



Genetic Differences in Dorsal Hippocampus Acetylcholinesterase Activity Predict Contextual Fear Learning Across Inbred Mouse Strains

Sean M. Mooney-Leber¹, Dana Zeid², Prescilla Garcia-Trevizo², Laurel R. Seemiller², Molly A. Bogue³, Stephen C. Grubb³, Gary Peltz⁴ and Thomas J. Gould^{2*}

¹ Department of Psychology, University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point, Stevens Point, WI, United States, ² Department of Biobehavioral Health, The Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA, United States, ³ The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, United States, ⁴ Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Peter Kalivas, Medical University of South Carolina, United States

Reviewed by:

Dayan Kessler Knox, University of Delaware, United States Christina Jennifer Perry, Macquarie University, Australia

> *Correspondence: Thomas J. Gould tug70@psu.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Molecular Psychiatry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

Received: 08 July 2021 Accepted: 21 September 2021 Published: 18 October 2021

Citation:

Mooney-Leber SM, Zeid D, Garcia-Trevizo P, Seemiller LR, Bogue MA, Grubb SC, Peltz G and Gould TJ (2021) Genetic Differences in Dorsal Hippocampus Acetylcholinesterase Activity Predict Contextual Fear Learning Across Inbred Mouse Strains. Front. Psychiatry 12:737897. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.737897

Learning is a critical behavioral process that is influenced by many neurobiological systems. We and others have reported that acetylcholinergic signaling plays a vital role in learning capabilities, and it is especially important for contextual fear learning. Since cholinergic signaling is affected by genetic background, we examined the genetic relationship between activity levels of acetylcholinesterase (AChE), the primary enzyme involved in the acetylcholine metabolism, and learning using a panel of 20 inbred mouse strains. We measured conditioned fear behavior and AChE activity in the dorsal hippocampus, ventral hippocampus, and cerebellum. Acetylcholinesterase activity varied among inbred mouse strains in all three brain regions, and there were significant inter-strain differences in contextual and cued fear conditioning. There was an inverse correlation between fear conditioning outcomes and AChE levels in the dorsal hippocampus. In contrast, the ventral hippocampus and cerebellum AChE levels were not correlated with fear conditioning outcomes. These findings strengthen the link between acetylcholine activity in the dorsal hippocampus and learning, and they also support the premise that the dorsal hippocampus and ventral hippocampus are functionally discrete.

Keywords: hippocampus, learning, acetylcholinesterase, genetics, fear conditioning

INTRODUCTION

Learning is a complex behavioral process that relies on multiple neurobiological systems working in concert. The cholinergic system is one such system whose signaling modulates learning and memory networks (1, 2). For example, the acetylcholine muscarinic receptor (mAChR) antagonist scopolamine has been shown to impair learning in a contextual fear conditioning task (3, 4), spatial learning in the Morris Water Maze (5, 6), passive avoidance learning (6, 7), and object recognition learning (8). Other work has found that signaling via nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR) may modulate learning and memory.

Signaling via nAChR systems can enhance learning through interactions with other neurotransmitter systems. nAChR antagonism with mecamylamine, a non-selective nAChR ligand, does not impair fear conditioning (9, 10). However, mecamylamine paired with a subthreshold dose of an NMDA glutamate receptor antagonist disrupts fear conditioning (10). NMDA receptor signaling acts upstream of synaptic plasticity mediating several forms of learning and memory [for review see (11)]. Results of NMDA receptor and nAChR co-antagonism suggest that these two systems mediate similar learning-related processes, with the nAChR system perhaps subordinate to NMDA receptor signaling. In support, several studies have found that the administration of nicotine (a nAChR agonist) enhances learning (9, 12-14). In addition, nicotine reversed NMDA receptor antagonism-induced deficits in fear conditioning, and direct drug infusion experiments revealed that the dorsal hippocampus mediated this effect (15). Other studies have found that antagonism of nAChR receptors with mecamylamine alone was sufficient to impair learning (6, 16). Importantly, both of these studies used larger mecamylamine doses, and other work suggests that mecamylamine may act as an NMDA receptor antagonist at higher doses (17-19). Thus, impairment of learning via mecamylamine at higher doses may represent its influence on NMDA receptors directly instead of or in addition to actions at nAChRs. In sum, the cholinergic system is involved in learning, with the muscarinic subsystem directly mediating learning-associated cell signaling and the nicotinic system potentially interacting with glutamatergic signaling cascades to modulate learning.

Acetylcholine, the endogenous ligand of mAChRs and nAChRs, is primarily synthesized at axon terminals from choline and acetyl coenzyme A by choline acetyltransferase (ChAT). Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) works to rapidly metabolize acetylcholine into acetate and choline in the synaptic space (20). Manipulation of both acetylcholine synthesis and metabolism can alter learning (21, 22). Prevention of acetylcholine metabolism via AChE inhibition has been used to mitigate cognitive impairments associated with many neurodegenerative diseases (23–25).

A growing body of literature suggests that genetic variability in AChE-related genes could influence learning. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in the ACHE gene, which encodes the acetylcholinesterase enzyme, have been identified in humans (26, 27). Valle et al. (28) reported that heritable variations in the ACHE gene may underlie individual differences in AChE expression and secretion. Genotype at a SNP found in the ACHE gene also predicted responsivity to cognitive-enhancing drug treatment in patients with dementia (29). Genetic variation impacting cholinergic signaling and associated cognition has also been reported in outbred and inbred rodent models (30, 31). Matson et al. (32) found significant differences in AChE activity in the brain cortex and red blood cells between 8 inbred mouse strains. Further, Schwegler et al. (33) reported that the density of cholinergic fibers within the hippocampus varied systematically by genetic background, which correlated with learning outcomes in two spatial learning tasks. Further evidence supporting the role of AChE in learning has been documented elsewhere (34). These findings support that the presence of genetic variants related to cholinergic systems may have a measurable impact on learning outcomes.

Inbred mouse strains provide a powerful tool for identifying the genetic contributions to various behavioral outcomes since each inbred strain has a fixed homozygous genome. Fear conditioning is a simple form of learning that is often utilized to study cognitive performance in rodent models. Studies using large inbred mouse strain panels have demonstrated that learning capabilities in components of fear conditioning are, in part, driven by genetic background (35-37). Neuroanatomical contributors to fear conditioning have been identified (38). Specifically, the dorsal hippocampus is the primary processer of contextual information during fear conditioning (39), but it is not critically involved in cued fear conditioning (40). These neuroanatomical divisions can be leveraged to assess hippocampus-dependent and -independent learning in a fear conditioning model. Since cholinergic signaling within the hippocampus is vital for fear learning (4), genetic variation in acetylcholine signaling could contribute to inter-strain variation in fear conditioning.

