

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Serum hyaluronic acid in critically ill dogs and influence of intravenous fluid therapy

Manon Rigot^{1**}, Alexa M. Bersenas¹, Shane W. Bateman¹^e, Shauna L. Blois¹^e, Gabrielle Monteith¹, R. Darren Wood²^e

 Department of Clinical Studies, Ontario Veterinary College, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada, 2 Department of Pathobiology, Ontario Veterinary College, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

¤ Current address: Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Université de Montréal, Saint-Hyacinthe, Quebec, Canada

* manon.rigot@hotmail.fr

Abstract

Background

The endothelial glycocalyx (EG) appears to play a critical role in physiological vasculo-endothelial function. Sepsis, trauma, and hemorrhagic shock are associated with EG shedding and intravenous fluids have the potential to worsen EG degradation. There is little available research evaluating the relationship between intravenous fluids, inflammation, and EG degradation in critically ill dogs.

Objective

To study EG degradation in critically ill dogs over their first 48 hours of hospitalization and characterize the influence of intravenous fluids and inflammation.

Methods

Hyaluronic acid (HA), a biomarker of EG degradation, was measured in dogs with non-pulmonary sepsis, pulmonary sepsis, or spontaneous hemoperitoneum at five pre-defined time points over 48 hours. The concentration of HA was trended over time, compared between groups, and studied for associations with the cumulative volume of intravenous fluids administered, a pro-inflammatory cytokine (interleukin-6, IL-6), and a biomarker of hypervolemia (atrial natriuretic peptide, ANP).

Results

Concentration of HA was not significantly different between the groups at each time point. It increased over the first 24 hours of the study before reaching a plateau in patients with sepsis and spontaneous hemoperitoneum. Concentration of IL-6 had a significant positive association with HA concentration on presentation in all groups



Citation: Rigot M, Bersenas AM, Bateman SW, Blois SL, Monteith G, Wood RD (2025) Serum hyaluronic acid in critically ill dogs and influence of intravenous fluid therapy. PLoS One 20(6): e0325809. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/</u> journal.pone.0325809

Editor: Hideshi Okada, Gifu University School of Medicine Graduate School of Medicine: Gifu Daigaku Igakubu Daigakuin Igakukei Kenkyuka, JAPAN

Received: February 9, 2025

Accepted: May 20, 2025

Published: June 13, 2025

Copyright: © 2025 Rigot et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data availability statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its <u>Supporting</u> information files.

Funding: This study was supported by the OVC Pet Trust at the University of Guelph, Ontario,



Canada (https://pettrust.uoguelph.ca). The grant (Number 056253) was awarded to AB. The OVC Pet Trust committee had no involvement in the study design, manuscript writing, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

(p=0.026). Cumulative fluid volume had a significant association with HA concentration during hospitalization in all groups (p=0.0002). There was no significant effect of ANP on HA concentration. Concentration of HA was associated with disease severity but not with outcome.

Conclusions

In the dogs studied, markers of inflammation and administration of larger volumes of intravenous fluids were associated with increasing HA concentration, and thus presumptive EG degradation. Further research is needed to explore the clinical impact of intravenous fluid therapy on the EG. These findings should be considered carefully by clinicians prescribing fluid resuscitation for critically ill dogs.

Introduction

The endothelial glycocalyx (EG) is a mesh-like layer which covers the inner surface of blood vessels, positioned at the interface between the bloodstream and endothelial cells [1,2]. It plays a crucial role in regulation of transvascular fluid flux, inflammation, hemostasis, and vascular tone [3–5]. It prevents fluid extravasation via the filter role of its component glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) and the support of a low oncotic pressure in the sub-endothelial space, following the revised Starling's theory [4,6,7]. It also acts as a dynamic barrier between the leukocytes, platelets, red blood cells, and the active endothelial surface [4,5,8].

Evaluation of EG degradation in vivo is possible using its shed components as biomarkers. These biomarkers have shown good correlation with other structural and functional indicators of EG degradation, and with severity of disease and outcome in people [9–12]. Hyaluronic acid or hyaluronan (HA) is a GAG found in the EG and other extracellular matrices. Quantification of HA concentration in canine blood using commercial human enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits has been previously validated and used in research [13–19].

Sepsis, hemorrhagic shock, and trauma are major causes of EG degradation, as demonstrated in both experimental animal models and in people [1,20-23]. Similarly, increased concentrations of HA have been found in dogs with sepsis and hemorrhagic shock [14-16,24]. Evidence that intravenous (IV) crystalloid administration has the potential to be associated with EG degradation has also been demonstrated in experimental animal models [10,25,26], and in people [27-32] and dogs [14,15,17] receiving IV fluids for sepsis, hemorrhagic shock, or surgery. Resuscitation with non-crystalloid fluids (such as plasma) has been associated with less EG degradation than crystalloids [33]. Although the actual effects of IV crystalloids on the EG remain to be determined, degradation of the EG has been suggested as one of the reasons why liberal fluid therapy can contribute to a negative patient outcome [28,29]. Researchers have speculated that the consequences of EG degradation might include interstitial edema, tissue hypoxia, coagulopathy, and dysregulated systemic inflammation [34-38]. The mechanisms responsible for IV fluid-induced EG



degradation are not completely understood. Atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), which is released by the cardiac atria under mechanical wall stress, has been debated as a potential factor [28,29,31,39–44]. Other hypotheses include direct effect of shear stress on the endothelium [29], and modulation of inflammatory proteins by IV fluids [45,46].

Considering the potential negative effects of IV fluids on the EG, a better understanding of their impact is warranted for future development of evidence-based recommendations on therapeutic fluid prescriptions which could protect the EG. Minimal investigation has been reported in veterinary medicine. Using HA serum concentrations to characterize EG degradation in critically ill dogs, our study aimed to explore the association of HA with inflammatory biomarkers and with cumulative IV fluid volumes during the resuscitation period and beyond to 48 hours. Critically ill dogs with pulmonary sepsis (PS), non-pulmonary sepsis (NPS; e.g., septic peritonitis), and spontaneous hemoperitoneum (HEM) were enrolled. The study was designed based on the hypothesis that these three patient groups would differ in fluid volumes received during resuscitation and ongoing hospitalization, following current recommendations, dogs with PS being expected to receive more conservative IV fluid volumes, and dogs with NPS and HEM likely to be supported with more aggressive IV fluid volumes [47–49]. Based on this, the main objective of our study was to compare HA shedding in dogs with severe inflammation (sepsis groups) vs. dogs with minimal inflammation (HEM) and in dogs with expected similar inflammatory status but differing fluid resuscitation strategies (PS vs. NPS). A secondary objective was to investigate the association of HA with the cumulative volume of IV fluids administered and with biomarkers of hypervolemia (ANP) and inflammation (IL-6). We hypothesized that HA would be higher in patients with higher cumulative fluid volumes, that HA would be lower in dogs with PS (conservative fluid therapy) compared to NPS, and that HA would be lower in dogs with HEM (non-inflammatory) compared to dogs with NPS.

Materials and methods

Study design

Patients were enrolled in a prospective observational study conducted in the intensive care unit (ICU) of a veterinary teaching hospital between February 2022 and September 2023. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (AUP#4422) and informed owner consent was obtained for all dogs.

Dogs were enrolled if they were admitted to the ICU with a diagnosis of sepsis (subdivided into pulmonary sepsis and sepsis of any non-pulmonary cause [e.g., septic peritonitis]) or HEM, and had planned hospitalization expected to last for at least 24 hours. Inclusion criteria for each group are summarized in <u>Table 1</u>. Briefly, inclusion in either sepsis group required at least two of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria [50]. In addition, for the PS group, history consistent with pneumonia and radiographic or ultrasonographic evidence of pneumonia were required. Dogs with fungal pneumonia were excluded. Inclusion in the NPS group required confirmation of an infectious process at admission or within 12 hours of hospitalization. This was based on identification of intracellular bacteria during cytological examination of the source or positive results on bacterial culture. Inclusion in the HEM group was based on evidence of hypovolemic shock and confirmation of hemorrhagic abdominal effusion without evidence of trauma or coagulopathy. Study exclusion criteria included body weight of less than 10 kg to avoid iatrogenic anemia, lack of confirmation of sepsis following initial inclusion in either sepsis group, or if blood collection could not be performed at defined time points for at least the first 24 hours of the study. Dogs were not excluded from the study if only one sample was missed. Dogs with a history of comorbidities were not excluded, however this information was recorded. For each study dog, all decisions regarding medical and surgical interventions, including volume resuscitation, medications, transfusion needs, and diagnostic tests were at the discretion of the attending clinician.

