ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Fish population genetic structure shaped by hydroelectric power plants in the upper Rhine catchment

Alexandre Gouskov,^{1,2} Marta Reyes,² Lisa Wirthner-Bitterlin³ and Christoph Vorburger^{1,2}

1 Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

2 Aquatic Ecology, Eawag, Dübendorf, Switzerland

3 Life Sciences and Facility Management, ZHAW, Wädenswil, Switzerland

Keywords

conservation, fragmentation, population genetics, *Squalius cephalus*.

Correspondence

Alexandre Gouskov, EAWAG, Überlandstrasse 133 Postfach 611, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland. Tel.: +41 58 7656727; Fax: +41 58 7655802; e-mail: alexandre.gouskov@eawag.ch

Received: 15 May 2015 Accepted: 2 October 2015

doi:10.1111/eva.12339

Abstract

The Rhine catchment in Switzerland has been transformed by a chain of hydroelectric power stations. We addressed the impact of fragmentation on the genetic structure of fish populations by focusing on the European chub (Squalius cephalus). This fish species is not stocked and copes well with altered habitats, enabling an assessment of the effects of fragmentation per se. Using microsatellites, we genotyped 2133 chub from 47 sites within the catchment fragmented by 37 hydroelectric power stations, two weirs and the Rhine Falls. The shallow genetic population structure reflected drainage topology and was affected significantly by barriers to migration. The effect of power stations equipped with fishpasses on genetic differentiation was detectable, albeit weaker than that of man-made barriers without fishpasses. The Rhine Falls as the only long-standing natural obstacle (formed 14 000 to 17 000 years ago) also had a strong effect. Man-made barriers also exacerbated the upstream decrease in allelic diversity in the catchment, particularly when lacking fishpasses. Thus, existing fishpasses do have the desired effect of mitigating fragmentation, but barriers still reduce population connectivity in a fish that traverses fishpasses better than many other species. Less mobile species are likely to be affected more severely.

Introduction

The ongoing landscape modification by humans leads to massive destruction or alterations of pristine ecosystems by a combination of fragmentation, habitat loss and degradation (Sala et al. 2000; Foley et al. 2005; Fischer and Lindenmayer 2007). In riverine ecosystems, fragmentation is considered a key threat for aquatic biodiversity because organisms are restricted to linear dendritic habitats and cannot avoid anthropogenic barriers (Fagan 2002; Vörösmarty et al. 2010). Despite the recognized importance of fragmentation in aquatic conservation, the fragmentation literature is currently biased towards terrestrial ecosystems (Fazey et al. 2005).

River catchments suffered from heavy floodplain losses of up to 90% in the USA and even more than 90% in Europe, as for example in Switzerland where 95% of the floodplains have been lost (Tockner and Stanford 2002). Concurrent with this destruction, the same regions are also the most fragmented by dam-building (Tockner and Stanford 2002; Nilsson et al. 2005; Lehner et al. 2011). An inevitable consequence of the many barriers in rivers has been that currently, diadromous fish species are the most threatened at the global (Liermann et al. 2012) and local scale (Kirchhofer et al. 2007). Rieman and Dunham (2000) reviewed the situation for salmonids that are structured in metapopulations and concluded that river fragmentation is frequently the reason for population collapse.

Since the early days of dam construction, fishpasses have been constructed to mitigate negative effects on fish migration (Katopodis and Williams 2012). Noonan et al. (2012) published a meta-analysis of fishpass efficiency assessments from 1960 to 2010. They found 122 articles published over 50 years reporting such assessments – astonishingly few considering the high costs of fishpasses – and most of these assessments focused on salmonids. The conclusions were that the design of fishpasses affected their efficiency and that they worked better for salmonids than for other fish, but that the overall efficiency was too low to avoid the negative effects of habitat fragmentation on the fish community (Noonan et al. 2012). The focus on salmonids is warranted due to their economic value and their life histories making them particularly vulnerable to fragmentation (e.g. anadromous salmon, trout and char forms). Nevertheless, even nonmigratory species require between 1 to 100 km river length for their entire life history and at this scale habitat changes due to major flooding events occur in the order of every 5–50 years (Fausch et al. 2002). It is thus important that fishpasses are designed to also facilitate the migration of fish other than salmonids and that their efficiency in doing so is evaluated.

The long-term persistence of species depends on sufficient genetic diversity to adapt and survive in variable or changing environments (Hughes et al. 2008). If local populations are small, gene flow is the key factor to prevent the stochastic loss of genetic diversity (Palstra and Ruzzante 2008) and to provide the required alleles to subpopulations under selection that lack favourable genotypes (Kinnison and Hairston 2007). While an effective population size of just 50 may be sufficient to avoid the negative effects of inbreeding in the short term, the long-term maintenance of adaptive potential requires an effective population size in the range of at least 500 (Franklin and Frankham 1998; Jamieson and Allendorf 2012). Some authors argued that the required number may be even higher (Lynch and Lande 1998). Unfortunately, the ratio between the effective and the total population size is difficult to predict and often species specific in freshwater fish. Published values range from <0.01 for introduced bottlenecked pike (Esox lucius Linnaeus) (Aguilar et al. 2005) over intermediate values like 0.11 in natural population of brown trout (Salmo trutta Linnaeus) (Charlier et al. 2011) to very high ratios approaching 1 in the case of the endangered copper redhorse (Moxostoma hubbsi Legendre) (Lippe et al. 2006). Therefore, fishpass efficiency is not simply a matter of the number of climbing or descending fish. The goal has to be to allow sufficient gene flow for a species to maintain its evolutionary potential in a fragmented habitat.

