



# Article Comparative Analysis of Chemical Constituents in Different Parts of Lotus by UPLC and QToF-MS

Haotian Pei, Wenyu Su, Meng Gui, Mingjie Dou, Yingxin Zhang, Cuizhu Wang 回 and Dan Lu \*

School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jilin University, Fujin Road 1266, Changchun 130021, China; peiht18@mails.jlu.edu.cn (H.P.); suwy19@mails.jlu.edu.cn (W.S.); guimeng19@mails.jlu.edu.cn (M.G.); doumj20@mails.jlu.edu.cn (M.D.); yingxin20@mails.jlu.edu.cn (Y.Z.); wangcuizhu@jlu.edu.cn (C.W.) \* Correspondence: ludan@jlu.edu.cn

Abstract: Six parts of lotus (seeds, leaves, plumule, stamens, receptacles and rhizome nodes) are herbal medicines that are listed in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia. Their indications and functions have been confirmed by a long history of clinical practice. To fully understand the material basis of clinical applications, UPLC-QToF-MS combined with the UNIFI platform and multivariate statistical analysis was used in this study. As a result, a total of 171 compounds were detected and characterized from the six parts, and 23 robust biomarkers were discovered. The method can be used as a standard protocol for the direct identification and prediction of the six parts of lotus. Meanwhile, these discoveries are valuable for improving the quality control method of herbal medicines. Most importantly, this was the first time that alkaloids were detected in the stamen, and terpenoids were detected in the cored seed. The stamen is a noteworthy part because it contains the greatest diversity of flavonoids and terpenoids, but research on the stamen is rather limited.

Keywords: lotus; UPLC-QToF-MS; phytochemicals; analysis; different parts; metabolomics

# 1. Introduction

*Nelumbo nucifera* Gaerth., an aquatic plant in the Nymphaeaceae family, is distributed in wetlands throughout Asia. It is also known as sacred lotus; it is not only used as a food and herb, but also deeply related to Buddhism in Asia, and its flower is used as the pedestal for divine figures. The medicinal functions of lotus were recognized earlier than its edible value and were recorded for the first time in the book "Er ya" (400 B.C.) [1]. Almost all parts of this plant have been used as food as well as herbal medicine for over 2000 years, and especially the lotus seed and rhizome are more widely used due to their delicious taste and great nutritive value [2]. The seed (Nelumbinis Semen), leaf (Nelumbinis Folium), plumule (Nelumbinis Plumula), stamen (Nelumbinis Stamen), receptacle (Nelumbinis Receptaculum) and rhizome node (Nelumbinis Rhizomatis Nodus) are listed in the official Pharmacopoeia of China (CP). Their indications and functions, which were confirmed by clinical practice for thousands of years, were recorded in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia. According to the records, lotus leaves, receptacles and rhizome nodes have a hemostatic effect; stamens can prohibit pathological spermatorrhoea and frequent urination; and the seeds and plumule can mind-tranquilize and improve sleep [3] (Figure 1).

In recent decades, increasing research has focused on this special herb, especially the plumule and leaf, and many of its constituents have been found to possess extensive features of health benefits. The ingredients and activities of the different lotus parts, together with their applications in the food and healthcare area, have similarities and differences [2]. Without a doubt, the pharmacological effects of any plant or effective part are based on their phytochemicals. Flavonoids that have been found in the six parts of lotus are associated with a variety effects, including antioxidant [4–7], anti-inflammatory [8], antiviral [9,10], anti-obesity [11,12], and antimicrobial effects [13]. Alkaloids are effective ingredients used for treating cardiovascular diseases [14–16], regulating blood lipids [17],



Citation: Pei, H.; Su, W.; Gui, M.; Dou, M.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, C.; Lu, D. Comparative Analysis of Chemical Constituents in Different Parts of Lotus by UPLC and QToF-MS. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 1855. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules26071855

Academic Editor: Evagelos Gikas

Received: 6 January 2021 Accepted: 1 March 2021 Published: 25 March 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). tranquilizing the mind [18] and treating cancer [19]. However, the chemical bases of their activities are far from clear compared with the medical history of thousands of years. For example, stamens and receptacles are reported to have anti-ischemic effects [20]; meanwhile, neferine and liensinine were confirmed to be anti-ischemic agents [21], but there is no proof that stamens contain alkaloids. Thus, just what do the stamens and receptacles contain that contributes to the anti-ischemic effect? Furthermore, the material basis for traditional uses as hemostatic agents is a "blank" area. Moreover, there are no index components for the quality control of seeds, stamens, receptacles and rhizome nodes in CP. Hence, this study focuses on the chemical constituents of the six parts of lotus.



**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of the whole lotus plant. The part listed in CP has a blue highlight in the background.

UPLC is the best chromatographic method in terms of resolution, sensitivity, and speed. QToF-MS is the most sensitive quantitative and most comprehensive qualitative detector to identify and quantify the broadest range of compounds in the most complex and challenging samples. With its combined ability of high resolution and sensitivity, UPLC-QToF-MS has been successfully used for the analyses of complex samples [22]. It can be used for the rapid differentiation of different parts of a plant [23], identification of the habitats of herbs [24], and evaluation of the quality of TCMs and processed products [25]. The UNIFI information system has the ability to incorporate scientific library into with UPLC and QToF-MS data, which streamlines the process of identifying chemical structures in complex natural products. To obtain the chemical constituent profile of the cored seeds (Sem), leaves(Fol), plumule (Plu), stamens (Sta), receptacles (Rec) and rhizome nodes (**RN**) of lotus, we proposed a multiple ingredients identification strategy based on UPLC-QToF-MS coupled with the UNIFI informatics platform. This method can quickly identify multiple components. In this study, the constituents of the six parts were efficiently separated by ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) and detected by quadrupole time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry (QToF-MS). Then, the data obtained by UPLC and QToF-MS were processed by the integrated information platform UNIFI.

As a result, a total of 171 components were identified from the six parts. Moreover, the differentiating components were screened by principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA). These methods provide a holistic and intuitionistic description of the chemical constituents in the six parts. Twenty-three robust biomarkers were found to distinguish the six parts. The established method can be used as a standard protocol for directly discriminating between

and predicting the six parts of lotus. Most importantly, data analysis provided useful information for further study and usage of the plant.

#### 2. Results

# 2.1. Identification of Components

A total of 171 compounds were identified or tentatively characterized from the six parts of lotus, including alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, steroids, organic acids, etc. Among them, 84 compounds were identified in positive mode, and 127 compounds were identified in negative mode. The base peak intensity (BPI) chromatograms marked with the number of compounds are shown in Figure 2. The compound identification data were listed in Table 1. The chemical structures of the compounds are shown in Figure 3. More specifically, 86, 56, 89, 87, 60 and 19 compounds were identified from **Sem, Fol, Plu, Sta, Rec** and **RN**, respectively. By comparing the numbers of the detected compounds and signal strength of the chromatographic peak observed in the UPLC-QToF-MS assay, it seems that ESI<sup>-</sup> mode is better than ESI<sup>+</sup> for this test. However, running the ESI<sup>+</sup> mode is still necessary because some compounds display better responses in ESI<sup>+</sup> mode than in ESI<sup>-</sup>.



Figure 2. The representative BPI chromatograms of Sem, Fol, Plu, Sta, Rec, and RN in positive and negative modes.