Clinical data collection

Patient signalment and weight were recorded, as well as known comorbidities that might affect HA concentration, including liver disease, diabetes mellitus, malignancy, and renal disease. Data recorded at admission included clinical and laboratory findings: mentation, rectal temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, systolic and mean blood



pressure, oxygen saturation (SpO2), packed cell volume (PCV), total proteins (TP), blood glucose, blood lactate, venous partial pressure of CO2 (pCO2), complete blood count and serum biochemistry data, and presence of cavitary effusion based on point of care ultrasound. Illness severity score at the time of admission was calculated using the Acute Patient Physiological and Laboratory Evaluation (APPLE) score (APPLE_{full} and APPLE_{fast}) [51]. Results of cytology, bacterial culture, histology, and point-of-care effusion analysis (PCV, glucose, lactate) were also recorded when performed. Anesthetic records of dogs that underwent surgery during the study period were reviewed for time and duration of surgery.

The volume and type of IV fluids (isotonic or hypertonic crystalloids, synthetic colloids, and blood products) administered during the study, including fluids administered in surgery, were retrieved from the patients' medical and anesthetic records. Total drug volumes administered as a continuous rate infusion exceeding 0.25 mL/kg/h were also recorded throughout the study period. Cumulative fluid volume was calculated for each patient at each blood collection timepoint. Fluids administered before presentation to our hospital were also recorded as much as possible but were not included in the total fluid volume due to inability to report the precise volume and time course for many of these patients.

The visit outcome (alive at discharge, dead, or euthanized) was recorded for all dogs. For euthanized patients, the reason for euthanasia was categorized as financial or due to poor prognosis.

Sample collection and biomarker measurement

Blood (3 mL) was collected from each dog at predefined time points: on presentation (T0), after 2+/- 1 hours (T2), after 6+/- 1 hours (T6), after 24+/- 12 hours (T24), and after 48+/-12 hours (T48). The initial sample was collected during placement of an IV catheter on admission to the hospital, prior to fluid administration. Subsequent samples were collected via an indwelling sampling catheter (jugular catheter or arterial catheter) if available, or via jugular or saphenous venipuncture. Timepoints were set such that the T2 sample would be collected prior to surgery and T6 would be collected post-operatively for most of the enrolled dogs where surgical intervention was indicated (e.g., hemoperitoneum, septic peritonitis). Samples for T24 and T48 were collected at times when other blood samples were requested by the attending clinician to reduce need for repeat venipuncture.

Blood was collected in a vacuum-sealed plastic tube without additives. Whole blood was allowed to clot for 2 hours at room temperature before being centrifuged at 1000xg for 30 minutes. The serum was separated, aliquoted into microcentrifuge tubes, and stored at -80° C for later batch analysis.

Biomarker measurements were conducted at a different site (The Ohio State University). All samples were transported via overnight courier on dry ice. Upon arrival the frozen samples were transferred into a -80°C freezer until later batch analysis. Biomarker measurements were performed using commercial ELISA kits validated for use in dogs following manufacturer's instructions. The kits used included HA (QuantikineTM ELISA Hyaluronan Immunoassay, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, United States), ANP (ANP BioAssayTM ELISA kit (canine), US Biological, Salem, MA, United States), and inflammatory cytokine IL-6 (QuantikineTM ELISA IL-6, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, United States). All measurements were performed in duplicate. Samples were diluted if the measured biomarker concentration was above the limit of detection of the assay. The assay's lower limits of detection reported by the manufacturer were 0.068 ng/mL for HA, 0.031 ng/mL for IL-6, and 0.012 ng/mL for ANP.

Statistical methods

Data were checked for normality by examination of the residuals, quantile-quantile plots and normality tests that included the Shapiro-Wilk test, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Cramer-von Mises test, and Anderson-Darling test. When necessary, data was log-transformed for analysis to meet the assumption of normality. Data was normally distributed after log-transformation. For presentation of means and 95% confidence intervals, the data was back-transformed. For repeated measures, Akaike's information criterion was used to determine the best covariance structure.



Table 1. Inclusion criteria for the three study groups.

Pulmonary sepsis (PS)	Non-pulmonary sepsis (NPS)	Spontaneous hemoperitoneum (HEM)
At least 2 of the following SIRS criteria on presentation: • T > 39.4°C or < 37.8°C • HR > 140 beats/min • RR > 30 breaths/min, pCO2 < 30 mmHg • WBC > 16 or <6 x10^9/L; Bands > 3%	At least 2 of the following SIRS criteria on presentation: • T>39.4°C or < 37.8°C • HR>140 beats/min • RR>30 breaths/min, pCO2<30 mmHg • WBC>16 or < 6 x 10^9/L; Bands>3%	 Presence of a spontaneous hemoperitoneum: Hemorrhagic abdominal effusion (PCV > 12%) No history of trauma No primary coagulopathy
 Suggestion of pneumonia on thoracic imaging: Cranioventral pulmonary consolidation on thoracic radiographs or CT imaging OR presence of B-lines and/or shred signs with a ventral pattern on point-of-care ultrasound 	 Confirmation of an infectious process: Observation of overt intestinal leakage or necrotic bowel during surgery (septic peritonitis) OR presence of at least 1 of the following criteria: positive results on bacterial culture of the source identification of intracellular bacteria during cytologic examination of the source 	Evidence of cardiovascular decompensation on presentation: • Heart rate > 140 beats/minute • OR respiratory rate > 40 breaths/minute • OR mean arterial pressure < 75 mmHg • OR systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg • OR lactate > 2 mmol/L

A previous publication was used for sample size determination [14]. Based on the mean difference and variance in HA in a group of dogs hospitalized with septic peritonitis, the number of dogs required to detect a change in HA of 30 ng/mL between groups with a power of 80%, was 18 dogs per group using a paired t-test with a p-value of < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325809.t001

To compare the volume of fluid administered in the different groups, the cumulative fluid volume was computed for each animal at each time point and the means compared between groups using an ANOVA for repeated measures. The total cumulative fluid volumes and total cumulative fluid volumes divided by time were also compared between groups using an ANOVA. Post-hoc Tukey test was applied when the overall f test for the group was significant. An ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey test were also used to compare the concentrations of HA, IL-6, and ANP between the three groups at each time point and an ANOVA for repeated measures was used to compare HA concentrations between time points.

A Pearson correlation test was used to test for an association between ANP concentration and cumulative fluid volumes administered during the study.

To investigate possible predictors of HA concentration at T0, a general linear model was built. Parameters included group (HEM, NPS, and PS), the presence of malignancy as a comorbidity, the administration of IV fluids before hospital admission, and T0 APPLE_{fast} score, IL-6 and ANP concentrations. APPLE_{fast} was used over APPLE_{full} due to fewer missing data for their respective calculations. This analysis determined covariates of HA at T0. Then, to investigate determinants of HA concentration during hospitalization (after presentation), a mixed effect linear model for repeated measures was applied to the data for time points starting at T2. Possible explanatory variables included HA concentration at T0 and its covariates determined in the previous analysis. Fixed effects of time, surgery, cumulative fluid volume administered during the study time (over 48 hours for all dogs except 7 dogs who were only included in the study for 24 hours), and IL-6 and ANP concentrations were modelled, including interactions. Time was included in the model as a continuous variable with the exact time of sampling rather than the predefined time points where variation was accepted a priori. Quadratics for continuous parameters and interactions were also included in the models. To account for repeated measures, the first order autoregressive AR(1) was the best fit for the covariance structure. The random effect of animal (within group) gave the lowest Akaike's information criterion when compared to other tested correlation structures. Models were reduced by removing non-significant effects (p>0.05).

A logistic regression was used to determine if HA at T0, maximal HA concentration, and the difference between HA at T0 and HA at T24 were predictors of outcome.

A commercial software (SAS/STAT® 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for statistical analysis.