Previous studies investigated fragmentation caused by barriers that were impassable for fish in the upstream direction, and these studies showed that such barriers had a strong impact on the genetic population structure. Examples include yellow perch (*Perca flavescens* Mitchill) (Leclerc et al. 2008), Macquarie perch (Faulks et al. 2011), brown trout (Horreo et al. 2011; Stelkens et al. 2012), bullhead (*Cottus gobio* Linnaeus) (Hanfling and Weetman 2006; Junker et al. 2012), three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* Linnaeus) (Raeymaekers et al. 2008), grayling (*Thymallus thymallus* Linnaeus) (Meldgaard et al. 2003), chub (*Squalius cephalus* Linnaeus) (Dehais et al. 2010) and a four species comparison of chub, dace (*Leuciscus leuciscus*), gudgeon (*Gobio gobio*) and minnow (*Phoxinus phoxinus*) by Blanchet et al. (2010).

Here we present a large-scale study on the effects of dams in a strongly fragmented system where a large proportion (89%) of dams enable migration through fishpasses. We selected the common chub (Squalius cephalus Linnaeus) as our model species, a European cyprinid species that is very common in the Swiss midlands, where it lives in high number in rivers of the Barbel and Grayling Region [categorization according to Huet (1949)] as well as in lakes (Zaugg et al. 2003). Chub reach an average length of 40–50 cm in the study region (Zaugg et al. 2003). Due to their ecological generalism, omnivory and behavioural flexibility, chub cope relatively well with the ongoing habitat alteration. For spawning grounds, they prefer shallow gravel banks (0.1-1.0 m) with some current (0.15-0.35 m/s) (Fredrich et al. 2003). They readily use alternative spawning grounds in altered habitats, as long as some stony bottom is available (Arlinghaus and Wolter 2003). Chub are relatively mobile and show an upstream spawning migration of up to 16 km (river Spree, Fredrich et al. 2003) or even in excess of 25 km (River Meuse, De Leeuw and Winter 2008). Chub are iteroparous, spawn twice a year and may migrate to different spawning grounds between spawnings (Fredrich et al. 2003). The upstream spawning migration may compensate for downstream drift in the larval stage. Chub larvae actively swim into open water to go into drift, which is a common behaviour in many cyprinid species (e.g. Reichard et al. 2002; Reichard and Jurajda 2004; Sonny et al. 2006). Female chub reach maturity in their second to fourth year (Raikova-Petrova et al. 2012) and can reach a maximum age of up to 20 years (Busst and Britton 2014). Because of their low commercial value, chub are not stocked. The genetic structure of chub populations should thus be unconfounded by stocking, making this species especially useful as a sentinel for changes in population connectivity resulting from obstructions to dispersal.

In this study, we describe the genetic population structure of the chub in the main Swiss lowland rivers of the Rhine catchment and assess the effects of barriers such as hydroelectric power plants on population connectivity and genetic diversity. In particular, we ask whether fishpasses that are present at most (but not all) barriers do have the desired effect of mitigating fragmentation.

Methods

Study area

Our study area comprises the larger Swiss midland rivers of the upper Rhine catchment (length 376 km, catchment area 35 897 km², discharge 1037 m³/s). In addition to the Rhine, the Rhine catchment includes the river Aar (length 288 km, catchment area 17 620 km², discharge 560 m³/s) with its two tributaries Reuss (length 158 km, catchment area 3425 km², discharge 140 m³/s) and Limmat (length 140 km, catchment area 2416 km², discharge 101 m³/s) (Verdon et al. 2009) (Fig. 1). The first dam was built in 1830 for textile manufacturing, followed by many hydroelectric power stations from 1861 to 1975. At present, the studied river sections are fragmented by 37 hydroelectric power stations, of which four do not have a fishpass, and two adjacent weirs also lacking a fishpass. The two adjacent weirs are considered as a single barrier in all analyses. When fish sampling started in 2010, the median age of the artificial obstacles was 82 years and that of the fishpasses 77 years (age known for 23 fishpasses, for all others information was not publicly available) (Table S1 and Fig. S1). The Rhine Falls are the only natural barrier in the study area and estimated to be between 17 000 and 14 000 years old (Fig. 1). According to monitoring data from the hydroelectric power stations, chub are able to use all fishpasses in the study area (Guthruf 2006, 2008).

Site selection and fish sampling

Chub from 47 sites were sampled from spring to autumn 2010 and 2011 in the Rhine, Aar, Reuss and Limmat catchments, which include also three lakes (Fig. 1). The goal was to sample each fragment, defined as a river section between two barriers, at least once, and to sample two or more sites from some fragments to break the pairwise correlation between waterway distance and the number of barriers between sampling sites. The fish were caught by electro fishing (FEG 1700; EFKO comm., Leutkirch, Germany), wading along the river shoreline. The exact locations were chosen in consideration of accessibility and safety. At three sites, we could not catch in the main river but managed to obtain samples from the mouths of tributaries (A13, L27, R29). At two sites where electrofishing was impossible, fish were caught with rod and line (sites A19 and L28). Sampling site coordinates are provided in Table 1. The goal was to catch 50 chub per site which was achieved at most sites. Most individuals caught were young of the year (70%), the remaining fish were juveniles and a few adults. Because chub undergo a larval drift phase (see Introduction), we do



Figure 1 Map of the Swiss midland with the rivers Rhine, Aar, Reuss and Limmat. Pie charts depict mean assignment probabilities of chub genotypes to each of the four inferred genetic clusters, averaged over 28 TESS runs. Black bars represent migration barriers. These are hydroelectric power stations except for the Rhine falls (between site R40 and R41), and two weirs (represented as one bar between L26 and L27).

