

Electrochemical Hole Injection Selectively Expels Iodide from Mixed Halide Perovskite Films

Gergely F. Samu,^{†,‡,§,¶} Ádám Balog,[†] Filippo De Angelis,^{||,⊥,♯} Daniele Meggiolaro,^{⊥,♯} Prashant V. Kamat,^{*,§,¶,||} and Csaba Janáky^{*,†,‡,¶}

[†]Department of Physical Chemistry and Materials Science, Interdisciplinary Excellence Centre, University of Szeged, Rerrich Square 1, Szeged, H-6720, Hungary

[‡]ELI-ALPS Research Institute, Dugonics Square 13, Szeged, 6720, Hungary

[§]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, United States

^{||}Department of Chemistry, Biology and Biotechnology, University of Perugia, Via Elce di Sotto, 8I-06123 Perugia, Italy


[⊥]Computational Laboratory for Hybrid/Organic Photovoltaics (CLHYO), CNR-ISTM, Via Elce di Sotto 8, 06123 Perugia, Italy

[♯]CompuNet, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Via Morego 30, 16163 Genova, Italy

[¶]Radiation Laboratory, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Halide ion mobility in metal halide perovskites remains an intriguing phenomenon, influencing their optical and photovoltaic properties. Selective injection of holes through electrochemical anodic bias has allowed us to probe the effect of hole trapping at iodide (0.9 V) and bromide (1.15 V) in mixed halide perovskite ($\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbBr}_{1.5}\text{I}_{1.5}$) films. Upon trapping holes at the iodide site, the iodide gradually gets expelled from the mixed halide film (as iodine and/or triiodide ion), leaving behind re-formed $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbBr}_3$ domains. The weakening of the Pb–I bond following the hole trapping (oxidation of the iodide site) and its expulsion from the lattice in the form of iodine provided further insight into the photoinduced segregation of halide ions in mixed halide perovskite films. Transient absorption spectroscopy revealed that the iodide expulsion process leaves a defect-rich perovskite lattice behind as charge carrier recombination in the re-formed lattice is greatly accelerated. The selective mobility of iodide species provides insight into the photoinduced phase segregation and its implication in the stable operation of perovskite solar cells.



INTRODUCTION

The ability to tune the bandgap of mixed halide perovskites (MHPs) by controlling the halide composition generated a wave of interest toward studying their optical and photovoltaic properties.^{1,2} Their potential application in tandem solar cells employing multilayered MHP films with a bandgap gradient is of particular interest.^{3–6} Challenges, however, remain in their utilization in such photovoltaic devices.⁷ The ease of exchange of halide ions between two perovskite layers with different halide composition^{8–11} as well as photo-,^{12–14} current-, or voltage-induced^{14–17} segregation burdens their utilization. For example, we have recently shown that the photoinduced segregation in mixed halide lead perovskite films leads to a drop in photovoltaic performance.¹⁸ Strategies exist to mitigate the extent of segregation including varying crystallite domain size,^{19–24} defect concentration,^{12,13} nature of A-site cation in the ABX_3 structure,^{1,5,19,25,26} or charge carrier generation profile.^{27–29}

The photoinduced segregation in mixed halide films (e.g., $\text{MAPbBr}_{1.5}\text{I}_{1.5}$ where $\text{MA}^+ = \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3^+$) results in phase demixing, whereby iodide-rich and bromide-rich domains form during steady state illumination.^{30,31} Interestingly, this phenomenon is reversible, as the original mixed halide composition is restored in the dark. Different models have been proposed to explain this behavior including defect-driven^{26–28,32} and polaron-induced lattice strain driven^{25,33,34} segregation of halide ions. There are two points that all these models have in common: the importance of the long charge carrier lifetime^{35–37} and the migration of halide ions (which is even observable in pure MAPbI_3 and MAPbBr_3) through the dynamically changing perovskite structure.^{38–40}

In a photovoltaic cell, the charge carrier separation upon bandgap excitation leads to the transport of electrons and holes

Received: April 29, 2019

Published: June 19, 2019




Figure 1. (A) Linear sweep voltammograms of FTO/MAPbBr_{x-1.5}I_{1.5} films in 0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆/DCM electrolyte (5 mV s⁻¹ sweep rate). (B) Band diagram of MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} together with the peak onset of the observed Faradaic events. (C) Variation of the peak currents of the first two redox waves as a function of MHP composition, with solid lines to guide the eye.




Figure 2. (A) Linear sweep voltammogram of a FTO/MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} film in 0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆/DCM electrolyte (5 mV s⁻¹ sweep rate) plotted together with the absorbance change at 470 nm. Region #1 is where hole trapping occurs, and region #2 is where hole injection to the valence band occurs. (B) UV-vis spectra of FTO/MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films during potentiostatic treatment at 0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl. (C) XRD patterns of thick FTO/MAPbBr_{x-1.5}I_{1.5} electrodes before and after potentiostatic treatment at 0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl in 0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆/DCM electrolyte. PC and C denote pseudocubic and cubic lattice, respectively. (D) Alteration of the optically active perovskite composition as a function of passed charge during potentiostatic treatment at 0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl. The photographs show the color change of the electrode at two stages of the potentiostatic treatment. The standard deviation was determined from measurements on three separate FTO/MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} electrodes.

toward the collecting electrodes placed on the opposite sides of the cell. A significant fraction of these charge carriers undergo recombination as well as trapping at the various defect sites. In fact, we can show the consequences of charge carrier trapping through probing their recombination using time-resolved transient absorption^{12,41} and emission spectroscopies.^{11,21} While these techniques have provided some useful information on the defect sites and their role in dictating excited state dynamics, it is difficult to establish the contribution of electron- or hole-trapping selectively.

