



Identification of a Risk Locus at 7p22.3 for Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder in East Asian Populations

Wenqiang Li^{1,2†}, Chu-Yi Zhang^{3,4†}, Jiewei Liu^{3†}, Fanglin Guan⁵, Minglong Shao^{1,2}, Luwen Zhang^{1,2}, Qing Liu^{1,2}, Yongfeng Yang^{1,2}, Xi Su^{1,2}, Yan Zhang^{1,2}, Xiao Xiao³, Xiong-Jian Luo³, Ming Li^{3*} and Luxian Lv^{1,2,6*}

¹Henan Mental Hospital, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, China, ²Henan Key Lab of Biological Psychiatry, International Joint Research Laboratory for Psychiatry and Neuroscience of Henan, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, China, ³Key Laboratory of Animal Models and Human Disease Mechanisms of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and Yunnan Province, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China, ⁴Kunming College of Life Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China, ⁵Department of Forensic Psychiatry, School of Medicine and Forensics, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, China, ⁶Henan Province People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Cunyou Zhao, Southern Medical University, China

Reviewed by:

Fuquan Zhang, Nanjing Medical University, China Hao Yu, Jining Medical University, China

*Correspondence:

Ming Li limingkiz@mail.kiz.ac.cn Luxian Lv lvx928@126.com [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Behavioral and Psychiatric Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 05 October 2021 Accepted: 23 November 2021 Published: 17 December 2021

Citation:

Li W, Zhang C-Y, Liu J, Guan F, Shao M, Zhang L, Liu Q, Yang Y, Su X, Zhang Y, Xiao X, Luo X-J, Li M and Lv L (2021) Identification of a Risk Locus at 7p22.3 for Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder in East Asian Populations. Front. Genet. 12:789512. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.789512 **Background:** Shared psychopathological features and mechanisms have been observed between schizophrenia (SZ) and bipolar disorder (BD), but their common risk genes and full genetic architectures remain to be fully characterized. The genome-wide association study (GWAS) datasets offer the opportunity to explore this scientific question using combined genetic data from enormous samples, ultimately allowing a better understanding of the onset and development of these illnesses.

Methods: We have herein performed a genome-wide meta-analysis in two GWAS datasets of SZ and BD respectively (24,600 cases and 40,012 controls in total, discovery sample), followed by replication analyses in an independent sample of 4,918 SZ cases and 5,506 controls of Han Chinese origin (replication sample). The risk SNPs were then explored for their correlations with mRNA expression of nearby genes in multiple expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) datasets.

Results: The single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs1637749 and rs3800908 at 7p22.3 region were significant in both discovery and replication samples, and exhibited genome-wide significant associations when combining all East Asian SZ and BD samples (29,518 cases and 45,518 controls). The risk SNPs were also significant in GWAS of SZ and BD among Europeans. Both risk SNPs significantly predicted lower expression of MRM2 in the whole blood and brain samples in multiple datasets, which was consistent with its reduced mRNA level in the brains of SZ patients compared with normal controls. The risk SNPs were also associated with MAD1L1 expression in the whole blood sample.

Discussion: We have identified a novel genome-wide risk locus associated with SZ and BD in East Asians, adding further support for the putative common genetic risk of the two illnesses. Our study also highlights the necessity and importance of mining public datasets to explore risk genes for complex psychiatric diseases.

Keywords: schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, GWAS, East asian, shared genetic risk, 7p22.3

1

INTRODUCTION

Within family members of a proband with schizophrenia (SZ), there is normally an increased prevalence of bipolar disorder (BD), and vice versa (Berrettini, 2000). Recent analyses suggested a substantial overlap of genetic risk factors between SZ and BD (Lichtenstein et al., 2009), and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have revealed multiple genomic loci showing significant associations with both illnesses in European populations (Ruderfer et al., 2014; Bipolar Disorder Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2018), such as loci of CACNA1C, VRK2, TRANK1, ZNF804A, NCAN and the extended MHC region (Ripke et al., 2020; Mullins et al., 2021). Therefore, GWAS resources offer important opportunities to conduct genome-wide screenings of the shared genetic risk between SZ and BD, which may provide valuable insight for future studies (Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2013; Ruderfer et al., 2014; Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2018; Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2019).

However, most of the GWAS of SZ and BD so far were conducted in populations of European ancestry, and the overlapped genetic risk across SZ and BD in distinct populations, e.g., East Asians, remains less characterized. In 2019, Lam et al. performed an SZ GWAS in East Asians, and reported multiple genomic loci showing genome-wide significant associations (Lam et al., 2019). We have also performed a BD GWAS in Han Chinese population, and demonstrated significant genetic correlations between SZ and BD using both linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC) and polygenic risk score (PRS) analyses (Li et al., 2021). It is hence of great interest to use these resources to examine if there are loci showing significant associations with both SZ and BD in East Asian populations. In this study, we have conducted a genomewide meta-analysis of GWAS summary statistics of SZ and BD in East Asians, followed by replication analyses in independent samples. Our study found that common variants in the intron region of MAD1L1, which did not show genome-wide significant association in East Asians previously, reached genome-wide significance in the cross-disorder meta-analysis. This study hence provides useful information regarding the overlapped genetic basis across SZ and BD, and illustrates an example of utilizing available GWAS resources to dissect this scientific question.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethnic Statement and Study Approval

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University and the ethics committees of all participating hospitals and institutes. All participants provided informed consents before any study related procedures were performed.