Table 1. Collection information and measures of genetic diversity for 47 samples of chub (*Squalius cephalus*) from the Swiss Midland rivers Aar (A), Limmat (L), Rhine (R), and Reuss (Re). N: sample size, H_e : expected heterozygosity; H_o : observed heterozygosity; AR: allelic richness standardized for the smallest sample size (20), F_{15} : inbreeding coefficient; N_e : effective population size.

Site	Coordinates WGS84	Ν	He	Но	F _{IS}	AR	Ne estimate (95% CI)
A1	N 47°33'32.97"E 8°13'53.06"	50	0.748	0.753	-0.007	7.126	∞ (301–∞)
A2	N 47°32'38.45" E 8°13'46.80"	50	0.737	0.724	0.017	6.934	439 (118–∞)
A3	N 47°29'07.19" E 8°12'58.84"	48	0.747	0.742	0.007	7.244	∞ (641–∞)
A4	N 47°25′03.88″ E 8°09′43.08″	48	0.728	0.709	0.026	7.034	351 (69 –∞)
A5	N 47°24'29.97" E 8°04'01.72"	49	0.744	0.755	-0.014	7.632	205 (91–∞)
A6	N 47°23'01.72" E 8°00'50.45"	50	0.746	0.719	0.035	7.155	∞ (349–∞)
A7	N 47°21′57.55″ E 7°59′37.84″	49	0.739	0.745	-0.007	7.172	629 (174 –∞)
A8	N 47°18′53.87″ E 7°53′29.30″	49	0.734	0.750	-0.022	7.115	283 (113–∞)
A9	N 47°18'37.57" E 7°52'09.98"	25	0.756	0.769	-0.017	7.274	∞ (121–∞)
A10	N 47°15′49.35″ E 7°48′24.77″	50	0.728	0.747	-0.026	6.637	401 (110–∞)
A11	N 47°14′16.29″ E 7°44′42.19″	49	0.734	0.750	-0.021	7.249	3962 (111–∞)
A12	N 47°14′07.67″ E 7°40′37.44″	54	0.746	0.761	-0.021	7.216	369 (132 –∞)
A13	N 47°12′51.54″ E 7°34′24.28″	28	0.753	0.732	0.028	6.869	∞ (602–∞)
A14	N 47°11′19.92″ E 7°26′51.32″	48	0.723	0.715	0.012	6.736	551 (113 –∞)
A15	N 47°08'30.69" E 7°20'46.29"	36	0.727	0.734	-0.009	6.977	∞ (1893–∞)
A16	N 47°02′44.27″ E 7°16′28.32″	50	0.729	0.748	-0.027	6.534	∞ (264–∞)
A17	N 47°00'24.12" E 7°14'49.34"	54	0.727	0.740	-0.018	6.822	202 (93–∞)
A18	N 46°58'24.58" E 7°15'35.76"	36	0.714	0.739	-0.035	6.812	651 (104 –∞)
A19	N 47°07′20.34″ E 7°14′13.74″	32	0.720	0.677	0.060*	6.669	232 (74–∞)
A20	N 47°02'47.60" E 7°12'21.73"	42	0.725	0.711	0.020	6.715	8270 (96–∞)
A21	N 46°47′35.08″ E 6°44′17.48″	49	0.639	0.665	-0.041	5.851	∞ (160–∞)
L22	N 47°29'03.39" E 8°17'25.28"	50	0.713	0.721	-0.011	6.192	59 (40–99)
L23	N 47°27′22.38″ E 8°18′45.74″	50	0.724	0.720	0.005	6.176	125 (72–345)
L24	N 47°24'31.51" E 8°24'37.39"	48	0.715	0.666	0.069*	6.107	50 (25–164)
L25	N 47°24'16.86" E 8°26'09.85"	50	0.701	0.698	0.004	5.812	187 (79 –∞)
L26	N 47°24'03.46" E 8°29'05.16"	49	0.711	0.676	0.049*	5.851	∞ (101–∞)
L27	N 47°20'54.09" E 8°30'57.75"	48	0.660	0.654	0.010	4.720	69 (34–281)
L28	N 47°12'26.98" E 8°46'35.03"	30	0.695	0.688	0.010	6.504	∞ (127–∞)
R29	N 47°33'12.70" E 7°37'6.017"	48	0.727	0.730	-0.004	6.761	169 (69 –∞)
R30	N 47°32′19.35″ E 7°42′51.34″	47	0.742	0.747	-0.006	7.787	∞ (280–∞)
R31	N 47°35′15.08″ E 7°53′16.80″	50	0.738	0.769	-0.042	7.414	474 (183 –∞)
R32	N 47°33'17.43" E 7°59'14.33"	49	0.736	0.701	0.048*	8.178	256 (136–1372)
R33	N 47°33'24.52" E 8°05'01.38"	48	0.730	0.710	0.027	7.358	1694 (114–∞)
R34	N 47°35′34.95″ E 8°09′38.66″	50	0.728	0.702	0.036	7.241	165 (79–3223)
R35	N 47°36′23.24″ E 8°13′21.66″	56	0.736	0.732	0.006	7.690	1114 (214–∞)
R36	N 47°35′55.13″ E 8°17′43.24″	49	0.706	0.692	0.020	7.381	264 (88–∞)
R37	N 47°33′59.08″ E 8°25′43.14″	49	0.712	0.712	0.001	7.269	763 (182–∞)
R38	N 47°34'42.14" E 8°30'20.53"	53	0.725	0.715	0.014	7.226	548 (125–∞)
R39	N 47°35′49.36″ E 8°35′44.49″	49	0.669	0.655	0.021	7.502	∞ (322–∞)
R40	N 47°39'09.40" E 8°37'46.12"	33	0.658	0.653	0.008	7.027	314 (62–∞)
R41	N 47°41′05.50″ E 8°37′35.20″	50	0.651	0.625	0.040	6.763	1274 (128–∞)
R42	N 47°41′05.17″ E 8°40′22.69″	48	0.661	0.651	0.016	7.578	250 (90–∞)
R43	N 47°40′43.48″ E 8°48′25.57″	26	0.667	0.671	-0.006	7.057	130 (52–∞)
R44	N 47°33′22.20″ E 9°21′58.62″	21	0.721	0.734	-0.018	7.908	∞ (2538–∞)
Re45	N 47°22′16.54″ E 8°19′29.01″	49	0.735	0.725	0.014	6.998	1216 (161–∞)
Re46	N 47°17′02.82″ E 8°23′35.59″	39	0.742	0.751	-0.012	6.651	1694 (177–∞)
Re47	N 47°07′07.11″ E 8°23′26.11″	48	0.730	0.725	0.007	6.602	437 (83–∞)