In most studies, where the aim is to understand charge carrier dynamics, light excitation is solely responsible for charge carrier generation, which always results in a comparable

density of electrons and holes. Recently, we have shown that the excited state dynamics of metal halide perovskite films can be probed through ultrafast spectroelectrochemistry.⁴² This technique also provides us an opportunity to inject holes into perovskite films *selectively*, through applied anodic bias. We discuss here the effect of electrochemical hole injection into mixed halide lead perovskite films and the consequential effect of hole trapping by the halide ions in the structure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Selective Hole Injection via Electrochemistry. On the basis of the electrochemical protocols outlined in our previous study⁴³ we recorded linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) in




Figure 3. Top-down SEM images of FTO/MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films at different magnifications. (A, D) Pristine films, (B, E) films treated at 0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl for 30 s (until 1.3 mC cm⁻² charge density was passed), (C, F) films treated at 0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl for 300 s (until 3.7 mC cm⁻² charge density is passed).

0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆ dichloromethane (DCM) electrolyte for various MHP films. The LSVs show a composition dependency in the position (and magnitude) of the distinct oxidation waves (Figure 1A, Figure S1, and Table S1). Considering the redox potential of halide oxidation in lead halides⁴³ and solution phase (i.e., bromide (+1.26 V) and iodide (+0.86 V) oxidation in this medium (Figure S2)), the more positive events on the LSV scans can be assigned to these reactions (Figure 1A and Figure S1). The MHP films are stable below these potentials, so the first two waves can be attributed to the electrochemistry of the intact MHP layers. These oxidation waves show a gradual shift to more positive potentials with increasing bromide content (Table S1), as one would expect from their increased stability in the series. We can attribute the oxidation wave in the region #1 to the trapping of holes and the subsequent movement of iodide ions in the lattice in these materials, as shown by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (Figure S3). Note that these states barely exist in the case of MAPbBr₃. The position of the #2 oxidation wave at higher potential matches the respective valence band edge position (Figure 1B) and corresponds to hole injection into the perovskite structure (i.e., to the valence band). From the magnitude of the current density related to process #1 it is apparent that all MHP phases show decreased trap state density compared to the pure MAPbI₃ phase (Figure 1C). Notably, the rate (i.e., the current density) of process #2 peaks at approximately equimolar Br/I ratio (Figure 1C).

Selective Expulsion of Iodide from MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} Films. Spectroelectrochemistry was used to track the spectral changes following the hole injection into MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films. During the initial step of hole trapping no change of the spectral features was observed (region #1 in Figure 2A). As sufficient electrochemical driving force (with increased electrode potential) is reached to induce hole injection into the valence band of MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} (region #2 in Figure 2A), we observe a decrease in the overall absorbance (Figure S4). Interestingly, this process is coupled with the monotonic shift of the absorption edge (i.e., bandgap) to shorter wavelengths. This irreversible shift in absorption edge suggests enrichment of the MHP phase with bromide-rich domains through the

selective expulsion of iodide into the solution from the perovskite lattice. The higher affinity of Pb(II) to bromide as compared to iodide in the perovskite lattice is likely to be the reason for the enrichment of bromide-rich domains after the electrochemical hole injection.^{12,44}

Similar trends were revealed during potentiostatic experiments. At moderately positive potentials, where no Faradaic event occurs (i.e., 0.5 V and below), the UV-vis absorbance remains unaltered (Figure S5). However, when the applied potential was increased to 0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl, we observe a gradual decrease in absorbance, coupled with the shift in the absorption edge from 660 nm to 545 nm in 300 s. Thus, the shift in the bandgap to a higher energy region becomes evident as iodide was expelled from the perovskite lattice (Figure 2B). During the intermediate times, the absorbance onset becomes less sharp, as residual contribution from varying degree of mixed phases is seen during the expulsion process. Once iodide expulsion from the lattice is completed, the spectrum reflects the optical features of MAPbBr₃. Further anodic polarization at this potential does not change the spectrum, confirming the stability of MAPbBr₃ (as predicted from the LSV traces in Figure 1A).

The effect of the iodide expulsion process on the crystal structure of the MHP films was monitored by X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements (Figure 2C). A gradual shift of the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5}-related reflections was observed to higher 2θ values upon oxidation, which translates to the contraction of the pseudocubic perovskite crystal lattice. At an intermediate treatment time this results in the formation of MAPbBr_{2.6}I_{0.4}.² Closer inspection of the pattern reveals that an additional intermediate MHP phase is also present in the films (MAPbBr_{2.3}I_{0.7}). The presence of multiple perovskite phases is consistent with the less sharp nature of the absorption onset seen previously on the UV-vis spectra (Figure 2B). Interestingly, at the end of the potentiostatic treatment a slightly contracted MAPbBr₃ lattice was formed, which is possibly caused by the presence of defects in the re-formed crystal lattice. Reflections from both PbI₂ and PbBr₂ phases are also present in all cases (as also deduced from X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, XPS), however only weakly.




