Genetic Vaccines and Therapy

Research

Evaluation of the VP22 protein for enhancement of a DNA vaccine against anthrax

Stuart D Perkins^{*1}, Helen C Flick-Smith¹, Helen S Garmory¹, Angela E Essex-Lopresti¹, Freda K Stevenson² and Robert J Phillpotts¹

Address: ¹Biomedical Sciences Department, Defence Science and Technology Laboratory, Porton Down, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP4 OJQ, UK and ²Tenovus Laboratory, University of Southampton Hospital NHS Trust, Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK

Email: Stuart D Perkins* - sdperkins@dstl.gov.uk; Helen C Flick-Smith - hcfsmith@dstl.gov.uk; Helen S Garmory - hsgarmory@dstl.gov.uk; Angela E Essex-Lopresti - aeelopresti@dstl.gov.uk; Freda K Stevenson - fs@soton.ac.uk; Robert J Phillpotts - bjphillpotts@dstl.gov.uk * Corresponding author

Published: 20 April 2005

Genetic Vaccines and Therapy 2005, 3:3 doi:10.1186/1479-0556-3-3

This article is available from: http://www.gvt-journal.com/content/3/1/3

© 2005 Perkins et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Previously, antigens expressed from DNA vaccines have been fused to the VP22 protein from Herpes Simplex Virus type I in order to improve efficacy. However, the immune enhancing mechanism of VP22 is poorly understood and initial suggestions that VP22 can mediate intercellular spread have been questioned. Despite this, fusion of VP22 to antigens expressed from DNA vaccines has improved immune responses, particularly to non-secreted antigens.

Methods: In this study, we fused the gene for the VP22 protein to the gene for Protective Antigen (PA) from *Bacillus anthracis*, the causative agent of anthrax. Protective immunity against infection with *B. anthracis* is almost entirely based on a response to PA and we have generated two constructs, where VP22 is fused to either the N- or the C-terminus of the 63 kDa protease-cleaved fragment of PA (PA_{63}).

Results: Following gene gun immunisation of A/J mice with these constructs, we observed no improvement in the anti-PA antibody response generated. Following an intraperitoneal challenge with 70 50% lethal doses of *B. anthracis* strain STI spores, no difference in protection was evident in groups immunised with the DNA vaccine expressing PA_{63} and the DNA vaccines expressing fusion proteins of PA_{63} with VP22.

Conclusion: VP22 fusion does not improve the protection of A/J mice against live spore challenge following immunisation of DNA vaccines expressing PA₆₃.

1.0 Background

The VP22 protein is a major component of the amorphous tegument region of the Herpes Simplex Virus type I (HSV-1). Composed of 301 amino acids, it has become known as a protein transduction domain able to mediate intercellular spread. Like other translocatory proteins such as antennapedia and the HIV Tat protein, it is highly basic, it is able to bind heparin or sialic acid and all three proteins have an almost identical predicted pI [1]. VP22 has been reported as being able to exit the cell in which it is synthesised via an uncharacterised, golgi-independent secretory pathway and subsequently enter surrounding cells by a non-endocytic mechanism. These properties may be retained after fusion to other proteins [2].

Open Access

Received: 13 January 2005 Accepted: 20 April 2005



The ability to 'piggyback' proteins or peptides into cells may be particularly useful for gene therapy. Thymidine kinase and p53 have benefited from fusion with VP22 [3,4]. VP22 has been fused to proteins and delivered by a viral vector. For example, p53 delivered by an adenovirus vector [5,6], GFP delivered by a lentivirus vector [7] and Human papillomavirus E7 antigen delivered by a Sindbis replicon [8,9] have all proved more effective after VP22 fusion.

However, the ability of VP22 to mediate intercellular spread has been questioned, based on *in vitro* studies that use methanol fixation. Because methanol dissolves cellular membranes, it may produce an artefact interpreted as cell to cell spread [10]. In further studies, transport could not be detected in live cells [11] and a fusion protein of VP22 and diphtheria toxin A (a single molecule of which is lethal to a cell) could not cross the cell membrane and cause a measurable cytotoxic effect [12]. A critical analysis of the literature has led to the conclusion that the effects of VP22 can be explained by well-established biological principles whereby VP22 causes liberation from cells, possibly by cell death. Following this, the protein may bind to surrounding cells, but does not efficiently penetrate cellular membranes [1].