GWAS Datasets Meta-analysis

We performed a genome-wide meta-analysis through combining a SZ GWAS and a non-overlapped BD GWAS in East Asian populations (Lam et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021), yielding a total of 24,600 cases and 40,012 controls. For the SZ GWAS, we used the recently published data from a meta-analysis of multiple independent GWAS datasets by Lam et al., which included 22,778 cases and 35,362 controls of East Asian orgins (Lam et al., 2019). Diagnoses were made based on the consensus of at least two experienced psychiatrists according to the criteria for SZ from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV (DSM-IV). All controls were clinically evaluated to be free of psychiatric disorders or family history of such disorders (including first-, second- and third-degree relatives). For the BD GWAS, we utilized summary statistics data from a recently published GWAS, which comprised 1,822 BD cases and 4,650 controls of Han Chinese origin (Li et al., 2021). Each BD patient was diagnosed based on a consensus of at least two experienced psychiatrists, and their diagnoses were further confirmed through extensive clinical interviews based on the DSM-IV Axis/Disorders, Patient Version (SCID-P). The controls had no current serious medical illnesses or disabilities, or any personal or family history of psychiatric illnesses. Detailed description of the samples, data quality, genomic controls and statistical analyses can be found in the original publications (Lam et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021).

In both GWAS, samples with poor call rates, sex discordance and/or abnormal heterozygosity as well as genomic signatures indicating non-East Asian ancestry were excluded, and imputation was then performed using the prephasing imputation stepwise approach implemented in SHAPEIT and IMPUTE2 (Howie et al., 2009; Delaneau et al., 2013), with reference set from the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 reference panel (a total of 2,504 subjects, including 504 East Asian individuals) (Genomes Project Consortium et al., 2015). In each GWAS, logistic regression were analyzed for the SNPdiagnostic associations, and principal components associated with diagnostic status (p < 0.05) were included as covariates to adjust for possible population stratification. For meta-analysis of GWAS summary statistics, we retrieved odds ratio (OR) and standard error (SE) of each sample to calculate the pooled OR and the overall 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using an inverse variance method under the fixed effect model implemented in PLINK v1.9 (Purcell et al., 2007). Regional plots were made using (http://locuszoom.sph.umich.edu/locuszoom/) LocusZoom (Pruim et al., 2010).

Linkage Disequilibrium Score Regression Analysis

LDSC was applied to assess potential population stratification and to estimate SNP heritability of the meta-analyzed summary statistics (Bulik-Sullivan B. et al., 2015; Bulik-Sullivan B. K. et al., 2015). Before LDSC analysis, we removed SNPs with A/T or G/C alleles in the whole genome as well as SNPs in the highlycomplicated extended MHC region (chr6:25M-35M, hg19). The pre-computed LD scores for East Asians in the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 were downloaded from https://data. broadinstitute.org/alkesgroup/LDSCORE/ (Genomes Project Consortium et al., 2015). In the heritability estimation, we set the combined population prevalence of both illnesses at 0.015 ('--pop-prev' flag).

Replication and Technical Validation

Significant SNPs of interest in the discovery GWAS meta-analysis were further validated in independent replication samples from Han Chinese (including 4,918 SZ cases and 5,506 controls), which have been included and described in recent studies (Li et al., 2020; Liu J. W. et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2021). In brief, diagnostic criteria of SZ in the replication sample were the same as those in the discovery SZ samples. SNPs were genotyped using the Illumina Global Screening Array (GSA) and Infinium Asian Screening Array (ASA) chips following the manufacturer's instructions. Quality control (QC) and statistical analyses were performed seperately in each genotyping platform, and the statistical analyses were conducted using the same criteria as the discovery GWAS, which have been described in previous studies (Liu J. W. et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2021).

Expression Quantitative Trait Loci (eQTL) Analyses and Functional Predictions

The eQTL analyses were performed using datasets from Genotype-Tissue Expression project (GTEx; https://www.gtexportal.org/) (GTEx Consortium et al., 2017), eQTLGen (https://www.eqtlgen.org/cis-eqtls.html) (Vosa et al., 2021), ROSMAP (http://mostafavilab.stat.ubc.ca/xQTLServe/) (Ng et al., 2017), BrainSeq (http://eqtl.brainseq.org/phase1/eqtl/) (Jaffe et al., 2018) and CommonMind Consortium (Fromer et al., 2016).

Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) Analyses and Functional Predictions

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) of the risk SNPs were calculated based on the East Asian genotype data from 1000 Genomes Project (Genomes Project Consortium et al., 2015). The HaploReg v4.1 dataset (https://pubs.broadinstitute.org/ mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php) (Ward and Kellis, 2012), which was originally derived from the ROADMAP Epigenomics projects and Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) datasets (Encode Project Consortium, 2012; Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium et al., 2015), was used to examine the SNPs overlapped with open-chromatin peaks, chromatin-immunoprecipitation-sequencing (ChIP-Seq) peaks of transcription factors and histone modifications.

RESULTS

We have performed a cross-diagnosis GWAS meta-analysis of SZ and BD given their putative shared genetic basis. We collected the summary statistics of high-confidence variants (INFO value > 0.8) from the SZ GWAS in East Asian populations (22,778 cases and 35,362 controls) (Lam et al., 2019), and conducted a metaanalysis combining these samples with the non-overlapped BD GWAS samples of Han Chinese origin (1,822 cases and 4,650 controls) (Li et al., 2021). About 4.5 million autosomal biallelic SNPs in summary statistics of both samples were meta-analyzed. The genomic inflation λ was 1.24, and the $\lambda_{1.000}$ was less than 1.01. We then conducted the LDSC analysis based on precomputed LD scores for East Asians in the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 (Bulik-Sullivan B. et al., 2015; Bulik-Sullivan B. K. et al., 2015). The LDSC intercept was 0.9902 (SE = 0.015) and the attenuation ratio was less than 0, suggesting that the observed genomic inflation in our GWAS meta-analysis was attributed to polygenicity of the phenotype rather than population stratification. The LDSC estimated that the SNP heritability in our GWAS meta-analysis was 0.28 (SE = 0.024) on the liability scale assuming that the prevalence was 1.5%.