Therefore, we examine genetic variability in AChE activity in three brain regions (dorsal hippocampus, ventral hippocampus, and cerebellum) in 20 inbred mouse strains. The dorsal and ventral hippocampus were separately examined due to their distinct roles in fear conditioning. Specifically, the dorsal hippocampus is primarily involved in cognitive processing, whereas the ventral hippocampus regulates stress and the emotional response to fear (41). The cerebellum was selected as a control due to its lack of participation in contextual or cued fear conditioning (42). Contextual and cued fear conditioning were used to understand how genetic variation in AChE activity correlated with strain differences in hippocampus-dependent learning. We hypothesized that learning capabilities and brain AChE activity would vary significantly between strains; and because of the prominent role that the hippocampus plays in fear learning, we predicted that hippocampal AChE activity levels would be correlated with fear conditioning.

METHODS

Subjects

Male 129S1/SvlmJ, 129S4/SvJaeJ, 129S8/SvEvNimrJ, A/J, AKR/J, BALB/cJ, BTBRT<+>ltpr3<tf>/J, C3H/HeJ, C57BL/6J, CBA/J, DBA/1J, DBA/2J, FVB/NJ, LP/J, MA/MyJ, NZB/BINJ, SJL/J, SM/J, & SWR/J mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). 129S2/SvPasCrl were obtained from Charles River (Wilmington, MA). Individual strain characteristics can be found on https://mice.jax.org/ and https://www.criver.com/ (129S2). These mice were part of a larger project examining the influence of genetic background on sensitivity to drugs of abuse. All mice were 10–15 weeks of age for behavioral testing and tissue collection (n = 9–13 per strain). All mice were group-housed [with the exception of SJL/J, which were singlehoused due to excessive social aggression characteristic of this strain; (43)] with a 12-h light/dark cycle and unlimited access to food and water. All behavioral testing occurred between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. All procedures were conducted in accordance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Penn State University IACUC Committee.

Saline Exposure

As mentioned previously, mice from this study served as saline controls for a larger project examining the impact of nicotine on learning and memory. As a result of their experimental assignment, mice used for the current study were exposed to chronic saline for 12 days. Saline was administered via subcutaneous osmotic minipumps (model #1002, Alzet Inc.; Cupertino, CA, USA). Surgical implantation and removal of the minipumps were performed under 3.5% isoflurane anesthesia using aseptic procedures. Pumps were removed 1 day prior to behavioral training.

Apparatus

Fear conditioning training and testing for contextual fear learning occurred in four identical noise-attenuating chambers with metal bar grid flooring (18.8 \times 20 \times 18.3 cm, MED Associates, St. Albans, VT, USA). Testing for cued fear learning was conducted in a separate room in four identical noise-attenuating chambers (20.32 \times 22.86 \times 17.78 cm, MED Associates, St. Albans, VT, USA) designed to have distinct sensory cues (different chamber size, solid plastic flooring, background vanilla odor) to allow subjects to distinguish them from the training/context test chambers. Both sets of chambers were equipped with side-mounted speakers for cued stimuli presentation (85 dB white noise) and fans to provide ventilation and background noise (65 dB). Freezing behavior was recorded using cameras mounted to chamber ceilings (Ikegami, Tokyo, Japan) connected to Noldus media recording software (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands). Stimuli presentation during training and both testing sessions was controlled by Med-PC software and hardware (MED Associates, St. Albans, VT, USA).

Fear Conditioning

Mice were trained and tested in both contextual and cued fear conditioning, as previously established in our laboratory (37). Briefly, for training, mice were placed in an operant chamber for a total of 5 min. The first 2 min of training consisted of a stimulusfree period (baseline) followed by 2 conditioned stimulus (CS; 30s 85 dB white noise)-unconditioned stimulus (US; 2-s 0.45 mA foot shock) pairings presented 2 min apart, in which the US overlapped with the last 2-s of the CS. The 2-min period in between the two CS-US pairings served as the immediate or postshock period. Two associations are formed during the training trial and are used to assess fear conditioning: (1) Between the tone CS and footshock US (cued fear conditioning) and (2) Between the footshock US and the context/environment (contextual fear conditioning). To assess the strength of these unique forms of learning and memory, mice were tested for both contextual and cued learning 24 h after training. To test contextual fear learning, mice were placed back inside the training chamber over a 5-min trial with no stimulus presentation. Cued testing occurred at least 1 h after context testing, for which mice were placed in a novel chamber for a 3-min baseline assessment (pre-cue), followed by 3-min of CS exposure (cued). Chambers used for context and cued testing were not counterbalanced to be consistent with previous studies examining the impact of nicotine withdrawal on fear learning (37) and because contextual fear learning was the primary focus on this study. Novel cues (plastic flooring and vanilla scent) were present during cued testing to minimize generalization to the training chambers. All sessions were video recorded. Freezing behavior was tracked during all sessions via EthoVision XT (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands).

Tissue Collection and AChE Activity

Twenty-four hours following the fear conditioning test, mice were sacrificed for the collection of hippocampus and whole cerebellum. Hippocampi were further dissected into dorsal and ventral sections (1:1 ratio). All tissue was flash frozen on dry ice and stored at -80° C until further processing. Brain tissue from 5 to 6 mice was randomly selected from each strain. Tissue was homogenized in 1X RIPA buffer solution (R0278, Sigma Life Sciences, St. Louis, MO, USA) with HALT Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail at a ratio of 100:1 (78445, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Homogenates were spun down at 14,000 g at 4°C for 30 min. Total protein concentration of the supernatant was determined by DC Protein Assay (500-0112; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Each supernatant sample was further diluted in RIPA buffer to a standard 30 µg total protein. AChE activity in diluted samples was measured using the Abcam Acetylcholinesterase Assay Kit (ab138871, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) per manufacturer instructions. Inter- and intra-assay duplicate CV% were all <15%.

Mouse Phenome Database Gene Polymorphism Queries

To assess sequence variation in genes encoding AChE and other proteins relevant to cholinergic signaling, genes were surveyed using the Mouse Phenome Database SNP data retrieval tool (44). SNP and indel data were retrieved from the Sanger4 (45) data set because of its extensive genome coverage. However, only a subset of 13 strains was analyzed (DBA/1J, C57BL/6J, LP/J, BALB/cJ, DBA/2J, 129S1/SvImJ, CBA/J, C3H/HeJ, A/J, AKR/J, NZB/BINJ, FVB/NJ, BTBR T+ Itpr3tf/J) due to the other strains not being represented in the Sanger4 data set. These data were compiled with gene function and length data from Mouse Genome Informatics website [http://www.informatics. jax.org/index.shtml (June, 2021)] in **Supplementary File 1**.