No.	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Formula	Theoretical (Da)	Calculated Mass (Da)	Mass Error (ppm)	MS <sup>E</sup> Fragmentation	Identification	Sources	Ref.
1	0.53	$C_{24}H_{42}O_{21}$	666.2219	666.2226	1.12	711.1808[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 665.1649[M - H] <sup>-</sup> , 485.1080[M-H-Glc] <sup>-</sup> , 179.0417[Glc-H] <sup>-</sup> , Nystose 161.0367[Glc-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>-</sup> , 689.2103[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> 527.1805[M + Na] <sup>+</sup>		Sem,Plu,Sta	b
2	0.55	$C_{18}H_{32}O_{16}$	504.1690	504.1678	-2.40	$505.1786[M + H]^+,$ $503.1612[M - H]^-, 485.1170[M-H-H_2O]^-,$ $170 0417[Clc H]^-,$ Gentiotriose		Sem,Plu,Sta	b
3	0.57	$C_5H_{10}O_5$	150.0528	150.05	0.24	$195.0352[M + HCOO]^{-}, 149.0345[M - H]^{-}$	Arabinose	Plu,Sta	b
4	0.57	$C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$	342.1162	342.1166	1.09	$341.0829[M - H]^{-}, 163.0594[M-H-Glc-H_2O]^{-}$	Isomaltose	Sem,Plu,Sta	b
5	0.62	$C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$	342.1162	342.1152	-2.89	365.1058[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 163.0750[M+H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>+</sup>	Sucrose	Sem,Plu,Sta	b
6	0.75	$C_6H_8O_7$	192.0270	192.0266	-2.29	191.0192[M − H] <sup>−</sup> , 147.0065[M-COOH] <sup>−</sup> , 130.9980[M-H-COOH-CH <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>−</sup>	Citric acid	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	b
7	0.78	$C_7H_4O_6$	184.0008	184.0004	-2.00	182.9704[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 138.9851[M-COOH] <sup>-</sup>	Chelidonic acid	Plu,Rec	
8	1.09	$C_9H_{11}NO_2$	165.0790	165.0785	-2.69	210.0535[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 164.0521[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Gentiatibetine	Sem,Sta	b
9	1.24	$C_9H_8O_3$	164.0473	164.0478	2.87	209.0189[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 163.0256[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 119.0387[M-OC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	<i>p</i> -Coumaric acid	Plu,Rec	b
10	1.24	$C_{15}H_{18}O_8$	326.1002	326.1008	1.80	$325.0659[M - H]^{-}$ , $163.0256(C_9H_7O_3)$	Melilotoside	Plu	b
11	1.24	$C_{16}H_{20}O_{10}$	372.1056	372.1061	1.09	371.0664[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , Deacetyl asperuloside		Plu	
12	1.24	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{12}$	464.0955	464.0956	0.22	509.0485[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 463.0916[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	$509.0485[M + HCOO]^-$ , $463.0916[M - H]^-$ $6-Hydroxyluteolin-7-\beta-D-glucopyranoside$		[26]
13	1.45	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>17</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	271.1208	271.1205	-1.20	$\begin{array}{l} 272.1302[M + H]^+, 255.1011(C_{16}H_{15}O_3),\\ 237.0922(C_{16}H_{13}O_2), 209.0978(C_{15}H_{13}O),\\ 161.0595(C_{10}H_9O_2), 143.0503(C_{10}H_7O),\\ 107.0515(C_7H_7O) \end{array}$	Higenamine	Fol,Plu	b
14	1.52	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>19</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	285.1365	285.1370	1.66	$\begin{array}{l} 286.1447[M + H]^+, 284.1104[M - H]^-, \\ 237.0922(C_{16}H_{13}O_2), 209.0949(C_{15}H_{13}O), \\ 115.0560(C_{2}H_{7}), 107.0515(C_{7}H_{7}O) \end{array}$	Coclaurine	Sem,Plu	[26]
15	1.78	$C_{11}H_{12}O_5$	224.0685	224.0694	4.04	$223.0492[M - H]^{-}, 205.0236[M-H-H_2O]^{-}, 179.0253[M-COOH]^{-}$	Sinapic acid	Sem,Fol,Plu	b
16	1.95	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O <sub>10</sub>	386.1213	386.1208	-1.36	431.1234[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 385.0857[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Hedyotoside	Plu	
17	2.10	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>23</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	313.1678	313.1676	-0.58	314.1734[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 283.0286[M-CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+</sup> , 206.1181(C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> ), 107.0875(C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>7</sub> O)	Armepavine	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	[ [26]
18	3.02	$C_{19}H_{28}O_{12}$	448.1581	448.1571	-2.27	$493.0695[M + HCOO]^{-}, 447.1161[M - H]^{-}$ 6-O-Acetylshanzhiside methyl ester		Plu	
19	3.08	$C_{18}H_{26}O_{10}$	402.1526	402.1522	-1.00	447.0945[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 401.1143[M - H] <sup>-</sup> Benzyl alcohol xylopyranose $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ glucopyranoside		Sem,Plu,Sta	b
20	3.31	$C_{18}H_{21}NO_{3}$	299.1521	299.1517	-1.59	$300.1602[M + H]^+, 269.1184(C_{17}H_{17}O_3), 237.1457(C_{16}H_{13}O_2), 209.0475(C_{15}H_{13}O)$	<i>N</i> -Methylisococlaurine	Sem,Plu,Sta	b
21	3.38	$C_{9}H_{14}O_{7}$	234.0740	234.0728	-4.83	257.0685[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 235.0869[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Trimethyl citrate	Fol	
22	3.40	$C_9H_6O_4$	178.0266	178.0266	0.10	$177.0034[M - H]^{-}, 133.0152$	Esculetin	Sem	
23 24	3.44 3.47	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>6</sub> C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>19</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	292.0947 285.1365	292.0946 285.1352	-0.31 -4.40	337.0595[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 291.0453[M – H] <sup>-</sup> 286.1412[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 209.1126	Cnidimol D Aposcopolamine	Sta Fol,Sta	[26]

**Table 1.** Compounds identified from different parts of lotus by UPLC-QToF-MS<sup>E</sup>.

Table 1. Cont.

No.	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Formula	Theoretical (Da)	Calculated Mass (Da)	Mass Error (ppm)	MS <sup>E</sup> Fragmentation	Identification	Sources	Ref.
25	3.54	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>30</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	446.1788	446.1790	0.52	491.1207[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 445.1222[M – H] <sup>-</sup> Hedyoside		Sta	
26	3.69	$C_{21}H_{22}O_{11}$	450.1162	450.1161	-0.24	$449.0625[M - H]^{-}, 431.1064[M-H-H_2O]^{-}$ Miscanthoside		Sta,Rec	b
27	3.78	C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>30</sub> O <sub>15</sub>	594.1585	594.1580	-0.86	639.0868[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 593.1044[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	639.0868[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 593.1044[M – H] <sup>-</sup> Nicotiflorin		
28	4.00	$C_{20}H_{25}NO_3$	327.1834	327.1830	-1.36	328.1913[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 300.1531,283.6312 Leonticine		Plu	[26]
20	4.02		F00 1 400	E90 1422	1 1 4		Kaempferol-3- $O$ - $\beta$ -D-	DI	[0(]
29	4.03	$C_{26}H_{28}O_{15}$	580.1428	580.1422	-1.14	581.1528[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 547.1465	glucopyranoside-7-O-α-L-	Plu	[26]
							arabinofuranoside		
30	4.04	$C_{10}H_8OS_2$	208.0017	208.0009	-3.66	252.9815[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 206.9634[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	2-yl)ethanone	Fol	
31	4.04	C15H10O8	318.0376	318.0385	2.96	$316.9868[M - H]^{-}$ , 298.9766[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O]^{-}	Myricetin	Fol	b
32	4.34	C <sub>32</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O <sub>19</sub>	726.2007	726.1977	-4.15	$771.1393[M + HCOO]^{-}, 725.1418[M - H]^{-}$	Vaccarin	Plu	
		02 00 17					Patuletin-7-0-[6"-(2-		
33	4.47	$C_{26}H_{28}O_{14}$	564.1479	564.1487	1.33	$563.1066[M - H]^{-}$ , 493.1105	Methylbutyryl)]-	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec	[26]
							glucopyranoside		
34	4.54	$C_8H_8O_4$	168.0423	168.0425	1.64	$167.0135[M - H]^{-}$	Isovanillic acid	Fol,Rec	b
25	1 59	СНО	E64 1470	564 1477	0.29	$106.9976[M-H-CH_3-COOH]^{-1}$	Amiin	Com Dlu Doo	
33	4.36	$C_{26}\Pi_{28}O_{14}$	364.1479	364.1477	-0.28	$305.1030[\text{M} + \text{H}_{\odot}]^{-}, 445.1074$ $327.0220[\text{M} + \text{H}_{\odot}]^{-} - 281.0124[\text{M} + \text{H}_{\odot}]^{-}$	Арші	Sem, Flu, Rec	
36	4.61	$C_{16}H_{10}O_5$	282.0528	282.0530	0.46	237.0220[M + 11COO], $201.0124[M - 11]$ ,	Pseudobaptigenin	Plu	b
07	4.45		005 1 451		1 = 4	$328.1542[M + H]^+, 297.6281[M + H-OCH_3]^+,$	NT · I·	E 1 D1	h
37	4.65	$C_{19}H_{21}NO_4$	327.1471	327.1466	-1.54	296.1195[M + H-CH <sub>3</sub> OH] <sup>+</sup>	$296.1195[M + H-CH_3OH]^+$ Norisocorydin		D
38	4.65	$C_{36}H_{40}N_2O_6$	596.2886	596.2883	-0.50	641.2319[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 597.2950[M + H] <sup>+</sup> Dauriciline		Plu	[26]
• •							Isoetin-7-O-β-D-	0. D	
39	4.75	$C_{26}H_{28}O_{16}$	596.1377	596.1378	0.16	$641.0141[M + HCOO]^{-}, 595.0060[M - H]^{-}$	glucopyranosyl-2'-O-β-D-	Sta,Rec	
						405.0541[M + HCOO] = 440.0101[M H] =	xyloypyranoside		
40	4.79	$C_{21}H_{22}O_{11}$	450.1162	450.1154	-1.82	$495.0341[M + \Pi COO]$ , $449.0191[M-\Pi]$ , $431.1400[M + H - \Pi - \Omega]^{-1}$	Astilbin	Sta	b
						451.1490[[11-1120]			
41	4.93	C37H42N2O6	610.3043	610.3034	-1.40	$609.2213[M - H]^{-}, 503.1425(C_{30}H_{35}N_2O_5),$	Liensinine	Sem.Fol.Plu.Rec	b
40	4.00		222 1094	222 1072	4.05	$489.0128(C_{29}H_{33}N_2O_5)$	D t- 1	E-1 Dl.	h
42	4.96	$C_{15}H_{26}O$	222.1984	222.1973	-4.95	267.1642[M + HCOO], $221.0231[M - H]200.1708[M + H] + 260.1218(C - H - O)$	Pogostol	F01,P1u	U
43	4.96	C10H21NO2	299.1521	299.1517	-1.48	$237 0769(C_{16}H_{12}O_2) 209 1600(C_{15}H_{12}O_3)$	N-Methylcoclaurine	Fol.Rec	[26]
10	100	01811211103	20011021		1110	107.0537(C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>7</sub> O)	i incur ji coca anne	101/100	[=0]
44	5.17	$C_{37}H_{42}N_2O_6$	610.3043	610.3047	0.61	611.3093[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 568.2705	Dauricinoline	Plu	[26]
45	5.24	$C_{15}H_{12}O_7$	304.0583	304.0588	1.59	349.0178[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 303.0161[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Taxifolin	Fol,Rec	
46	5.28	$C_{16}H_{28}O_7$	332.1835	332.1835	-0.13	377.1581[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 331.1492[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Betulalbuside A	Sem	b
47	5.32	$C_{26}H_{28}O_{14}$	564.1479	564.1498	3.37	609.1000[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 300.0008[A-H] <sup>-</sup> Rutin		Plu	[26]
48	5.35	$C_{18}H_{10}O_8$	354.0376	354.0382	1.73	$355.0372[M + H]^+$ ,	Mongolicumin A	Sem	
						353.0079[M - H] 611 1575[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	0		
49	5.39	C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>30</sub> O <sub>16</sub>	610.1534	610.1525	-1.52	$6090798[M - H]^{-1}$	Nelumboroside A	Sem,Plu,Sta	[26]
50	5.43	C16H20Oe	340.1158	340.1156	-0.56	$385.0656[M + HCOO]^{-}$ , $177.0334(C_{10}H_0O_2)$	Linocinnamarin	Sem.Fol	b
		-1020-08	450 0545	470.0750	2.1.1	$477.0278[M - H]^{-}, 301.0090[A]^{-}.$			h
51	5.57	$C_{21}H_{18}O_{13}$	478.0747	478.0758	2.14	300.0008[A-H] <sup>-</sup>	Quercetin 3-O-glucuronide	Fol,Plu,Sta,Kec	D
52	5.64	$C_{15}H_{10}O_7$	302.0427	302.0418	-2.73	303.0519[M + H]+, 237.0421	Quercetin	Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec	b

