

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effect of lipid-lowering therapies on flowmediated dilation in patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical randomized controlled trials

Xinyue Wang[®], Lijun Zhou[®], Qiutao Wang, Min Wu₀*

Guang'an Men Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

Solution These authors contributed equally to this work.

* wumin19762000@126.com

Abstract

Numerous lipid-lowering medications are commonly used in clinical settings; however, their impact on vascular endothelial function remains unclear. This study employed techniques like flow-mediated dilation (FMD) to demonstrate the relative effects of lipid-lowering medications on vascular function. PubMed, Embase, and World of Science were searched from January 1, 2011 to October 1, 2024, and the language was limited to English. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have assessed the impact of lipid-lowering medications versus placebos on FMD in individuals. The outcomes included FMD, pulse wave velocity (PWV), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), peak O₂ consumption (VO₂), and intimal media thickness (IMT). We computed standardized mean differences and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). P<0.05 indicates statistical significance. The quality of the RCTs was assessed according to the methods provided by the Cochrane Handbook, and effective data were extracted. Revman software 5.4 version was used for statistical analysis. Drug type, intervention duration, and underlying diseases were used as covariates in the subgroup analysis. This meta-analysis included 19 RCTs involving 1,004 patients. Compared with placebo, lipid-lowering agents significantly reduced FMD (0.20 [95% CI: 0.05, 0.35], P=0.007, I²=43%, 14 trials, 726 participants), LDL-C (-1.54 [95% CI: -1.78, -1.30], P<0.00001, I²=25%, 7 trials, 350 participants) and PWV (-0.35 [95% CI: -0.57, -0.02], P=0.04, I²=0.0%, 4 trials, 206 participants). Lipid-lowering drugs positively affect endothelial function, while lowering blood lipids and statins are the most effective.

Background

Cardiovascular diseases are the primary cause of illness and death worldwide and are responsible for approximately 17.9 million deaths annually [1]. Atherosclerosis,



Citation: Wang X, Zhou L, Wang Q, Wu M (2025) Effect of lipid-lowering therapies on flow-mediated dilation in patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical randomized controlled trials. PLoS One 20(6): e0323210. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210

Editor: Emiliano Cè, Università degli Studi di Milano: Universita degli Studi di Milano, ITALY

Received: November 17, 2024

Accepted: April 3, 2025

Published: June 3, 2025

Copyright: © 2025 Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data availability statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its <u>Supporting</u><u>Information</u> files.

Funding: This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [http://www.nsfc.gov.cn; grant numbers 82074254 and 82374281], Beijing Natural



Science Foundation [grant number 7172185], Science and Technology Innovation Project of the China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences [No. Cl2021A01413], The fifth batch of the TCM Clinical Excellent Talents Training Project [National TCM Talent Letter [2022]] No. 1, Beijing TCM Science and Technology Development Fund Project [BJZYYB-2023-73], and Beijing Municipal Health Commission, Independent Innovation of the Capital Health Development Scientific Research Special Project [2024-2-4154]. There was no additional external funding received for this study.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abbreviations: FMD, flow-mediated dilation; RCT, randomized controlled trials; PWV, pulse wave velocity; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; VO2 peak, peak O2 consumption; IMT, intimal media thickness; CIs, confidence intervals; NO, nitric oxide; SMD, standardized mean difference; ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; ox-LDL, oxidized low-density lipoprotein a chronic inflammatory condition affecting the arterial wall, is an underlying factor in many cardiovascular disorders, such as coronary artery disease and stroke. The progression of atherosclerosis is affected by various risk factors, such as dyslipidemia, hypertension, smoking, and diabetes, making early identification and effective management crucial to reducing cardiovascular events [2].

Lipid-lowering therapies have demonstrated robust efficacy in mitigating atherosclerotic progression by reducing serum lipid levels. Many clinical trials have confirmed that lipid-lowering therapies play a pivotal role in cholesterol biosynthesis and are vital for secondary prevention [3], effectively lowering the risk of recurrent events in patients with established cardiovascular diseases.

Endothelial function is a key component of cardiovascular health, which can be quantitatively measured using FMD [4]. FMD is a non-invasive technique that assesses the vasodilatory reaction of the brachial artery in response to elevated blood flow and reflects endothelial nitric oxide (NO) production, a key indicator of vascular health [5]. Lipid-lowering therapies have pleiotropic effects, such as enhancing endothelial function by increasing the availability of NO. They achieve this by upregulating endothelial nitric oxide synthase, which leads to increased production-a key mediator of vascular relaxation and inhibition of inflammation and thrombosis [6]. Additionally, statins decrease oxidative stress by inhibiting the generation of reactive oxygen species, which degrade NO and preserve its vasodilatory function. Other lipid-lowering agents, such as PCSK9 inhibitors, have also been shown to improve FMD, likely through similar mechanisms involving improved lipid profiles and decreased vascular inflammation [7]. Reduced FMD is an early indicator of endothelial dysfunction and can predict future cardiovascular events, making it a valuable tool for early diagnosis and risk stratification. Studies have reported that lipid-lowering interventions can improve FMD, potentially reversing endothelial dysfunction and contributing to a reduced cardiovascular risk [8]. Lipid-lowering therapies improve FMD through several mechanisms, primarily associated with their impact on endothelial function and NO production.

However, their effects on FMD, a marker of endothelial function, have inconsistent results in different studies. Some clinical trials have shown that lipid-lowering therapies improve FMD, but still others report little to no effect [9,10]. Given these conflicting findings, a systematic review would be advantageous to gain a clearer understanding of the overall impact of lipid-lowering interventions on FMD. This meta-analysis was designed to evaluate the effectiveness of lipid-lowering drugs in improving FMD in patients at risk of cardiovascular disease. By pooling data from clinical studies, this analysis provides a more comprehensive assessment of the role of lipid-lowering therapies in enhancing endothelial function and potentially reducing cardiovascular risk. Additionally, we aimed to explore which class of lipid-lowering agents is more effective in protecting endothelial function.

Methods

Literature search strategy

This meta-analysis was conducted following the Cochrane Handbook guidelines to ensure methodological transparency and consistency. The study protocol was



registered with PROSPERO (No: CRD42024597553), confirming that the research plan was established prior to the study. Furthermore, the reporting of results will strictly follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses checklist, attesting a comprehensive and transparent presentation of findings consistent with best practices.

In this meta-analysis, we performed an extensive literature search across major databases, including PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science, covering the period from 2011 to 2024. This search was specifically designed to identify RCTs evaluating the effects of lipid-lowering therapies on FMD. We combined keywords and Medical Subject Headings terms using Boolean operators, and the search was limited to publications in English. The terms used included "statins," "PCSK9 inhibitors," "ezetimibe," and "FMD." In addition, we manually searched for references in pertinent reviews to ensure a comprehensive dataset. The specific search terms are provided in the <u>S1 File</u>. The entire process of searching the literature, extracting data, and assessing quality was independently performed by two researchers working concurrently. In case of any discrepancies arising during data processing, we consulted a third researcher to achieve a consensus, thus ensuring that the methodology remains unbiased and transparent in accordance with the standards for systematic reviews.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria. The criteria for inclusion of studies in this meta-analysis adhered to the PICOS framework.