Manhattan and Q-Q plots of meta-analysis are shown in **Figure 1** and **Supplementary Figure S1**, respectively. In this cross-disorder GWAS meta-analysis, we detected 1,320 SNPs surpassing the threshold for genome-wide significance ($p \le 5.00 \times 10^{-8}$, **Supplementary Table S1**). These SNPs were mapped to seventeen physically distinct genomic regions (**Figure 1** and **Table 1**). Fifteen out of these seventeen risk loci were previously identified to be genome-wide significant in East Asian SZ GWAS (Lam et al., 2019), and two were novel risk loci (the 7p22.3 and 8p21.2 loci, colored by red in **Figure 1**).

We then carefully examined the 7p22.3 and 8p21.2 loci. We noticed that at 7p22.3 locus there were two SNPs (rs1637749 and rs3800908) in the intron region of MAD1L1 showing genomewide significant associations in the cross-disorder GWAS metaanalysis. They located ~69.4 Kb away from each other, and were in moderate LD in East Asians ($r^2 = 0.502$ according to genotype data in 1000 Genomes Project). Notably, both rs1637749 and rs3800908 showed nominal associations with SZ or BD in East Asians (rs1637749, OR = 1.079, $p = 5.13 \times 10^{-6}$ for SZ; OR = 1.197, $p = 3.89 \times 10^{-5}$ for BD; rs3800908, OR = 1.070, $p = 1.05 \times$ 10^{-6} for SZ; OR = 1.118, $p = 7.86 \times 10^{-3}$ for BD; **Table 1**), and they achieved genome-wide significance in the cross-diagnosis metaanalysis (rs1637749, OR = 1.093, $p = 1.09 \times 10^{-8}$; rs3800908, OR = 1.074, $p = 4.75 \times 10^{-8}$; Figure 2). Meanwhile, one SNP rs117325001 at the 8p21.2 locus showed genome-wide significant association in the cross-disorder GWAS metaanalysis (OR = 1.075, $p = 3.20 \times 10^{-8}$, Table 1). While this SNP showed marginal genome-wide association in SZ GWAS $(p = 6.62 \times 10^{-8}, \text{ OR} = 1.077)$, its association with BD in the previous GWAS was not statistically significant (p = 0.179, OR = 1.056).

We then performed replication analyses of the three risk SNPs in an independent sample of 4,918 SZ cases and 5,506 controls of Han Chinese ancestry to test their associations with SZ. We found that both SNPs (rs1637749 and rs3800908) at 7p22.3 locus were nominally (or mariginally) associated with SZ in the same direction of allelic effects as the discovery meta-analysis (rs1637749, OR = 1.097, $p = 7.59 \times 10^{-3}$; rs3800908, OR = 1.066, $p = 5.49 \times 10^{-2}$). The 8p21.2 locus SNP rs117325001 was dropped out from further analysis as its association with SZ



was not significant (OR = 1.033, p = 0.331). Meta-analysis in the pooled sample including the discovery GWAS datasets and the replication samples (a total of 29,518 cases and 45,518 controls) found that both rs1637749 and rs3800908 at 7p22.3 locus showed stronger and genome-wide significant associations in East Asian populations (rs1637749, OR = 1.094, $p = 2.79 \times 10^{-10}$; rs3800908, OR = 1.073, $p = 7.47 \times 10^{-9}$; Figure 3). Intriguingly, these two SNPs also exhibited significant associations with SZ (rs1637749, OR = 1.062, $p = 1.10 \times 10^{-9}$; rs3800908, OR = 1.079, $p = 3.31 \times 10^{-9}$ 10⁻¹⁵) (Pardinas et al., 2018) and with BD (rs1637749, OR = 1.032, $p = 1.00 \times 10^{-3}$; rs3800908, OR = 1.052, $p = 7.35 \times 10^{-8}$) (Mullins et al., 2021) in Europeans, although both SNPs showed divergence in allelic frequencies between East Asians and Europeans (rs1637749 A-allele, 33% in East Asians versus 62% in Europeans; rs3800908 C-allele, 41% in East Asians versus 57% in Europeans) according to 1000 Genomes Project.

Since both rs1637749 and rs3800908 were located in the intron regions of MAD1L1, we further analyzed the associations between these SNPs and MAD1L1 mRNA expression in various human tissues using GTEx dataset (**Supplementary Figure S2**) (GTEx Consortium et al., 2017), and found that both rs1637749 and rs3800908 were associated with MAD1L1 mRNA expression in peripheral tissues (e.g., whole blood, n = 670 individuals, rs1637749, p = 0.02; rs3800908, $p = 1.50 \times 10^{-4}$), which were then replicated in larger eQTLGen whole blood

samples (n = 31,684 individuals, rs1637749, $p = 3.57 \times 10^{-26}$; rs3800908, $p = 3.50 \times 10^{-139}$) (Vosa et al., 2021). However, neither of them showed any significant eQTL associations with MAD1L1 in brain tissues in either GTEx, ROSMAP, BrainSeq or CommonMind Consortium.

