# Expression of Calmodulin and Myosin Light Chain Kinase during Larval Settlement of the Barnacle *Balanus amphitrite*

# Zhang-Fan Chen, Hao Wang, Kiyotaka Matsumura, Pei-Yuan Qian\*

KAUST Global Collaborative Research Program, Division of Life Science, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, China

# Abstract

Barnacles are one of the most common organisms in intertidal areas. Their life cycle includes seven free-swimming larval stages and sessile juvenile and adult stages. The transition from the swimming to the sessile stages, referred to as larval settlement, is crucial for their survivor success and subsequent population distribution. In this study, we focused on the involvement of calmodulin (CaM) and its binding proteins in the larval settlement of the barnacle, *Balanus (= Amphibalanus) amphitrite*. The full length of *CaM* gene was cloned from stage II nauplii of *B. amphitrite* (referred to as *Ba-CaM*), encoding 149 amino acid residues that share a high similarity with published CaMs in other organisms. Quantitative real-time PCR showed that *Ba-CaM* was highly expressed in cyprids, the stage at which swimming larvae are competent to attach and undergo metamorphosis. *In situ* hybridization revealed that the expressed *Ba-CaM* gene was localized in compound eyes, posterior ganglion and cement glands, all of which may have essential functions during larval settlement. Larval settlement assays showed that both the CaM inhibitor compound 48/80 and the CaM-dependent myosin light chain kinase (MLCK) inhibitor ML-7 effectively blocked barnacle larval settlement, whereas Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM-dependent kinase II (CaMKII) inhibitors did not show any clear effects. The subsequent real-time PCR assay showed a higher expression level of *Ba-MLCK* gene in larval stages than in adults, suggesting an important role of *Ba-MLCK* gene in larval development and competency. Overall, the results suggest that CaM and CaM-dependent MLCK function during larval settlement of *B. amphitrite*.

Citation: Chen Z-F, Wang H, Matsumura K, Qian P-Y (2012) Expression of Calmodulin and Myosin Light Chain Kinase during Larval Settlement of the Barnacle Balanus amphitrite. PLoS ONE 7(2): e31337. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031337

Editor: Anna Tramontano, University of Rome, Italy

Received July 21, 2011; Accepted January 6, 2012; Published February 13, 2012

**Copyright:** © 2012 Chen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by an award (SA-C0040/UK-C0016) from King Abdullah University of Science and Technology and an Areas of Excellence (AoE) project of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (AOE/P-04/04-2-II) to Pei-Yuan Qian. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: boqianpy@ust.hk

# Introduction

Barnacles are a major sessile component in intertidal ecosystems around the world, and also a dominant hard fouler of ship hulls and other marine structures [1]. So, an economic and environmental interest has been aroused in exploring the mechanisms that govern their settlement and distributions. After six naupliar stages [2], barnacle nauplii metamorphose into a cyprid stage that is competent to attach on a suitable substratum and undergo metamorphosis into the sessile juvenile stage. During metamorphosis into the juvenile, several morphological changes occur, including the decortication of cyprid carapace, the formation of a new chitinous layer, the degeneration of compound eyes and antenna, the migration of naupliar eye, and the development of feeding cirri [3]. This transition from free-swimming larvae to sessile juveniles is crucial not only for their development, but also for species distribution and intertidal community dynamics [4].

Many previous studies have focused on the involvement of endogenous factors during barnacle larval attachment and metamorphosis (collectively known as "larval settlement") in the cosmopolitan intertidal barnacle *Balanus amphitrite*, such as cyclic AMP [5], protein kinase C [6], settlement-inducing protein complex (SIPC) [7], and hormonal substances [8,9,10]. More molecular studies have been conducted in recent years to detect the differential gene and protein expression profiles during larval settlement of this species. Using a subtracted cDNA library, six genes were uniquely detected in cyprids [11] and they showed differential expression patterns during larval settlement [12]. Recently, several genes highly expressed in cyprids were isolated by comparative transcriptomic analysis and their biological functions during larval settlement were discussed [13]. Moreover, distinct proteome and phosphoproteome profiles have been identified in different developmental stages of *B. amphitrite*, with calmodulin (CaM) being identified as one of the highly expressed proteins in the cyprid stage [14,15]. The CaM protein product first accumulated in the stage VI nauplius stage and then peaked in the cyprid stage, followed by a decline in attached larvae and juveniles [14].

CaM is a small acidic  $Ca^{2+}$ -binding protein, with a structure and function that is highly conserved in all eukaryotes [16]. Upon  $Ca^{2+}$ -binding, CaM interacts with several proteins called CaMbinding proteins, including phosphatases, nitric-oxide synthase, kinases, numerous receptors, transcription factors, ion channels and G protein coupled receptors [17,18,19]. Along with CaMbinding proteins, CaM activates various  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent enzyme reactions, thereby modulating a wide range of cellular events, including metabolism control, muscle contraction, exocytosis of hormones and neurotransmitters, and cell division and differentiation [16,20,21,22]. Several CaM studies have been conducted on marine invertebrates. CaMs isolated from sea anemone and scallop muscle have a similar biological function to rabbit CaM [23]. It had also been reported that CaM is involved in the differentiation of the alimentary tract in amphioxus [24], and the development of the nervous system in ascidians [25]. Gao et al. [26] reported a link between the regulation of CaM and that of Ca<sup>2</sup> ATPase during crayfish molting. CaM has also been reported to be a pivotal calcium metabolism regulator in the shell formation of oyster [27]. In the barnacle B. amphitrite, low concentration of external Ca<sup>2+</sup> could inhibit larval settlement [28]. As one of the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding protein, CaM was detected in both cyprids and adults of this species [29]. Using several CaM-dependent phosphodiesterase (PDE) inhibitors, Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM-dependent kinase II (CaMKII) inhibitors and myosin light chain kinase (MLCK) inhibitors, CaM was presumed to function via the enzymatic reactions of MLCK and CaMKII rather than PDE [29]. However, the detailed expression patterns of CaM and its binding proteins during larval settlement of B. amphitrite remain unclear.

In this study, we cloned *CaM* gene in *B. amphitrite*, analyzed its expression profiles in different developmental stages, and examined the involvement of CaM, CaMKII and MLCK in larval settlement of this species using several inhibitors. As *B. amphitrite* is one of the most widely used species to study the settlement of marine invertebrates and antifouling strategies, this study may shed light on the molecular mechanism of larval settlement and then help in antifouling studies.