Figure 4. Time-resolved transient absorption spectra recorded following 387 nm laser pulse excitation ($4 \mu\text{J cm}^{-2}$) of (A) pristine FTO/MAPbBr₃ films, (B) pristine FTO/MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films, and (C) FTO/MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films after potentiostatic treatment at 0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl for 300 s under vacuum. (D) Recovery profiles of the perovskite films monitored at their ground state bleach maxima and the corresponding biexponential fits.

This signals that their formation is confined to the surface of the MHP films (or that their crystal domain size is rather small and not continuous) and the bulk of the material retains the perovskite crystal lattice. The XRD data strengthen the notion that the iodide expulsion process is accompanied by the dynamic re-formation of the perovskite lattice, and not just the destruction and ultimate dissolution of the material are achieved.⁴⁵ In situ Raman spectroelectrochemistry (Figures S6–S8) further confirmed the formation of iodide- and bromide-rich domains as the first step of the iodide expulsion process. As the applied electrochemical bias was increased (until the point where iodide migration starts in the films), a broad fluorescence signal was observed (Figure S7B). This can be attributed to the formation of domains capable of absorbing (and emitting) the exciting laser light ($\lambda = 780 \text{ nm}$).

To quantify the electrochemically induced iodide expulsion process, we determined the composition of the films from Tauc plots using the recorded UV–vis spectra and a previously obtained bandgap–composition relationship (Figure S9). The Pb/halide composition of the film was found to be dependent on the net charge injected into the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films (Figure 2D). To minimize the contribution of charging/discharging of the electrical double layer, a preconditioning step (at 0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl for 30 s, Figure S10) was employed, when calculating the charge during the potentiostatic treatment. The effect of electrochemical iodide expulsion was also visually observed, as the dark appearance of the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films slowly changed, ultimately resulting in a yellow-colored bromide-rich film when the process was completed (Figure 2D).

Morphological Changes. With the expulsion of iodide upon hole injection, one would expect morphological changes

associated with the spectral changes. Top-down SEM images were recorded to visualize these changes of the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films (Figure 3). The alteration of the initially smooth surface to a rougher one was observed with increasing time of the electrochemical biasing. This process is accompanied by the formation of voids in the films. Both the size of the voids and their number increase with the injected charge into the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} film ($31 \pm 15 \text{ nm}$ at 1.3 mC cm^{-2} and $116 \pm 31 \text{ nm}$ at 3.7 mC cm^{-2} injected charge density). The voids in the film further confirm the loss of iodide as the crystal structure re-forms. The evolution of the morphology suggests that MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} continuously undergoes structural changes, ultimately yielding the MAPbBr₃ structure.

Compositional Analysis. Since the electrode/electrolyte interface plays a pivotal role in the iodide expulsion process, XPS measurements were carried out to probe the alteration of the surface composition of the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films during the potentiostatic treatment (Table S2). The surface of the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} film was enriched in iodide even before electrochemical treatment. In the intermediate step of the electrochemical treatment we continue to see this excess iodide that remains at the surface, but with a concomitant decrease in the bromide content. This is indicative of the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} surface becoming rich in PbI₂. This trend signals that iodide constantly migrates to the surface of the film, before getting expelled to the solution. Bromide remains preferentially in the deeper regions of the film. As the electrochemical treatment process was completed, a decrease of PbI₂ on the surface of the films was observed. The final depletion of iodide on the surface signals that the composition of the perovskite phase located on

the surface is approaching that of pure MAPbBr₃ as the electrochemical treatment progresses.

Additionally, we tracked compositional changes of the bulk films by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) (Table S3) and that of the solution by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (for Pb²⁺) and ion-chromatography (IC) (for Br⁻ and I⁻) measurements (Table S4), at different stages of the electrochemical biasing. The analysis confirmed a greatly reduced iodide amount in the films, while the amount of lead and bromide remained unchanged. Notably, these measurements suggest that after the potentiostatic treatments there is still remnant iodide left in the films. A plausible explanation for this is the formation of a PbI₂ intermediate during the expulsion process (see also additional absorbance at 450–500 nm in Figure 2B compared to Figure S6A). A detailed discussion on the cross-correlation of the determined compositions can be found in the SI.

Excited State Dynamics. We also probed the excited state behavior of MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films to see the effect of iodide expulsion on the charge carrier recombination dynamics. The transient absorption spectra of MHP films were recorded before and after electrochemical treatment (holding the electrode at +0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl), as well as for a pure MAPbBr₃ film (Figure 4A). The intact MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films showed an intense bleach signal centered at 640 nm and recovered within 1.6 ns after excitation (Figure 4B). This transient behavior has been discussed in detail elsewhere.^{12,41}

After the electrochemical treatment, a blue-shifted ground state bleach was seen, in accordance with the expulsion of iodide from the perovskite lattice (Figure 4C). Specifically, the maximum of this bleach signal appears at shorter wavelengths (540 nm), which is close to the ground state bleach maximum (530 nm) of pure MAPbBr₃ films (Figure 4A). Furthermore, the maximum intensity of the bleach signal of the MHP film after the electrochemical treatment is decreased in accordance with the decreased absorbance following the iodide expulsion.