In addition, we found that rs1637749 and rs3800908 were significantly associated with the mRNA expression of MRM2 in multiple human tissues using GTEx dataset (Supplementary Figure S3), a gene in the 5' upstream of MAD1L1. For example, the risk alleles of both SNPs predicted lower mRNA levels of MRM2 in the whole blood in GTEx dataset (n = 670individuals, rs1637749, $p = 5.10 \times 10^{-6}$; rs3800908, $p = 7.30 \times 10^{-6}$ 10^{-4}), which were also replicated in eQTLGen sample (*n* = 31,684 individuals, rs1637749, $p = 1.07 \times 10^{-66}$; rs3800908, $p = 1.52 \times$ 10^{-45}). More intriguingly, the risk SNPs were significantly associated with reduced MRM2 expression in multiple independent brain eQTL samples from GTEx (cortex, n = 205individuals, rs1637749, $p = 2.40 \times 10^{-6}$, rs3800908, $p = 5.60 \times$ 10^{-4} ; hippocampus, n = 165 individuals, rs1637749, $p = 8.20 \times$ 10^{-6} , rs3800908, p = 0.023; Supplementary Table S2), ROSMAP (DLPFC, n = 494 individuals, rs1637749, $p = 6.96 \times 10^{-17}$, rs3800908, $p = 7.05 \times 10^{-11}$; Supplementary Table S2), BrainSeq (DLPFC, n = 412 individuals, rs1637749, $p = 1.50 \times$ 10⁻⁴; Supplementary Table S2) or CommonMind Consortium (DLPFC, n = 467 individuals, rs1637749, $p = 4.76 \times 10^{-3}$,

-						-			-					
Loci	Nearest gene	CHR	POS	SNP	A1/ A2	SZ GWAS			BD GWAS			GWAS meta-analysis		
						OR	SE	p-value	OR	SE	<i>p</i> -value	OR	SE	p-value
1p34.1	DPH2	1	44440146	rs4660761	G/A	1.094	0.015	5.08 × 10 ⁻⁹	1.094	0.046	5.21 × 10 ⁻²	1.094	0.015	7.33 × 10 ⁻¹⁰
2p16.1	VRK2	2	58383820	rs7596038	C/T	1.129	0.014	1.08 × 10 ⁻¹⁷	1.042	0.040	0.309	1.119	0.013	3.31 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
2q33.1	SPATS2L	2	201176071	rs17592552	C/T	1.143	0.018	1.50 × 10 ⁻¹³	1.042	0.053	0.440	1.132	0.017	3.93 × 10 ⁻¹³
3p21.31	CACNA2D2	3	50440490	rs77483950	A/G	1.116	0.018	6.84 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.008	0.052	0.877	1.104	0.017	3.82 × 10 ⁻⁹
3p21.2	DOCK3	3	51043599	rs76442143	T/C	1.118	0.018	6.40 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.047	0.051	0.371	1.110	0.017	8.86 × 10 ⁻¹⁰
3q22.3	STAG1	3	136137422	rs10935182	G/A	1.112	0.017	7.08 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.060	0.052	0.261	1.107	0.016	5.19 × 10 ⁻¹⁰
3q26.1	TOMM22P6	3	161831675	rs4856763	G/A	1.091	0.014	1.73 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.034	0.040	0.409	1.085	0.013	3.03 × 10 ⁻¹⁰
3q26.33	SOX2-OT	3	180789970	rs13091952	T/C	1.131	0.021	3.07 × 10 ⁻⁹	1.015	0.060	0.800	1.118	0.020	1.30 × 10 ⁻⁸
4q34.2	GPM6A	4	176728614	rs13142920	C/A	1.091	0.015	4.85×10^{-9}	1.042	0.042	0.329	1.086	0.014	5.14×10^{-9}
7p22.3	MAD1L1	7	2228848	rs1637749	A/G	1.079	0.017	5.13 × 10 ^{−6}	1.197	0.044	3.89 × 10 ⁻⁵	1.093	0.016	1.09 × 10 ^{−8}
		7	2159437	rs3800908	C/T	1.069	0.014	1.05 × 10 ⁻⁶	1.118	0.042	7.86 × 10 ⁻³	1.073	0.013	4.75 × 10 ^{−8}
8p21.2	BNIP3L	8	26242272	rs117325001	T/G	1.077	0.014	6.62×10^{-8}	1.056	0.041	0.179	1.075	0.013	3.20 × 10 ^{−8}
8p11.23	LETM2	8	38259481	rs11986274	T/C	1.084	0.014	1.44 × 10 ⁻⁸	1.054	0.088	0.556	1.083	0.014	1.36 × 10 ⁻⁸
10q24.32	WBP1L	10	104536360	rs4147157	G/A	1.120	0.014	1.32 × 10 ⁻¹⁵	1.114	0.041	7.68 × 10 ^{−3}	1.120	0.013	3.63 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
12q23.3	WSCD2	12	108609634	rs10861879	A/G	1.085	0.014	1.18 × 10 ⁻⁸	1.020	0.040	0.626	1.077	0.013	2.79×10^{-8}
12q24.31	MPHOSPH9	12	123644043	rs61041384	C/T	1.118	0.015	1.13 × 10 ⁻¹³	1.054	0.045	0.236	1.112	0.014	1.37 × 10 ⁻¹³
13q13.1	FRY	13	32755257	rs9567380	G/A	1.095	0.015	1.45 × 10 ⁻⁹	0.948	0.044	0.225	1.091	0.014	8.61 × 10 ⁻¹⁰
18q23	KCNG2	18	77622879	rs28735056	G/A	1.097	0.014	1.30 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.051	0.040	0.221	1.092	0.014	9.59 × 10 ⁻¹¹

TABLE 1 Summary of the association results of seventeen independent leading SNPs with $p < 5.00 \times 10^{-8}$ identified by the GWAS meta-analysis.

Abbreviation: CHR, chromosome; POS, position; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; A1, effect allele; A2, non-effect allele; OR, odds ratio. The gene loci reached a genome-wide significance were marked in bold.