#### Results

# Cloning of CaM gene from B. amphitrite (Ba-CaM)

The full-length cDNA of *Ba-CaM* (accession no. JN314840) was 964 bp, consisting of a 5'-terminal untranslated region (UTR) of 55 bp and a 3' UTR of 459 bp with a polyA tail (Fig. 1). The

blastn result revealed that the coding region of Ba-CaM matched the CaMs from copepod (79%), sea slug (77%), ascidian (78%), sea urchin (83%), hagfish (80%), frog (77%), rat (78%), and human (74%). Ba-CaM gene encoded a polypeptide of 149 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of 17 kDa. Multiple protein sequence alignment analysis (Fig. 2) showed that the CaM sequence of B. amphitrite shares a high similarity with the CaMs isolated from a wide range of species, indicating that CaM is a fairly conserved protein. EF-hand I-III domains were more conserved than EF-hand IV domain, which has several individual amino acids different from other CaM sequences at the C-terminus including Ile<sup>131</sup>, Thr<sup>144</sup>, Ser<sup>148</sup>. A parallel comparison of the amino acid sequences of all the EF-hands showed that EF-I is more similar to EF-III and EF-II with EF-IV. The similarities between EF-I and EF-III (55%) and EF-II and EF-IV (48%) are higher than those found in the other comparisons (31%-37%). Within four EF-hand domains, the four predicted Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding sites contained amino acid residues 21-32, 57-68, 94-105 and 130-141. Similar to CaMs from most invertebrates, Ba-CaM had only one tyrosine located at the 139<sup>th</sup> residue rather than dual tyrosine residues in most vertebrates (Fig. 2). Previous NMR studies have shown that the environment of the tyrosine residues changes upon Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding [30].

# Copy number of Ba-CaM

To determine the copy number of *Ba-CaM* in the genome of *B. amphitrite*, the DIG-labelled 2,300 bp fragment was prepared for Southern blot. Aligned with *Ba-CaM* cDNA sequence, this 2,300 bp fragment amplified from the genomic DNA contained two introns. Only a single band was detected in any of the genomic DNA samples individually digested with various restriction enzymes (Fig. 3), suggesting there is only a single copy of *Ba-CaM* gene in the barnacle genome.

1	TTC	GGT	TTG	GAAT	TCG	TGT	CGT	GTG	AGG	GTGT	GCC	CGTO	CGCG	AGO	CAAA	TCA	TAT	CA	CCAT	GGC
1																			м	A
61	CGA	CCA	GCT	GAC	CGA	GGA	GCA	GAT	CGC	CGA	GTT	CAA	GGA	GGC	GTT	CTC	GCT	GTI	TCGA	CAA
3	D	Q	L	т	E	E	Q	1	A	E	F	к	E	A	F	S	L	F	D	к
121	GGA	TGG	CGA	TGG	CAC	CAT	CAC	CAC	CAA	GGA	GCT	GGG	CAC	CGT	GAT	GCG	сто	cci	T G G G	CCA
23	D	G	D	G	т	Т	т	т	к	E	L	G	т	٧	м	R	S	L	G	Q
181	GAA	ccc	CAC	CGA	GGC	CGA	ACT	GCA	GGA	CAT	GAT	CAA	CGA	GGT	GGA	CGC	GGA	CG	GAAA	666
43	N	P	T	E	A	E	L	Q	D	M	1	N	E	v	D	A	D	G	N	G
241	0.4.0		TCA	OTT	000			0.01												CT C
63	T		I G A	F	P	F	F	1	T	M	M	4	R	K	M	K	n	T	n n	s
0.5		· ·				-		-	·								-	· ·		
301	AGA	AGA	GGA	GAT	CCG	GGA	GGC	CTT	ccc	GCGI	GTI	CGA	ACAA	GGA	ACGG	<b>SCAA</b>	CGG	SCT	TCAT	CTC
83	E	E	E		R	E	A	F	R	v	F	D	ĸ	D	G	N	G	F		s
361	AGC	TGC	CGA	GCT	CAG	GCA	CGT	GAT	GAG	CAA	ACCI	T G G G	GTGA	GA	GTT	GAC	AG	ACG	AGGA	GGT
123	A	Α	E	L	R	Н	۷	м	Т	N	L	G	E	к	L	Т	D	E	E	v
421	GGA	CGA	GAT	GAT	CCG	GGA	GGC	CGA	TAT	CGA	CGG	GAGA	CGG	ccr	GGT	CAA	CTA	CG	AAGA	GTT
143	D	E	М	1	R	E	A	D	1	D	G	D	G	Q	٧	N	Y	E	E	F
																_				
481	CGT	CAC	AAI	GAT	GAC	GTC	CAA	GIG	AGO	AGO	GGA	AACA	ACC	GGI	GCG	STGC	CGI	AT	TACA	ACA
163	v	1	м	M	1	5	к	*												
541	GAG	CAT	CGT	GAC	AAT	ATA	GGT	GCT	ATA	TTO	TGG	CGGI	GCG	GGG	GTG	GCG	GCC	GA	CGGC	TCG
601	GCG	CCA	GAC	GCC	AAC	TGG	CGG	GCC	GAG	ACC	стто	CAGI	сст	сти	CAT	тсс	TGA	TT	TTTA	TAC
661	GTC	тсс	ACT	GTG	GTA	GCT	GGG	GTC	GCC	co	TAC	scco	CGA	тсо	TCG	CCA	TGO	GC	TGTC	GTC
701																				
721	ACC	GUI	UII	CGI	GIU	CAU	CAI	114				5110	····	CG	GAI	GCG	,,,,,	.16	1116	
781	TTC	CAG	CGT	GGT	тст	GGT	TTT	ATT	TAT	GGG	GCGG	CTAC	GCCG	TAT	TGT	GAA	AGG	CGG	GCTA	GGG
841	CTC	GCC	AGA	AGT	GGA	GGG	TAC	ATG	CCC	GATA	ACC	AAT	GTCA	GTO	CAAA	CGT	GAT	TT	TAAC	AGT
901	GAT	TTA	GCC	GTGA	AGA	GCA	TGO	TAA	TAT	ATO	GACI	GAG	GATC	AG	AGTO	GAAA				AAA
961	AAA	A																		

**Figure 1. The complete nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of CaM in** *B. amphitrite.* The nucleotides and amino acids are numbered on the left of the sequences. The sequences in the box show the whole open reading frame. An asterisk (\*) represents the stop codon at the end of the coding sequence. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031337.g001