No.	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Formula	Theoretical (Da)	Calculated Mass (Da)	Mass Error (ppm)	MS <sup>E</sup> Fragmentation	Identification	Sources	Ref.
53	5.67	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	448.1006	448.1004	-0.30	$\begin{array}{ll} 447.0599[M-H]^-, 429.1443(C_{21}H_{17}O_{10}),\\ 357.0284[M-H-90]^-, 327.0294[M-H-120]^-, & Orientin\\ & 297.0076[M-H-150]^- \end{array}$		Sem,Plu,Fol	b
54	5.67	$C_{26}H_{28}O_{15}$	580.1428	580.1439	1.89	625.1139[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 579.0697[M – H] <sup>-</sup> Lutelin-7-O-[β-D- apiofuranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 6)]β-D-		Sem,Plu,Sta	[26]
55	5.67	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{14}$	578.1636	578.1644	1.49	$577.1150[M-H]^{-}, 431.0639, 413.0504$	Kaempferitrin	Plu	b
56	5.70	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{12}$	464.0955	464.0952	-0.54	463.0343[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 300.9948[A-H] <sup>-</sup> , 299.9901[A-2H] <sup>-</sup>	Isoquercetin	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec	а
57	5.71	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	448.1006	448.1008	0.50	$493.0378[M + HCOO]^{-}, 447.0382[M - H]^{-}, 429.1273[M-H-H-O]^{-}, 300.9948[M-H-Clc]^{-}$	Quercitrin	Fol,Sta	b
58	5.74	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	448.1006	448.0992	-3.14	$425.1275[M+111_2O]$ , $500.9948[M+11-GR]$ $449.1170[M + H]^+$ , $287.0624(C_{15}H_{11}O_6)$ $625.3275[M + H]^+$ , $594.2842[M+H-NH_2CH_3]^+$ .	Luteolin-7-O-glucoside	Fol,Plu,Sta	Ь
59	5.86	$C_{38}H_{44}N_2O_6$	624.3199	624.3201	0.30	582.2826[M+H-CH <sub>2</sub> =N-CH <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>+</sup> , 489.2331( $C_{29}H_{33}N_2O_5$ ), 206.1181( $C_{12}H_{16}NO_2$ ), 121.0652( $C_8H_9O$ )	Neferine	Sem,Fol,Plu	b
60	5.89	$C_{19}H_{23}NO_4$	329.1627	329.1621	-1.90	330.1620[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 328.1265[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Sinomenine	Sem,Plu	
61	5.92	$C_{22}H_{22}O_{10}$	446.1213	446.1201	-2.57	491.0754[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , Sissotrin 427.1105[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>-</sup>		Sem,Plu	b
62	5.98	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>19</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	281.1416	281.1418	0.83	$282.1552[M + H]^+, 253.1186(C_{17}H_{17}O_2),$ $251.1113(C_{17}H_{15}O_2)$	82.1552 $[M + H]^+$ , 253.1186( $C_{17}H_{17}O_2$ ), Floribundine		b
63	5.99	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>4</sub>	237.1001	237.0994	-3.16	$282.0810[M + HCOO]^{-}, 236.0730[M - H]^{-}$	Desmodimine	Plu,Sta	
64	6.03	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	448.1006	448.1013	1.59	$447.0425[M - H]^{-}$ , 285.0017[M-H-Glc]^{-}	Trifolin	Sta	b
65	6.17	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>10</sub>	444.1056	444.1068	2.66	489.0943[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 443.0680[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Apigenin-7-O-glucuronide	Sem	
66	6.24	$C_{20}H_{22}O_6$	358.1416	358.1428	3.30	357.1096[М – Н] <sup>-</sup> , 339.0475[М-Н-Н <sub>2</sub> О] <sup>-</sup>	Glicophenone	Sem	b
67	6.24	$C_{28}H_{32}O_{16}$	624.1690	624.1705	2.29	669.0948[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 623.1085[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 315.0210[A] <sup>-</sup>	Isorhamnetin 3-O-rutinoside	Plu,Sta,Rec	b
68	6.28	$C_{21}H_{18}O_{12}$	462.0798	462.0814	3.40	$507.0176[M + HCOO]^-, 461.0183[M - H]^-, 285.0052(C_{15}H_9O_6)$	kaempferol-3-O-glucuronide	Fol,Sta,Rec	b
69	6.29	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>32</sub> O <sub>16</sub>	624.1690	624.1673	-2.81	$625.1740[M + H]^+, 607.2749[M+H-H_2O]^+, 317.0661(C_{16}H_{13}O_7)$	Isorhamnetin 3-O-robinobioside	Plu,Sta	b
70	6.34	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>10</sub>	432.1056	432.1066	2.27	477.0591[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 431.0469[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Cosmosiin	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec	b
71	6.36	$C_{25}H_{33}N_5O_7$	515.2380	515.2365	-2.91	538.2259[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 516.1849[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Asterinin D	Sem	
72	6.45	C27H30O14	578.1636	578.1632	-0.62	623.2516[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 577.1199[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Sophorabioside	Sem,Sta	b
73	6.48	$C_{15}H_{10}O_5$	270.0528	270.0522	-2.44	269.0117[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 271.0582[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Apigenin	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec	b
74	6.52	$C_{43}H_{42}O_{22}$	910.2168	910.2125	-4.72	955.0959[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 909.0781[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Carthamin	Sta	b
75	6.57	$C_{16}H_{12}O_7$	316.0583	316.0574	-2.88	$361.0094[M + HCOO]^-$ , $317.0589[M + H]^+$ , $315.0173[M - H]^-$ , $151.0941(C_7H_3O_4)$	Isorhamnetin	Fol,Sta,Rec	b
76	6.57	$C_{17}H_{14}O_8$	346.0689	346.0677	-3.49	347.0693[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 332.0417[M+H-ČH <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>+</sup> 479.1059[M + H] <sup>+</sup> ,	Limocitrin	Sta	b
77	6.57	$C_{22}H_{22}O_{12}$	478.1111	478.1098	-2.81	477.0547[M - H] <sup>-</sup> , 459.0726[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>-</sup> , 315.0173[M-Glc] <sup>-</sup>	Nepitrin	Sta,Rec	b

Table 1. Cont.