- 1) P (Population): Human participants with no specific restrictions, including those at high risk for cardiovascular disease.
- 2) I (Intervention): On the basis of the control group, the patients were treated with lipid-lowering drugs.
- 3) C (Comparison): Conventional lipid-lowering therapy or no intervention was given.
- 4) O (Outcomes): At least one of the following outcomes: FMD, PWV, IMT, or LDL-C level.
- 5) S (Study Design): Parallel or crossover RCTs.

Exclusion criteria.

- 1) Animals were used as research objects;
- 2) Observational studies;
- 3) Articles with missing data or whose results were not available by October 1, 2024;
- 4) Literature type was review, commentary, meta-analysis, or case report;
- 5) The treatment cycle was < 4 weeks.

Review of literature and data collection

Initially, two researchers meticulously reviewed and verified the literature based on the established inclusion and exclusion criteria. A final study was conducted to determine whether there were any objections. If there were any objections, a third researcher was invited to screen and discuss the retrieved literature to determine the final study. The data collected were as follows: 1) authors and year of publication; 2) sample size of the experimental and control groups; 3) follow-up time; 4) interventions; 5) outcome indicators; 6) drug type; 7) baseline diseases; and 8) outcomes: FMD, LDL-C, PWV, and IMT.

Literature quality and risk of bias assessment

The quality of the included studies was assessed by two independent reviewers based on the criteria outlined in the Cochrane Handbook. The assessment focused on several critical aspects, and each criterion was categorized as "low, high," or "unclear."



Data processing and analysis

To ensure consistency across studies and minimize discrepancies, we used the standardized mean difference (SMD) to evaluate the effect sizes. In crossover randomized controlled trials, data from the initial phase were extracted for evaluation. For studies with multiple measurement points, data collected at the completion of the trial were used in the final analysis.

Meta-analysis was performed using RevMan software version 5.4. Because all data were continuous variables, effect sizes were expressed as SMD with 95% CI. A P-value of <0.05 was deemed statistically prominent, with P<0.01 indicating a higher level of significance. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using P-values and I² statistics. If P<0.10 and I² \geq 50%, significant heterogeneity was indicated, which necessitated the application of a random effects model; otherwise, a fixed effects model was utilized. Subgroup analyses were performed according to the drug type, intervention duration, and disease type to identify potential sources of high heterogeneity. Publication bias was evaluated using funnel plots.

Results

Literature screening

Our search yielded 684 articles, of which 210 duplicate articles were removed. After examining titles and abstracts, 386 articles were excluded. Additionally, three articles could not be retrieved. Further, 66 articles were eliminated for various reasons. All literature records are listed in <u>S2 File</u>. Ultimately, 19 articles were included in the meta-analysis (Fig 1).

Elementary attributes and quality assessment

All 19 included studies were RCTs [9,11-28], with a total of 1,004 participants. The average patient age was 28 and 67 years. Baseline diseases included hyperlipidemia, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), and heart failure (Table 1). The results of the quality assessment of the RCT are shown (Figs 2 and 3).

Effect of lipid-lowering therapies on FMD

We observed that lipid-lowering therapies were linked to a notable rise in FMD (0.74 [95% CI: 0.28, 1.21], P=0.002, $I^2=89\%$, 16 trials, 815 participants) (Fig 4). Owing to its considerable heterogeneity, a sensitivity analysis was performed with the exclusion of two studies, leading to a marked reduction in heterogeneity. The model was subsequently changed to a fixed-effect model, and the results remained statistically significant (0.20 [95% CI: 0.05, 0.35], P=0.007, $I^2=43\%$, 14 trials, 726 participants) (Fig 5). A funnel plot after exclusion is shown in Fig 6. Some studies reported improvement in FMD by the difference between pre-and post-intervention; therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis of these studies, and the results also had statistical significance (0.34 [95% CI: 0.11, 0.58], P=0.05, $I^2=0\%$, 5 trials, 278 participants) (Fig 7).

Effect of lipid-lowering therapies on LDL-C

Our study revealed that LDL-C (-1.61 [95% CI: -2.30, -0.93], P<0.00001, I²=90%, 10 trials, 510 participants) was significantly reduced in the treatment group (Fig 8). Following the exclusion of three studies, the heterogeneity decreased, with I²=25%, allowing for using a fixed-effects model in the analysis. The results indicated that the treatment group experienced superior outcomes compared to the control group (-1.54 [95% CI: -1.78, -1.30], P<0.00001, I²=25%, seven trials, 350 participants), and the difference observed between the two groups was statistically significant (Fig 9). A funnel plot after exclusion is shown in Fig 10.

Effect of lipid-lowering drugs on PWV

We observed a statistically significant reduction in PWV (-0.35 [95% CI: -0.57, -0.02], P=0.04, I²=0.0%, four trials, 206 participants] with lipid-lowering therapy (Fig 11).



Effect of lipid-lowering drugs on IMT

After lipid-lowering drug intervention, IMT (-0.25 [95% CI: -0.64, 0.49], P=0.2, I²=20%, three trials, 138 participants) typically showed no significant change in the short term (Fig 12). When we excluded one study [28], there was a statistically significant difference in IMT (-0.35 [95% CI: -0.12, 0.49], P=0.04, I²=0%, 2 trials, 62 participants) between the two groups, as shown in Fig 13.

Effect of lipid-lowering therapies on VO, peak

There was no statistically significant reduction in PWV (-0.01 [95% CI: -0.70, 0.69], P=0.98, I²=44%, two trials, 62 participants) with lipid-lowering therapy (Fig 14).



Fig 1. Study selection process for meta-analysis.



Table 1. Basic information about the included RCTs.

