



COMMENT ON LEELARATHNA ET AL.

Duration of Hybrid Closed-Loop Insulin Therapy to Achieve Representative Glycemic Outcomes in Adults With Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2020;43:e38–e39

Diabetes Care 2020;43:e167 | <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc20-1291>

Pierre Yves Benhamou,¹
Stéphanie Madrolle,²
Sandrine Lablanche,¹
Alexandre Gallegos,² Youstra Tourki,²
Sylvia Franc,³ Maeva Doron,⁴ and
Guillaume Charpentier³

Leelarathna et al. (1) report a retrospective analysis linking the duration of hybrid closed-loop insulin therapy, using a single algorithm, with the achievement of stable sensor glucose metrics. They found that in adults with type 1 diabetes and baseline HbA_{1c} ≥ 58 mmol/mol ($\geq 7.5\%$), it takes 4 weeks to observe representative data for mean glucose and percentage time spent in normoglycemia and hyperglycemia. They also suggest that 6 weeks' duration may be required for reliable estimates of hypoglycemia and glucose variability. This time may be required for control algorithm individualization and behavioral adaptation.

We looked at the database built during the multicentric trial (WP7) that tested the Diabeloop DBLG1 hybrid closed-loop system in 63 adult patients with type 1 diabetes (baseline HbA_{1c} 59.4 mmol/mol [7.6%]) during 12 weeks (2). The model predictive control–based algorithm could be customized through eight different settings: total daily insulin requirements, target glucose level, hypoglycemic threshold, reactivity in the hyperglycemic range, reactivity in the normoglycemic range, and prandial insulin ratio (breakfast, lunch, and dinner).

We had previously observed that the number of adjustments in any of the eight algorithm settings decreased from

mean (\pm SD) 5.1 (\pm 4.3) per patient during the first 4 weeks to 2.3 (\pm 3.1) during the last 4 weeks (2). With an approach grouping the sum of setting changes per patient during each week and hierarchical clustering of patients, we report that each patient changed 7 (\pm 4.4) parameters during the 1st week and 2.0 (\pm 2.4) parameters during the 12th week. After 3 weeks, <3 changes per week were applied. In a cluster of 34 patients (54% of all patients) that had the highest rate of changes during the 1st week, <1 change in settings per week (0.8 ± 1.0) was observed after 5 weeks. Whereas the pace of setting adjustments gradually decreased, improvement in the different glucose metrics was already obtained during the 1st week and remained stable thereafter when measured on a weekly basis: the mean \pm SD proportions of time spent with glucose concentration between 70 and 180 mg/dL, above 180 mg/dL, and below 70 mg/dL were $59.4 \pm 10.2\%$, $36.3 \pm 10.2\%$, and $4.3 \pm 2.4\%$, respectively, in open loop versus $71.7 \pm 9.3\%$, $26.2 \pm 9.5\%$, and $2.0 \pm 1.5\%$ during the 1st week in closed loop and $68.9 \pm 11.5\%$, $29.2 \pm 11.6\%$, and $2.0 \pm 1.8\%$ at week 12. Daily monitoring of time in optimal glucose range (70–180 mg/dL) during the 1st week showed values ranging from 68.4% to 74.6%.

Our interpretation is that improvement following closed-loop initiation can already be observed after 1 week, and subsequent setting adjustments only have marginal impact. Our observations are in agreement with the findings reported by Leelarathna et al. Both closed-loop systems are about to be made available in routine practice. Overall, these results suggest that no more than 1 month is needed to achieve optimal metabolic results with this generation of closed-loop devices. This has implications for the organization of patient management, education, and monitoring.

Funding and Duality of Interest. The study was funded by the French Innovation Fund (Banque Publique d'Investissement) and Diabeloop SA. P.Y.B. and S.L. served on the advisory board panel for Diabeloop SA. S.M., A.G., and Y.T. are employees of Diabeloop SA. S.F. and G.C. own shares in Diabeloop SA. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

1. Leelarathna L, Thabit H, Willinska ME, et al.; AP@home04 and APCam11 consortia. Duration of hybrid closed-loop insulin therapy to achieve representative glycemic outcomes in adults with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2020;43:e38–e39
2. Benhamou PY, Franc S, Reznik Y, et al.; DIABELOOP WP7 Consortium. Closed-loop insulin delivery in adults with type 1 diabetes in real-life conditions: a 12-week multicentre, open-label randomised crossover trial. *Lancet Digit Health* 2019;1:e17–e25

¹Department of Endocrinology, Grenoble University Hospital, Grenoble Alpes University, Grenoble, France

²Diabeloop SA, Grenoble, France

³CERITD (Center for Study and Research for Improvement of the Treatment of Diabetes), Bioparc-Genopole Evry-Corbeil, Evry, France

⁴Leti, CEA, Grenoble Alpes University, Grenoble, France

Corresponding author: Pierre Yves Benhamou, pybenhamou@chu-grenoble.fr

Clinical trial reg. no. NCT02987556, clinicaltrials.gov

© 2020 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at <https://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license>.