Recently, actin-driven matrix degradative structures called invadopodia have been described in cancer cells. These structures present strong similarities in composition and function with the podosomes described in non-transformed cells, such as osteoclasts and macrophages. Both invadopodia and podosomes are characterized by the presence of a core of actin bundles perpendicularly oriented to the substrate and surrounded by adhesion molecules such as integrins. Besides, they are endowed with strong degradative activity exerted by matrix metalloproteinases such as Mt1-MMP, Mmp2, and Mmp9 [2]. There are some differences between podosomes and invadopodia; podosomes are small, abundant and dynamic, while invadopodia constitute less dynamic structures that are usually present in lower number [3]. Invadopodia formation in primary human tumors or in cancer metastasis is not completely accepted yet. However, both *in vitro* and *in vivo* mouse models of cancer provide strong evidences that support their existence, and suggest targeting their formation as a new approach to prevent tumor spread [4].

Several soluble molecules such as EGF, HGF, and TGF- $\beta$  have been associated with invadopodia formation. Additionally, mechanical constrains derived from the underlying extracellular matrix (ECM), such as rigidity, density, and dimensionality are also important in the development of these structures. Thus, invadopodia assembly requires the integration of signals from the ECM, sensed by integrins on the cell surface, with cytoskeletal rearrangements which determine invadopodia number, size, proteolytic activity, and half-life [3,5,6].

Integrins are a family of receptors with high functional redundancy, composed by 24 different  $\alpha\beta$  heterodimers, arranged in combinations of 18 different  $\alpha$ -subunits and 8  $\beta$ -subunits [7]. Integrin activation by their binding to extracellular ligands stimulates a switch from low to high affinity conformation [8], and triggers signaling cascades that lead to the reorganization of the cytoskeleton [9,10]. Although several studies have demonstrated the relevant role of  $\beta1$ and  $\beta3$  integrins in the formation of the podosome adhesive ring, their presence in tumor invadopodia is still controversial [11]. In this sense, some reports had previously demonstrated the presence of  $\beta1$  and  $\alpha5$  integrin surrounding the invadopodia core in breast cancer cells [12], and of  $\alpha6\beta1$  and  $\alpha3\beta1$  integrins in invadopodia formed *in vitro* by melanoma cells [13]. Additionally, the presence of  $\alpha\nu\beta5$  integrin has been described in invadopodia formed *in vitro* by oral squamous cell carcinoma cells [14].

In a previous work, we established a relationship between increments in  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression induced by TGF- $\beta$  exposure and metastasis in mouse models of lung carcinoma [15], and the switch in the cell migration phenotype after integrin blockade [16]. Although  $\beta$ 3 integrin has been described as a non-essential component of osteoclast and epithelial cell podosomes [17,18,19], some authors propose that this integrin is relevant for bone mass increments [20,21]. The role of  $\beta$ 3 integrin in the development and activity of invadopodia in transformed cells is even more controversial and remains unclear [22,23].

In this work, we aim to evaluate the role that  $\beta$ 3 integrin exerts in invadopodia formation by non small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). We demonstrate that lung carcinoma cells form invadopodia in response to TGF- $\beta$ , and that this formation depends on  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression. Our results suggest a transitory, but vital, function for  $\beta$ 3 integrin in invadopodia formation in lung carcinoma cells.

#### Material and methods

#### Cell culture

The human NSCLC cell lines H157, H1299, and A549 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, LGC-Promochem SL, Barcelona, Spain). Cells were grown at

 $37^{\circ}$ C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, in RPMI medium (LONZA, Barcelona, Spain) supplemented with 10% Fetal-Clone III (HyClone) from Thermo Scientific (Waltham Massachusetts, USA) and 100 units/ ml penicillin-streptomycin. Cell lines were authenticated by PCR amplification of genomic DNA using primers for the specific CDKN2A mutation (c.205 G>T, in exon 2) and a KRAS mutation (c.34 G>C, in exon 2), followed by sequencing of the PCR products.

## Antibodies and reagents

Recombinant Human TGF- $\beta$  was purchased from R&D (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, USA). TGF- $\beta$ RI chemical inhibitor SB431542, Geneticin (G418), Puromycin dihydrochloride antibiotic, and Hygromycin B were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany), MMP inhibitor GM6001 was from Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA).

Antibodies: Fak (rabbit polyclonal antibody; #3285), pTyr397-Fak (rabbit polyclonal antibody; #3283), Src (mouse monoclonal antibody; #2110), pTyr416-Src (rabbit polyclonal antibody; #2101) and Smad2/3 (rabbit polyclonal antibody; #3102) were purchased from Cell Signaling (Danvers, MA, USA). Anti-β-actin (mouse monoclonal antibody; A5441) and pTyr421-Cortactin (rabbit polyclonal antibody; SAB4504372-100UG) were from Sigma-Aldrich. Phosho-Smad2 (rabbit polyclonal antibody; ab3849), anti-cortactin 4F11 (mouse monoclonal antibody; 05-180) and Integrin  $\beta$ 3 (mouse monoclonal antibody; MAB 2023-z) antibodies were from Millipore. Mmp2 (rabbit polyclonal antibody; RB-1537-P0) and Mmp9 (rabbit polyclonal antibody; RB-1539-P0) were from Neomarkers/Thermo Scientific. Tks5 ([FishM-300] rabbit polyclonal antibody; sc-30122), anti-mouse IgG-HRP (goat polyclonal antibody; sc-2005) and anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (goat polyclonal antibody; sc-2004) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, Texas, USA). Mmp14 ([Mt1-MMP] rabbit monoclonal antibody; ab51074) and Vinculin (mouse monoclonal antibody; ab18058) were from Abcam, (Cambridge, UK). Immunofluorescence secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor-594 donkey antirabbit (A-21207), Alexa Fluor-546 goat anti mouse (A-11003), Alexa Fluor-594 donkey antimouse (A-21203), Alexa Fluor-647 donkey anti-mouse (A-31571) and Alexa Fluor-647 donkey anti-rabbit (A-31573) were from Life Technologies (Invitrogen, Barcelona, Spain). Isotype control IgG1 ([Mg1-45] 401202) was from Biolegend (London, UK)

## **Cell treatments**

H157 NSCLC cells were cultured in serum-free RPMI with 2 ng/ml human recombinant TGF- $\beta$  for 2 or 5 days. The medium was replaced and fresh cytokine was added every 48 hours. For TGF- $\beta$  blocking experiments, tumor cells were incubated with 10 mM SB431542 30 minutes before TGF- $\beta$  treatment. MMPs were inhibited by incubation during cell adhesion with 25  $\mu$ M GM6001. For PLC inhibition, and subsequently PIP<sub>2</sub> increase, cells were incubated in 10 mM neomycin trisulphate 30 minutes before seeding.

# Tumor cell transfection

H157 cells ( $3 \times 10^5$  cells/ml) were transfected with 1 µg of a scrambled RNA or a HuShTMsh RNA Plasmid Panels-29mer targeting  $\beta$ 3 integrin (Origene, Rockville, MD, USA) in Opti-MEM medium (Invitrogen) using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulsar I electroporator (Bio-Rad, Berkeley, CA, USA). Stable  $\beta$ 3 integrin-silenced clones or cells expressing a non-specific scrambled RNA sequence were selected by culturing cells in the presence of 1.5 µg/ml puromycin dihydrochloride.

For  $\beta$ 3 integrin re-expression,  $3 \times 10^5$  H157-shRNA  $\beta$ 3 integrin cells were transfected with 1 µg of the pcDNA3-Higro+ or pcDNA3-Higro+-h $\beta$ 3 integrin plasmid [24] using FuGENE 9 Transfection Reagent (Roche, Molecular Biochemicals, [Mannheim, Germany]), following

manufacturer's instructions.  $\beta$ 3 integrin re-expression and mock cells were selected by culturing cells in the presence of 500 µg/ml hygromicin B.