	Sponge Sea anemone Sea slug Bamacle Copepod Sea urchin Ascidian Hagfish Frog Bovine Mouse Human	МТАААААААААААААААААААААААААААААААААААА		A L L 2 2 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	S   T T T T T T T T T T T T T	E * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1( Q   * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	) * * * * * * * *	E * * * * * * * * * * *	K * * * * * * Q * *	E * * * * * * * * * * * *	F * * * * * * * * *	S * * * * * * * * * * * *	20 F * * * * * * * * * * *	D * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	<pre></pre>	G [ * * * * * * * * * * *	) G * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	T + * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		30 T K * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	E * * * * * * * * * * *	L * * * * * * * * * * * *	T * * * * * * * * * * *	/ M * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	R * * * * * * * * *	4C L * * * * * * * * * * *	) G( * * * * * * * *	Q * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	P * * * * * * * * * *	「	A * * * * * * * * * * * * *	50 L Q * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	Sponge Sea anemone Barnacle Copepod Sea urchin Ascidian Hagfish Frog Bovine Mouse Human	D N * * * * * * * * * * * *		N * * * * * * * * * * * *	V + * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	D A * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	6( DG * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	G T * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	D F * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	P * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	E * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	70 L * * * * * * * * *	T N * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 M • * • * • * • *	A F 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	R * * * * * * * * * * *	M * * * * * * * * * * * * *		80 T D D * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	S* * * * * * * * *	E * * * * * * * * * * * * *	E * * * * * * * * *	I R * * * * * * * * * * * * *	E * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	90 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	) * * * * * * * * * *	/ F * * * * * * * * * * * * *	D + * * * * * * * * * * * * *	<pre> D * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *</pre>	G * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	100 G * * * * * * * Y Y Y Y Y
•	Sponge Sea anemone Sea slug Barnacle Copepod Sea urchin Ascidian Hagfish Frog Bovine Mouse Human	U) * * * * * * * * * * *	A, * * * * * * *	A, E * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	L * * * * * * * * * *	R * * * * * * * * * * * * *	11 VN * * * * * * * * * * * *	0 1 T * * * * * * * * * *	N * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	G * * * * * * * * *	El * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	L * * * * * * * * * *	T * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1200 E * * * * * * * * * * *	E \ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	/ D * * * * * * * * * * * *	E * * * * * * * * *	/   / * * * * * * * * * * * *	R = * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 * * * * * * * *	30 D   * * * * * * * * * * * * *	D + * * * * * * * * *	G * * * * * * * * * * * *	G ( * * * * * * * * * * *	2 V * * * * * * * * * * * *	N * * * * * * * * *	14 Y * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0 + * + * + * * * * * * * *		AN T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	/ M * * * * * * * * * * *	T * * * * * NAAAA	K * * * * * * * * *

EF-hand IV domain

Figure 2. Multiple alignment of the amino acid sequence of CaM in *B. amphitrite* with its homologs in sponge (BAB61797.1), sea anemone (BAB61796.1), sea slug (NP\_001191509.1), copepod (ACO10440.1), sea urchin (BAB89360.1), ascidian (NP\_001027633.1), hagfish (AAD56955.1), frog (NP\_001080864.1), bovine (NP\_001159980.1), mouse (AAH54805.1), and human (AAD45181.1). Amino acids are numbered and asterisks (\*) indicate the identical amino acids. The four EF-hand domains are underlined and the letters in boxes show the conserved tyrosine (Y) residues generally found in most invertebrates. The numbers above the sequences show the amino acid position. The shaded areas are EF-hand domains.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031337.g002

#### Gene expression pattern of Ba-CaM

After normalization against the expression level of Cyb gene, Ba-CaM expression in stage VI nauplii was set as a calibrator to measure the relative expression levels of Ba-CaM in other developmental stages. The dynamic expression of the relative Ba-CaM mRNA was revealed by real-time PCR assays (Fig. 4). As barnacle larvae gained competency and underwent settlement, Ba-CaM was up-regulated until it reached a peak at the cyprid stage, and was then down-regulated in the juvenile stage. In cyprids, the expression of Ba-CaM was significantly increased by 1.71-fold compared with the previous stage (one-way ANOVA, P<0.01). However, it dropped by 1.5-fold after settlement and was not significantly different from that of stage VI nauplii (P>0.01). To obtain an overall picture of the expression site of Ba-CaM gene in B. amphitrite, in situ hybridization was performed on the sectioned cyprid tissues (Fig. 5). No signal was detected in the specimens

hybridized with the sense probe (Fig. 5C), whereas a strong signal was detected in the regions of compound eyes, posterior ganglion and cement glands (Fig. 5B).

### Larval settlement assays of B. amphitrite

The CaM inhibitor compound 48/80 effectively inhibited barnacle cyprid settlement in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6A). The compound had an inhibitive effect on the cyprids at concentrations ranging from 2  $\mu$ M to 50  $\mu$ M, but no significant effect at a concentration of 0.4  $\mu$ M (P>0.01). To examine the target proteins that CAM might regulate, barnacle cyprids were treated with the inhibitors against two CAM-dependent protein kinases, CaMKII and MLCK, individually. ML-7, a direct inhibitor against MLCK, inhibited cyprid settlement at a concentration of 0.25  $\mu$ M and a complete inhibitive effect was observed at a concentration of 10  $\mu$ M (Fig. 6B). However, KN-62,



Figure 3. Southern blot of digested genomic DNA for the identification of the copy number of *Ba-CaM* gene. Genomic DNA was digested with various restriction enzymes including *Bam*HI, *Bgl*II, *Eco*RI, *Hind*III, *Nco*I, and *Ssp*I. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031337.q003

one of the CaMKII inhibitors, did not show any significant effect ranging from the concentrations of 0.125  $\mu$ M to 10  $\mu$ M (Fig. 6C); whereas, AIP, another CaMKII inhibitor tested in this study, partially inhibited cyprid settlement at concentrations higher than 5  $\mu$ M (Fig. 6D).

#### Gene expression pattern of Ba-CaMKII and Ba-MLCK

A partial cDNA sequence of *Ba-MLCK* gene (accession no. JN314841) with the length of 1,626 bp was obtained, including a partial coding region followed by a polyadenylation



Figure 4. *Ba-CaM* mRNA expression levels in different developmental stages of *B. amphitrite* detected by real-time PCR. The stages included stage VI nauplius (nau6), cyprid (cyp) and juvenile (juv). Bars represent the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). An Asterisk (\*) indicates a significant difference compared with the positive control (*P*<0.05). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031337.g004

signal sequence AATAAA and a poly (A) tail. Searching for similar sequences of *Ba-MLCK* gene using blastx analysis revealed close matches with the amino acid sequence of MLCK in fruit fly (59%), ant (58%) and mosquito (58%). The partial sequence of *Ba-CaMKII* was deposited in GenBank under accession no. JN314842.