Heritability Estimates and Behavioral Correlations

Heritability estimates were based on within- and betweenstrain variance produced from one-way ANOVAs for each behavioral and biological outcome. Briefly, sum of squares between strains (between strain variance) was divided by the summation of sum of squares between and within-strains [within strain variance; (46)]. To explore potential genetic overlap between the collected behavioral and biological variables, strain mean Pearson r correlations between all fear conditioning and AChE activity variables were computed. Pearson r correlations were also computed between AChE activity strain means and other behavioral phenotypes available through a custom dataset within Mouse Phenome Database [fear conditioning (Mooney1) and AChE data (Mooney2) collected for this study are available at https://phenome.jax.org]. A significance threshold of p < 0.01 (based on Pearson r) was applied to correlations calculated for AChE activity strain means in each brain region. As we were specifically interested in behavioral outcomes related to AChE activity, correlations significant at p < 0.01 derived from behavioral assays are highlighted (see **Supplementary Tables 1–3**).

Statistical Analysis

Separate one-way ANOVAs with strain as a between-subjects factor were utilized to examine conditioning variables (baseline, immediate, context, pre-cue, & cued freezing) and brain AChE activity levels (dorsal hippocampus, ventral hippocampus, and cerebellum). ANOVAs with a significant effect of strain were followed up with Tukey HSD post-hoc. If Levene's test of homogeneity of variance was violated, a Games-Howell post-hoc was conducted instead. Associations between fear conditioning behaviors and AChE activity were examined using a Pearson r correlation coefficient. All data analyses were conducted using SPSS 26 software (IBM, Chicago, USA). ANOVA results and within-dataset correlations were considered significant at p <0.05. Strain AChE mean correlations with Mouse Phenome Database behavioral datasets were considered significant at p <0.01. The significance threshold of p < 0.01 was selected as a compromise between statistical lenience and stringency (47) to match the exploratory nature of these analyses.

RESULTS

Fear Conditioning

We examined multiple behavioral components of fear conditioning in 20 inbred strains. The range of freezing responses varied based on the following behaviors: baseline 0.48-19.15%, immediate 0.27-55.61%, context 6.96-77.18%, pre-cue 2.93-46.3%, and cued 21.54-84.14%. The resulting data was analyzed using one-way ANOVAs for the following: freezing during the baseline phase of fear conditioning training [Figure 1A; $F_{(19,193)} = 12.81$, p < 0.001], freezing during the immediate (post-shock) phase [Figure 1B; $F_{(19,193)} = 20.27$, p < 0.001], freezing to the conditioned context [Figure 1C; $F_{(19,193)} = 33.12, p < 0.001$], pre-cue freezing during the cued fear learning test [**Figure 1D**; $F_{(19,193)} = 13.19$, p < 0.001], and freezing to the conditioned cue [Figure 1E; $F_{(19,193)} =$ 18.85, p < 0.001]. Each ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of strain. The calculated genetic heritability for freezing in each of these stages of fear conditioning were: baseline freezing 57.36%, immediate freezing 67.45%, context freezing 76.32%, pre-cue freezing 58.18%, and cued freezing 66.04%. Post-hoc outcomes examining strain differences can be found in Supplementary Tables 4-8.

AChE Activity

We examined dorsal and ventral hippocampal and cerebellum AChE activity in 20 inbred strains. The range of AChE activity

levels varied by region: dorsal hippocampus 201.2–271.3 mU/ml, ventral hippocampus 222.5–325.1 mU/ml, and cerebellum 187–273 mU/ml. The resulting data was analyzed using separate one-way ANOVAs for dorsal hippocampus AChE activity [**Figure 2A**; $F_{(19,99)} = 2.37$, p = 0.003], ventral hippocampus AChE activity [**Figure 2B**; $F_{(19,100)} = 4.25$, p < 0.001] and whole cerebellum AChE activity [**Figure 2C**; $F_{(19,99)} = 1.81$, p = 0.032], which found a significant main effect for strain. Genetic heritability estimates were calculated as follows: dorsal hippocampus AChE activity 34.43%, ventral AChE activity 45.24%, and cerebellum AChE activity 26.15%. *Post-hoc* outcomes examining strain differences can be found in **Supplementary Tables 9–11**.

Mouse Phenome Database Gene Polymorphism Queries

To identify genetic variants that could underlie the observed inter-strain differences in AChE activity and learning, we used the Mouse Phenome Database SNP data retrieval tool to examine inter-strain allelic differences in 28 genes related to cholinergic signaling. Their functions and SNPs are listed in **Supplementary File 1**. Notably, there were 18 polymorphisms within the *AChE* gene, including 5 indels. There were also many polymorphisms in other cholinergic signaling genes.

Within-Dataset AChE Activity and Fear Conditioning Correlations

To examine potential genetic overlap between behavioral components of fear conditioning, strain mean correlations were calculated between all measured fear conditioning variables (Table 1). Freezing during the baseline of fear conditioning training positively correlated with immediate (post-shock) freezing during training $[r_{(18)} = 0.87, p < 0.001]$, freezing to the conditioned context $[r_{(18)} = 0.70, p = 0.001]$, and pre-cue $[r_{(18)} = 0.73, p < 0.001]$ freezing, but not with freezing to the conditioned cue. Immediate (post-shock) freezing also positively correlated with freezing to context $[r_{(18)} = 0.69, p = 0.001]$, precue freezing during the cued fear learning test $[r_{(18)} = 0.79, p]$ < 0.001] and freezing to cue $[r_{(18)} = 0.53, p = 0.016]$. Context freezing also positively correlated with pre-cue freezing $[r_{(18)}]$ = 0.93, p < 0.001] and cue freezing $[r_{(18)} = 0.54, p = 0.013]$ freezing. Finally, pre-cue freezing also correlated positively with cue freezing $[r_{(18)} = 0.60, p = 0.005]$. These results may point to shared genetic variance underlying freezing during different stages of fear conditioning.