To generate SRC-GFP transient expression in H157, and H157shRNA  $\beta$ 3 integrin, cell lines,  $3 \times 10^5$  cells were transfected with 1 µg of the pEGFP-SRC plasmid [25] and pEGFP-c1 using FuGENE 9 Transfection Reagent as above. Clones were selected by culturing cells in the presence of 1mg/ml G418.

#### Flow cytometry

β3 Integrin detection was made as previously described [26] by flow cytometry using a FACScan (Becton-Dickinson System). Data were processed using CellQuest software (BD-Bioscience, Madrid, Spain).

## Western blot

Total cell protein extracts were prepared using RIPA buffer as previously described [26]. All protein samples were electrophoresed in a SDS-polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto PVDF membranes. PVDF membranes were blocked for 2 hours with 5% non-fat milk or 5% BSA in TBS containing 0.1% Tween-20, and then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies. Membranes were washed three times with TBS-Tween 0.05% and incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG or anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature in 5% non-fat dry milk in TBS-Tween. Immunoreactive bands were developed with Lumi-light<sup>PLUS</sup> Western Blotting Kit (Roche, Molecular Biochemicals) and visualized using X-ray films (Amersham Hyperfilm ECL, GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK).

#### Adhesion assays

 $5x10^4$  cells were seeded onto 8 well Nunc<sup>TM</sup> Lab-Tek<sup>TM</sup> chambered coverglasses covered with gelatin from 1 to 120 hours at 37°C (Life Technologies, Invitrogen), and fixed with 4% PFA for 10 minutes. Cell adhesion and morphology was observed by immunofluorescence detection of vinculin with a confocal microscopy, as we describe later. We considered non adhered cells those that are not completely fully spread and with  $>7\mu$ m height measured by Z-Stacks reconstructions

## 2D invadopodia gelatin degradation assay

Nunc<sup>TM</sup> Lab-Tek<sup>TM</sup> chambered coverglasses (Thermo Scientific) were coated with 50 µg/ml poly-L-lysine for 15 minutes, washed with PBS, and cross-linked with 0.5% glutaraldehyde for 15 minutes. Glutaraldehyde was removed and a 100-µl drop of 1 mg/ml Alexa Fluor 488-con-jugated gelatin (Life Technologies, Invitrogen, Barcelona, Spain) was added for 10 minutes to each coverglass. The fluorescent signal was quenched with 5 mg/ml sodium borohydride for 3 minutes followed by a PBS wash. Finally, coverglasses were sterilized with 70% ethanol for 5 minutes and covered with cell growth medium 1 hour before use. To detect invadopodia formation, 100 cells/µl cells were seeded over cross-linked fluorescent conjugated matrix for 1 to 120 hours. The percentage of cells showing degradation was quantified by microscopy inspection. A cell was considered positive for the presence of invadopodia when it has at least one actin/cortactin enriched cell protrusion inside of an individual or sets of degraded areas in the ventral side of the cells.

#### 3D invadopodia matrix degradation assay

To visualize invadopodia matrix degradation,  $5 \times 10^4$  cells were embedded in 200 µl of Matrigel containing 25 µg/ml DQ-type I collagen (Life Technologies, Invitrogen) and mixed gently

before plating in 8 wells Nunc<sup>TM</sup> Lab-Tek<sup>TM</sup> chambered coverglasses (Thermo Scientific) and incubating at 37°C for 2 hours. Then, medium supplemented with 10% FetalClone III and 2 ng/ml TGF-β was added on top of the Matrigel. After 72 hours, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), permeabilized with 0.5% saponin, blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA), and F-actin was stained with TRICT-conjugated phalloidin (Sigma-Aldrich). Invadopodia were identified as actin-rich protrusions that colocalized with matrix degradations (enhanced DQ-type I collagen signal). 3D reconstructions were generated from Z-stacks using Volocity Software (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA).

# Confocal microscopy imaging

Cells were seeded onto 8 well Nunc<sup>TM</sup> Lab-Tek<sup>TM</sup> chambered coverglasses covered with gelatin from 1 to 120 hours at 37°C (Life Technologies, Invitrogen), and fixed with 4% PFA for 10 minutes. Afterwards, cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 minutes. Non-specific binding was blocked by incubation with 3% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Incubation with a specific primary antibody was carried out overnight with Mmp14, Tks5, β3 integrin or vinculin. Anti-cortactin 4F11 required an incubation of 48 hours. Samples were rinsed with PBS and subsequently incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with Alexa secondary antibodies. F-actin was stained with TRITC-conjugated phalloidin or phalloidin CruzFluor<sup>TM</sup> 647 conjugate (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). For image acquisition, LSM 510 META (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) inverted confocal microscope equipped with a 40xP-Neofluar (NA 1.3) and 63x Plan-Apochromat objective (NA1.4) were used. Images were acquired using the Zeiss software (Aim4). Three dimensional reconstructions were made using Volocity Software (Perkin Elmer) and Imaris Scientific 3D/4D Image Processing analysis software (Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland). Images analyses were performed using ImageJ software and LSM image analyzer software from Zeiss. Invadopodia degraded areas were measured with ImageJ software by a manual threshold cut off of non fluorescent areas to allow the binary quantification.

In integrin blockade assay, H157 cells were blocked using anti- $\alpha v\beta 3$  integrin (Millipore) and IgG1 isotype control antibodies at 10 µg/ml for 1 hour before cell seeding on Oregon Green 488-conjugated gelatin.

# Statistical analysis

Normal distribution of data was analyzed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Results were compared by the Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc analyses. Nonparametric data was analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests. In all cases, test results were considered significant when the probability was smaller than 0.05 (p <0.05) \*, very significant (p <0.01) \*\*, and highly significant when the probability was smaller than 0.001 (p <0.001) \*\*\*. All analyses were performed using SPSS 15.0 and graphic representations were made with Graph Pad Prism 5 software.

# Results

# $\beta 3$ integrin expression is necessary to induce gelatinolytic activity in NSCLC cells

In our previous work, we found that  $\beta$ 3 integrin was one of the ECM receptors highly expressed in NSCLC cells after exposure to TGF- $\alpha$ . Importantly, silencing of this integrin dramatically reduced the incidence of lymph node metastasis in an orthotopic model of lung carcinoma developed in mice [15].







https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181579.g001

Now, we performed experiments in order to study whether  $\beta$ 3 integrin participates in the development of invadopodia produced by H157 NSCLC cells. For this aim, we first established an *in vitro* model, in which invadopodia formation was incremented over baseline by treating cells with TGF- $\beta$  (20 ng/ml) for 5 consecutive days. This cytokine has been described to induce invadopodia formation in cancer cells [27]. Fig 1A and S1A Fig show how the formation of gel-atin-degrading and actin-enriched structures was significantly higher in H157 NSCLC cells after 5 days of TGF- $\beta$  treatment. Moreover, this treatment also incremented the extension of total degraded areas (Fig 1B). These structures were identified as invadopodia since they presented cortactin and actin cores, and showed gelatin degradation underneath actin puncta (Fig

1C). Pretreatment of cells with the specific TGF- $\beta$ RI kinase inhibitor, SB431542, returned matrix degradation to baseline (S1B Fig).

In addition, the inhibition of metalloproteinase activity by treatment with GM6001, prevented gelatin degradation supporting the presence of metalloproteinases in these structures (S1C and S1D Fig). These results were reinforced by the detection of active forms of Mmp2 by Western blotting in cell extracts obtained from TGF- $\beta$  exposed cells (S1E Fig).

Next, we tested whether the expression of  $\beta$ 3 integrin was necessary for invadopodia formation in H157 NSCLC cells. To this end, we performed gelatin-degrading assays using  $\beta$ 3 integrin silenced cells. Functional blocking of  $\beta$ 3 integrin was accomplished by gene silencing with a shRNA specific plasmid (Fig 2A and 2C) or with specific  $\beta$ 3 integrin mAbs (Fig 2B). Results showed that  $\beta$ 3 integrin functional blocking (Fig 2B) or genetic silencing (Fig 2C), completely abolished the formation of invadopodia in H157 cells. To ascertain whether these structures were also formed in restrictive 3D environments, we performed matrix-degrading assays using cells embedded in DQ-collagen matrices. Fig 2D shows the formation of tridimensional actin enriched structures associated with fluorescent degraded DQ collagen in control and TGF- $\beta$ treated cells expressing or not  $\beta$ 3 integrin.