Results

Patient characteristics

Fifty-five dogs were enrolled in the study. One dog was later excluded from analysis due to two missed samples, leaving 54 dogs included (18 per group). Most dogs were mixed breed dogs (11), and among pure breed dogs, Labrador Retrievers (7) and Golden Retrievers (6) were the most represented. Other breeds included German Shepherd (3), Great Dane (3), Bernese Mountain Dog (2), Cane Corso (2), English Bulldog (2), Mastiff (2), Portuguese Water Dog (2), Standard Poodle (2), Airedale Terrier (1), Australian Shepherd (1), Basset Hound (1), English Sheepdog (1), Flat Coated Retriever (1), Rott-weiler (1), Schnauzer (1), Shetland Sheepdog (1), Springer Spaniel (1), Vizsla (1), and Weimaraner (2). There were 24 neutered males, 19 spayed females, 8 intact males and 3 intact females. Severity of illness and comorbidities for dogs in each group are presented in Table 2. Based on available data, APPLE_{full} and APPLE_{fast} were calculated for 47/54 and 51/54 dogs, respectively. Septic shock was diagnosed in 4 patients based on the need for vasopressors to maintain mean arterial pressure (MAP) \geq 65 mmHg. There were eight patients with hyperglycemia where blood glucose measured between 8–16 mmol/L at one or two timepoints of the study (4 in the NPS group and 4 in the HEM group). Etiology and final diagnosis for dogs in each group are summarized in Fig 1. Final diagnosis could not be determined for one dog in the HEM group due to technical issues with histology. An underlying cause could not be determined for the dog with pyothorax.

Data was recorded and samples collected for all time points except the T48 time point in 7 dogs because 5 dogs with HEM had been discharged and 2 dogs with PS were deceased.

Therapy provided

In 21 dogs, IV fluids had been administered before referral to our hospital. In-hospital fluid administration consisted of isotonic balanced crystalloids (Plasmalyte-A, Baxter), hypertonic saline solution (5% Sodium Chloride, B Braun), synthetic colloids (6% hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.4, Voluven, Fresenius Kabi), and blood products (packed red blood cells, whole blood and fresh frozen or stored plasma). Fluid types and total cumulative volumes in each group are presented in <u>Table 2</u>. Total cumulative fluid volume was calculated at T48 for all dogs except those who were no longer enrolled in the study at T48 (5 discharged, 2 deceased), for which total cumulative fluid volumes were calculated over 24 hours (at T24). Based on the ANOVA, patients in the NPS group received significantly more fluids than patients in the PS group (p<0.0001), and than patients in the HEM group (p=0.013) (Fig 2). The cumulative fluid volume was higher in dogs with HEM than dogs with PS, however this difference did not reach statistical significance (p=0.056). To account for the fact that 5 dogs with HEM and 2 dogs with PS were discharged or deceased, respectively, at T48, and that their total cumulative fluid volumes were calculated over 24 hours still volumes were calculated over 24 hours rather than 48 hours, the analysis was also repeated after dividing the total cumulative fluid volume by the duration of inclusion in the study for each dog (Table 2). This cumulative fluid volume divided by time was independent of study duration for each dog. It was still significantly higher in NPS than PS (p<0.0001), but it was also significantly higher in HEM than PS (p=0.019) and there was no significant difference between NPS and HEM (p=0.492).

Surgical intervention was required during the study time in 33/54 dogs. Timing of surgery is presented in <u>Table 2</u>. Three dogs with NPS were managed medically: a dog with parvoviral enteritis, a dog with mastitis and a dog with fasciitis. Surgery due to NPS was delayed beyond the 6-hour sampling time point in one dog with pyothorax where surgery was performed 12 hours after presentation, and in another dog with septic peritonitis initially operated at the referring veterinarian where intestinal dehiscence was noted 30 hours after presentation with subsequent emergency surgical revision at our hospital.

Biomarkers

All samples were successfully collected except for those dogs no longer in the study at T48 (7/54 dogs). These dogs were still included in the analysis for the remaining sample times.



		Spontaneous hemoperitoneum (HEM) (n=18)	Non-pulmonary sepsis (NPS) (n=18)	Pulmonary sep- sis (PS) (n=18)	Total (n=54)
Signalment	Age (years)	8.4 (7.3-10.1)	3.0 (2.0-6.2)	3.4 (2.4-8.5)	6.6 (2.5-9.1)
	Weight (kg)	33.0 (28.8-39.7)	31.5 (29.0-39.3)	32.9 (23.4-37.3)	32.6 (27.2-39.0)
Severity of illness	APPLE _{full} score ^a	35.0+/- 7.7	36.5+/- 12.0	31.4+/- 9.0	33.8+/- 10.1
	APPLE _{fast} score ^b	27.7+/- 8.0	29.3+/- 5.6	22.3+/- 4.7	26.4+/- 6.9
	Septic shock	0 (0)	3 (16.7%)	1 (5.6%)	4 (7.4%)
Comorbidities	Malignancy	15 (83.3%)	2 (11.1%)	3 (16.7%)	20 (37.0%)
	Hyperglycemia	4 (7.4%)	4 (7.4%)	0 (0)	8 (14.8%)
	Liver disease	0 (0)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0)	1 (1.9%)
Surgery per-	2-6 hours	17 (94.4%)	13 (72.2%)	0 (0)	30 (55.6%)
formed (time after	6-12 hours	1 (5.6%)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0)	2 (3.7%)
presentation)	30 hours	0 (0)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0)	1 (1.9%)
	No surgery	0 (0)	3 (16.7%)	18 (100%)	21 (38.9%)
Fluids received	Yes	5 (27.8%)	9 (50%)	7 (38.9%)	21 (38.9%)
pre-referral	No	13 (72.2%)	9 (50%)	11 (61.1%)	33 (61.1%)
Types of intrave-	Isotonic crystalloids	18 (100%)	18 (100%)	18 (100%)	54 (100%)
nous fluid products received during the study	Hypertonic crystalloids	4 (22.2%)	7 (38.9%)	1 (5.6%)	12 (22.25)
	Synthetic colloids	1 (5.6%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1.9%)
	Packed red blood cells	11 (61.1%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	11 (20.3%)
	Whole blood	2 (11.1%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (3.7%)
	Fresh Frozen Plasma	1 (5.6%)	7 (38.9%)	0 (0)	8 (14.8%)
Cumulative fluid volume°	Cumulative volume at 24 hours (mL/kg)	97.1 (85.3–117.4)	119.0 (91.3–170.5)	54.1 (39.1–61.2)	87.6 (57.8-119.3)
	Total cumulative volume during study $(mL/kg)^{\circ}$	129.5 (98.8–154.7) ^{θ*}	183.2 (136.3-230.4)*	86.2 (69.9–116.5) [⊕]	127.8 (91.6-162.5)
	Total cumulative volume divided by study duration (mL/kg/h)	3.8 (2.7–4.7) ^θ	4.0 (2.9-6.0)*	2.1 (1.7–2.6) ^{θ*}	3.1 (2.3-4.7)
	Rate of fluids administered between 0 and 24 hours (mL/kg/h)	5.1 (3.6-7.6)	5.6 (4.7-8.7)	2.2 (1.8-2.7)	4.7 (2.5-7.1)
	Rate of fluids administered between 24 and 48 hours (mL/kg/h)	1.7 (1.4-2.1)	2.0 (1.5-4.0)	1.7 (1.4-2.4)	1.8 (1.5-2.7)
Outcome	Dead	0 (0)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0)	1 (1.9%)
	Alive at discharge	18 (100%)	15 (83.3%)	13 (72.2%)	46 (85.2%)
	Euthanized (prognosis)	0 (0)	2 (11.1%)	4 (22.2%)	6 (11.1%)
	Euthanized (financial)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (5.6%)	1 (1.9%)
Duration of hospital-	Alive at discharge	2.0 (1.3-2.0)	5.0 (3-6.5)	3.0 (3.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.0-4.5)
ization (days)	Dead or euthanized	-	3.0 (3.0-3.5)	3.0 (2.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.8-4.0)
	Whole population	2.0 (1.3-2.0)	4.0 (3.0-5.0)	3.0 (2.3-4.0)	3.0 (2.0-4.0)

Table 2. Signalment, severity of illness, comorbidities, timing of surgery, types and volumes of intravenous fluids received throughout the study, and outcome for patients in each group.

Data are presented as number (%) of dogs, median (Q1-Q3), or mean+/- SD.

^aAPPLE_{full} score calculated on 13 patients with spontaneous hemoperitoneum, 18 patients with pulmonary sepsis, and 16 patients with non-pulmonary sepsis. ^bAPPLE_{fast} score calculated on 17 patients with spontaneous hemoperitoneum, 18 patients with pulmonary sepsis, and 16 patients with non-pulmonary sepsis.

^cCumulative fluid volumes were calculated over the study period. In patients who were no longer enrolled in the study at the last time point T48 (5 discharged, 2 deceased), total cumulative fluid volumes were calculated over 24 hours (until the fourth time point T24).

Total cumulative fluid volumes divided by study duration are also presented to account for the effect of dogs removed before the last time point (T48) sampling.

