

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect International Journal of Cardiology Cardiovascular Risk and Prevention

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/international-journal-of-cardiologycardiovascular-risk-and-prevention



Predictive ability of six obesity measures to identify 7-year fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events: A population-based cohort study

Nima Motamed^a, Farzin Roozafzai^{b,c}, Mahmood Reza Khoonsari^b, Mojtaba Malek^d, Alborz Mahdavi^e, Mansooreh Maadi^b, Maral Ahmadi^b, Mohammad Hadi Karbalaie Niya^b, Mohammad Reza Babaei^f, Fahimeh Safarnezhad Tameshkel^b, Amir Hossein Faraji^b, Mehdi Nikkhah^b, Ramin Ebrahimi^f, Hossein Ajdarkosh^{b,1,*}, Farhad Zamani^b

^d Research Center for Prevention of Cardiovascular diseases, Institute of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^e School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^f Department of Radiology, Firoozgar Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Cardiovascular disease Obesity Predictive ability

ABSTRACT

Background: Globally, most people die from cardiovascular diseases. We aimed to compare predictive ability of six obesity indices, including body mass index, waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, waist-to-height ratio, conicity index, and abdominal volume index, to identify people at risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events, in a cohort study.

Methods: We studied 5147 participants in a baseline population-based cohort study conducted in northern Iran. The obesity measures were calculated in enrollment phase (2009–2010), and the cardiovascular events were recorded during a 7-year follow-up phase (2010–2017). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses and Cox hazard regression models were applied, considering the obesity measures as predictors, and the 7-year cardiovascular events as outcomes. Multiple Cox models were adjusted by age, prior history of cardiovascular diseases, chronic kidney diseases, insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and smoking status.

Results: Conicity index showed the highest performance in predicting 7-year fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events with areas under the ROC curve of 0.77 [95% confidence interval: 0.71–0.82], and 0.63 [0.59–0.68] in men, and 0.80 [0.74–0.87], and 0.65 [0.60–0.71] in women, respectively. In multiple Cox models, the obesity measures had no significant associations with cardiovascular events in women. In men, only waist-to-height ratio was independently associated with 7-year non-fatal cardiovascular events (hazard ratio: 1.19 [95% confidence interval: 1.01–1.38]).

Conclusions: Although waist-to-height ratio had an independent association with 7-year non-fatal cardiovascular events in men, conicity index showed the best ability to predict 7-year fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events in our study.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcrp.2022.200142

Received 28 February 2022; Received in revised form 17 June 2022; Accepted 22 June 2022 Available online 1 July 2022

2772-4875/© 2022 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^a Department of Social Medicine, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

^b Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^c Liver and Pancreatobiliary Diseases Research Center, Digestive Diseases Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^{*} Corresponding author. Gastrointestinal and Liver diseases Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Firoozgar Hospital, Beh-Afarin St., Karim Khan Zand Ave., 1593748711, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail addresses: nima.motamed@gmail.com (N. Motamed), farzin.roozafzai@gmail.com (F. Roozafzai), khonsarimahmoodreza@gmail.com (M.R. Khoonsari), malekmoj@gmail.com (M. Malek), alborz_mahdavi@yahoo.com (A. Mahdavi), maadi_mansooreh@yahoo.com (M. Maadi), ahmadimaral42@gmail.com (M. Ahmadi), karbalai.mh@iums.ac.ir (M.H. Karbalaie Niya), doctorreza2012@yahoo.com (M.R. Babaei), fahime.1615@yahoo.com (F. Safarnezhad Tameshkel), amir.hfaraji6@gmail.com (A.H. Faraji), nikkhahmd@yahoo.com (M. Nikkhah), ebrahimi.r@iums.ac.ir (R. Ebrahimi), ajdarkosh.h@iums.ac.ir (H. Ajdarkosh), zamani.f@iums.ac.ir (F. Zamani).

¹ This author takes the responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

Abbreviations					
ACC	American college of cardiology				
AHA	American heart association				
AUC	area under the ROC curve				
AVI	abdominal volume index				
BMI	body mass index				
CI	confidence interval				
CVD	cardiovascular disease				
ECG	standard 12-lead electrocardiogram				
FBS	fasting blood sugar				
FRS	Framingham risk score				
HDL-C	high-density lipoprotein cholesterol				
HOMA-I	R homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance				
LDL-C	low-density lipoprotein cholesterol				
n	count				
RM	repeated measurement				
ROC	receiver operating characteristic				
WC	waist circumference				
WHR	waist-to-hip ratio				
WHtR	waist-to-height ratio				

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are the leading cause of death worldwide [1,2]. Although the incidence of CVDs has recently decreased in western countries due to effective preventive measures and appropriate therapeutic interventions, this decrease did not occur in low- and middle-income countries [3,4], such as Iran, which is facing a high prevalence of CVDs [5].