Author/ Year	Partici	pants	Disease	Group 1		Group 2		Treatment Duration	Outcome Measures	
	Male (%)	Age (mean±SD)		Treatment	N	Treatment	Ν			
Sosner et al., 2014	54.55	56±9/56±9	Hyperlipidemia	Pravastatin 40 mg/d	11	Placebo	11	12 weeks	FMD, PWV, LDL- C, VO ₂ peak	
Clavijo et al., 2023	NR	NR/NR	Peripheral Arte- rial Disease	Evolocumab 420 mg/2 weeks	35	Placebo	35	6 months	FMD, IMT	
Toyama et al., 2012	NR	NR/NR	Coronary Heart Disease	Eicosapentaenoic Acid 1800 mg/d	29	Placebo	26	6 months	FMD	
Teramoto et al., 2020	NR	58.5±11.1/61.5±7.9	Hyperlipidemia	Omega-3 4 g/d	15	Omega-3 2 g/d	15	8 weeks	FMD	
Tousoulis et al., 2013	86	67±12/67±12	Heart Failure	Atorvastatin 40 mg/d	11	Atorvasta- tin 10 mg/d	11	4 weeks	FMD, LDL-C	
Rexhaj et al., 2022	81.3	58.5±9.7/58.5±9.7	Coronary Heart Disease	Alirocumab 150 mg/d	68	Placebo	71	52 weeks	FMD, LDL-C	
Egede et al., 2012	83.9	62.0±9.9/ 60.0±10.3	Coronary Heart Disease	Rosuvastatin 40 mg/d	43	Rosuvasta- tin 5 mg/d	44	12 months	FMD, LDL-C	
Yan et al., 2011	40	57.56±6.09/55.20±8.35	Hyperlipidemia	Pitavastatin 2 mg/d	18	Pitavasta- tin 1 mg/d	18	8 weeks	FMD	
Liu et al., 2012	62.5	58.2±9.3/57.6±9.5	Atherosclerosis	Rosuvastatin 40 mg/d	18	Rosuvasta- tin 20 mg/d	22	4 weeks	FMD, LDL-C	
Grigore et al., 2013	100	52.4±11.4/49.8±8.6	Hyperlipidemia	Ezetimibe 10 mg/d	10	Placebo	10	6 weeks	FMD, LDL-C	
Brili et al., 2012	50	31.4±2.6/28.1±2.0	Coronary Heart Disease	Atorvastatin 10 mg/d	17	Placebo	17	4 weeks	FMD	
Kim et al., 2013	47.1	54.2±12.5/52.6±9.8	Coronary Heart Disease	Atorvastatin 40 mg/d	35	Atorvasta- tin 10 mg/d	35	6 months	FMD, IMT	
Jeong et al., 2017	69.1	65.7±8.97/62.4±9.0	Diabetes	Pitavastatin 4 mg/d	35	Pitavasta- tin 1 mg/d	33	12 months	PWV	
Wu et al., 2013	NR	NR/NR	Coronary Heart Disease	Simvastatin 20 mg/d	37	Placebo	18	8 weeks	FMD	
Toyama et al., 2014	83.8	65.9±8.2/68.7±10.6	Hyperlipidemia	EPA 1800 mg/d	40	Placebo	40	3 months	FMD	
Yunoki et al., 2011	85	38±8/38±8	Hyperlipidemia	Ezetimibe 10 mg/d	10	Placebo	10	4 weeks	FMD, LDL-C	
Kurobe et al., 2011	82.5	66.2±9.9/64.0±14.1	Hyperlipidemia	Ezetimibe 10 mg/d	20	Placebo	20	3 months	FMD, PWV	
Erbs et al., 2010	NR	NR/NR	Heart Failure	Rosuvastatin 40 mg/d		Placebo	20	12 weeks	VO ₂ peak, LDL-C	
Likozar et al., 2023	NR	NR/NR	Coronary Heart Disease	Alirocumab 150 mg or Evo- locumab 140 mg/2 weeks	38	Placebo	38	6 months	FMD, LDL-C	

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.t001

Subgroup analysis

To clarify the effect of various covariates on FMD, we conducted a subgroup analysis based on four key factors: drug type (Fig 15), intervention duration (Fig 16), and underlying diseases (Fig 17). A summary is table (Table 2). Among the different types of drugs, the intervention effects of statins (1.33 [95% CI: 0.27, 2.39], P=0.01, I²=95%, eight trials, 356 participants) and ezetimibe (0.56 [95% CI: 0.11, 1.00], P=0.02, I²=0%, three trials, 80 participants) on FMD were statistically significant between the two groups. However, for PCSK9i and Omega-3 fatty acids, the results were not statistically





Fig 2. Visual summary of risk of bias.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g002

significant, possibly because of the limited number of studies. In studies with no more than 12 weeks intervention duration, FMD (1.16 [95% CI: 0.38, 1.93], P=0.003, I²=93%, 11 trials, 398 participants) decreased significantly after drug intervention. Nevertheless, it might be that patients' compliance in studies with longer intervention durations was lower; thus, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in studies with an intervention duration of >12 weeks. The improvement in FMD indicators by lipid-lowering drugs was obvious in both ASCVD (1.40 [95% CI: 0.45, 2.35], P=0.004, I²=95%, seven trials, 506 participants) and non-ASCVD cases (0.35 [95% CI: 0.12, 0.57], P=0.003, I²=0%, nine trials, 309 participants).

Publication bias

Publication bias was assessed using funnel plots. The funnel plots for FMD and LDL-C levels were not completely symmetrical, suggesting the possibility of publication bias.

Discussion

In the present study, lipid-lowering agents were significantly more effective than the placebo in improving FMD. Because systematic reviews are based on small randomized clinical trials with substantial heterogeneity, evidence in this area is limited.

In analyzing the results from various studies, lipid-lowering medications exert a protective effect on endothelial function. This is particularly evident in high-risk patients such as those with ASCVD, where PCSK9 inhibitors, such as evolocumab and alirocumab, lead to significant improvements in endothelial function and reductions in plaque burden [29]. These drugs increase LDL availability and enhance LDL-C clearance, improving vascular health and FMD outcomes [30]. Longer intervention durations have also been shown to correlate with greater improvements in FMD, reflecting the cumulative benefits of sustained lipid-lowering. Studies such as the FOURIER trial [8] have highlighted that prolonged treatment with PCSK9 inhibitors alongside statins significantly reduces atherosclerotic progression, which may explain the enhanced FMD improvements in FMD, tend to be more pronounced compared to patients with isolated hyperlipidemia. This is likely owing to the more advanced vascular dysfunction in patients with coronary heart disease, which makes them more responsive to treatments that target both cholesterol levels and endothelial health [31]. Finally, as previous studies have shown, studies have suggested that higher doses [32,33] or combination therapies [34,35] (e.g., statins with PCSK9 inhibitors or ezetimibe) result in better FMD outcomes than standard-dose monotherapy. The combination of multiple lipid-lowering





Fig 3. Risk of bias assessment chart.



	Experimental			Control			9	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
Brili2012	11.24	1.38	17	6.95	0.53	17	4.9%	4.01 [2.79, 5.22]			
Egede2012	2.6	5.9	39	4.1	6.5	38	6.9%	-0.24 [-0.69, 0.21]			
Grigore2013	16.8	3.6	10	14	4.8	10	5.8%	0.63 [-0.27, 1.54]	+		
Kim2013	8.9	2.2	35	9.5	2.8	35	6.9%	-0.24 [-0.71, 0.23]			
Kurobe2011	4.75	1.9	20	3.71	2.15	20	6.5%	0.50 [-0.13, 1.13]			
Likozar2023	14.4	5.7	38	11.2	4.6	38	6.9%	0.61 [0.15, 1.07]			
Liu2012	9.36	2.4	18	8.56	1.9	22	6.5%	0.37 [-0.26, 1.00]			
Rexhaj2022	5.44	2.24	68	5.45	2.19	71	7.1%	-0.00 [-0.34, 0.33]	+		
Sosner2014	10.05	4.73	11	9.23	2.9	11	6.0%	0.20 [-0.64, 1.04]			
Teramoto2020	5	2.52	15	5.8	2.85	14	6.3%	-0.29 [-1.02, 0.44]			
Tousoulis2013	5.98	2.49	11	3.91	1.63	11	5.8%	0.95 [0.06, 1.84]			
Toyama2012	3.18	1.82	29	2.25	1.42	26	6.7%	0.56 [0.02, 1.10]			
Toyama2014	3.2	1.6	40	2.4	1.7	40	6.9%	0.48 [0.04, 0.92]	-		
Wu2013	9.01	0.39	37	6.01	0.49	18	4.3%	6.96 [5.50, 8.43]			
Yan2011	9.5	0.96	18	9.62	0.93	18	6.5%	-0.12 [-0.78, 0.53]	-		
Yunoki2011	9.2	2.3	10	7.9	1.9	10	5.8%	0.59 [-0.31, 1.49]			
Total (95% CI)			416			399	100.0%	0.74 [0.28, 1.21]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	: 0.76; C	hi² = 13	39.85, (df = 15 (P < 0.0)0001);	I ^z = 89%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.13$ (P = 0.002)											
									r avours [control] Favours [experimental]		