 $^{\theta,*}$ Statistically significant difference between the volumes (p<0.05).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325809.t002







https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325809.g001





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325809.g002

The progression of mean HA in time was plotted for all patients (Fig 3). Overall, HA concentration was significantly higher at T24 than at T0 (p=0.010) and at T6 (p=0.003) for all groups combined.

When using an ANOVA, HA concentration was not significantly different between groups at any time point (Table 3), which refuted our primary hypothesis. The overall mean HA for all time points was significantly lower in PS than in NPS (p=0.021) but there were no statistically significant differences between HEM and NPS or between HEM and PS. IL-6 concentration was significantly higher in NPS than HEM (p=0.003) and tended to be higher in NPS than PS (p=0.052) (Table 4). There were no significant differences in ANP concentration between the groups at any time point and no association between group and ANP concentration (p=0.1360). There was no correlation of ANP with cumulative fluid volume (p=0.362).





Fig 3. Mean hyaluronic acid concentration in time in patients with spontaneous hemoperitoneum (A), non-pulmonary sepsis (B), pulmonary sepsis (C), and all groups combined (D). The bolded line represents the mean value of hyaluronic acid and the underlying shadow represents the 95% confidence interval. *, θ : Statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the corresponding time points.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325809.g003

	HEM	NPS	PS	p-value NPS vs. HEM	p-value NPS vs. PS	p-value HEM vs. PS
ТО	77.2 (53.4-111.5)	93.3 (64.6-134.7)	59.7 (41.3-86.2)	0.474	0.092	0.330
T2	92.1 (63.6-133.0)	103.5 (71.7-149.6)	64.0 (44.3-92.4)	0.656	0.070	0.169
Т6	86.6 (60.0-125.1)	107.4 (74.4-155.1)	61.2 (42.3-88.3)	0.415	0.123	0.188
T24	141.2 (97.6-203.7)	136.3 (94.4-196.9)	85.8 (59.4-123.9)	0.897	0.080	0.060
T48	115.5 (76.6-174.1)	144.0 (99.7-208.0)	74.3 (50.7-108.9)	0.429	0.095	0.123
Overall	100.1 (76.4-131.1)	115.3 (88.2-150.7)	68.3 (52.2-89.4)	0.738	0.021	0.120

Table 3. Mean hyaluronic acid concentration in each group at each time point.

T0: on presentation to the hospital, T2: 2+/- 1 hours after presentation, T6: 6+/- 1 hours after presentation, T24: 24+/- 12 hours after presentation, T48: 48+/-12 hours after presentation.

HEM: spontaneous hemoperitoneum, NPS: non-pulmonary sepsis, PS: pulmonary sepsis.

Data is presented as mean (95% confidence interval).

Concentrations are in ng/mL. The bolded p-value is < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325809.t003



	HEM	NPS	PS	p-value NPS vs. HEM	p-value NPS vs. PS	p-value HEM vs. PS
ТО	71.3 (34.3-148.0)	399.0 (192.2-828.5)	191.8 (92.4-398.2)	0.012	0.163	0.160
T2	72.0 (34.6-149.4)	481.8 (232.0-1000.2)	193.7 (93.3-402.2)	0.003	0.191	0.129
Т6	106.3 (51.2-220.7)	658.9 (317.4-1368.1)	153.3 (73.8-318.2)	0.004	0.025	0.720
T24	68.5 (33.0-142.3)	137.4 (66.2-285.3)	106.5 (51.3-221.1)	0.291	1.000	0.600
T48	36.8 (16.9-80.2)	81.0 (39.1-168.2)	29.4 (13.9-62.0)	0.380	0.137	1.000
Overall	67.2 (36.0-125.7)	269.1 (144.3-501.9)	112.2 (60.1-209.5)	0.003	0.052	0.250

Table 4. Mean IL-6 concentration in each group at each time point.

T0: on presentation to the hospital, T2: 2+/- 1 hours after presentation, T6: 6+/- 1 hours after presentation, T24: 24+/- 12 hours after presentation, T48: 48+/-12 hours after presentation.

IL-6: interleukin-6, HEM: spontaneous hemoperitoneum, NPS: non-pulmonary sepsis, PS: pulmonary sepsis.

Data is presented as mean (95% confidence interval).

Concentrations are in pg/mL. The bolded p-values are < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325809.t004

In order to investigate factors having a significant effect on HA at T0, a mixed model was built. The group, the presence of malignancy, and ANP concentration were not found to have a significant effect on HA at T0 and were excluded from the model. The concentration of IL-6 and APPLE_{fast} score had a significant positive effect on HA concentration at T0 (p=0.026 and p=0.015, respectively) (Fig 4). Patients who had received IV fluids before hospital admission had a higher HA concentration at T0 (p=0.015) than those not having received IV fluids before. The concentration of IL-6 at T0, the APPLE_{fast} score at T0, and the administration of IV fluids before admission were therefore independent predictors of HA concentration at T0.

To investigate the factors having an effect on HA concentration during hospitalization, beyond T0, a second mixed model was built, including HA concentration at T0 as well as its previously listed covariates, time, the cumulative volume of intravenous fluids administered in hospital, and IL-6 and ANP concentrations during hospitalization (starting at T2). In this model, when accounting for HA concentration at T0, the effect of the covariates: group, the administration of IV fluids before hospital admission, the presence of malignancy, IL-6 and ANP concentrations at T0 were not significant and they were removed from the model. The model identified that the cumulative volume of fluids had a significant positive effect on HA (p=0.0002) (Fig 5). This effect did not change among groups. The concentration of ANP and IL-6 during hospitalization, time, and group did not have a significant effect on HA concentration during hospitalization.

Outcome

Forty-six dogs (85%) survived to discharge. Outcome and duration of hospitalization for dogs in each group are presented in <u>Table 2</u>. Among the patients with septic shock, 3 out of 4 did not survive to discharge.

Hyaluronic acid concentration at T0, maximum HA concentration, and the difference in HA concentration between T0 and T24 were not significant predictors of outcome based on a logistic regression (p=0.258, p=0.503, and p=0.393, respectively).

Discussion

This study was designed to investigate potential associations between IV fluid administration, inflammation, and EG degradation. In order to study these factors, predefined groups of dogs were selected to reflect anticipated differing delivered



Fig 4. Predicted mean hyaluronic acid concentration at T0 based on IL-6 concentration at T0 (A) and APPLE_{fast} score at T0 (B). HA concentrations were back-transformed from the logarithmic transformation. The concentration of IL-6, the APPLE_{fast} score, and the administration of IV fluids before hospital admission have a significant positive effect on HA concentration at T0 (p=0.026, p=0.015, and p=0.015, respectively). HA: hyaluronic acid, IL-6: interleukin-6.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325809.g004

IV fluid volumes during the resuscitative and early hospitalization period (conservative in PS and more liberal in NPS and HEM). Similarly, groups were also selected to compare and contrast variable degrees of inflammation (less inflammation anticipated in HEM and more inflammation in NPS and PS). The study results demonstrate that, in our hospital, dogs with PS received significantly less IV fluids over the duration of the study than dogs with HEM and NPS. Our hypothesis was also met in that dogs with HEM had lower inflammatory cytokine IL-6 concentrations than dogs with NPS, although there was no statistical difference in IL-6 concentration between dogs with HEM and dogs with PS. The distribution of IL-6 concentration in patients with PS was very wide, reflecting heterogeneous inflammation in this group and likely explaining the lack of statistically significant difference with the HEM group. Despite these differences in both volume of IV fluids



Fig 5. Predicted mean hyaluronic acid as a function of cumulative fluid volume for time points T2 to T48. HA concentrations were backtransformed from the logarithmic transformation. The cumulative fluid volume has a significant positive effect on HA from T2 to T48 (p=0.0002). HA=hyaluronic acid.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325809.g005

administered and inflammation, no significant difference in HA concentration was identified between the groups at any time point when assessed by simple ANOVA. When looking at overall HA concentration including all time points, HA concentrations were significantly higher in dogs with NPS than with PS. This finding may be attributed to larger volumes of IV fluids administered to the NPS group or to more severe inflammation in the NPS group than the PS group based on higher IL-6 concentrations, although only statistically significant at T6.

