



Current clues for better analgesic prescription after urologic surgery

María Rodríguez-Cabero, Javier González

Servicio de Urología, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

Correspondence to: Dr. Javier González, MD, PhD. Servicio de Urología, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, C/Dr Esquerdo, 46, 28007 Madrid, Spain. Email: fjpgg1975@yahoo.com.

Comment on: Welk B, McClure JA, Clarke C, *et al.* An Opioid Prescription for Men Undergoing Minor Urologic Surgery Is Associated with an Increased Risk of New Persistent Opioid Use. *Eur Urol* 2020;77:68-75.

Submitted May 20, 2020. Accepted for publication Jun 01, 2020.

doi: 10.21037/tau-20-937

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-20-937>

Recently, Welk and coworkers (1) published a retrospective cohort study aimed to determine whether a first time prescription of opioids to patients following minor urologic surgery was associated with new persistent opioid use. They include patients ≥ 18 years of age from the province of Ontario (Canada) who underwent a specific urologic procedure in a 4-year period [2013–2016], excluding those patients who were using opioids for long before the intervention (>180 days), having a prolonged hospitalization after the procedure, and visiting the emergency around the date of the procedure.

Data for analysis were routinely collected at a population-level, and based on commonly used local prescription practices (i.e., non-requiring opioids: transurethral resection of the prostate, internal urethrotomy, and vasectomy). The exposure to evaluate opioid maintenance was defined by those patients filling an initial opioid prescription, and filling thereafter at least two additional prescriptions in the period of 15 months after the procedure of interest. Visits to emergency facilities regarding an opioid overdose in this interval were also recorded. In addition to demographics and conventional comorbidities (heart and lung disease, alcoholism and rheumatoid arthritis), other special comorbid conditions that commonly require opioid use (head trauma, osteoarthritis, mental disorders, chronic pain, and fibromyalgia) were included as potential confounders.

Welk *et al.* (1) finally included a total of 91,083 candidates meeting the inclusion criteria, and 430 prescribing physicians. Vasectomy was the most frequent intervention conducted, however only 56% of them were performed by

urologists. Primary care physicians and other specialists performed a non-negligible 44% of the procedures.

A significant 35% of the patients evaluated filled a prescription within the 5 days from the surgery date. The most commonly prescribed drugs included immediate release codeine, oxycodone, and tramadol. Those patients filling an initial opioid prescription presented a higher American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score and attended more frequently to a presurgical anesthesiology visit. Probably, most of the opioids in these patients were prescribed by the anesthesiologist. Therefore, those interventions requiring anesthesia (i.e., hydrocelectomy, spermatocelectomy, and circumcision) were the procedures in which the majority of the opioids prescriptions were filled. Conversely, anesthesia in the vasectomy procedure is commonly provided by the surgeon himself.

There was a very low proportion (1.4%) of patients unexposed to opioids in this study, a figure that gives an idea of the high prevalence of opioid use in real practice. Regarding vasectomy, the median equivalent dose with morphine administered reached an astonishing 113 mg; much higher than that required for minimally invasive procedures in general surgery (30 mg), or radical prostatectomy (23 mg). Undoubtedly, this excess of opioid prescription is a potential source of abuse.

Surprisingly, the vast majority of those filling prescriptions for vasectomies were administered by urologists, instead of primary care physicians or other specialists. Men receiving a first prescription were at significantly higher risk of developing maintenance and

overdose. The authors demonstrated 43% increased odds of new persistent opioid use when opioid-naïve patients filled an initial prescription for these drugs, compared with similar patients who underwent the same procedures but did not filled the prescription. An increased risk of hospitalization for opioid overdose was also observed, although the absolute risk of this outcome was quite low (0.02%), being similar to that observed in the Canadian general population.

The authors concluded that urologists with their prescriptions are playing a major role in opioid maintenance and overdose. They also highlighted that many minor procedures in Urology do not require opioids in the postoperative period, recommending putting limits on their use through better education of the trainees, lowering the default pill count in electronic medication records, or regulatory interventions.