*P < 0.05.

not expect the fish from a single sampling site to be related (e.g. siblings). Clove oil was used to lightly anaesthetize the fish before total length measurement and tissue sampling

from the caudal fin. Fin clips were stored in 99.9% ethanol, and all fish were released at the sampling sites after recovering from anaesthesia.

Genotyping

DNA was extracted following the salting-out protocol of Sunnucks and Hales (1996) adapted to a 96 deep well format. Fin clips (1 mm²) were air dried in 8-strip microtubes before adding 300 μ L of TNES buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, 20 nM EDTA, 0.5% SDS) and 5 μ L of 10 mg/mL proteinase K (Roche inc., Basel, Switzerland). After incubation for 60 min in an incubation shaker (Thermomixer Comfort, Eppendorf inc, Hamburg, Germany) at 300 rpm, the proteins were precipitated by adding 85 μ L of 5 M NaCl and shaking the tubes for 10 s. Proteins were pelleted in a centrifuge (Heraeus Megafuge 40R; Thermo Fisher Scientific inc, Waltham, MA, USA) at 4700 rpm for 10 min. The supernatants were carefully transferred into a 96-deep-well block. Afterwards, the DNA was precipitated by adding 400 µL of ice-cold 100% ethanol and gentle mixing with a pipette. The DNA was pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 4700 rpm, washed with 70% ethanol, centrifuged again for 10 min at 4700 rpm and air dried. Finally, the DNA was resuspended in 100 μ L of TE buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA) and stored at -20° C.

Ten microsatellite loci amplified in two multiplex PCR were used to genotype the individuals: LC128, LC27, LC290, LC32, LC93 (Vyskocilova et al. 2007), LceA149, LceC1, LceCb (Larno et al. 2005) and N7G5, N7K4 (Mesquita et al. 2003). The 10 µL PCR contained 5 µL Qiagen Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Qiagen inc., Hilden, Germany), 3 μ L of ultrapure water, 1 μ L of DNA template and 1 μ L of primer mix. The forward primers for the two multiplex reactions were labelled with fluorescent dyes (Microsynth inc., Balgach, Switzerland), such that loci with the same dye had nonoverlapping allele size ranges. To balance peak heights, labelled forward primers of strongly amplifying loci were partially supplemented with unlabelled primer. Multiplex 1 contained the following primers (only forward primer concentrations given, reverse primer concentrations were equivalent to the sum of labelled and unlabelled forward primers): N7K4 0.4 nM labelled (YYE) and 14.5 nm unlabelled, N7G5 0.9 nm labelled (AT550) and 30.5 nm unlabelled, LC128 4.7 nm (AT550), LC 27 1.8 nm (FAM), LC 290 1.2 nm (YYE). Multiplex 2: LceA149 0.5 nm labelled (FAM) and 10 nm unlabelled, LC32 0.8 nm labelled (AT565) and 13.7 nm unlabelled, LceC1 1.6 nm (AT550), LceCb 6.8 пм (FAM), LC93 1.9 пм (AT565).

PCR thermal conditions were as follows: initial *Taq* polymerase activation and denaturation at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 58°C (multiplex 1) or 57°C (multiplex 2) for 90 s, extension at 72°C for 90 s, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min (Labcycler Sensoquest, Göttingen, Germany).