Fig 4. Meta-analysis of lipid-lowering therapies' impact on FMD.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g004

	Experimental			Control			Std. Mean Difference			Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Brili2012	11.24	1.38	17	6.95	0.53	17	0.0%	4.01 [2.79, 5.22]		
Egede2012	2.6	5.9	39	4.1	6.5	38	10.8%	-0.24 [-0.69, 0.21]		
Grigore2013	16.8	3.6	10	14	4.8	10	2.7%	0.63 [-0.27, 1.54]		
Kim2013	8.9	2.2	35	9.5	2.8	35	9.8%	-0.24 [-0.71, 0.23]		
Kurobe2011	4.75	1.9	20	3.71	2.15	20	5.5%	0.50 [-0.13, 1.13]		+
Likozar2023	14.4	5.7	38	11.2	4.6	38	10.2%	0.61 [0.15, 1.07]		
Liu2012	9.36	2.4	18	8.56	1.9	22	5.5%	0.37 [-0.26, 1.00]		
Rexhaj2022	5.44	2.24	68	5.45	2.19	71	19.6%	-0.00 [-0.34, 0.33]		+
Sosner2014	10.05	4.73	11	9.23	2.9	11	3.1%	0.20 [-0.64, 1.04]		
Teramoto2020	5	2.52	15	5.8	2.85	14	4.0%	-0.29 [-1.02, 0.44]		
Tousoulis2013	5.98	2.49	11	3.91	1.63	11	2.7%	0.95 [0.06, 1.84]		
Toyama2012	3.18	1.82	29	2.25	1.42	26	7.4%	0.56 [0.02, 1.10]		
Toyama2014	3.2	1.6	40	2.4	1.7	40	11.0%	0.48 [0.04, 0.92]		
Wu2013	9.01	0.39	37	6.01	0.49	18	0.0%	6.96 [5.50, 8.43]		
Yan2011	9.5	0.96	18	9.62	0.93	18	5.1%	-0.12 [-0.78, 0.53]		
Yunoki2011	9.2	2.3	10	7.9	1.9	10	2.7%	0.59 [-0.31, 1.49]		
Total (95% CI)			362			364	100.0%	0.20 [0.05, 0.35]		•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	22.82, d	lf=13	(P = 0.0	04); I² =	43%				-4	
Test for overall effect:	Z= 2.67	' (P = 0	.007)						-4	Favours (control) Favours (experimental)

Fig 5. Meta-analysis of lipid-lowering therapies' impact on FMD (post-exclusion).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g005

pathways, as observed in therapies combining statins with non-statin agents, has yielded synergistic effects [36–38], further improved vascular function and reducing atherosclerotic risk. These findings underscore the importance of both the type of lipid-lowering drug and treatment regimen in optimizing cardiovascular and endothelial health outcomes.

FMD, which is an indicator of vascular endothelial function, is an early marker of atherosclerosis. By measuring FMD, endothelial function damage can be detected early, which is helpful for early intervention and prevention of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular diseases. Decreased FMD is closely associated with an increased risk of





Fig 6. Funnel plot of FMD.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g006

	Expe	rimen	tal	С	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		
Clavijo2023	1.01	0.7	35	0.99	0.68	35	25.8%	0.03 [-0.44, 0.50]	-+-		
Egede2012	3.7	11	39	-1.4	8.2	38	27.4%	0.52 [0.06, 0.97]			
Teramoto2020	-1.2	3.6	15	-1.3	2.75	14	10.7%	0.03 [-0.70, 0.76]			
Tousoulis2013	2.85	3.48	11	0.92	2.51	11	7.7%	0.61 [-0.25, 1.47]			
Toyama2014	0.5	1.4	40	-0.3	1.7	40	28.5%	0.51 [0.06, 0.95]			
Total (95% CI)			140			138	100.0%	0.34 [0.11, 0.58]	•		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	3.92, df	= 4 (P	= 0.42)	; I ² = 09	ò				-4 -2 0 2 4		
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.84 (P = 0.005) Favours [control] Favours [experimental]											

Fig 7. Meta-analysis of the effect of the mean change of lipid-lowering therapies on FMD: using literature reporting pre- and post-intervention differences.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g007

4

Fig 8. Meta-analysis of the effects of lipid-lowering treatments on LDL-C levels.



	Experimental Control						Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl		
Egede2012	1.6	0.7	43	2.4	0.4	44	26.5%	-1.40 [-1.87, -0.92]			
Erbs2010	1.63	0.12	20	3.66	0.28	20	0.0%	-9.24 [-11.46, -7.02]			
Grigore2013	3.54	0.44	10	4.45	0.47	10	4.9%	-1.91 [-3.01, -0.82]			
Kurobe2011	2.68	0.61	20	3.15	0.79	20	0.0%	-0.65 [-1.29, -0.01]			
Liu2012	1.97	0.18	18	2.26	0.18	22	11.3%	-1.58 [-2.30, -0.86]			
Rexhaj2022	0.65	0.71	68	1.98	0.71	71	36.7%	-1.86 [-2.26, -1.46]			
Sosner2014	3.03	0.73	11	4.15	0.72	11	6.3%	-1.49 [-2.45, -0.52]	<u> </u>		
Tousoulis2013	2.12	0.59	11	2.56	0.57	11	7.8%	-0.73 [-1.60, 0.14]			
Toyama2014	1.96	0.42	40	2.02	0.42	40	0.0%	-0.14 [-0.58, 0.30]			
Yunoki2011	2.51	0.52	10	3.05	0.52	10	6.6%	-0.99 [-1.94, -0.05]			
Total (95% CI)			171			179	100.0%	-1.54 [-1.78, -1.30]	•		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7.97, df = 6 (P = 0.24); l ² = 25%											
Test for overall effect:	Z=12.4	6 (P <	0.0000	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]							



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g009



Fig 10. Funnel plot of LDL-C.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g010

	Expe	rimental		C	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Jeong2017	1,655	308	33	1,866	624	35	32.9%	-0.42 [-0.90, 0.06]		
Kurobe2011	1,451.91	302.23	20	1,727.83	544.07	20	18.8%	-0.61 [-1.25, 0.02]		
Likozar2023	550	160	38	550	110	38	37.6%	0.00 [-0.45, 0.45]	+	
Sosner2014	1,229	862	11	1,561	948	11	10.7%	-0.35 [-1.20, 0.49]		
Total (95% CI)	200 df-2	/P - 0 4	102	104		104	100.0 %	-0.29 [-0.57, -0.02]	◆	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.07$ (P = 0.04) (P = 0.										