To date, opioids remain the cornerstone of postoperative pain management. However, opioid use has turned into an emergent epidemic, representing a major public healthcare concern, particularly in the United States. From 1999 to 2017, the number of deaths from overdoses in relation to opioid prescription has multiplied by 4-fold in this country (2). Canada has also seen a significant increase in recent years, as evidenced by the second highest rate of opioid prescription worldwide. Although Europe has also been a first-line spectator for this increase in the past few years, it has been reported to be lower in magnitude, and fortunately has not translated into a parallel increase of opioid-related morbidity and mortality by the moment. Even so, European health authorities must be warned and alert to this threatening problem.

Significant differences in prescription regulations, attitude towards medical and non-medical use of these drugs, publicity in the media, cultural habits, and availability of illicit psychotropics, are among others, some of the factors that have been proposed to explain the differences observed between countries (3). Undoubtedly, this trend relies also on the lack of accurate recommendations existing in regards to appropriate drug prescription after surgery. Therefore, surgeons still prescribe them frequently, despite the fact that much of this medication is neither required nor even used after a planned surgical intervention (4).

For many patients, acute postoperative pain represents the first exposure to opioids, and for some of them, simple exposure can lead to a medication misuse (5). In addition, a higher opioid prescription at discharge associates greater use regardless the diagnosis, thus suggesting that drug

accessibility would play a central role in excessive use, rather than other clinical or surgical factors. Furthermore, a substantial percentage of patients receiving opioids for postoperative pain control, do not dispose of the medication properly, keeping it at home after the convalescence period, and favoring in a recent study an amazing 55% of opioid-abuse cases coming from legal prescriptions made for a relative or friend (6). In the light of the reported experiences, what seems clear is that excessive postoperative opioid prescription may induce persistent use and long-term addiction in some patients (7).

Urology, like any other surgical specialty, is not an exception. Opioid prescription after urological surgery is rather common. For instance, vasectomy is the most frequently performed urological intervention in the US (8). Recent evidence have shown that in up to 50% of these procedures, opioids are prescribed for postoperative pain control by urologists, primary care physicians, and other specialists (9). Conversely, only 41% of those patients that underwent a vasectomy in whom opioids were prescribed, actually use them, meaning that a large amount of narcotics is presumably prescribed unnecessarily. Therefore, urologists have a crucial role in reducing opioid prescription.

Although the American Urological Association (AUA) guidelines encourages the use of non-opioid analgesics in pain management, no specific recommendations for postoperative pain control is provided (10). Similarly, the European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines recommend in favor of multimodal analgesia, and against the use of opioids in both immediate and long-term postoperative pain control (11). Probably, a better definition of optimal postoperative pain management focused on specific categories (groups of urological procedures according to pain severity and/or postoperative analgesic requirements), would be a valuable tool in limiting excessive opioid prescription.

In this sense, the use of preemptive analgesia (i.e., administered before the painful stimulus in order to decrease subsequent pain and analgesic requirements after the stimulus), and various opioid-saving techniques have been described including the use of local/regional anesthetics (i.e., lidocaine, mepivacaine, bupivacaine), and the so-called multimodal analgesia for postoperative pain management. Although the use of local and regional anesthesia (i.e., epidural, perineural and infiltrative techniques) is increasing, this type of analgesia is administered in an outpatient hospital/clinic setting, and

Table 1 Outcomes of current studies evaluating the use of different opioid-saving techniques in opposition to opioid-based regimes in urologic surgery

