

Microbiology Spectrum

Analyses of hyphal diversity in Trichosporonales yeasts based on fluorescent microscopic observations

Keita Aoki, Moriya Ohkuma, Takashi Sugita, Yuuki Kobayashi, Naoto Tanaka, and Masako Takashima

Corresponding Author(s): Keita Aoki, Tokyo Nogyo Daigaku

Review Timeline:	Submission Date:	December 8
	Editorial Decision:	January 9

Editorial Decision:

Revision Received:

Accepted:

January 9, 2025

January 31, 2025

February 9, 2025

8. 2024

Editor: Michael Klutstein

Reviewer(s): Disclosure of reviewer identity is with reference to reviewer comments included in decision letter(s). The following individuals involved in review of your submission have agreed to reveal their identity: Wencheng Zhu (Reviewer #1); MARWAN Yaseen AL-MAQTOOFI (Reviewer #2)

Transaction Report:

(Note: With the exception of the correction of typographical or spelling errors that could be a source of ambiguity, letters and reports are not edited. The original formatting of letters and referee reports may not be reflected in this compilation.)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.03210-24

1st Editorial Decision January 9,

20251

Re: Spectrum03210-24 (Analyses of hyphal diversity in Trichosporonales yeasts based on fluorescent microscopic observations)

Dear Dr. Keita Aoki:

Thank you for the privilege of reviewing your work. Below you will find my comments, instructions from the Spectrum editorial office, and the reviewer comments.

Please return the manuscript within 60 days; if you cannot complete the modification within this time period, please contact me. If you do not wish to modify the manuscript and prefer to submit it to another journal, notify me immediately so that the manuscript may be formally withdrawn from consideration by Spectrum.

Revision Guidelines

To submit your modified manuscript, log into the submission site at https://spectrum.msubmit.net/cgi-bin/main.plex. Go to Author Tasks and click the appropriate manuscript title to begin. The information you entered when you first submitted the paper will be displayed; update this as necessary. Note the following requirements:

- Upload point-by-point responses to the issues raised by the reviewers in a file named "Response to Reviewers," NOT in your cover letter.
- Upload a compare copy of the manuscript (without figures) as a "Marked-Up Manuscript" file.
- Upload a clean .DOC/.DOCX version of the revised manuscript and remove the previous version.
- Each figure must be uploaded as a separate, editable, high-resolution file (TIFF or EPS preferred), and any multipanel figures must be assembled into one file.
- Any <u>supplemental material</u> intended for posting by ASM should be uploaded with their legends separate from the main manuscript. You can combine all supplemental material into one file (preferred) or split it into a maximum of 10 files with all associated legends included.

For complete guidelines on revision requirements, see our <u>Submission and Review Process</u> webpage. Submission of a paper that does not conform to guidelines may delay acceptance of your manuscript.

Data availability: ASM policy requires that data be available to the public upon online posting of the article, so please verify all links to sequence records, if present, and make sure that each number retrieves the full record of the data. If a new accession number is not linked or a link is broken, provide Spectrum production staff with the correct URL for the record. If the accession numbers for new data are not publicly accessible before the expected online posting of the article, publication may be delayed; please contact production staff (Spectrum@asmusa.org) immediately with the expected release date.

Publication Fees: For information on publication fees and which article types are subject to charges, visit ou<u>website</u>. If your manuscript is accepted for publication and any fees apply, you will be contacted separately about payment during the production process; please follow the instructions in that e-mail. Arrangements for payment must be made before your article is published.

ASM Membership: Corresponding authors may join or renew ASM membership to obtain discounts on publication fees. Need to upgrade your membership level? Please contact Customer Service at Service@asmusa.org.

The ASM Journals program strives for constant improvement in our submission and publication process. Please tell us how we can improve your experience by taking this quick <u>Author Survey</u>.

Thank you for submitting your paper to Spectrum.

Sincerely, Michael Klutstein Editor Microbiology Spectrum

Reviewer #1 (Comments for the Author):

In this study, the authors systematically analyzed phenotypic changes in Trichosporonales yeasts following magnesium treatment. The study design is simple, but the results are informative. This manuscript is recommended for publication after addressing the following issues.