No.	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Formula	Theoretical (Da)	Calculated Mass (Da)	Mass Error (ppm)	MS <sup>E</sup> Fragmentation	MS <sup>E</sup> Fragmentation Identification		Ref.
78	6.66	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>30</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	370.1992	370.1996	1.14	415.1674[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 371.2011[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	(6R,9R)-3-Oxo-α-ionol β-D-glucoside	Sem	
79	6.66	$C_{20}H_{18}O_{10}$	418.0900	418.0901	0.33	$417.0346[M - H]^{-}$ , 285.0052	417.0346[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 285.0052 Juglalin		b
80	6.66	$C_{22}H_{20}O_{13}$	492.0904	492.0917	2.68	493.0865[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 491.0209[M - H] <sup>-</sup>	Quercetin-3-Ο-β-D- glucuronide-6"-methyl ester	Fol,Sta,Rec	
81	6.70	$C_9H_{16}O_4$	188.1049	188.1045	-1.70	187.0818[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 169.0681[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>-</sup> , 143.0950[M-COOH] <sup>-</sup>	Azelaic acid	Sem,Plu,Sta	b
82	6.74	$C_{15}H_{12}O_{6}$	288.0634	288.0641	2.31	$287.0242[M - H]^{-}$ , $125.0811(C_6H_5O_3)$	2-Hydroxynaringenin	Sta	b
83	6.74	$C_{25}H_{28}O_5$	408.1937	408.1921	-3.85	453.1553[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 407.1535[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	2',4',7-Trihydroxy-6,8-bis(3- methyl-2-butenyl)flavanone	Sem	
84	6.74	$C_{19}H_{32}O_7$	372.2148	372.2158	2.56	417.1852[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 371.1847[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 209.0633[M-Glc] <sup>-</sup> , Blumenol C glucoside 373 2178[M + H] <sup>+</sup>		Sem,Fol,Plu	b
85	6.77	C28H31ClO10	562.1606	562.1630	4.33	607.1154[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 561.1597[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Physalin H	Plu	b
86	6.79	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>32</sub> O <sub>15</sub>	608.1741	608.1741	-0.02	609.1818[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 315.1604	Kakkalide	Plu	1.
87	6.98	$C_{22}H_{22}O_{10}$	446.1213	446.1199	-3.16	$469.1024[M + Na]^+, 267.0620[M+H-Glc]^+$	Glucoobtusifolin	Sem	Ь
88	7.02	$C_{15}H_8O_7$	300.0270	300.0272	0.59	$298.9802[M - H]^{-}, 254.9951[M-H-CO_2]^{-}$	Pseudopurpurin	Fol,Sta,Rec	b
09 90	7.02	$C_{16} \Pi_{12} O_8$	514 2203	514 2185	3.44	$331.0454[M - H]^{-}, 312.9961[M-H-H_2O]^{-}$ Patuletin		FOI,Sta,Nec	b
90	7.41	C <sub>28</sub> 11 <sub>34</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	514.2205	514.2105	-5.44	$287.0624[M + H]^+$	Nonumit		- 1.
91	7.53	$C_{15}H_{10}O_6$	286.0477	286.0475	-0.99	285.0155[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Luteolin	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	, D
92	7.84	$C_{19}H_{12}O_7$	352.0583	352.0579	-1.19	397.0275[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 351.0215[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Phellibaumin A	Sem,Rec	
93	7.96	$C_{17}H_{14}O_7$	330.0740	330.0754	4.36	353.0627[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 331.2370[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Jaceosidin	Sem	
94	8.08	$C_{25}H_{24}O_{12}$	516.1268	516.1254	-2.65	$515.0680[M - H]^{-}$ , $352.9925(C_{16}H_{17}O_9)$ 296.1688[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 265.1266(C_{10}H_{17}O_9)	1,3-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	Sem	b
95	8.12	$C_{19}H_{21}NO_2$	295.1572	295.1568	-1.35	$250.1000[M + 11], 205.1200(C_{18}11/O_2), 250.0978(C_{17}H_{14}O_2), 235.0775(C_{16}H_{11}O_2), 219.0819(C_{16}H_{11}O), 191.0874(C_{15}H_{11}), 179.0890(C_{14}H_{11})$	Nuciferine	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	J [26]
96	8.36	$C_{11}H_{16}O_3$	196.1099	196.1107	3.80	241.0896[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 197.1146[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Loliolide	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta	
97	8.43	C24H29NO6	427.1995	427.1996	0.25	$450.1884[M + Na]^+,$	Pulchellamine D	Sem,Plu	
98	8.50	$C_{15}H_{10}O_7$	302.0427	302.0433	2.17	426.1630[M - H] $301.0054[M - H]^{-}, 245.1061(C_{13}H_9O_5)$	Morin	Sem,Fol,Sta,Rec	b
99	8.53	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	286.0477	286.0469	-3.10	287.0555[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 269.0413[M+H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>+</sup>	Citreorosein	Sem	b
100	8.65	$C_{16}H_{12}O_{6}$	300.0634	300.0622	-3.94	345.0161[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 177.0334[M-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	$345.0161[M + HcOC]^-,$ Pratensein		b
101	8.75	$C_{16}H_{12}O_5$	284.0685	284.0682	-0.95	329.0322[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 282.9966[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Biochanin A	Sem	b
102	8.84	$C_{19}H_{21}NO_{3}$	311.1521	311.1527	1.93	312.1680[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 254.1317 Thebaine		Fol	[26]
103	8.89	$C_{38}H_{42}N_2O_6$	622.3043	622.3031	-1.87	$623.3239[M + H]^+, 580.9211$ Tetrandrine		Plu,Rec	[26]
104	9.32	$C_{22}H_{24}O_{11}$	464.1319	464.1319	0.08	$463.0740[M - H]^{-}, 301.0338[M-Glc]^{-}$	Hesperetin-/-glucoside	FOI E-1	U
105	9.40	$C_{21}H_{38}O_9$	434.2516	454.2533	3.98	$4/9.103/[M + HCOO]$ , $433.196/[M - H]^{-}$ $314.0791[M + Na]^{+}$	Amarantholidol A glycoside	FOI	
106	9.51	$C_{18}H_{13}NO_3$	291.0895	291.0885	-3.47	$292.0993[M + H]^+$	Lysicamine	Rec	
107	9.64	C27H30O13	562.1686	562.1677	-1.69	561.1063[M – H] <sup>–</sup> , 115.9048	Kushenol O	Sem	

Table 1. Cont.

Table 1. Cont.

No.	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Formula	Theoretical (Da)	Calculated Mass (Da)	Mass Error (ppm)	MS <sup>E</sup> Fragmentation Identification		Sources	Ref.
108	9.67	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>27</sub> NO <sub>4</sub>	345.1940	345.1946	1.78	368.1962[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , Saussureamine B 346.2238[M + H] <sup>+</sup>		Fol	
109	9.68	$C_{22}H_{20}O_{11}$	460.1006	460.1007	0.32	505.0414[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 459.0331[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Wogonoside	Fol	
110	9.74	$C_{15}H_{10}O_5$	270.0528	270.0518	-3.95	$271.0582[M + H]^+, 253.0470[M+H-H_2O]^+$	Genistein	Sem	b
111	9.82	$C_{17}H_{14}O_7$	330.0740	330.0724	-4.73	375.1564[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 329.0248[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Tricin	Sta,Rec	
112	9.86	$C_{11}H_{14}O_5$	226.0841	226.0852	4.64	249.0733[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 227.1603[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Genipin	Sem	b
113	9.89	$C_{15}H_{10}O_{6}$	286.0477	286.0481	1.21	285.0052[M - H] <sup>-</sup> , 286.0086, 243.0011, 174.9307, 106.9976	Kaempferol	Fol,Sta	b
114	9.89	$C_{37}H_{40}N_2O_6$	608.28863	608.2901	2.33	653.2299[М + НСОО] <sup>-</sup> , 607.1910[М – Н] <sup>-</sup>	Berbamine	Plu	
115	9.96	$C_{23}H_{18}O_8$	422.1002	422.0996	-1.37	$467.0750[M + HCOO]^{-}, 421.0505[M - H]^{-}$	Interfungin B	Sem	
116	10.08	$C_{16}H_{12}O_{6}$	300.0634	300.0623	-3.59	$301.0681[M + H]^{+}, 283.1732[M+H-H_2O]^{+}, 271.0582(C_{15}H_{11}O_5)$	Chrysoeriol	Sem,Fol	b
117	10.88	$C_{18}H_{34}O_5$	330.2406	330.2403	-0.85	329.1920[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 313.1157[M-OH] <sup>-</sup>	Sanleng acid	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	[26]
118	11.06	$C_{15}H_{28}O_3$	256.2038	256.2038	-0.25	301.1689[М + НСОО] <sup>-</sup> , 255.7936[М – Н] <sup>-</sup>	Bullatantriol	Sta	
119	11.13	$C_{17}H_{14}O_5$	298.0841	298.0833	-2.64	$\begin{array}{c} 343.0448 [M + HCOO]^{-}, 271.1218 (C_{15} H_{11} O_5), \\ 267.0337 [M - OCH_3]^{-} \end{array}$	343.0448[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 271.1218(C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ), 267.0337[M-OCH <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> 5-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-(4- methoxyphenyl)-4H- chromen-4-one		b
120	11.27	$C_{16}H_{14}O_{6}$	302.0790	302.0799	2.89	347.0313[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 301.0374[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 283.9966[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>-</sup> , 164.9977(C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>5</sub> O <sub>4</sub> )	$347.0313[M + HCOO]^-$ , $301.0374[M - H]^-$ , $283.9966[M-H-H_2O]^-$ , $164.9977(C_8H_5O_4)$ Blumeatin		b
121	11.52	$C_{16}H_{12}O_{6}$	300.0634	300.0636	0.86	345.0199[M + HCOO] <sup>−</sup> , 299.0297[M − H] <sup>−</sup> , 285.0086[M-CH <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>−</sup> , 284.0034[M-H-CH <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>−</sup>	$345.0199[M + HCOO]^{-}$ , 299.0297 $[M - H]^{-}$ , Diosmetin		b
122	11.70	$C_{15}H_8O_5$	268.0372	268.0385	4.84	313.0034[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 267.0739[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Coumesterol	Sem	b
123	11.73	$C_{15}H_{28}O_2$	240.2089	240.2096	2.74	285.1746[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 239.1349[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Isodonsesquitin A	Sta	
124	12.33	$C_{17}H_{26}O_2$	262.1933	262.1939	2.44	307.1509[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 261.1005[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	(Z)-7-Acetoxy-methyl-11- methyl-3-methylenedodeca- 1.6.10-triene	Sem,Plu,Sta	
125	13.08	C16H12O5	284.0685	284.0673	-4.07	285.0765[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 253.1413[M-OCH <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+</sup>	Prunetin	Sem	b
126	13.24	$C_{18}H_{23}NO_3$	301.1678	301.1693	4.98	324.1644[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 302 1018[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Futoamide	Rec	b
127	13.58	C17H19NO3	285.1365	285.1370	1.91	$308.1296[M + Na]^+$ , 288.2559	Morphine	Fol,Rec,RN	b
128	13.75	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>28</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	264.2089	264.2092	0.85	309.1676[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 263.1494[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 221.1235[M-COCH <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	Cedryl acetate	Plu,Sta	b
129	13.89	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>30</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	266.2246	266.2248	0.85	$311.1837[M + HCOO]^{-}, 265.1130[M - H]^{-}$	Cireneol G	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec	
130	14.57	$C_{17}H_{26}O_{4}$	294.1831	294.1832	0.40	339.1569[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 293.1417[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Gmelinin B	RN	
131	14.92	$C_{36}H_{58}O_9$	634.4081	634.4058	-3.66	679.3038[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 633.3121[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Ecliptasaponin D	Sta	
132	14.96	$C_{18}H_{34}O_4$	314.2457	314.2463	1.89	$313.2063[M - H]^{-}, 239.1380[M-H-OC_4H_9]^{-}$	Dibutyl decanedioate	Sem,Plu,Sta	b
133	14.98	$C_{34}H_{52}O_9$	604.3611	604.3606	-0.87	$603.2665[M - H]^{-}$	Periplocoside M	Sta	
134	15.04	$C_{30}H_{46}O_3$	454.3447	454.3440	-1.53	437 3432[M+H-H <sub>2</sub> O]+ 409 3499[M-COOH]+	Ursonic acid	Fol,Sta,Rec	b
135	15.77	$C_{29}H_{46}O_4$	458.3396	458.3388	-1.75	$503.2620[M + HCOO]^-, 457.2710[M - H]^-$	Neotigogenin acetate	Rec	
136	15.81	$C_{18}H_{32}O_2$	280.2402	280.2400	-0.93	25.2062[M + HCOO] , 279.1994[M - H] <sup>-</sup> , 261.1038[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>-</sup>	Linoleic acid	Sem,Plu,Sta	b