Irrespective of whether VP22 can mediate intercellular spread however, VP22 can enhance *in vivo* responses to a number of antigens not only in the context of gene therapy, but also when fused to antigens within a DNA vaccine. This could be particularly useful because although DNA vaccines can offer protection against a wide variety of pathogens in small animal models, their efficacy in larger animal models and primates is insufficient. In this study, we evaluate the potential of VP22 to enhance DNA vaccines against anthrax.

The spore-forming bacterium *Bacillus anthracis* causes the disease anthrax. The current UK-licensed vaccine is an alum-precipitated filtrate of a *B. anthracis* Sterne strain culture, administered by the intramuscular route, which occasionally causes some transient reactogenicity in vacinees [13]. The US-licensed vaccine is the Anthrax Vaccine Adsorbed (BioThrax-AVA) vaccine produced from the culture supernatant fraction of the V770-NP1-R strain [14].

The key component in both these vaccines is the protective antigen (PA), which along with lethal factor (LF) and edema factor (EF) forms a tripartite toxin and is one of the virulence factors of the bacteria [15]. Host cell intoxication is thought to occur after binding of the full length 83 kDa PA to the host cell membrane receptor. The 20 kDa N-terminal fragment of PA is cleaved by furin protease exposing the LF-EF binding site [16]. The 63 kDa PA fragments form a heptameric pore, the LF or EF bind and the whole toxin complex is internalised [17,18].

DNA vaccines against *B. anthracis* expressing either the 63 kDa fragment of PA [19,20] or the 83 kDa PA protein have proved successful [21]. Protection against lethal toxin challenge in Balb/c mice or a spore challenge in NZW rabbits can be achieved by either intramuscular or gene gun immunisation [19-22]. Attempts to enhance the protective efficacy of DNA vaccines against anthrax include co-administration with a DNA vaccine expressing LF, and a DNA prime / protein boost regimen [20] or the use of cationic lipids [22].

The aim of this study was to assess the potential of VP22 to enhance the immunogenicity of a DNA vaccine expressing the 63 kDa fragment of PA (PA_{63}) attached to a secretion signal. The VP22 protein, which has previously been shown to improve the performance of DNA vaccines [23-26], was fused to either the N- or the C-terminus of PA₆₃. We show that following gene gun administration of these vaccines, fusion with VP22 does not improve anti-PA antibody responses to the PA₆₃ DNA vaccine, nor does it increase protection against anthrax lethal spore challenge.

2.0 Methods

2.1 Construction of DNA vaccines

The DNA vaccine pGPA contains the signal sequence for human plasminogen activator fused to the N-terminus of the gene for the 63 kDa fragment of PA [19] and was a kind gift from Dennis Klinman (Food and Drug Administration, USA). To include the VP22 sequence derived from amino acids 159 - 301, which possesses the full transport activity of the native protein (both the intrinsic transport ability and the ability to carry proteins of significant size [27]), the following strategy was employed. To construct the N-terminal fusion, the gene for the VP22 sequence was PCR amplified from pCR®T7/VP22-1 (Invitrogen) using primers VP22 F9 (5' ACTCTAGCTAGCACGGCGCCAAC-CCGATCCAAGACA 3') and VP22 R8 (5) ATTGTCACGGTCTGGAACCGTAGGAGCAGCTGGACCT-GGACCCTCGACGGGCCGTCTGGGGCGAGA 3'). Additionally, the gene for PA63 was PCR amplified from pGPA using primers PA F8 (5' CCTACGGTTCCAGACCGT-GACAAT 3') and PA R9 (5' CGCGGATCCTTATCCTATCT-CATAGCC 3'). The two sequences were then fused together by PCR [28] using primers VP22 F9 and PA R9.

To create the C-terminal fusion, the gene for the PA₆₃ sequence was PCR amplified from pGPA using primers PA F11 (5' CTA<u>GCTAGC</u>CCTACGGTTCCAGACCGTGACAAT 3') and PA R10 (5' TGTCTTGGATCGGGTTGGCGCCGTAGCAGCTGGACCT-GGACCTCCTATCTCATAGCC 3'). The gene for VP22 was PCR amplified from pCR*T7/VP22-1 (Invitrogen) using



Figure I

DNA vaccines constructed in this study as in section 2.1. DNA vaccine expressing PA_{63} (pGPA) is a kind gift from Dennis Klinman (Food and Drug Administration, USA). (Abbreviations: P_{CMV} , CMV promoter; Sig, Signal sequence; BGH polyA, Bovine growth hormone polyadenylation signal).