Owing to its health and socioeconomic burdens, obesity is an important public health problem regarded as the "21st century's epidemic" by the World Health Organization. It is estimated that one billion adults are obese or overweight worldwide [6,7]. Obesity has strong associations with some important risk factors of CVDs, including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and metabolic syndrome; and, it is considered a common risk factor for cardiovascular events [8–11]. Several indices have been used for measuring obesity, of which body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), and waist-to-height ratio (WHR) are more popular. Conicity index and abdominal volume index (AVI) are also suggested in this context [12–14].

Using the data of a large population-based cohort study in northern Iran, we previously evaluated discriminative ability of those indices (except BMI) to detect individuals with higher 10-year CVD risks estimated by Framingham risk score (FRS), and pooled cohort equations of the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) tool [15]. According to the results and compared with the other indices, conicity index, and WHR showed a better discriminatory ability to diagnose individuals with higher 10-year CVD risks [15]. Since then, we have followed up the cohort for seven years and recorded fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events. The present study aimed to compare the ability of six obesity measures, including BMI, WC, WHR, WHtR, conicity index, and AVI, to predict fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events in northern Iranian men and women.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Design

In the present observation, we used the data of 5147 participants (2926 men, and 2221 women) in a baseline population-based cohort study conducted in Amol, a city in northern Iran, mainly to assess

International Journal of Cardiology Cardiovascular Risk and Prevention 14 (2022) 200142

obesity and obesity-related diseases. This study is approved by research ethics committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (reference number: IR.IUMS.REC.1397.162). Abiding the Declaration of Helsinki, using stratified random sampling method, and after obtaining informed consents, we enrolled 5799 participants aged 18–89 years in the baseline cohort (2009–2010). Sampling frame was obtained from primary healthcare settings, where each registered resident had a health record. Target population was stratified into 16 subgroups based on sex and age groups with 10-year intervals (from 10 to 19 to 80–89 years). Participants were randomly and size-proportionally selected from each stratum.

We followed up the participants, both actively (annual) and passively (self-reports), for seven years (2010–2017), and recorded the incidence of cardiovascular events, including hospitalization due to myocardial infarction or other CVDs, angiographically proven coronary artery diseases, percutaneous coronary interventions, cerebrovascular accidents, and CVD-related death. We contacted with the deceased participants' family members or caregivers to specify the cause of death. We confirmed the outcomes and verified self-reports by direct observation of medical documents, death certificates, and hospital records. After seven years (2016–2017), we conducted the repeated measurement phase. We also compared the annual follow-up data with the data of repeated measurements and verified the outcomes if there was any inconsistency. Supplementary Fig. 1 displays a schematic flowchart of the study.

2.2. Measurements

For all participants, demographic (age, sex, and smoking habit), anthropometric, and laboratory data have been collected in the enrollment and the repeated measurement phases. Trained staff measured weight, height, WC, hip circumference, and blood pressure of each participant according to the standard protocols. Before measuring, the participant was asked to remove the excess clothes and shoes.

Weight was measured using a digital scale (seca GmbH & Co.KG, Hamburg, Germany) placed on a horizontal hard surface. The scale was calibrated daily using standard weights. Height was measured in an upright position, where head, shoulders, buttocks, and heels of the participant were pressed up against a wall, using a wall-mounted manual stadiometer (seca GmbH & Co.KG, Hamburg, Germany). WC was measured at the midpoint between the lowest costal ridge and the upper border of the iliac crest. Hip circumference was the largest horizontal measurement between waist and knee. Obesity indices other than WC were calculated using the following formulae:

$$BMI = Weight (kg) / Height^2 (m$$

WHR = WC (cm)/Hip circumfernce (cm)

WHtR = WC (cm)/Height (cm)

Conicity index = WC (m) $/ \left[0.109 \times \sqrt{\text{Weight (kg)/Height (m)}} \right]$

$$AVI = \left\{2 \times WC^2(cm) + 0.7 \times [WC(cm) - Hip circumference(cm)]^2\right\} / 1000$$

Blood pressure was measured twice, using a calibrated mercury sphygmomanometer (Riester GmbH, Jungingen, Germany), following at least 5 min of rest in a sitting position. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were determined based on the Korotkoff sounds. The average of two measurements was considered the participant's blood pressure.

Following 12-h fasting, a 10 ml whole blood sample was obtained from each participant and kept in a serum separator tube. All laboratory tests, including fasting blood sugar (FBS), insulin, triglycerides, highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and total cholesterol were assessed enzymatically using a BS200 Auto-Analyzer (Mindray, Shenzhen, China) and diagnostic kits (Pars Azmoon Co., Tehran, Iran).

We also provided a standard 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) for each participant in the repeated measurement phase. An internist interviewed 21 participants showing ECG abnormalities whose data were not included as outcomes, and referred them to a cardiologist for evaluating the probable silent cardiovascular events.