Table 1. Cont.

No.	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Formula	Theoretical (Da)	Calculated Mass (Da)	Mass Error (ppm)	n) MS <sup>E</sup> Fragmentation Identification		Sources	Ref.
137	16.37	$C_{15}H_{22}O_4$	266.1518	266.1517	-0.27	265.1230[M - H] <sup>-</sup> , 221.8184[M-H-COO] <sup>-</sup> Artemin		Sem,Plu,Sta	b
138	17.54	$C_{30}H_{46}O_4$	470.3396	470.3375	-4.47	$469.2683[M - H]^{-}, 451.1740[M-H-H_2O]^{-},$	Glycyrrhetinic acid	Rec,RN	b
139	17.58	$C_{32}H_{44}O_9$	572.2985	572.2993	1.41	$573.3043[M + H]^+, 555.2916[M+H-H_2O]^+$	Ganoderic acid H	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec	b
140	17.77	$C_{30}H_{44}O_8$	532.3036	532.3027	-1.75	$555.2916[M + Na]^+, 515.3719[M+H-H_2O]^+,$ 497 3564(C20H41O())	Ganoderic acid G	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec	b
141	18.25	C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>47</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	541.3363	541.3380	3.13	586.2817[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 540.2609[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	2(1H)- Isoquinolinecarboximidamide,3,4 dihydro-N- 3,6,9,12,15,18,21,24- octaoxapentacos-1-vl-	- Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec	
142	18.36	$C_{34}H_{46}O_9$	598.3142	598.3119	-3.85	643.3286[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 597.2510[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Daturametelin H	Sem,Sta	
143	18.45	$C_{18}H_{28}O_2$	276.2089	276.2076	-4.83	321.1788[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 277.2154[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 275.1695[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 257.1711[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>-</sup>	Stearidonic acid	Sem,Plu,Sta	b
144 145 146	18.85 18.93 19.56	$\begin{array}{c} C_{18}H_{34}O_3\\ C_{39}H_{60}O_{15}\\ C_{20}H_{28}O_3 \end{array}$	298.2508 768.3932 316.2038	298.2512 768.3970 316.2032	1.26 4.92 -2.08	343.2193[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 297.2159[M - H] <sup>-</sup> 813.3219[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 767.2943[M - H] <sup>-</sup> 361.1339[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 315.1700[M - H] <sup>-</sup>	Ricinoleic acid Hypoglaucin H Saurufuran B	Sem,Plu,Sta,Rec Sem Fol	b
147	19.81	$C_{35}H_{60}O_{6}$	576.4390	576.4394	0.68	621.3870[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 464.1719[M-C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>16</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	Daucosterol	Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	[26]
148	20.56	$C_{30}H_{50}O_3$	458.3760	458.3767	1.46	$459.3841[M + H]^+, 441.3736[M+H-H_2O]^+, 423.3613(C_{20}H_{47}O)$	Soyasapogenol B	Sem,Rec	b
149	21.23	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>46</sub> O	398.3549	398.3550	0.22	421.3392[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 399.3546[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	24-Methylenecholesterol	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	b
150	21.76	$C_{18}H_{30}O_2$	278.2246	278.2252	2.27	323.1931[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 277.1808[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 233.2307[M-COOH] <sup>-</sup>	Linolenic acid	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec	b
151	23.35	$C_{19}H_{38}O_2$	298.2872	298.2876	1.52	343.2383[M + HCOO] <sup>−</sup> , 279.1925[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>−</sup> , 253.1802[M-COOH] <sup>−</sup>	Nonadecanoic Acid	Plu,Rec	b
152	23.46	$C_{30}H_{46}O_3$	454.3447	454.3437	-2.29	$453.2730[M - H]^{-}$ , $423.2258(C_{29}H_{43}O_2)$	Ganoderiol F	Sta,Rec,RN	b
153	23.47	$C_{29}H_{48}O_2$	428.3654	428.3638	-3.76	$429.3717[M + H]^+, 411.3596[M+H-H_2O]^+$	3β-Hydroxystigmast-5-en-7- one	Sta	b
154	23.97	$C_{30}H_{46}O_5$	486.3345	486.3337	-1.78	509.3112[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 469.3638[M+H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>+</sup> , 423.3571[M-COOH-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>+</sup>	Ceanothic acid	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	b
155	24.35	$C_{21}H_{30}O_3$	330.2195	330.2195	0.12	353.2165[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 331.3078[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Tussilagonone	Fol,RN	b
156	24.66	$C_{22}H_{42}O_2$	338.3185	338.3173	-3.38	383.2634[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 339.3274[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 321.3164[M+H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>+</sup> , 303.3048(C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>39</sub> )	Erucic acid	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec	b
157	24.99	$C_{16}H_{32}O_2$	256.2402	256.2410	3.06	$255.2143[M - H]^{-}, 237.1881[M-H-H_2O]^{-}, 211.1025[M-COOH]^{-}$	Palmitic acid	Sem,Plu,Sta	b
158 159	24.99 24.99	$C_{20}H_{40}O_2$ $C_{36}H_{62}O_{10}$	312.3028 654.4343	312.3028 654.4335	$-0.15 \\ -1.15$	311.2523[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 293.1382[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>-</sup> 699.3741[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 653.3450[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	Arachidic acid Pseudo-ginsenoside RT4	Sta Sem,Plu,Rec	b
160	25.55	$C_{30}H_{52}O_4$	476.3866	476.3865	-0.06	$499.3885[M + Na]^+,$ $477.3926[M + H]^+$	20(S)-Protopanaxatriol	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta	
161	25.68	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>41</sub> NO	335.3188	335.3178	-3.05	$358.3636[M + Na]^+,$ $336.3233[M + H]^+$	<i>N</i> -Isobutyl-2E,4E- octadecadienamide	Sem,Sta,Rec	

No.	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Formula	Theoretical (Da)	Calculated Mass (Da)	Mass Error (ppm)	MS <sup>E</sup> Fragmentation	Identification	Sources	Ref.
162	26.57	C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>46</sub> O	410.3549	410.3538	-2.58	411.3720[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 393.3600[M+H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>+</sup>	Corbisterol	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	b
163	27.18	C <sub>57</sub> H <sub>98</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	878.7363	878.7374	1.18	923.6272[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 877.4003[M - H] <sup>-</sup>	Linolein	Sem,Plu	
164	27.19	$C_{29}H_{46}O_2$	426.3498	426.3483	-3.45	427.3565[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 409.3457[M+H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>+</sup>	Stigmast-4-ene-3,6-dione	Sem,Plu,Rec	b
165	27.36	$C_{18}H_{36}O_2$	284.2715	284.2641	1.51	$283.2275[M - H]^{-}, 255.1946[M-C_2H_5]^{-}, 237.0084[M-H-C_2H_5OH]^{-}$	Ethyl hexadecanoate	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	b
166	27.36	$C_{21}H_{42}O_2$	326.3185	326.3195	3.12	371.2715[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 325.1361[M – H] <sup>-</sup> , 307.1581[M-H-H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>-</sup>	Heneicosanoic acid	Plu,Sta,Rec	b
167	27.38	C16H <sub>22</sub> O4	278.1518	278.1512	-2.16	301.1426[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 279.1635[M + H] <sup>+</sup> , 205.2028[M-OC <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> ] <sup>+</sup> , 57.0751(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> )	Diisobutyl phthalate	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	b
168	27.38	$C_{24}H_{38}O_4$	390.2770	390.2764	-1.56	413.2744[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 391.2936[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,RN	b
169	27.38	$C_{42}H_{74}O_{15}$	818.5028	818.5049	2.61	863.3998[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 819.5060[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Quinquenoside L9	Plu,Sta	
170	27.4	$C_{36}H_{64}O_9$	640.4550	640.4522	-4.50	685.3537[M + HCOO] <sup>-</sup> , 639.3768[M – H] <sup>-</sup>	3-O-β-D-Glucopyranosyl- dammar-3β,12β,20R,25- tetraol	Sem,Rec	[26]
171	27.57	$C_{55}H_{74}N_4O_5$	870.5659	870.5654	-0.63	893.7276[M + Na] <sup>+</sup> , 871.5701[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Pheophytin a	Sem,Fol,Plu,Sta,Rec,RN	

Table 1. Cont.