Real-time PCR was performed to further examine the relative expression patterns of the two genes. In the three developmental stages examined, both genes were highly expressed in stage VI nauplii and then down-regulated in cyprids and juveniles (Fig. 7), but the changes were of different magnitudes. The gene expression levels of *Ba-MLCK* in stage VI nauplii and cyprids (2.81-fold and 2.24-fold, respectively) were significantly higher than that in juvenile (P<0.01), while the levels of *Ba-CaMKII* were 1.92-fold higher in stage VI nauplius and 1.49-fold higher in cyprid (P<0.01).

# Discussion

Most of the researches on CaM in crustaceans have focused on its involvement in salt transportation, pathogen response and molting process [31,32,33]. As barnacles have a free-swimming larval stage and sessile juvenile and adult stages, larval settlement has substantial impacts on barnacle development. Here, we described the cloning and expression patterns of *CaM* gene from the barnacle *B. amphitrite* (*Ba-CaM*), and studied the pathways in which CaM may be involved in during larval settlement.

The full-length cDNA of Ba-CaM gene was obtained by RACE technique. The open reading frame encodes a polypeptide of 149 amino acids with a high sequence similarity to those in other species. Multiple amino acid sequences alignment revealed that Ba-CaM is identical to the CaMs in sea anemone, sea slug, copepod and sea urchin. Moreover, conserved sequences and characteristic motifs, such as EF-hand domains and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding regions (Fig. 2), were also found in Ba-CaM amino acid sequence. The higher similarities between EF-I and EF-III or EF-II and EF-IV domain of Ba-CaM have also been reported in goldfish CaM [34], supporting the argument that CaM may have evolved from an ancestor gene encoding EF-hand with successive duplication [35]. However, although the protein structures of CaMs are conserved, the nucleotide sequences coding CaMs vary among different species. Previous studies have reported that multiple copies of CaM genes exist in human [36], rat [37], chicken [38], frog [39], goldfish [34] and sea urchin [40]. These CaM genes, can be group into three subfamilies of CaM I, CaM II, and CaM III [41,42,43], yet they encode the same CaM protein, which supports the model of "multiple genes, single protein" [41,42]. In contrast, there is only a single copy of CaM gene in most invertebrates, such as fruit fly [44] and nematode [45]. In the barnacle B. amphitrite, the single band observed in the digesting genomic DNA with different restriction enzymes indicated that Ba-CaM occurs as a sole gene in the genome, as in many other lower invertebrates (Fig. 3).

The mRNA expression levels of *Ba-CaM* in different developmental stages, including stage VI nauplii, cyprids and juveniles, were investigated by using real-time PCR. The expression level was higher in cyprids than in stage VI nauplii and juveniles, which is consistent with the CaM protein profile reported by Thiyagarajan and Qian [14]. These results suggest that *CaM* may be important for cyprids. Among the developmental stages investigated, the cyprid stage is the most critical for attaching on a suitable place and metamorphosing into juveniles [46]. To further explore the possible role of CaM in larval settlement, the spatial expression pattern of *Ba-CaM* in cyprids was examined by *in situ* 



**Figure 5.** *Ba-CaM* **spatial expression pattern in the sagittal sections of** *B. amphitrite* **cyprids.** The HE staining image (A) shows a clear larval histology. Blue color stained by haematoxylin indicated nuclei, while red color by eosin indicated basic proteins or muscle fibers. Lenses of compound eyes and intracellular substances (maybe cement proteins) in the cement gland were stained by eosin. Positive signals were detected from the sections hybridized with the anti-sense probe (B). Section hybridized with the sense probe served as a control (C). (E) Detail of the cyprid compound eye and cement glands. (F) Detail of the cyprid posterior ganglion. Ce, compound eye; Cg, cement gland; Pg, posterior ganglion; Ta, thorax. Scale bars = 100 µm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031337.g005

hybridization. A cluster of labeled cells was detected around the area of thorax posterior ganglion (Fig. 5E) that contains centrally positioned neuropil, fiber tracts and neuronal somata, and controls the movement of the thoracic appendages and larval swimming behavior [47]. It is possible that Ba-CaM is involved in the movement control of the thoracic appendages during the cyprid stage. Some labeled cells were also detected in the cement glands (Fig. 5D), suggesting a possibility that the Ba-CaM gene is

expressed in the nerve fibers on the surface of the cement glands. Since barnacle larval settlement requires the adhesive protein secreted from the pair of cement glands [48], the function of Ba-CaM is likely to be related to cement protein secretion. In addition, a strong signal was observed in the region of the pair of compound eyes that consist of several visual cells and photoreceptors and are distinct from those in nauplius eyes or adult photoreceptors (Fig. 5D) [49]. However, how the two compound eyes really



**Figure 6. The effect of inhibitors on larval settlement of** *B. amphitrite.* Inhibitor assays using (A) the CaM inhibitor compound 48/80, (B) the MLCK inhibitor ML-7, (C) the CaMKII inhibitor KN-62, and (D) the CaMKII inhibitor AIP. Data presented are the means  $\pm$  SD (n = 5). Asterisks (\*) indicate significant differences compared with the positive control (*P*<0.05). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031337.q006



**Figure 7.** *Ba-MLCK and Ba-CaMKII* **mRNA expression levels in different developmental stages of** *B. amphitrite.* Relative gene expression levels of *MLCK* (A) and *CaMKII* (B) were detected by using real-time PCR in stage VI nauplius (nau6), cyprid (cyp) and juvenile (juv). Bars represent the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Asterisks (\*) indicate significant differences compared with the positive control (*P*<0.05). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031337.g007

function and how *Ba-CaM* is really involved during larval settlement require further investigation.

