Treatment of multiple myeloma with the immunostimulatory SLAMF7 antibody elotuzumab

Hermann Einsele and Martin Schreder

Abstract: Elotuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting the extracellular domain of signaling lymphocytic activation molecule F7 (SLAMF7) highly expressed in multiple myeloma cells. Upon binding to myeloma cells, elotuzumab exerts its cytotoxic effects through antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, the antibody-induced selective lysis of tumor cells by activated natural killer (NK) cells. Furthermore, elotuzumab has been shown to directly induce NK-cell activation by binding to SLAMF7 expressed on NK cells and to indirectly modulate T-cell function by promoting the secretion of cytokines from NK cells. In combination with lenalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone, elotuzumab has shown remarkable effects in patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. In these patients, the risk of disease progression or death was significantly reduced by 30% on elotuzumab. Currently, elotuzumab is being evaluated in various myeloma patient populations and combination regimens. This review discusses the use of elotuzumab as an antimultiple myeloma agent and provides an update on the results of recent clinical trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of elotuzumab for the treatment of multiple myeloma.

Keywords: antibody-based immunotherapy, CS1, elotuzumab, multiple myeloma, SLAMF7

Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a mature B-cell malignancy characterized by monoclonal expansion of plasma cells in the bone marrow leading to bone destruction and marrow failure and accompanied by excessive production of monoclonal immunoglobulins (Igs) that produce an 'M spike' on serum protein electrophoresis [Raab *et al.* 2009]. In the United States (US), it accounts for an estimated 26,850 new cases diagnosed in 2015 (1.6% of all new cancer cases), with 11,240 deaths (1.9% of all cancer deaths) [Siegel *et al.* 2015]. In Europe, an estimated 40,570 new cases are expected for 2015, with 25,398 deaths [WHO and IARC, 2012].

Increased knowledge and understanding of the pathogenesis of MM has prompted the development of second- and third-generation proteasome inhibitors (PIs), immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDs) and alkylators, which has significantly improved outcome for patients with MM [Mateos et al. 2013]. Furthermore, drugs with novel mechanisms of action have been tested in relapsed/refractory MM (RRMM), such as agents acting on the cell cycle, epigenetic modifiers, agents acting on the unfolded protein response, signaling pathway inhibitors, and kinase inhibitors [Ocio et al. 2014]. Despite substantial progress to identify the selective vulnerability created by the underlying genomic instability and its clinical translation, MM remains an incurable disease with frequent relapse or development of drug resistance, indicating a significant unmet need for novel agents to treat this patient population.

Immunotherapy bears significant promise in myeloma treatment. Various immunotherapeutic approaches are currently being employed or evaluated, such as allogeneic stem cell transplantation, adoptive cellular immunotherapy, dendritic cell vaccines, chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy and natural killer (NK)-cell-based therapies [Ayed *et al.* 2015]. In combination with Ther Adv Hematol

2016, Vol. 7(5) 288-301

2040620716657993



Reprints and permissions: http://www.sagepub.co.uk/ journalsPermissions.nav

Correspondence to: Hermann Einsele, MD, PhD, Prof Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik II, University of Wuerzburg, Oberduerrbacher Straße 6, Haus A3, 97080 Wuerzburg, Germany einsele_h@klinik.uniwuerzburg.de

Martin Schreder, MD University Hospital Wuerzburg, Würzburg, Germany other agents, antibody-based immunotherapy is employed in tailored regimens for patients with advanced disease. Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) allow for the selective targeting of antigens on the myeloma cell and include cell-surface proteins involved in signaling, tumor growth and survival, such as growth factors and their receptors and adhesion molecules. Other targets include cellular and noncellular components of the bone marrow microenvironment that interact with myeloma cells, such as soluble growth factors and NK cells [Sondergeld *et al.* 2015; van de Donk *et al.* 2012].

The cytotoxic effects of mAbs may be executed by a variety of mechanisms including direct induction of growth arrest or apoptosis *via* targeting of signaling pathways, activation of macrophages *via* antibody-dependent cell-mediated phagocytosis, activation of the complement system to induce complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) or activation of NK cells to induce antigen-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) [Sondergeld *et al.* 2015; Golay and Introna, 2012; Richardson *et al.* 2011].

SLAMF7 as a therapeutic target

Signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM) family receptors are expressed in hematopoietic cells and play critical roles in normal immune regulation [Veillette and Guo, 2013]. Most of these receptors are 'self-ligands' (i.e. they recognize another molecule of the same receptor present on another cell as a ligand). Accordingly, SLAM family receptors can be triggered by interactions between either identical or different types of hematopoietic cells. The cytoplasmic tail of SLAM family receptors includes one or more immunoreceptor tyrosine-based switch motifs (ITSMs). Upon extracellular interaction, intracellular ITSMs undergo tyrosine phosphorylation and mediate binding to the SLAM-associated protein (SAP) family of adaptors: SAP and Ewing's sarcoma-associated transcript 2 (EAT-2). Through recruiting downstream effectors in the presence or absence of the SAP family adaptors, the SLAM family receptors may mediate activating or inhibitory effects on immune cells [Liu et al. 2014; Cannons et al. 2011].

SLAMF7 (CS1; CCND3 subset 1; CRACC) is a cell-surface glycoprotein universally and highly expressed on normal and malignant plasma cells. SLAMF7 possesses one ITSM in its cytoplasmic

domain and recruits EAT-2 to mediate activating effects. SLAMF7 has two isoforms, CS1-long (CS1-L) and CS1-short (CS1-S), which differ in the cytoplasmic domain and exhibit differential expression patterns among immune cells [Lee et al. 2007]. High SLAMF7 expression was observed in MM cells from various patient populations independent of high- or low-risk molecular profiles, molecular subtypes or the presence of cvtogenetic abnormalities [Hsi et al. 2008; Tai et al. 2008]. SLAMF7 is not expressed by normal tissues or stem cells, with the exception of some leukocyte subsets, in particular NK cells, NK-like T cells, CD8+ T cells, activated monocytes and dendritic cells, which have lower expression levels compared with malignant plasma cells [Hsi et al. 2008].

SLAMF7 also exists as a soluble form (sSLAMF7). Low levels of circulating sSLAMF7 were detectable in the serum of patients with MM, but not in healthy donors [Tai *et al.* 2008]. Patients showed a significant decrease in free sSLAMF7 after treatment with bortezomib/dexamethasone or lenalidomide/dexamethasone, either with or without elotuzumab, suggesting a potential use of free sSLAMF7 as a surrogate for disease burden [Postelnek *et al.* 2015]. Furthermore, a significant dose- and time-dependent increase in sSLAMF7 following elotuzumab therapy has been observed, which likely reflected stabilization of the protein by binding to elotuzumab.