Interestingly,  $\beta 3$  integrin silenced cells protrude 3D actin enriched structures in the 3D matrix but they were unable to degrade DQ collagen, pointing at the need of this integrin for invadopodia maturation and proteolytic activity. We wanted to ascertain whether  $\beta 3$  integrin silencing will abrogate signaling through TGF- $\beta$  pathway. In fact, it has been demonstrated the existence of a feedback loop in which  $\beta 3$  integrin participates in TGF- $\beta$  activation [28]. As shown in Fig 2E,  $\beta 3$  integrin deficient cells present lower phosphorylated Smad2/3 levels in comparison with wild type cells. Besides, TGF- $\beta$  treatment incremented the amount of phosphorylated Smad2/3 in  $\beta 3$  integrin competent and deficient cells, albeit at lower extent in  $\beta 3$  integrin competent cells. The presence of TGF- $\beta$  signaling in  $\beta 3$ -integrin deficient cells did not restore the protrusion of degradative 3D structures on collagen DQ (Fig 2D). Therefore from this data we conclude that this pathway is not the responsible of impaired invadopodia formation in  $\beta 3$  integrin deficient cells.

In order to extend these results to other NSCLC cell lines, we used H1299 and A549 NSCLC cells, which express  $\beta$ 3 integrin on their cell membrane, and tested them for invadopodia formation by gelatin degrading assays and actin staining by immunofluorescence. As it is shown in S2 Fig, these cells are capable of assembling invadopodia, with proteolytic activity, and these invasive structures are significantly reduced after treatment with  $\beta$ 3 integrin blocking mAbs. Therefore, NSCLC cells are capable of producing invadopodia in a  $\beta$ 3 integrin-dependent manner.

To further prove the importance of  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression for mature invadopodia formation, we transfected  $\beta$ 3 integrin silenced H157 cells with the  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression vector pcDNA3.1-hITG $\beta$ 3. Integrin re-expression was demonstrated by flow cytometry and Western blotting (Fig 3A) and invadopodia activity was tested with a gelatin degradation assay. As shown in Fig 3B, the gelatin-degrading activity was fully recovered after re-installing  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression in silenced cells (Fig 3D) and was not observed in cells transfected with empty vector (Fig 3C). As expected, TGF- $\beta$  treatment did not induce invadopodia in  $\beta$ 3 integrin deficient cells but was able to induce evident invadopodia when the same integrin had been reexpressed in previously silenced cells (Fig 3F and 3G).

# $\beta$ 3 integrin silenced cells overcome deficient cell adhesion but are unable to protrude invadopodia

To ascertain whether the inhibition of invadopodia formation in cells defective in  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression was a consequence of defective cell adhesion, we used confocal microscopy to





Fig 2.  $\beta$ 3 integrin blockade or silencing inhibits invadopodia formation by H157 NSCLC cells. (A) Flow cytometry and Western blot analysis of  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression in control and TGF- $\beta$  treated H157 cells before and after  $\beta$ 3 integrin silencing

with a specific shRNA. β-Actin was used as Western blot loading control. (B) Quantification of the percentage of H157 NSCLC cells with gelatin-degrading invadopodia after β3 integrin blockage by preincubation with anti-β3 integrin mAbs (1 µg of antibody for 2 hours before the experiment). Cells were cultured as in Fig 1. Isotypic non-specific IgG treatment was included as control. Data represent mean ± SEM of four different experiments in which at least three fields and a total of 100 cells per experiment were analysed. For statistical analyses a one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey's tests for multiple comparisons was used. \*\* p<0.01 and \*\*\* p<0.001. Panels show representative microphotographs of gelatin-degraded areas obtained in each experimental condition. Scale bars 23 µm. Red asterisks indicate degradation sites. (C) Quantification of the percentage of cells with gelatin-degrading invadopodia in H157 cells expressing or not β3 integrin and treated or not with TGF-β. Cells were cultured as in Fig 1. Data represent mean ± SEM of four different experiments in which at least three fields and a total of 100 cells per experiment were analysed. For statistical analysis one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey's tests for multiple comparisons was used. \*\* p< 0.01, \*\*\* p< 0.001. Panels show representative microphotographs of gelatindegraded areas obtained in each experimental condition. Scale bars 23 µm. Red asterisks indicate degradation sites. (D) 3D reconstructions obtained from confocal-laser microscopy images captured from H157 cells grown in DQ-collagen matrices for 72 hours. Actin (red) and DQ-collagen degradation areas (green) are shown in wild type and β3 integrin-silenced cells treated or not with TGF-β for 5 days. Scale bar 10 µm and 7µm, as indicated. White asterisks indicate examples of collagen degradation sites associated with actin protrusion tips, whereas white arrowheads point to actin puncta without associated degradation. Big white arrow signals cell orientation. The white boxes show a magnified image of one selected. (E) Western blot analysis and signal quantification by densitometry of total and phosphorylated Smad2/3 levels in H157 cells and β3 integrin silenced cells treated, or not, with TGF-β. β-Actin was used as loading control.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181579.g002

capture the formation of vinculin-positive focal adhesion contacts, and quantified the percentage of adhered cells in cultures of cells competent or not for  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression seeded over gelatin layers. In parallel experiments, we evaluated the same cells for invadopodia formation. As expected, we observed severely delayed cell adhesion in cells that did not express  $\beta$ 3 integrin (Fig 4A); while control cells reached 50% of cell adhesion 1 hour after seeding,  $\beta$ 3 integrin deficient cells reached this level of adhesion as late as 16 hours after seeding. Altered cell adhesion was characterized by lower cell spreading and less defined distribution of focal adhesion contacts detected by vinculin staining (Fig 4B). Nonetheless, five days after seeding, both integrin proficient and deficient cells reached 100% adhesion, most probably as a result of the compensatory intervention of other integrins expressed on the cell surface of  $\beta$ 3 integrin deficient H157 NSCLC cells. In contrast, we were unable to detect invadopodia in  $\beta$ 3 integrin deficient cells at any time point assayed, even five days after initial seeding (Fig 4C). These results demonstrate that while  $\beta$ 3 integrin is dispensable for cell adhesion, its presence is required for the onset of invadopodia activity. Therefore, we can postulate that cell adhesion and invadopodia formation are sequential but independent processes mediated by  $\beta$ 3 integrin in H157 NSCLC.

#### β3 integrin is present in invadopodia core and adhesion ring

To investigate whether  $\beta$ 3 integrin was located in invadopodia, we immuno-stained TGF- $\beta$  treated H157 cells, which had formed invadopodia on gelatin coated surfaces, with antibodies against  $\beta$ 3 integrin and actin. We observed instances of invadopodia that showed co-staining for actin and  $\beta$ 3 integrin surrounding the degrading spots (invadopodia adhesion ring) and also in close contact with central actin (invadopodia core) (Fig 5A and 5B). Nevertheless, this integrin was present only in a fraction of total analyzed invadopodia and, therefore, did not seem to be a permanent structural component (Fig 5A). Then, to compare  $\beta$ 3 integrin distribution in mature and immature invadopodia, we detected its co-staining with Mmp14 or Tks5, markers of late or early invadopodia respectively. Results shown in Fig 5C, demonstrate co-staining of Tks5 and  $\beta$ 3 integrin in 38% of invadopodia located over gelatin degraded areas, while only 12% of invadopodia show positive staining for Mmp14 and  $\beta$ 3 integrin (Fig 5D). When we checked for  $\beta$ 3 integrin co-staining with Tks5 or Mmp14 in non-degraded areas, we observed a percentage of Tks5 and  $\beta$ 3 integrin colocalization of 22%. This was not surprising since Tks5 is one of the adaptor components of Src signal transduction pathways. In contrast,  $\beta$ 3 integrin colocalization or association with Mmp14 was reduced to the 5%.