To further explore the involvement of CaM during barnacle larval settlement, a specific CaM antagonist, compound 48/80 [50], was used to treat cyprids and the subsequent larval settlement response was observed. Compound 48/80 inhibited cyprid settlement at all the concentrations tested, except the lowest concentration of 0.4 µM (Fig. 6A). This inhibitory effect of compound 48/80 to CaM is similar to those of other CaM antagonists, such as W7, calmidazolium, chlorpromazine, trifluoperazine and vinpocetine [29]. To identify the possible target proteins that CaM regulates during barnacle larval settlement, the effects of CaMKII and MLCK inhibitors on larval settlement were also examined in this study. CaMKII is a serine/threonine-specific protein kinase that participates in the Ca2+ signal transduction to ion channels or transcriptional activators in cells [51]. Two CaMKII inhibitors, KN-62 and AIP, were used but showed different results. KN-62 had no significant inhibitory effect at any of the tested concentrations (Fig. 6C), which somehow contradicted the results reported by Yamamoto [29]. However, AIP inhibited cyprid settlement at concentrations higher than 5  $\mu$ M (Fig. 6D). It has been reported that KN-62 inhibits not only CaMKII, but also other CaM-dependent protein kinases [52,53], whereas AIP is more specific as an analog of CaMKII substrate [54]. The actual doses of the compounds within the cyprid body may be far lower than the concentrations tested [9,29]. Further, AIP is a hydrophilic peptide, and thus, may not easily penetrate cells, leading to a high effective concentration. MLCK is another serine/threonine-specific protein kinase and is activated by the Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM complex [55]. The phosphorylation of myosin regulatory light chain by activated MLCK displays multi-functions in smooth muscle tissues as well as nonmuscle cells, such as smooth muscle contraction [56], endothelial cell retraction [57], fibroblast contraction [58], and cell shrinkage [59]. ML-7, a potent MLCK inhibitor, inhibited larval attachment and metamorphosis (Fig. 6B). At a high concentration of 10 µM, cyprids were all paralyzed with a trembling of the thorax, and at 1  $\mu$ M, unattached cyprids were inclined to swim rather than walk on the surface using their antennules. From these observations, it appears that MLCK affects the muscle contraction and the motility of cyprids, so that they cannot attach on the surface of the substrate and cannot undergo metamorphosis either. The subsequent quantification of the expression of Ba-CaMKII and Ba-MLCK showed a similar trend but a different magnitude of changes (Fig. 7). The higher expression of Ba-MLCK gene in the swimming larval stages (including stage VI nauplii and cyprids) than that in sessile juveniles indicated a more critical biological function of this gene in larval development and competency. For instance, there are groups of muscles associated with the antennules and thorax that control larval motility and searching behavior [60,61]. The smaller change in the expression of *Ba-CaMKII* gene from stage VI nauplii to juveniles, combined with the ambiguous effects of CaMKII inhibitor, indicated that there was no clear involvement of CaMKII during larval settlement. Based on these findings, we thus deduced that MLCK would be more important for larval settlement of *B. amphitrite.* 

#### Conclusions

In this study, we cloned and characterized *CaM* gene of the barnacle *Balanus amphtrite*. The gene shares conserved amino acid sequences and characteristic motifs with other CaMs in other vertebrates and invertebrates. Similar to most invertebrates, *B. amphitrite* has only one copy of *CaM* gene in its genome. *Ba-CaM* gene was highly expressed in *B. amphitrite* cyprids with the expression localized in the tissues that play essential roles in larval swimming and settlement, such as compound eyes, thoracic ganglion and cement glands. CaM and MLCK inhibitors showed distinct inhibitory effects on barnacle larval settlement. Based on these observations, we suggest that CaM and Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM dependent MLCK are crucial to larval settlement of *B. amphitrite*.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Animals

The barnacle, *Balanus amphitrite*, that we used for this study is a common species of marine invertebrates. It is a biofouling species and not endangered or protected. The adults of *B. amphitrite* were collected from a dock at Pak Sha Wan, Hong Kong (22.21'45"N, 114.15'35"E). No specific permits were required for the described field studies. The dock does not belong to any national parks, protected areas, or privately-owned places. The filed studies did not involve any endangered or protected species.

Larvae from different developmental stages were obtained and cultured as described by Thiyagarajan and Qian [14]. Nauplii were released from the adults and cultured at  $27^{\circ}$ C on a diet of *Chaetoceros gracilis* Schutt for four days (through six molts) until the larvae molted into cyprids.

#### Total RNA extraction

Stage II nauplii (within 2 hr after released from the adults), stage VI nauplii (day 3 larvae with compound eyes), cyprids (day 4

larvae attaining competency to settle) and young juveniles (24 hr post-settlement) were collected and stored in liquid nitrogen until use. Total RNA was isolated from each sample by using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The quantity and quality of RNA was checked with agarose gel electrophoresis and a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). After treatment with Turbo DNAfree kit (Ambion Inc, Austin, TX) to remove the trace genomic DNA contamination, the cDNA was synthesized with oligo dT<sub>(18)</sub> primer using MMLV reverse transcriptase (USB, Cleveland, OH).

#### Cloning of the genes from B. amphitrite

Barnacle CaM gene (Ba-CaM) was isolated by degenate PCR and the fragments of barnacle MLCK gene (Ba-MLCK) was obtained from the customized transcriptome database. In order to amplify the cDNA fragment of Ba-CaM, two sets of nested degenerate primers were designed based on the CaM amino acid sequences from fruit fly (GenBank accession no. P62152), zebrafish (Q6PI52), sea squirt (CAA73906), scallop (P02595), and nematode (O16305), 5'-ACNGARGARCARATYGCWGA-3' (SDF1), 5'-ATCATBKKYACRAAYTCTTC-3' (SDR1), 5'-AY-GGSGAYGGNACMATMACMAC-3' (SDF2) and 5'-TCHCC-RTCRAYRTCWGCTTCYC-3' (SDR2), which targeted conservative regions predicted by alignment (using ClustalW) of the CaM amino acid sequences. Degenerate PCR was carried out using the following protocol with a hot start: 94°C for 5 min, 32 cycles of 94°C for 45 sec, 52°C for 15 sec, and 72°C for 1 min, and followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Afterwards, based on the fragment of each gene, four gene-specific primers were designed for the rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) reaction of individual gene. The primers for Ba-CaM are, 5'-ACTTCCCCGAGTTCCTCACCA-3' (CaMGSF1), 5'- AGG-ACGGCAACGGCTTCA-3' (CaMGSF2), 5'-TTCTCACCCA-GGTTGGTCATCA-3' (CaMGSR1), 5'-ATGAAGCCGTTG-CCGTCCT-3' (CaMGSR2). The primers for Ba-MLCK are, 5'-ACGACTTCACGCTGACGGA-3' (MLCKGSF1), 5'- TGCG-CTACATGCACGACAACA-3' (MLCKGSF2), 5'-TGACGCC-CAGACTCCACAT-3' (MLCKGSR1), 5'-ACTGATGGGCTC-GAAGTTGATGAT-3' (MLCKGSR2). Nested PCR was carried out to amplify the 3' terminus with GSF1 primers, oligo dA(18)+adaptor primer and GSF2 primers, the RACE adaptor primer. For the 5' RACE, oligo dC tail was added to the 3' cDNA end with terminal deoxynucletidyl transferase (USB) reaction. The 5' terminus were then amplified using nested PCR with GSR1 primers, oligo  $dG_{(10)}$ +adaptor primer and GSR2 primers, the RACE adaptor primer. The amplification program was similar to that for the degenerate PCR, but with an annealing temperature of 58°C. All the PCR products were purified and ligated with pMD18-simple T vectors (Takara, Dalian, China). The transformations were performed according to the standard protocol. Positive clones were screened with PCR and then sent for sequencing.

