

Effect of various Danshen injections on patients with coronary heart disease after percutaneous coronary intervention

A protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis

Zehao Zhu, MS^a, Yuanping Wang, MS^a, Weilin Liao, BS^a, Huimin Li, BS^a, Dawei Wang, PhD^{b,*}

Abstract

Background: Patients with coronary heart disease (CHD) who undergo percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) have a certain risk of vascular complications, including coronary restenosis and thrombosis. Many recent randomized controlled trials have reported that Danshen injection (DSI) combined with conventional Western medicine can significantly reduce the occurrence of major cardiovascular adverse events in patients with CHD after PCI. However, there are many types of DSIs, and no study has yet compared each type. Therefore, we propose a study protocol for the systematic evaluation of the efficacy of various DSIs in the treatment of CHD after PCI.

Methods: We will search the following electronic databases for randomized controlled trials evaluating the effect of DSI in patients with CHD after PCI: PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Ovid Evidence-Based Medicine Reviews, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Chinese Biomedicine Literature Database. Each database will be searched from inception to April 2018. The entire process will include study selection, data extraction, risk of bias assessment, pairwise meta-analyses, and network meta-analyses.

Results: This proposed study will compare the efficacy of different DSIs in the treatment of patients with CHD after PCI. The outcomes will include major cardiovascular adverse events and left ventricular ejection fraction.

Conclusion: This proposed systematic review will evaluate the different advantages of various types of DSIs in the treatment of patients with CHD after PCI.

Registration: PROSPERO (registration number: CRD42018092705).

Abbreviations: PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, CHD = coronary heart disease, CI = confidence intervals, DSI = Danshen injection, NMA = network meta-analyses, RCTs = randomized controlled trials.

Keywords: coronary heart disease, Danshen injection, percutaneous coronary intervention, protocol, systematic review

1. Introduction

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is a serious cardiovascular disease that causes myocardial ischemia, hypoxia, and even necrosis.^[1] CHD is more common in developed countries in Europe and America than in undeveloped countries. Each year, it is estimated

Funding/support: This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 2015A030313368 to DW) and The High-Level Key Disciplines Project of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (No. A1-AFD018171Z11089 to DW).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose

^a The Second Clinical College of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, ^b Shunde Hospital Affiliated of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Shunde, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Dawei Wang, Daliangjinsha avenue, Shunde district, Guangdong province, China. (e-mail: davidwang33@139.com).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2018) 97:24(e11062)

Received: 15 May 2018 / Accepted: 21 May 2018 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000011062 that approximately 660,000 people in the United States have a new coronary attack.^[2] The mortality rate of CHD is the highest of all cardiovascular disease, accounting for about 40% of all cardiovascular disease-related deaths.^[3] With the aging of the global population, CHD has become a major public health problem that seriously threatens human life and health.^[4]

Currently, the main treatment for CHD is percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). PCI not only relieves palpitations and angina pectoris, but also reduces the mortality of CHD.^[5] However, PCI carries a varying degree of risk of in-stent restenosis and thrombosis, even in patients treated with anticoagulation, anti-aggregation, lipid-regulating, vasodilating, and antihypertensive drugs. The incidence of these post-PCI complications is 20% to 30%.^[6] Conventional treatment drugs used to prevent these complications result in many adverse reactions. For example, statins can induce abnormal transaminases, myositis, and even rhabdomyolysis,^[7] anticoagulants increase the risk of bleeding from the skin, mucous membranes, and gastrointestinal tract,^[8] and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) can cause decreased renal function and angioedema.^[9] These adverse reactions limit the use of conventional drugs after PCI. Therefore, it is very important to identify effective and therapeutic drugs with

minimal side effects to prevent in-stent restenosis and thrombosis after PCI.

In recent years, Danshen injection (DSI) has been increasingly widely used in cardiovascular diseases, especially in Chinese medical units. The main ingredient of DSI is Salvia miltiorrhiza extract, including tanshinone and salvianolic acid A. Animal experimental studies have shown that tanshinone inhibits calcium influx in rat vascular smooth muscle cells and relaxes coronary arteries, thereby improving blood flow.^[10] In addition, salvianolic acid A increases mitochondrial membrane potential. protects cardiomyocytes from hypoxic injury in rats, and simultaneously causes antiplatelet aggregation and anti-thrombosis effects.^[11,12] Clinical studies have shown that, compared with Western medicine alone, DSI combined with conventional Western medicine can reduce the incidence of major cardiovascular adverse events in patients with CHD after PCI.^[13,14] However, there are many types of DSIs, and it is not clear which type is superior. The present proposed study will use network meta-analysis (NMA) to systematically evaluate the efficacy and safety of various types of DSI in the treatment of patients with CHD after PCI, providing a reference for clinical practice.