Figure 4D compares the recovery kinetics of the bleach signal of MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films before and after electrochemical treatment and the pristine MAPbBr₃ film. The charge carrier lifetime of the two untreated perovskite films are similar (571 ± 68 ps in MAPbBr₃ and 381 ± 28 ps in untreated MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5}). After the electrochemical treatment (following complete iodide expulsion from the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} film) the recovery becomes faster (16 ± 8 ps). As the iodide is expelled, we expect to see an increase in the number of defect sites. A significant change in the surface morphology seen on the SEM images further supports this notion. These defect sites are responsible for the observed enhancement in the recombination of charge carriers.

Mechanistic Insights. The results presented above highlight the effect of hole injection on the compositional, structural, and morphological features of MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films. However, the mechanism behind iodide expulsion remains an intriguing question. To elucidate the form in which iodide leaves the lattice (I⁻ or I₂), we recorded the UV–vis absorbance spectra of the electrolyte after various amounts of charge injection into the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films. We found that the predominant species formed in the solution phase is I₃⁻, which points toward either the direct expulsion of I₃⁻ from the layer or the parallel I⁻ and I₂ injection to the solution. In terms of the net redox reaction (eq 1), the trapping of two holes on the iodide sites of four perovskite units results in the formation of one I₂ molecule. To check the validity of the proposed

mechanism, we tracked the evolution of I₃⁻ concentration in the electrolyte solution (Figure 5). A linear relationship was




Figure 5. Dependence of the formed triiodide amount in the solution phase (0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆ in DCM) on the amount of injected charge to thick MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} films. The films were subjected to potentiostatic treatment at 0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl, while the UV–vis absorbance of the electrolyte was measured. The triiodide concentration was determined from the absorption peak located at 364 nm.

found between the number of injected holes and the amount of I₃⁻ in the solution. Furthermore, the slope of the fitted line was 0.55 ± 0.02, which is in close agreement with the proposed reaction (i.e., 0.5 theoretical value, meaning a 10% deviation).

At the same time, during the re-formation of the structure after hole trapping and iodide oxidation, partial dissolution of the MAPbBr_{1.5}I_{1.5} structure also occurs (eq 2), thus leaching I⁻ ions into the solution, which can form the triiodide complex with I₂. Note that without electrochemical bias the films are stable within the time frame of the experiments; therefore physical dissolution cannot account for the presence of I⁻ ions in the solution. Overall, these three processes together can explain the absence of I₂ species in the solution and the exclusive presence of I₃⁻.

To gain further insight into the mechanistic features, we carried out first-principles calculations employing a hybrid DFT+SOC computational approach; see SI for details. We focus on the nature of hole traps in MAPbI₃, MAPbBr₃, and MAPbBr_xI_{3-x}. The defect chemistry of lead halide perovskites is dominated by lead vacancies (V_{Pb}) and interstitial halides (X_i, X = I, Br),^{46,47} which are stable defects that can trap holes through the (-2/-) and (-/0) transitions, respectively, both in MAPbI₃ and MAPbBr₃ (see Figure 6A). Despite the apparently different nature, the two types of defects are quite similar, being related to the presence of undercoordinated iodine atoms in the lattice, either because of a lack of lead (V_{Pb}) or added halide (X_i).

In MAPbI₃, the (-/0) transition of I_i, corresponding to hole trapping at negatively charged interstitial iodine (I_i⁻ → I_i⁰), is calculated at 0.29 eV above the valence band (VB), similar to the (-2/-) transition of V_{Pb}, calculated at 0.13 eV. The calculated transition energies nicely match the energy difference measured by voltammetry for traps and VB oxidation in MAPbI₃, 0.62 and 0.77 V vs Ag/AgCl, respectively; see Table S1 and Figure 1A. In MAPbBr₃ a similar picture is predicted, although both transition levels are somehow shallower,











Figure 6. (A) Structures and (B) transition energies of stable hole-trapping defects in MAPbI₃, MAPbBr₃, and MAPbBr_xI_{3-x}. The zero of the energy scale is set to the MAPbI₃ VB maximum.

consistent with the merging of the trap oxidation peaks into the signal of VB oxidation; see Table S1 and Figure 1A. Interestingly, in the case of MAPbBr_xI_{3-x} we predict substitution of interstitial iodide by bromide to be favored by 0.1 eV compared to substitution of lattice iodide. This behavior means that in the presence of interstitial iodide in MAPbI₃ Br incorporation will preferentially lead to a mixed I/Br interstitial defect (Figure 6A, middle row), whose $(-/0)$ transition energy level is calculated at 0.03 eV above the VB. Thus, while the VB edge becomes significantly more positive upon increasing Br incorporation into the lattice (0.77 → 1.15 V vs Ag/AgCl), oxidation of the trap states only slightly drifts toward more positive potentials, remaining always close to its value in MAPbI₃ (0.62 → 0.67 V vs Ag/AgCl, see Table S1 and Figure 1A). The overall picture extracted from theory is thus fully consistent with the experimental results and suggests that the energetics of trap states are mainly contributed by iodine even in mixed I/Br perovskites, whereby the $(-/0)$ transition of the mixed I/Br interstitial defect remains almost constant while the VB deepens (Figure 6B).