Author	Year	Surgical procedure	Analgesic schedule	Feedback	Outcome
Mehta A, <i>et al.</i> (3)	2013	Microsurgical testicular sperm extraction	Celecoxib 200 mg/12 h + paracetamol vs. oxycodone vs. placebo	Daily VAS for 7 days, and opioid registry at discharge	Lower VAS with celecoxib
Haswir H, <i>et al.</i> (4)	2008	Prostate biopsy	Diclofenac 100 mg rectal vs. 10 mg morphine	VAS after the procedure	No significant differences
Hashem A, <i>et al.</i> (5)	2019	Shock wave lithotripsy	Ketorolac 60 mg vs. pethidine (1 mg/kg) vs. placebo	VAS after the procedure	Ketorolac better as initial treatment, no significant differences in the postoperative period between the regimes
Ellis JL, <i>et al.</i> (7)	2020	Penile prosthesis implant	Paracetamol 975 mg/6 h + gabapentin 300 mg/8 h + 15 mg meloxicam/24 h + lidocaine/bupivacaine intraoperatively vs. oxycodone 5 mg/4 h	VAS after the procedure and PO day 1, opioid registry at discharge	Lower VAS, lower opioid use, and lower refills with the opioid-free regime
Tong CMC, <i>et al.</i> (6)	2018	Penile prosthesis implant	Paracetamol 975 mg/6 h + gabapentin 300 mg/8 h + 15 mg meloxicam/24 h, intraoperative lidocaine/bupivacaine, oxycodone 5 mg/4 h OD, vs. opioid based analgesia	VAS after the procedure and PO day 1, opioid registry at discharge	Lower VAS, lower opioid use, and lower refills with the opioid-free regime
Lucas J, <i>et al.</i> (8)	2020	Penile prosthesis implant	Paracetamol 975 mg/6 h + gabapentin 300 mg/8 h + 15 mg meloxicam/24 h, intraoperative lidocaine/bupivacaine, oxycodone 5 mg/4 h OD, vs. opioid based analgesia	VAS after the procedure and PO day 1, opioid registry at discharge	Lower VAS, lower opioid use, and lower refills with the opioid-free regime
Dam M, <i>et al.</i> (9)	2019	Percutaneous nephrolithotomy	Preoperative paracetamol 1 g + dexamethasone 4 mg, + sufentanil 0.25 mg/kg, transmuscular blockage, ropivacaine + paracetamol 1 g/6 h, vs. placebo + paracetamol 1 g/6 h	Oral morphine equivalent use, VAS at discharge	Lower opioid use, shorter time to discharge, longer time to first opioid prescription, and lower VAS for the opioid-free schedule
Hatipoglu Z, <i>et al.</i> (10)	2018	Percutaneous nephrolithotomy	Paravertebral blockage with bupivacaine vs. tramadol 1 mg/kg	VAS	Lower VAS using blockage, patient controlled analgesia, lower requirements of analgesic supplements
Cacciamani GE, <i>et al.</i> (11)	2019	Radical prostatectomy	Blockage with ropivacaine + paracetamol 1 g/8 h + tramadol OD vs. placebo + paracetamol 1 g/8 h + tramadol OD	VAS/8 h during admission opioid demand	Lower opioid use in the postoperative period, lower VAS with blockage

PO, postoperative; OD, on demand; VAS, visual analgesic score.

probably results less subject to patient misuse or overdose. On the other hand, multimodal analgesia consists of the synchronic combination of different painkillers acting through different mechanisms to produce analgesic synergy. Paracetamol, selective and non-selective cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors, ketamine, and different antiepileptics (i.e., gabapentin and pregabalin) are commonly combined

in this analgesic modality.

Table 1 shows the outcomes of current studies evaluating the use of different opioid-saving techniques in opposition to opioid-based regimes in urologic surgery. Evidence drawn from these studies recommends to avoid completely the use of opioids in minor surgery. Alternatively, management of postoperative pain should be performed

using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), paracetamol, local anesthetics (depending on the surgical area), or a combination of them. Similar or even better pain control, in base of the results obtained through the visual analgesic scale (VAS), has been achieved with the opioid-free regime in these cases.