Strain mean correlations were similarly calculated for AChE activity between brain regions (**Table 1**). From the three brain regions examined, only a positive correlation between dorsal and ventral hippocampus AChE activity levels was found $[r_{(18)} = 0.52, p = 0.019]$. Dorsal and ventral hippocampus AChE activity did not significantly correlate with cerebellum AChE activity. Significant covariance between dorsal and ventral hippocampus AChE activity implies potentially shared genetic factors impacting AChE activity levels between functionally distinct regions of the hippocampus.

Finally, we examined the relationship between strain means for brain AChE activity and freezing during fear conditioning.



Dorsal hippocampus AChE activity (**Figure 3**) negatively correlated with immediate (post-shock) freezing during fear conditioning training [**Figure 3B**; $r_{(18)} = -0.53$, p = 0.016], freezing to the conditioned context [**Figure 3C**; $r_{(18)} = -0.50$, p = 0.026], pre-cue freezing during cued test [**Figure 3D**; $r_{(18)} = -0.58$, p = 0.008] and freezing to the conditioned cue [**Figure 3E**; $r_{(18)} = -0.54$, p = 0.015] but not baseline freezing (**Figure 3A**). No significant correlations between ventral hippocampus or cerebellum AChE activity levels and any of the measured fear conditioning variables were found

(**Supplementary Figures 1, 2**). Thus, genetic factors underlying freezing during multiple stages of fear conditioning covary exclusively with dorsal hippocampus AChE activity, despite significant correlations between ventral and dorsal hippocampus AChE activity.

Mouse Phenome Database Correlations

Brain AChE activity strain means were correlated with publicly available phenotypes using the Mouse Phenome Database. Only measures with mean data points for a



FIGURE 2 Acetylcholinesterase activity varies by strain. AChE activity (measured in mU/mL) showed a main effect of strain in the **(A)** dorsal hippocampus $[F_{(19,99)} = 2.37, \rho < 0.05]$, **(B)** ventral hippocampus $[F_{(19,100)} = 4.25, \rho < 0.05]$, and **(C)** cerebellum $[F_{(19,99)} = 1.81, \rho < 0.05]$ n = 8-11 per strain. Strains are shown from lower to higher AChE activity levels in the dorsal hippocampus and then follow the same order in the ventral hippocampus and cerebellum graphs. For *post-hoc* comparsions, please see **Supplementary Materials**.

TABLE 1 | Pearson correlation coefficients of AChE activity when correlated with different components of fear learning.

	Baseline	Immediate	Context	Pre-cue	Cued	DH AChE	VH AChE	CB AChE
Baseline	1							
Immediate	0.87**	1						
Context	0.70**	0.69**	1					
Pre-CS	0.73**	0.79**	0.93**	1				
Cued	0.43	0.53*	0.54*	0.6**	1			
DH AChE	-0.23	-0.53*	-0.5*	-0.58**	-0.54*	1		
VH AChE	-0.02	-0.22	-0.09	-0.12	-0.14	0.52*	1	
CB AChE	0.16	-0.02	0.41	0.35	-0.08	-0.17	0.09	1

*correlation is significant at 0.05 level; **correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed), based on strain means.

Bold text represents significant values.



minimum of 8 strains overlapping with our own panel were utilized for MPD correlation analysis. Two thousand twenty-five total measures available in MPD were correlated with AChE activity in each of the tested brain regions (dorsal and ventral hippocampus and cerebellum). We made the *a priori* decision to focus on the top ten significant correlations of AChE with behavioral variables for the purpose of this manuscript.

For dorsal hippocampus AChE activity strain mean correlations, 20 measures met the pre-determined significance cut-off (p < 0.01; see Supplementary Table 1). Six of these phenotypes were classified as behavioral models, and three were cognitive assessments in the Barnes maze, as assessed by number of errors that positively correlated with AChE activity. For ventral hippocampus AChE activity (see Supplementary Table 2), 21 measures were considered to be significantly correlated (at p <0.01), with ten of those phenotypes corresponding to a behavioral measure. Specifically, for five out of the six correlated behaviors, AChE activity correlated positively with emotional behavioral responding in cued fear testing, light-dark box, and variants of the elevated maze. Lastly, 30 measures met the significance threshold for correlations with cerebellum AChE activity levels (see Supplementary Table 3). Nine of these corresponded to behavioral measurements. Of note, cerebellum AChE activity correlated positively with six measures of scheduled operant behavior, such as fixed-ratio responding.

DISCUSSION

Here we report significant variation in fear conditioning and AChE activity in three brain regions across 20 inbred mouse strains. We found that these phenotypes were heritable, especially for freezing during the five stages of fear conditioning assessed (baseline, immediate, context, pre-cue, & cued). Correlation data generated within the current dataset indicate strong positive genetic relationships between freezing levels in various stages of fear conditioning and also between dorsal and ventral hippocampus AChE activity, in addition to a negative correlation between fear conditioning freezing and dorsal hippocampus AChE activity. Correlations of our strain means with publicly available datasets indicate that the dorsal hippocampus AChE activity levels may be more closely related to learning outcomes, whereas ventral hippocampus AChE activity may be better associated with other emotional processing outcomes. Collectively, these findings suggest a degree of heritability in fear learning and hippocampal AChE activity levels and that genetic variability associated with AChE activity in the dorsal hippocampus may contribute to learning in fear conditioning.

AChE Activity

Using a panel of 20 inbred mouse strains, we found a significant variation of AChE activity in the dorsal hippocampus, ventral hippocampus, and cerebellum. These findings suggest that AChE activity varies by genetic background. Our genetic analysis identified at least 18 polymorphisms in the AChE gene among a subset of the tested strains. Although these polymorphisms did not clearly co-vary with learning or AChE activity in the current panel, they likely contribute in part to inbred strain variation in AChE efficiency and activity. Importantly, enzymatic activity can be modulated by variation in the enzyme itself (e.g., polymorphisms in the encoding gene leading to altered protein structure and changes in enzymatic activity), by distal regulatory genomic elements (e.g., polymorphisms in trans-regulatory elements targeting AChE gene expression), by interactions within the enzymatic

pathway (e.g., polymorphisms among broader genomic networks involved in cholinergic signaling), or by a combination of these factors. Our analysis found that related genes encoding choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), an important enzyme in acetylcholine synthesis (48, 49), RIC3, an acetylcholine receptor chaperone protein (50, 51), butyrylcholinesterase, another acetylcholinemetabolizing enzyme (52), and nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits (53) also contain numerous polymorphisms across strains. These polymorphisms could all potentially influence cholinergic signaling and represent possible mechanisms through which genetic differences across strains influence AChE activity and learning. Moreover, the large amount of cholinergic modulating genes exhibiting polymorphisms suggests that the observed phenotypic differences in learning may involve complex genotypic and regulatory interactions.