East Asian subjects in 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3. The red line shows the threshold for genome-wide significance ($p = 5.00 \times 10^{-8}$).



rs3800908, $p = 7.84 \times 10^{-4}$; **Supplementary Table S2**). Notably, according to Jaffe et al. study (Jaffe et al., 2018), the MRM2 expression was also significantly decreased in the brains of schizophrenia patients compared with controls in the BrainSeq dataset (DLPFC, 155 cases and 196 controls, $p = 6.09 \times 10^{-4}$; data from http://eqtl.brainseq.org/phase1/sz/plots/ ENSG00000122687), which was also replicated in the CommonMind Consortium dataset (DLPFC, 159 cases and 172 controls, p = 0.0223; data from http://eqtl.brainseq.org/phase1/sz/plots/ENSG00000122687), and in line with the results of eQTL analyses.

A detailed LD examination of rs1637749 and rs3800908 in East Asians revealed moderate LD ($r^2 = 0.502$); while there were no SNPs showing high LD with rs1637749, 10 SNPs showed high LD with rs3800908 in East Asians ($r^2 \ge 0.8$, Supplementary Figure S4). As both rs1637749 and rs3800908 (and their high LD-associated SNPs) showed eQTL associations with gene expression, we therefore attempted to examine their potential functional impacts by assessing their overlap with open chromatin regions depicted by DNase I hypersensitivity, and with regions of active histone H3 lysine modifications (e.g., H3K4me1, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac) in HaploReg (Ward and Kellis, 2012) (Supplementary Figure S4). We identified several SNPs showing spatial overlap with regulatory markers in brain tissues, and they could also bind transcription factors in ChIP-seq experiments and their different alleles led to altered regulatory motifs. Intriguingly, these potential "regulatory" SNPs included (but were not restricted to) rs10224497 and rs3800908.

DISCUSSION

Both SZ and BD are highly heritable, and they have exhibited substantially shared genetic basis in prior studies among European populations (Lichtenstein et al., 2009; Ruderfer et al., 2014; Bipolar Disorder Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2018). Previous study using LDSC and PRS also revealed significant genetic correlation between SZ and BD in East Asians (Li et al., 2021). To date, cross-disorder analyses have been conducted in European samples and genes such as NEK4, GNL3, NCAN, and ZNF804A etc. were found to be risk genes for both SZ and BD (Ruderfer et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2017; Bipolar Disorder Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2018; Yang et al., 2020), but genes affecting the risk of both disorders in East Asian populations remain largely unclear. As accumulating GWAS studies of psychiatric disorders in East Asian populations are being published (Li et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017; Ikeda et al., 2018; Ikeda et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2021), we sought to address this question through mining the available datasets.

In this study, we performed cross-diagnosis GWAS metaanalysis of SZ and BD in East Asian populations. Previous studies reported 21 independent GWAS risk loci for SZ (Lam et al., 2019), and we found that 15 of them were also genome-wide significant in the meta-analysis, including the previously known loci at VRK2, CACNA2D2, DOCK3, STAG1, WBP1L and KCNG2 etc. Another four SZ GWAS loci (PPARGC1A, MEAT6, LOC102724623 and PQLC1) were not included in the current meta-analysis as their leading SNPs (or their LD indexed SNPs) were not genotyped or imputed in the BD GWAS sample. The other two SZ GWAS loci (DGKI and YWHAE) were not significant in our cross-diagnosis analysis, as their allelic effects in SZ GWAS and in BD GWAS were in opposite directions.

Notably, the current analysis has identified a novel risk locus at 7p22.3 for SZ and BD in East Asians. The 7p22.3 locus has shown suggestive genome-wide associations with BD in an independent GWAS of Japanese populations (Ikeda et al., 2018), and the associations were also nominally replicated in a previous BD case-control sample (a small proportion of this sample were included in the present study) (Zhao et al., 2018). In addition to the putative involvement of 7p22.3 area in the pathogenesis of SZ and BD in East Asians (Bhattacharyya et al., 2021; Liu X. et al., 2021), this region has also been previously reported as a genomewide significant risk locus for SZ, BD (Hou et al., 2016; Ripke et al., 2020; Mullins et al., 2021) and anxiety (Levey et al., 2020) in Europeans. Notably, genetic variants at 7p22.3 were correlated with the reward systems functioning (Trost et al., 2016), an intermediate phenotype for psychiatric disorders, in healthy subjects, providing clues for its funcitonality in these illnesses. Altered DNA methylation levels within 7p22.3 locus were observed in superior temporal gyrus of SZ patients compared with controls (McKinney et al., 2020), adding further supports for its crucial roles in the pathogenesis of psychiatric disorders. In addition, the 7p22.3 locus has also been implicated as a human accelerated region that underwent strong natural selection (Pollard et al., 2006a; Pollard et al., 2006b; Banerjee et al., implicating potential involvement of human 2018), acceleration of this region in the arising of psychiatric disorders.

Expression analyses indicate that MRM2 and MAD1L1 are potential risk genes at 7p22.3 locus. MRM2 encodes a nucleolar

protein belonging to the S-adenosylmethionine-binding protein family, and may participate in cell cycle control and DNA repair through processing and modification of rRNA (Lai et al., 2014; Garone et al., 2017), however, its function in brain remains unclear. MAD1L1 encodes MAD1, a protein that regulates the spindle assembly checkpoint during mitosis (Jin et al., 1998), and affects cell cycle control and tumor suppression through interacting with histone deacetylases (Musacchio and Salmon, 2007). While the precise function of MAD1L1 in brain remains unclear, disruption of this gene in brain was found to affect neurodevelopmental processes, leading to brain dysfunctions associated with SZ (Lewis and Sweet, 2009).