Regarding more invasive procedures (i.e., endoscopic, laparoscopic/robotic assisted, or open surgical interventions), the available evidence shows clear benefit in favor of multimodal analgesia. A myriad of studies reporting better pain control through the use of local/regional anesthetics at the end of the procedure (i.e., surgical wound or trocar incisions infiltration, regional blockage), and a combination of NSAIDs, paracetamol, and gabapentinoids during the immediate postoperative period and after discharge are available. In these type of procedures, the goal should be to decrease the use of opioids, but not eliminate it entirely. For instance, opioids may be recommended for salvage pain control (only whenever deemed necessary), during the immediate postoperative period. Oral intake (when possible) should be preferable over venous infusion, and patient controlled analgesia (PCA) devices would represent the best choice for their endovenous administration.

Other evidence-based recommendations include: the assessment of preoperative analgesic administration whenever possible, and the adaptation of the drug regime to the pain type and unique features.

In summary, the indiscriminate use of opioids is a major public health concern. The lack of accurate best practice guidance may perpetuate this problem over time. Postoperative pain management is possible with opioid-free regimes. Although opioids may be used under certain circumstances, surgeons must be aware of the problems derived from their excessive use, thus trying to limit their prescription. Preemptive analgesia, adaptation to pain characteristics, and a combination of paracetamol, NSAIDs, gabapentinoids, and local anesthetics must be always considered a valuable option to be used during the postoperative period of every urologic surgical patient.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned

by the editorial office, *Translational Andrology and Urology*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-20-937>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Welk B, McClure JA, Clarke C, et al. An Opioid Prescription for Men Undergoing Minor Urologic Surgery Is Associated with an Increased Risk of New Persistent Opioid Use. *Eur Urol* 2020;77:68-75.
2. Leung PTM, Macdonald EM, Stanbrook MB, et al. A 1980 Letter on the Risk of Opioid Addiction. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376:2194-5.
3. Mehta A, Hsiao W, King P, et al. Perioperative celecoxib decreases opioid use in patients undergoing testicular surgery: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. *J Urol* 2013;190:1834-8.
4. Haswir H, Umbas R. Comparison of efficacy between oral morphine sulphate and diclofenac suppository for analgesia during transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy. *Acta Med Indones* 2008;40:124-8.
5. Hashem A, Ghobrial FK, Elbaset MA, et al. Efficacy of pethidine, ketorolac, and lidocaine gel as analgesics for pain control in shockwave lithotripsy: A single-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Investig Clin Urol* 2019;60:251-7.
6. Tong CMC, Lucas J, Shah A, et al. Novel Multi-Modal Analgesia Protocol Significantly Decreases Opioid Requirements in Inflatable Penile Prosthesis Patients. *J*

- Sex Med 2018;15:1187-94.
7. Ellis JL, Higgins AM, Simhan J. Pain management strategies in penile implantation. *Asian J Androl* 2020;22:34-8.
 8. Lucas J, Gross M, Yafi F, et al. A Multi-institutional Assessment of Multimodal Analgesia in Penile Implant Recipients Demonstrates Dramatic Reduction in Pain Scores and Narcotic Usage. *J Sex Med* 2020;17:518-25.
 9. Dam M, Hansen CK, Poulsen TD, et al. Transmuscular quadratus lumborum block for percutaneous nephrolithotomy reduces opioid consumption and speeds ambulation and discharge from hospital: a single centre randomised controlled trial. *Br J Anaesth* 2019;123:e350-e358.
 10. Hatipoglu Z, Gulec E, Turktan M, et al. Comparative study of ultrasound-guided paravertebral block versus intravenous tramadol for postoperative pain control in percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *BMC Anesthesiol* 2018;18:24.
 11. Cacciamani GE, Menestrina N, Pirozzi M, et al. Impact of Combination of Local Anesthetic Wounds Infiltration and Ultrasound Transversus Abdominal Plane Block in Patients Undergoing Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Perioperative Results of a Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Endourol* 2019;33:295-301.

Cite this article as: Rodríguez-Cabero M, González J. Current clues for better analgesic prescription after urologic surgery. *Transl Androl Urol* 2020;9(6):2462-2466. doi: 10.21037/tau-20-937