PCR products were analyzed on an ABI 3730 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). For loading, 1 μ L of PCR product was mixed with 8 μ L Hi-Di Formamide and 1 μ L size standard diluted 1: 7 (GeneScan 500 LIZ, ABI).

The genotyping accuracy was tested by extracting and genotyping a subset of samples twice. Locus LC290 showed a dropout of large alleles, due to its wide allele size range (allele size 223–309 bp). To avoid genotyping errors resulting from this problem, all homozygotes with low peak heights were reamplified with more cycles and scored again. All other loci had scoring errors below 1%. Nevertheless, the scoring was performed three times to minimize mistakes. For the final data set, only individuals that were genotyped successfully at nine of the ten loci at least were considered (132 of 2133 genotyped individuals had one missing locus). That resulted in an average of 45 individuals per site. For the sample sizes per site, see Table 1.

Population genetic analyses

Estimators of genetic diversity such as observed heterozygosity (H_O) , expected heterozygosity (H_E) , mean number of alleles and allelic richness (AR) standardized for smallest N were calculated using FSTAT 2.9.4 (Goudet 2002) (Table 1). We tested for the presence of null alleles with the software Micro-Checker (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004). FSTAT was also used to test for deviations from linkage and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (for F_{IS} , see Table 1). Pairwise population differentiation (F_{ST}) was calculated according to Weir and Cockerham (1984) as implemented in FSTAT 2.9.4, using 10 000 bootstraps for significance tests. The use of F_{ST} to infer population structure has been criticized because of its dependency on within-population diversity, and alternative measures such as F'ST or D have been proposed (Hedrick 2005; Jost 2008). However, we decided to focus on $F_{\rm ST}$ because it is the appropriate measure of deviations from panmixia (Whitlock 2011), which is our main interest in the context of potential barriers to fish migration. The software NEESTIMATOR v. 2.0.1 (Do et al. 2014) was used to obtain point estimates of the effective population size Ne. We used the method based on linkage disequilibrium restricted to alleles with frequencies >0.02 as recommended by Do et al. (2014). Confidence intervals were obtained with the jackknife method of Waples and Do (2008). To obtain a general overview of the genetic structuring of chub in the Swiss midland rivers, we used the individual-based Bayesian clustering approach implemented in TESS 2.3.1 (Chen et al. 2007). Neighbouring individuals are more likely to belong to the same genetic cluster, and TESS uses the Hidden Markov Random Field approach to take into account the spatial distribution of individuals (Francois et al. 2006). The interaction parameter ψ was set at the default value of $\psi = 0.6$ for the analyses. ψ can range from zero (no spatial interaction) to one (strongest spatial interaction). The neighbouring system is carried out by Voroni tessellation (Guillot et al. 2009), which requires individual geographic coordinates for each genotype. To obtain these, the coordinate creator implemented in the software was used. It randomly samples from a normal distribution around the initial coordinate of the population sample. A standard deviation of 25 m was used. As fish can only have up- or downstream neighbours, the automatically generated neighbour system was changed to reflect the dendritic network of our river system. This was carried out with the neighbourhood modifying option. All overland links were deleted, and missing links were added (Neighbourhood TESS 2.3.1 output see Fig S2.).

Using the no-admixture model, each number of genetic clusters (K_{max}) was tested with 20 runs, for $K_{\text{max}} = 2-9$. The total number of sweeps per run was 300 000 after a burn in of 50 000 sweeps. The best-supported number of genetic clusters was determined by plotting the mean Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) of the 20 runs over the respective K_{max} (Spiegelhalter et al. 2002). The bestsupported K_{max} is at the point where the DIC curve switches from a sharp decrease to a plateau without further changes (for details, see the TESS2.3.1 Manual). Best K_{max} was run an additional 20 times. For averaging the results and taking into account 'label switching', the programme CLUMPP 1.1.2 (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007) was used. The calculations were performed using the Greedy algorithm in CLUMPP 1.1.2. The cluster visualization was performed with the software DiSTRUCT (Rosenberg 2004).

Attempts to estimate contemporary gene flow among sampling sites using the software MIGRATE-N (Beerli and Felsenstein 2001) failed due to nonconvergence, presumably because of the very shallow overall population structure of the chub in the study area (see Results), and for the same reason inferring recent migration rates with BAYE-SASS (Wilson and Rannala 2003) produced nonsensical results. It appears that a global F_{ST} of >0.05 would be required to use this approach profitably (Meirmans 2014). This requirement of at least moderately strong population structure combined with the requirement of individuals with migrant ancestry being frequent enough to be included in realistic sample sizes may well be a general limitation of this approach and could possibly explain the alarming observation by Meirmans (2014) that most of the published estimates of the proportion of nonmigrants produced by BAYESASS cluster at the upper and lower bounds of the prior distribution.

Fragmentation effects

Our main interest was to investigate the impact of barriers on population structure and genetic diversity. First, we assessed the general impact of barriers, treating all barriers equally, and second, we tested whether different types of barriers had different effects, focusing particularly on the presence or the absence of fish ladders.