<sup>a</sup> Identified with the standard. <sup>b</sup> In comparison to spectral data obtained from the Human Metabolome Database (Canada).



Figure 3. Cont.



Figure 3. Chemical structures of compounds identified in six different parts of lotus.

As listed in Table 1, the compounds were determined according to their characteristic MS fragmentation patterns, or the retention times of reference standards. Take an alkaloid (17) and a flavonoid (56) as examples to illustrate the resolution process of the compounds. Compound 17 is a benzylisoquinoline alkaloid ( $t_R = 2.10 \text{ min}, C_{19}H_{23}NO_3$ ) yielded [M + H]<sup>+</sup> ion at *m*/*z* 314.1754, and produced fragment ion at *m*/*z* 283.0286 due to parent ion peak losing CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>. *m*/*z* 206.1181 and *m*/*z* 107.0875 are fragment ion peaks formed by benzyl cleavage. By comparison with literature information, this component was identified as armepavine [27]. The mass spectrogram is shown in Figure 4a. Compound 56 is an oxygen glycosides flavonoid ( $t_R = 5.70 \text{ min}, C_{21}H_{20}O_{12}$ ) yielded [M – H]<sup>-</sup> ion at *m*/*z* 463.0343, and produced fragment ion at *m*/*z* 300.9948 due to parent ion peak losing glucose



moiety. By comparison with literature and reference standard information, this component was identified as isoquercetin [28]. The mass spectrogram is shown in Figure 4b.

Figure 4. The possible fragment pathway for peak 17 and 56.

### 2.2. Metabolomics Analysis of Six Different Parts of Lotus

Metabolomics analyses of six parts of lotus included PCA and OPLS-DA. First, to separate the parts and obtain the maximum variables, PCA was used to obtain the score plots (Figure 5a) and loading plots (Figure 5b). In the score plots, the green QC points are closely gathered together to form a cluster, which indicates that the system is stable. It can be seen from the figure that the samples from the **Sem**, **Rec**, **Plu**, **Sta**, **Fol** and **RN** groups could be easily divided into six clusters, and the six parts had achieved obvious separation, indicating that the six parts could be easily distinguished. In the loading plots, 23 variables that can be distinguished among the six clusters were found.

Second, to further evaluate the differences between the six parts, one was distinguished from the others, the maximum separation of the six parts was achieved, the potential biomarkers that may lead to the differences were found, and OPLS-DA was carried out. Then, for the visualization of the OPLS-DA and convenient interpretation of the model, S-plots were created. At the same time, to screen the different components, the variable importance of the projection (VIP) was introduced. The metabolites with VIP values above 1.0 and *p*-values below 0.05 were considered as potential biomarkers [29–31]. Based on these two important parameters and the identification of the components from six parts (Table 1), 23 reliable known biomarkers were found to distinguish the six parts and were labeled in the S-plots (Figure 6). In addition, a heatmap (Figure 7) was drawn to systematically evaluate these biomarkers and visually display the intensity of these biomarkers. For **Sem**, there were three potential biomarkers, including flavonoids (**110**, **125**) and a quinone (**99**). For **FoI**, there were three potential biomarkers, including terpenoids (**105**, **108**) and an alkaloid (**102**). For **Plu**, there were nine potential biomarkers, including

flavonoids (29, 47, 55, 86), alkaloids (28, 38, 44) an organic acid (10) and an organic acid ester (85). For Sta, there were four potential biomarkers, including steroids (133, 153), a terpenoid (131) and an organic acid (158). For Rec, there were three potential biomarkers, including an alkaloid (106), a steroid (135), and an amide (126). For RN, there was only one potential biomarker–a terpenoid (130). These robust biomarkers enabling the differentiation among Sem, Fol, Plu, Sta, Rec and RN can be used for the rapid identification of six parts of lotus.



Figure 5. The PCA score plot (a) and loading plot (b) of six different parts of lotus.



Figure 6. Cont.



**Figure 6.** The OPLS-DA/S-plots of **Sem**, **Fol**, **Plu**, **Sta**, **Rec**, and **RN** of lotus. The points on the lower left represent the compounds in this part, and the points at the higher right represent the compounds in the other five parts. The biomarkers and their compound numbers are marked in red.



Figure 7. Heatmap visualizing the intensities of potential biomarkers.

#### 3. Discussion

Herbal medicines usually play a holistic role in maintaining health through multiple targets because they contain multiple constituents. Being a traditional Chinese herb, lotus has been used to treat various diseases. In the last decades, chemoinformatics and systems pharmacology have been successfully applied in the discovery of the active component of traditional Chinese medicines and their mechanisms of action. It is well known that the process of fully understanding the ingredients of herb using traditional methods is labor intensive, difficult and time-consuming. Fortunately, the combining of UPLC-QToF-MS technology and UNIFI platform helps researchers reveal the containing compounds in herbs in an efficient way.

The present study analysed the principal components of the lotus six parts by UPLC-QToF-MS. Combined the related literatures [6,32,33] with our previous experiments, heat reflux extraction with 80% ethanol was chosen for the samples extraction. And the detecting conditions, mobile phase elution solutions of UPLC, positive and negative ion detection modes of QToF-MS, were optimized by quality control samples. MS and MS/MS data were collected simultaneously to improve the efficiency and accuracy of data collection in the MS<sup>E</sup> model. The tolerance of t<sub>R</sub> was  $\pm$  0.1 min. The isotopic pattern was included in the peak identification. The permutation testing was the scoring function for identification and statistical analysis parameter *p*-value need to below 0.05. As a result, 171 compounds were identified or tentatively characterized from the six parts of lotus.

It was found that flavonoids were the most common compounds: 56 of 171 were flavonoids. **Sta** was ranked first due to the 31 kinds of flavonoids being detected, followed by **Plu** (24 kinds), **Sem** (23 kinds), **Fol** (20 kinds), and **Rec** (20 kinds). By comparing the species of flavonoids distributed in the six parts, it was found that 18 of the 20 in **Rec** are consistent with those in **Sta**, and half of the species in **Sem** are the same as those in **Plu**. Luteolin is the only flavonoid detected from **RN**, and it is also available in the other five parts.

Alkaloids are also important active components in lotus. In this experiment, 22 kinds of alkaloid compounds were detected, including isoquinoline alkaloids, aporphine alkaloids and so on. **Plu** contained 15 kinds, followed by **Fol** with 11 kinds. Armepavine and nuciferine are available in all six parts. Terpenoids were the most abundant in lotus **Sta**, containing 13 species. Statistical analysis was conducted on the compounds detected from the six parts, and the structure types and the numbers of compounds in the six parts are shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Type and number of compounds in the six parts of lotus.

The established method can be used as a standard protocol for directly discriminating between and predicting the six parts of lotus. Traditional Chinese herbal therapy can be characterized by the use of a large number of multi-herb formulae. Chinese patent medicines (CPM), which come from traditional Chinese classical prescriptions, are usually prepared by modern pharmaceutical techniques with various herbs as raw material. After processing, the unique morphological characteristics of the original herbs disappeared, and the active chemical constituents were successfully preserved. So the chemical compositions and characters of CPM have been considered a reliable index of quality control. Being used as both delicious food and empirical medicine, the formulation and preparation conditions must be improved to achieve better delivery of nutritional ingredients and increased bioactivities of the food and medicinal products. Lotus is one of the commonly-used herbal drugs. Its two parts or more appeared in one prescription for some disease treatment. For example, *Bai Dai Wan* from *Hui Zhi Tang Jing Yan Fang* (Experiential Prescriptions from Hui Zhi Clinic) is comprised of eight herbs including lotus seed, stamens and rhizome nodes. The biomarkers found in this paper can provide bases for examining the raw herbs types in the finished preparations and improving the quality of products.

Most importantly, data analyses provided useful information for the further study and usage of the plant. The results of this phytochemical profile study are far more comparable because the same procedure was used during sample analysis, including during sample treatment, the detection procedure, data processing and the interpretation of testing data. Compared with the previous studies [2,33–35], this was the first time that alkaloids (8, 17, 20, 24, 63, 95) were detected in Sta, and terpenoids (97, 124, 139, 140, 148, 154, 159, 160, 170) were detected in Sem. Sta is a more noteworthy part than the others, for it has the greatest diversity of flavonoids and terpenoids, but relatively few studies on Sta can be found compared to the other parts.

Being a traditional Chinese herb, lotus has been used for more than 2,000 years. However, the material basis of a number of folk applications has not been illustrated clearly. For instance, being the hemostatic agent in traditional Chinese medicine, **Rec** and **RN** have the same indications. After comparison, we found that 15 of the 19 compounds identified in **RN** were also presented in **Rec**. They were three alkaloids (**17**, **95**, **127**), three terpenoids (**138**, **152**, **154**), one flavonoid (**91**), three steroids (**147**, **149**, **162**), and five organic acids and esters (**6**, **117**, **165**, **167**, **171**). They may be the material basis of hemostatic activity of **RN** and **Rec**.