Fear Conditioning

The current results are in line with previous findings of genetic variability in conditioned fear learning (37, 54, 55). Analyses of our inbred mouse strain panel indicated significant between-strain variation in freezing during multiple stages of fear conditioning (baseline, immediate, context, pre-cue, & cued). Moreover, these behaviors were highly heritable, all demonstrating >57% heritability. Our fear conditioning paradigm measured two distinct associations: First, between the training context (contextual fear conditioning) and the US, and second, between the CS and US (cued fear conditioning). Here, we report a wide range of contextual fear conditioning across our inbred strain panel with some strains demonstrating high (LP/J, SM/J, 129S2, & 129S1, >68% freezing) and some demonstrating low contextual fear conditioning (FVB/NJ, SWR/J, & BTBRT +, <10% freezing). The differences in the mice that show high levels of freezing and the mice that show low levels of freezing during the context tests could suggest that the high and lower responders differ in hippocampus-dependent learning. In support, studies examining LP/J, SM/J, & 129S1 inbred mice have found high levels of learning in hippocampus-dependent tasks (56) including contextual fear conditioning (57, 58) relative to other tested strains. Similarly, FVB/NJ, SWR/J, and BTBRT+ strains exhibit low levels of hippocampus-dependent learning (35, 59-61). It should be noted that FVB/NJ and SWR/J strains possess a Pde6b gene mutation that leads to compromised visual acuity (62). However, work conducted by Bolivar et al. (36) indicated that retinal degeneration produced by this mutation did not impact contextual fear learning. Moreover, the C3H/HeJ strain shares a similar Pde6b mutation but displayed >20% freezing, suggesting that factors outside of visual acuity contributed to the observed strain differences. Interestingly, the three strains with the lowest contextual fear learning also had the highest levels of dorsal hippocampus AChE activity. Low contextual fear conditioning in these strains may be explained in part by higher dorsal hippocampus AChE activity levels (discussed more below) as acetylcholine is critically involved in learning (63) but other differences could also contribute to the differences in fear conditioning.

In addition to contextual fear learning, we found significant between-strain variation in cued fear conditioning. Our results indicate that the BTBRT+ strain exhibits poor cued fear conditioning (\sim 21% freezing across trial), which is supported by other studies (64). BTBRT+ strain displays abnormal amygdala nuclei volume (65). Given the role of the amygdala in cued fear conditioning (39), it is possible that structural abnormalities within this region may account for the deficits reported here.

Within-Dataset and Mouse Phenome Database Correlations

Strain mean correlations are useful for identifying potential relationships between the genetic influence of behavioral and biological outcomes. Here, we found that dorsal hippocampus AChE activity was significantly negatively correlated with freezing in all stages of fear conditioning except for baseline freezing. This finding suggests that genes that influence dorsal hippocampus AChE activity may contribute to variability in fear conditioning but not baseline levels of activity as assessed by freezing. Dong et al. (66) reported significant improvement in contextual fear conditioning in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease following injections of AChE inhibitors physostigmine or donepezil. Moreover, nicotine withdrawal- and MK-801induced deficits in contextual fear conditioning were prevented after administration of an AChE inhibitor (67-69). Notably, Csernansky et al. (67) observed inconsistent improvement in contextual fear conditioning via inhibition of AChE in salinetreated mice, suggesting that improvement in contextual fear conditioning via inhibition of AChE may be dependent on altered cholinergic signaling. The genetic association between dorsal hippocampus AChE activity and learning was further supported by our MPD analysis, which found that dorsal AChE activity strain means were positively correlated with the number of errors committed during the Barnes maze (see Supplementary Table 1), a hippocampus-dependent learning task. Qualitative support for this idea comes from the fact that the bottom three strains with the lowest freezing to the conditioned context (SWR/J, BTBRT+, and FVB/NJ) displayed the highest dorsal hippocampus AChE activity, indicating that enhanced AChE activity may impair contextual fear learning. Alternatively, it is possible that genetic differences in genes associated with AChE reflect or contribute to systemic alterations in cholinergic function, which is critical for learning (70, 71).

Both ventral hippocampus and cerebellum AChE activity failed to correlate with fear conditioning variables. Previous reports suggest that the dorsal and ventral hippocampus carry out distinct behavioral functions despite being a continuous anatomical structure (41). For instance, the dorsal hippocampus plays a role in spatial learning and memory (72, 73), whereas the ventral hippocampus is involved in emotional processing and stress responding (74). Although we did not examine more traditional behavioral paradigms of emotional processing (e.g., elevated plus maze or light dark box), strain mean correlations with external datasets in MPD indicated significant associations between ventral hippocampus AChE activity and behavioral variables potentially representing emotional processing (see Supplementary Table 2). Interestingly, higher AChE activity in the ventral hippocampus was associated with greater frequency of urination and fecal boli in the light-dark box and elevated plus-maze. This suggests that increased AChE activity may predict greater levels of anxiety. It is worth noting that fear learning has emotional components and manipulation of ventral hippocampus functioning can influence fear learning (75). Moreover, recent findings from Giacomini et al. (76) suggest that inhibition of AChE via donepezil increases anxiety-like behavior in zebrafish in a dose-dependent manner. It is feasible that overlapping genes mediate ventral hippocampus AChE activity and anxiety-like behavior. However, the relationship between anxiety and AChE activity in the ventral hippocampus cannot be reliably surmised from the current data as there was no significant correlation with fear conditioning. Traditional measures of anxiety would need to be assessed to see if strain variability in the ventral hippocampus contributed to altered anxiety phenotypes.

Limitations/Conclusions

The current study's goal was to examine genetic variation in fear conditioning, as well as AChE activity in the hippocampus and cerebellum. Our findings indicate that all five components of fear conditioning and AChE activity in three brain regions (dorsal and ventral hippocampus and cerebellum) significantly differed based on genetic background. Additionally, strain means correlational analysis found a negative relationship between the dorsal hippocampus AChE activity and fear conditioning, suggesting genetic variability in AChE activity contributes to differences in learning. While these findings have interesting implications for the role of AChE-related genetics in learning it is important to highlight their correlational nature. That is, the current study does not provide causal evidence that AChE differences may or may not be due to genetic differences. Future studies can also examine cholinergic markers in regions such as the amygdala, which is also importantly involved in fear conditioning (77). Additionally, correlations with publicly available datasets are limited by the number of overlapping strains. Moreover, it must be noted that all animals in the current study underwent surgical procedures, including osmotic minipump implantation (saline). Although animals were not subjected to drug exposure, it is possible that surgical stress may have influenced measured outcomes. Lastly, the scope of the current study is limited by using males only.