The overall impact of barriers on genetic differentiation was assessed using an isolation-by-distance (IBD) approach, correlating the matrix of pairwise F_{ST} (n = 1081pairwise comparisons) with the matrix of pairwise waterway distances extracted with ArcMap10 (Esri inc., Redlands, CA, USA) between sampling sites and the matrix of the pairwise number of barriers (barrier count) between sites. Partial Mantel tests were carried out according to Smouse et al. (1986), using the software Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer 2010) with 10 000 permutations. The Partial Mantel test assesses the effect of the number of barriers between sites on pairwise differentiation while controlling for pairwise waterway distance.

Assessing the impact of different barrier types on gene flow is important for assessing the effectiveness of the fish ladders. To evaluate the impact of the different types of barriers, we split the 39 barriers in our system into different groups: the Rhine Falls as the only major natural barrier (14 000-17 000 years old), hydroelectric power stations with fishpass (n = 33), and power stations without fishpass (n = 5). The last group comprised one barrier (the two adjacent weirs) that was not a power station but was included because it could be assumed to be equally obstructive to fish migration. The age of artificial barriers could also influence the differentiation among fish populations they separate. However, we found that the pairwise number of artificial barriers separating two sampling sites and their cumulative age were strongly correlated (r = 0.97). This collinearity precluded an independent assessment of the age of barriers, thus we restricted our analyses on the number of barriers of each type. We constructed six linear models for comparison that had pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ as the dependent variable and the following predictors:

- 1 distance
- 2 distance + barrier count
- 3 distance + count of barriers without fishpass (including Rhine Falls) + count of barriers with fishpass
- 4 distance + Rhine Falls
- 5 distance + Rhine Falls + count of all other barriers
- 6 distance + Rhine Falls + count of barriers with fishpass + count of barriers without fishpass

The relative support for the different models was assessed by model selection using the AIC criterion (Burnham and Anderson 2002), and we followed Koizumi et al. (2006) in using the number of populations (47) rather than the number of pairwise comparisons (1082) as n in the calculation of AIC to account for nonindependence inherent in pairwise data. R 2.15.1 was used to perform the analyses (R Core Team 2012). The significance of individual parameters in the models was evaluated with permutation tests using the R package lmPerm (Wheeler 2010). Because of the unavoidable collinearity between distance and the counts of the different barrier types between sampling sites, we applied commonality analysis to the bestsupported models (Nimon et al. 2008), using the R package MBESS (Kelley and Lai 2012). This approach was recently advocated for landscape genetics by Prunier et al. (2015) and allows an assessment of the extent to which individual predictors contribute via unique and shared effects to the explained variance in the response variable.

To complement these analyses and to account for the dendritic structure of the river network, we also applied the STREAMTREE algorithm by Kalinowski et al. (2008) to map genetic differences among chub subpopulations onto the stream sections connecting them. This approach uses least-squares estimation to model pairwise genetic distance (here F_{ST} calculated by the STREAMTREE software) as the sum of genetic distances for the stream sections between them. The coefficient of determination R^2 is used to assess the fit of the resulting model to the data. Because a canal between the Aare river and Lake Biel (see site A20 in Fig. 1) creates a loop in the drainage structure that is incompatible with the STREAMTREE model, we had to exclude sites A16-A21 from this analysis.

The genetic diversity expressed as allelic richness (AR) was investigated with a similar model selection approach as used for genetic differentiation. Six linear models of AR were constructed with the same predictors as above, but here distance was calculated from an arbitrary reference point below the most downstream site, namely where the Rhine crosses the Swiss border, and the numbers of the different types of barriers were counted along the river(s) between this reference point and each site.

Results

Microsatellite variation

The initial analysis with Micro-Checker indicated the presence of null alleles at locus LceCb in 12 sites. We therefore excluded this locus from all further analyses. Without locus LceCb, there was no strong evidence of deviations from HWE within populations. Although 29 of 423 single-locus tests within populations were significant at $\alpha = 0.05$, this is close to the approx. 21 significant tests expected by chance, and the deviations were spread erratically over loci and populations, including similar numbers of heterozygote excesses and deficits. No deviation was significant after Bonferroni correction. Accordingly, only four of 47 multilocus estimates of $F_{\rm IS}$ differed significantly from 0 at $\alpha = 0.05$, and none of these deviations was significant at a Bonferroni-corrected α of 0.001 (0.05/47 sites) (Table 1). There was no evidence of linkage disequilibrium among loci.

Sample sizes and genetic diversity statistics for all collection sites are summarized in Table 1. Expected heterozygosities ranged between 0.639 and 0.756, and the global $F_{\rm ST}$ estimate was 0.028 (0.022–0.036 95% C.I.). Table 1 also contains the estimates of $N_{\rm e}$ obtained with NeEstimator. These are generally very high with most values in the hundreds or thousands. For many samples, $N_{\rm e}$ was estimated as infinite and the upper confidence limit reached infinity in the majority of cases. The lowest estimates tended to come from the river Limmat, which was the only river for which estimates <100 were obtained (sites L22, L24 and L27).

Bayesian clustering

The DIC plot indicated four as the most likely number of genetic clusters present in our chub samples. These clusters corresponded well to the populations in the four rivers we sampled from above their confluence (Fig. 1; for individual cluster membership coefficients, see Fig. S3). Samples from the river Rhine downstream of the confluence of the four rivers show admixture of the four clusters up until the most downstream site, whereas mixing upstream of confluences appears very restricted (Fig. 1).