#### Southern blot hybridization

Genomic DNA was extracted from the homogenized cyprids in an extraction buffer containing 2% SDS, 0.3 M NaCl, 5 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.4 mg/mL RNase and 0.5 mg/mL proteinase K. After extraction with phenolchloroform (24:1, vol/vol), DNA was precipitated with 1 vol. of isopropanol and 1/10 vol. of 5 M NH<sub>4</sub>OAC, and then washed twice with 75% ethanol. DNA (30 µg) from each sample was digested with restriction enzymes *Bam*HI, *Bgl*II, *Eco*RI, *Hind*III, *Nco*I and *Ssp*I, respectively, After incubation at 37°C overnight, the digested DNAs were analyzed on a 0.8% agarose gel and transferred to a nylon membrane (NB0HY00010, GE, Minnetonka, MN) using the capillary transfer method. A *Ba-CaM* probe was labeled with biotin using Brightstar Psoralen-Biotin labeling kit (Ambion Inc, Austin, TX) and hybridization was performed at 42°C following GE nylon membrane operation protocol. Detection was carried out with Brightstar BioDetect kit (Ambion).

#### Real-time PCR assay

The template cDNAs from different developmental stages were synthesized from 2 µg of total RNA from each stage. Based on the sequence information obtained from cloning, two primers, 5'-CGTTCTGTTTGCGTTTCCA-3' (CaMRTF), 5'-TGACTGA-CATTGGTATCGGCAT -3' (CaMRTR), were designed for a 116 bp amplicon for Ba-CaM gene expression analysis. Based on the customized transcriptome database, two pairs of primers were designed for the amplification of barnacle CaMKII (Ba-CaMKII) and Ba-MLCK genes. The primers were: 5'- ACAACG-TGTTGGGCAAGTTCCG-3' (CaMKIIRTF), 5'- TCAATA-TACTGCGAGAGCCGCA-3' (CaMKIIRTR), 5'- TGGGCGA-TAACGACGCCGAAAC-3' (MLCKRTF), and 5'- CTCATC-CGCTCCGTTTGTCGCT-3' (MLCKRTR). Cytochrome b (*Cyb*) was chosen as the reference gene for internal standardization. Two Cyb primers, 5'-GGACACTGCATGCTAATGGA-3' (CybF) and 5'-AGGCAGCAGCCATAGTCAAG-3' (CybR), were used to amplify a Cyb gene fragment of 144 bp [62]. The assays were performed on Stratagene Mx3000P QPCR System (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) in a final volume of 10 µL containing 5 µL SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad, Hercules, CA), 1 µL of 10-fold diluted cDNA as the template, 0.4 µL of each of the primers and 3.2 µL PCR-grade water. The amplification program was as follows: 3 min at 95°C for one cycle, followed by 40 cycles of 30 sec at 95°C, 40 sec at 58°C, and 1 min at 72°C. Dissociation curve analysis of the PCR products at the end of each reaction ensured the specificity of the amplifications. The cDNA sample was analyzed in experimental triplicates and the relative fold change was calculated based on the relative quantification  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. The data were subjected to a Student's *t*-test and significance was accepted at P < 0.05.

# *In situ* hybridization (ISH) of tissue sections of *B. amphitrite*

The *B. amphitrite* cyprids were collected, relaxed in 0.37 M MgCl<sub>2</sub> with autoclaved filtered seawater (1:1) for 10 min, and then fixed with freshly prepared fixative (0.5% glutaraldehyde, 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4) at 4°C overnight. Larvae were dehydrated in a successively graded ethanol series (25%, 50%, 75%, and finally 100%), embedded in paraffin wax (Paraplast Plus, Kendall), cut into 7-µm sections, and mounted on Poly-L-lysine coated slides.

The presence of *Ba-CaM* mRNA transcript was detected using digoxigenin (DIG) labeled antisense and sense RNA probes (DIG RNA labeling kit, Roche Diagnostics, Nutley, NJ), which were synthesized from a 791 bp PCR product by a pair of gene-specific primers (5'- TCAAGGAGGCGTTCTCGGCTGTT-3', CaMISHF; 5'- TTGACTGACATTGGTATCGGCAT-3', CaMISHR). The T7 RNA polymerase promoter (5' TAATACGACTCACTA-TAGGG-3') was included in the appropriate primers for sense/ antisense probe synthesis [63]. The *in situ* hybridization protocol was performed according to Dreanno et al. [64] with minor modifications. After dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated in a successive dilution of ethanol, the slides were rinsed in 0.2% glycine in PBS twice for 5 min each, then incubated in 10  $\mu$ g/mL Proteinase K in PBS for 4 min at 37°C. The samples were post-fixed in 0.5% glutaraldehyde, 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min and then

washed three times for 5 min each in 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS (PBT). After pre-hybridization for 2 hr at 65°C in a hybridization mix (50% formamide, 5×SSC, 1% SDS, 0.1% Tween 20, 50 µg/mL heparin, 100 µg/mL salmon sperm DNA), the specimens were hybridized with fresh hybridization mix containing 0.5 µg/mL Dig-labeled RNA probe at 65°C overnight. The slides were incubated in 50% formamide, TNE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl) at 65°C and then, in RNase (10  $\mu g/\mu L)$  in TNE buffer at 37°C for another 30 min. The washing steps were performed with  $2 \times$  SSC and  $0.2 \times$  SSC at  $65^{\circ}$ C for 20 min separately, followed by washing in DIG buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl) for 5 min at room temperature. The samples were blocked in a blocking buffer (4% BSA and 2% goat serum in DIG buffer) at room temperature for 2 hr and incubated in 1:5000 alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugated anti DIG at 4°C overnight. The signal was developed in the dark overnight using nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT; Promega) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3indolvlphosphate (BCIP; Promega) diluted in alkaline Tris buffer (100 mM Tris HCl, pH 9.5, 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 mM NaCl and 0.1% Tween 20 (vol/vol)), then the reactions were terminated with a stop solution (1 mM EDTA in PBT). The slides were dehydrated and mounted with DPX mountant (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) for histology.