The lack of significant difference in HA concentration between groups at each time point may be explained by the smaller number of samples available when looking at separate time points, however other factors could also have been involved. Since this is an observational study, several parameters were likely at play both between and within groups, potentially affecting HA concentrations, which were investigated in mixed models. This study identified some interesting findings based on the mixed model analyses. First, APPLE_{fast} score and IL-6 concentration at T0, along with the administration of IV fluids before hospitalization, were identified to have a significant effect on HA concentration at T0. Thereafter, during hospitalization (starting at T2), HA concentration was determined by its concentration at T0 and the cumulative volume of IV fluids administered during. Thus, the overall findings of these analyses were that HA concentration was determined by the inflammatory status (IL-6 concentration), the severity of illness (APPLE_{fast} score), and the administration of IV fluids both before hospitalization and during hospitalization, for which the effect was volume-dependent. The effects of the degree of inflammation and disease severity were most predominant on presentation. This could indicate that, although patients were presented with a certain degree of EG degradation caused by the disease process, ongoing EG degradation may have been caused by IV fluids administered in hospital. The disease group did not have a significant effect on HA concentration, which could be explained by the fact that levels of inflammation, disease severity, and administered volumes of IV fluids were heterogeneous within groups.

Interestingly, HA concentration in the NPS group was not significantly different from HA concentration in dogs with HEM, indicating that patients with hemorrhagic shock might have a similar degree of endotheliopathy as patients with sepsis, although evaluated with a single biomarker in this study. Biomarkers of EG degradation have repeatedly been reported to be higher in patients with sepsis than in healthy controls and patients with simple infection [12,30,52,53]. However, a comparison between patients with sepsis and patients with hemorrhagic shock is not currently available in the human medical literature. Studies evaluating people with severe trauma and experimental rodent models demonstrate that



patients with hemorrhagic shock can have markedly elevated biomarkers of EG degradation as well [10,20,22,23,54–56]. In the veterinary literature, HA, as a biomarker, has only been explored in hemorrhagic shock models in healthy dogs with experimentally induced hemorrhage. Results are contradictory, with one study showing an increase in HA following 60 minutes of hemorrhagic shock [15] and another failing to show an increase in HA following 10 minutes of hemorrhagic shock [57].

Our study also aimed to explore the pattern of HA biomarker concentrations in dogs with systemic illness and to investigate the timing of peak HA concentration based on predefined sampling times. In our study, HA concentration increased progressively from T0 to T24 in patients with NPS and HEM, before reaching a plateau. The differences were only statistically significant between T24 and T0, and T24 and T6. The concentration of HA at T48 was more variable in NPS, which is consistent with the heterogenous progression of disease in this group compared to the other two groups. Unfortunately, due to the study design, no data was available beyond 48 hours. In previous human studies, different EG biomarkers were found to have different variations over time [12,53] and HA did not change significantly over 4 days in 8 dogs with septic peritonitis [14]. Therefore, expected changes in HA are non-predictable when reviewing the human and veterinary literature and may be related to the individual patient's disease progression. The increase in HA concentrations over the first 24 hours in the NPS and HEM groups could be due to initial fluid resuscitation, with de-escalation of IV fluids progressing into the second day of hospitalization. This would be supported by the difference in the rate of fluid administration during the first day and the second day of the study.

The effect of IV fluid volumes on EG degradation has been documented in people, with an increase in EG biomarkers (HA, heparan sulfate) associated with the volume of fluids delivered during resuscitation in septic patients [29,30,58]. However, in other human studies, the volume of fluids administered did not seem to have an effect on EG biomarker concentrations and the effect of IV fluids on the EG should therefore be interpreted cautiously, especially in patients with sepsis [27,59–62]. Studies in veterinary medicine exploring the effects of IV fluids on the EG are limited. A study conducted in healthy dogs undergoing elective surgery reported an increase in HA following anesthetic IV fluid administration (at 5 mL/kg/hr and 10 mL/kg/h) [17] and a retrospective case series of 8 dogs with septic peritonitis followed daily during their recovery reported a significant effect of cumulative IV fluid volume on HA concentration when accounting for IL-6 concentration [63]. In an experimental model of canine hemorrhagic shock, resuscitation with high volumes of crystalloids (80 mL/kg) was also associated with an increase in HA concentration [15]. To date, our results are consistent with previous findings that IV fluid therapy may have an effect on the EG.

Interleukin-6 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine which is a well-known diagnostic and prognostic marker of sepsis in people [64,65] and dogs [14,66–68]. Our study identified higher IL-6 concentrations in sepsis, most notably of non-pulmonary origin, as well as a positive effect of IL-6 on HA at T0 in all groups. Interleukin-6 has been reported to be positively correlated with EG biomarkers in people with sepsis and trauma [52,69,70] and was a significant predictor of HA concentration in the previously referenced series of 8 dogs with septic peritonitis [14]. Elevated IL-6 has also been reported in a recent publication in dogs with organ dysfunction secondary to sepsis and evidence of EG degradation [16]. The subsequent lack of association between IL-6 and HA concentrations during our study period (at times after T0), may be caused by a predominant effect of cumulative fluid volumes, masking the effect of IL-6. The effect of inflammation on the EG might also have been attenuated by treatments aiming at controlling the source of inflammation and reversing shock.

Atrial natriuretic peptide is a protein secreted by the atrial myocytes in response to wall stretch, which promotes natriuresis and vasodilation [71]. It has also been shown to increase capillary permeability, which has been hypothesized to be mediated by EG degradation [41]. This hypothesis was supported by two experimental studies on guinea pigs [41,42] and a few human studies [32,44,72]. However, other studies in pigs, dogs, and people have found no correlation between ANP and EG degradation [15,29,31,39,61]. A true association between ANP and HA therefore remains uncertain. In our study, ANP did not have a significant effect on HA concentration. The concentration of ANP was also not significantly different between disease groups and not correlated with cumulative fluid volume in our groups, which could indicate that volume



loading was possibly not achieved despite administration of IV fluids in our study (in the face of hemorrhage and vascular leak). Moreover, although the ANP assay used has been validated for dogs and previously used in a canine study [15] and the manufacturer's instructions were followed, the coefficient of variability for ANP was moderately high in our study (mean+/- SD: 13.8%+/- 14.1), which could have led to inaccurate results. Therefore, our ANP results should be interpreted with caution.

Interestingly, in this canine study, no major increase in HA concentration was noted between T2 and T6, which is when the vast majority of patients underwent surgery. This finding is in agreement with previous human studies showing an increase in syndecan-1 was much less pronounced with surgery (by 1.3-1.5-fold) [72–75] than with sepsis and trauma (up to 20-fold) [12,20,56].

In this study, the illness severity score $APPLE_{fast}$ had a significant effect on HA concentration, which could suggest that the severity of disease and endotheliopathy are associated, although causality is not established. The concentration of HA has been associated with disease severity in multiple human studies [12,23,76,77]. However, in our study, HA concentration was not associated with patient outcome. The concentration of HA on presentation and the progression of HA during hospitalization has been associated with outcome in people with sepsis [12,52,76,78] and trauma [23,69]. Since the overall mortality rate in our study was low (15%), it is possible that significant predictors of outcome could not be identified. Other studies have also failed to find an association between EG biomarkers and outcome [77].

Our study had several limitations. Due to its observational nature, treatments were not standardized, and several factors changed concomitantly, including patient signalment, underlying disease, disease severity, volume and type of intravenous fluids administered, as well as other interventions (e.g., surgery and administration of IV fluids prior to referral/ study enrolment). By using a multivariate model, we tried to account for as many parameters as possible, however some could not be included and might have affected the results. Patient subgroups were too small to investigate the individual effects of these factors on HA results. Although disease severity was heterogeneous, our patient population was overall not very critically ill, with an overall mortality rate of 15%, and mean +/- SD APPLE full score of 33.8 +/- 10.1 out of 80 and APPLE_{fact} score of 26.4+/- 6.9 out of 50. The applicability of these results to more critically ill patients is unknown. In addition, we did not include a control group to compare HA concentrations in sick dogs with healthy dogs and dogs who did not receive IV fluids, however patients acted as their own controls. Some patients had comorbidities which are known to affect HA concentrations, such as malignancy, liver disease, and hyperglycemia [79-82]. While malignancy was included in our multivariate model and was found not to have a significant effect on HA concentration, an insufficient number of dogs had liver disease and hyperglycemia to include these factors in the model, and they could have affected HA concentrations [80,81]. However, in our study only one patient had underlying liver disease and 8 patients had mild-moderate hyperglycemia (8–16 mmol/L) which was limited to 1 or 2 time points. Other limitations unfortunately include that the individual effect of fluid boluses, hypertonic saline, and transfusions could not be assessed due to the small number of patients that received each of these interventions. This should be investigated in a study designed for this purpose. In addition, the potential effects of hemodilution on HA concentrations were not explored. Hemodilution may have caused a decrease in measured HA concentration. The measured HA concentration could have been normalized to albumin concentration or hematocrit to address this, however these parameters are also likely to change due to hemorrhage and critical illness and not only hemodilution. The effect of hemodilution would be expected to underestimate the increase in HA concentration induced by cumulative volume of IV fluids, and more significant differences might have been observed without the effect of hemodilution. Finally, the study only investigated HA as a single biomarker of EG degradation, and other biomarkers of endothelial damage such as syndecan-1, heparan sulfate, VE-cadherin, vascular endothelial growth factor, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, and von Willebrand factor might have led to different results [16,57]. Validation of assays to measure other EG biomarkers (syndecan-1, heparan sulfate) in dogs is warranted and multiple biomarkers should be used in future studies.