Fig 11. Meta-analysis of lipid-lowering therapies' impact on PWV.



	Expe	rimental		C	ontrol		1	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl			
Kurobe2011	1,451.91	302.23	20	1,727.83	544.07	20	30.3%	-0.61 [-1.25, 0.02]				
Likozar2023	550	160	38	550	110	38	50.9%	0.00 [-0.45, 0.45]				
Sosner2014	1,229	862	11	1,561	948	11	18.8%	-0.35 [-1.20, 0.49]				
Total (95% CI)			69			69	100.0%	-0.25 [-0.64, 0.14]	•			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 2.49, df = 2 (P = 0.29); i ² = 20%												
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.27 (P	Favours (experimental) Favours (control)										

Fig 12. Meta-analysis of lipid-lowering therapies' impact on IMT.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g012

	Expe	rimental		C	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI			
Kurobe2011	1,451.91	302.23	20	1,727.83	544.07	20	63.8%	-0.61 [-1.25, 0.02]				
Likozar2023	550	160	38	550	110	38	0.0%	0.00 [-0.45, 0.45]				
Sosner2014	1,229	862	11	1,561	948	11	36.2%	-0.35 [-1.20, 0.49]				
Total (95% CI)			31			31	100.0%	-0.52 [-1.03, -0.01]				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.24, df = 1 (P = 0.63); l ² = 0%												
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.01 (P = 0.04) Favours [experimental] Favours [control]												

Fig 13. Meta-analysis of lipid-lowering therapies' impact on IMT (post-exclusion).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g013



Fig 14. Meta-analysis of the effect of lipid-lowering therapies on VO, peak.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g014

ASCVD. As endothelial dysfunction usually occurs in the early stages of these diseases, FMD can be used as an early indicator of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular events and can independently predict the risk of cardiovascular diseases. Similar to the present study, FMD has also been used to assess the effectiveness of cardiovascular disease interventions such as pharmacotherapy or lifestyle modification. It is strongly associated with systemic inflammation [39]. Chronic inflammation triggers or exacerbates endothelial dysfunction, and decreased FMD is usually accompanied by increased inflammatory markers. Therefore, FMD can indirectly reflect the inflammatory state of the body, especially in diseases such as atherosclerosis and metabolic syndrome, which often manifest as vascular failure to expand normally, enhanced inflammatory response, and increased thrombophilia [40].

FMD is closely associated with blood lipid levels. Excess LDL-C enters the vascular wall and is oxidized. Oxidized low-density lipoprotein (ox-LDL) has a direct damaging effect on endothelial cells, leading to increased inflammation, oxidative stress, and further damage to endothelial function. Therefore, reducing LDL-C levels helps reduce damage to the endothelium and improves vascular health. By lowering LDL-C levels, lipid deposition in the arterial wall, oxidative stress, and inflammatory damage to endothelial cells can be reduced, thereby promoting endothelial function recovery. Simultaneously, intensive lipid-lowering can also protect blood vessels through anti-inflammation, anti-oxidation, and nitric oxide production.



	Expe	rimem	a		ontrol			sta. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.3.1 PCSK9i									
Likozar2023	14.4	5.7	38	11.2	4.6	38	6.9%	0.61 [0.15, 1.07]	
Rexhaj2022	5.44	2.24	68	5.45	2.19	71	7.1%	-0.00 [-0.34, 0.33]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			106			109	14.1%	0.28 [-0.32, 0.88]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.15; CI	hi² = 4.:	52, df=	= 1 (P =	0.03);	l ² = 789	6		
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.92	(P = 0	.36)						
1.3.2 ezetimihe									
Grigore2013	16.8	3.6	10	14	4.8	10	5.8%	0.63 60 27 1 541	
kuroho2011	4 75	1.0	20	3 71	2 1 5	20	6.5%	0.50[0.27, 1.34]	
Vunaki2011	9.10	2.2	10	7.0	1.0	10	5.9%	0.50 [0.13, 1.13]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	5.2	2.5	40	7.5	1.5	40	18 1%	0.56 [0.11 1.00]	•
Hotorogonoity: Tou ² -	- 0.00. CI	hi≅ – 0 i	-16 Af-	- 2 /P -	0.07\-	- 10 - 10%	10.170	0.00 [0.11, 1.00]	*
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.43	(P=0)	.02)	- 2 (F =	0.87),	1 - 0 %			
			-,						
1.3.3 statin									
Brili2012	11.24	1.38	17	6.95	0.53	17	4.9%	4.01 [2.79, 5.22]	
Egede2012	2.6	5.9	39	4.1	6.5	38	6.9%	-0.24 [-0.69, 0.21]	
Kim2013	8.9	2.2	35	9.5	2.8	35	6.9%	-0.24 [-0.71, 0.23]	
Liu2012	9.36	2.4	18	8.56	1.9	22	6.5%	0.37 [-0.26, 1.00]	
Sosner2014	10.05	4.73	11	9.23	2.9	11	6.0%	0.20 [-0.64, 1.04]	
Tousoulis2013	5.98	2.49	11	3.91	1.63	11	5.8%	0.95 [0.06, 1.84]	
Wu2013	9.01	0.39	37	6.01	0.49	18	4.3%	6.96 [5.50, 8.43]	
Yan2011	9.5	0.96	18	9.62	0.93	18	6.5%	-0.12 [-0.78, 0.53]	-+-
Subtotal (95% CI)			186			170	47.9%	1.33 [0.27, 2.39]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau² =	= 2.15; CI	hi ^z = 12	29.63, 0	df = 7 (P	< 0.00)001); P	²= 95%		
Test for overall effect	Z = 2.45	(P = 0	.01)						
1.3.4 Omega-3 fatty	acid								
Teramoto2020	5	2.52	15	5.8	2.85	14	6.3%	-0.29 [-1.02, 0.44]	
Toyama2012	3.18	1.82	29	2.25	1.42	26	6.7%	0.56 [0.02, 1.10]	+-
Toyama2014	3.2	1.6	40	2.4	1.7	40	6.9%	0.48 [0.04, 0.92]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			84			80	20.0%	0.32 [-0.13, 0.77]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.07; CI	hi² = 3.0	81, df=	= 2 (P =	0.15);	² = 489	6		
Test for overall effect	Z=1.41	(P = 0	.16)	,					
Total (95% CI)			416			399	100.0%	0.74 [0.28, 1.21]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	: 0.76 [.] CI	hi ² = 13	19.85	df = 15 (P < 0 ∩	100011	$ ^2 = 89\%$		
Test for overall effect	7 = 3.13	(P = 0)	002)		. 0.0				-4 -2 0 2 4
	- 0.10	v - 0							Favours (control) Favours (experimental)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g015

This systematic review and meta-analysis provides significant clinical utility by synthesizing data from 19 randomized controlled trials involving over 1000 patients, offering a comprehensive evaluation of the effects of various lipid-lowering therapies on endothelial function, as measured by FMD. The inclusion of diverse drug classes, including statins, PCSK9 inhibitors, ezetimibe, and omega-3 fatty acids, allows for a comparative assessment of their efficacy, which is particularly valuable for clinicians seeking to tailor treatment strategies based on patient-specific factors. Additionally, the use of FMD as a primary outcome provides a non-invasive, early marker of vascular health, enabling clinicians to identify and intervene in endothelial dysfunction before the onset of overt cardiovascular events. These findings reinforce the role of lipid-lowering therapies in improving vascular health and highlight their potential for early risk stratification and personalized treatment approaches.