### Larval settlement assay

Fresh cyprids were obtained and treated with four compounds, including the CaM inhibitor Compound 48/80 (Alexis, Lausen, Switzerland), the CaMKII inhibitor KN-62 (Sigma-Aldrich, St

#### References

- 1. Knight-Jones EW, Crisp D J (1953) Gregariousness in barnacles in relation to the fouling of ships and to anti- fouling research. Nature 171: 1109-1110.
- Barnes H, Barnes M (1977) Studies on the reproduction of cirripedes. I. Introduction: Copulation, release of oocytes, and formation of the egg lamellae. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 27: 195–218.
- Bernard FJ, Lane CE (1962) Early settlement and metamorphosis of the barnacle Balanus amphitrite niveus. J Morphol 110: 19–39.
- Underwood AJ, Fairweather PG (1989) Supply-side ecology and benthic marine assemblages. Trends Ecol Evol 4: 16–20.
- Clare AS, Thomas R, Rittschof D (1995) Evidence for the involvement of cyclic AMP in the pheromonal modulation of barnacle settlement. J Exp Biol 198: 655–664.
- Yamamoto H, Tachibana A, Matsumura K, Fusetani N (1995) Protein kinase C (PKC) signal transduction system involved in larval metamorphosis of the barnacle, *Balanus amphitrite*. Zool Sci 12: 391–396.
- Matsumura K, Nagano M, Fusetani N (1998) Purification of a larval settlementinducing protein complex (SIPC) of the barnacle, *Balanus amphitrite*. J Exp Zool 281: 12–20.
- Clare AS, Rittschof D, Costlow JD (1992) Effects of the nonsteroidal ecdysone mimic RH 5849 on larval crustaceans. J Exp Zool 262: 436–440.
- Yamamoto H, Tachibana A, Kawaii S, Matsumura K, Fusetani N (1996) Serotonin involvement in larval settlement fo the barnacle, *Balanus amphitrite*. J Exp Zool 275: 339–345.
- Yamamoto H, Okino T, Yoshimura E, Tachibana A, Shimizu K, et al. (1997) Methyl farnesoate induces larval metamorphosis of the barnacle, *Balanus amphitrite* via protein kinase C activation. J Exp Zool 278: 349–355.
- Okazaki Y, Shizuri Y (2000) Structures of six cDNAs expressed specifically at cypris larvae of barnacles, *Balanus amphitrite*. Gene 250: 127–135.
- Li H, Thiyagarajan V, Qian PY (2010) Response of cyprid specific genes to natural settlement cues in the barnacle *Balanus* (= *Amphibalanus*) amphitrite. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 389: 45–52.
- Chen ZF, Matsumura K, Wang H, Arellano SM, Yan X, et al. (2011) Toward an understanding of the molecular mechanisms of barnacle larval settlement: a comparative transcriptomic approach. PLoS ONE 6(7): e22913. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0022913.
- Thiyagarajan V, Qian PY (2008) Proteomic analysis of larvae during development, attachment, and metamorphosis in the fouling barnacle, *Balanus amphitrite*. Proteomics 8: 3164–3172.
- Thiyagarajan V, Wong T, Qian PY (2009) 2D Gel-Based proteome and phosphoproteome analysis during larval metamorphosis in two major marine biofouling invertebrates. J Proteome Res 8: 2708–2719.
- 16. Klee CB, Vanaman TC (1982) Calmodulin. Adv Protein Chem 35: 213-321.
- Crivici A, Ikura M (1995) Molecular and structural basis of target recognition by calmodulin. Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct 24: 85–116.

Louis, MO), the CaMKII inhibitor AIP (Alexis, Lausen, Switzerland), and the MLCK inhibitor ML-7 (Biomol, Plymouth, UK) in multi-well polystyrene plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark). Stock solutions (100 mM for KN-62, ML-7 and compound 48/80, and 25 mM for AIP) were prepared by dissolving each in either dimethyl sulfoxide (KN-62 and ML-7) or water (compound 48/80 and AIP) and then stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. One milliliters of filtered seawater (FSW) was added to each well, into which  $12\pm2$  cyprids were placed. The plates were then placed in dark at  $22^{\circ}$ C until the settled and swimming larvae were counted under a dissecting microscope. Cyprids in FSW without any compounds were be used as positive controls. Each treatment was conducted in five replicates (n = 5). Significant differences among the treatments and the controls were detected using one-way ANOVA followed by a two-sided Dunnett's post hoc test.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Hui-Xian Wu and Hong Chun Chung for their help with the sample collection and larval cultures; Dr. On On Lee and Dr. Shawn Arellano for assistance with revising the paper, and Fan Zhang, Dr. Yifan Zhang, and other lab members for their technical advice and constructive discussions.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: ZFC HW. Performed the experiments: ZFC HW. Analyzed the data: ZFC HW KM. Wrote the paper: ZFC HW KM PYQ. Collected samples: ZFC.

- Vogel HJ (1994) The Merck Frosst Award Lecture 1994. Calmodulin: a versatile calcium mediator protein. Biochem Cell Biol 72: 357–376.
- Cyert MS (2001) Genetic analysis of calmodulin and its targets in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Annu Rev Genet 35: 647–672.
- Carafoli E, Kelee CB (1999) Calcium as a cellular regulator. New York: Oxford University Press. pp 656.
- Chin D, Means AR (2000) Calmodulin: a prototypical calcium sensor. Trends Cell Biol 10: 322–328.
- 22. Benaim G, Villalobo A (2002) Phosphorylation of calmodulin. Eur J Biochem 269: 3619–3631.
- Yazawa M, Sakuma M, Yagi K (1980) Calmodulins from muscles of marine invertebrates, scallop and sea anemone. J Biochem 87: 1313–1320.
- Luan J, Geng J, Fang S, Su Z, Zhang J, et al. (2010) Developmental expression pattern of calmodulin gene in amphioxus *Branchiostoma belcheri tsingtauense*. Chinese J Oceanol Limnol 28: 1221–1226.
- Di Gregorio A, Villani MG, Locascio A, Ristoratore F, Aniello F, et al. (1998) Developmental regulation and tissue-specific localization of calmodulin mRNA in the protochordate *Ciona intestinalis*. Dev Growth Differ 40: 387–394.
- Gao Y, Gillen CM, Wheatly MG (2009) Cloning and characterization of a calmodulin gene (CaM) in crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* and expression during molting. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 152: 216–225.
- Li S, Xie L, Zhang C, Zhang Y, Gu M, et al. (2004) Cloning and expression of a pivotal calcium metabolism regulator: calmodulin involved in shell formation from pearl oyster (*Pinctada fucata*). Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 138: 235–243.
- Clare AS (1996) Signal transduction in barnacle settlement: Calcium re-visited. Biofouling, 10: 141–159.
- Yamamoto H, Tachibana A, Saikawa W, Nagano M, Matsumura K, et al. (1998) Effects of calmodulin inhibitors on cyprid larvae of the barnacle, *Balanus amphitrite*. J Exp Zool 280: 8–17.
- Seamon KB (1980) Calcium- and magnesium-dependent conformational states of calmodulin as determined by nuclear magnetic resonance. Biochem 19: 207–215.
- Michael RH, Pipkorn Rd, Willig A, Jaros PP (1992) Isolation and purification of calmodulin from the shrimp, *Crangon crangon*. Life Sci 51: 1881–1889.
- Péqueux A, Gilles R (1992) Calmodulin as a modulator of NaCl transport in the posterior salt-transporting gills of the Chinese crab *Eriocheir sinensis*. Mar Biol 113: 65–69.
- Wongpanya R, Aoki T, Hirono I, Yasuike M, Tassanakajon A (2007) Analysis of gene expression in haemocytes of shrimp *Penaeus monodon* challenged with white spot syndrome virus by cDNA microarray. Science Asia 33: 165–174.
- Huo L, Lee EK, Leung PC, Wong AO (2004) Goldfish calmodulin: molecular cloning, tissue distribution, and regulation of transcript expression in goldfish pituitary cells. Endocrinol 145: 5056–5067.