Fig 3. Transfection with  $\beta$ 3 integrin-expression vectors restores invadopodia formation in  $\beta$ 3 integrin H157 silenced cells. (A) Flow cytometry and Western blot analysis of  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression after transfection of H157  $\beta$ 3-integrin deficient with pcDNA3 y pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3 expression vectors c.  $\beta$ -Actin was used in Western blot as loading control. B)

Quantification of the percentages of cells with gelatin-degrading invadopodia in cells that re-express  $\beta$ 3 integrin treated or not with TGF- $\beta$ . Data represent mean ± SEM of cells obtained from at least three different experiments. Four fields and a total of 25 cells were analysed per experiment. Significant differences were analysed by the Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric samples. \* p< 0.05, \*\*\* p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3+ pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157-Sh $\beta$ 3 + pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3 (**D**, **F**) and degradation areas generated by cells transiently transfected with the expression vector pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3 (**D**, **F**) and cells transfected with pcDNA3.1 control vector (**C**, **E**). Cells in panels **E** and **F** were treated with TGF- $\beta$  for 5 days before seeding over gelatin covered plates. Scale bars are 5.2 µm for pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3 (**D**), 5.0 µm for pcDNA3-11G $\beta$ 3 (**D**), 6.2 µm for pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3 + TGF- $\beta$  (**F**) and 4.0 µm for pcDNA3.1 + TGF- $\beta$ . (**E**). Amplified areas (white boxes) and z planes are shown below and evidence the degradation areas generated under transfected cells. Scale bars of the amplified areas are 1.3 µm for pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3 (**D**), 1.2 µm for pcDNA3.1 (**C**), 3.1 µm for pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3 + TGF- $\beta$  (**F**) and 1.3 µm for pcDNA3.1 + TGF- $\beta$  (**E**).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181579.g003

# $\beta 3$ integrin activates different signaling pathways to induce invadopodia formation

Many signaling pathways have been associated to podosome and invadopodia formation in normal and cancer cells, respectively. We have analyzed the activation of adhesion-mediated signaling pathways related to invadopodia formation such as Fak, Src and Erk in cells expressing or not  $\beta$ 3 integrin, exposed or not to TGF- $\beta$ . We also detected the phosphorylation of cortactin, a structural protein from invadopodia. Fig  $\beta$ A show a representative result in which the time 0 corresponds to protein expression in trypsinized cells in suspension. We obtained high levels of protein phosphorylation at time 0 for all the proteins tested as it has been described previously [29,30,31]. The phosphorylation levels diminished during the first 2-4h and increased at latter time points. As expected, TGF- $\beta$  treatment incremented the phosphorylation of all the proteins analyzed in  $\beta$ 3 integrin competent cells. In contrast, those cells defective in  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression showed low amounts of phosphorylated FAK, ERK, Src and cortactin irrespective of TGF- $\beta$  exposure (Fig  $\beta$ A). Again, this results support the importance of integrin  $\beta$ 3 presence for correct invadopodia formation, in this case, facilitating signal transduction.

Next, we tested whether the inhibition of invadopodia activity observed after  $\beta$ 3 integrin silencing could be overcome by over-expression of SRC, an important signal transduction protein that leads to a higher number of invadopodia when it is over-expressed [32]. To this end, we transfected H157 NSCLC cells proficient and deficient in β3 integrin with a SRC-GFP expression vector and a GFP expression vector and analyzed the formation of invadopodia detected as cortactin and actin positive spots in the ventral side of cells, seeded on gelatin layers. As shown in Fig 6B and S2C Fig,  $\beta$ 3 integrin deficient cells presented significantly lower colocalization of cortactin on actin puncta than controls despite being transfected with SRCexpression vectors, although they formed some invadopodia most probably by mechanisms independent of  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression such as for example integrin  $\beta$ 1 profusely described to be present in invadopodia As expected, cells transfected with empty vector also showed lower number of invadopodia in controls than SRC transfected cells. Importantly, invadopodia were absent in cells defective in  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression, corroborating our previous results. Therefore, expression of  $\beta$ 3 integrin is important, not only for initial Fak-mediated cell adhesion, but also for the formation of invadopodia, even in forced conditions of invadopodia structuration by TGF- $\beta$  exposure and SRC over-expression.

Finally, we used neomycin, an inhibitor of  $PIP_2$  signaling as a means to stabilize invadopodia and observe whether  $\beta$ 3 integrin deficient cell showed less stable invadopodia. [33]. As expected, when we treated H157 cells with neomycin we are able to detect important increments in invadopodia size and a clear accumulation of  $\beta$ 3 integrin on degradation spots adding more evidences for the presence of this integrin in invadopodia (Fig 6C).



**Fig 4.** β3 integrin deficient H157-cells can overcome impaired cell adhesion but are unable to form invadopodia. (A) Time-course staining of cell adhesion to gelatin coated coverglasses of β3 integrin

PLOS ONE

proficient and deficient H157 cells exposed or not to TGF- $\beta$ . Adhesion was quantified as percentage of extended cells (height <7µm) from the total number of cells present in a field. Mean ± SEM from three different experiments was calculated and at least 100 cells were analysed per condition. Significant differences were analysed by the Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric samples. \*\*\* p< 0.001. **(B)** Representative microphotographs of cells adhered to gelatin substrates obtained at different time intervals and conditions. Vinculin presence was detected with specific mAb. Scale bars are depicted in each Figure and slightly varied between conditions from 14 to 10 µm. **(C)** Percentage of cells presenting invadopodia at different time points in cells treated as in A. Data represent mean ± SM from three independent experiments in which at least 100 cells were analysed per condition. Significant differences were analysed by the Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric samples. \*\*\* p< 0.01 and \*\*\*\* p< 0.001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181579.g004

#### Discussion

Integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3 belongs to a family of membrane receptors whose main function is to mediate the connection between the cell surface and the extracellular matrix [34]. Although  $\beta$ 3 integrin is expressed at low levels during homeostasis it is up-regulated during tissue remodeling. For example,  $\beta$ 3 integrin is highly expressed on the surface of osteoclasts, angiogenic endothelial cells, luminal progenitor cells of the developing mammary gland [35], and smooth muscle cells during vascular remodeling [36]. In addition, the expression of  $\beta$ 3 integrin on the cell surface is strongly activated in cells undergoing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition during tumor progression [37]. Even more, it importantly contributes to tumor stemness and resistance to EGFR inhibition [38]. Thus,  $\beta$ 3 integrin actively participates in events that require matrix degradation and cellular migration.

We have previously reported that blockade of  $\beta$ 3 integrin expression in NSCLC tumors severely impaired their ability to metastasize towards the lymph nodes [15]. In this study we provide molecular insights on the role played by  $\beta$ 3 integrin in NSCLC tissue invasion and demonstrate its presence in ventral invadopodia. The expression of  $\beta$ 3 integrin and the  $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3 heterodimer in tumor cells have been associated with poor prognosis and increased metastasis in several carcinoma types, including osteosarcoma, pancreatic cancer, melanoma, and breast cancers [39,40,41].