SLAMF7 was shown to be implicated in the activation of NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity and may play a role in the regulation of B lymphocyte proliferation during immune responses [Lee et al. 2007]. In NK cells, SLAMF7 mediates activating or inhibitory effects, depending on whether cells express or do not express the adaptor EAT-2 [Guo et al. 2015; Pérez-Quintero et al. 2014]. The precise function of SLAMF7 in MM is still unclear. SLAMF7 was expressed at adhesionpromoting uropod membranes of polarized MM cells. Short interfering RNA (siRNA) targeted to SLAMF7 inhibited MM cell adhesion to bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) suggesting that SLAMF7 may be involved in cell-cell adhesion [Tai et al. 2008].

Studies with SLAMF7-specific CARs confirmed the suitability of SLAMF7 as a potential target in MM. Both NK cells [Chu *et al.* 2014a] and T cells [Chu *et al.* 2014b] expressing second-generation CARs based on a single chain variable



Figure 1. Elotuzumab mechanism of action. The primary mechanism of action of elotuzumab against myeloma cells is NK cell-mediated ADCC (B). Elotuzumab also activates NK cells directly *via* SLAMF7 binding (A).

ADCC, antigen-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; EAT-2, Ewing's sarcoma-associated transcript 2; NK, natural killer; SLAMF7, signaling lymphocytic activation molecule F7.

fragment of an antibody related to elotuzumab effectively redirected NK and T cells to secrete cytokines, degranulate and exhibit cytotoxic activity in response to MM cell lines and primary human myeloma cells *in vitro*. SLAMF7-directed NK and T cells also inhibited tumor growth and prolonged survival in an orthotopic MM xenograft mouse model [Chu *et al.* 2014a, 2014b].

Elotuzumab mechanism of action

Elotuzumab (EMPLICITI[®], formerly HuLuc63) is a humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody (mAb) targeting the extracellular domain of human SLAMF7, but does not interact with other members of the SLAM family [Veillette and Guo, 2013]. Elotuzumab was shown to induce lysis of human MM cell lines and primary myeloma cells in vitro in the presence of peripheral blood mononuclear cells or purified NK cells. Lysis was observed even in tumor cells of patients with MM resistant or refractory to standard therapies. In vivo, efficacy was observed in various xenograft models of human myeloma. Intravenous elotuzumab significantly induced tumor regression by triggering autologous ADCC against MM cells, an antibody-induced, selective lysis of tumor cells by activated NK cells [Hsi et al. 2008; Tai et al. 2008]. The Fab portion of elotuzumab binds the SLAMF7 expressed on MM cells, while the Fc portion of elotuzumab binds the activating Fc receptor CD16 on NK cells (Figure 1). These interactions trigger NK cell activation, release of cytotoxic granules and killing of the MM cells [Liu *et al.* 2014; Veillette and Guo, 2013].

After administration of elotuzumab, no signals of CDC were detected. Furthermore, elotuzumab alone could not induce antiproliferation signals or cell death in MM cells. To mediate the antitumor activity of elotuzumab towards myeloma cells, the presence of functional NK cells was required [Hsi *et al.* 2008; Tai *et al.* 2008].

In addition to ADCC, elotuzumab directly induces activation of NK cells by binding to SLAMF7 expressed on NK cells (Figure 1). Upon ligation to SLAMF7 on NK cells, elotuzumab increased the percentage of NK cells expressing CD69 and CD69MFI, stimulatory receptors triggering NK cell-mediated cytolytic activity. Furthermore, elotuzumab induced interferon (IFN)-y production and enhanced granzyme B release, promoting cytotoxicity against SLAMF7positive MM cells but not against autologous SLAMF7-positive NK cells. This process appeared to be mediated by recruitment of the SAP adapter EAT-2 in NK cells and was associated with phosphorylation of downstream signal transduction proteins such as the extracellular signaling-regulated kinase (ERK), implicated in NK cell activation and cytotoxicity [Collins *et al.* 2013].

Elotuzumab may also interfere with adhesion of MM cells to bone marrow stem cells (BMSC) and thus add to the NK cell mediated antitumor activity. Although B-cell tumorigenesis results from genetic anomalies, the tumor microenvironment contributes significantly to the malignant transformation and pathogenesis [Shain et al. 2015]. Cell adhesion has been demonstrated to protect tumor cells from cell death through a number of mechanisms [Shain and Dalton, 2001]. In MM, the interactions of MM cells with the bone marrow microenvironment play a crucial role in cell survival, cell trafficking and drug resistance. In vitro, elotuzumab blocked SLAMF7-mediated adhesion of MM cells to BMSCs in a dose-dependent manner [Tai et al. 2008]. In the presence of BMSCs, elotuzumab inhibited MM cell viability, suggesting that elotuzumab may overcome the stimulatory effects of BMSCs on MM growth and survival and may enhance the sensitivity of MM cells to chemotherapy. Interestingly, binding of elotuzumab to SLAMF7-positive cells did not activate downstream signaling in MM cells, which is thought to be due to lack of intracellular EAT-2 in these cells [Collins et al. 2013].

Clinical studies of elotuzumab in multiple myeloma

Activity, efficacy and safety of elotuzumab alone and in combination with other agents have been assessed in several phase I–III clinical studies and are currently being further explored. An overview of completed and ongoing clinical studies of elotuzumab for the treatment of patients with MM is presented in Table 1.

The first-in-man study of elotuzumab (Study 1701) evaluated the safety and tolerability as well as pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of elotuzumab in patients with RRMM. SLAMF7 receptors of marrow-derived CD38+ cells were found to be reliably saturated at dose levels of 10 and 20 mg elotuzumab per kg bodyweight administered intravenously every 2 weeks [Zonder *et al.* 2012]. While no objective responses were observed in this heavily pretreated patient population, nine patients (26.5%) had stable disease (SD) after four administrations of elotuzumab were observed in this patients (DLTs) of elotuzumab were observed in the served in the served in the served in the stable disease (SD) after four administrations of elotuzumab. Dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) of elotuzumab were observed in

one patient at 2.5 mg/kg and one patient at 20 mg/ kg and included an episode of grade 3 increased serum creatinine and a grade 3 hypersensitivity reaction that resolved spontaneously within 24 hours, respectively. No maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was identified up to the maximum planned dose of 20 mg/kg. In general, adverse events (AEs) were mild to moderate in severity. Infusion reactions were among the most common AEs associated with elotuzumab and usually presented as chills, pyrexia, headache and flushing. The infusion-related AEs were mitigated by implementation of a premedication regimen consisting of methylprednisolone, diphenhydramine and paracetamol/acetaminophen prior to each elotuzumab infusion.

