distinct tumor cells expressing vascular markers. Samples from three PA patients harbored the KIAA1549 exon 15, BRAF exon 9 fusion gene. In two patient samples with abundant MVP, RT-PCR assay detected strong bands arising from the KIAA1549-BRAF fusion gene in both tumor cells and cellular components of MVP. Digital PCR showed that vis-à-vis tumor tissue, its relative expression in cellular components of MVP was 42% in one- and 76% in another sample. FISH revealed amplified signals in both tumor cells and cellular components of MVP indicative of tandem duplication. Our findings suggest that in patients with PA, some cellular components of MVP contained tumor derived cell and/or phenotypically distinct tumor cells expressing vascular markers.

## LGG-55. OUTCOME OF BRAF V600E PEDIATRIC GLIOMAS TREATED WITH TARGETED BRAF INHIBITION

Liana Nobre<sup>1</sup>, Michal Zapotocky<sup>2</sup>, Vijay Ramaswamy<sup>1</sup>, Scott Ryall<sup>1</sup>, Julie Bennet<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Alderete<sup>3</sup>, Julia Balguer Guill<sup>4</sup>, Lorena Baroni<sup>3</sup>, Ute Bartels<sup>1</sup>, Abhishek Bavle<sup>5</sup>, Miriam Bornhorst<sup>6</sup>, Daniel R. Boue<sup>77</sup>, Adela Canete<sup>4</sup>, Murali Chintagumpala<sup>8</sup>, Scott L. Coven<sup>9</sup>, Ofelia Cruz<sup>10</sup>, Sonika Dahiya<sup>11</sup>, Peter Dirks<sup>1</sup>, Ira J. Dunkel<sup>12</sup>, David Eisenstat<sup>13</sup>, Cecile Faure Conter<sup>14</sup>, Elizabeth Finch<sup>15</sup> Jonathan L. Finlay<sup>7</sup>, Didier Frappaz<sup>16</sup>, Maria Luisa Garre<sup>17</sup> Karen Gauvain<sup>18</sup>, Anne Grete Bechensteen<sup>19</sup>, Jordan R. Hansford<sup>20</sup>, Inga Harting<sup>21</sup>, Peter Hauser<sup>22</sup>, Lili-Naz Hazrati<sup>1</sup>, Annie Huang<sup>1</sup>, Sarah G. Injac<sup>8</sup>, Valentina Iurilli<sup>17</sup>, Matthias Karajannis<sup>12</sup>, Gurcharanjeet Kaur<sup>12</sup>, Martin Kyncl<sup>2</sup>, Lenka Krskova<sup>2</sup>, Normad Laperriere<sup>1</sup>, Valerie Larouche<sup>23</sup>, Alvaro Lassaletta<sup>24</sup>, Sarah Leary<sup>25</sup>, Frank Lin<sup>8</sup>, Samantha Mascelli<sup>17</sup>, Tara McKeown<sup>1</sup>, Till Milde<sup>21</sup>, Andres Morales La Madrid<sup>26</sup>, Giovanni Morana<sup>17</sup>, Helena Morse<sup>27</sup>, Naureen Mushtaq<sup>28</sup>, Diana S. Osorio<sup>7</sup>, Roger Packer<sup>6</sup>, Zdenek Pavelka<sup>29</sup>, Eduardo Quiroga-Cantero<sup>30</sup>, James Rutka<sup>1</sup>, Magnus Sabel<sup>31</sup>, Duarte Salgado<sup>32</sup>, Palma Solano<sup>30</sup>, Jaroslav Sterba<sup>29</sup>, Jack Su<sup>8</sup>, David Sumerauer<sup>2</sup>, Michael D Taylor<sup>1</sup>, Helen Toledano<sup>33</sup>, Derek S Tsang<sup>1</sup>, Mariana Valente Fernandes<sup>32</sup>, Frank van Landeghem<sup>13</sup>, Cornelis M van Tilburg<sup>21</sup>, Bev Wilson<sup>13</sup>, Olaf Witt<sup>21</sup>, Josef Zamecbik<sup>2</sup>, Eric Bouffer<sup>1</sup>, Cynthia Hawkins<sup>1</sup>, and Uri Tabori<sup>1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>The Hospital For Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada, <sup>2</sup>University Hospital Motol, Prague, Czech Republic, 3Hospital of Pediatrics S,A,M,I,C, Prof, Dr, Juan P, Garrahan, Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>4</sup>Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Valencia, Spain, 5 Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, USA, 6Children's National Health System, Washington, DC, USA <sup>7</sup>Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, USA, <sup>8</sup>Texas Children's Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA, 9Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, USA, <sup>10</sup>4Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>11</sup>Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA, <sup>12</sup>Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA, 13Stollery Children's Hospital, Edmonton, AB, Canada, <sup>14</sup>Institute d'Hémato-Oncologie Pédiatrique, Lyon, Canada, <sup>15</sup>University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA, <sup>16</sup>Institute d'Hémato-Oncologie Pédiatrique, Lyon, France, <sup>17</sup>G,Gaslini Children's Hospital, Genoa, Italy, <sup>18</sup>Washington University, St Louis, MO, USA, 19Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, 20The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia, <sup>21</sup>Hopp Children's Cancer Center, Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>22</sup>Semmelweis, Budapest, Hungary,
<sup>23</sup>Ubiversite Laval, Quebec City, Canada, <sup>24</sup>Hospital Infantil Universitario Nino Jesus, Madrid, Spain, <sup>25</sup>Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA,
<sup>26</sup>Ubaseria Canter de Déer de Déer Seater 2014. <sup>26</sup>Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>27</sup>Lund University, Lund, Sweden, 28 Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan, 29 University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czech Republic, <sup>30</sup>Hospital Infantil Virgen del <sup>32</sup>Portuguese Cancer Institute, Lisbon, Portugal, <sup>33</sup>Schneiders Children's Medical Center Of Israel, Petah Tikva, Israel