Compound **41** (liensinine) and **59** (neferine) play a major role in anti-Alzheimer disease agents [36]. However, none of them was detected in the receptacle, although **Rec** was reported to have an anti-Alzheimer effect [37]. In this paper, **41** and **59** were identified in **Sem**, **Fol** and **Plu**, as well as **41** were identified in **Rec**. The result provided useful information for the research and utilization of lotus seed, leaf and receptacle. Meanwhile, the discoveries of alkaloids in **Sta** (**8**, **17**, **20**, **24**, **63**, **95**) and **Rec** (**17**, **41**, **43**, **95**, **103**, **106**, **127**) may be useful for explaining their anti-ischemic effect.

In summary, the holistic and intuitionistic description of the chemical constituents in lotus six parts in this paper contribute new information to the phytochemical research of lotus. The results will be helpful in illustrating the chemical basis of herbs activities. The established method and identified biomarkers provide valuable data and references for quality control of the CPMs who contain lotus different parts in the prescriptions.

The results of this research may be limited by the capacity of the identification database. Not compared with an analytical standard, identifications of compounds are presumptive. Therefore, the identification relies more on standard secondary spectra database. Only a fraction of compounds were included in the HMBD database, so relatively few are detected although compounds are varied and abundant in the six parts of lotus. In addition to the difference ingredients, differences in the content of common ingredients of herbs also affect their pharmacological activity. In the future, more efforts should be devoted to research on the concentrations of biological compounds and biomarkers of the herbs.

#### 4. Materials and Methods

#### 4.1. Materials and Reagents

The products of **Sem**, **Fol**, **Plu**, **Sta**, **Rec** and **RN** were collected from their respective cultivation areas or purchased from herbal markets in China. A total of 48 batches (each part 8 batches) were gathered and identified by Professor Ping-Ya Li (School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun, China). The voucher specimens (No. 2019224-2019272) had been deposited at the Research Center of Natural Drug, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun, China. A site list of the samples collected is given in Table 2.

Species	Hunan	Shandong	Hubei	Hebei	Anhui	Fujian	Jiangxi	Guangdong
Sem	Sem <sub>1</sub>	Sem <sub>2</sub>	Sem <sub>3</sub>	$Sem_4$	Sem <sub>5</sub>	Sem <sub>6</sub>	Sem <sub>7</sub>	Sem <sub>8</sub>
Fol	Fol <sub>1</sub>	Fol <sub>2</sub>	Fol <sub>3</sub>	$Fol_4$	Fol <sub>5</sub>	Fol <sub>6</sub>	Fol <sub>7</sub>	Fol <sub>8</sub>
Plu	$Plu_1$	Plu <sub>2</sub>	Plu <sub>3</sub>	$Plu_4$	Plu <sub>5</sub>	Plu <sub>6</sub>	Plu <sub>7</sub>	Plu <sub>8</sub>
Sta	$Sta_1$	Sta <sub>2</sub>	$Sta_3$	$Sta_4$	$Sta_5$	Sta <sub>6</sub>	Sta <sub>7</sub>	Sta <sub>8</sub>
Rec	$\text{Rec}_1$	Rec <sub>2</sub>	Rec <sub>3</sub>	$Rec_4$	Rec <sub>5</sub>	Rec <sub>6</sub>	Rec7	Rec <sub>8</sub>
RN	$RN_1$	RN <sub>2</sub>	RN <sub>3</sub>	$RN_4$	RN <sub>5</sub>	RN <sub>6</sub>	RN <sub>7</sub>	RN <sub>8</sub>

Table 2. The collection sites of the tested samples.

LC-MS grade methanol and acetonitrile were purchased from Fisher Chemical Company (Geel, Belgium). Formic acid was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Company (St. Louis, MO, USA). Leucine enkephalin was provided by Waters Technologies Corporation (Milford, MA, USA). All other chemicals were of analytical grade. Water was purified and deionized using a Millipore water purification system. LC-MS grade six reference standards, including hyperoside, liensinine, isoliensinine, neferine, kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside, and N-methylcoclaurine, were isolated from our own laboratory and were previously identified and confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR. Five standard compounds, including rutin, quercetin, kaempferol, caffeic acid, and luteolin 7-glucoside, were purchased from the China National Institutes for Food and Drug Control. Six standard compounds, including isoquercitrin, isorhamnetin, catechin, epicatechin, nuciferine, and chlorogenic acid, were purchased from Sichuan Weikeqi Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Sichuan, China). The purity of all the regents was HPLC  $\geq$  98%.

#### 4.2. Sample Preparation and Extraction

A mixer was used to grind each sample to generate homogeneous powders. Sample powders (0.20 g) were refluxed with 6 mL 80% (v/v) aqueous ethanol and extracted twice for 30 min each. By filtrating, the filtrate evaporated to dryness by a filtrate recovery system. The residue was dissolved with methanol; then, the mixture was filtered by a 0.22 µm syringe filter and tested by a UPLC system. A quality control (QC) solution was prepared for each part by taking 10 µL from every sample solution and then mixing. Eight QC injections were performed randomly in the testing process to ensure the stability and suitability consistency of the MS analysis. The volume injected for the samples and QC solution was 5 µL for each run.

#### 4.3. UPLC-QToF-MS

To enable high sensitivity, selectivity, speed and precision, QToF technology and UPLC/MS<sup>E</sup> were used in this experiment. A Xevo G2-XS QToF mass spectrometer (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) connected to an UPLC system by an electrospray ionization (ESI) interface, was used for UPLC-QToF-MS<sup>E</sup>. An ACQUITY UPLC BEH  $C_{18}$  (100  $\times$  2.1 mm,  $1.7 \,\mu m$ ) column was used for sample separation. The mobile phases consisted of eluent A (0.1% formic acid in water, v/v) and eluent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile, v/v). A gradient elution method was used. The elution conditions were as follows: 0-2 min, 10% B; 2-26 min, 10-100% B; 26-29 min, 100% B; 29-29.1 min, 100-10% B; 29.1-30 min, 10% B. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min. The column temperature was 30 °C. The data were collected by mass spectrometer, the MS<sup>E</sup> continuum model was used for screening analysis, and the MS<sup>E</sup> centroid model was used for metabonomics analysis in MarkerLynx software. When running a single LC system, a low collision energy (CE) scan was quickly swithed to a high CE scan, from 6 V to 20–40 V. The capillary voltages were 2.6 kV (ESI<sup>+</sup>) and 2.2 kV (ESI<sup>-</sup>) and the cone voltage was 40 V. The source and desolvation temperatures were 150  $^\circ$ C and 400 °C, respectively. The flow rates of the cone gas and desolvent gas were 50 L/h and 800 L/h respectively. Leucine enkephalin (LE, m/z 556.2771 (ESI<sup>+</sup>), 554.2615 (ESI<sup>-</sup>)) was injected at a rate of 10 µL/min. The data were recorded with a MassLynx V4.1 workstation.

# 4.4. Data Analysis

For the screening analysis, the MS raw data were imported into the Waters' UNIFI 1.7.0 platform to quickly identify the chemical components. Two hundred was set as the minimum peak area for two-dimensional peak detection. For three-dimensional peak detection, the low-energy peak intensity was over 1000 counts, and the high-energy peak intensity was over 200 counts. The mass error of the compound was within  $\pm$  5 ppm, and the retention time (t<sub>R</sub>) was within  $\pm$  0.1 min. The negative adducts +COOH and -H and positive adducts +H and +Na were selected.

For metabonomics analysis, the original  $MS^E$  data were processed by using Waters MarkerLynx XS V4.1 software, and a table of the m/z-t<sub>R</sub> pairs with the corresponding intensities of all the peaks was obtained. The same t<sub>R</sub> and m/z values in different batches of samples were regarded as the same component. The main parameters included the following: t<sub>R</sub> range, 0–30 min; minimum intensity, 5%; mass range, 100–1500 Da; mass tolerance, 0.10. Multivariate statistical analysis was performed, including PCA and OPLS-DA.

**Author Contributions:** D.L. conceived and designed the experiments; H.P., M.G., M.D., Y.Z. and C.W. performed the experiments; H.P. and W.S. were responsible for data analysis. H.P. wrote the paper. D.L. assisted paper revision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Health Commission of Jilin Province (China).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Sample Availability:** Samples of the compounds mentioned in the materials section are available from the authors.