2.3. Statistical methods

We reported the data through descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation, and frequency distribution and confidence interval (CI)). We appropriately used independent samples *t*-test or non-parametric Mann-Whitney test to compare the study groups. Two-group proportion test was performed to compare the incidences of cardiovascular events between men and women.

To determine the predictive ability of the six obesity indices measured in 2010 (BMI, WC, WHR, WHtR, conicity index, and AVI), we performed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses for fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events (evaluated from 2010 to 2017) as reference variables. Areas under the ROC curves (AUCs) were reported. Statistically significant AUCs greater than 0.5 implied the ability to discriminate individuals with cardiovascular events from event-free individuals, ranging from poor (0.5 < AUC<0.7) to outstanding ability (0.9 = AUC<1) [16].

We also applied simple and multiple Cox hazard regression proportion models considering the six obesity indices (BMI, WC, WHR, WHtR, conicity index, and AVI) as predictors, and fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events as outcomes. In multiple Cox regression models, we entered age, HDL-C, LDL-C, homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), diabetes mellitus, hypertension, prior history of CVDs, history of chronic kidney diseases, and smoking status as potential mediators, in addition to entering the obesity measures. Hazard ratios were reported.

We used STATA v.12 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA) to estimate AUCs and plot ROC curves, and SPSS v.21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) to conduct survival analyses and apply regression models. The significance level in all analyses was 0.05.

3. Results

Based on our observation, while the incidence of fatal cardiovascular events was not statistically different (p-value = 0.142) between men (44 cases, 1.50% [95% CI: 1.06%–1.94%]) and women (23 cases, 1.04% [0.61%–1.46%]), the incidence of non-fatal cardiovascular events was significantly higher (p-value = 0.004) in men (150 cases, 5.13% [4.33%–5.93%]) than in women (77 cases, 3.46% [2.71%–4.22%]).

Table 1 represents the basic characteristics of men and women in this observation. While the mean age, weight, height, WHR, conicity index, and blood pressures were significantly higher in men (largest p-value = 0.022), hip circumference, BMI, WHtR, AVI, FBS, total cholesterol, HDL-C, and LDL-C were significantly higher in women (largest p-value = 0.004). No significant differences of mean WC and triglycerides were observed between men and women.

Table 2 shows the mean and related standard deviation of obesity measures in men and women who experienced at least one incident cardiovascular event and those who were event-free. Obesity measures other than BMI were significantly higher in individuals with cardiovascular events than in event-free participants. Men experiencing non-fatal cardiovascular events had a higher mean BMI in comparison with men free of such events.

Table 3 shows the performance of six obesity measures in predicting 7-year fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events in men and women. Conicity index showed the highest performance for fatal, and non-fatal cardiovascular events with AUCs of 0.77 [95% CI: 0.71–0.82], and 0.63 [0.59–0.68] in men, and 0.80 [0.74–0.87], and 0.65 [0.60–0.71] in women, respectively.

Table 1

Basic characteristics, including obesity measures, of the study population.

Characteristic [unit]	Men (n = 2926)	Women (n = 2221)	
	Mean \pm Standard deviation		p-value ^a
Age [year]	44.46 ± 16.73	43.25 ± 15.25	0.003
Weight [kg]	$\textbf{76.86} \pm \textbf{15.08}$	72.71 ± 14.36	< 0.001
Height [cm]	169.93 ± 7.89	156.51 ± 6.88	< 0.001
BMI [kg/m ²]	26.53 ± 4.61	29.66 ± 5.67	< 0.001
Waist circumference [cm]	$\textbf{90.82} \pm \textbf{12.43}$	91.49 ± 13.40	0.068
Hip circumference [cm]	100.88 ± 8.36	106.69 ± 10.92	< 0.001
WHR	$\textbf{0.89} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{0.85} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	< 0.001
WHtR	0.53 ± 0.07	0.58 ± 0.09	< 0.001
Conicity index [m ^{3/2} /kg ^{1/2}]	1.24 ± 0.09	1.23 ± 0.10	0.010
AVI [cm ²]	16.92 ± 4.58	17.32 ± 5.04	0.004
DBP [mmHg]	$\textbf{76.59} \pm \textbf{12.61}$	75.76 ± 13.20	0.022
SBP [mmHg]	117.25 ± 15.55	115.27 ± 17.70	< 0.001
FBS [mg/dl]	98.53 ± 29.86	103.80 ± 41.33	< 0.001
Triglycerides [mg/dl]	144.80 ± 91.86	141.75 ± 98.44	0.065^{b}
Total cholesterol [mg/dl]	178.64 ± 41.85	188.69 ± 43.04	< 0.001
HDL-C [mg/dl]	43.49 ± 11.58	$\textbf{46.37} \pm \textbf{12.11}$	< 0.001
LDL-C [mg/dl]	104.95 ± 30.94	109.49 ± 31.41	< 0.001

AVI: abdominal volume index, BMI: body mass index, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, FBS: fasting blood sugar, HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, n: count, SBP: systolic blood pressure, WHR: waist-to-hip ratio, WHtR: waist-to-height ratio.