primers VP22 F10 (5' CGGCGCCAACCCGATCCAAGACA 3') and VP22 R11 (5' CGC<u>GGATCC</u>TTACTCGACG-GGCCGTCTGGGGCGAGA 3'). The two sequences were then fused together using VP22 F10 and VP22 R11 by PCR fusion [28]. The PCR primers used to create the two gene fusions were designed to incorporate a linker sequence of Gly-Pro-Gly-Pro-Ala-Ala between the VP22 and PA₆₃ proteins, to allow folding of the fusion protein. Using restriction sites <u>Nhel</u> and <u>BamHL</u>, the PA₆₃ gene was exised from pGPA and the gene fusions were ligated into the vector to form pSTU-22-PA (N-terminal fusion) and pSTU-PA-22 (C-terminal fusion). These constructs were verified by sequencing and are schematically represented in figure 1.

The control DNA vaccine expressing VP22 only (pSTU22) has been previously described [26]. The plasmid DNA was prepared using Qiagen Endofree DNA purification columns (Qiagen Ltd).

2.2 Western blot analysis of expressed proteins

African Green Monkey Kidney COS-7 cells (European Collection of Animal Cell Cultures, Porton Down) were

plated at 1–5 × 10⁵ cells well⁻¹ into 6 well plates (Corning). Cells were transfected with 1 µg of plasmid DNA using the transfection reagent Polyfect (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Transfected cell lysates were separated by 4–20% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Tris-Glycine gel, Invitrogen), using XCell Sure-Lock[™] Mini-Cell apparatus (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Protein from the gel was then transferred to nitrocellulose by electroblotting (Invitrogen). An ECL Western blotting kit (Amersham Biosciences) was used with antibody to PA (rabbit polyclonal sera) or VP22 (rabbit polyclonal sera) to detect expression from DNA vaccines.

2.3 Vaccination of Balb/c mice

Groups of 10 female A/J mice (Harlan OLAC) were immunised with 1 µg of DNA coated onto gold particles and delivered using a Helios[™] gene gun (BioRad) as described previously [29]. Mice were immunised three times at twoweek intervals. Blood was taken from the tail vein prior to challenge for serum antibody analysis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

2.4 Measurement of anti-PA antibodies by ELISA

Microtitre plates were coated with 5 µg ml⁻¹ recombinant PA (Aldevron) in phosphate-buffered saline using 50 µl well-1 and incubated overnight at 4°C. Three columns on each plate were coated with anti-IgG (Fab) (Sigma) in order to produce a standard curve for quantification of IgG concentration. After washing three times with PBS containing 0.2% Tween-20, non-specific binding was blocked with 5% (w/v) powdered skimmed milk in PBS and the plates were incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. The plates were washed three times and serum was added at a starting dilution of 1:50 in blocking buffer, and doublediluted down the plate. IgG or isotype standards (Sigma), diluted in blocking buffer, were added to wells which had been coated with anti-IgG (Fab) (Sigma), and double diluted as before. Plates were incubated for 1.5 hours at 37°C before washing and the addition of goat anti-mouse IgG (or anti-mouse IgG isotype) conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Sigma), diluted in blocking buffer. Plates were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C, then washed 3 times before addition of the substrate ABTS (Sigma). Absorbance at 410 nm was measured after 20 minutes incubation at room temperature and analysed using Ascent software.

2.5 Challenge with B. anthracis

Three weeks after the final immunising dose, mice were challenged intraperitoneally with *B. anthracis* STI (Tox⁺ Cap⁻) spores. Sufficient spores for the challenge were removed from stock cultures, washed in sterile distilled water, and resuspended in PBS to a concentration of 7×10^5 spores ml⁻¹. Mice were challenged with 100 µl volumes containing 7×10^4 spores per mouse (equivalent to 70 50% lethal doses [LD₅₀s] [30]) and were monitored for 18 days post challenge to determine their protected status. Humane endpoints were strictly observed so that any animals displaying a collection of clinical signs that indicated a lethal infection were culled.

2.6 Statistical Methods

One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison post analysis test and statistical analysis of survival using the Mantel-Haenszel Logrank test were performed using GraphPad Prism version 3.02 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA <u>http://www.graph pad.com</u>.