In our experimental model, functional or genetic blockade of β3 integrin expression completely impeded invadopodia formation and ECM degrading activity of lung carcinoma cells. Our data suggest that  $\beta$ 3 integrin may affect invadopodia formation in two different ways. On the one hand this integrin might contribute to the establishment of initial focal adhesion contacts that signals towards the cytoskeleton. On the other, it could transiently participate in the adhesion rings that contribute to invadopodia maturation. In fact, our results demonstrate that  $\beta$ 3 integrin silencing, or blockade, induces a significant delay on the initial cell adhesion to the substrate that is accompanied with lower levels of Fak and Src phosphorylation. Recently we have also demonstrated that integrin blockade induces a decrease in the cell migration capacity and modifications of the migration phonotype of cells in 3D matrices [16]. Focal adhesion structures are sensors of extracellular rigidity, and transduce ECM stiffness towards the cellular contractile apparatus through the activation of Fak and Src pathways, among others. Assembly and disassembly of membrane located focal adhesions is key for the correct arrangement of ventral invasive structures [42]. Even more, the elements that constitute both adhesion structures seem to compete with each other [43]. Thus, the decreased phosphorylation of Fak and Src observed in our experiments along with the non-permanent presence of  $\beta$ 3 integrin in invadopodia may be another example of this phenomenon. Although severely delayed, H157 ß3 integrin-deficient cells finally adhered to the substrate, most probably due to the compensatory action of other integrins expressed by these cells [44]. In contrast, we were unable to detect invadopodia at any time in  $\beta$ 3 integrin-deficient cells. Therefore, the participation of β3 integrin in invadopodia formation in our system is not restricted to facilitate





Fig 5.  $\beta$ 3 integrin is detected in the invadopodia of NSCLC cells. (A) Representative confocal-laser microscopy images of actin (red) and  $\beta$ 3 integrin (green) staining in invadopodia of NSCLC H157 cells that had been cultured onto fluorescent

gelatin-coated coverglasses (grey). Arrows denote  $\beta$ 3 integrin localization in the invadopodia. Scale bars 2.5 µm. Intensity profiles show the fluorescence intensity of actin,  $\beta$ 3 integrin, and gelatin in the regions delimited by the white and purple lines depicted on the amplified areas. Box and whiskers plot shows the percentages of  $\beta$ 3 integrin located in close contact with the actin-core or with the adhesion ring of invadopodia formed by NSCLC cells in three independent experiments. Significant differences were analysed by the Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric samples. A minimum of 17 cells were analyzed per condition. +: mean; whiskers: 5th and 95th percentiles. \*\*\* p <0.001. **(B)** 3D reconstruction of an amplified image of a  $\beta$ 3 integrin positive invadopodium indicated by a blue arrow in 5A. In the image actin is depicted in red,  $\beta$ 3 integrin in green, and gelatin in grey. White asterisk indicates the  $\beta$ 3 integrin location within gelatin-degraded areas near the center of the invadopodium. Detection of Tks5 (red in **C**) or MMP14 (red in **D**) co-staining with  $\beta$ 3 integrin (green) by confocal microscopy performed on cells adhered to gelatin covered substrates. Scale bar 20 µm. Amplified areas (white boxes, scale bar 2 µm) and a XY plane are shown below. Intensity profiles of the region denoted by a white asterisk are shown below the microphotographs. Tables represent the percentages of Tks5 or Mmp14 co-staining with integrin  $\beta$ 3 in invadopodia and in non-degraded areas. Mean ± SEM from three different experiments are shown. At least 25 fields were analyzed and approximately 300 invadopodia were analyzed per experiment.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181579.g005

initial cell adhesion. In fact, we provide confocal image-based evidences of  $\beta$ 3 integrin presence in invadopodia formed by NSCLC.

The presence of  $\beta$ 3 integrin in ECM degrading structures formed by non-transformed cells, named podosomes, had already been described [18]. In these cells,  $\beta$ 3 integrin mediates the initial collection of podosomes to the bone-facing plasma membrane but it disappears from this location in the mature ECM-resorbing osteoclast [45]. In our experiment we observed  $\beta$ 3 integrin colocalization with Mmp14 and Tks5 in invadopodia. Integrin associated mainly to the early invadopodia marker Tks5, but it also associates to the mature marker Mmp14 albeit at lower percentage. This is, to our knowledge, the first evidence of the participation of  $\beta$ 3 integrin in the structuration and activity of invadopodia in transformed cells.

Our data is endorsed by the results obtained by Ponceau and coworkers in podosomes of HMEC-1 cells, where they observed transitory localization of  $\beta$ 3 integrin and suggested its importance in the formation of the structure [46]. They also observed that  $\beta$ 3 integrin location in podosomes can be stabilized by  $\alpha$ II-spectrin. In our conditions the location of  $\beta$ 3 integrin in invadopodia would be stabilized by neomycin and by subsequent increments in PIP<sub>2</sub>. The inhibition of PLC with neomycin increases PIP<sub>2</sub> in the cell, blocking its degradation to diacyl-glycelol (DAG) and inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP<sub>3</sub>) [47]. Neomycin also increases pErk1/2 levels in the cells [48], which is a signal pathway associated to invadopodia formation and TGF- $\beta$  signaling [27].

We have also observed a double localization for  $\beta$ 3 integrin in the invadopodium structure. Different roles have been ascribed to  $\beta$ 3 integrin, from cell attachment to the degradation of the extracellular matrix [49]. Its presence in the adhesion ring could be associated to a mechano-transductional role in these structures [12,50], while in the invadopodia core location  $\beta$ 3 integrin would contribute to the maturation of pro-Mmp-2 by Mmp14 -mediated cleavage [51,52]. We have also observed that Mmp2 is the main gelatinase secreted by H157 lung cancer cell line after TGF- $\beta$  treatment in NSCLC.

Invadopodia formation is a process dependent on multiple signal pathways. Cortactin, and its phosphorylated form [53], are critical factors associated with invadopodia formation [54]. The C-terminus domain of cortactin presents the main susceptible sites of phosphorylation by Src family, Erk1 / 2, and other kinases [53]. Src also phosphorylates other important proteins associated with Tks5 [55,56]. In agreement with the literature, our results show an increased level of pCortactin, pSrc, and pErk1 / 2 in TGF- $\beta$  treated cells, while they were not detected in  $\beta$ 3 integrin-silenced cells. High levels of pErk1/2 associated to TGF- $\beta$  treatment have been already demonstrated in tumor cells [27]. Tks5 is a protein whose interaction with PIP<sub>2</sub> allows its anchoring to the cell membrane, cortactin and actin machinery recruitment and, finally, invadopodia formation [57]. PIP<sub>2</sub> will also recruit  $\alpha$ II-spectrin, which would recruit  $\beta$ 3



Fig 6. Signal pathways associated to invadopodia formation in H157 NSCLC. (A) Detection of Fak, Erk1/2, Src, and cortactin phosphorylation by Western blot (left images) and quantification of Western blot signal intensity (right graphic) in cells competent and deficient in β3 integrin expression

PLOS ONE



treated or not with TGF- $\beta$  and seeded on gelatin coated plates. **(B)** Detection by confocal microscopy of actin (red) and cortactin (green) distribution in H157 and  $\beta$ 3 integrin deficient cells transiently transfected to express SRC-GFP and cultured onto gelatin-coated coverglasses. White arrows and asterisks denote cortactin-actin colocalization dots in ventral actin puncta. Scale bars are 9 µm for H157+SRC-GFP and 10 µm for H157Sh $\beta$ 3 +SRC-GFP. The box and whiskers plot represents mean number of actin/cortactin dots per cell. Data was obtained from three different experiments. Significant differences were analysed by one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc T3 Dunnett tests for multiple comparisons. At least 28 cells were analyzed per condition. +: mean; whiskers: 5th and 95th percentiles. \*\* p< 0.01, \*\*\* p<0.01. vs. H157 + pSRC-GFP. ### p< 0.001. vs. H157 reaction of actin (red),  $\beta$ 3 integrin (green) and gelatine (grey) in the invadopodia of NSCLC H157 cells pretreated, or not, with the PLC inhibitor neomycin (20 mM). Magnifications and Z-stacks of the region boxed in left most microphotograph is represented to its right. White arrows denote  $\beta$ 3 integrin localization in the invadopodia. Scale bars 2,4 and 3.7 µm. Invadopodia magnifications show fluorescence intensity of actin,  $\beta$ 3 integrin, and gelatin of the regions denoted by white arrows. Graphic located at the bottom depicts quantifications of mean degraded area under each individual invadopodia. For statistical analyses Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric samples was used. At least 50 degraded areas were analysed per sample. \*\*\* p<0.001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181579.g006

integrin to the invadopodia. This hypothesis is also consistent with the fact that spectrin interacts with PtdIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> through its PH domain [58]. In cancer cells, TGF- $\beta$  signaling can activate the PI3K-Akt pathway either directly or indirectly, to increase PIP<sub>2</sub> and PIP<sub>3</sub> to recruit proteins with lipid-binding domains to the plasma membrane [59]. Our proposed model of invadopodia formation can be reviewed in Fig 7. It shows the signaling pathways and the methodological approaches to understand the formation of invadopodia in H157 NSCLC, and the role of  $\beta$ 3 integrin in the process.