^a Independent samples *t*-test; significance level is 0.05.

^b Non-parametric Mann-Whitney test; significance level is 0.05.

Table 4 reports the results of simple and multiple Cox hazard regression proportion models in which fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events were considered as outcomes. Based on simple models, all obesity measures had a significant association with fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events, except BMI that showed a significant relationship only with non-fatal cardiovascular events in men. In multiple regression models, no obesity measure showed any associations with fatal or non-fatal cardiovascular events, except WHtR that was significantly associated with non-fatal cardiovascular events in men (hazard ratio: 1.19 [95% CI: 1.01–1.38]).

4. Discussion

We evaluated the ability of six obesity measures, including BMI, WC, WHR, WHtR, conicity index, and AVI, to predict fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events in northern Iranian men and women. The results showed that all the indices, except BMI, are able to predict fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular events. Among the evaluated indices, conicity index showed the strongest ability both in men and in women, where the AUC of conicity index in the diagnosis of fatal cardiovascular events was in lower threshold of excellent discrimination based on Hosmer-Lemeshow's rule of thumb [16].

Overall, the predictive ability of the obesity measures was better for fatal cardiovascular events than for non-fatal cardiovascular events, and also better in women than in men. It is previously shown that conicity index and WHR had a better ability to discriminate individuals with a higher 10-year CVD risk estimated by FRS and ACC/AHA tools [15]. None of these two tools include obesity as a measure of 10-year CVD risk estimation.

Except for an independent relationship between non-fatal cardiovascular events and WHtR in men, our multiple Cox regression models did not yield any independent association between cardiovascular events and obesity measures after removing the potential confounders, including age, history of CVDs and chronic kidney diseases, insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and smoking status. Gelber and colleagues found that WHtR, compared with BMI, WC, and WHR, represents the strongest association with CVDs [17]. Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration reported that obesity measures, such as BMI, WC, and WHR, do not significantly improve the prediction of CVDs when additional data pertaining diabetes mellitus, lipid

Table 2

Obesity measures in men and women who did or did not experience cardiovascular events during seven years.

7-vear	cardiovasci	ular events	

	Obesity measure [unit]	Fatal event			Non-fatal event			
		No	Yes		No	Yes		
		Mean ± Standard deviation	p-value ^a	Mean \pm Standard deviation		p-value ^a		
Men (n = 2926)	BMI [kg/m ²]	26.53 ± 4.62	26.62 ± 3.22	0.901	26.48 ± 4.60	27.53 ± 4.52	0.006	
	Waist circumference [cm]	90.75 ± 12.46	95.45 ± 8.96	0.001	90.63 ± 12.44	94.37 ± 11.61	< 0.001	
	WHR	$\textbf{0.89} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	0.95 ± 0.06	< 0.001	$\textbf{0.89} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	0.93 ± 0.08	< 0.001	
	WHtR	0.53 ± 0.07	0.57 ± 0.05	< 0.001	0.53 ± 0.07	0.56 ± 0.07	< 0.001	
	Conicity index [m ^{3/2} /kg ^{1/2}]	1.24 ± 0.09	1.32 ± 0.07	< 0.001	1.24 ± 0.09	1.28 ± 0.08	< 0.001	
	AVI [cm ²]	16.90 ± 4.59	18.42 ± 3.49	0.006	16.86 ± 4.58	18.15 ± 4.31	0.001	
Women (n = 2221)	BMI [kg/m ²]	29.64 ± 5.66	31.18 ± 6.29	0.199	29.63 ± 5.67	30.53 ± 5.71	0.175	
	Waist circumference [cm]	91.41 ± 13.40	98.69 ± 12.31	0.009	91.34 ± 13.41	95.65 ± 12.59	0.006	
	WHR	0.85 ± 0.08	0.92 ± 0.05	< 0.001	0.85 ± 0.08	0.89 ± 0.07	< 0.001	
	WHtR	0.58 ± 0.09	0.66 ± 0.08	< 0.001	$\textbf{0.58} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	0.62 ± 0.09	< 0.001	
	Conicity index [m ^{3/2} /kg ^{1/2}]	1.23 ± 0.10	1.33 ± 0.05	< 0.001	1.23 ± 0.10	1.29 ± 0.09	< 0.001	
	AVI [cm ²]	17.29 ± 5.04	19.84 ± 5.14	0.027	17.26 ± 5.05	18.75 ± 4.66	0.011	

AVI: abdominal volume index, BMI: body mass index, n: count, WHR: waist-to-hip ratio, WHtR: waist-to-height ratio.

^a Independent samples *t*-test; significance level is 0.05.