- 1. LD numbers should be calculated. In species such as Trichosporon aquatile, Apiotrichum brassicae, and Takashimella tepidaria, although the LD area did not show significant changes before and after magnesium treatment, the number of LDs increased following the treatment.
- 2. In species like Trichosporon asahii and Trichosporon faecale, magnesium treatment significantly decreased the number of LDs, which may indicate a shift in cellular metabolism. I recommend that the authors to discuss about this observation to explore its biological significance.
- 3. In figures 4-8, the authors should directly indicate what the colors represent in the figure itself, rather than only in the figure legend. This will help clarify the interpretation of the data for readers.
- 4. A helpful tip for future studies would be for the authors to test the incubation time with BODIPY when staining LDs to optimize the staining conditions for the best results.

Reviewer #2 (Comments for the Author):

I would prefer if the author can add a special section for statistical analysis.

January 31, 2025

Prof. Michael Klutstein Editor Microbiology Spectrum

Dear Prof. Klutstein,

These are our point-by-point responses to the comments and suggestions raised by Reviewer 1 and Reviewer 2. Bold-type text indicates the comments from the Reviewers.

To Reviewer 1

Reviewer 1 (Comments for the Author):

In this study, the authors systematically analyzed phenotypic changes in Trichosporonales yeasts following magnesium treatment. The study design is simple, but the results are informative. This manuscript is recommended for publication after addressing the following issues.

- 1. LD numbers should be calculated. In species such as Trichosporon aquatile, Apiotrichum brassicae, and Takashimella tepidaria, although the LD area did not show significant changes before and after magnesium treatment, the number of LDs increased following the treatment.
 - We appreciate the suggestion of this new approach for analyzing phenotypes. We measured lipid droplet numbers in the cells that were used for examining vacuolar area in Fig. 6, 7, and 8 in the study. Thus, cellular areas, which is necessary for calculating values per unit area, were shared with the measurement of vacuolar areas.

As a result, lipid droplet number statistically increased with magnesium treatment in twelve species: *T. aquatile*, *A. brassicae*, *A. domesticum*, *A. gamsii*, *A. gracile*, *A. montevideense*, *C. arboriforme*, *C. curvatum*, *C. cyanovorans*, *C. dermatis*, *C. spelunceum*, and *Ta. tepidaria*. In contrast, lipid droplet number statistically decreased with magnesium treatment in six species: *T. faecale*, *T. inkin*, *C. cavernicola*, *C. daszewskae*, *C. mucoides*, and *P. guehoae*. Decrease in lipid droplet number was not observed in

Apiotrichum species. In non-Trichosporonales yeasts, lipid droplet number statistically increased with magnesium treatment in *D. geotrichum*, *D. reessii*, *T. pullulans*, and *S. cerevisiae*. Details regarding these results were added on Page 11 line 19, and Page 13 line 17, respectively. As reviewer 1 has kindly mentioned, lipid droplet numbers increased following magnesium treatment in *T. aquatile*, *A. brassicae*, and *Ta. tepidaria*.

The graphs depicting the change in lipid droplet numbers with magnesium treatment were newly described in Fig. 5 and 8B. The legend of Fig. 5 and 8B were added in Page 28 line 14, and Page 30 line 22, respectively. In addition, the row of lipid droplet numbers was added to the phylogenetic tree depicted in Fig. 9.

We discussed the relationship between lipid droplet size and number on Page 16 line 17 as follows; 'A change in lipid droplet number was observed across *Trichosporon*, *Apiotrichum*, and *Cutaneotrichosporon*, independent of their morphologies. Contrary to the case of lipid droplet size, lipid droplet number was preferentially altered via magnesium treatment in *Apiotrichum* and *Cutaneotrichosporon*. Therefore, the phenotype of lipid droplet size and number via magnesium treatment may distinguish *Trichosporon* from *Apiotrichum* and *Cutaneotrichosporon* in Trichosporonales yeasts.'

- 2. In species like Trichosporon asahii and Trichosporon faecale, magnesium treatment significantly decreased the number of LDs, which may indicate a shift in cellular metabolism. I recommend that the authors to discuss about this observation to explore its biological significance.
 - Measurement of lipid droplet number in the revision revealed that lipid droplet number significantly decreased via magnesium treatment in *T. faecale*, but not in *T. asahii*, whereas lipid droplet size significantly decreased via magnesium treatment in *T. asahii*, *T. coremiiforme*, and *T. faecale*. We added a passage to the Discussion on Page 16 line 4 detailing that nutrients may be released from shrunken lipid droplets via magnesium treatment and supplied to mitochondria, which may promote hyphal growth in *T. asahii* and *T. coremiiforme*.