General effects of barriers

The IBD plot shows a monotonic positive relationship between pairwise F_{ST} and distance with increasing scatter (Fig. S4A). This corresponds to a case I relationship as defined by Hutchison and Templeton (1999) and is indicative of a regional equilibrium between gene flow and drift. Genetic differentiation increased with increasing waterway distance between sampling sites (Mantel R = 0.595, P < 0.001) as well as with increasing numbers of barriers separating the sites (Mantel R = 0.617, P < 0.001; Fig. S4B). Despite our efforts to break the collinearity when choosing sampling sites, waterway distances and barrier counts between sites were positively correlated with R = 0.827. However, the partial Mantel tests indicated that the number of barriers between sites is a somewhat better predictor of genetic differentiation than distance per se (barrier count corrected for distance: R = 0.278, P = 0.002; distance corrected for barriers: R = 0.190, P = 0.048).

Effects of different barrier types

The best-supported model predicting pairwise F_{ST} between samples (model iii, AIC weight = 0.550, Table 2) included barriers in the predictors in addition to distance and distinguished between barriers with and without fishpasses, the latter including the Rhine falls. The second-ranked model

Model		Slope	R ²	AIC	Δ AIC	AIC weight
(i)	Distance	2.731E-4***	0.354	-216.549	10.657	0.003
(ii)	Distance	1.243E-4***	0.404	-218.349	8.857	0.007
	All barriers	1.974E-3***				
(iii)	Distance	1.122E-4***	0.527	-227.206	0.000	0.550
	Barriers with fish bypass	1.392E-3***				
	Barriers without fish bypass (including Rhine falls)	1.149E-2***				
(iv)	Distance	2.319E-4***	0.423	-219.848	7.358	0.014
	Rhine falls	1.878E-2***				
(v)	Distance	3.904E-5*	0.498	-224.423	2.783	0.137
	Rhine falls	2.476E-2***				
	All barriers (excl. Rhine falls)	2.458E-3***				
(vi)	Distance	8.191E-5***	0.534	-225.929	1.277	0.290
	Rhine Falls	1.808E-2***				
	Barriers with fish bypass	1.720E-3***				
	Barriers without fish bypass	9.402E-3***				

Table 2. Results of the model selection procedure based on AIC to assess the relative support of six candidate linear regression models predicting pairwise F_{ST} between chub samples from the Rhine drainage in Switzerland.

*Indicates *P* = 0.051, ***indicates *P* < 0.001.

(model vi, AIC weight 0.290) additionally distinguished the Rhine falls from all other barriers without fishpass. The other models had a Δ AIC > 2 from the best-supported model. Most notably, the model including distance only (model i, Δ AIC = 10.657, AIC weight 0.003) and the model not distinguishing between different types of barriers (model ii, Δ AIC = 8.857, AIC weight 0.007) received virtually no support from the data. These results provide strong evidence that barriers influence the genetic structure of chub in the large Swiss lowland rivers and that fishpasses appear to mitigate the negative effects of barriers on population connectivity. The parameter estimates from the bestsupported model iii allow gauging the effects of barriers with and without fishpass relative to free-flowing stretches of river. According to this model, the effect of a barrier without fishpass is equivalent to approx. 102 km of uninterrupted river distance, while barriers with fishpass correspond to approx. 12 km. These values are in a similar range when calculated from the second-ranked model vi (114 and 20 km, respectively), which assigns an even stronger effect to the only long-standing natural barrier, the Rhine Falls, corresponding to approx. 220 km of uninterrupted river. Unsurprisingly, commonality analysis of the two best-supported models showed substantial contributions of all predictors via common effects, reflecting the multicollinearity of distance and the numbers of different barriers between sites, but it also showed that in both models the barriers without fishpasses had the largest unique effect on genetic differentiation (Table 3a).

To visualize the effects of barriers in more detail, we have plotted the genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) from the most downstream site (R29, Fig. 1) along each of the four rivers as a function of distance and added the position and type of barriers to these plots (Fig. 2). Although the effects are not strikingly obvious, more rapid increases of genetic differentiation tend to be associated with a high density of barriers (Fig. 2C) or with barriers that lack a fishpass (Fig. 2A,C,D).

With an R^2 of 0.836, the STREAMTREE model provided a fit to the actual genetic differentiation in the river network that, according to the authors of the algorithm (Kalinowski et al. 2008), is not very good and adverts to caution in interpreting the results. We report the results nevertheless because they provide an alternative visual representation of the resistance to migration in these rivers (Fig. 3) and because it highlights a potential additional factor contributing to genetic differentiation that was not considered in any other analyses. The STREAMTREE analysis predicted a genetic distance of 0 for more than half of all river sections considered, and although the nonzero values tended to be assigned to sections with a high density of barriers or fishpass-free barriers, the match was not very good (Fig. 3). In particular, two of the highest values were assigned to sections without barriers but where major tributaries run into the Rhine river (from site R35 to R36 and R38 to R39, see Figs 1 and 3). The highest value was assigned to a section comprising a barrier without fishpass (short section below site L27, see Figs 1 and 3), yet this section is not part of the main course of the river Limmat. It represents the lowest part of an important tributary (river Sihl) from which sample L27 was taken upstream of the weirs separating the Sihl from the Limmat. Although certainly to be taken with caution, these observations suggest that immigration from unsampled tributaries may also contribute to genetic differentiation of chub along the main rivers of the upper Rhine catchment.