Conclusions

This study offers several notable clinical strengths that enhance its relevance and applicability in real-world practice. The rigorous methodology, including subgroup analyses based on drug type, intervention duration, and underlying diseases,



	Expe	erimen	tal	C	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl				
1.4.1 ≤12weeks													
Brili2012	11.24	1.38	17	6.95	0.53	17	4.9%	4.01 [2.79, 5.22]					
Grigore2013	16.8	3.6	10	14	4.8	10	5.8%	0.63 [-0.27, 1.54]	+				
Kurobe2011	4.75	1.9	20	3.71	2.15	20	6.5%	0.50 [-0.13, 1.13]					
Liu2012	9.36	2.4	18	8.56	1.9	22	6.5%	0.37 [-0.26, 1.00]	+				
Sosner2014	10.05	4.73	11	9.23	2.9	11	6.0%	0.20 [-0.64, 1.04]					
Teramoto2020	5	2.52	15	5.8	2.85	14	6.3%	-0.29 [-1.02, 0.44]					
Tousoulis2013	5.98	2.49	11	3.91	1.63	11	5.8%	0.95 [0.06, 1.84]					
Toyama2014	3.2	1.6	40	2.4	1.7	40	6.9%	0.48 [0.04, 0.92]	-				
Wu2013	9.01	0.39	37	6.01	0.49	18	4.3%	6.96 [5.50, 8.43]					
Yan2011	9.5	0.96	18	9.62	0.93	18	6.5%	-0.12 [-0.78, 0.53]	-				
Yunoki2011	9.2	2.3	10	7.9	1.9	10	5.8%	0.59 [-0.31, 1.49]	+				
Subtotal (95% CI)			207			191	65.4%	1.16 [0.38, 1.93]	◆				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.53; Chi ² = 115.52, df = 10 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 91%													
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.92	2 (P = 0	.003)										
1.4.2 >12weeks													
Egede2012	2.6	5.9	39	4.1	6.5	38	6.9%	-0.24 [-0.69, 0.21]					
Kim2013	8.9	2.2	35	9.5	2.8	35	6.9%	-0.24 [-0.71, 0.23]					
Likozar2023	14.4	5.7	38	11.2	4.6	38	6.9%	0.61 [0.15, 1.07]					
Rexhaj2022	5.44	2.24	68	5.45	2.19	71	7.1%	-0.00 [-0.34, 0.33]	+				
Toyama2012	3.18	1.82	29	2.25	1.42	26	6.7%	0.56 [0.02, 1.10]	<u> </u> +-				
Subtotal (95% CI)			209			208	34.6%	0.12 [-0.22, 0.47]	•				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.10; C	hi² = 10	2.03, dt	f= 4 (P =	= 0.02)	; I ² = 67	°%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.70) (P = 0	.49)										
Total (95% CI)			416			399	100.0%	0.74 [0.28, 1.21]	◆				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.76; C	hi ^z = 13	39.85. (df = 15 (P < 0.0	00001);	l² = 89%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.13	P = 0	.002)						-10 -5 0 5 10				
Test for subaroup dif	ferences	: Chi ² =	= 5.71.	df = 1 (F	P = 0.0	2), I ² =	82.5%		Favours (control) Favours (experimental)				

Fig 16. Subgroup analysis in intervention duration on FMD.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g016

provides nuanced insights into how different patient populations may respond to lipid-lowering therapies. This is especially important for high-risk groups, such as those with ASCVD, where endothelial dysfunction is a critical therapeutic target. By demonstrating the pleiotropic benefits of lipid-lowering agents beyond their cholesterol-lowering effects, this study contributes significantly to the growing body of evidence supporting their role in comprehensive cardiovascular care. These strengths underscore the importance of lipid-lowering therapies in improving vascular health and reducing cardiovascular risk.

The findings of the trials included in this study were heterogeneous, which is thought to be related to the selection of research subjects, sample sizes, and types and doses of drugs used in different studies. Therefore, a random-effects model was used to increase the reliability of the results. However, several limitations should be noted. First, small sample sizes in some subgroup analyses (e.g., PWV analysis with only 206 participants) may limit statistical power. Future studies should standardize designs and increase sample sizes to improve generalizability. Second, inconsistencies in drug types and dosages across studies may have contributed to outcome variability. Future trials should maintain consistency and explore dose-response relationships. Third, varying intervention durations and lack of long-term follow-up data prevent a comprehensive assessment of treatment effects. Future research should ensure consistent intervention periods and include long-term follow-up. Finally, reliance on FMD alone may not fully capture vascular health changes. Incorporating additional biomarkers (e.g., IMT, PWV) could provide a more comprehensive evaluation.

As a noninvasive method to assess arterial health, FMD has important clinical significance in early detection, risk prediction, efficacy evaluation of cardiovascular disease, and judgment of the effect of lifestyle interventions. Regular FMD monitoring can help identify early endothelial dysfunction and provide valuable evidence for personalized prevention and



	Expe	rimen	tal	Control				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl				
1.5.1 non-ASCVD													
Grigore2013	16.8	3.6	10	14	4.8	10	5.8%	0.63 [-0.27, 1.54]	+				
Kurobe2011	4.75	1.9	20	3.71	2.15	20	6.5%	0.50 [-0.13, 1.13]	+				
Liu2012	9.36	2.4	18	8.56	1.9	22	6.5%	0.37 [-0.26, 1.00]	+				
Sosner2014	10.05	4.73	11	9.23	2.9	11	6.0%	0.20 [-0.64, 1.04]					
Teramoto2020	5	2.52	15	5.8	2.85	14	6.3%	-0.29 [-1.02, 0.44]					
Tousoulis2013	5.98	2.49	11	3.91	1.63	11	5.8%	0.95 [0.06, 1.84]					
Toyama2014	3.2	1.6	40	2.4	1.7	40	6.9%	0.48 [0.04, 0.92]	-				
Yan2011	9.5	0.96	18	9.62	0.93	18	6.5%	-0.12 [-0.78, 0.53]					
Yunoki2011	9.2	2.3	10	7.9	1.9	10	5.8%	0.59 [-0.31, 1.49]	+				
Subtotal (95% CI)			153			156	56.2%	0.35 [0.12, 0.57]	•				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; CI	hi² = 7.	99, df=	= 8 (P =	0.43);	l² = 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.01 (P = 0.003)													
1.5.2 ASCVD													
Brili2012	11.24	1.38	17	6.95	0.53	17	4.9%	4.01 [2.79, 5.22]					
Egede2012	2.6	5.9	39	4.1	6.5	38	6.9%	-0.24 [-0.69, 0.21]	+				
Kim2013	8.9	2.2	35	9.5	2.8	35	6.9%	-0.24 [-0.71, 0.23]					
Likozar2023	14.4	5.7	38	11.2	4.6	38	6.9%	0.61 [0.15, 1.07]					
Rexhaj2022	5.44	2.24	68	5.45	2.19	71	7.1%	-0.00 [-0.34, 0.33]	+				
Toyama2012	3.18	1.82	29	2.25	1.42	26	6.7%	0.56 [0.02, 1.10]					
Wu2013	9.01	0.39	37	6.01	0.49	18	4.3%	6.96 [5.50, 8.43]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			263			243	43.8%	1.40 [0.45, 2.35]	◆				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.49; CI	hi² = 10	31.77,	df = 6 (P	< 0.00	0001); I	²= 95%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.90	(P = 0	1.004)										
Total (95% CI)			416			399	100.0%	0.74 [0.28, 1.21]	◆				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.76; CI	hi ^z = 10	39.85,	df = 15 (P < 0.0	00001);	, l² = 89%						
Test for overall effect:	Test for overall effect: $Z = 3,13$ (P = 0.002)												
Test for subgroup diff	Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 4.49, df = 1 (P = 0.03), l ² = 77.7% Favours [control] Favours [experimental]												