Currently a phase II biomarker study [ClinicalTrials. gov identifier: NCT1441973] is ongoing administering elotuzumab monotherapy to patients with high risk smoldering MM (Table 1). Sequential dose cohorts of 20 mg/kg elotuzumab once monthly or 10 mg/kg elotuzumab twice monthly will be analyzed for elotuzumab-induced changes in monoclonal protein and the percentage of NK cell subpopulations such as CD56^{dim}/CD16+/CD3-/ CD45+ NK cells in the bone marrow.

Elotuzumab is also being evaluated in combination with established MM therapies such as lenalidomide or bortezomib (Table 1). In ongoing studies, even quadruple regimens of elotuzumab, bortezomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone are being assessed [ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers: NCT01668719, NCT02375555].

Combination therapy with lenalidomide

Lenalidomide is an IMiD approved for newly diagnosed MM patients ineligible for stem cell transplantation and, in combination with dexamethasone, for patients with relapsed MM. Its mechanisms of action involve multiple processes including enhancement of T-cell and NK-cell functions, suppression of angiogenesis, inhibition of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α production and direct repression of tumor cell growth [Chanan-Khan and Cheson, 2008; Weber *et al.* 2007].

Preclinically, the induction of myeloma cell death by elotuzumab was further enhanced when combined with lenalidomide. The combination of elotuzumab plus lenalidomide demonstrated superior anti-myeloma activity in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models of MM than either agent alone

al study (NCT identifier)	Patient population	Treatment groups	Dose levels/ regimen	Results	Reference
<i>herapy</i> dy 1701 st-in-man phase l T00425347)	35 patients with RRMM	Dose escalation E	Dose cohorts: 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg E	SLAMF7 receptors saturation at 10-20 mg/kg ORR: 0% SD in 9 pts (26.5%)	[Zonder <i>et al.</i> 2012]
04-011 Biomarker study se II (NCT01441973)	Patients with high risk smoldering myeloma (planned: 40 pts)	ш	Dose cohorts: 10 mg/kg E or 20 mg/kg E	(Study ongoing)	
dy 1703 phase l/ ombination with alidomide and	Phase I part: 29 patients with RRMM (28 pts treated)	Dose escalation E plus L and d	5, 10 or 20 mg/ kg E + 25 mg L + 40 mg d	No DLTs, MTD not reached ORR: 82% [23 of 28 evaluable pts] Median PFS: 29 months	[Lonial <i>et al.</i> 2012] and [Raab <i>et al.</i> 2015]
amethasone T00742560)	Phase II part: 73 patients with RRMM	E plus L and d	1:1 randomization: 10 mg/kg E + L/d or 20 mg/kg E + L/d	Overall (10 and 20 mg/kg dose cohorts): ORR: 84% [61 of 73 pts] Median PFS: 28.6 months	[Richardson <i>et al.</i> 2015]
00UENT-1 phase III :T01335399)	Patients with newly diagnosed, untreated MM (planned: 750 pts)	E plus L and d	1:1 randomization: 10 mg/kg E + L/d or L/d	(Study ongoing)	
ination therapy with lenalide JQUENT-2 phase III :T01239797) :T01239797)	mide, continued 646 patients with RRMM (635 pts treated)	E plus L and d	1:1 randomization: 10 mg/kg E + L/d or L/d	ORR: 79% versus 66% [p < 0.001] PFS rate at 1 year: 68% versus 57% PFS rate at 2 years: 41% versus 27% PFS rate at 3 years: 26% versus 18% Median PFS: 19.4 versus 14.9 months; HR 0.73	[Lonial <i>et al</i> . 2015], [Dimopoulos <i>et al.</i> 2015]
IQUENT-1 substudy T01891643)	SLAMF7 expression on malignant plasma cells at progression	E plus L and d	1:1 randomization: 10 mg/kg E + L/d or L/d	(Study ongoing)	
al function phase l T01393964)	MM patients with various levels of kidney function (planned: 26 pts)	E plus L and d	10 mg/kg + L/d	- ORR: 75% in NRF, 67% in SRI, 56% in ESRD No significant differences in elotuzumab PK and safety in patients with or without renal impairment Conclusion: elotuzumab to be administered without dose adjustment for renal function	[Berdeja <i>et al.</i> 2016]

Table 1. Completed and ongoing clinical studies with elotuzumab in multiple myeloma.

Therapeutic Advances in Hematology 7(5)

(Continued)

292

Table 1. (Continued)					
Clinical study (NCT identifier)	Patient population	Treatment groups	Dose levels/ regimen	Results	Reference
Admin. over 60 min phase II (NCT02159365)	Patients with newly diagnosed MM or RRMM (planned: 76 pts)	E (60 min admin.) plus L and d	10 mg/kg + L/d	(Study ongoing)	
Phase II (NCT02279394)	Patients with high risk smoldering MM (planned: 82 pts)	E plus L and d	1:1 randomization: 10 mg/kg E + L/d or 10 mg/kg E + L	(Study ongoing)	
Combination therapy with bortezo	omib				
Study 1702 phase I combination with bortezomib (NCT00726869)	28 patients with RRMM	Dose escalation E plus B	Dose cohorts: 2.5, 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg E + 1.3 mg/m ² B	No DLTs, MTD not reached ORR: 48% [13 of 27 evaluable pts] Median TTP: 9.46 months	[Jakubowiak <i>et al.</i> 2012]
CA204-009 Combination with bortezomib phase II (NCT01478048)	152 patients with RRMM	E plus B and d	1:1 randomization: 10 mg/kg E + B/d or B/d	Preliminary results (follow up ongoing): ORR: 66% versus 63% Median PFS: 9.7 versus 6.9 months; HR 0.76 ladjusted for prognostic factors: HR 0.62) PFS rate at 1 year: 40% versus 33% PFS rate at 2 years: 18% versus 11%	[Palumbo, 2015],
Combination therapy with various	agents				
Maintenance after ASCT phase II (NCT02420860)	MM patients with autologous stem cell transplant (planned: 48 pts)	Elotuzumab plus L/d diphenhydramine and ranitidine	10 mg/kg E + L/d/Di/R	(Study ongoing)	
SWOG 51211 phase I/II (NCT01668719)	Patients with newly diagnosed high-risk MM [planned: 122 pts]	E plus B, L and d	Ph I part: 10 mg/ kg E + B/L/d Ph II part: 1:1 randomized 10 mg/kg E + B/L/d or B/L/d	(Study ongoing)	Phase I part: [Usmani <i>et al.</i> 2015] Phase II part ongoing
Stem Cell Mobiliz. phase IIa (NCT02375555)	Newly diagnosed symptomatic MM [planned: 40 pts]	E plus B, L, d	E + B/L/d	(Study ongoing)	
CheckMate 602 phase III (NCT02726581)	Patients with RRMM (planned: 406 pts)	Combinations of N, E, P and d	Exploratory study arm: E + N/P/d	(Study ongoing)	
ASCT, autologous stem cell trar HR, hazard ratio; L, lenalidomid PFS, progression-free survival; artivation molecula F7. SPL cent	isplant; B. bortezomib; d. low dos e; MM, multiple myeloma; MTD, r PK, pharmacokinetics; Pts, patier ere renal inmairment TTP time 4	e dexamethasone; Di, diph naximum tolerated dose; I its; K. ranitidine; RRMM, r	nenhydramine; DLT, (N, nivolumab; NRF, r elapsed or refractor	dose-limiting toxicity; E, elotuzumab; ESRD, end-sta normal renal function; ORR, objective response rate; y multiple myeloma; SD, stable disease; SLAMF7, si;	ge renal disease; . P, pomalidomide; gnaling lymphocytic