Conclusion

In this study, HA concentrations were not significantly different between patients with HEM, NPS, and PS at different predetermined time points. The study did however establish that administration of increasing cumulative IV fluid volumes is associated with EG degradation in critically ill dogs with sepsis and hemorrhagic shock. Patients with more severe inflammation based on elevated IL-6 concentrations also appear to be at higher risk of EG degradation. The results add to growing concerns about endothelial damage caused by liberal fluid resuscitation, particularly in patients with sepsis and hemorrhagic shock. Future research could include trials comparing the effects of different types of fluid strategies on EG biomarkers to better characterize the safest approach to fluid resuscitation. Beyond the cumulative volume of fluids, investigating the effect of fluid boluses, hypertonic saline, colloids, and transfusions specifically would be interesting.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Patient characteristics. (XLSX)

S2 Fig. Fluid and biomarkers data. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to extend their gratitude to Dr. Ramiro Toribio and Ahmed Kamr from the Ohio State University for their assistance with the ELISA measurements, as well as the staff of the intensive care unit that facilitated patient enrolment for this project.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Manon Rigot, Alexa M. Bersenas, Shane W. Bateman, Shauna L. Blois, R. Darren Wood.

Data curation: Manon Rigot, Alexa M. Bersenas, Gabrielle Monteith.

Formal analysis: Manon Rigot, Alexa M. Bersenas, Gabrielle Monteith.

Funding acquisition: Manon Rigot, Alexa M. Bersenas.

Investigation: Manon Rigot, Alexa M. Bersenas.

- Methodology: Manon Rigot, Alexa M. Bersenas, Shane W. Bateman, Shauna L. Blois, Gabrielle Monteith, R. Darren Wood.
- Project administration: Manon Rigot, Alexa M. Bersenas, Shane W. Bateman, Shauna L. Blois.

Software: Gabrielle Monteith.

Supervision: Alexa M. Bersenas, Shane W. Bateman, Shauna L. Blois, R. Darren Wood.

Validation: Alexa M. Bersenas, Shane W. Bateman, Shauna L. Blois, Gabrielle Monteith, R. Darren Wood.

Writing - original draft: Manon Rigot.

Writing – review & editing: Manon Rigot, Alexa M. Bersenas, Shane W. Bateman, Shauna L. Blois, Gabrielle Monteith, R. Darren Wood.

References

^{1.} Ince C, Mayeux PR, Nguyen T, Gomez H, Kellum JA, Ospina-Tascón GA, et al. The endothelium in sepsis. Shock. 2016;45(3):259–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/SHK.000000000000473</u> PMID: <u>26871664</u>



- Villalba N, Baby S, Yuan SY. The endothelial glycocalyx as a double-edged sword in microvascular homeostasis and pathogenesis. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:711003. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.711003
- Ushiyama A, Kataoka H, Iijima T. Glycocalyx and its involvement in clinical pathophysiologies. J Intensive Care. 2016;4(1):59. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.711003</u>
- Gaudette S, Hughes D, Boller M. The endothelial glycocalyx: Structure and function in health and critical illness. J Vet Emerg Crit Care (San Antonio). 2020;30(2):117–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/vec.12925 PMID: 32067360
- Liu HQ, Li J, Xuan CL, Ma HC. A review on the physiological and pathophysiological role of endothelial glycocalyx. J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2020;34(11):e22571. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbt.22571
- Kundra P, Goswami S. Endothelial glycocalyx: Role in body fluid homeostasis and fluid management. Indian J Anaesth. 2019;63(1):6–14. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.4103/ija.IJA_751_18 PMID: 30745606
- Levick JR. Revision of the Starling principle: new views of tissue fluid balance. J Physiol. 2004;557(3):704. https://doi.org/10.1113/ jphysiol.2004.066118
- 8. Mulivor AW, Lipowsky HH. Role of glycocalyx in leukocyte-endothelial cell adhesion. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2002;283(4):H1282–91. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00117.2002 PMID: 12234777
- Murphy LS, Wickersham N, McNeil JB, Shaver CM, May AK, Bastarache JA, et al. Endothelial glycocalyx degradation is more severe in patients with non-pulmonary sepsis compared to pulmonary sepsis and associates with risk of ARDS and other organ dysfunction. Ann Intensive Care. 2017;7(1):102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-017-0325-y</u> PMID: <u>28986821</u>
- Torres Filho IP, Torres LN, Salgado C, Dubick MA. Plasma syndecan-1 and heparan sulfate correlate with microvascular glycocalyx degradation in hemorrhaged rats after different resuscitation fluids. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2016;310(11):H1468–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/ ajpheart.00006.2016</u> PMID: <u>27037369</u>
- Margraf A, Herter JM, Kühne K, Stadtmann A, Ermert T, Wenk M. 6% Hydroxyethyl Starch (HES 130/0.4) Diminishes Glycocalyx Degradation and Decreases Vascular Permeability During Systemic and Pulmonary Inflammation in Mice. Crit Care. 2018;22:111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13054-017-1846-3</u>
- **12.** Anand D, Ray S, Srivastava LM, Bhargava S. Evolution of serum hyaluronan and syndecan levels in prognosis of sepsis patients. Clin Biochem. 2016;49(10–11):768–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2016.02.014 PMID: 26953518
- Lawrence-Mills SJ, Hezzell MJ, Adamantos SE, Chan I, Borgeat K, Rose Payne J. Circulating hyaluronan as a marker of endothelial glycocalyx damage in dogs with myxomatous mitral valve disease and dogs in a hypercoagulable state. Vet J. 2022;285:105845. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tvjl.2022.105845</u>
- 14. Shaw KE, Bersenas AM, Bateman SW, Blois SL, Guieu L-VS, Wood RD. Use of serum hyaluronic acid as a biomarker of endothelial glycocalyx degradation in dogs with septic peritonitis. Am J Vet Res. 2021;82(7):566–73. https://doi.org/10.2460/ajvr.82.7.566 PMID: 34166092
- Smart L, Boyd CJ, Claus MA, Bosio E, Hosgood G, Raisis A. Large-Volume Crystalloid Fluid Is Associated with Increased Hyaluronan Shedding and Inflammation in a Canine Hemorrhagic Shock Model. Inflammation. 2018;41(4):1515–23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10753-018-0797-4</u> PMID: 29728805
- Gaudette S, Smart L, Woodward AP, Sharp CR, Hughes D, Bailey SR. Biomarkers of endothelial activation and inflammation in dogs with organ dysfunction secondary to sepsis. Front Vet Sci. 2023;10:1127099. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2023.1127099</u>
- Beiseigel M, Simon BT, Michalak C, Stickney MJ, Jeffery U. Effect of peri-operative crystalloid fluid rate on circulating hyaluronan in healthy dogs: A pilot study. Vet J. 2021;267:105578. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2020.105578</u>
- Devriendt N, Serrano G, Meyer E, Demeyere K, Paepe D, Vandermeulen E. Serum hyaluronic acid, a marker for improved liver perfusion after gradual surgical attenuation of extrahepatic portosystemic shunt closure in dogs. Vet J. 2021;268:105604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2020.105604
- Ceplecha V, Rehakova K, Lendon C, Proks P, Škorič M, Grinwis G. Hyaluronic acid and TGF-β1 in dogs with hepatobiliary diseases. Acta Vet Brno. 2018;87. <u>https://doi.org/10.2754/avb201887030231</u>
- Haywood-Watson RJ, Holcomb JB, Gonzalez EA, Peng Z, Pati S, Park PW, et al. Modulation of syndecan-1 shedding after hemorrhagic shock and resuscitation. PLoS One. 2011;6(8):e23530. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023530</u> PMID: <u>21886795</u>
- Opal SM, van der Poll T. Endothelial barrier dysfunction in septic shock. J Intern Med. 2015;277(3):277–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12331</u> PMID: <u>25418337</u>
- Torres Filho I, Torres LN, Sondeen JL, Polykratis IA, Dubick MA. In vivo evaluation of venular glycocalyx during hemorrhagic shock in rats using intravital microscopy. Microvasc Res. 2013;85:128–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mvr.2012.11.005</u> PMID: <u>23154280</u>
- Rodriguez EG, Ostrowski SR, Cardenas JC, Baer LA, Tomasek JS, Henriksen HH, et al. Syndecan-1: A Quantitative Marker for the Endotheliopathy of Trauma. J Am Coll Surg. 2017;225(3):419–27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2017.05.012</u> PMID: <u>28579548</u>
- 24. Yini S, Heng Z, Xin A, Xiaochun M. Effect of unfractionated heparin on endothelial glycocalyx in a septic shock model. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2015;59(2):160–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.12418 PMID: 25312742
- Turek Z, Cerny V, Parizkova R, Samek J, Oberreiter M. Prolonged hypervolemic hemodilution decreases functional capillary density of ileal mucosa in pigs revealed by sidestream dark-field imaging. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B. 2011;12(10):867–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B1000385</u> PMID: <u>21960351</u>