2. Methods

2.1. Inclusion criteria for study selection

2.1.1. Types of included studies. The proposed study will include randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that have evaluated all types of DSIs for the treatment of patients with CHD undergoing PCI. There will be no restrictions on the geographical area, time, and/or language of publication.

2.1.2. *Participants.* All patients must meet the diagnostic criteria for adult CHD established by the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association,^[15] and all patients must have undergone PCI. There will be no limits placed on basic patient characteristics (including region, race, and sex).

2.1.3. Interventions. The experimental group must have been treated with DSI or DSI combined with conventional Western medicine. The control group must have been treated with different types of DSI, or different types of DSI combined with conventional Western medicine, or only conventional Western medicine.

2.1.4. Outcomes2.1.4.1. *Primary outcomes*. Major cardiovascular adverse events, including myocardial infarction, re-PCI, and coronary bypass reconstruction.

2.1.4.2. Secondary outcomes. Left ventricular ejection fraction, blood lipid changes, coagulation function changes, cardiac enzyme changes, and inflammatory factor levels.

2.2. Sources of data

The study search will be mainly based on electronic databases, including PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Ovid Evidence-Based Medicine Reviews, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Chinese Biomedicine Literature Database. The following search strategy will be used in PubMed:

#1 "coronary heart disease" [MeSH Terms] OR "coronary heart disease" [Title/Abstract] OR "coronary atherosclerotic heart disease" [Title/Abstract] OR "coronary" [Title/Abstract] OR "angina pectoris" [Title/Abstract] OR "angina" [Title/Abstract] OR "pectoris"[Title/Abstract] OR "myocardial infarction"[Title/Abstract] OR "acute myocardial infarction"[Title/Abstract] OR "infarction"[Title/Abstract] OR "myocardial ischemia"[Title/Abstract] OR "myocardial ischemic"[Title/Abstract] OR "ischemic heart failure"[Title/Abstract]

#2 "percutaneous coronary intervention" [MeSH Terms] OR "percutaneous coronary

intervention"[Title/Abstract] OR "percutaneous coronary artery intervention"[Title/Abstract] OR "PCI"[Title/Abstract]

#3 "Danshen injection"[Title/Abstract] OR "Danshen chuanxiongqin injection"[Title/Abstract] OR "Danshen glucose injection"[Title/Abstract] OR "Danhong injection"[Title/Abstract]

OR "Danxiangguanxin injection"[Title/Abstract] OR "Xiangdan injection"[Title/Abstract]

OR "Salvia injection"[Title/Abstract] OR "Salvia miltiorrhiza"[Title/Abstract] OR

"Salvianolate injection"[Title/Abstract] OR "Salvianolate lyophilized injection"[Title/Abstract] OR "Compound *Salvia* injection"[Title/Abstract] OR "Guanxinning injection"[Title/ Abstract] OR "Tanshinone IIA sulfonate injection"[Title/Abstract]

#4 #1 AND #2 AND #3

2.3. Data extraction and risk of bias assessment

2.3.1. Study selection. The initial selection will involve scanning of the titles and abstracts of the retrieved studies. The full text of relevant studies will then be reviewed for study inclusion in accordance with the inclusion criteria.

2.3.2. Data extraction. Two staff members will perform data extraction. The extracted data will include region, ethnicity, male/female ratio, age range, disease type, drug dosage, and outcome indicators. If there is disagreement between these 2 staff members regarding the extracted data, the matter will be resolved through discussion or will be submitted to a third staff member for adjudication.

2.3.3. *Risk of bias assessment.* We will assess the risk of bias in each study using the Jadad scale. The assessment includes the following 6 aspects: sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other bias.^[16]

2.4. Statistical analysis

2.4.1. Network plot. The included studies will be linked through a network plot consisting of dots and lines. The dots will represent each intervention, and the lines will represent direct comparisons between different interventions. The size of the dot will indicate the number of participants (with a larger dot indicating a relatively greater number of participants), while the thickness of the line will indicate the number of studies (with a thicker line indicating a relatively greater number of studies).