Most notably, experiments clearly indicate that hole injection into the trap states is not sufficient to promote iodide expulsion from the lattice (see Figure 2A). Nevertheless, traps are filled at less positive potentials than the VB; thus they are filled before iodide expulsion is observed and likely contribute to this reaction. In particular, defects are known to promote ion migration in perovskites (and in general in ionic conductors), and significant ion migration has to take place upon hole injection to lead to iodide expulsion. Based on this analysis, a possible mechanism can be sketched as follows:




where I_i^- , I_i^0 , and I_i^+ denote a negatively charged, a neutral, and a positively charged interstitial iodine, I^- is a lattice iodide, and V_i^+ is an iodine vacancy.

Equations 3 and 4 correspond to the sequential hole injection into the traps and the VB. Under prolonged electrochemical bias, a hole is transferred from the VB to the filled trap, leading to oxidation of I_i^0 to I_i^+ , eq 5. I_i^+ has a trimer structure⁴⁸ typical of I_3^- . Equation 6 corresponds to the attack of I_i^+ to lattice iodide (I^-) to generate molecular iodine (I_2) and an iodine vacancy, V_i^+ . Alternatively, in eq 6a I_i^+ abstracts two iodine atoms from the lattice to exit in the form of I_3^- . In eq 6 I_2 can be formed either in the bulk or at surfaces/grain boundaries. Molecular iodine is unstable in the lattice;⁴⁹ if formed in the bulk, I_2 thus tends to migrate to the surface, where it can be easily abstracted to the solution. The reaction in eq 6 is calculated to be energetically unfavorable by 0.39 eV when I_i^+ and V_i^+ are bulk defects and I_2 is formed as a gas phase molecule (neglecting thermal corrections to enthalpy and entropy). I_2 surface stabilization and its subsequent solvation with consequent energetic and entropic gain, however, provide energetically favorable pathways for iodide expulsion.

CONCLUSIONS

As noted earlier, the halide ions within the perovskite exhibit mobility with relatively low activation energy.⁵⁰ When subjected to steady state light irradiation, the single-anion-containing phases in mixed halides segregate. The diffusion length of the charge carriers is an important parameter that dictates the phase segregation in MHP films.²¹ Cathode luminescence studies have shown that iodide ions selectively accumulate near the grain boundaries.³³ In contrast to

Scheme 1. Illustration of the Structural Changes Induced by Hole Injection into the MHP Lattice



photoirradiation (where equal amounts of electrons and holes are formed), we have employed selective injection of holes through electrochemical treatment to deconvolute the complex effect of different charge carriers. While in single-anion-containing perovskites hole injection causes the direct degradation of the structure, the situation is more complex for MHPs. The trapped holes at the iodide sites first cause the phase segregation and subsequently the disintegration of the crystal structure, through intermediate crystal phases (Scheme 1). The blue shift in the absorption feature is indicative of the loss of methylammonium ion as the accomplice of iodine (or triiodide) during their expulsion. Overall, the electrochemically induced phase segregation with ultimate expulsion of iodine provides new evidence of hole trapping as the driving force for the halide ion mobility. What is further important, simple electrochemical measurements can provide a new tool to assess the stability of different MHPs in a rapid way, thus avoiding the need of long-term initial testing during materials screening.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

📄 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.9b04568.

(Complete experimental section (including used materials, characterization methods, electrode preparation), additional electrochemical measurements (LSVs, EIS, in situ Raman spectroelectrochemistry), complete compositional analysis (XPS, EDX, ICP-OES, IC results) together with discussion and lifetime calculations PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*pkamat@nd.edu; Twitter: @KamatLab

*janaky@chem.u-szeged.hu; Twitter @Janakylab

ORCID

Gergely F. Samu: 0000-0002-3239-9154

Filippo De Angelis: 0000-0003-3833-1975

Daniele Meggiolaro: 0000-0001-9717-133X

Prashant V. Kamat: 0000-0002-2465-6819

Csaba Janáky: 0000-0001-5965-5173

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Notre Dame Integrated Imaging Facility and Dr. Tatyana Orlova for taking the SEM images. We thank Dr. Allen G. Oliver for recording the XRD patterns and the valuable discussion. We thank the Center for Environmental