Figure 2. Antitumor effects of elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide in a human xenograft mouse model of multiple myeloma. In a myeloma mouse xenograft model, the combination of elotuzumab plus lenalidomide significantly reduced tumor volume compared with either agent alone. Mice with established OPM2 xenograft tumors (average of ~100 mm²) were randomized into treatment groups (n = 8/group) and treated with control IgG1, lenalidomide + control IgG1, elotuzumab or elotuzumab + lenalidomide. Inverted triangles depict elotuzumab (filled) or lenalidomide (empty) dosing. (Reproduced from Balasa and colleagues [Balasa *et al.* 2015] © The Author(s) 2014). Ig, immunoglobulin; OPM2, myeloma cell line.

(Figure 2). On combination treatment, myeloma cell killing was enhanced by modulating NK cell function that coincided with the upregulation of adhesion and activation markers, including interleukin (IL)-2R α expression, IL-2 production by CD3+CD56+ lymphocytes and TNF- α production [Balasa *et al.* 2015].

Clinical data from a phase I/II study (Study 1703) of intravenous elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide and low dose dexamethasone (E-L/d) demonstrated an overall response rate (ORR) of 84% and a median progression-free survival (PFS) of 29 months in patients with RRMM [Richardson *et al.* 2015], thus leading to two large phase III trials of this treatment regimen in first and second line settings (Study ELOQUENT-1 in patients with newly diagnosed, previously untreated MM and Study

ELOOUENT-2 in patients with RRMM). For these studies, 28-day treatment cycles and a dosing schedule of 10 mg/kg on days 1, 8, 15, and 22 during the first two cycles and then on days 1 and 15 starting with the third cycle have been selected for elotuzumab. While ELOQUENT-1 is still ongoing, interim data of ELOQUENT-2 confirmed the previous results in patients with RRMM (Table 1). Treatment with lenalidomide/ dexamethasone with or without elotuzumab (E-L/d versus L/d) showed an ORR of 79% on elotuzumab versus 66% on control treatment. With a median PFS of 19.4 months, patients receiving the triple combination had a significant relative reduction of 30% in the risk of disease progression or death [Lonial et al. 2015]. The PFS benefit of patients on E-L/d versus L/d was maintained over time as indicated by a 2-year PFS rate of 41% versus 27% and a 3-year PFS rate of 26% versus 18%, respectively. Accordingly, the PFS hazard ratio (HR) was 0.70 (95% CI 0.57-0.85; p = 0.0004) after 2 years and 0.73 (95% CI 0.60-0.89; p = 0.0014) after 3 years of follow up [Dimopoulos, 2015]. An interim analvsis of overall survival (OS) revealed a HR of 0.77 (95% CI 0.61-0.97) indicating a strong trend (p = 0.0257) for treatment with E-L/d versus L/d [Dimopoulos, 2015].

In this phase III study, randomization of patients was stratified according to the baseline β 2microglobulin level, the number of previous therapies, and previous IMiD therapy. Patient baseline characteristics were balanced between the treatment groups of each study and reflected well the heterogeneous patient population characteristic for RRMM. With respect to PFS, the benefit of treatment with elotuzumab was consistent across various patient subgroups, including patients with resistance to the most recent line of therapy and those who had previous exposure to bortezomib or IMiDs, were ≥65 years of age or had a high-risk cytogenetic profile, particularly the presence of the del(17p) variant (Figure 3) [Lonial et al. 2015]. Multivariate analysis suggested that the greatest benefit in PFS occurred among patients in whom MM had been diagnosed \geq 3.5 years before study entry (HR 0.55; 95% CI 0.44–0.70; p < 0.001), with a median survival of 26.0 months in the elotuzumab group versus 17.3 months in the control group [Lonial et al. 2015].

Unfortunately, the influence of the IgG Fc receptor FcyRIIIa (CD16) gene polymorphism on PFS