2.4.2. Pairwise meta-analyses. Pairwise meta-analyses will be performed using Stata 13.0 software. The effect size of dichotomous variables (major cardiovascular adverse events) will be assessed using the odds ratio, while the effect size of continuous variables (left ventricular ejection fraction, blood lipid changes, coagulation function changes, cardiac enzyme changes, and inflammatory factor levels) will be assessed using the mean difference. The confidence intervals (CI) will be set to 95%. When the heterogeneity test shows $I^2 < 30\%$ or P > .05, the fixed effect model will be selected. When the heterogeneity test

indicates $I^2 \ge 30\%$ or $P \le .05$, the random effect model will be selected, and we will investigate the source of the heterogeneity through sensitivity and subgroup analyses. Funnel charts will be used to evaluate the presence of a publication bias.

2.4.3. Network meta-analysis. A NMA will be performed using WinBUGS 14 (MRC Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge University, UK).^[17] The effect sizes of dichotomous and continuous variables will be consistent with the pairwise meta-analysis. A potential scale reduction parameter of close to 1 indicates that the convergence is better and the result is credible. If the 95% CI of the odds ratio includes 1, or the 95% CI of the mean difference contains 0, this indicates that there is no significant difference between interventions. Ultimately, all interventions will be ranked based on the Markov Chain Monte Carlo theory.

2.4.4. Inconsistency testing. When the "Inconsistency Factors" value is close to 0 and its 95% CI crosses 0, this indicates that the degree of inconsistency is low, and the consistency model will be selected. Conversely, when the "Inconsistency Factors" value is far from 0 and its 95% CI does not contain 0, the degree of inconsistency is larger, and the inconsistency model will be selected.

2.4.5. Node split analysis. When the inconsistency test indicates a large degree of inconsistency, further node split analysis will be performed. If P < .05, this indicates that the results of direct and indirect comparisons are inconsistent. If this situation arises, we will conduct in-depth analysis based on the principles of homogeneity and transmissibility, and look for the sources of inconsistency.

2.5. Ethics and dissemination

The data used in this systematic review will be collected from published studies. Based on this, the study does not require ethical approval. The results of this study will eventually be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

3. Discussion

CHD is a serious cardiovascular disease that is the most common cause of death in developed countries.^[18,19] As PCI can reduce CHD mortality and relieve angina pectoris, it has attracted attention as an effective long-term treatment. However, even in patients who are treated after PCI with anticoagulation, antiaggregation, lipid-regulating, and other conventional treatments, there are varying degrees of risk of coronary restenosis and thrombosis.^[20] Therefore, the search for effective treatment to prevent complications after PCI has become a research subject of great interest. Recent studies have shown that S miltiorrhiza can prevent endothelial injury, reduce the release of inflammatory factors, and prevent platelet aggregation.^[21–23] Furthermore, DSI combined with Western medicine effectively reduces the incidence of adverse events after PCI.^[24] However, there are a wide variety of DSIs available, and no NMA has shown which kind of DSI is most effective. Therefore, we aim to perform a NMA that will provide a rigorous evidence- based reference for the clinical use of DSI. However, there are some potential limitations in the proposed study. For example, the dose and course of treatment in different clinical studies cannot be absolutely unified; however, the inconsistency will be reduced by setting subgroups. The study protocol will be executed strictly in accordance with the NMA steps, and has been registered in the PROSPERO registry (CRD42018092705).

Acknowledgments

We thank Kelly Zammit, BVSc, from Liwen Bianji, Edanz Group China (www.liwenbianji.cn/ac), for editing the English text of a draft of this manuscript.

Author contributions

The entire manuscript was written and guaranteed by ZZ. The search strategy was formulated by YW and WL. ZZ and HL will complete study selection and data extraction. WL and HL will assess the risk of bias in each study. The final statistical analysis will be completed by ZZ, YW, WL, and HL together. If controversial issues arise in the process, it will be decided by DW. Subsequent versions of this protocol will be reviewed, revised, and approved by all authors.

Data curation: Yuanping Wang.

Funding acquisition: Dawei Wang.

Methodology: Weilin Liao.

Software: Huimin Li.

Writing - original draft: Zehao Zhu.