Science and Technology at the University of Notre Dame for the use of their instrumentation and the help of Jon Loftus and Jeffrey DuBose with the ICP-OES and ion chromatography measurements. We are grateful for the help of Sylwia Ptasinska and Pitambar Sapkota with the XPS measurements and the evaluation, as well as to Rebecca A. Scheidt for the support in the TAS measurements. P.V.K. acknowledges support by the Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the U.S. Department of Energy (award DE-FC02-04ER15533). This collaborative research received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the EU's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (G.F.S., A.B., and C.J., grant agreement No. 716539). ELI-ALPS is supported by the EU and cofinanced by the European Regional Development Fund (GOP-1.1.1-12/B-2012-000, GINOP-2.3.6-15-2015-00001). This is contribution number NDRL No. 5250 from the Notre Dame Radiation Laboratory. F.D.A. acknowledges support from the Italian "Ministero per l'Università e la Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica", MIUR (Rome, Italy), and the University of Perugia, under the "Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018-2022" (grant AMIS) and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, under grant agreement No. 764047.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) McMeekin, D. P.; Sadoughi, G.; Rehman, W.; Eperon, G. E.; Saliba, M.; Horantner, M. T.; Haghighirad, A.; Sakai, N.; Korte, L.; Rech, B.; Johnston, M. B.; Herz, L. M.; Snaith, H. J. A Mixed-Cation Lead Mixed-Halide Perovskite Absorber for Tandem Solar Cells. *Science* **2016**, *351*, 151–155.
- (2) Noh, J. H.; Im, S. H.; Heo, J. H.; Mandal, T. N.; Seok, S. I. Chemical Management for Colorful, Efficient, and Stable Inorganic–Organic Hybrid Nanostructured Solar Cells. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13* (4), 1764–1769.
- (3) Bush, K. A.; Palmstrom, A. F.; Yu, Z. J.; Boccard, M.; Cheacharoen, R.; Mailoa, J. P.; McMeekin, D. P.; Hoyer, R. L. Z.; Bailie, C. D.; Leijtens, T.; Peters, I. M.; Minichetti, M. C.; Rolston, N.; Prasanna, R.; Sofia, S.; Harwood, D.; Ma, W.; Moghadam, F.; Snaith, H. J.; Buonassisi, T.; Holman, Z. C.; Bent, S. F.; McGehee, M. D. 23.6%-Efficient Monolithic Perovskite/Silicon Tandem Solar Cells with Improved Stability. *Nat. Energy* **2017**, *2*, 17009.
- (4) Zhao, D.; Wang, C.; Song, Z.; Yu, Y.; Chen, C.; Zhao, X.; Zhu, K.; Yan, Y. Four-Terminal All-Perovskite Tandem Solar Cells Achieving Power Conversion Efficiencies Exceeding 23%. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 305–306.
- (5) Beal, R. E.; Slotcavage, D. J.; Leijtens, T.; Bowering, A. R.; Belisle, R. A.; Nguyen, W. H.; Burkhard, G. F.; Hoke, E. T.; McGehee, M. D. Cesium Lead Halide Perovskites with Improved Stability for Tandem Solar Cells. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 746–751.
- (6) Eperon, G. E.; Leijtens, T.; Bush, K. A.; Prasanna, R.; Green, T.; Wang, J. T.-W.; McMeekin, D. P.; Volonakis, G.; Milot, R. L.; May,