Subgroup	Elotuzumab	Control	Hazard rat	io
	No. of ev (total no. of	ents patients)	(95% CI)	
Age				
<65 years	78 (134)	87 (142)	⊢ ●;	0.75 (0.55–1.02)
≥65 years	101 (187)	118 (183)	⊢ ●	0.65 (0.50–0.85)
Baseline β_2 -microglobulin				
<3.5 mg/l	82 (173)	107 (179)	⊢● →	0.61 (0.46–0.81)
≥3.5 mg/l	97 (147)	98 (146)	⊢ ● <u>↓</u>	0.79 (0.60–1.05)
ISS stage at enrollment				
I	68 (141)	80 (138)	F●1	0.63 (0.46–0.87)
I	60 (102)	67 (105)	⊢ ●	0.86 (0.61–1.22)
III	48 (66)	50 (68)	⊢●	0.70 (0.47–1.04)
Response to most recent line of therapy				
Resistance	67 (113)	77 (114)		0.56 (0.40–0.78)
Relapse	112 (207)	128 (211)	⊢ ●1	0.77 (0.60–1.00)
No. of lines of previous therapy				
1	85 (151)	101 (159)	⊢ ●1	0.75 (0.56–1.00)
2 or 3	94 (170)	104 (166)		0.65 (0.49–0.87)
Previous IMiD therapy				
None	85 (155)	91 (151)	⊢ ● –	0.78 (0.58–1.05)
Thalidomide only	85 (150)	101 (153)	⊢ ●	0.64 (0.48–0.85)
Other	9 (16)	13 (21)		0.59 (0.25–1.40)
Previous bortezomib				
Yes	132 (219)	150 (231)		0.68 (0.54–0.86)
No	47 (102)	55 (94)		0.72 (0.49–1.07)
Previous lenalidomide				
Yes	9 (16)	13 (21)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.59 (0.25–1.40)
No	170 (305)	192 (304)		0.70 (0.57–0.87)
Previous stem-cell transplantation				
Yes	102 (167)	117 (185)		0.75 (0.58–0.99)
No	77 (154)	88 (140)	⊢	0.63 (0.46-0.86)
Mutations				
del(17p)	50 (102)	61 (104)	⊢	0.65 (0.45–0.94)
1q21	88 (147)	105 (163)		0.75 (0.56–0.99)
t(4;14)	21 (30)	25 (31)	•	0.53 (0.29–0.95)
Baseline creatinine clearance				
<60 ml/min	53 (96)	55 (75)		0.56 (0.39–0.82)
≥60 ml/min	126 (225)	150 (250)	⊢● →	0.74 (0.58–0.94)
			1 00	

Figure 3. Subgroup analysis of progression-free survival in patients of Study ELOQUENT-2. The benefit for PFS on combination of elotuzumab plus lenalidomide and dexamethasone was consistent across key patient subgroups (From [Lonial *et al.* 2015] © 2015 Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission). CI, confidence interval; IMiD, immunomodulatory drugs; PFS, progression-free survival.

was not analyzed in this study. A high affinity 'VV' genotype of this receptor expressed on NK cells has been shown to result in enhanced ADCC [Weng and Levy, 2003; Cartron *et al.* 2002; see also next section: combination therapy with bortezomib]. Nevertheless, the benefit of E-L/d in PFS was further confirmed by means of multiple sensitivity analyses. Similar to PFS, the benefit in OS was also consistent across all predefined patient subgroups as indicated by the interim analysis performed after 3 years of follow up [Dimopoulos, 2015].

The combination of elotuzumab, lenalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone was generally well tolerated. The safety profile of intravenous elotuzumab was consistent across doses and did not appear to be dose-dependent. During doseescalation, no DLTs were observed and the MTD was not reached at doses up to 20 mg/kg [Lonial et al. 2012]. In Study 1703, the most common treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) included diarrhea, muscle spasms, fatigue, constipation and nausea. Overall, 57 patients (78%) had grade 3-4 AEs including lymphopenia in 15 patients and neutropenia in 14 patients [Richardson et al. 2015]. During phase III (Study ELOQUENT-2) [Lonial et al. 2015], addition of elotuzumab did not appear to increase the frequency or intensity of AEs known for the established combination of lenalidomide and dexamethasone. Hematological toxicities were frequently observed and comparable between the two treatment groups, except for lymphopenia of grade 3-4 which was observed in 77% of patients on elotuzumab versus 49% of patients in the control group. The increased rate of lymphopenia on elotuzumab may reflect alterations in lymphocyte trafficking, including NK cells. Despite this finding, there was no evidence of increased autoimmunity or other sequelae of immune dysregulation [Lonial et al. 2015]. Other common grade 3 or 4 AEs in the two treatment groups were fatigue and diarrhea. Serious AEs were reported in 65% and 57% of patients in the elotuzumab and the control group, respectively. In the elotuzumab group, infections were reported in 81% of patients versus 74% in the control group. After adjustment for drug exposure, rates of infection were equal in the two groups (197 events per 100 patient-years) [Lonial et al. 2015].

Infusion reactions such as pyrexia, chills, hypertension, nausea or rash were noted in only 10– 11% of patients receiving elotuzumab [Lonial *et al.* 2015; Richardson *et al.* 2015]. Infusion reactions were mild to moderate in most patients and resolved the same day spontaneously or with treatment as indicated. No patient had a grade 4 or 5 reaction. Most infusion reactions (70%) occurred during the first dose of elotuzumab therapy and all but two patients continued treatment [Lonial *et al.* 2015]. To reduce the infusion time of elotuzumab, the flow rate was increased to 5 ml/min in several patients, resulting in an infusion time of <1 hour.

In patients with MM and various levels of renal impairment [ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT-01393964] (Table 1), treatment with intravenous elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone did not reveal any significant differences in pharmacokinetic parameters or safety of elotuzumab [Berdeja *et al.* 2016]. Data collected in patients with normal renal function, severe renal impairment or end-stage renal disease support administration of elotuzumab without dose adjustment for renal function.

In summary, clinical study results were consistent with the preclinical data demonstrating synergy of elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide. Data obtained in randomized controlled studies validate the added benefit of elotuzumab in patients with RRMM. Consequently, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone for the treatment of patients with MM who have received one to three prior therapies.

The combination of elotuzumab and lenalidomide/dexamethasone is being further evaluated for the treatment of MM in several ongoing clinical studies (Table 1). Analyses include patients with earlier stages of MM (e.g. ELOQUENT-1), administration of elotuzumab as a 60 min infusion [ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT021-59365] and determination of SLAMF7 expression of malignant plasma cells at progression [substudy of ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01-891643]. Furthermore, a quadruple combination regimen of elotuzumab, bortezomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone is being explored in patients with newly diagnosed, high risk MM (SWOG S1211 study) and in patients with newly diagnosed, symptomatic MM [ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02375555]. The combination of elotuzumab plus lenalidomide, dexamethasone, diphenhydramine and ranitidine will be evaluated for maintenance therapy after autologous stem cell transplantation [ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02420860].

Combination therapy with bortezomib

Bortezomib is an oral PI approved for the treatment of patients with relapsed MM and is considered the standard of care for salvage therapy in both the US and EU. Bortezomib prevents degradation of ubiquitinated intracellular proteins by interfering with several cellular pathways including inhibition of nuclear factor (NF) κ B and activation of caspase-8 and caspase-9, which results in apoptotic cell death [Strauss *et al.* 2007; Ling *et al.* 2003]. Of note, bortezomib was shown to enhance the susceptibility of tumor cells to NK cell-mediated killing [Hsi *et al.* 2008; Hallett *et al.* 2008].