In addition,  $\beta$ 3 integrin can act as co-receptor for several growth factors such as EGF, FGF, and TGF- $\beta$  [60,61,62] through binding to their ligands in order to facilitate their activation. Even more, TGF- $\beta$ -mediated induction of  $\beta$ 3 integrin has been described as part of a positive feed-back loop, in which  $\beta$ 3 integrin increments TGF- $\beta$  activation by binding to the RGD domains in a complex formed by TGF- $\beta$  and the latent associated peptide (LAP). This activation contributes to TGF- $\beta$ -stimulated cancer metastasis [28]. In summary, all these activities can be at work during invadopodia-mediated ECM degradation in NSCLC.

Although other studies have not found a relevant role for ß3 integrin in invadopodia regulation [12,18,23,63], our results are in concordance with evidences published on integrin location in adhesion rings of invadosomes [12,46], and on the functional contribution of  $\beta$ 3 integrin to this structure [22], showing that  $\beta$ 3 integrin blockade, or gene silencing, completely prevents invadopodia formation in NSCLC. This discrepancy can be a consequence of the different integrin blockade strategies used, alternative integrin family members presents in each cell line, or it can emanate from the growth-factors used to induce invadopodia: EGF, HGF, serum, or TGF- $\beta$  [11,15,22,23]. Furthermore, we show, for the first time, a reduction in the ability to form invadopodia in non TGF- $\beta$  treated cells, by  $\beta$ 3 integrin elimination. These results demonstrate that the role of  $\beta$ 3 integrin is much more important for invadopodia formation than just its mechano-transductional role associated to the signaling from growth factors, because the silenced cells still keep TGF- $\beta$  signaling ability but they are not able to form invadopodia structures. The dialogue between growth factor and adhesive signaling that occurs in carcinoma cells increases aggressiveness and has been extensively exploited as a target for therapy to block metastasis [64]. In this regard, it has been published that radiotherapy increases  $\alpha v\beta 3$  integrin expression as a survival mechanism in NSCLC H157 and H460 cell lines and, consequently, tumor growth is reduced by a combination of radiotherapy and treatment with the  $\beta$ 3 integrin antagonist Cilengitide [65].

Here, we demonstrated that lung carcinoma cells form invadopodia in response to TGF- $\beta$  exposure. We observed that  $\beta$ 3 integrin deficient cells are not able to degrade gelatin-coated surfaces, even though they adhere to the substrate, and activate the classical signaling pathways associated to invadopodia formation. In summary, our results suggest a transitory, but vital, location of this integrin in lung carcinoma cell invadopodia. We also provide evidence for the



Fig 7. Schematic representation of signal pathways associated to invadopodia formation in H157 NSCLC. We have detected that  $\beta$ 3 integrin activates signal pathways in H157 NSCLC cells (thin black arrows) such as Fak, Src, Erk, and in consequence, Cortactin (light green ovals) to form invadopodia structures. It could also activate other proteins required for invadopodia (dark green boxes). H157 cells exposed to TGF- $\beta$  increase integrin clustering, and its association with TGF- $\beta$  receptors, to accelerate the activation of signal pathways (wide black arrows) associated to invadopodia formation. In these conditions the cells would present higher levels of PIP<sub>2</sub> to recruit Tks5, Spectrin and  $\beta$ 3 integrin to form the invadopodia in the cell membrane. Src is a protein whose expression had been associated to invadosome formation, so its over-expression would activate signals to induce invadopodia formation (wide green arrows). Red lines represent an inhibitory effect over the indicated protein. Neomycin inhibits PLC, which reduces the levels of PIP<sub>2</sub>, so Neomycin increases PIP<sub>2</sub> levels indirectly (discontinuous orange arrow). Neomycin also activates Erk1-2 signalling (continuous orange arrow). Blue arrows show the traffic of the proteins to their functional location in invadopodia.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181579.g007

role of  $\beta$ 3 integrin in the onset of invasive structures by lung squamous carcinoma cells providing additional support to the use of  $\beta$ 3 integrin blocking agents to combat cancer progression.

#### **Supporting information**

**S1 Fig. Invadopodia formation and Mmp involvement in H157 NSCLC. (A)** Representative confocal-laser microscopy images of H157 NSCLC cells seeded onto green fluorescent-labelled gelatin for 20 hours, after being exposed or not for 5 days to TGF-β. Actin was visualized by TRICT-phalloidin staining (red). Scale bars 13 μm. (B) Histogram plot quantification of the percentages of cells associated with matrix degradation areas in cells pre-treated with the TGF-βRI inhibitor SB431542. Data represents the quantification of at least three different experiments analysing at least three fields per experiment. Significant differences were analysed by the Student's t-test for comparison of the mean parametric data. \*\*\*p<0.001. (C) Representative microphotographs of gelatin-degradation areas in TGF-β activated H157 cells treated or not with the Mmps inhibitor GM6001. Scale bars represent 6.2 and 4.8 μm respectively. Histograms on the right show the fluorescence intensity of actin, cortactin, and gelatin. (D) Histogram plots represent the percentage of cells associated with areas of gelatin degradation. At least three different experiments were performed and three fields were analysed per

experiment. Significant differences were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U test for comparison of non-parametric data. \* p < 0.01 and \*\* p < 0.001. (E). Western blot detection of Mmp2 and Mmp9 expression in the supernatant of H157 cells. (TIF)

S2 Fig. β3 integrin blockade affects invadopodia formation in different NSCLC. (A) Quantification of cells presenting active degradation areas as a result of  $\beta$ 3 integrin blockade in TGF- $\beta$  treated and untreated H1299 cells. Cells were pre-treated with 13µg of  $\beta$ 3 integrin blocking antibody 2 hours before seeding onto gelatin-coated coverglasses. An isotype nonspecific IgG treatment was included as the control. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of four different experiments analysing at least three fields per experiment. At least 15 fields were analyzed from each condition (n = approximately 130 cells). \*\* p < 0.01 and \*\*\* p < 0.001. Microphotographs in upper panels show representative image from each experimental condition. Scale bars 23 µm. Red asterisks reveal degradation sites on the gelatin matrix. (B) Quantification of cells presenting active degradation areas as result of  $\beta$ 3 integrin blockade in TGF- $\beta$ treated and untreated A549 cells. Cells were pre-treated with 1 µg of β3 integrin blocking antibody 2 hours before seeding onto gelatin-coated coverglasses. An isotype non-specific IgG treatment was included as the control. Data represent the mean ± SEM of four different experiments analysing at least three fields per experiment. At least 15 fields were analyzed from each condition (n = approximately 100 cells). \* p < 0.01 and \*\* p < 0.001. Microphotographs in upper panels show representative image from each experimental condition. Scale bars 23 µm. Red asterisks reveal degradation sites on the gelatin matrix. (C) Detection by confocal microscopy of actin (red), cortactin (green) co-staining and Src (grey) distribution in H157 and β3 integrin deficient cells transiently transfected to express -GFP and cultured onto gelatin-coated coverglasses. White arrowheads and asterisk denote cortactin-actin colocalization with ventral actin puncta. Scale bars are 5,8 μm for H157+ GFP and 6,2 μm for H157Shβ3+ GFP. (TIF)

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. A. B. Tuck and Dr. A. B. Chambers (University of Western Ontario) for kindly providing the pcDNA3-hITG $\beta$ 3 and pcDNA3.1 plasmids. We also thank Dr. M. C. Frame (University of Edinburgh) for generously providing pEGFP-SRC plasmid. We also thank Alvaro Perez Sala for his help with image 3D reconstructions.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: RP AR. Data curation: RP XM SG. Formal analysis: RP XM. Funding acquisition: AR COS IML. Investigation: RP XM SG. Methodology: RP. Project administration: AR. Resources: ES SG COS AM IML. Supervision: AR. Visualization: RP XM AR IML.

Writing - original draft: AR RP.

Writing - review & editing: RP AM IML AR COS.