Table 3

The predictive ability of six obesity measures, in terms of the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, for 7-year fatal and non-fatal cardio-vascular events in men and women.

	Obesity measure [unit]	Fatal event	Non-fatal even	
		AUC (95% confidence interval)		
Men (n = 2926)	BMI [kg/m ²]	0.51	0.57	
	-	(0.44-0.58)	(0.52-0.62)	
	Waist circumference	0.60	0.58	
	[cm]	(0.54-0.67)	(0.53-0.62)	
	WHR	0.69	0.62	
		(0.63-0.76)	(0.58-0.66)	
	WHtR	0.67	0.63	
		(0.61-0.74)	(0.58-0.67)	
	Conicity index [m ^{3/2} /	0.77	0.63	
	kg ^{1/2}]	(0.71-0.82)	(0.59-0.68)	
	AVI [cm ²]	0.61	0.59	
		(0.55-0.68)	(0.54-0.63)	
Women (n =	BMI [kg/m ²]	0.54	0.54	
2221)		(0.42-0.66)	(0.47-0.61)	
	Waist circumference	0.63	0.59	
	[cm]	(0.53-0.73)	(0.53-0.66)	
	WHR	0.76	0.63	
		(0.69-0.81)	(0.57-0.69)	
	WHtR	0.72	0.63	
		(0.63-0.81)	(0.56-0.70)	
	Conicity index [m ^{3/2} /	0.80	0.65	
	kg ^{1/2}]	(0.74–0.87)	(0.60-0.71)	
	AVI [cm ²]	0.63	0.60	
		(0.53-0.73)	(0.53-0.66)	

AUC: area under receiver operating characteristic curve, AVI: abdominal volume index, BMI: body mass index, n: count, WHR: waist-to-hip ratio, WHtR: waist-to-height ratio.

profiles, and systolic blood pressure are available [18]. Mearns also concluded that there is a "little need to incorporate obesity measures in calculations of CVD risk" [19]. Xiang and colleagues did not observe any differences between BMI, WC, and WHtR, when their associations with CVDs compared [20]. Khalili and colleagues studied BMI, WC, WHR, and WHtR in a diabetic population, and reported that WHR was the most powerful index for predicting CVDs, followed by WHtR [21]. To compare studies on the predictive ability of obesity measures, one should pay attention to the demographic composition, such as age, sex, ethnicity, and race, of their population [22].

Among various obesity indices, WHtR is a unique index which adjusts WC, a measure of central obesity, by height, an unchanging

anthropometric measure in adults. In conicity index, WC is adjusted by the square root of weight-to-height ratio, and not directly or solely by height. However, this study was not conducted to evaluate the role of obesity in CVDs, but mainly aimed to find the obesity indices with the strongest ability to predict CVDs. This predictive ability could be mediated by many CVD risk factors affected by obesity. These mediators are not generally the case for evaluation of an index in clinical practice, when a simple, cost-effective, and affordable index can correctly represent them in predicting the clinical outcomes.

There are several mechanisms explaining the link between obesity and cardiovascular events. Insulin resistance in obesity might lead to development of CVDs through inflammation and oxidative stress [23–25]. Furthermore, obesity has relationships with some abnormalities in ECG, such as prolonged QT interval, and left ventricular hypertrophy through uncontrolled hypertension [26]. Increase in inflammatory cytokines, leptin levels, free fatty acids turnover, basal sympathetic tone, and prothrombotic state are the other possible mediators for obesity-related cardiovascular events [27–31].

Based on our observation, conicity index could predict cardiovascular events better than the other five indices, both in men and in women. Although no sex-discriminating body shape measure is included in its formula, three measures, including weight, and two measures of body frames (WC, and height), are used for calculating conicity index. This index was theoretically suggested as a volume model, in which, in addition to a specific emphasis on the expansion of abdominal region, weight is incorporated [13,14]. In fact, among various abdominal measures, only conicity index encompasses weight. Considering the strong ability of conicity index to predict fatal cardiovascular events, routine calculation of this index in cardiology settings might be valuable.

Based on our results, BMI did not have any predictive ability. While BMI showed a poor predictive ability for non-fatal cardiovascular events in men, it did not show any ability for other cases in this study. BMI is usually considered as an index for general obesity, and not for central obesity. Regarding BMI, some studies even reported an obesity paradox, i.e., an inverse relationship was suggested between BMI and mortality rate of CVDs. While almost consistent results are available for outcomes of heart failure and revascularization following ischemic heart diseases and obesity, the results are inconsistent in other cases [32–34].

Findings about the predictive ability of obesity indices are not consistent. While some studies reported that WC had a better ability than other indices to predict CVDs, other studies reported a higher ability of WHR or WHtR [17,21,35–39]. However, only few studies have evaluated the performance of conicity index.