In vitro, combination therapy of elotuzumab with bortezomib significantly lowered the half maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) of elotuzumab in myeloma cell lines and primary myeloma cells. Bortezomib enhanced ADCC-mediated MM cell death induced by elotuzumab via downregulation of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I, an inhibitor of NK-cell function [van Rhee et al. 2009]. In vivo, combination therapy induced significantly better tumor growth inhibition compared with application of either agent alone. The mean tumor volumes were reduced by 89% (p <0.001) and 87% (p < 0.001) compared with elotuzumab or bortezomib monotherapy, respectively. Bortezomib significantly potentiated the effects of elotuzumab, presumably by rendering myeloma more vulnerable to NK cell-mediated lysis [van Rhee et al. 2009].

Clinical data from a phase I study (Study 1702) of elotuzumab in combination with bortezomib in patients with RRMM revealed an ORR of 48% (13 of 27 evaluable patients; Table 1). 63% of patients (17 of 27 patients) achieved a minor response or better. Patients with high-risk cytogenetics exhibited an ORR of 70 % (7 of 10 patients). Notably, two of three patients (66.7%) refractory to bortezomib during prior treatments responded to the combination regimen. The median time to progression (TTP) was 9.46 months [Jakubowiak *et al.* 2012].

For a subsequent randomized controlled phase II study (Study CA204-009), 21-day treatment cycles and a dosing schedule of 10 mg/kg on days 1, 8 and 15 during the first two cycles, then on days 1 and 11 in cycle 3-8 and on days 1 and 15 of a 28-day cycle starting with the ninth cycle have been selected for elotuzumab. In 152 patients with RRMM, the combination of bortezomib and dexamethasone was evaluated with and without elotuzumab. A median PFS of 9.7 months was achieved on elotuzumab versus 6.9 months of the control group, leading to a PFS HR of 0.76 (p = 0.1256; Table 1). Stratified by prognostic factors, patients on elotuzumab even had a 38% reduction in the risk of progression or death. The 1-year PFS rate was 40% versus 33% and the 2-year PFS was 18% versus 11% in

patients treated with elotuzumab or controls, respectively. An ORR of 66% was achieved in the elotuzumab group *versus* 63% in the control group. Early OS data favors the triple combination therapy including elotuzumab [Palumbo, 2015; Jakubowiak *et al.* 2015].

In this phase II study, randomization of patients was stratified and patient baseline characteristics were well balanced between the two treatment groups. As has been shown for the combination of elotuzumab with lenalidomide and dexamethasone, the benefit of treatment with elotuzumab in combination with bortezomib and dexamethasone was also consistent across various patient subgroups. Of note, a trend towards longer PFS was observed for patients homozygous for the high affinity FcyRIIIa V allele [Palumbo, 2015]. Polymorphism in the IgG Fc receptor FcyRIIIa gene (CD16) of NK cells has been described to influence the effectiveness of ADCC and antitumor effects following treatment with IgG1 antibodies [Weng and Levy, 2003; Cartron et al. 2002].

The combination of elotuzumab, bortezomib and low-dose dexamethasone was generally well tolerated. The safety profile of elotuzumab was consistent over time, with minimal incremental toxicity compared with bortezomib and dexamethasone alone. No DLTs were observed in the dose escalation phase (Study 1702); the MTD was not reached at doses up to 20 mg/kg elotuzumab in combination with bortezomib. The most frequent AEs (any grade) were fatigue (82%), anemia (71%), diarrhea (71%) and thrombocytopenia (68%), with the highest incidence of grade 3-4 AEs observed for lymphopenia (25%) and fatigue (14%). Overall, two elotuzumabrelated serious AEs of chest pain and gastroenteritis occurred in one patient [Jakubowiak et al. 2012]. During phase II (Study 204-009), the most common AEs in patients treated with or without elotuzumab were infections and infestations (65 and 53%), diarrhea (43 and 33%), constipation (39 and 29%), cough (39 and 23%), anemia (37 and 28%), peripheral neuropathy (35 and 33%), and pyrexia (33 and 27%, respectively) [Jakubowiak, 2015]. Grade 3-4 AEs were reported in 53 patients (71%) on elotuzumab and 45 patients (60%) in the control group, and included thrombocytopenia in 7 patients (9%) versus 13 patients (17%) and infections in 17 patients (23%) versus 11 patients (15%) on elotuzumab versus controls, respectively [Palumbo et al. 2015].

Infusion reactions were noted in only five patients (7%) receiving elotuzumab, but were all of mild to moderate intensity (grade 1–2) and manageable. None of the patients discontinued due to an infusion reaction. There were no infusion reactions at the maximum planned infusion rate of 5 ml/min [Jakubowiak *et al.* 2015; Palumbo *et al.* 2015].

In summary, clinical results confirmed the nonclinical findings of additive to synergistic effects of a combination regimen with elotuzumab and bortezomib. In patients with RRMM, elotuzumab provides clinical benefit with limited added toxicity when combined with bortezomib and dexamethasone compared with bortezomib and dexamethasone alone. Data support the use of elotuzumab in combination with protease inhibitors.

Conclusion and future directions

Over the past decade, changes in myeloma treatment strategies have greatly improved patient outcomes. Despite these advances, MM remains an incurable disease. The current knowledge on pathogenesis and course of MM firmly supports the use of combination therapy rather than sequential administration of single agent therapies. With the development of new and highly active treatments including PIs, IMiDs and mAbs, triple or more combinations are expected to become the standard of care in MM, provided the selected combination regimens are safe and tolerable.

Elotuzumab is a first-in-class, humanized mAb directed against SLAMF7 receptors on the surface of MM cells. Monotherapy with elotuzumab demonstrated antitumor activity in patients with MM. In combination with established MM therapies, elotuzumab significantly increased the efficacy while being generally well tolerated. Data of randomized controlled trials revealed remarkable response and PFS rates for combination therapy of elotuzumab with lenalidomide or bortezomib being superior to the established treatment regimens. Of note, therapeutic effects with elotuzumab have actually been observed in elderly MM patients and in patients who have received several prior treatments for advanced disease. Addition of elotuzumab to combination therapy was associated with a similar safety profile as observed for lenalidomide or bortezomib alone, albeit with a higher incidence of infusion reactions and lymphopenia. However, both AEs were

usually of mild to moderate intensities and manageable.

Data indicate that activation and engagement of the innate immune system to selectively kill myeloma cells can provide clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvements in myeloma patient outcomes. The antitumor immunity of elotuzumab is expected to be increased in patients with a functional immune system, hence data on treatment of patients at earlier stages are expected to confirm or even outperform the results of elotuzumab in RRMM. The selection of subgroups, such as patients with high affinity 'VV' genotype of the FcyRIIIa (CD16) receptor expressed on NK cells resulting in enhanced ADCC, may further increase the clinical benefit upon treatment with elotuzumab. Based on the observed efficacy together with a favorable safety profile, elotuzumab in combination with established therapies represents a new treatment option for patients with MM.