In summary, through meta-analysis of SZ and BD GWAS datasets in East Asian populations followed by independent replications, we have identified a novel risk locus for both illnesses, and genetic risk variants showed the same allelic effect directions between East Asian and European populations. However, limitations should also be acknowledged. For example, although we utilized a large-scale SZ dataset, the sample size for BD GWAS was much smaller, which might not have sufficient statistical power for particular loci, and further investigations are necessary in additional samples.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University and the ethics committees of all participating hospitals and institutes. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WL, LL. and ML. designed the study and interpreted the results. WL, C-YZ and JL performed the primary analyses. WL, FG, MS, LZ, QL, YY, XS, YZ, XL, LL and ML contributed to sample collection and results interpretation. WL, C-YZ and ML drafted

REFERENCES

- Banerjee, N., Polushina, T., Bettella, F., Giddaluru, S., Steen, V. M., Andreassen, O. A., et al. (2018). Recently Evolved Human-specific Methylated Regions Are Enriched in Schizophrenia Signals. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 18, 63. doi:10.1186/s12862-018-1177-2
- Berrettini, W. H. (2000). Are Schizophrenic and Bipolar Disorders Related? A Review of Family and Molecular Studies. *Biol. Psychiatry* 48, 531–538. doi:10.1016/s0006-3223(00)00883-0

the manuscript, and all authors contributed to the final version of the paper.

FUNDING

We acknowledge with appreciation all the individuals whose contributions made this work possible. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81671330 and 81971252 to LX-L, U1904130 to WQ-L), Open Program of Henan Key Laboratory of Biological Psychiatry (ZDSYS2020001 to XX), the High Scientific and Technological Research Fund of Xinxiang Medical University (2017ZDCG-04 to LX-L), Shaanxi Innovative Talents Promotion Project (2020KJXX-039 to F-G), Major Science and Technology Projects of Henan Province (201300310200 to LX-L), Open Research Fund (AMHD-2019-2 and AMHD-2021-1). One of the utilized eQTL data was CommonMind Consortium, which were generated as part of the CommonMind Consortium supported by funding from Takeda Pharmaceuticals Company Limited, F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd. and NIH grants R01MH085542, R01MH093725, P50MH066392, P50MH080405, R01MH097276, RO1-MH-075916, P50M096891, P50MH084053S1, R37MH057881 and R37MH057881S1, HHSN271201300031C, AG02219, AG05138 and MH06692. Brain tissue for the study was obtained from the following brain bank collections: the Mount Sinai NIH Brain and Tissue Repository, the University of Pennsylvania Alzheimer's Disease Core Center, the University of Pittsburgh NeuroBioBank and Brain and Tissue Repositories and the NIMH Human Brain Collection Core. CMC Leadership: Pamela Sklar, Joseph Buxbaum (Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai), Bernie Devlin, David Lewis (University of Pittsburgh), Raquel Gur, Chang-Gyu Hahn (University of Pennsylvania), Keisuke Hirai, Hiroyoshi Toyoshiba (Takeda Pharmaceuticals Company Limited), Enrico Domenici, Laurent Essioux (F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd.), Lara Mangravite, Mette Peters (Sage Bionetworks), Thomas Lehner, Barbara Lipska (NIMH).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2021.789512/full#supplementary-material

Bhattacharyya, U., Deshpande, S. N., Bhatia, T., and Thelma, B. K. (2021). Revisiting Schizophrenia from an Evolutionary Perspective: An Association Study of Recent Evolutionary Markers and Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 47, 827–836. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbaa179

Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics ConsortiumRuderfer, D. M., Ripke, S., Mcquillin, A., Boocock, J., Stahl, E., Mullins, N., et al. (2018). Genomic Dissection of Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia, Including 28 Subphenotypes. *Cell* 173, 1705–1715. e16. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.046

- Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H. K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F. R., Loh, P. R., et al. (2015a). An Atlas of Genetic Correlations across Human Diseases and Traits. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 1236–1241. doi:10.1038/ng.3406
- Bulik-Sullivan, B. K., Loh, P. R., Finucane, H. K., Ripke, S., Yang, J., Patterson, N., et al.Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2015b). LD Score Regression Distinguishes Confounding from Polygenicity in Genome-wide Association Studies. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 291–295. doi:10.1038/ ng.3211
- Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics ConsortiumSmoller, J. W., Craddock, N., Kendler, K., Lee, P., Neale, B. M., Nurnberger, J. I., et al. (2013). Identification of Risk Loci with Shared Effects on Five Major Psychiatric Disorders: a Genome-wide Analysis. *Lancet* 381, 1371–1379. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(12)62129-1
- Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics ConsortiumLee, P. H., Anttila, V., Won, H., Feng, Y.-C. A., Rosenthal, J., Zhu, Z., et al. (2019). Genomic Relationships, Novel Loci, and Pleiotropic Mechanisms across Eight Psychiatric Disorders. *Cell* 179, 1469–1482. e11. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.11.020
- Chang, H., Xiao, X., and Li, M. (2017). The Schizophrenia Risk Gene ZNF804A: Clinical Associations, Biological Mechanisms and Neuronal Functions. *Mol. Psychiatry* 22, 944–953. doi:10.1038/mp.2017.19
- Delaneau, O., Howie, B., Cox, A. J., Zagury, J. F., and Marchini, J. (2013). Haplotype Estimation Using Sequencing Reads. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 93, 687–696. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.09.002
- Encode Project Consortium (2012). An Integrated Encyclopedia of DNA Elements in the Human Genome. *Nature* 489, 57–74. doi:10.1038/nature11247
- Fromer, M., Roussos, P., Sieberts, S. K., Johnson, J. S., Kavanagh, D. H., Perumal, T. M., et al. (2016). Gene Expression Elucidates Functional Impact of Polygenic Risk for Schizophrenia. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 1442–1453. doi:10.1038/nn.4399
- Garone, C., D'souza, A. R., Dallabona, C., Lodi, T., Rebelo-Guiomar, P., Rorbach, J., et al. (2017). Defective Mitochondrial rRNA Methyltransferase MRM2 Causes MELAS-like Clinical Syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 26, 4257–4266. doi:10.1093/ hmg/ddx314
- Genomes Project ConsortiumAuton, A., Brooks, L. D., Durbin, R. M., Garrison, E. P., Kang, H. M., Korbel, J. O., et al. (2015). A Global Reference for Human Genetic Variation. *Nature* 526, 68–74. doi:10.1038/nature15393
- Gtex Consortium (2017). Genetic Effects on Gene Expression across Human Tissues. *Nature* 550, 204–213. doi:10.1038/nature24277
- Guo, S., Liu, J., Li, W., Yang, Y., Lv, L., Xiao, X., et al. (2021). Genome Wide Association Study Identifies Four Loci for Early Onset Schizophrenia. *Transl Psychiatry* 11, 248. doi:10.1038/s41398-021-01360-4
- Hou, L., Bergen, S. E., Akula, N., Song, J., Hultman, C. M., Landen, M., et al. (2016). Genome-wide Association Study of 40,000 Individuals Identifies Two Novel Loci Associated with Bipolar Disorder. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 25, 3383–3394. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddw181
- Howie, B. N., Donnelly, P., and Marchini, J. (2009). A Flexible and Accurate Genotype Imputation Method for the Next Generation of Genome-wide Association Studies. *Plos Genet.* 5, e1000529. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000529
- Ikeda, M., Takahashi, A., Kamatani, Y., Momozawa, Y., Saito, T., Kondo, K., et al. (2019). Genome-wide Association Study Detected Novel Susceptibility Genes for Schizophrenia and Shared Trans-populations/diseases Genetic Effect. *Schizophr Bull.* 45, 824–834. doi:10.1093/schbul/sby140
- Ikeda, M., Takahashi, A., Kamatani, Y., Okahisa, Y., Kunugi, H., Mori, N., et al. (2018). A Genome-wide Association Study Identifies Two Novel Susceptibility Loci and Trans Population Polygenicity Associated with Bipolar Disorder. *Mol. Psychiatry* 23, 639–647. doi:10.1038/mp.2016.259
- Jaffe, A. E., Straub, R. E., Shin, J. H., Tao, R., Gao, Y., Collado-Torres, L., et al. (2018). Developmental and Genetic Regulation of the Human Cortex Transcriptome Illuminate Schizophrenia Pathogenesis. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 1117–1125. doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0197-y
- Jin, D. Y., Spencer, F., and Jeang, K. T. (1998). Human T Cell Leukemia Virus Type 1 Oncoprotein Tax Targets the Human Mitotic Checkpoint Protein MAD1. *Cell* 93, 81–91. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81148-4
- Lai, C. W., Chen, H. L., Lin, K. Y., Liu, F. C., Chong, K. Y., Cheng, W. T., et al. (2014). FTSJ2, a Heat Shock-Inducible Mitochondrial Protein, Suppresses Cell Invasion and Migration. *PLoS One* 9, e90818. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0090818
- Lam, M., Chen, C. Y., Li, Z., Martin, A. R., Bryois, J., Ma, X., et al. (2019). Comparative Genetic Architectures of Schizophrenia in East Asian and

European Populations. Nat. Genet. 51, 1670-1678. doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0512-x