References

- Watkins DA, Johnson CO, Colquhoun SM, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of rheumatic heart disease, 1990–2015. N Engl J Med 2017;377:713–22.
- [2] Writing Group Members, Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, et al. Executive summary: heart disease and stroke statistics—2016 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2016;133:447–54.
- [3] Rezaei-Hachesu P, Oliyaee A, Safaie N, et al. Comparison of coronary artery disease guidelines with extracted knowledge from data mining. Cardiovasc Thorac Res 2017;9:95–101.
- [4] Kelly BB, Narula J, Fuster V. Recognizing global burden of cardiovascular disease and related chronic diseases. Mt Sinai J Med 2012;79:632–40.
- [5] Schulman-Marcus J, Weintraub WS, Boden WE. Reconsidering the gatekeeper paradigm for percutaneous coronary intervention in stable coronary disease management. Am J Cardiol 2017;120:1450–2.
- [6] Stettler C, Wandel S, Allemann S, et al. Outcomes associated with drugeluting and bare-metal stents: a collaborative network meta-analysis. Lancet 2007;370:937–48.
- [7] Catapano AL, Graham IDE, Backer G, et al. 2016 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidaemias: The Task Force for the Management of Dyslipidaemiasof the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) Developed with the special contribution of the European Assocciation for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). Atherosclerosis 2016;253:281–344.
- [8] Greinacher A, Warkentin TE. The direct thrombin inhibitor hirudin. Thromb Haemost 2008;99:819–29.
- [9] Rich MW. Pharmacotherapy of heart failure in the elderly: adverse events. Heart Fail Rev 2012;17:589–95.
- [10] Lam FF, Yeung JH, Chan KM, et al. Mechanisms of the dilator action of cryptotanshinone on rat coronary artery. Eur J Pharmacol 2008;578: 253–60.
- [11] Zhang YQ, Tang Y, Wu AL, et al. Salvianolic acid A displays cardioprotective effects in in vitro models of heart hypoxia/reoxygenation injury. J Asian Nat Prod Res 2010;12:899–915.
- [12] Fan HY, Fu FH, Yang MY, et al. Antiplatelet and antithrom botic activities of salvianolic acid A. Thromb Res 2010;126:e17–22.
- [13] Geng QX, Zhu XL, Zhang XH. Effect of combined therapy of Shenmai and compound Danshen injection on myocardial reperfusion injury after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Zhongguo Zhong Xi Yi Jie He ZaZhi 2004;24:496–9.
- [14] Zhang HC, Liu W, Yuan HT, et al. Salvia miltiorrhiza reduces plasma levels of asymmetric ADMA in patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Zhongguo Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Za Zhi 2014;34:1436–9.
- [15] Modest GA. Guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina. Ann Intern Med 2002;137:548–9.
- [16] McCormick F, Cvetanovich GL, Kim JM, et al. An assessment of the quality of rotator cuff randomized controlled trials: utilizing the Jadad score and CONSORT criteria. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2013;22:1180–5.

- [17] Lunn DJ, Thomas A, Best N, et al. WinBUGS—a Bayesian modeling frame work: concepts, structure, and extensibility. Stat Comput 2000;10:325–37.
- [18] Ozaki K, Tanaka T. Molecular genetics of coronary artery disease. J Hum Genet 2016;61:71–7.
- [19] Zhang XY, Shu L, Si CJ, et al. Dietary patterns, alcohol consumption and risk of coronary heart disease in adults: a meta-analysis. Nutrients 2015;7:6582–605.
- [20] Bulum J, Ernst A, Strozzi M. The impact of successful manual thrombus aspiration on in-stent restenosis after primary PCI: angiographic and clinical follow-up. Coron Artery Dis 2012;23:487–91.
- [21] Li HY, Zhao X, Liu YZ, et al. Plasma MicroRNA-126-5p is associated with the complexity and severity of coronary artery disease in patients with stable angina pectoris. Cell Physiol Biochem 2016;39:837–46.
- [22] Kshatriya S, Villarreal D, Liu K. Angina pectoris in a patient with protein C deficiency and deep vein thrombosis: thrombus versus myxoma. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2012;79:291–3.
- [23] Ong P, Aziz A, Hansen HS, et al. Structural and functional coronary artery abnormalities in patients with vasospasticangina pectoris. Circ J 2015;79:1431–8.
- [24] Li Q, Wu JH, Guo DJ, et al. Suppression of diet-induced hypercholesterolemia by scutellarin in rats. Planta Med 2009;75:1203–8.