- Byrne L, Obonyo NG, Diab SD, Dunster KR, Passmore MR, Boon A-C, et al. Unintended Consequences: Fluid Resuscitation Worsens Shock in an Ovine Model of Endotoxemia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;198(8):1043–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201801-0064OC</u> PMID: <u>29882682</u>
- Sukudom S, Smart L, Macdonald S. Association between intravenous fluid administration and endothelial glycocalyx shedding in humans: a systematic review. Intensive Care Med Exp. 2024;12(1):16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40635-024-00602-1</u> PMID: <u>38403742</u>
- 28. Smart L, Hughes D. The effects of resuscitative fluid therapy on the endothelial surface layer. Front Vet Sci. 2021;8:661660. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/</u> fvets.2021.661660
- 29. Hippensteel JA, Uchimido R, Tyler PD, Burke RC, Han X, Zhang F, et al. Intravenous fluid resuscitation is associated with septic endothelial glycocalyx degradation. Crit Care. 2019;23(1):259. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2534-2 PMID: 31337421
- Smart L, Macdonald SPJ, Burrows S, Bosio E, Arendts G, Fatovich DM. Endothelial glycocalyx biomarkers increase in patients with infection during Emergency Department treatment. J Crit Care. 2017;42:304–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2017.07.001 PMID: 28822340
- **31.** Powell MF, Mathru M, Brandon A, Patel R, Frölich MA. Assessment of endothelial glycocalyx disruption in term parturients receiving a fluid bolus before spinal anesthesia: a prospective observational study. Int J Obstet Anesth. 2014;23(4):330–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijoa.2014.06.001</u>
- 32. Liu Y, Chen G, Gao J, Chi M, Mao M, Shi Y, et al. Effect of different levels of stroke volume variation on the endothelial glycocalyx of patients undergoing colorectal surgery: A randomized clinical trial. Exp Physiol. 2021;106(10):2124–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1113/EP089348</u> PMID: <u>34347918</u>
- Torres LN, Chung KK, Salgado CL, Dubick MA, Torres Filho IP. Low-volume resuscitation with normal saline is associated with microvascular endothelial dysfunction after hemorrhage in rats, compared to colloids and balanced crystalloids. Crit Care. 2017;21:160. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13054-017-1745-7</u>
- Schol PBB, Terink IM, Lancé MD, Scheepers HCJ. Liberal or restrictive fluid management during elective surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Anesth. 2016;35:26–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.07.010</u>
- Messmer AS, Zingg C, Müller M, Gerber JL, Schefold JC, Pfortmueller CA. Fluid Overload and Mortality in Adult Critical Care Patients-A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. Crit Care Med. 2020;48(12):1862–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000004617</u> PMID: 33009098
- Neyra JA, Li X, Canepa-Escaro F, Adams-Huet B, Toto RD, Yee J, et al. Cumulative Fluid Balance and Mortality in Septic Patients With or Without Acute Kidney Injury and Chronic Kidney Disease. Crit Care Med. 2016;44(10):1891–900. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000001835</u> PMID: 27352125
- 37. Kasotakis G, Sideris A, Yang Y, de Moya M, Alam H, King DR, et al. Aggressive early crystalloid resuscitation adversely affects outcomes in adult blunt trauma patients: an analysis of the Glue Grant database. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2013;74(5):1215–21; discussion 1221-2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e3182826e13 PMID: 23609270</u>
- Balogh Z, McKinley BA, Cocanour CS, Kozar RA, Valdivia A, Sailors RM, et al. Supranormal trauma resuscitation causes more cases of abdominal compartment syndrome. Arch Surg. 2003;138(6):637–42; discussion 642-3. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.138.6.637</u> PMID: <u>12799335</u>
- 39. Damén T, Kolsrud O, Dellgren G, Hesse C, Ricksten S-E, Nygren A. Atrial natriuretic peptide does not degrade the endothelial glycocalyx: A secondary analysis of a randomized porcine model. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2021;65(9):1305–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.13853</u> PMID: <u>33991333</u>
- 40. Cooper ES, Silverstein DC. Fluid therapy and the microcirculation in health and critical illness. Front Vet Sci. 2021;8:625708. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.625708</u>
- Bruegger D, Jacob M, Rehm M, Loetsch M, Welsch U, Conzen P, et al. Atrial natriuretic peptide induces shedding of endothelial glycocalyx in coronary vascular bed of guinea pig hearts. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2005;289(5):H1993–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00218.2005</u> PMID: 15964925
- 42. Jacob M, Saller T, Chappell D, Rehm M, Welsch U, Becker BF. Physiological levels of A-, B- and C-type natriuretic peptide shed the endothelial glycocalyx and enhance vascular permeability. Basic Res Cardiol. 2013;108(3):347. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00395-013-0347-z</u> PMID: 23563917
- 43. Chappell D, Bruegger D, Potzel J, Jacob M, Brettner F, Vogeser M, et al. Hypervolemia increases release of atrial natriuretic peptide and shedding of the endothelial glycocalyx. Crit Care. 2014;18(5):538. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-014-0538-5</u> PMID: 25497357
- 44. Bruegger D, Schwartz L, Chappell D, Jacob M, Rehm M, Vogeser M, et al. Release of atrial natriuretic peptide precedes shedding of the endothelial glycocalyx equally in patients undergoing on- and off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. Basic Res Cardiol. 2011;106(6):1111–21. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00395-011-0203-y</u> PMID: 21769675
- 45. Rhee P, Wang D, Ruff P, Austin B, DeBraux S, Wolcott K. Human neutrophil activation and increased adhesion by various resuscitation fluids. Crit Care Med. 2000;28(1):74. https://doi.org/10.1097/00003246-20000100-00012
- 46. van Haren FM, Sleigh J, Cursons R, La Pine M, Pickkers P, van der Hoeven JG. The effects of hypertonic fluid administration on the gene expression of inflammatory mediators in circulating leucocytes in patients with septic shock: a preliminary study. Ann Intensive Care. 2011;1(1):44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/2110-5820-1-44</u> PMID: 22044529
- 47. Hall K, Drobatz K. Volume resuscitation in the acutely hemorrhaging patient: historic use to current applications. Front Vet Sci. 2021;8:638104. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.638104
- 48. Montealegre F, Lyons BM. Fluid therapy in dogs and cats with sepsis. Front Vet Sci. 2021;8:622127. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.622127