3. In figures 4-8, the authors should directly indicate what the colors represent in

the figure itself, rather than only in the figure legend. This will help clarify the interpretation of the data for readers.

- ➤ Simple explanations regarding the orange, blue, and gray bars were added in Figures 4, 6, 7, and 8.
- 4. A helpful tip for future studies would be for the authors to test the incubation time with BODIPY when staining LDs to optimize the staining conditions for the best results.
 - We carried out BODIPY staining with the incubation times of 0, 1, 2, 5, and 10 min to examine the optimal incubation time using *A. gamsii* as a representative yeast. However, BODIPY signals were bright enough even after 1 min incubation and the brightness of BODIPY signals did not change with increasing incubation time from 1 to 10 min. The result was added on Page 20 line 13 in the Cell staining section of MATERIALS AND METHODS and is also described in Fig. S5. Therefore, we think that lipid droplets were sufficiently stained by 5 min incubation with BODIPY in the study.

To Reviewer 2

Reviewer 2 (Comments for the Author):

I would prefer if the author can add a special section for statistical analysis.

We appreciate the kind suggestion that the Statistical analysis section is missing in the paper. We added the following passage on Page 22 line 9 in the MATERIALS AND METHODS: 'Statistical differences between samples were calculated using the Mann-Whitney U Test with two-tailed hypothesis. Each data set was introduced into the calculator on the website (https://www.socscistatistics.com/tests/mannwhitney/default2.aspx) and the statistical significance for each was calculated. The p-values are significant at p < 0.05.'

Re: Spectrum03210-24R1 (Analyses of hyphal diversity in Trichosporonales yeasts based on fluorescent microscopic observations)

Dear Dr. Keita Aoki:

Congratulations!

Your manuscript has been accepted, and I am forwarding it to the ASM production staff for publication. Your paper will first be checked to make sure all elements meet the technical requirements. ASM staff will contact you if anything needs to be revised before copyediting and production can begin. Otherwise, you will be notified when your proofs are ready to be viewed.

Data Availability: ASM policy requires that data be available to the public upon online posting of the article, so please verify all links to sequence records, if present, and make sure that each number retrieves the full record of the data. If a new accession number is not linked or a link is broken, provide production staff with the correct URL for the record. If the accession numbers for new data are not publicly accessible before the expected online posting of the article, publication may be delayed; please contact ASM production staff immediately with the expected release date.

Publication Fees: For information on publication fees and which article types have charges, please visit our<u>website</u>. We have partnered with Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) to collect author charges. If fees apply to your paper, you will receive a message from no-reply@copyright.com with further instructions. For questions related to paying charges through RightsLink, please contact CCC at ASM_Support@copyright.com or toll free at +1-877-622-5543. CCC makes every attempt to respond to all emails within 24 hours.

ASM Membership: Corresponding authors may join or renew ASM membership to obtain discounts on publication fees. Need to upgrade your membership level? Please contact Customer Service at Service@asmusa.org.

PubMed Central: ASM deposits all Spectrum articles in PubMed Central and international PubMed Central-like repositories immediately after publication. Thus, your article is automatically in compliance with the NIH access mandate. If your work was supported by a funding agency that has public access requirements like those of the NIH (e.g., the Wellcome Trust), you may post your article in a similar public access site, but we ask that you specify that the release date be no earlier than the date of publication on the Spectrum website.

Embargo Policy: A press release may be issued as soon as the manuscript is posted on the <u>Spectrum Latest Articles webpage</u>. The corresponding author will receive an email with the subject line "ASM Journals Author Services Notification" when the article is available online.

The ASM Journals program strives for constant improvement in our submission and publication process. Please tell us how we can improve your experience by taking this quick <u>Author Survey</u>.

Thank you for submitting your paper to Spectrum.

Sincerely, Michael Klutstein Editor Microbiology Spectrum

Reviewer #1 (Comments for the Author):

I appreciate the authors' response and have no additional guestions.