- Levey, D. F., Gelernter, J., Polimanti, R., Zhou, H., Cheng, Z., Aslan, M., et al. (2020). Reproducible Genetic Risk Loci for Anxiety: Results from Approximately 200,000 Participants in the Million Veteran Program. Am. J. Psychiatry 177, 223–232. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.19030256
- Lewis, D. A., and Sweet, R. A. (2009). Schizophrenia from a Neural Circuitry Perspective: Advancing toward Rational Pharmacological Therapies. J. Clin. Invest. 119, 706–716. doi:10.1172/jci37335
- Li, H. J., Zhang, C., Hui, L., Zhou, D. S., Li, Y., Zhang, C. Y., et al. (2021). Novel Risk Loci Associated with Genetic Risk for Bipolar Disorder Among Han Chinese Individuals: A Genome-wide Association Study and Meta-Analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 78, 320–330. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.3738
- Li, K., Li, Y., Wang, J., Huo, Y., Huang, D., Li, S., et al. (2020). A Functional Missense Variant in ITIH3 Affects Protein Expression and Neurodevelopment and Confers Schizophrenia Risk in the Han Chinese Population. J. Genet. Genomics 47, 233–248. doi:10.1016/j.jgg.2020.04.001
- Li, Z., Chen, J., Yu, H., He, L., Xu, Y., Zhang, D., et al. (2017). Genome-wide Association Analysis Identifies 30 New Susceptibility Loci for Schizophrenia. *Nat. Genet.* 49, 1576–1583. doi:10.1038/ng.3973
- Lichtenstein, P., Yip, B. H., Bjork, C., Pawitan, Y., Cannon, T. D., Sullivan, P. F., et al. (2009). Common Genetic Determinants of Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder in Swedish Families: a Population-Based Study. *Lancet* 373, 234–239. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(09)60072-6
- Liu, J. W., Li, S. W., Li, X. Y., Li, W. Q., Yang, Y. F., Guo, S. Q., et al. (2021a). Genome-wide Association Study Followed by Trans-ancestry Meta-Analysis Identify 17 New Risk Loci for Schizophrenia. *BMC Med.* 19, 177. doi:10.1186/ s12916-021-02039-9
- Liu, X., Xie, H., Fu, Z., Yao, Q., Han, T., Zhan, D., et al. (2021b). MAD1L1 and TSNARE Gene Polymorphisms Are Associated with Schizophrenia Susceptibility in the Han Chinese Population. *BMC Med. Genomics* 14, 218. doi:10.1186/s12920-021-01070-2
- Mckinney, B. C., Hensler, C. M., Wei, Y., Lewis, D. A., Wang, J., Ding, Y., et al. (2020). Schizophrenia-associated Differential DNA Methylation in the superior Temporal Gyrus Is Distributed to many Sites across the Genome and Annotated by the Risk Gene MAD1L1. *medRxiv*. doi:10.1101/ 2020.08.02.20166777
- Mullins, N., Forstner, A. J., O'connell, K. S., Coombes, B., Coleman, J. R. I., Qiao, Z., et al. (2021). Genome-wide Association Study of More Than 40,000 Bipolar Disorder Cases Provides New Insights into the Underlying Biology. *Nat. Genet.* 53, 817–829. doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00857-4
- Musacchio, A., and Salmon, E. D. (2007). The Spindle-Assembly Checkpoint in Space and Time. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cel Biol 8, 379–393. doi:10.1038/nrm2163
- Ng, B., White, C. C., Klein, H. U., Sieberts, S. K., Mccabe, C., Patrick, E., et al. (2017). An xQTL Map Integrates the Genetic Architecture of the Human Brain's Transcriptome and Epigenome. *Nat. Neurosci.* 20, 1418–1426. doi:10.1038/nn.4632
- Pardinas, A. F., Holmans, P., Pocklington, A. J., Escott-Price, V., Ripke, S., Carrera, N., et al. (2018). Common Schizophrenia Alleles Are Enriched in Mutation-Intolerant Genes and in Regions under strong Background Selection. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 381–389. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0059-2
- Pollard, K. S., Salama, S. R., King, B., Kern, A. D., Dreszer, T., Katzman, S., et al. (2006a). Forces Shaping the Fastest Evolving Regions in the Human Genome. *Plos Genet.* 2, e168. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020168
- Pollard, K. S., Salama, S. R., Lambert, N., Lambot, M. A., Coppens, S., Pedersen, J. S., et al. (2006b). An RNA Gene Expressed during Cortical Development Evolved Rapidly in Humans. *Nature* 443, 167–172. doi:10.1038/nature05113
- Pruim, R. J., Welch, R. P., Sanna, S., Teslovich, T. M., Chines, P. S., Gliedt, T. P., et al. (2010). LocusZoom: Regional Visualization of Genome-wide Association Scan Results. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2336–2337. doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btq419
- Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A., Bender, D., et al. (2007). PLINK: a Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81, 559–575. doi:10.1086/519795
- Ripke, S., Walters, J. T., and O'donovan, M. C.Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2020). Mapping Genomic Loci Prioritises Genes and Implicates Synaptic Biology in Schizophrenia. *medRxiv.* doi:10.1101/2020.09.12.20192922

- Roadmap Epigenomics ConsortiumKundaje, A., Meuleman, W., Ernst, J., Bilenky, M., Yen, A., et al. (2015). Integrative Analysis of 111 Reference Human Epigenomes. *Nature* 518, 317–330. doi:10.1038/nature14248
- Ruderfer, D. M., Fanous, A. H., Ripke, S., Mcquillin, A., Amdur, R. L., et al.Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics; Consortium (2014). Polygenic Dissection of Diagnosis and Clinical Dimensions of Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia. *Mol. Psychiatry* 19, 1017–1024. doi:10.1038/mp.2013.138
- Trost, S., Diekhof, E. K., Mohr, H., Vieker, H., Kramer, B., Wolf, C., et al. (2016). Investigating the Impact of a Genome-wide Supported Bipolar Risk Variant of MAD1L1 on the Human Reward System. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 41, 2679–2687. doi:10.1038/npp.2016.70
- Vosa, U., Claringbould, A., Westra, H. J., Bonder, M. J., Deelen, P., Zeng, B., et al. (2021). Large-scale Cis- and Trans-eQTL Analyses Identify Thousands of Genetic Loci and Polygenic Scores that Regulate Blood Gene Expression. *Nat. Genet.* 53, 1300–1310. doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00913-z
- Ward, L. D., and Kellis, M. (2012). HaploReg: a Resource for Exploring Chromatin States, Conservation, and Regulatory Motif Alterations within Sets of Genetically Linked Variants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D930–D934. doi:10.1093/ nar/gkr917
- Wu, L. S., Huang, M. C., Fann, C. S., Lane, H. Y., Kuo, C. J., Chiu, W. C., et al. (2021). Genome-wide Association Study of Early-Onset Bipolar I Disorder in the Han Taiwanese Population. *Transl Psychiatry* 11, 301. doi:10.1038/s41398-021-01407-6
- Yang, Z., Zhou, D., Li, H., Cai, X., Liu, W., Wang, L., et al. (2020). The Genomewide Risk Alleles for Psychiatric Disorders at 3p21.1 Show Convergent Effects on mRNA Expression, Cognitive Function and Mushroom Dendritic Spine. *Mol. Psychiatry* 25, 48–66. doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0592-0

- Yu, H., Yan, H., Li, J., Li, Z., Zhang, X., Ma, Y., et al. (2017). Common Variants on 2p16.1, 6p22.1 and 10q24.32 Are Associated with Schizophrenia in Han Chinese Population. *Mol. Psychiatry* 22, 954–960. doi:10.1038/mp.2016.212
- Zhao, L., Chang, H., Zhou, D. S., Cai, J., Fan, W., Tang, W., et al. (2018). Replicated Associations of FADS1, MAD1L1, and a Rare Variant at 10q26.13 with Bipolar Disorder in Chinese Population. *Transl Psychiatry* 8, 270. doi:10.1038/s41398-018-0337-x

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer HY declared a past co-authorship with the authors YY, LL to the handling editor.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Li, Zhang, Liu, Guan, Shao, Zhang, Liu, Yang, Su, Zhang, Xiao, Luo, Li and Lv. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.