- 49. Adamantos S. Fluid therapy in pulmonary disease: how careful do we need to be? Front Vet Sci. 2021;8:624833. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/</u> fvets.2021.624833
- 50. Silverstein DC, Hopper K. Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome. Small Animal Critical Care Medicine. 2 ed. 2015.
- Hayes G, Mathews K, Doig G, Kruth S, Boston S, Nykamp S, et al. The acute patient physiologic and laboratory evaluation (APPLE) score: a severity of illness stratification system for hospitalized dogs. J Vet Intern Med. 2010;24(5):1034–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-1676.2010.0552.x</u> PMID: <u>20629945</u>
- Nelson A, Berkestedt I, Bodelsson M. Circulating glycosaminoglycan species in septic shock. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2014;58(1):36–43. https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.12223 PMID: 24341693
- 53. Piotti A, Novelli D, Meessen JMTA, Ferlicca D, Coppolecchia S, Marino A. Endothelial damage in septic shock patients as evidenced by circulating syndecan-1, sphingosine-1-phosphate and soluble VE-cadherin: a substudy of ALBIOS. Crit Care. 2021;25(1):113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13054-021-03545-1</u>
- 54. Torres LN, Sondeen JL, Ji L, Dubick MA, Torres Filho I. Evaluation of resuscitation fluids on endothelial glycocalyx, venular blood flow, and coagulation function after hemorrhagic shock in rats. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2013;75(5):759–66. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e3182a92514</u> PMID: 24158192
- 55. Guerci P, Ergin B, Uz Z, Ince Y, Westphal M, Heger M, et al. Glycocalyx Degradation Is Independent of Vascular Barrier Permeability Increase in Nontraumatic Hemorrhagic Shock in Rats. Anesth Analg. 2019;129(2):598–607. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.00000000003918 PMID: 30451726
- 56. Rahbar E, Cardenas JC, Baimukanova G, Usadi B, Bruhn R, Pati S. Endothelial glycocalyx shedding and vascular permeability in severely injured trauma patients. J Transl Med. 2015;13(1):117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-015-0481-5</u>
- 57. Cardillo JH, Tarbutton JD, Guillaumin J, Webb TL, Hall KE, Tucker CD. Sidestream dark field imaging and biomarker evaluation reveal minimal significant changes to the microcirculation and glycocalyx in a canine hemorrhagic shock model. Am J Vet Res. 2023;84(12):ajvr.23.06.0134. <u>https://doi.org/10.2460/ajvr.23.06.0134</u>
- Wu X, Hu Z, Yuan H, Chen L, Li Y, Zhao C. Fluid resuscitation and markers of glycocalyx degradation in severe sepsis. Open Med. 2017;12:409– 16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/med-2017-0059</u>
- 59. Macdonald S, Bosio E, Keijzers G, Burrows S, Hibbs M, O'Donoghue H, et al. Effect of intravenous fluid volume on biomarkers of endothelial glycocalyx shedding and inflammation during initial resuscitation of sepsis. Intensive Care Med Exp. 2023;11(1):21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40635-023-00508-4</u> PMID: <u>37062769</u>
- 60. Saoraya J, Wongsamita L, Srisawat N, Musikatavorn K. The effects of a limited infusion rate of fluid in the early resuscitation of sepsis on glycocalyx shedding measured by plasma syndecan-1: a randomized controlled trial. J Intensive Care. 2021;9(1):1. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-020-00515-7</u> PMID: <u>33402229</u>
- Macdonald S, Bosio E, Shapiro NI, Balmer L, Burrows S, Hibbs M, et al. No association between intravenous fluid volume and endothelial glycocalyx shedding in patients undergoing resuscitation for sepsis in the emergency department. Sci Rep. 2022;12:8733. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> <u>s41598-022-12752-x</u>
- 62. Inkinen N, Pettilä V, Lakkisto P, Kuitunen A, Jukarainen S, Bendel S, et al. Association of endothelial and glycocalyx injury biomarkers with fluid administration, development of acute kidney injury, and 90-day mortality: data from the FINNAKI observational study. Ann Intensive Care. 2019;9(1):103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-019-0575-y</u> PMID: <u>31512003</u>
- 63. Shaw KE, Bersenas AM, Bateman SW, Blois SL, Wood RD. Validation of a commercial human ELISA to measure hyaluronic acid concentration in feline plasma. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2022;34(1):86–9. https://doi.org/10.1177/10406387211044718 PMID: 34515601
- 64. Eichberger J, Resch B. Reliability of interleukin-6 alone and in combination for diagnosis of early onset neonatal sepsis: systematic review. Front Pediatr. 2022;10:840778. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2022.840778
- 65. Cong S, Ma T, Di X, Tian C, Zhao M, Wang K. Diagnostic value of neutrophil CD64, procalcitonin, and interleukin-6 in sepsis: a meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2021;21(1):384. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-06064-0 PMID: 33902476
- 66. Song R, Kim J, Yu D, Park C, Park J. Kinetics of IL-6 and TNF-α changes in a canine model of sepsis induced by endotoxin. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2012;146(2):143–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2012.02.008 PMID: 22424937
- Rau S, Kohn B, Richter C, Fenske N, Küchenhoff H, Hartmann K, et al. Plasma interleukin-6 response is predictive for severity and mortality in canine systemic inflammatory response syndrome and sepsis. Vet Clin Pathol. 2007;36(3):253–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-165x.2007</u>. <u>tb00220.x PMID: 17806073</u>
- Ahn S, Bae H, Kim J, Kim S, Park J, Kim SK. Comparison of clinical and inflammatory parameters in dogs with pyometra before and after ovariohysterectomy. Can J Vet Res. 2021;85(4):271–8. PMID: <u>34602731</u>
- 69. Johansson PI, Stensballe J, Rasmussen LS, Ostrowski SR. A high admission syndecan-1 level, a marker of endothelial glycocalyx degradation, is associated with inflammation, protein C depletion, fibrinolysis, and increased mortality in trauma patients. Ann Surg. 2011;254(2):194–200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e318226113d PMID: 21772125</u>
- 70. Qi F, Zhou H, Gu P, Tang ZH, Zhu BF, Chen JR. Endothelial glycocalyx degradation is associated with early organ impairment in polytrauma patients. BMC Emergency Medicine. 2021;21(1):52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-021-00446-y</u>
- Wong PCY, Guo J, Zhang A. The renal and cardiovascular effects of natriuretic peptides. Adv Physiol Educ. 2017;41(2):179–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00177.2016</u> PMID: <u>28377431</u>



- 72. Belavić M, Sotošek Tokmadžić V, Fišić E, Brozović Krijan A, Strikić N, Lončarić Katušin M, et al. The effect of various doses of infusion solutions on the endothelial glycocalyx layer in laparoscopic cholecystectomy patients. Minerva Anestesiol. 2018;84(9):1032–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.23736/S0375-9393.18.12150-X</u> PMID: 29338146
- 73. Pustetto M, Goldsztejn N, Touihri K, Engelman E, Ickx B, Van Obbergh L. Intravenous lidocaine to prevent endothelial dysfunction after major abdominal surgery: a randomized controlled pilot trial. BMC Anesthesiol. 2020;20(1):155. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-020-01075-x</u> PMID: 32576151
- 74. Wang J, Wu A, Wu Y. Endothelial Glycocalyx Layer: A Possible Therapeutic Target for Acute Lung Injury during Lung Resection. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:5969657. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5969657 PMID: 29423410
- 75. Kim HJ, Kim E, Baek SH, Kim HY, Kim JY, Park J. Sevoflurane did not show better protective effect on endothelial glycocalyx layer compared to propofol during lung resection surgery with one lung ventilation. J Thorac Dis. 2018;10(3):1468–75. https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2018.03.44
- 76. Suzuki K, Okada H, Sumi K, Tomita H, Kobayashi R, Ishihara T, et al. Serum syndecan-1 reflects organ dysfunction in critically ill patients. Sci Rep. 2021 Apr 23;11(1):8864. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88303-7
- 77. Yagmur E, Koch A, Haumann M, Kramann R, Trautwein C, Tacke F. Hyaluronan serum concentrations are elevated in critically ill patients and associated with disease severity. Clin Biochem. 2012;45(1–2):82–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2011.10.016 PMID: 22085533
- 78. Saoraya J, Wongsamita L, Srisawat N, Musikatavorn K. Plasma syndecan-1 is associated with fluid requirements and clinical outcomes in emergency department patients with sepsis. Am J Emerg Med. 2021;42:83–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2021.01.019</u> PMID: <u>33493833</u>
- 79. Nieuwdorp M, van Haeften TW, Gouverneur MCLG, Mooij HL, van Lieshout MHP, Levi M, et al. Loss of endothelial glycocalyx during acute hyperglycemia coincides with endothelial dysfunction and coagulation activation in vivo. Diabetes. 2006;55(2):480–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.2337/diabetes.55.02.06.db05-1103</u> PMID: <u>16443784</u>
- Kanemoto H, Ohno K, Sakai M, Nakashima K, Takahashi M, Fujino Y, et al. Blood hyaluronic acid as a marker for canine cirrhosis. J Vet Med Sci. 2009;71(9):1251–4. https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.71.1251 PMID: <u>19801910</u>
- Kantarcioglu B, Mehrotra S, Papineni C, Siddiqui F, Kouta A, Hoppensteadt D. Endogenous glycosaminoglycans in various pathologic plasma samples as measured by a fluorescent quenching method. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost. 2022;28:10760296221144047. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/10760296221144047</u>
- Yilmaz O, Afsar B, Ortiz A, Kanbay M. The role of endothelial glycocalyx in health and disease. Clin Kidney J. 2019;12(5):611–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfz042</u> PMID: <u>31583086</u>