

Short Report

Birth without intervention in women with severe mental illness: cohort study

Clare Taylor, Robert Stewart, Rod Gibson, Dharmintra Pasupathy, Hitesh Shetty and Louise Howard

Summary

The rate of normal birth outcomes (i.e. full-term births without intervention) for women with severe mental illness (SMI – psychotic and bipolar disorders) is not known. We examined rates of birth without intervention (spontaneous labour onset, spontaneous vaginal delivery without instruments, no episiotomy and no indication of pre- or post-delivery anaesthesia) in women with SMI (584 pregnancies) compared with a control population (70 942 pregnancies). Outcome ratios were calculated standardising for age. Women with SMI were less likely to have a birth without intervention (29.5%) relative to the control population (36.8%) (standardised outcome ratio 0.74, 95% CI 0.63–0.87).

Keywords

Schizophrenia; bipolar affective disorders; perinatal psychiatry; birth without intervention; epidemiology.

Copyright and usage

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Research shows that most women want to give birth with minimum intervention. Owing to increasing rates of birth interventions, which confer some risk, there has been a move towards promoting less medicalised birth. Research from Australia has investigated rates and predictors of 'birth without intervention' but healthy outcomes have not been investigated in women with psychotic or bipolar disorders (herein termed severe mental illness, SMI). Using linked mental healthcare and hospital admissions data, we investigated rates of having a birth without intervention (also termed 'normal birth') in women with SMI. We also stratified by admission to acute psychiatric care, exposure to medication in pregnancy, and affective and non-affective SMI.

Method

The South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust (SLAM) provides mental healthcare to around 1.2 million residents of four London boroughs, and SLAM's Clinical Record Interactive Search (CRIS) platform provides de-identified copies of electronic records for research use, blinked with Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), which provide national statistical data on all National Health Service (NHS) hospital care in England, including maternity data. CRIS was approved for research by Oxfordshire Research Ethics Committee C (reference 18/SC/0372).

We used HES data to identify live births and still-births at 24 weeks or over from January 2007 to April 2013 among women receiving care from SLAM at any point from 6 months before to 6 weeks after the delivery who had an SMI diagnosis based on ICD-10 diagnoses F20, F22, F23, F25, F28, F29 (schizophrenia and related disorders, schizoaffective disorders and delusional disorders), F30, F31 (mania and bipolar affective disorders), F32.3, F33.3 (psychotic depression) or F53.1 (puerperal psychosis), excluding SMI diagnosed after the index pregnancy or secondary to an organic disorder.8 Pregnancies were dated using an algorithm described previously.^{8,9} We also identified from HES data all live deliveries and still-births at 24 weeks or over from January 2007 to March 2013 from three local providers of obstetric care and used these as a reference population. Commencement of pregnancy and delivery data were ascertained using previously developed algorithms.9,10

The outcome, birth without intervention, used a HES-designed composite adapted from the Maternity Care Working Party definition of normal birth, comprising spontaneous labour onset, spontaneous delivery (without instruments), no episiotomy and no mention of either pre- or post-delivery general or regional anaesthesia. 1,10 This was derived from hospital procedure codes and HES maternity data. Covariates comprised: maternal age at delivery, acute mental healthcare in the 2 years before pregnancy (inpatient and/or home treatment team referral^{8,11}), psychotropic medication use in pregnancy (regular antipsychotic, mood stabiliser and/or antidepressant) and affective and non-affective SMI.8,12 Non-affective SMI comprised diagnoses of schizophrenia, delusional disorders, acute and transient psychoses, schizoaffective disorders or other non-organic psychoses prior to pregnancy (F20, F22, F23, F25, F28, F29). Affective SMI comprised bipolar affective disorder, psychotic depression and previous postpartum psychosis before the index pregnancy (F30, F31, F32.3, F33.3, F53.1). We also defined preterm birth (<37 weeks from HES-recorded gestational age at birth or ICD-10 codes O601 or O603).

Analyses were carried out using STATA version 13 for Windows. The unit of analysis was birth rather than patient. Standardised outcome ratios ('birth without intervention' ratios) were calculated using indirect standardisation against the local population by age strata (<25, 25–29, 30–34, 35+ years) for the whole sample and excluding preterm births. Analyses focused on births at term were then stratified by covariates. This was decided *a priori*, to remove effect modification by preterm births within strata. Birth episodes in the comparison population without HES data on maternal age at delivery were excluded.