Ongoing clinical studies investigate therapies with elotuzumab in various MM patient populations including earlier stages and high-risk smoldering myeloma and in new treatment regimens such as the quadruple combination of elotuzumab, bortlenalidomide and dexamethasone. ezomib, Nivolumab, an antibody targeting the immune checkpoint programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) on T cells resulting in a restored active T-cell response, is currently being evaluated in combination with elotuzumab, pomalidomide and dexamethasone in patients with RRMM [ClinicalTrials. gov identifier: NCT02726581]. In combination with other mAbs targeting myeloma cells (e.g. anti-CD38 antibodies such as daratumumab), elotuzumab may have the potential to overcome the resistance mechanisms frequently observed in patients with MM. Regimens will be optimized to best incorporate elotuzumab into existing strategies and to enable a more effective and targeted treatment of MM by evading mechanisms of resistance and improving patient outcomes.

Funding

Writing assistance was provided by Birgit Glasschroeder, PhD, and funded by Bristol-Myers Squibb.

Conflict of interest statement

The author has received travel grants, honoraria and research support from Celgene, Janssen, Novartis and Amgen.

References

Ayed, A., Chang, L. and Moreb, J. (2015) Immunotherapy for multiple myeloma: current status and future directions. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 96: 399–412.

Balasa, B., Yun, R., Belmar, N., Fox, M., Chao, D., Robbins, M. *et al.* (2015) Elotuzumab enhances natural killer cell activation and myeloma cell killing through interleukin-2 and TNF- α pathways. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 64: 61–73.

Berdeja, J., Jagannath, S., Zonder, J., Badros, A., Kaufman, J., Manges, R. *et al.* (2016) Pharmacokinetics and safety of elotuzumab combined with lenalidomide and dexamethasone in patients with multiple myeloma and various levels of renal impairment: results of a phase Ib study. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* 16: 129–138.

Cannons, J., Tangye, S. and Schwartzberg, P. (2011) SLAM family receptors and SAP adaptors in immunity. *Annu Rev Immunol* 29: 665–705.

Cartron, G., Dacheux, L., Salles, G., Solal-Celigny, P., Bardos, P., Colombat, P. *et al.* (2002) Therapeutic activity of humanized anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody and polymorphism in IgG Fc receptor FcgammaRIIIa gene. *Blood* 99: 754–758.

Chanan-Khan, A. and Cheson, B. (2008) Lenalidomide for the treatment of B-cell malignancies. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 1544–1552.

Chu, J., Deng, Y., Benson Jr, D., He, S., Hughes, T., Zhang, J. *et al.* (2014a) CS1-specific chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-engineered natural killer cells enhance *in vitro* and *in vivo* antitumor activity against human multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* 28: 917–927.

Chu, J., He, S., Deng, Y., Zhang, J., Peng, Y., Hughes, T. *et al.* (2014b) Genetic modification of T cells redirected towards CS1 enhances eradication of myeloma cells. *Clin Cancer Res* 20: 3938–4000.

Collins, S., Bakan, C., Swartzel, G., Hofmeister, C., Efebera, Y., Kwon, H. *et al.* (2013) Elotuzumab directly enhances NK cell cytotoxicity against myeloma *via* CS1 ligation: evidence for augmented NK cell function complementing ADCC. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 62: 1841–1849.

Dimopoulos, M. (2015) Eloquent-2 update: a phase 3, randomized, open-label study of elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide/dexamethasone in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma – 3-year safety and efficacy follow up. *American Society* of Hematology Annual Meeting 2015 (Oral Presentation), Orlando, FL, USA, 5 December 2015.

Dimopoulos, M., Lonial, S., White, D., Moreau, P., Palumbo, A., San Miguel, J. *et al.* (2015) Eloquent-2 update: a phase 3, randomized, open-label study of elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide/ dexamethasone in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma – 3-year safety and efficacy follow-up. *American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting 2015*, Orlando, FL, USA, abstract 28.

Golay, J. and Introna, M. (2012) Mechanism of action of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies: promises and pitfalls of in vitro and in vivo assays. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 526: 146–153.

Guo, H., Cruz-Munoz, M., Wu, N., Robbins, M. and Veillette, A. (2015) Immune cell inhibition by SLAMF7 is mediated by a mechanism requiring src kinases, CD45, and SHIP-1 that is defective in multiple myeloma cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 35: 41–51.

Hallett, W., Ames, E., Motarjemi, M., Barao, I., Shanker, A., Tamang, D. *et al.* (2008) Sensitization of tumor cells to NK cell-mediated killing by proteasome inhibition. *J Immunol* 180: 163–170.

Hsi, E., Steinle, R., Balasa, B., Szmania, S., Draksharapu, A., Shum, B. *et al.* (2008) CS1, a potential new therapeutic antibody target for the treatment of multiple myeloma. *Clin Cancer Res* 14: 2775–2784.

Jakubowiak, A. (2015) A randomized, open-label phase 2 study of bortezomib and dexamethasone with or without elotuzumab in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. 20th Congress of the European Hematology Association 2015 (Oral Presentation), Orlando, FL, USA, 12 June 2015.

Jakubowiak, A., Benson, D., Bensinger, W., Siegel, D., Zimmerman, T., Mohrbacher, A. *et al.* (2012) Phase I trial of anti-CS1 monoclonal antibody elotuzumab in combination with bortezomib in the treatment of relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol* 30: 1960–1965.

Jakubowiak, A., Offidani, M., Pegourie, B., De La Rubia, J., Garderet, L., Laribi, K. *et al.* (2015) A randomized, open-label phase 2 study of bortezomib and dexamethasone with or without elotuzumab in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. 20th Congress of the European Hematology Association 2015, Orlando, FL, USA, 12 June 2015, abstract S103.

Lee, J., Mathew, S., Vaidya, S., Kumaresan, P. and Mathew, P. (2007) CS1 (CRACC, CD319) induces proliferation and autocrine cytokine expression on human B lymphocytes. *J Immunol* 179: 4672–4678.

Ling, Y., Liebes, L., Jiang, J., Holland, J., Elliott, P., Adams, J. *et al.* (2003) Mechanisms of proteasome inhibitor PS-341-induced G(2)-M-phase arrest and apoptosis in human non-small cell lung cancer cell lines. *Clin Cancer Res* 9: 1145–1154. Liu, Y., Szmania, S. and van Rhee, F. (2014) Profile of elotuzumab and its potential in the treatment of multiple myeloma. *Blood Lymphat Cancer* 2014: 15–27.