We evaluated the ability of six obesity measures to predict the

Table 4

Results of simple and multiple Cox hazard regression proportion models with fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events as outcomes, and obesity measures as predictors, in men and women. Multiple regressions were adjusted for age, prior history of cardiovascular diseases, history of chronic kidney diseases, smoking status, hypertension, high- and low-density lipoprotein cholesterols, diabetes mellitus, and HOMA-IR.

	Obesity measure [unit]	7-year fatal cardiovascular event		7-year non-fatal cardiovascular event		
		Simple model	Adjusted model	Simple model	Adjusted model	
		Hazard Ratio (95% confidence interval)				
Men (n = 2926)	BMI [kg/m ²]	1.02 (0.76–1.37)	0.97 (0.88-1.07)	1.22 (1.05–1.43)	1.04 (0.99–1.08)	
	Waist circumference [cm]	1.41 (1.08–1.84)	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	1.31 (1.12–1.52)	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	
	WHR	1.44 (1.23–1.68)	0.92 (0.60-1.38)	1.35 (1.21–1.49)	1.10 (0.91–1.32)	
	WHtR	1.62 (1.28-2.05)	0.91 (0.59-1.38)	1.46 (1.27-1.68)	1.19 (1.01–1.38)	
	Conicity index [m ^{3/2} /kg ^{1/2}]	1.39 (1.26–1.53)	1.18 (0.75–1.81)	1.29 (1.19–1.40)	1.10 (0.90-1.34)	
	AVI [cm ²]	1.2 (1.04–1.58)	1.00 (0.92–1.10)	1.24 (1.09–1.40)	1.03 (0.99–1.07)	
Women (n = 2221)	BMI [kg/m ²]	1.30 (0.87–1.93)	1.03 (0.95–1.11)	1.19 (0.95–1.48)	0.99 (0.94-1.03)	
	Waist circumference [cm]	1.54 (1.14-2.08)	1.00 (0.96-1.03)	1.35 (1.11–1.63)	0.99 (0.97-1.01)	
	WHR	1.55 (1.24–1.94)	0.96 (0.55-1.63)	1.36 (1.16–1.60)	0.82 (0.59-1.12)	
	WHtR	1.86 (1.39-2.47)	1.31 (0.82-2.12)	1.49 (1.23-1.81)	1.01 (0.73-1.34)	
	Conicity index [m ^{3/2} /kg ^{1/2}]	1.49 (1.26–1.77)	1.04 (0.60–1.76)	1.36 (1.20-1.55)	0.99 (0.77-1.33)	
	AVI [cm ²]	1.33 (1.07–1.66)	1.01 (0.91–1.11)	1.24 (1.07–1.44)	0.98 (0.92–1.04)	

AVI: abdominal volume index, BMI: body mass index, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance, n: count, WHR: waist-to-hip ratio, WHtR: waist-to-height ratio.

occurrence of cardiovascular events in a large population-based study on northern Iranians and found that conicity index had the highest performance in this context. Although calculating the conicity index seems relatively more complicated than the other indices, and three anthropometric measures are incorporated in its formula, an acceptable or even excellent performance of conicity index implies its value in clinical settings. In fact, this index just need one measure (WC) more than BMI does, and can be calculated with a simple calculator. Although an obesity paradox of BMI in cardiovascular events could not be confirmed in our population, BMI was not found to be a reliable index for evaluation of individuals at risk of CVDs.

4.1. Study limitations

This study had some drawbacks. There was no baseline ECG data, and consequently, the pertinent data could not be compared between the enrollment and repeated measurement phases. Thus, participants with a history of silent myocardial infarction during 7-year follow-up could be missed; however, some of these cases might experience the related events prior to the repeated measurements. On the other hand, an internist interviewed and evaluated a few participants without any apparent history of cardiovascular events who showed ECG abnormalities in the repeated measurements. These participants were also referred to a cardiologist to exclude the probable silent cardiovascular events in the 7-year follow-up as a result of diabetes mellitus, aging, or other associated causes. It is worth noting that scenario analysis based on inclusion and exclusion of these few cases did not cause any significant and reportable change in our main findings.

4.2. Conclusions

While WHtR showed an independent association with non-fatal cardiovascular events in northern Iranian men, conicity index had the highest performance in predicting fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events in our study. Calculating conicity index only requires three simple and available popular anthropometric measures. Conicity index might be a valuable obesity measure, particularly preferred in clinical cardiology settings.

CRediT author statement

Nima Motamed: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing-original draft, Writing-review & editing, Final approval. Farzin Roozafzai: Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing-original draft, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Mahmood Reza Khoonsari: Investigation, Validation, Writingreview & editing, Final approval.

Mojtaba Malek: Investigation, Validation, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Alborz Mahdavi: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing-original draft, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Mansooreh Maadi: Investigation, Data Curation, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Maral Ahmadi: Investigation, Validation, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Mohammad Hadi Karbalayi Nia: Investigation, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Mohammad Reza Babaei: Investigation, Validation, Writingreview & editing, Final approval.