Fig 17. Subgroup analysis in underlying diseases on FMD.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.g017

Table 2. Subgroup analysis of the included randomized controlled trials.

	Subgroups	Number	Combined Effect Value (95% Cl) (%)	Heterogeneity I2 (%)	Р
Overall		16	0.74 (0.28, 1.21)	89	0.002
Drug type	Statin	8	1.33 (-0.13, 0.77)	95	0.01
	PCSK9i	2	0.28 (-0.32, 0.88)	78	0.36
	Omega-3 fatty acid	3	0.32 (-0.13, 0.77)	48	0.16
	Ezetimibe	3	0.56 (0.11, 1.00)	0.0	0.02
Duration	≤12 weeks	11	1.16 (0.38, 1.93)	91	0.003
	>12 weeks	5	0.12 (-0.22, 0.47)	67	0.49
Underlying disease	Non-ASCVD	9	0.35 (0.12, 0.57)	0	0.003
	ASCVD	7	1.40 (0.45, 2.35)	95	0.004

ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0323210.t002

treatment. This will help reduce the incidence of cardiovascular disease and help patients better manage their existing cardiovascular risk factors.

In general, lipid-lowering therapy is not only the basic drug for the treatment of hyperlipidemia but also the cornerstone for the treatment of metabolic disorders such as diabetes and the prevention of adverse cardiovascular events. Their



ability to modulate lipid profiles, protect endothelial function, and improve broader metabolic outcomes makes them an important component in the comprehensive care of patients with dyslipidemia and associated coexisting conditions.

Supporting information

S1 File. PRISMA checklist and search strategy. (DOCX)

S2 File. Full list of screened records with inclusion/exclusion status. (XLSX)

Author contributions

Data curation: Lijun Zhou, Qiutao Wang.

Funding acquisition: Min Wu.

Writing – original draft: Xinyue Wang.

Writing - review & editing: Lijun Zhou, Min Wu.

References

- 1. Virani S, Alonso A, Aparicio H, Benjamin E, Bittencourt M, Callaway C, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2021 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circ. 2021;143:e254–743.
- Libby P, Buring JE, Badimon L, Hansson GK, Deanfield J, Bittencourt MS, et al. Atherosclerosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2019;5(1):56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0106-z</u> PMID: <u>31420554</u>
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/ NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019:73:e285–350.
- Thijssen DHJ, Bruno RM, van Mil ACCM, Holder SM, Faita F, Greyling A, et al. Expert consensus and evidence-based recommendations for the assessment of flow-mediated dilation in humans. Eur Heart J. 2019;40(30):2534–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz350</u> PMID: <u>31211361</u>
- Green DJ, Dawson EA, Groenewoud HMM, Jones H, Thijssen DHJ. Is flow-mediated dilation nitric oxide mediated?: A meta-analysis. Hypertension. 2014;63(2):376–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02044</u> PMID: <u>24277765</u>
- Chen W, Chen C, Hsu M, Chang R, Wang C, Lee T. Advances in the molecular mechanisms of statins in regulating endothelial nitric oxide bioavailability: interlocking biology between eNOS activity and L-arginine metabolism. Biomed Pharmacother. 2024;171:116192.
- Rexhaj E, Bär S, Soria R, Ueki Y, Häner JD, Otsuka T, et al. Effects of alirocumab on endothelial function and coronary atherosclerosis in myocardial infarction: a PACMAN-AMI randomized clinical trial substudy. Atherosclerosis. 2024;392:117504. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2024.117504</u> PMID: <u>38513436</u>
- Sabatine MS, Giugliano RP, Keech AC, Honarpour N, Wiviott SD, Murphy SA, et al. Evolocumab and clinical outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(18):1713–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1615664</u> PMID: <u>28304224</u>
- 9. Yan HM, Zhao J, Ma DZ, Wang H, Wang J, Wang ZH, et al. The effect of pitavastatin calcium on endothelial dysfunction induced by hypercholesterolemia. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2011;12(10):1463–71. https://doi.org/10.1517/14656566.2011.583238 PMID: 21651447
- Demir V, Ede H, Yilmaz S, Dogru M. The effects of atorvastatin and rosuvastatin treatment on endothelial dysfunction among patients with hyperlipidemia. Am J Cardiol. 2017;119:E38.
- 11. Sosner P, Gayda M, Mitchell G, Lalonge J, Lacroix S, Juneau M. 12-weeks of lipid-lowering therapy decrease exercise tolerance without affecting endothelial function or arterial stiffness among subjects with primary untreated hypercholesterolemia. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2014;21(1):S88.
- Clavijo LC, Caro J, Choi J, Caro JA, Tun H, Rowe V, et al. The addition of evolocumab to maximal tolerated statin therapy improves walking performance in patients with peripheral arterial disease and intermittent claudication (Evol-PAD study). Cardiovasc Revasc Med. 2023;55:1–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carrev.2023.04.020</u> PMID: <u>37142533</u>
- Toyama K, Sasaki O, Nishioka T, Ito H. Beneficial effect of eicosapentaenoic acid on endothelial function in old myocardial infarction patients under adequate statin therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;59:E1773.
- Teramoto T, Shibata H, Suzaki Y, Matsui S, Uemura N, Tomiyama H, et al. Discrepancy between fasting flow-mediated dilation and parameter of lipids in blood: a randomized exploratory study of the effect of omega-3 fatty acid ethyl esters on vascular endothelial function in patients with hyperlipidemia. Adv Ther. 2020;37(5):2169–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-020-01286-1</u> PMID: <u>32200533</u>