- Watterson DM, Sharief F, Vanaman TC (1980) The complete amino acid sequence of the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent modulator protein (calmodulin) of bovine brain. J Biol Chem 255: 962–975.
- Senterre-Lesenfants S, Alag AS, Sobel ME (1995) Multiple mRNA species are generated by alternate polyadenylation from the human calmodulin-I gene. J Cell Biochem 58: 445–454.
- Nojima H, Sokabe H (1987) Structure of a gene for rat calmodulin. J Mol Biol 193: 439–445.
- Ye Q, Berchtold MW (1997) Structure and expression of the chicken calmodulin I gene. Gene 194: 63–68.
- Chien YH, Dawid IB (1984) Isolation and characterization of calmodulin genes from *Xenopus laevis*. Mol Cell Biol 4: 507–513.
- Hardy DO, Bender PK, Kretsinger RH (1988) Two calmodulin genes are expressed in *Arbacia punctulata*. An ancient gene duplication is indicated. J Mol Biol 199: 223–227.
- Fischer R, Koller M, Flura M, Mathews S, Strehler-Page MA, et al. (1988) Multiple divergent mRNAs code for a single human calmodulin. J Biol Chem 263: 17055–17062.
- Nojima H (1989) Structural organization of multiple rat calmodulin genes. J Mol Biol 208: 269–282.
- Toutenhoofd SL, Foletti D, Wicki R, Rhyner JA, Garcia F, et al. (1998) Characterization of the human CALM2 calmodulin gene and comparison of the transcriptional activity of CALM1, CALM2 and CALM3. Cell Calcium 23: 323–338.
- Yamanaka MK, Saugstad JA, Hanson-Painton O, McCarthy BJ, Tobin SL (1987) Structure and expression of the *Drosophila* calmodulin gene. Nucleic Acids Res 15: 3335–3348.
- Thomas WK, Wilson AC (1991) Mode and tempo of molecular evolution in the nematode *Caenorhabditis*: cytochrome oxidase II and calmodulin sequences. Genetics 128: 269–279.
- Anderson D (1994) Barnacles: structure, function, development and evolution. London: Chapman and Hall. 376 p.
- Harrison DCS (1999) Morphology of the nervous system of the barnacle cypris larva (*Balanus amphitrite* Darwin) revealed by light and electron microscopy. Biol Bull 197: 144–158.
- Okano K, Shimizu K, Satuito C, Fusetani N (1996) Visualization of cement exocytosis in the cypris cement gland of the barnacle *Megabalanus rosa*. J Exp Biol 199: 213–217.
- Takenaka M, Suzuki A, Yamamoto T, Yamamoto M, Yoshida M (1993) Remodeling of the nauplius eye into the adult ocelli during metamorphosis of the barnacle, *Balanus amphitrite* havaiiensis. Dev Growth Differ 35: 245–255.

- CaM and MLCK Expressed in Barnacle Settlement
- Gietzen K (1983) Comparison of the calmodulin antagonists compound 48/80 and calmidazolium. Biochem J 216: 611–616.
- Soderling TR, Chang B, Brickey D (2001) Cellular signaling through multifunctional Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. J Biol Chem 276: 3719–3722.
- Mochizuki H, Ito T, Hidaka H (1993) Purification and characterization of Ca<sup>2+/</sup> calmodulin-dependent protein kinase V from rat cerebrum. J Biol Chem 268: 9143–9147.
- Enslen H, Sun P, Brickey D, Soderling SH, Klamo E, et al. (1994) Characterization of Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IV. Role in transcriptional regulation. J Biol Chemi 269: 15520–15527.
- Ishida A, Kameshita I, Okuno S, Kitani T, Fujisawa H (1995) A novel highly specific and potent inhibitor of calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 212: 806–812.
- Gallagher PJ, Herring BP, Stull JT (1997) Myosin light chain kinases. J Muscle Res Cell Motil 18: 1–16.
- Kamm KE, Stull JT (1985) The function of myosin and myosin light chain kinase phosphorylation in smooth muscle. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 25: 593–620.
- Wysolmerski RB, Lagunoff D (1990) Involvement of myosin light-chain kinase in endothelial cell retraction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87: 16–20.
- Kolodney MS, Elson EL (1995) Contraction due to microtubule disruption is associated with increased phosphorylation of myosin regulatory light chain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92: 10252–10256.
- Shrode LD, Klein JD, O'Neill WC, Putnam RW (1995) Shrinkage-induced activation of Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange in primary rat astrocytes: role of myosin lightchain kinase. Am J Physi 269: C257–C266.
- Walker G, Yule AB, Nott JA (1987) Structure and function in balanomorph larvae. In Southward AJ, ed. Barnacle biology. Rotterdam: Balkena. pp 307–328.
- Lagersson NC, Høeg JT (2002) Settlement behavior and antennulary biomechanics in cypris larvae of *Balanus amphitrite* (Crustacea: Thecostraca: Cirripedia). Mar Biol 141: 513–526.
- 62. De Gregoris TB, Borra M, Biffali E, Bekel T, Burgess JG, et al. (2009) Construction of an adult barnacle (*Balanus amphitrite*) cDNA library and selection of reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR studies. BMC Mol Biol 10: 62.
- Thisse C, Thisse B (2008) High-resolution *in situ* hybridization to whole-mount zebrafish embryos. Nat Protoc 3: 59–69.
- Dreanno C, Kirby RR, Clare AS (2006) Locating the barnacle settlement pheromone: spatial and ontogenetic expression of the settlement-inducing protein complex of *Balanus amphitrite*. Proc Biol Sci 273: 2721–2728.