Children with pediatric gliomas harboring BRAF V600E mutation have a poor outcome with current chemoradiation strategies. Our aim was to study the role of targeted BRAF inhibition in these tumors. We collected clinical, imaging, molecular and outcome information from BRAF V600E glioma patients treated with BRAFi across 29 centers from multiple countries. Sixty-seven patients were treated with BRAFi (56 pediatric low grade gliomas, PLGG and 11 pediatric high grade gliomas, PHGG) for up to 5.6 years. Objective responses were observed in 80% of PLGGs compared to 28% with conventional chemotherapy (p<0.001). These responses were rapid (median, 4 months), and sustained in 86% of tumors up to 5 years while on therapy. PLGG which discontinued BRAFi, 76.5% (13/17) progressed rapidly after discontinuation (median 2.3 months). However, upon re-challenge with BRAFi therapy, 90% achieved an objective response. Poor prognostic factors to conventional therapies, such as concomitant homozygous deletion of CDKN2A, were not associated with a lack of response to BRAFi. In contrast, only 36% of PHGG responded to BRAFi with all but one tumor progressing within 18 months. In PLGG, responses translated to 3-year progression-free survival of 49.6% (95%CI, 35.3% to 69.5%) vs 29.8% (95% CI, 20% to 44.4%) for BRAFi vs chemotherapy respectively (p=0.02). The use of BRAFi results in robust and durable responses while on therapy in BRAF V600E PLGG. Prospective studies are required to determine long-term survival and functional outcomes with BRAFi therapy in childhood gliomas.

## LGG-56. INFANTILE HEMISPHERIC BRAIN TUMOR WITH A GOPC-ROS1 FUSION GENE: A CASE REPORT

<u>Ricko Taniguchi</u><sup>1</sup>, Atsushi Natsume<sup>2</sup>, Nozomu Kawashima<sup>1</sup>, Shinji Tanioka<sup>3</sup>, Hideki Muramatsu<sup>1</sup>, Motoharu Hamada<sup>1</sup>, Daisuke Ichikawa<sup>1</sup>, Masayuki Imaya<sup>1</sup>, Kotaro Narita<sup>1</sup>, Michihiro Kurimoto<sup>1,2</sup>, Yoshiki Shiba<sup>2</sup>, Kosuke Aoki<sup>2</sup>, Fumiharu Ohka<sup>2</sup>, Junko Hirato<sup>4,5</sup>, Toshihiko Wakabayashi<sup>2</sup>, and Yoshiyuki Takahashi<sup>1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Nagasaki University Hospital, Nagasaki, Japan, <sup>4</sup>Department of Pathology, Gumma University Hospital, Maebashi, Japan, <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology, Public Tomioka General Hospital, Tomioka, Japan