Results

Of 79 621 birth episodes extracted (for 65 330 women), 70 942 had maternal age data and 584 were in women with SMI; mean age at delivery was 31.7 years (s.d. = 6.0 years), 287 (49.1%) had affective diagnoses, 236 (40.4%) had been admitted to acute mental health-care within the previous 2 years and 438 (75.0%) received psychotropic medication in pregnancy. The SMI cohort had a lower occurrence of normal birth (n = 172, 29.5%) than the local population (n = 29.093, 36.8%) and higher occurrence of preterm birth (n = 76, 13.0% v. n = 3989, 5.1%). The standardised 'birth without

Table 1 Birth without intervention in women with severe mental illness (SMI) and control cohorts stratified by age

	Standardised 'birth without intervention' ratios, excluding preterm births (95% CI)
Standardised by maternal age	0.74 (0.63–0.87)
Standardised by maternal age	0.74 (0.63–0.87)
and year of delivery	
Stratified analyses, standardised	by maternal age
Affective SMI ($n = 71$)	0.69 (0.54–0.87)
Non-affective SMI ($n = 82$)	0.79 (0.63–0.98)
Admitted in the 2 years prior	0.79 (0.61–1.00)
to pregnancy ($n = 68$)	
Not admitted in the 2 years	0.71 (0.57–0.88)
prior to pregnancy ($n = 85$)	
Psychotropic medication in	0.72 (0.59–0.67)
pregnancy (n = 108)	
No psychotropic medication	0.79 (0.58–1.06)
in pregnancy ($n = 45$)	

intervention' ratio was 0.73 (95% CI 0.62–0.85) for the whole sample; restricted to births at term it was 0.74 (95% CI 0.63–0.87) (Table 1). Stratified analyses did not indicate effect modification by diagnosis, acute mental health admission or medication.

Discussion

Women with a history of SMI were less likely to have a birth without intervention than a reference population delivering babies in the same hospitals. Rates of birth without intervention in our reference population were lower than a previously reported national average of 40.1% but within the cited between-trust range of 26.0–51.1% (2010–2011)¹⁰ and similar to national rates from the National Maternity and Perinatal Audit Clinical Report (2016–17) of 36.9%.⁴

Study strengths included use of a standard methodology for processing HES maternity data, including the 'birth without intervention' indicator, and a large local reference sample, supporting external validity. Limitations include potential confounding by factors such as ethnicity, smoking, socioeconomic status and trauma, which cannot be accounted for using standardised ratios, in addition to limitations in depth of data on mediating processes, including patient preferences. Although we stratified by psychotropic medication and severity of the psychiatric illness, our study was unlikely to be adequately powered to detect these effects. The standardisation approach did not account for within-individual clustering due to multiple pregnancies, although this would not affect estimates. Also, owing to lower fertility rates in women with SMI, those who become pregnant may be a healthier group, potentially falsely increasing their rates of normal birth relative to the background population.¹³

This is the first report on rates of healthy birth outcomes in women with SMI. In a population-based sample of women in Australia, probability of birth without intervention was reduced in women who were primiparous, had a history of Caesarean section, were older, gave birth to babies at more advanced gestational age and other health conditions in pregnancy, including diabetes and hypertension. Probability increased if women lived outside major urban areas; modifiable factors identified associated with birth without intervention included having freedom of movement throughout labour and continuity of care in labour and birth. Reasons women with SMI might have lower rates of birth without intervention, include increased social and medical comorbidities compared with the background population, poorer health behaviours (e.g. smoking), exposure to psychotropic medications, and

inequalities in perinatal care also require further investigation.^{6,8} Drivers of intervention during birth may be different in the population with and without SMI; for example, rates of anaesthesia desired by the woman and acceptance of acute interventions such as forceps or vacuum might differ and could be disentangled in future research. Women with SMI have high rates of Caesarean section, preterm birth and other adverse neonatal outcomes, 14 increasing need for acute interventions in labour. However, the effects of stigma or anxiety on rates of interventions are unknown and require further evaluation. Recently, attention has been drawn to the pre-conception period as a window of opportunity to promote healthy perinatal outcomes in women with SMI. 15 Targets identified for intervention included sleep, social inclusion and pre-conception mental health, as well as diet and physical activity, reducing smoking, alcohol and substance use and addressing safeguarding issues such as domestic abuse. Women with SMI may welcome a paradigm shift in their maternity care by focusing on how to optimise their pregnancy and birth outcomes.