Collectively, our data provide further evidence that biological and behavioral outcomes are influenced by genetic background. Additionally, fear conditioning and dorsal hippocampus cholinergic signaling appear to be associated and mediated by common genetic factors. Additional research may help elucidate potential genetic targets influencing fear conditioning and AChE activity, as well as mechanisms possibly mediating the relationship between dorsal hippocampus AChE activity and fear conditioning.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Pennsylvania State University Institutional Animal and Care Use Committee.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SM-L and DZ: conceptualization, data collection, analysis, and writing. PG-T and LS: data collection, analysis, and writing. MB: analysis and editing. SG: analysis. GP: funding and editing. TG: conceptualization, writing, editing, and funding. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fadda F, Cocco S, Stancampiano R. Hippocampal acetylcholine release correlates with spatial learning performance in freely moving rats. *Neuroreport.* (2000) 11:2265–9. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200007140-00040
- Takase K, Sakimoto Y, Kimura F, Mitsushima D. Developmental trajectory of contextual learning and 24-h acetylcholine release in the hippocampus. *Sci Rep.* (2014) 4:3738. doi: 10.1038/srep03738
- Anagnostaras SG, Maren S, Sage JR, Goodrich S, Fanselow MS. Scopolamine and Pavlovian fear conditioning in rats: dose-effect analysis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (1999) 21:731– 44. doi: 10.1016/S0893-133X(99)00083-4
- Gale GD, Anagnostaras SG, Fanselow MS. Cholinergic modulation of pavlovian fear conditioning: effects of intrahippocampal scopolamine infusion. *Hippocampus*. (2001) 11:371–6. doi: 10.1002/hipo.1051
- Nakagawa Y, Takashima T. The GABA(B) receptor antagonist CGP36742 attenuates the baclofen- and scopolamine-induced deficit in morris water maze task in rats. *Brain Res.* (1997) 766:101–6. doi: 10.1016/S0006-8993(97)00529-5
- Gacar N, Mutlu O, Utkan T, Komsuoglu Celikyurt I, Gocmez SS, Ulak G. Beneficial effects of resveratrol on scopolamine but not mecamylamine induced memory impairment in the passive avoidance and morris water maze tests in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* (2011) 99:316– 23. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2011.05.017
- Rush DK. Scopolamine amnesia of passive avoidance: a deficit of information acquisition. *Behav Neural Biol.* (1988) 50:255– 74. doi: 10.1016/S0163-1047(88)90938-7
- Dodart JC, Mathis C, Ungerer A. Scopolamine-induced deficits in a two-trial object recognition task in mice. *Neuroreport.* (1997) 8:1173– 8. doi: 10.1097/00001756-199703240-00023
- 9. Gould TJ, Wehner JM. Nicotine enhancement of contextual fear conditioning. Behav Brain Res. (1999) 102:31–9. doi: 10.1016/S0166-4328(98)00157-0
- Gould TJ, Lewis MC. Coantagonism of glutamate receptors and nicotinic acetylcholinergic receptors disrupts fear conditioning and latent inhibition of fear conditioning. *Learn Mem.* (2005) 12:389–98. doi: 10.1101/lm.89105
- Paoletti P, Bellone C, Zhou Q. NMDA receptor subunit diversity: impact on receptor properties, synaptic plasticity and disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2013) 14:383–400. doi: 10.1038/nrn3504
- Puma C, Deschaux O, Molimard R, Bizot JC. Nicotine improves memory in an object recognition task in rats. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* (1999) 9:323–7. doi: 10.1016/S0924-977X(99)00002-4

FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health [T32GM108563 (LS), U01DA041632 (TG), F31DA049395 (DZ), and U01DA044399 (GP)], the Jean Phillips Shibley Endowment (TG), and Penn State University.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Sana Gadiwalla and Brendan Natwora for their technical assistance through the course of this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt. 2021.737897/full#supplementary-material

- Ciamei A, Aversano M, Cestari V, Castellano C. Effects of MK-801 and nicotine combinations on memory consolidation in CD1 mice. *Psychopharmacology*. (2001) 154:126–30. doi: 10.1007/s002130000584
- Gould TJ, Wilkinson DS, Yildirim E, Blendy JA, Adoff MD. Dissociation of tolerance and nicotine withdrawal-associated deficits in contextual fear. *Brain Res.* (2014) 1559:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2014.02.038
- 15. Andre JM, Leach PT, Gould Nicotine TJ. ameliorates NMDA receptor antagonist-induced deficits in contextual fear conditioning through high-affinity nicotinic acetylcholine the hippocampus. Neuropharmacology. receptors in (2011)60:617-25. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2010.12.004
- Decker MW, Majchrzak MJ. Effects of systemic and intracerebroventricular administration of mecamylamine, a nicotinic cholinergic antagonist, on spatial memory in rats. *Psychopharmacology*. (1992) 107:530– 4. doi: 10.1007/BF02245267
- O'dell TJ, Christensen BN. Mecamylamine is a selective non-competitive antagonist of N-methyl-D-aspartate- and aspartate-induced currents in horizontal cells dissociated from the catfish retina. *Neurosci Lett.* (1988) 94:93–8. doi: 10.1016/0304-3940(88)90276-5
- Snell LD, Johnson KM. Effects of nicotinic agonists and antagonists on N-methyl-D-aspartate-induced 3H-norepinephrine release and 3H-(1-[1-(2thienyl)cyclohexyl]-piperidine) binding in rat hippocampus. *Synapse*. (1989) 3:129–35. doi: 10.1002/syn.890030204
- Mcdonough JH Jr, Shih TM. A study of the N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonistic properties of anticholinergic drugs. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. (1995) 51:249– 53. doi: 10.1016/0091-3057(94)00372-P
- Soreq H, Seidman S. Acetylcholinesterase–new roles for an old actor. Nat Rev Neurosci. (2001) 2:294–302. doi: 10.1038/35067589
- Glick SD, Mittag TW, Green JP. Central cholinergic correlates of impaired learning. *Neuropharmacology*. (1973) 12:291– 6. doi: 10.1016/0028-3908(73)90088-9
- Woodruff-Pak DS, Vogel III RW, Wenk GL. Galantamine: effect on nicotinic receptor binding, acetylcholinesterase inhibition, and learning. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2001) 98:2089–94. doi: 10.1073/pnas.98.4.2089
- Ogura H, Kosasa T, Kuriya Y, Yamanishi Y. Comparison of inhibitory activities of donepezil and other cholinesterase inhibitors on acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase *in vitro*. *Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol*. (2000) 22:609–13. doi: 10.1358/mf.2000.22.8.701373
- Wilkinson D, Murray J. Galantamine: a randomized, double-blind, dose comparison in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2001) 16:852–7. doi: 10.1002/gps.409