3.0 Results

3.1 In vitro expression of DNA vaccines

DNA vaccines encoding PA₆₃, VP22-PA₆₃, PA₆₃-VP22 or VP22 (Figure 1) were transfected into African Green Monkey Kidney cells (COS-7). Cells were harvested and processed for Western blot analysis 48 hours post transfection. Cells transfected with the PA₆₃-encoding DNA vaccine expressed a protein of approximately 68 kDa that reacted with PA-specific antibody. Fusion of VP22 to either the N- terminal or C-terminal of PA_{63} resulted in a protein of approximately 90 kDa that reacted with both PA-specific antibody and VP22-specific antibody (Figure 2). Control cells, transfected with plasmid DNA expressing VP22 only expressed a protein of approximately 22 kDa that reacted with VP22-specific antibody. Some degradation of the PA₆₃ proteins was evident irrespective of whether fused to VP22 or not. However, the degraded fusion proteins were recognised by both the anti-PA and anti-VP22 antibodies suggesting that this degradation was not due to instability at the point of fusion of the two proteins.

3.2 Anti-PA antibody responses following gene gun immunisation

Groups of 10 female A/J mice were immunised three times by gene gun administration of 1 µg plasmid DNA at two weeks intervals. Serum samples were collected 17 days after the third immunisation (4 days before challenge). Sera from individual mice were assayed for PA-specific total IgG (Figure 3). Mice immunised with PA₆₃-expressing DNA vaccine produced a mean titre of 27,216 ng/ml total PA-specific IgG, compared with 18,823 ng/ml and 19,448 ng/ml for the VP22-PA₆₃ and PA₆₃-VP22 - expressing DNA vaccines respectively. These antibody titres of PA-specific total IgG did not differ significantly between the three groups (p > 0.05, One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison posthoc analysis).

3.3 Protection against anthrax spore challenge

Mice were challenged three weeks after the final dose with 70 50% lethal doses of *B. anthracis* strain STI by the intraperitoneal route. The DNA vaccine expressing PA_{63} conferred 70% survival to the immunised mice. In comparison, 80% and 50% of the mice survived following immunisation with the DNA vaccines expressing VP22-PA₆₃ and PA₆₃-VP22, respectively (Figure 4). Thus inclusion of the VP22 protein at either the N- or C-terminus of PA₆₃ did not significantly alter protection of the mice. All three vaccines offered a significant level of protection compared to naïve mice. Statistical analysis of survival was performed using the Mantel-Haenszel Logrank test (GraphPad Prism).

4.0 Discussion

The Herpes Simplex virus type I VP22 protein has been suggested to mediate intercellular spread by exit from cells in a golgi-independent manner and entry to adjacent cells by a non-endocytic mechanism [2]. However, *in vitro* studies of this protein remain inconclusive, with reports that the apparent effects of VP22 can be attributed to an artefact produced by methodology [1,10,31,32]. Despite the controversy surrounding *in vitro* studies, most *in vivo* work shows that VP22 has a beneficial effect, particularly in the gene therapy field. Fusion of VP22 to either the pro drug-activating enzyme thymidine kinase [3] or the





Figure 2

Western blot analysis of DNA vaccines. Membranes were probed with anti-PA antibody (A) or anti-VP22 antibody (B) as described in section 2.2. Cells were untransfected (1) or transfected with DNA vaccines expressing VP22 (2), PA_{63} (3), VP22- PA_{63} (4) or PA_{63} -VP22 (5).



Figure 3

A/J mice were immunised with DNA vaccines expressing PA_{63} , VP22- PA_{63} or PA_{63} -VP22. Anti-PA total IgG levels in the sera at day 38 were determined by ELISA. Bars represent the mean of each group, the error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. n = 10 mice per group.

transcription factor p53 [5] results in an improvement in their effectiveness. Similarly, inclusion of this protein within a DNA vaccine can increase immune responses. Antigens shown to benefit from fusion to VP22 include Yellow Fluorescent Protein (YFP) [24], Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein (EGFP) [26] and the human papillomavirus (HPV) E7 protein [23,25,33,34].