- R.; Palmstrom, A.; Slotcavage, D. J.; Belisle, R. A.; Patel, J. B.; Parrott, E. S.; Sutton, R. J.; Ma, W.; Moghadam, F.; Conings, B.; Babayigit, A.; Boyen, H.; Bent, S.; Giustino, F.; Herz, L. M.; Johnston, M. B.; McGehee, M. D.; Snaith, H. J. Perovskite-Perovskite Tandem Photovoltaics with Optimized Band Gaps. *Science* **2016**, *354*, 861–865.
- (7) Leijtens, T.; Bush, K. A.; Prasanna, R.; McGehee, M. D. Opportunities and Challenges for Tandem Solar Cells Using Metal Halide Perovskite Semiconductors. *Nat. Energy* **2018**, *3*, 828–838.
- (8) Hoffman, J. B.; Schleper, A. L.; Kamat, P. V. Transformation of Sintered CsPbBr₃ Nanocrystals to Cubic CsPbI₃ and Gradient CsPbBr_xI_{3-x} through Halide Exchange. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 8603–8611.
- (9) Zhou, C.; Ou, Q.; Chen, W.; Gan, Z.; Wang, J.; Bao, Q.; Wen, X.; Jia, B. Illumination-Induced Halide Segregation in Gradient Bandgap Mixed-Halide Perovskite Nanoplatelets. *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **2018**, *6*, 1801107.
- (10) Ravi, V. K.; Scheidt, R. A.; Nag, A.; Kuno, M.; Kamat, P. V. To Exchange or Not to Exchange. Suppressing Anion Exchange in Cesium Lead Halide Perovskites with PbSO₄-Oleate Capping. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 1049–1055.
- (11) Pan, D.; Fu, Y.; Chen, J.; Czech, K. J.; Wright, J. C.; Jin, S. Visualization and Studies of Ion-Diffusion Kinetics in Cesium Lead Bromide Perovskite Nanowires. *Nano Lett.* **2018**, *18*, 1807–1813.
- (12) Yoon, S. J.; Kuno, M.; Kamat, P. V. Shift Happens. How Halide Ion Defects Influence Photoinduced Segregation in Mixed Halide Perovskites. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2017**, *2*, 1507–1514.
- (13) Belisle, R. A.; Bush, K. A.; Bertoluzzi, L.; Gold-Parker, A.; Toney, M. F.; McGehee, M. D. Impact of Surfaces on Photoinduced Halide Segregation in Mixed-Halide Perovskites. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 2694–2700.
- (14) Duong, T.; Mulmudi, H. K.; Wu, Y.; Fu, X.; Shen, H.; Peng, J.; Wu, N.; Nguyen, H. T.; Macdonald, D.; Lockrey, M.; White, T. P.; Weber, K.; Catchpole, K. Light and Electrically Induced Phase Segregation and Its Impact on the Stability of Quadruple Cation High Bandgap Perovskite Solar Cells. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 26859–26866.
- (15) Braly, I. L.; Stoddard, R. J.; Rajagopal, A.; Uhl, A. R.; Katahara, J. K.; Jen, A. K.-Y.; Hillhouse, H. W. Current-Induced Phase Segregation in Mixed Halide Hybrid Perovskites and Its Impact on Two-Terminal Tandem Solar Cell Design. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2017**, *2*, 1841–1847.
- (16) Lin, Y.; Chen, B.; Fang, Y.; Zhao, J.; Bao, C.; Yu, Z.; Deng, Y.; Rudd, P. N.; Yan, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Huang, J. Excess Charge-Carrier Induced Instability of Hybrid Perovskites. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 4981.
- (17) Ruf, F.; Rietz, P.; Aygüler, M. F.; Kelz, I.; Docampo, P.; Kalt, H.; Hetterich, M. The Bandgap as a Moving Target: Reversible Bandgap Instabilities in Multiple-Cation Mixed-Halide Perovskite Solar Cells. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 2995–3001.
- (18) Samu, G. F.; Janáky, C.; Kamat, P. V. A Victim of Halide Ion Segregation. How Light Soaking Affects Solar Cell Performance of Mixed Halide Lead Perovskites. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2017**, *2*, 1860–1861.
- (19) Braly, I. L.; Stoddard, R. J.; Rajagopal, A.; Uhl, A. R.; Katahara, J. K.; Jen, A. K.-Y.; Hillhouse, H. W. Current-Induced Phase Segregation in Mixed Halide Hybrid Perovskites and Its Impact on Two-Terminal Tandem Solar Cell Design. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2017**, *2*, 1841–1847.
- (20) Tang, X.; van den Berg, M.; Gu, E.; Horneber, A.; Matt, G. J.; Osvet, A.; Meixner, A. J.; Zhang, D.; Brabec, C. J. Local Observation of Phase Segregation in Mixed-Halide Perovskite. *Nano Lett.* **2018**, *18*, 2172–2178.
- (21) Draguta, S.; Sharia, O.; Yoon, S. J.; Brennan, M. C.; Morozov, Y. V.; Manser, J. S.; Kamat, P. V.; Schneider, W. F.; Kuno, M. Rationalizing the Light-Induced Phase Separation of Mixed Halide Organic–inorganic Perovskites. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 200.
- (22) Gualdrón-Reyes, A. F.; Yoon, S. J.; Barea, E. M.; Agouram, S.; Muñoz-Sanjosé, V.; Meléndez, Á. M.; Niño-Gómez, M. E.; Mora-Seró, I. Controlling the Phase Segregation in Mixed Halide Perovskites through Nanocrystal Size. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 54–62.
- (23) Mao, W.; Hall, C. R.; Chesman, A. S. R.; Forsyth, C.; Cheng, Y.-B.; Duffy, N. W.; Smith, T. A.; Bach, U. Visualizing Phase Segregation in Mixed-Halide Perovskite Single Crystals. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 2893–2898.
- (24) Hu, M.; Bi, C.; Yuan, Y.; Bai, Y.; Huang, J. Stabilized Wide Bandgap MAPbBr_xI_{3-x} Perovskite by Enhanced Grain Size and Improved Crystallinity. *Adv. Sci.* **2016**, *3*, 1500301.
- (25) Bischak, C. G.; Wong, A. B.; Lin, E.; Limmer, D. T.; Yang, P.; Ginsberg, N. S. Tunable Polaron Distortions Control the Extent of Halide Demixing in Lead Halide Perovskites. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 3998–4005.
- (26) Oranskaia, A.; Yin, J.; Bakr, O. M.; Brédas, J.-L.; Mohammed, O. F. Halogen Migration in Hybrid Perovskites: The Organic Cation Matters. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 5474–5480.
- (27) Knight, A. J.; Wright, A. D.; Patel, J. B.; McMeekin, D. P.; Snaith, H. J.; Johnston, M. B.; Herz, L. M. Electronic Traps and Phase Segregation in Lead Mixed-Halide Perovskite. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 75–84.
- (28) Barker, A. J.; Sadhanala, A.; Deschler, F.; Gandini, M.; Senanayak, S. P.; Pearce, P. M.; Mosconi, E.; Pearson, A. J.; Wu, Y.; Srimath Kandada, A. R.; Leijtens, T.; De Angelis, F.; Dutton, S. E.; Petrozza, A.; Friend, R. H. Defect-Assisted Photoinduced Halide Segregation in Mixed-Halide Perovskite Thin Films. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2017**, *2*, 1416–1424.
- (29) Yang, X.; Yan, X.; Wang, W.; Zhu, X.; Li, H.; Ma, W.; Sheng, C. Light Induced Metastable Modification of Optical Properties in CH₃NH₃PbI_{3-x}Br_x Perovskite Films: Two-Step Mechanism. *Org. Electron.* **2016**, *34*, 79–83.
- (30) Hoke, E. T.; Slotcavage, D. J.; Dohner, E. R.; Bowring, A. R.; Karunadasa, H. I.; McGehee, M. D. Reversible Photo-Induced Trap Formation in Mixed-Halide Hybrid Perovskites for Photovoltaics. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 613–617.
- (31) Slotcavage, D. J.; Karunadasa, H. I.; McGehee, M. D. Light-Induced Phase Segregation in Halide-Perovskite Absorbers. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2016**, *1*, 1199–1205.
- (32) Ruth, A.; Brennan, M. C.; Draguta, S.; Morozov, Y. V.; Zhukovskiy, M.; Janko, B.; Zapol, P.; Kuno, M. Vacancy-Mediated Anion Photo-segregation Kinetics in Mixed Halide Hybrid Perovskites: Coupled Kinetic Monte Carlo and Optical Measurements. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 2321–2328.
- (33) Bischak, C. G.; Hetherington, C. L.; Wu, H.; Aloni, S.; Ogletree, D. F.; Limmer, D. T.; Ginsberg, N. S. Origin of Reversible Photoinduced Phase Separation in Hybrid Perovskites. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 1028–1033.
- (34) Miyata, K.; Meggiolaro, D.; Trinh, M. T.; Joshi, P. P.; Mosconi, E.; Jones, S. C.; De Angelis, F.; Zhu, X.-Y. Large Polarons in Lead Halide Perovskites. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*, No. e1701217.
- (35) Stranks, S. D.; Eperon, G. E.; Grancini, G.; Menelaou, C.; Alcocer, M. J. P.; Leijtens, T.; Herz, L. M.; Petrozza, A.; Snaith, H. J. Electron-Hole Diffusion Lengths Exceeding 1 Micrometer in an Organometal Trihalide Perovskite Absorber. *Science* **2013**, *342*, 341–344.
- (36) de Quilletes, D. W.; Vorpahl, S. M.; Stranks, S. D.; Nagaoka, H.; Eperon, G. E.; Ziffer, M. E.; Snaith, H. J.; Ginger, D. S. Impact of Microstructure on Local Carrier Lifetime in Perovskite Solar Cells. *Science* **2015**, *348*, 683–686.
- (37) Xing, G.; Mathews, N.; Sun, S.; Lim, S. S.; Lam, Y. M.; Grätzel, M.; Mhaisalkar, S.; Sum, T. C. Long-Range Balanced Electron- and Hole-Transport Lengths in Organic-Inorganic CH₃NH₃PbI₃. *Science* **2013**, *342*, 344–347.
- (38) Eames, C.; Frost, J. M.; Barnes, P. R. F.; O'Regan, B. C.; Walsh, A.; Islam, M. S. Ionic Transport in Hybrid Lead Iodide Perovskite Solar Cells. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 7497.
- (39) Kim, G. Y.; Senocrate, A.; Yang, T.-Y.; Gregori, G.; Grätzel, M.; Maier, J. Large Tunable Photoeffect on Ion Conduction in Halide