Fahimeh Safarnejad Tameshkel: Investigation, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Amir Hossein Faraji: Investigation, Validation, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Mehdi Nikkhah: Investigation, Validation, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Ramin Ebrahimi: Investigation, Validation, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Hossein Ajdarkosh (corresponding): Conceptualization, Supervision, Investigation, Validation, Writing-review & editing, Final approval.

Farhad Zamani: Conceptualization, Supervision, Project administration, Writing-review & editing Final approval.

Funding

This work was supported by Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran [grant number 1397-2-30-12315].

Data availability

The data is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declaration of competing interest

None.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcrp.2022.200142.

References

- [1] C.J. Murray, R.M. Barber, K.J. Foreman, et al., Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990–2013: quantifying the epidemiological transition, Lancet 386 (10009) (2015) 2145–2191.
- [2] H. Wang, M. Naghavi, C. Allen, et al., Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015, Lancet 388 (10053) (2016) 1459–1544.
- [3] A.E. Moran, M.H. Forouzanfar, G.A. Roth, et al., The global burden of ischemic heart disease in 1990 and 2010: the Global Burden of Disease 2010 study, Circulation 129 (14) (2014) 1493–1501.
- [4] A.E. Moran, M.H. Forouzanfar, A.D. Flaxman, et al., Temporal trends in ischemic heart disease mortality in 21 world regions, 1980-2010: the Global Burden of Disease 2010 Study, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 61 (10 Supplement) (2010) E1407.
- [5] I. Nabipour, M. Amiri, S.R. Imami, et al., The metabolic syndrome and nonfatal ischemic heart disease; a population-based study, Int. J. Cardiol. 118 (1) (2007) 48–53.
- [6] H.K. Koh, E. Sondik, D. Huang, et al., A 2020 vision for healthy people, N. Engl. J. Med. 362 (18) (2010) 1653.
- [7] World Health Organization, Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic, World Health Organization, 2000.
- [8] N.J. Timpson, R. Harbord, G. Davey Smith, J. Zacho, A. Tybjærg-Hansen, B. G. Nordestgaard, Does greater adiposity increase blood pressure and hypertension risk? Mendelian randomization using the FTO/MC4R genotype, Hypertension 54 (1) (2009) 84–90.
- [9] P. Hossain, B. Kawar, M. El Nahas, Obesity and diabetes in the developing world—a growing challenge, N. Engl. J. Med. 356 (3) (2007) 213–215.
- [10] P. Poirier, T.D. Giles, G.A. Bray, et al., Obesity and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology, evaluation, and effect of weight loss: an update of the 1997 American heart association scientific statement on obesity and heart disease from the obesity committee of the council on nutrition, physical activity, and metabolism, Circulation 113 (6) (2006) 898–918.
- [11] K.C. Zalesin, B.A. Franklin, W.M. Miller, E.D. Peterson, P.A. McCullough, Impact of obesity on cardiovascular disease, Endocrinol Metab. Clin. N. Am. 37 (3) (2008) 663–684.
- [12] F. Guerrero-Romero, M. Rodríguez-Morán, Abdominal volume index. An anthropometry-based index for estimation of obesity is strongly related to impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes mellitus, Arch. Med. Res. 34 (5) (2003) 428–432.
- [13] R. Valdez, A simple model-based index of abdominal adiposity, J. Clin. Epidemiol. 44 (9) (1991) 955–956.
- [14] R. Valdez, J. Seidell, Y.I. Ahn, K.M. Weiss, A new index of abdominal adiposity as an indicator of risk for cardiovascular disease. A cross-population study, Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord.: J. Int. Assoc. Study Obes. 17 (2) (1993) 77–82.
- [15] N. Motamed, D. Perumal, F. Zamani, et al., Conicity index and waist-to-hip ratio are superior obesity indices in predicting 10-year cardiovascular risk among men and women, Clin. Cardiol. 38 (9) (2015) 527–534.
- [16] D.W. Hosmer Jr., S. Lemeshow, R.X. Sturdivant, Applied Logistic Regression, John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- [17] R.P. Gelber, J.M. Gaziano, E.J. Orav, J.E. Manson, J.E. Buring, T. Kurth, Measures of obesity and cardiovascular risk among men and women, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 52 (8) (2008) 605–615.
- [18] Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration, Separate and combined associations of bodymass index and abdominal adiposity with cardiovascular disease: collaborative analysis of 58 prospective studies, Lancet 377 (9771) (2011) 1085–1095.
- [19] B.M. Mearns, Risk factors: little need to incorporate obesity measures in calculations of cardiovascular disease risk, Nat. Rev. Cardiol. 8 (5) (2011) 241.