In this study, the VP22 protein has been fused to either the N- or C-termini of the Protective Antigen (PA) of *B. anthracis.* This antigen expressed from a DNA vaccine is protective against challenge with either lethal toxin (PA plus LF) in Balb/c mice [19,20] or spore challenge in New Zealand white rabbits [21]. We used an immunisation regimen and challenge dose of STI spores designed to offer significant but not full protection to anthrax chal-

lenge of A/J mice. This design would allow us to demonstrate any increased protection due to fusion of VP22 to PA within the DNA vaccine. Our results showed that the fusion of VP22 with PA₆₃ at either terminus failed to significantly enhance anti-PA antibody responses compared to the PA₆₃ DNA vaccine. Following challenge, all three DNA vaccines expressing either PA₆₃, VP22-PA₆₃ or PA₆₃-VP22 offered significant protection against 70 LD_{50} 's of *B*. anthracis compared to unimmunised control mice. However, the inclusion of VP22 did not significantly increase or decrease the protection afforded when compared to PA₆₃-expressing DNA vaccine alone. This suggests that the fusion of VP22 to either the N- or C-terminus of PA₆₃ within a DNA vaccine, does not alter either the antibody response elicited in vivo or the protection afforded to A/J mice following spore challenge. The longevity of the



Figure 4

Numbers of mice surviving 18 days post challenge with 70 LD_{50} s of *B. anthracis* STI spores after immunisation with DNA vaccines expressing PA₆₃, VP22-PA₆₃ or PA₆₃-VP22. n = 10 mice per group.

immune response or the ability of these DNA vaccines to initiate long-term protection was not evaluated in this study.

The failure of VP22 fusion to increase antibody responses to PA_{63} contrasts with other YFP, EGFP or HPV E7 antigens expressed from DNA vaccines where improvement is evident [23-26]. Furthermore, the failure to increase protection against *B. anthracis* challenge contrasts with studies involving DNA vaccines expressing HPV E7 protein where protective anti-tumour immunity was increased with VP22 fusion [33,34]. However, the DNA vaccines expressing the reporter proteins YFP and EGFP lack secretion signals and the level of enhancement afforded to the HPV E7 protein following fusion with VP22 was equivalent to that afforded by inclusion of a secretion signal [23]. The PA_{63} expressing DNA vaccine used here does contain a secretion signal.

The inclusion of a secretion signal is a commonly used strategy for DNA vaccination as liberation of the protein from the cell can increase immune responses [35-37]. The inclusion of VP22 within a DNA vaccine may enable non-secreted proteins to exit the cell thus increasing their exposure to antigen presenting cells such as dendritic cells. This

is consistent with the hypothesis that VP22 does not mediate intercellular spread as first described, but rather is liberated from cells possibly by cell death [1]. Apart from liberation of the expressed protein from the cell, VP22 may enhance DNA vaccines in other ways. For example, the fusion of immunostimulatory sequences to antigens expressed from DNA vaccines has been shown to provide cognate T cell help [38]. In this study, a DNA fusion vaccine against B cell tumours uses the non-toxic C fragment of tetanus toxin. So it is possible that fusion of VP22 to antigens encoded by DNA vaccines may improve immunogenicity by provision of cognate T cell help.

5.0 Conclusion

This study investigates the inclusion of the VP22 protein in a DNA vaccine expressing PA₆₃ of *B. anthracis*. The VP22 protein has been shown previously to enhance the performance of DNA vaccines expressing non-secreted proteins. In this case, the PA₆₃-expressing DNA vaccine contains the human plasminogen activator signal sequence [19]. Inclusion of VP22 within this DNA vaccine construct did not enhance anti-PA antibody responses or offer an increase in the level of protection afforded to A/J mice following anthrax spore challenge. This suggests that although VP22 can improve responses to DNA vaccines encoding non-secreted proteins, it does not improve responses to a PA_{63} -expressing DNA vaccine encoding a secretion signal.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SDP, HCF-S, HSG, AEE-L carried out the studies. FKS, RJP participated in the design of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the Tenovus Laboratory (Southampton University Hospitals Trust) and the Leukaemia Research Fund. Thanks also to Emma Waters, Steve Elvin, Tony Stagg, Warren Kitchen, Stefan Mills, Sarah Hayes, Sara Browning, Angela Scutt and Clare Burton for excellent technical assistance. Thanks also to Helen Burnell for advice and Lyn O'Brien for proof reading.