# References

- Guo, H.B. Cultivation of lotus (Nelumbo nucifera Gaertn. ssp. nucifera) and its utilization in China. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* 2009, 56, 323–330. [CrossRef]
- Chen, G.; Zhu, M.; Guo, M. Research advances in traditional and modern use of Nelumbo nucifera: Phytochemicals, health promoting activities and beyond. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2019, *59*, S189–S209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- The Pharmacopoeia Commission of People's Republic of China. *Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China;* Chemical Industry Publishing House: Beijing, China, 2020; Volume 1, pp. 285–287.
- 4. Liu, Y.; Ma, S.S.; Ibrahim, S.A.; Li, E.H.; Yang, H.; Huang, W. Identification and antioxidant properties of polyphenols in lotus seed epicarp at different ripening stages. *Food Chem.* **2015**, *185*, 159–164. [CrossRef]
- Lim, S.S.; Jung, Y.J.; Hyun, S.K.; Lee, Y.S.; Choi, J.S. Rat lens aldose reductase inhibitory constituents of Nelumbo nucifera stamens. *Phytother. Res. PTR* 2006, 20, 825–830. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhu, M.-Z.; Wu, W.; Jiao, L.-L.; Yang, P.-F.; Guo, M.-Q. Analysis of Flavonoids in Lotus (Nelumbo nucifera) Leaves and Their Antioxidant Activity Using Macroporous Resin Chromatography Coupled with LC-MS/MS and Antioxidant Biochemical Assays. *Molecules* 2015, 20, 10553–10565. [CrossRef]
- Yi, Y.; Sun, J.; Xie, J.; Min, T.; Wang, L.M.; Wang, H.X. Phenolic Profiles and Antioxidant Activity of Lotus Root Varieties. *Molecules* 2016, 21, 863. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, S.H.; Lu, T.H.; Su, C.C.; Lay, I.S.; Lin, H.Y.; Fang, K.M.; Ho, T.J.; Chen, K.L.; Su, Y.C.; Chiang, W.C.; et al. Lotus leaf (Nelumbo nucifera) and its active constituents prevent inflammatory responses in macrophages via JNK/NF-kappaB signaling pathway. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 2014, 42, 869–889. [CrossRef]
- 9. Kuo, Y.C.; Lin, Y.L.; Liu, C.P.; Tsai, W.J. Herpes simplex virus type 1 propagation in HeLa cells interrupted by Nelumbo nucifera. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2005, 12, 1021–1034. [CrossRef]
- 10. Jiang, Y.; Ng, T.B.; Liu, Z.; Wang, C.; Li, N.; Qiao, W.; Liua, F. Immunoregulatory and anti-HIV-1 enzyme activities of antioxidant components from lotus (Nelumbo nucifera Gaertn.) rhizome. *Biosci. Rep.* **2011**, *31*, 381–390. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Z.; Hu, J.; Hamzah, S.S.; Ge, S.; Lin, Y.; Zheng, B.; Zeng, S.; Lin, S. n-Butanol Extract of Lotus Seeds Exerts Antiobesity Effects in 3T3-L1 Preadipocytes and High-Fat Diet-Fed Mice via Activating Adenosine Monophosphate-Activated Protein Kinase. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2019, 67, 1092–1103. [CrossRef]
- 12. Ahn, J.H.; Kim, E.S.; Lee, C.; Kim, S.; Cho, S.H.; Hwang, B.Y.; Lee, M.K. Chemical constituents from Nelumbo nucifera leaves and their anti-obesity effects. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *23*, 3604–3608. [CrossRef]

- 13. Tang, C.; Xie, B.; Sun, Z. Antibacterial activity and mechanism of B-type oligomeric procyanidins from lotus seedpod on enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli. *J. Funct. Foods* **2017**, *38*, 454–463. [CrossRef]
- 14. Zhang, J.M.; Li, P.Y.; Wang, L.; Bian, B.L. Study on extraction, separation and pharmacodynamics of total alkaloids from Plumula Nelumbinis. *Chin. J. Exp. Tradit. Med. Formulae* **2009**, *15*, 26–28.
- 15. Wang, X.F.; Lai, B.C.; Huang, Y.; Cheang, W.S.; Zhang, M.Q.; Wang, N.Q. Nuciferine relaxes rat mesenteric arteries through endothelium-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2015**, *172*, 5609–5618. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, Y.J.; Xiang, J.Z.; Yuan, H.; Liu, H.; Tang, Q.; Hao, H.Z.; Yin, Z.; Wang, J.; Ming, Z.Y. Neferine exerts its antithrombotic effect by inhibiting platelet aggregation and promoting dissociation of platelet aggregates. *Thromb. Res.* 2013, 132, 202–210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Ralf, S.; Stefan, H.; Ursula, H.; Söhle, J.; Andreas, S.; Raschke, T.; Stäb, F.; Wenck, H.; Marc, W. Lotus leaf extract and L-carnitine influence different processes during the adipocyte life cycle. *Nutr. Metab.* **2010**, *7*, 66. [CrossRef]
- Yan, M.Z.; Chang, Q.; Zhong, Y.; Xiao, B.X.; Feng, L.; Cao, F.R.; Pan, R.L.; Zhang, Z.S.; Liao, Y.H.; Liu, X.M. Lotus Leaf Alkaloid Extract Displays Sedative-Hypnotic and Anxiolytic Effects through GABAA Receptor. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2015, 63, 9277–9285. [CrossRef]
- 19. Wang, W.; Liu, C.P.; Kuo, Y.C.; Lin, Y. Anti-lymphoproliferative Alka loids from Plumula Nelumbinis. *Chin. Pharm. J.* 2004, 56, 25–30.
- 20. Huang, G.; Lin, F.; Gao, Y. Effect of propylthiouracil on activity of thyroid NADH-cytochrome b5 reductase. *Chin. Pharmacol. Bull.* **2001**, *17*, 687–690.
- Kim, J.-H.; Kang, M.; Cho, C.; Chung, H.-S.; Kang, C.-W.; Parvezz, S.; Bae, H. Effects of Nelumbinis Semen on contractile dysfunction in ischemic and reperfused rat heart. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* 2006, 29, 777–785. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Zhang, F.X.; Li, M.; Qiao, L.R.; Yao, Z.H.; Li, C.; Shen, X.Y.; Wang, Y.; Yu, K.; Yao, X.S.; Dai, Y. Rapid characterization of Ziziphi Spinosae Semen by UPLC/Qtof MS with novel informatics platform and its application in evaluation of two seeds from Ziziphus species. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2016**, *122*, 59–80. [CrossRef]
- 23. Wang, C.; Zhang, N.; Wang, Z.; Qi, Z.; Zhu, H.; Zheng, B.; Li, P.; Liu, J. Nontargeted Metabolomic Analysis of Four Different Parts of Platycodon grandiflorum Grown in Northeast China. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 1280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lin, H.; Zhu, H.; Tan, J.; Wang, H.; Wang, Z.; Li, P.; Zhao, C.; Liu, J. Comparative Analysis of Chemical Constituents of Moringa oleifera Leaves from China and India by Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography Coupled with Quadrupole-Time-Of-Flight Mass Spectrometry. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 942. [CrossRef]
- Lee, J.W.; Ji, S.H.; Choi, B.R.; Choi, D.J.; Lee, Y.G.; Kim, H.G.; Kim, G.S.; Kim, K.; Lee, Y.H.; Baek, N.I.; et al. UPLC-QTOF/MS-Based Metabolomics Applied for the Quality Evaluation of Four Processed Panax ginseng Products. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 2062. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Zhang, Q. Studies on the Chemical Constituents and Biological Activities of Fructus Sophorae and Nelumbo nucifera; Jilin University: Changchun, China, 2018.
- 27. Qing, Z.; Cheng, P.; Zeng, J. Research progress on mass spectral Fragm. Behaviour of alkaloids in Macleaya cordata. *Chin. Tradit. Herb. Drugs* **2013**, 44, 2929–2939. [CrossRef]
- 28. Tian, W. Study on Constituents and Activities In Vitro and Vivo of Total Flavonoids and Alkaloids from Plumula Nelumbinis; Guangdong University of Technology: Guangzhou, China, 2019.
- Wang, C.; Zhang, N.; Wang, Z.; Qi, Z.; Zheng, B.; Li, P.; Liu, J. Rapid characterization of chemical constituents of Platycodon grandiflorum and its adulterant Adenophora stricta by UPLC-QTOF-MS/MS. *J. Mass Spectrom.* 2017, 52, 643–656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Wang, Y.; Wang, C.; Lin, H.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Li, P.; Liu, J. Discovery of the Potential Biomarkers for Discrimination between Hedyotis diffusa and Hedyotis corymbosa by UPLC-QTOF/MS Metabolome Analysis. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 1525. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, H.; Lin, H.; Tan, J.; Wang, C.; Wang, H.; Wu, F.; Dong, Q.; Liu, Y.; Li, P.; Liu, J. UPLC-QTOF/MS-Based Nontargeted Metabolomic Analysis of Mountain- and Garden-Cultivated Ginseng of Different Ages in Northeast China. *Molecules* 2018, 24, 33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Zou, S.; Ge, Y.; Chen, X.; Li, J.; Yang, X.; Wang, H.; Gao, X.; Chang, Y.X. Simultaneous Determination of Five Alkaloids by HPLC-MS/MS Combined With Micro-SPE in Rat Plasma and Its Application to Pharmacokinetics After Oral Administration of Lotus Leaf Extract. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *10*, 1252. [CrossRef]
- 33. Limwachiranon, J.; Huang, H.; Shi, Z.; Li, L.; Luo, Z. Lotus Flavonoids and Phenolic Acids: Health Promotion and Safe Consumption Dosages. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* **2018**, 17, 458–471. [CrossRef]
- 34. Sharma, B.; Gautam, L.; Adhikari, D.; Karki, R. A Comprehensive Review on Chemical Profiling of Nelumbo nucifera: Potential for Drug Development. *Phytother. Res. PTR* **2017**, *31*, 3–26. [CrossRef]
- 35. Bhardwaj, A.; Modi, K. A Review on Therapeutic Potential of Nelumbo Nucifera (Gaertn): The Sacred Lotus. *Int, J. Pharm. Sci. Res.* **2016**, *7*, 42–54. [CrossRef]
- 36. Jung, H.A.; Karki, S.; Kim, J.H.; Choi, J.S. BACE1 and cholinesterase inhibitory activities of Nelumbo nucifera embryos. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **2015**, *38*, 1178–1187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gong, Y.S.; Guo, J.; Hu, K.; Gao, Y.Q.; Xie, B.J.; Sun, Z.D.; Yang, E.N.; Hou, F.L. Ameliorative effect of lotus seedpod proanthocyanidins on cognitive impairment and brain aging induced by D-galactose. *Exp. Gerontol.* 2016, 74, 21–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]