#### References

- Talmadge JE, Fidler IJ (2010) AACR centennial series: the biology of cancer metastasis: historical perspective. Cancer Res 70: 5649–5669. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1040</u> PMID: 20610625
- Murphy DA, Courtneidge SA (2011) The 'ins' and 'outs' of podosomes and invadopodia: characteristics, formation and function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 12: 413–426. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3141 PMID: 21697900
- Linder S, Wiesner C, Himmel M (2011) Degrading devices: invadosomes in proteolytic cell invasion. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 27: 185–211. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-154216</u> PMID: 21801014
- 4. Yamaguchi H (2012) Pathological roles of invadopodia in cancer invasion and metastasis. Eur J Cell Biol 91: 902–907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcb.2012.04.005 PMID: 22658792
- Geblinger D, Addadi L, Geiger B (2010) Nano-topography sensing by osteoclasts. J Cell Sci 123: 1503–1510. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.060954 PMID: 20375065
- Enderling H, Alexander NR, Clark ES, Branch KM, Estrada L, et al. (2008) Dependence of invadopodia function on collagen fiber spacing and cross-linking: computational modeling and experimental evidence. Biophys J 95: 2203–2218. https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.108.133199 PMID: 18515372
- Takada Y, Ye X, Simon S (2007) The integrins. Genome Biol 8: 215. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-5-215 PMID</u>: 17543136
- Shattil SJ, Kim C, Ginsberg MH (2010) The final steps of integrin activation: the end game. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 288–300. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2871 PMID: 20308986
- Smerling C, Tang K, Hofmann W, Danker K (2007) Role of the alpha(1) integrin cytoplasmic tail in the formation of focal complexes, actin organization, and in the control of cell migration. Exp Cell Res 313: 3153–3165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2007.06.003 PMID: 17632102
- Miranti CK, Leng L, Maschberger P, Brugge JS, Shattil SJ (1998) Identification of a novel integrin signaling pathway involving the kinase Syk and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Vav1. Curr Biol 8: 1289–1299. PMID: 9843681
- Hoshino D, Branch KM, Weaver AM (2013) Signaling inputs to invadopodia and podosomes. J Cell Sci 126: 2979–2989. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.079475 PMID: 23843616
- Branch KM, Hoshino D, Weaver AM (2012) Adhesion rings surround invadopodia and promote maturation. Biol Open 1: 711–722. https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.20121867 PMID: 23213464
- Mueller SC, Ghersi G, Akiyama SK, Sang QX, Howard L, et al. (1999) A novel protease-docking function of integrin at invadopodia. J Biol Chem 274: 24947–24952. PMID: 10455171
- Takkunen M, Hukkanen M, Liljestrom M, Grenman R, Virtanen I (2010) Podosome-like structures of non-invasive carcinoma cells are replaced in epithelial-mesenchymal transition by actin comet-embedded invadopodia. J Cell Mol Med 14: 1569–1593. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2009.00868.x</u> PMID: 19656240
- 15. Salvo E, Garasa S, Dotor J, Morales X, Pelaez R, et al. (2014) Combined targeting of TGF-beta1 and integrin beta3 impairs lymph node metastasis in a mouse model of non-small-cell lung cancer. Mol Cancer.
- Anguiano M, Castilla C, Maska M, Ederra C, Pelaez R, et al. (2017) Characterization of three-dimensional cancer cell migration in mixed collagen-Matrigel scaffolds using microfluidics and image analysis. PLoS One 12: e0171417. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171417 PMID: 28166248
- McHugh KP, Hodivala-Dilke K, Zheng MH, Namba N, Lam J, et al. (2000) Mice lacking beta3 integrins are osteosclerotic because of dysfunctional osteoclasts. J Clin Invest 105: 433–440. https://doi.org/10. 1172/JCI8905 PMID: 10683372
- Destaing O, Planus E, Bouvard D, Oddou C, Badowski C, et al. (2010) beta1A integrin is a master regulator of invadosome organization and function. Mol Biol Cell 21: 4108–4119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E10-07-0580 PMID: 20926684</u>
- Faccio R, Novack DV, Zallone A, Ross FP, Teitelbaum SL (2003) Dynamic changes in the osteoclast cytoskeleton in response to growth factors and cell attachment are controlled by beta3 integrin. J Cell Biol 162: 499–509. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200212082 PMID: 12900398

- Spinardi L, Rietdorf J, Nitsch L, Bono M, Tacchetti C, et al. (2004) A dynamic podosome-like structure of epithelial cells. Exp Cell Res 295: 360–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.01.007 PMID: 15093736
- Zambonin-Zallone A, Teti A, Grano M, Rubinacci A, Abbadini M, et al. (1989) Immunocytochemical distribution of extracellular matrix receptors in human osteoclasts: a beta 3 integrin is colocalized with vinculin and talin in the podosomes of osteoclastoma giant cells. Exp Cell Res 182: 645–652. PMID: 2542071
- Frittoli E, Palamidessi A, Marighetti P, Confalonieri S, Bianchi F, et al. (2014) A RAB5/RAB4 recycling circuitry induces a proteolytic invasive program and promotes tumor dissemination. J Cell Biol 206: 307–328. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201403127 PMID: 25049275
- Artym VV, Swatkoski S, Matsumoto K, Campbell CB, Petrie RJ, et al. (2015) Dense fibrillar collagen is a potent inducer of invadopodia via a specific signaling network. J Cell Biol 208: 331–350. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1083/jcb.201405099</u> PMID: 25646088
- 24. Furger KA, Allan AL, Wilson SM, Hota C, Vantyghem SA, et al. (2003) Beta(3) integrin expression increases breast carcinoma cell responsiveness to the malignancy-enhancing effects of osteopontin. Mol Cancer Res 1: 810–819. PMID: 14517343
- 25. Sandilands E, Cans C, Fincham VJ, Brunton VG, Mellor H, et al. (2004) RhoB and actin polymerization coordinate Src activation with endosome-mediated delivery to the membrane. Dev Cell 7: 855–869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2004.09.019 PMID: 15572128
- Irigoyen M, Pajares MJ, Agorreta J, Ponz-Sarvise M, Salvo E, et al. (2010) TGFBI expression is associated with a better response to chemotherapy in NSCLC. Mol Cancer 9: 130. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1476-4598-9-130 PMID: 20509890
- Mandal S, Johnson KR, Wheelock MJ (2008) TGF-beta induces formation of F-actin cores and matrix degradation in human breast cancer cells via distinct signaling pathways. Exp Cell Res 314: 3478– 3493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2008.09.013 PMID: 18848540
- 28. Worthington JJ, Klementowicz JE, Travis MA (2011) TGFbeta: a sleeping giant awoken by integrins. Trends Biochem Sci 36: 47–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2010.08.002 PMID: 20870411
- 29. Geletu M, Guy S, Raptis L (2013) Effects of SRC and STAT3 upon gap junctional, intercellular communication in lung cancer lines. Anticancer Res 33: 4401–4410. PMID: 24123009
- Maher PA (2000) Disruption of cell-substrate adhesion activates the protein tyrosine kinase pp60(csrc). Exp Cell Res 260: 189–198. https://doi.org/10.1006/excr.2000.5009 PMID: 11035913
- Su S, Li Y, Luo Y, Sheng Y, Su Y, et al. (2009) Proteinase-activated receptor 2 expression in breast cancer and its role in breast cancer cell migration. Oncogene 28: 3047–3057. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc. 2009.163 PMID: 19543320
- Linder S, Aepfelbacher M (2003) Podosomes: adhesion hot-spots of invasive cells. Trends Cell Biol 13: 376–385. PMID: 12837608
- Yamaguchi H, Oikawa T (2010) Membrane lipids in invadopodia and podosomes: key structures for cancer invasion and metastasis. Oncotarget 1: 320–328. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.100907 PMID: 21307399
- Desgrosellier JS, Cheresh DA (2010) Integrins in cancer: biological implications and therapeutic opportunities. Nat Rev Cancer 10: 9–22. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2748 PMID: 20029421
- Asselin-Labat ML, Sutherland KD, Barker H, Thomas R, Shackleton M, et al. (2007) Gata-3 is an essential regulator of mammary-gland morphogenesis and luminal-cell differentiation. Nat Cell Biol 9: 201–209. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1530 PMID: 17187062
- Scheppke L, Murphy EA, Zarpellon A, Hofmann JJ, Merkulova A, et al. (2012) Notch promotes vascular maturation by inducing integrin-mediated smooth muscle cell adhesion to the endothelial basement membrane. Blood 119: 2149–2158. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-04-348706 PMID: 22134168
- Guo W, Giancotti FG (2004) Integrin signalling during tumour progression. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5: 816–826. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1490 PMID: 15459662
- Seguin L, Kato S, Franovic A, Camargo MF, Lesperance J, et al. (2014) An integrin beta(3)-KRAS-RalB complex drives tumour stemness and resistance to EGFR inhibition. Nat Cell Biol 16: 457–468. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ncb2953 PMID: 24747441
- Marchan S, Perez-Torras S, Vidal A, Adan J, Mitjans F, et al. (2010) Dual effects of beta3 integrin subunit expression on human pancreatic cancer models. Anal Cell Pathol (Amst).
- 40. Takayama S, Ishii S, Ikeda T, Masamura S, Doi M, et al. (2005) The relationship between bone metastasis from human breast cancer and integrin alpha(v)beta3 expression. Anticancer Res 25: 79–83. PMID: 15816522
- 41. Tome Y, Kimura H, Maehara H, Sugimoto N, Bouvet M, et al. (2013) High lung-metastatic variant of human osteosarcoma cells, selected by passage of lung metastasis in nude mice, is associated with increased expression of alpha(v)beta(3) integrin. Anticancer Res 33: 3623–3627. PMID: 24023288