References

- 1. Leifert JA, Whitton JL: "Translocatory proteins" and "protein transduction domains": a critical analysis of their biological effects and the underlying mechanisms. *Mol Ther* 2003, 8:13-20.
- 2. Elliott G, O'Hare P: Intercellular trafficking and protein delivery by a herpesvirus structural protein. *Cell* 1997, 88:223-233.
- Dilber MS, Phelan A, Aints A, Mohamed AJ, Elliott G, Smith Cl, O'Hare P: Intercellular delivery of thymidine kinase prodrug activating enzyme by the herpes simplex virus protein, VP22. Gene Ther 1999, 6:12-21.
- Phelan A, Elliott G, O'Hare P: Intercellular delivery of functional p53 by the herpesvirus protein VP22. Nat Biotechnol 1998, 16:440-443.
- Wills KN, Atencio IA, Avanzini JB, Neuteboom S, Phelan A, Philopena J, Sutjipto S, Vaillancourt MT, Wen SF, Ralston RO, Johnson DE: Intratumoral spread and increased efficacy of a p53-VP22 fusion protein expressed by a recombinant adenovirus. J Virol 2001, 75:8733-8741.
- Zender L, Kock R, Eckhard M, Frericks B, Gosling T, Gebhardt T, Drobek S, Galanski M, Kuhnel F, Manns M, Kubicka S: Gene therapy by intrahepatic and intratumoral trafficking of p53-VP22 induces regression of liver tumors. *Gastroenterology* 2002, 123:608-618.
- Lai Z, Han I, Zirzow G, Brady RO, Reiser J: Intercellular delivery of a herpes simplex virus VP22 fusion protein from cells infected with lentiviral vectors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000, 97:11297-11302.
- Cheng WF, Hung CH, Chai CY, Hsu KF, He L, Ling M, Wu TC: Enhancement of sindbis virus self-replicating RNA vaccine potency by linkage of herpes simplex virus type I VP22 protein to antigen. J Virol 2001, 75:2368-2376.
- Cheng WF, Hung CF, Hsu KF, Chai CY, He L, Polo JM, Slater LA, Ling M, Wu TC: Cancer Immunotherapy Using Sindbis Virus Replicon Particles Encoding a VP22--Antigen Fusion. Hum Gene Ther 2002, 13:553-568.
- Lundberg M, Johansson M: Is VP22 nuclear homing an artifact? Nat Biotechnol 2001, 19:713-714.
- 11. Elliott G, O'Hare P: Intercellular trafficking of VP22-GFP fusion proteins. Gene Ther 1999, 6:149-151.
- Falnes PO, Wesche J, Olsnes S: Ability of the Tat basic domain and VP22 to mediate cell binding, but not membrane translocation of the diphtheria toxin A-fragment. *Biochemistry* 2001, 40:4349-4358.
- McBride BW, Mogg A, Telfer JL, Lever MS, Miller J, Turnbull PC, Baillie L: Protective efficacy of a recombinant protective antigen against Bacillus anthracis challenge and assessment of immunological markers. *Vaccine* 1998, 16:810-817.