INTRODUCTION: Infantile hemispheric gliomas with ROS1 fusion genes have been reported to have a relatively poor prognosis. Treatment using a ROS1 inhibitor is expected to generate less toxicity and effective for brain tumors with ROS1 fusion genes. CASE PRESENTATION: A onemonth-old female presented with a seizure, and a large hypervascular mass in the right hemisphere was found on MRI. The tumor was not biopsied over concerns of an increased risk for bleeding. The mass was clinically diagnosed as an atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor. She received neoadjuvant chemotherapy using the modified EU-RHAB protocol. The tumor gradually decreased to 70% of its original size with a reduction of vascularity. A neartotal resection (> 95%) was performed at eight months of age. Pathological examination revealed the unusual histology with immunostaining positive for INI-1, GFAP, synaptophysin, neurofilament, and slightly positive for NeuN. MIB-1 labeling index was 6%. The pathological diagnosis was a glioneuronal tumor with desmoplastic infantile ganglioglioma-like features, suggestive of low grade. She received adjuvant chemotherapy with carboplatin and vincristine, which is the standard treatment for low-grade gliomas, and achieved a partial response. The GOPC-ROS1 fusion gene was detected in the tumor by FoundationOne® CDx. CONCLUSION: Chemotherapy may effectively reduce the size of an infant's brain tumor which is initially considered to be inoperable. A gene profile should be performed as soon as possible in order to direct appropriate management.

## LGG-57. SIGNALLING MECHANISMS IN PAEDIATRIC LOW-GRADE GLIOMA

<u>Ankit Patel</u><sup>1</sup>, Tania Jones<sup>1</sup>, Lewis Woodward<sup>1</sup>, Arran Dokal<sup>2</sup>, Vinothini Rajeeve<sup>2</sup>, Pedro Cutillas<sup>2</sup>, Thomas Stone<sup>3,4</sup>, Thomas Jacques<sup>3,4</sup>, and Denise Sheer<sup>1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, United Kingdom. <sup>2</sup>Barts Cancer Institute, London, United Kingdom. <sup>3</sup>UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, United Kingdom. <sup>4</sup>Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

Paediatric low-grade gliomas (pLGGs) constitute the largest group of childhood CNS tumours. They often cause significant disability and morbidity, despite their indolent growth and the good survival rate of patients. The most common genetic alterations in these tumours, KIAA1549:BRAF fusion and BRAFV600E mutation, lead to abnormal activation of MAPK signalling. The central role of this pathway in pLGG development is emphasized by the occasional presence of other MAPK-activating alterations such as RTK mutations. It is not known how these different aberrations can induce the variety of clinical phenotypes seen in pLGG. Here, we compared pilocytic astrocytomas (PAs) containing the KIAA1549:BRAF fusion with glioneuronal tumours (GNTs) containing the BRAFV600E mutation, to identify differentially activated downstream targets of the MAPK pathway. Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was used as a multi-proteomic approach. Kinase Set Enrichment Analysis (KSEA) using PhosphositePlus and NetworkIN was used to determine relative enrichment of kinase activity in the tumours compared to healthy control brain tissue. Significant similarities and differences were found in the two tumour types. For example, more robust MAPK activation was found in the GNTs than in PAs. However, while PI3K/AKT1/mTOR signalling was active in both PAs and GNTs, there was statistically higher activation in the PAs. In both tumour types, there was significant reduction in casein kinase 2 activity, which likely affects nuclear translocation of ERK and, in turn, alters the range of its phosphorylated substrates. We will present these data together with transcriptomics to further characterise the downstream targets of these genetic alterations.