International Journal of Cardiology Cardiovascular Risk and Prevention 14 (2022) 200142

- [20] M. Xiang, H. Hu, T. Imai, et al., Association between anthropometric indices of obesity and risk of cardiovascular disease in Japanese men, J. Occup. Health 62 (1) (2020), e12098.
- [21] S. Khalili, M. Hatami, F. Hadaegh, F. Sheikholeslami, F. Azizi, Prediction of cardiovascular events with consideration of general and central obesity measures in diabetic adults: results of the 8.4-year follow-up, Metab. Syndr. Relat. Disord. 10 (3) (2012) 218–224.
- [22] L.G. Goh, S.S. Dhaliwal, T.A. Welborn, A.H. Lee, P.R. Della, Ethnicity and the association between anthropometric indices of obesity and cardiovascular risk in women: a cross-sectional study, BMJ Open 4 (5) (2014), e004702.
- [23] P. Dandona, A. Aljada, A. Chaudhuri, P. Mohanty, R. Garg, Metabolic syndrome: a comprehensive perspective based on interactions between obesity, diabetes, and inflammation, Circulation 111 (11) (2005) 1448–1454.
- [24] W.T. Garvey, S. Kwon, D. Zheng, et al., Effects of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes on lipoprotein subclass particle size and concentration determined by nuclear magnetic resonance, Diabetes 52 (2) (2003) 453–462.
- [25] D.C. Goff Jr., R.B. D'Agostino Jr., S.M. Haffner, J.D. Otvos, Insulin resistance and adiposity influence lipoprotein size and subclass concentrations. Results from the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study, Metabolism 54 (2) (2005) 264–270.
- [26] P. Poirier, R.H. Eckel, Obesity and cardiovascular disease, Curr. Atherosclerosis Rep. 4 (6) (2002) 448–453.
- [27] P.W. Wilson, B.-H. Nam, M. Pencina, R.B. D'Agostino, E.J. Benjamin, C. J. O'Donnell, C-reactive protein and risk of cardiovascular disease in men and women from the Framingham Heart Study, Arch. Intern. Med. 165 (21) (2005) 2473–2478.
- [28] P.M. Ridker, J.E. Buring, N. Rifai, N.R. Cook, Development and validation of improved algorithms for the assessment of global cardiovascular risk in women: the Reynolds Risk Score, JAMA 297 (6) (2007) 611–619.
- [29] S. Söderberg, B. Ahren, J.H. Jansson, et al., Leptin is associated with increased risk of myocardial infarction, J. Intern. Med. 246 (4) (1999) 409–418.
- [30] H.B. Hubert, M. Feinleib, P.M. McNamara, W.P. Castelli, Obesity as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: a 26-year follow-up of participants in the Framingham Heart Study, Circulation 67 (5) (1983) 968–977.
- [31] Prospective Studies Collaboration, Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900 000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies, Lancet 373 (9669) (2009) 1083–1096.
- [32] L. Gruberg, N.J. Weissman, R. Waksman, et al., The impact of obesity on the short-term and long-term outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention: the obesity paradox? J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 39 (4) (2002) 578–584.
- [33] R.M. Califf, K.S. Pieper, K.L. Lee, et al., Prediction of 1-year survival after thrombolysis for acute myocardial infarction in the global utilization of streptokinase and TPA for occluded coronary arteries trial, Circulation 101 (19) (2000) 2231–2238.
- [34] G.C. Fonarow, P. Srikanthan, M.R. Costanzo, et al., An obesity paradox in acute heart failure: analysis of body mass index and inhospital mortality for 108 927 patients in the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry, Am. Heart J. 153 (1) (2007) 74–81.
- [35] H.J. Schneider, N. Friedrich, J. Klotsche, et al., The predictive value of different measures of obesity for incident cardiovascular events and mortality, J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 95 (4) (2010) 1777–1785.
- [36] C.M.Y. Lee, R.R. Huxley, R.P. Wildman, M. Woodward, Indices of abdominal obesity are better discriminators of cardiovascular risk factors than BMI: a metaanalysis, J. Clin. Epidemiol. 61 (7) (2008) 646–653.
- [37] L. Paniagua, V. Lohsoonthorn, S. Lertmaharit, W. Jiamjarasrangsi, M.A. Williams, Comparison of waist circumference, body mass index, percent body fat and other measure of adiposity in identifying cardiovascular disease risks among Thai adults, Obes. Res. Clin. Pract. 2 (3) (2008) 215–223.
- [38] M. Ashwell, S.D. Hsieh, Six reasons why the waist-to-height ratio is a rapid and effective global indicator for health risks of obesity and how its use could simplify the international public health message on obesity, Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 56 (5) (2005) 303–307.
- [39] D. Kromhout, J.M. Geleijnse, J.M. Boer, W.M. Verschuren, Body mass index and waist circumference predict both 10-year nonfatal and fatal cardiovascular disease risk: study conducted in 20 000 Dutch men and women aged 20–65 years, Eur. J. Cardiovasc. Prev. Rehabil. 16 (6) (2009) 729–734.