- Chan KT, Cortesio CL, Huttenlocher A (2009) FAK alters invadopodia and focal adhesion composition and dynamics to regulate breast cancer invasion. J Cell Biol 185: 357–370. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb. 200809110 PMID: 19364917
- Kaverina I, Stradal TE, Gimona M (2003) Podosome formation in cultured A7r5 vascular smooth muscle cells requires Arp2/3-dependent de-novo actin polymerization at discrete microdomains. J Cell Sci 116: 4915–4924. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.00818 PMID: 14625385
- 44. Li L, Welser JV, Milner R (2010) Absence of the alpha v beta 3 integrin dictates the time-course of angiogenesis in the hypoxic central nervous system: accelerated endothelial proliferation correlates with compensatory increases in alpha 5 beta 1 integrin expression. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 30: 1031– 1043. https://doi.org/10.1038/jcbfm.2009.276 PMID: 20087368
- Mulari M, Vaaraniemi J, Vaananen HK (2003) Intracellular membrane trafficking in bone resorbing osteoclasts. Microsc Res Tech 61: 496–503. https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.10371 PMID: 12879417
- Ponceau A, Albiges-Rizo C, Colin-Aronovicz Y, Destaing O, Lecomte MC (2015) alphall-spectrin regulates invadosome stability and extracellular matrix degradation. PLoS One 10: e0120781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120781</u> PMID: 25830635
- Xie LH, John SA, Ribalet B, Weiss JN (2005) Long polyamines act as cofactors in PIP2 activation of inward rectifier potassium (Kir2.1) channels. J Gen Physiol 126: 541–549. <u>https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.</u> 200509380 PMID: 16316973
- Ward DT, McLarnon SJ, Riccardi D (2002) Aminoglycosides increase intracellular calcium levels and ERK activity in proximal tubular OK cells expressing the extracellular calcium-sensing receptor. J Am Soc Nephrol 13: 1481–1489. PMID: 12039977
- Albiges-Rizo C, Destaing O, Fourcade B, Planus E, Block MR (2009) Actin machinery and mechanosensitivity in invadopodia, podosomes and focal adhesions. J Cell Sci 122: 3037–3049. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1242/jcs.052704</u> PMID: 19692590
- Roca-Cusachs P, Gauthier NC, Del Rio A, Sheetz MP (2009) Clustering of alpha(5)beta(1) integrins determines adhesion strength whereas alpha(v)beta(3) and talin enable mechanotransduction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 16245–16250. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902818106 PMID: 19805288
- Deryugina EI, Ratnikov B, Monosov E, Postnova TI, DiScipio R, et al. (2001) MT1-MMP initiates activation of pro-MMP-2 and integrin alphavbeta3 promotes maturation of MMP-2 in breast carcinoma cells. Exp Cell Res 263: 209–223. https://doi.org/10.1006/excr.2000.5118 PMID: 11161720
- 52. Galvez BG, Matias-Roman S, Yanez-Mo M, Sanchez-Madrid F, Arroyo AG (2002) ECM regulates MT1-MMP localization with beta1 or alphavbeta3 integrins at distinct cell compartments modulating its internalization and activity on human endothelial cells. J Cell Biol 159: 509–521. <u>https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.</u> 200205026 PMID: 12427871
- Ayala I, Baldassarre M, Giacchetti G, Caldieri G, Tete S, et al. (2008) Multiple regulatory inputs converge on cortactin to control invadopodia biogenesis and extracellular matrix degradation. J Cell Sci 121: 369–378. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.008037 PMID: 18198194
- Weaver AM (2006) Invadopodia: specialized cell structures for cancer invasion. Clin Exp Metastasis 23: 97–105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10585-006-9014-1 PMID: 16830222
- Burger KL, Learman BS, Boucherle AK, Sirintrapun SJ, Isom S, et al. (2014) Src-dependent Tks5 phosphorylation regulates invadopodia-associated invasion in prostate cancer cells. Prostate 74: 134–148. https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.22735 PMID: 24174371
- Stylli SS, I ST, Kaye AH, Lock P (2012) Prognostic significance of Tks5 expression in gliomas. J Clin Neurosci 19: 436–442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2011.11.013 PMID: 22249020
- Sharma VP, Eddy R, Entenberg D, Kai M, Gertler FB, et al. (2013) Tks5 and SHIP2 regulate invadopodium maturation, but not initiation, in breast carcinoma cells. Curr Biol 23: 2079–2089. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cub.2013.08.044 PMID: 24206842
- Osborne SL, Wallis TP, Jimenez JL, Gorman JJ, Meunier FA (2007) Identification of secretory granule phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate-interacting proteins using an affinity pulldown strategy. Mol Cell Proteomics 6: 1158–1169. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M600430-MCP200 PMID: 17449848
- 59. Luo K (2016) Signaling Cross Talk between TGF-beta/Smad and Other Signaling Pathways. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.
- 60. Mori S, Wu CY, Yamaji S, Saegusa J, Shi B, et al. (2008) Direct binding of integrin alphavbeta3 to FGF1 plays a role in FGF1 signaling. J Biol Chem 283: 18066–18075. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M801213200 PMID: 18441324</u>
- Balanis N, Carlin CR (2012) Mutual cross-talk between fibronectin integrins and the EGF receptor: Molecular basis and biological significance. Cell Logist 2: 46–51. https://doi.org/10.4161/cl.20112 PMID: 22645710

- Mamuya FA, Duncan MK (2012) aV integrins and TGF-beta-induced EMT: a circle of regulation. J Cell Mol Med 16: 445–455. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2011.01419.x PMID: 21883891
- Beaty BT, Condeelis J (2014) Digging a little deeper: the stages of invadopodium formation and maturation. Eur J Cell Biol 93: 438–444. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcb.2014.07.003 PMID: 25113547
- Levental KR, Yu H, Kass L, Lakins JN, Egeblad M, et al. (2009) Matrix crosslinking forces tumor progression by enhancing integrin signaling. Cell 139: 891–906. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.10.027</u> PMID: 19931152
- 65. Albert JM, Cao C, Geng L, Leavitt L, Hallahan DE, et al. (2006) Integrin alpha v beta 3 antagonist Cilengitide enhances efficacy of radiotherapy in endothelial cell and non-small-cell lung cancer models. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 65: 1536–1543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.04.036 PMID: 16863930