- Howard R, Mcshane R, Lindesay J, Ritchie C, Baldwin A, Barber R, et al. Donepezil and memantine for moderate-to-severe Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med.* (2012) 366:893–903. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1106668
- Lockridge O, Norgren RBJr, Johnson RC, Blake TA. Naturally occurring genetic variants of human acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase and their potential impact on the risk of toxicity from cholinesterase inhibitors. *Chem Res Toxicol.* (2016) 29:1381–92. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrestox.6b00228
- 27. Reale M, Costantini E, Di Nicola M, D'angelo C, Franchi S, D'aurora M, et al. Butyrylcholinesterase and acetylcholinesterase polymorphisms in multiple sclerosis patients: implication in peripheral inflammation. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:1319. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-19701-7
- Valle AM, Radic Z, Rana BK, Mahboubi V, Wessel J, Shih PA, et al. Naturally occurring variations in the human cholinesterase genes: heritability and association with cardiovascular and metabolic traits. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* (2011) 338:125–33. doi: 10.1124/jpet.111.180091
- 29. Scacchi R, Gambina G, Moretto G, Corbo RM. Variability of AChE, BChE, and ChAT genes in the late-onset form of Alzheimer's disease and relationships with response to treatment with donepezil and rivastigmine. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. (2009) 150B:502–7. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.30846
- Durkin T, Ayad G, Ebel A, Mandel P. Regional acetylcholine turnover rates in the brains of three inbred strains of mice: correlation with some interstrain behavioural differences. *Brain Res.* (1977) 136:475– 86. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(77)90072-5
- Blaker WD, Cheney DL, Stoff DM. Interstrain comparison of avoidance behavior and neurochemical parameters of brain cholinergic function. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* (1983) 18:189– 93. doi: 10.1016/0091-3057(83)90362-3
- Matson LM, Lee-Stubbs RB, Cadieux CL, Koenig JA, Ardinger CE, Chandler J, et al. Assessment of mouse strain differences in baseline esterase activities and toxic response to sarin. *Toxicology*. (2018) 410:10– 5. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2018.08.016
- 33. Schwegler H, Boldyreva M, Linke R, Wu J, Zilles K, Crusio WE. Genetic variation in the morphology of the septo-hippocampal cholinergic and GABAergic systems in mice: II. Morpho-behavioral correlations. *Hippocampus*. (1996) 6:535–45. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1098-1063(1996)6:5<535::AID-HIPO6>3.0.CO;2-H
- 34. Shaltiel G, Hanan M, Wolf Y, Barbash S, Kovalev E, Shoham S, et al. Hippocampal microRNA-132 mediates stress-inducible cognitive deficits through its acetylcholinesterase target. *Brain Struct Funct.* (2013) 218:59– 72. doi: 10.1007/s00429-011-0376-z
- 35. Owen EH, Logue SF, Rasmussen DL, Wehner JM. Assessment of learning by the morris water task and fear conditioning in inbred mouse strains and F1 hybrids: implications of genetic background for single gene mutations and quantitative trait loci analyses. *Neuroscience*. (1997) 80:1087– 99. doi: 10.1016/S0306-4522(97)00165-6
- Bolivar VJ, Pooler O, Flaherty L. Inbred strain variation in contextual and cued fear conditioning behavior. *Mamm Genome*. (2001) 12:651– 6. doi: 10.1007/s003350020039
- Portugal GS, Wilkinson DS, Kenney JW, Sullivan C, Gould TJ. Strain-dependent effects of acute, chronic, and withdrawal from chronic nicotine on fear conditioning. *Behav Genet.* (2012) 42:133–50. doi: 10.1007/s10519-011-9489-7
- Maren S. Neurobiology of pavlovian fear conditioning. Annu Rev Neurosci. (2001) 24:897–931. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.897
- Phillips RG, Ledoux JE. Differential contribution of amygdala and hippocampus to cued and contextual fear conditioning. *Behav Neurosci.* (1992) 106:274–85. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.106.2.274
- Hunsaker MR, Kesner RP. Dissociations across the dorsal-ventral axis of CA3 and CA1 for encoding and retrieval of contextual and auditorycued fear. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* (2008) 89:61–9. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2007. 08.016
- Fanselow MS, Dong HW. Are the dorsal and ventral hippocampus functionally distinct structures? *Neuron.* (2010) 65:7–19. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.11.031
- Medina JF, Repa JC, Mauk MD, Ledoux JE. Parallels between cerebellumand amygdala-dependent conditioning. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2002) 3:122– 31. doi: 10.1038/nrn728