- Tousoulis D, Oikonomou E, Siasos G, Chrysohoou C, Zaromitidou M, Kioufis S, et al. Dose-dependent effects of short term atorvastatin treatment on arterial wall properties and on indices of left ventricular remodeling in ischemic heart failure. Atherosclerosis. 2013;227(2):367–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.01.015</u> PMID: <u>23433403</u>
- 16. Rexhaj E, Soria R, Baer S, Kavaliauskaite R, Yasushi U, Tatsuhiko O, et al. Effect of alirocumab added to high-Intensity statin therapy on endothelial function in patients with acute myocardial infarction: a sub-study of the randomized placebo-controlled PacMan-AMI trial. Eur Respir J. 2022;60 supplement 2:1398.
- Egede R, Jensen LO, Hansen HS, Antonsen L, Hansen KN, Junker A, et al. Effect of intensive lipid-lowering treatment compared to moderate lipid-lowering treatment with rosuvastatin on endothelial function in high risk patients. Int J Cardiol. 2012;158(3):376–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijcard.2011.01.071</u> PMID: <u>21349594</u>
- Liu B, Zhang J-Y, Cao H-M, Wang Q, Wang H-B. Effect of rosuvastatin on ROCK activity, endothelial function, and inflammation in Asian patients with atherosclerosis. Intern Med. 2012;51(10):1177–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.2169/internalmedicine.51.6771</u> PMID: <u>22687786</u>
- Grigore L, Raselli S, Garlaschelli K, Redaelli L, Norata GD, Pirillo A, et al. Effect of treatment with pravastatin or ezetimibe on endothelial function in patients with moderate hypercholesterolemia. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2013;69(3):341–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-012-1345-z</u> PMID: <u>22777149</u>
- **20.** Brili S, Tousoulis D, Antonopoulos AS, Antoniades C, Hatzis G, Bakogiannis C, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on endothelial function and the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and adhesion molecules in young subjects with successfully repaired coarctation of aorta. Heart. 2012;98(4):325–9. https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2011-300287 PMID: 22076019
- 21. Kim KH, Cho SH, Yim YR, Lee KJ, Yum JH, Yoon HJ, et al. Effects of low dose versus high dose statin therapy on the changes of endothelial function and carotid intima-media thickness in patients with variant angina. J Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2013;21(2):58–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.4250/jcu.2013.21.2.58</u> PMID: <u>23837115</u>
- Jeong H, Lim J, Hong S, Cho J, Lee S, Joo H. Effects of lowest-dose vs. highest-dose pitavastatin on coronary neointimal hyperplasia at 12 months follow-up in type 2 diabetic patients with non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome: An optical coherence tomography analysis. Circ. 2017;136.
- **23.** YunTao W, Ying-chun G, Ye-Mei C. Effects of simvastatin on vascular endothelial function in patients with coronary heart diseases. Heart. 2013;99(Suppl 3):A249.1–A249. https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304613.702
- Toyama K, Nishioka T, Isshiki A, Ando T, Inoue Y, Kirimura M, et al. Eicosapentaenoic acid combined with optimal statin therapy improves endothelial dysfunction in patients with coronary artery disease. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther. 2014;28(1):53–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10557-013-6496-3</u> PMID: <u>24158248</u>
- 25. Yunoki K, Nakamura K, Miyoshi T, Enko K, Kohno K, Morita H, et al. Ezetimibe improves postprandial hyperlipemia and its induced endothelial dysfunction. Atherosclerosis. 2011;217(2):486–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2011.04.019 PMID: 21592480
- 26. Kurobe H, Aihara K, Higashida M, Hirata Y, Nishiya M, Matsuoka Y, et al. Ezetimibe monotherapy ameliorates vascular function in patients with hypercholesterolemia through decreasing oxidative stress. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2011;18(12):1080–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.9548</u> PMID: <u>22027560</u>
- 27. Erbs S, Beck EB, Linke A, Adams V, Gielen S, Kränkel N, et al. High-dose rosuvastatin in chronic heart failure promotes vasculogenesis, corrects endothelial function, and improves cardiac remodeling--results from a randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled study. Int J Cardiol. 2011;146(1):56–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2010.02.019 PMID: 20236716
- Rehberger Likozar A, Ugovšek S, Levstek T, Trebušak Podkrajšek K, Sebestjen M. Abstract 16485: treatment with PCSK9 inhibitors influence miRNAs expression and changes of arterial wall properties. Circulation. 2023;148(Suppl_1). https://doi.org/10.1161/circ.148.suppl_1.16485
- 29. Hummelgaard S, Vilstrup JP, Gustafsen C, Glerup S, Weyer K. Targeting PCSK9 to tackle cardiovascular disease. Pharmacol Ther. 2023;249:108480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2023.108480 PMID: 37331523
- 30. Schonck WAM, Stroes ESG, Hovingh GK, Reeskamp LF. Long-term efficacy and tolerability of PCSK9 targeted therapy: a review of the literature. Drugs. 2024;84(2):165–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-024-01995-9 PMID: 38267805
- Parolin M, Dassie F, Martini C, Mioni R, Russo L, Fallo F, et al. Preclinical markers of atherosclerosis in acromegaly: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pituitary. 2018;21(6):653–62. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11102-018-0911-5</u> PMID: <u>30225826</u>
- Ye Y, Zhao X, Zhai G, Guo L, Tian Z, Zhang S. Effect of high-dose statin versus low-dose statin plus ezetimibe on endothelial function: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther. 2012;17(4):357–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1074248412449384</u> PMID: <u>22710021</u>
- Sofat S, Chen X, Chowdhury MM, Coughlin PA. Effects of statin therapy and dose on cardiovascular and limb outcomes in peripheral arterial disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2021;62(3):450–61.
- 34. Shinnakasu A, Yamamoto K, Kurano M, Arimura H, Arimura A, Kikuti A, et al. The combination therapy of fenofibrate and ezetimibe improved lipid profile and vascular function compared with statins in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2017;24(7):735–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.39446</u> PMID: <u>28450679</u>
- 35. Khan SU, Yedlapati SH, Lone AN, Hao Q, Guyatt G, Delvaux N, et al. PCSK9 inhibitors and ezetimibe with or without statin therapy for cardiovascular risk reduction: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ. 2022;377:e069116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2021-069116</u> PMID: 35508321



- Lee S-G, Lee S-J, Thuy NVP, Kim J-S, Lee J-J, Lee O-H, et al. Synergistic protective effects of a statin and an angiotensin receptor blocker for initiation and progression of atherosclerosis. PLoS One. 2019;14(5):e0215604. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215604</u> PMID: <u>31050669</u>
- Chapman MJ, Zamorano JL, Parhofer KG. Reducing residual cardiovascular risk in Europe: therapeutic implications of European medicines agency approval of icosapent ethyl/eicosapentaenoic acid. Pharmacol Ther. 2022;237:108172. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2022.108172</u> PMID: <u>35304222</u>
- Pradhan A, Bhandari M, Vishwakarma P, Singh A, Perrone MA, Sethi R. Bempedoic acid: an emerging therapy for uncontrolled low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis. 2023;10(5):195. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jcdd10050195</u> PMID: 37233162
- Yilmaz MI, Romano M, Basarali MK, Elzagallaai A, Karaman M, Demir Z, et al. The effect of corrected inflammation, oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction on Fmd levels in patients with selected chronic diseases: a quasi-experimental study. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):9018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65528-6 PMID: 32488098</u>
- 40. Kim MS, Park DG, Gil YE, Shin IJ, Yoon JH. The effect of levodopa treatment on vascular endothelial function in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol. 2023;270:2964–8.