Lonial, S., Dimopoulos, M., Palumbo, A., White, D., Grosicki, S., Spicka, I. *et al.* (2015) Elotuzumab therapy for relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med* 373: 621–631.

Lonial, S., Vij, R., Harousseau, J., Facon, T., Moreau, P., Mazumder, A. *et al.* (2012) Elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone in relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol* 30: 1953–1959.

Mateos, M., Ocio, E. and San Miguel, J. (2013) Novel generation of agents with proven clinical activity in multiple myeloma. *Semin Oncol* 40: 618–633.

Ocio, E., Richardson, P., Rajkumar, S., Palumbo, A., Mateos, M., Orlowski, R. *et al.* (2014) New drugs and novel mechanisms of action in multiple myeloma in 2013: a report from the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG). *Leukemia* 28: 525–542.

Palumbo, A. (2015) Elotuzumab plus bortezomib and dexamethasone versus bortezomib and dexamethasone in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma: 2-year follow-up. *American Society* of *Hematology Annual Meeting 2015 (Oral Presentation)*, Orlando, FL, USA, 7 December 2015.

Palumbo, A., Offidani, M., Pégourie, B., De La Rubia, J., Garderet, L., Laribi, K. *et al.* (2015) Elotuzumab plus bortezomib and dexamethasone versus bortezomib and dexamethasone in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma: 2-year follow-up. *American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting 2015*, Orlando, FL, USA, abstract 510.

Pérez-Quintero, L., Roncagalli, R., Guo, H., Latour, S., Davidson, D. and Veillette, A. (2014) EAT-2, a SAP-like adaptor, controls NK cell activation through phospholipase C γ , Ca++, and Erk, leading to granule polarization. *J Exp Med* 211: 727–742.

Postelnek, J., Sheridan, J., Keller, S., Pazina, T., Sheng, J., Poulart, V. *et al.* (2015) Effects of elotuzumab on soluble SLAMF7 levels in multiple myeloma. *American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting 2015*, Orlando, FL, USA, abstract 2964.

Raab, M., Podar, K., Breitkreutz, I., Richardson, P. and Anderson, K. (2009) Multiple myeloma. *Lancet* 374: 324–339.

Raab, M., Richardson, P., Jagannath, S., Moreau, P., Jakubowiak, A., Facon, T. *et al.* (2015) Final results for the 1703 phase 1b/2 study of elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. *Oncol Res Treat* 38(Suppl. 5), abstract V548. Richardson, P., Jagannath, S., Moreau, P., Jakubowiak, A., Raab, M., Facon, T. *et al.* (2015) Elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma: final phase 2 results from the randomised, open-label, phase 1b—2 Dose-escalation study. *Lancet Haematol* 2: e516–e527.

Richardson, P., Lonial, S., Jakubowiak, A., Harousseau, J. and Anderson, K. (2011) Monoclonal antibodies in the treatment of multiple myeloma. $Br \mathcal{J}$ *Haematol* 154: 745–754.

Shain, K. and Dalton, W. (2001) Cell adhesion is a key determinant in de novo multidrug resistance (MDR): new targets for the prevention of acquired MDR. *Mol Cancer Ther* 1: 69–78.

Shain, K., Dalton, W. and Tao, J. (2015) The tumor microenvironment shapes hallmarks of mature B-cell malignancies. *Oncogene* 34: 4673–4682.

Siegel, R., Miller, K. and Jemal, A. (2015) Cancer statistics, 2015. *CA Cancer J Clin* 65: 5–29.

Sondergeld, P., van de Donk, N., Richardson, P. and Plesner, T. (2015) Monoclonal antibodies in myeloma. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol* 13: 599–609.

Strauss, S., Higginbottom, K., Jüliger, S., Maharaj, L., Allen, P., Schenkein, D. *et al.* (2007) The proteasome inhibitor bortezomib acts independently of p53 and induces cell death via apoptosis and mitotic catastrophe in B-cell lymphoma cell lines. *Cancer Res* 67: 2783–2790.

Tai, Y., Dillon, M., Song, W., Leiba, M., Li, X., Burger, P. *et al.* (2008) Anti-CS1 humanized monoclonal antibody HuLuc63 inhibits myeloma cell adhesion and induces antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity in the bone marrow milieu. *Blood* 112: 1329–1337.

Usmani, S., Sexton, R., Ailawadhi, S., Shah, J., Valent, J., Rosenzweig, M. *et al.* (2015) Phase I safety data of lenalidomide, bortezomib, dexamethasone, and elotuzumab as induction therapy for newly diagnosed symptomatic multiple myeloma: SWOG S1211. *Blood Cancer J* 5: e334. DOI:10.1038/ bcj.2015.62

Van de Donk, N., Kamps, S., Mutis, T. and Lokhorst, H. (2012) Monoclonal antibody-based therapy as a new treatment strategy in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* 26: 199–213.

Van Rhee, F., Szmania, S., Dillon, M., van Abbema, A., Li, X., Stone, M. *et al.* (2009) Combinatorial efficacy of anti-CS1 monoclonal antibody elotuzumab (HuLuc63) and bortezomib against multiple myeloma. *Mol Cancer Ther* 8: 2616–2624.

Veillette, A. and Guo, H. (2013) CS1, a SLAM family receptor involved in immune regulation, is a

therapeutic target in multiple myeloma. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 88: 168–177.

Weber, D., Chen, C., Niesvizky, R., Wang, M., Belch, A., Stadtmauer, E. *et al.* (2007) Lenalidomide plus dexamethasone for relapsed multiple myeloma in North America. *N Engl J Med* 357: 2133–2142.

Weng, W. and Levy, R. (2003) Two immunoglobulin G fragment C receptor polymorphisms independently predict response to rituximab in patients with follicular lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 21: 3940–3947.

World Health Organization (WHO) and International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (2012). *GLOBOCAN 2012: Estimated Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide in 2012.* Online Analysis on Multiple Myeloma. Available at: globocan.iarc.fr (accessed 25 November 2015).

Zonder, J., Mohrbacher, A., Singhal, S., van Rhee, F., Bensinger, W., Ding, H. *et al.* (2012) A phase 1, multicenter, open-label, dose escalation study of elotuzumab in patients with advanced multiple myeloma. *Blood* 120: 552–559.

Visit SAGE journals online http://tah.sagepub.com

SAGE journals