Perovskites and Implications for Photodecomposition. *Nat. Mater.* **2018**, *17*, 445–449.

(40) Peng, W.; Aranda, C.; Bakr, O. M.; Garcia-Belmonte, G.; Bisquert, J.; Guerrero, A. Quantification of Ionic Diffusion in Lead Halide Perovskite Single Crystals. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 1477–1481.

(41) Yoon, S. J.; Draguta, S.; Manser, J. S.; Sharia, O.; Schneider, W. F.; Kuno, M.; Kamat, P. V. Tracking Iodide and Bromide Ion Segregation in Mixed Halide Lead Perovskites during Photoirradiation. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2016**, *1*, 290–296.

(42) Scheidt, R. A.; Samu, G. F.; Janáky, C.; Kamat, P. V. Modulation of Charge Recombination in CsPbBr₃ Perovskite Films with Electrochemical Bias. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 86–89.

(43) Samu, G. F.; Scheidt, R. A.; Kamat, P. V.; Janáky, C. Electrochemistry and Spectroelectrochemistry of Lead Halide Perovskite Films: Materials Science Aspects and Boundary Conditions. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 561–569.

(44) Yoon, S. J.; Stamplecoskie, K. G.; Kamat, P. V. How Lead Halide Complex Chemistry Dictates the Composition of Mixed Halide Perovskites. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 1368–1373.

(45) Manser, J. S.; Saidaminov, M. I.; Christians, J. A.; Bakr, O. M.; Kamat, P. V. Making and Breaking of Lead Halide Perovskites. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 330–338.

(46) Meggiolaro, D.; De Angelis, F. First-Principles Modeling of Defects in Lead Halide Perovskites: Best Practices and Open Issues. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 2206–2222.

(47) Meggiolaro, D.; Motti, S. G.; Mosconi, E.; Barker, A. J.; Ball, J.; Andrea Riccardo Perini, C.; Deschler, F.; Petrozza, A.; De Angelis, F. Iodine Chemistry Determines the Defect Tolerance of Lead-Halide Perovskites. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *11*, 702–713.

(48) Du, M.-H. Density Functional Calculations of Native Defects in CH₃NH₃PbI₃: Effects of Spin–Orbit Coupling and Self-Interaction Error. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 1461–1466.

(49) Meggiolaro, D.; Mosconi, E.; De Angelis, F. Modeling the Interaction of Molecular Iodine with MAPbI₃: A Probe of Lead-Halide Perovskites Defect Chemistry. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 447–451.

(50) Yuan, Y.; Huang, J. Ion Migration in Organometal Trihalide Perovskite and Its Impact on Photovoltaic Efficiency and Stability. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 286–293.