- Smith AL, Corrow DJ. Modifications to husbandry and housing conditions of laboratory rodents for improved well-being. *ILAR J.* (2005) 46:140– 7. doi: 10.1093/ilar.46.2.140
- Bogue MA, Philip VM, Walton DO, Grubb SC, Dunn MH, Kolishovski G, et al. Mouse phenome database: a data repository and analysis suite for curated primary mouse phenotype data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2020) 48:D716– 23. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz1032
- Keane TM, Goodstadt L, Danecek P, White MA, Wong K, Yalcin B, et al. Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation. *Nature*. (2011) 477:289–94. doi: 10.1038/nature10413
- Kutlu MG, Ortega LA, Gould TJ. Strain-dependent performance in nicotineinduced conditioned place preference. *Behav Neurosci.* (2015) 129:37– 41. doi: 10.1037/bne0000029
- Palesch YY. Some common misperceptions about P values. Stroke. (2014) 45:e244-6. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.006138
- Nachmansohn DA, Manchado AL. The formation of acetylcholine. A new enzyme: "choline acetylase". J Neurophysiol. (1943) 6:397–403. doi: 10.1152/jn.1943.6.5.397
- Oda Y. Choline acetyltransferase: the structure, distribution and pathologic changes in the central nervous system. *Pathol Int.* (1999) 49:921– 37. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1827.1999.00977.x
- Lansdell SJ, Gee VJ, Harkness PC, Doward AI, Baker ER, Gibb AJ, et al. RIC-3 enhances functional expression of multiple nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subtypes in mammalian cells. *Mol Pharmacol.* (2005) 68:1431– 8. doi: 10.1124/mol.105.017459
- Millar NS. RIC-3: a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor chaperone. Br J Pharmacol. (2008) 153 (Suppl. 1):S177–83. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjp.0707661
- Darvesh S, Hopkins DA, Geula C. Neurobiology of butyrylcholinesterase. Nat Rev Neurosci. (2003) 4:131–8. doi: 10.1038/nrn1035
- Marubio LM, Paylor R. Impaired passive avoidance learning in mice lacking central neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Neuroscience*. (2004) 129:575–82. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.09.003
- Nie T, Abel T. Fear conditioning in inbred mouse strains: an analysis of the time course of memory. *Behav Neurosci.* (2001) 115:951-6. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.115.4.951
- March A, Borchelt D, Golde T, Janus C. Differences in memory development among C57BL/6NCrl, 129S2/SvPasCrl, and FVB/NCrl mice after delay and trace fear conditioning. *Comp Med.* (2014) 64:4–12.
- Brown RE, Wong AA. The influence of visual ability on learning and memory performance in 13 strains of mice. *Learn Mem.* (2007) 14:134– 44. doi: 10.1101/lm.473907
- Balogh SA, Wehner JM. Inbred mouse strain differences in the establishment of long-term fear memory. *Behav Brain Res.* (2003) 140:97–106. doi: 10.1016/S0166-4328(02)00279-6
- Keum S, Park J, Kim A, Park J, Kim KK, Jeong J, et al. Variability in empathic fear response among 11 inbred strains of mice. *Genes Brain Behav.* (2016) 15:231–42. doi: 10.1111/gbb.12278
- Mineur YS, Crusio WE. Behavioral and neuroanatomical characterization of FVB/N inbred mice. *Brain Res Bull.* (2002) 57:41–7. doi: 10.1016/S0361-9230(01)00635-9
- Macpherson P, Mcgaffigan R, Wahlsten D, Nguyen PV. Impaired fear memory, altered object memory and modified hippocampal synaptic plasticity in splitbrain mice. *Brain Res.* (2008) 1210:179–88. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2008.03.008
- Szklarczyk K, Korostynski M, Cieslak PE, Wawrzczak-Bargiela A, Przewłocki R. Opioid-dependent regulation of high and low fear responses in two inbred mouse strains. *Behav Brain Res.* (2015) 292:95–101. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2015.06.001
- 62. Van Wyk M, Schneider S, Kleinlogel S. Variable phenotypic expressivity in inbred retinal degeneration mouse lines: a comparative study of C3H/HeOu and FVB/N rd1 mice. *Mol Vis.* (2015) 21:811–27.
- Wilson MA, Fadel JR. Cholinergic regulation of fear learning and extinction. J Neurosci Res. (2017) 95:836–52. doi: 10.1002/jnr.23840
- 64. Yang M, Abrams DN, Zhang JY, Weber MD, Katz AM, Clarke AM, et al. Low sociability in BTBR T+tf/J mice is independent of partner strain. *Physiol Behav.* (2012) 107:649–62. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.12.025
- 65. Mercier F, Kwon YC, Douet V. Hippocampus/amygdala alterations, loss of heparan sulfates, fractones and ventricle wall reduction in adult BTBR

T+ tf/J mice, animal model for autism. *Neurosci Lett.* (2012) 506:208-13. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2011.11.007

- Dong H, Csernansky CA, Martin MV, Bertchume A, Vallera D, Csernansky JG. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors ameliorate behavioral deficits in the Tg2576 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Psychopharmacology*. (2005) 181:145–52.
- Csernansky JG, Martin M, Shah R, Bertchume A, Colvin J, Dong H. Cholinesterase inhibitors ameliorate behavioral deficits induced by MK-801 in mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (2005) 30:2135–43. doi: 10.1038/sj.npp.1300761
- Wilkinson DS, Gould TJ. The effects of galantamine on nicotine withdrawalinduced deficits in contextual fear conditioning in C57BL/6 mice. *Behav Brain Res.* (2011) 223:53–7. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2011.04.010
- Poole RL, Connor DA, Gould TJ. Donepezil reverses nicotine withdrawalinduced deficits in contextual fear conditioning in C57BL/6J mice. *Behav Neurosci.* (2014) 128:588–93. doi: 10.1037/bne0000003
- Francis PT, Palmer AM, Snape M, Wilcock GK. The cholinergic hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: a review of progress. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. (1999) 66:137–47. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.66.2.137
- Nakagawasai O. Behavioral and neurochemical alterations following thiamine deficiency in rodents: relationship to functions of cholinergic neurons. Yakugaku Zasshi. (2005) 125:549–54. doi: 10.1248/yakushi. 125.549
- Moser MB, Moser EI, Forrest E, Andersen P, Morris RG. Spatial learning with a minislab in the dorsal hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1995) 92:9697–701. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.21.9697
- Pothuizen HH, Zhang WN, Jongen-Relo AL, Feldon J, Yee BK. Dissociation of function between the dorsal and the ventral hippocampus in spatial learning abilities of the rat: a within-subject, within-task comparison of reference and working spatial memory. *Eur J Neurosci.* (2004) 19:705– 12. doi: 10.1111/j.0953-816X.2004.03170.x
- Kjelstrup KG, Tuvnes FA, Steffenach HA, Murison R, Moser EI, Moser MB. Reduced fear expression after lesions of the ventral hippocampus.

Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2002) 99:10825-30. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1521 12399

- Sierra-Mercado D, Padilla-Coreano N, Quirk GJ. Dissociable roles of prelimbic and infralimbic cortices, ventral hippocampus, and basolateral amygdala in the expression and extinction of conditioned fear. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (2011) 36:529–38. doi: 10.1038/npp.2010.184
- 76. Giacomini AC, Bueno BW, Marcon L, Scolari N, Genario R, Demin KA, et al. An acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, donepezil, increases anxiety and cortisol levels in adult zebrafish. J Psychopharmacol. (2020) 34:1449–56. doi: 10.1177/0269881120944155
- Jiang L, Kundu S, Lederman JD, Lopez-Hernandez GY, Ballinger EC, Wang S, et al. Cholinergic signaling controls conditioned fear behaviors and enhances plasticity of cortical-amygdala circuits. *Neuron.* (2016) 90:1057– 70. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2016.04.028

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Mooney-Leber, Zeid, Garcia-Trevizo, Seemiller, Bogue, Grubb, Peltz and Gould. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.