- Ivins BE, Pitt ML, Fellows PF, Farchaus JW, Benner GE, Waag DM, Little SF, Anderson GWJ, Gibbs PH, Friedlander AM: Comparative efficacy of experimental anthrax vaccine candidates against inhalation anthrax in rhesus macaques. Vaccine 1998, 16:1141-1148.
- Mikesell P, Ivins BE, Ristroph JD, Dreier TM: Evidence for plasmidmediated toxin production in Bacillus anthracis. Infect Immun 1983, 39:371-376.
- Klimpel KR, Molloy SS, Thomas G, Leppla SH: Anthrax toxin protective antigen is activated by a cell surface protease with the sequence specificity and catalytic properties of furin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1992, 89:10277-10281.
- Friedlander AM: Macrophages are sensitive to anthrax lethal toxin through an acid-dependent process. J Biol Chem 1986, 261:7123-7126.
- Petosa C, Collier RJ, Klimpel KR, Leppla SH, Liddington RC: Crystal structure of the anthrax toxin protective antigen. Nature 1997, 385:833-838.
- Gu ML, Leppla SH, Klinman DM: Protection against anthrax toxin by vaccination with a DNA plasmid encoding anthrax protective antigen. *Vaccine* 1999, 17:340-344.
 Price BM, Liner AL, Park S, Leppla SH, Mateczun A, Galloway DR:
- Price BM, Liner AL, Park S, Leppla SH, Mateczun A, Galloway DR: Protection against anthrax lethal toxin challenge by genetic immunisation with a plasmid encoding the lethal factor protein. Infect Immun 2001:4509-4515.
- Riemenschneider J, Garrison A, Geisbert J, Jahrling P, Hevey M, Negley D, Schmaljohn A, Lee J, Hart MK, Vanderzanden L, Custer D, Bray M, Ruff A, Ivins B, Bassett A, Rossi C, Schmaljohn C: Comparison of individual and combination DNA vaccines for B. anthracis, Ebola virus, Marburg virus and Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus. Vaccine 2003, 21:4071-4080.
- Hermanson G, Whitlow V, Parker S, Tonsky K, Rusalov D, Ferrari M, Lalor P, Komai M, Mere R, Bell M, Brenneman K, Mateczun A, Evans T, Kaslow D, Galloway D, Hobart P: A cationic lipid-formulated plasmid DNA vaccine confers sustained antibody-mediated protection against aerosolized anthrax spores. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004, 101:13601-13606.
- Michel N, Osen W, Gissmann L, Schumacher TN, Zentgraf H, Muller M: Enhanced Immunogenicity of HPV 16 E7 Fusion Proteins in DNA Vaccination. Virology 2002, 294:47-59.
- 24. Oliveira SC, Harms JS, Afonso RR, Splitter GA: A genetic immunization adjuvant system based on BVP22-antigen fusion. Hum Gene Ther 2001, 12:1353-1359.
- Hung CF, Cheng WF, Chai CY, Hsu KF, He L, Ling M, Wu TC: Improving vaccine potency through intercellular spreading and enhanced MHC class I presentation of antigen. J Immunol 2001, 166:5733-5740.
- Perkins SD, Hartley MG, Lukaszewski RA, Phillpotts RJ, Stevenson FK, Bennett AM: VP22 enhances antibody responses from DNA vaccines but not by intercellular spread. Vaccine 2005, 23:1931-1940.
- Kueltzo LA, Normand N, O'Hare P, Middaugh CR: Conformational lability of herpesvirus protein VP22. J Biol Chem 2000, 275:33213-33221.
- Hobert O: PCR fusion-based approach to create reporter gene constructs for expression analysis in transgenic C. elegans. Biotechniques 2002, 32:728-730.
- Bennett AM, Phillpotts RJ, Perkins SD, Jacobs SC, Williamson ED: Gene gun mediated vaccination is superior to manual delivery for immunisation with DNA vaccines expressing protective antigens from Yersinia pestis or Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis virus. Vaccine 1999, 18:588-596.
- Beedham RJ, Turnbull PC, Williamson ED: Passive transfer of protection against Bacillus anthracis infection in a murine model. Vaccine 2001, 19:4409-4416.
- 31. Lundberg M, Johansson M: Positively charged DNA-binding proteins cause apparent cell membrane translocation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2002, **291**:367-371.
- Lundberg M, Wikstrom S, Johansson M: Cell surface adherence and endocytosis of protein transduction domains. *Mol Ther* 2003, 8:143-150.
- Hung CF, He L, Juang J, Lin TJ, Ling M, Wu TC: Improving DNA Vaccine Potency by Linking Marek's Disease Virus Type I VP22 to an Antigen. J Virol 2002, 76:2676-2682.
- Kim TW, Hung CF, Kim JW, Juang J, Chen PJ, He L, Boyd DA, Wu TC: Vaccination with a DNA vaccine encoding herpes sim-

plex virus type I VP22 linked to antigen generates long-term antigen-specific CD8-positive memory T cells and protective immunity. *Hum Gene Ther* 2004, **15**:167-177.

- Bennett AM, Perkins SD, Holley JL: DNA vaccination protects against botulinum neurotoxin type F. Vaccine 2003, 21:3110-3117.
- You Z, Huang X, Hester J, Toh HC, Chen SY: Targeting dendritic cells to enhance DNA vaccine potency. *Cancer Res* 2001, 61:3704-3711.
- Rice J, King CA, Spellerberg MB, Fairweather N, Stevenson FK: Manipulation of pathogen-derived genes to influence antigen presentation via DNA vaccines. Vaccine 1999, 17:3030-3038.
- King CA, Spellerberg MB, Zhu D, Rice J, Sahota SS, Thompsett AR, Hamblin TJ, Radl J, Stevenson FK: DNA vaccines with single-chain Fv fused to fragment C of tetanus toxin induce protective immunity against lymphoma and myeloma. Nat Med 1998, 4:1281-1286.