Clare Taylor, PhD, Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, UK; Robert Stewart (1) MD, Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, UK; and South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; Rod Gibson, Rod Gibson Associates Ltd, London, UK; Dharmintra Pasupathy, PhD, Reproduction and Perinatal Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Australia; and Department of Women and Children's Health, King's College London, UK; Hitesh Shetty, MSc, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; Louise Howard (1), PhD, Section of Women's Mental Health, Department of Health Service and Population Research, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, UK; and South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

Correspondence: Clare Taylor. Email: clare.l.taylor@kcl.ac.uk

First received 8 Sep 2021, final revision 26 Jan 2022, accepted 3 Feb 2022

Data availability

Data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Author contributions

C.T., R.S., D.P. and L.H. all made substantial contributions to the conception of the paper. C.T. and H.S. substantially contributed to the acquisition and analysis of the data and R.G. provided guidance on constructing the outcome derived from HES. C.T. drafted the manuscript and R.S., L.H. and D.P. revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Funding

This work was supported by a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Research Professorship to L.H. (grant number: NIHR-RP-R3-12-011). This study was also part-funded by the Johnson & Johnson CSR Citizenship Trust. During the study D.P. was funded by Tommy's Charity. L.H. and R.S. are part-funded by the NIHR Applied Research Collaboration South London (NIHR ARC South London) at King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust. R.S. and H.S. are part-funded by theNIHR Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. R.S. is part-funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) HDR UK DATAMIND hub and an NIHR Senior Investigator Award. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funders were not involved in extraction, analysis or interpretation of data. Funders had no involvement in writing the report or the decision to submit the article.

Declaration of interest

R.S. reports research support in the past 5 years received from Janssen, GSK and Takeda. L.H. is a member of the *BJPsych Open* editorial board and did not take part in the review or decision-making process of this paper.

References

1 Maternity Care Working Party. Making normal birth a reality. In Consensus Statement from the Maternity Care Working Party: Our Shared Views About

- the Need to Recognise, Facilitate and Audit Normal Birth. National Childbirth Trust, 2007.
- 2 Cookson G, Laliotis I. Promoting normal birth and reducing caesarean section rates: an evaluation of the rapid improvement programme. *Health Econ* 2018: 27: 675–89.
- 3 Declercq E, Young R, Cabral H, Ecker J. Is a rising cesarean delivery rate inevitable? Trends in industrialized countries, 1987 to 2007. *Birth* 2011; 38: 99–104.
- 4 NMPA Project Team. National Maternity and Perinatal Audit: Clinical Report 2019. Based on births in NHS maternity services between 1 April 2016 and 31 March 2017. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, 2019.
- 5 Prosser SJ, Barnett AG, Miller YD. Factors promoting or inhibiting normal birth. BMC Pregnancy childbirth 2018; 18(1): 241.
- 6 Perera G, Broadbent M, Callard F, Chang C-K, Downs J, Dutta R, et al. Cohort profile of the South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust Biomedical Research Centre (SLaM BRC) case register: current status and recent enhancement of an electronic mental health record-derived data resource. BMJ Open 2016; 6(3): e008721.
- 7 NHS Digital. Hospital Episode Statistics, 2016. NHS Digital, 2016 (http://content.digital.nhs.uk/article/4966/Hospital-Episode-Statistics-HES).
- 8 Taylor CL, Stewart R, Ogden J, Broadbent M, Pasupathy D, Howard LM. The characteristics and health needs of pregnant women with schizophrenia compared with bipolar disorder and affective psychoses. *BMC Psychiatry* 2015; 15: 88.

- 9 Li Q, Andrade SE, Cooper WO, Davis RL, Dublin S, Hammad TA, et al. Validation of an algorithm to estimate gestational age in electronic health plan databases. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2013; 22: 524–32.
- 10 Sandall J, Murrells T, Dodwell M, Gibson R, Bewley S, Coxon K, et al. The Efficient Use of the Maternity Workforce and the Implications for Safety and Quality in Maternity Care: A Population-Based, Cross-Sectional Study (Health Services and Delivery Research, No. 2.38). NIHR Journals Library, 2014.
- 11 Johnson S, Needle J, Bindman JP, Thornicroft G. *Crisis Resolution and Home Treatment in Mental Health*. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- 12 Taylor CL, Broadbent M, Khondoker M, Stewart R, Howard LM. Predictors of severe relapse in pregnant women with psychotic or bipolar disorders. J Psychiatr Res 2018; 104: 100–7.
- 13 Laursen TM, Munk-Olsen T. Reproductive patterns in psychotic patients. Schizophr Res 2010; 121: 234–40.
- 14 Judd F, Komiti A, Sheehan P, Newman L, Castle D, Everall I. Adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes in women with severe mental illness: to what extent can they be prevented? *Schizophr Res* 2014; 157: 305–9.
- 15 Catalao R, Mann S, Wilson C, Howard LM. Preconception care in mental health services: planning for a better future. *Br J Psychiatry* 2020; **216**: 180–1.