Table 3. Commonality analysis of the best-supported regression models (see Table 2) predicting genetic differentiation expressed as F_{ST} (a) and genetic diversity expressed as AR (b). Unique refers to each predictor's unique effect and Common refers to the sum of effects in common with other predictors in the model. Total is the sum of unique and common contributions to the explained variance in the response variable.

Predictor	R ²	В	Р	Unique	Common	Total	% of <i>R</i> ²
(a) Genetic differentiation (F_{ST})							
Model iii (AIC weight = 0.550)	0.527						
Distance		1.122E-4	< 0.001	0.019	0.334	0.354	67.1
Barriers with fish bypass		1.392E-3	< 0.001	0.024	0.268	0.292	55.4
Barriers without bypass (incl. Rhine Falls)		1.149E-2	< 0.001	0.162	0.149	0.311	59.0
Model vi (AIC weight $= 0.290$)	0.534						
Distance		8.191E-5	< 0.001	0.009	0.345	0.354	66.2
Rhine Falls		1.808E-2	< 0.001	0.048	0.146	0.194	36.3
Barriers with fish bypass		1.720E-3	< 0.001	0.031	0.261	0.292	54.7
Barriers without fish bypass		9.402E-3	< 0.001	0.062	0.176	0.239	44.7
(b) Genetic diversity (AR)							
Model vi (AIC weight = 0.853)	0.548						
Distance		0.005	0.072	0.037	0.060	0.096	17.6
Rhine Falls		0.349	0.227	0.016	0.020	0.037	6.7
Barriers with fish bypass		-0.109	0.001	0.127	0.146	0.273	49.8
Barriers without fish bypass		-0.544	< 0.001	0.195	-0.031	0.165	30.0



Figure 2 F_{ST} plotted against distance along the rivers Rhine (A), Aar (B), Limmat (C) and Reuss (D) from the most downstream sampling site in the Rhine, R29 (Fig. 1). Dotted lines are barriers with fish bypass, solid lines are barriers without fish bypass, and the dashed line represents the Rhine Falls. Confluences are indicated by arrows.

There is also correlative evidence that hydroelectric power plants and weirs affect the upstream decline of AR in chub populations. By far best-supported in our set of candidate models (AIC weight = 0.853) was the most complex model vi that fitted separate effects for distance, the Rhine falls, barriers with fishpasses and barriers without fishpasses (Table 4). In this model, the number of both types of man-made barriers between the most downstream point of the Rhine in Switzerland and the sampling sites have significantly negative effects on AR, but the effect of barriers without fishpass is about fivefold stronger (Table 4). Again, commonality analysis showed that barriers without fishpass make the largest unique contribution to the explained variance in AR, followed by barriers with fishpass (Table 3b). The estimated effect of the Rhine falls was nonsignificant but positive in this model, presumably just reflecting that the three samples from the Rhine above the Rhine falls had a higher allelic richness on average than most samples from the other rivers. More interestingly, the effect of distance in this model, which accounts for the



Figure 3 Genetic distance according StreamTree is mapped onto stream sections between the sampling sites. On the river network are sampling sites marked by black dotes, barriers with fishpass as blue bars, without fishpass as red bars, inflow major tributaries are symbolized by dashed lines, and the numbers are the genetic distance values.

effects of barriers, was also positive, although not significantly so.

Figure 4 illustrates the role of barriers. Allelic richness is better predicted by the number of barriers ($\beta = -0.069$, $R^2 = 0.307$, $F_{1,45} = 19.924$, P < 0.001) than by distance ($\beta = -0.004$, $R^2 = 0.096$, $F_{1,45} = 4.789$, P = 0.034), and this is to a large extent due to the samples from the river Limmat (Fig. 4, purple symbols). These are relatively close to the downstream sites of the Rhine river but separated by many barriers (Fig 1), including two without fishpasses (see Fig. 2C). Note that the river Limmat also produced the lowest estimates of N_e .

Discussion

Genetic differentiation in a fragmented drainage

As expected for a mobile and abundant fish, the overall genetic differentiation of chub populations in the Swiss lowland rivers was low, with a global F_{ST} of only 0.028, and a large-scale genetic structure shaped by drainage topology. The four genetic clusters identified by Bayesian clustering analysis corresponded well to the chub populations in the four rivers contributing to the drainage (Fig. 1). Similar

observations were made in other fish species such as bullhead (Junker et al. 2012), bluehead sucker (Hopken et al. 2013) or brook trout (Kanno et al. 2011). We further observed significant effects of migration barriers on the chub's genetic structure. The Rhine Falls as the only longstanding obstacle to migration seem to have a marked effect, but hydroelectric power stations and weirs also influence genetic differentiation detectably. Anthropogenic barriers thus contribute to the population genetic structure of the chub as also documented in other river-dwelling fish species (Meldgaard et al. 2003; Hanfling and Weetman 2006; Leclerc et al. 2008; Raeymaekers et al. 2008; Blanchet et al. 2010; Dehais et al. 2010; Faulks et al. 2011; Horreo et al. 2011; Junker et al. 2012; Stelkens et al. 2012). Importantly, stations equipped with fishpasses impair population connectivity less than those without fishpasses. Depending on the model used (see Table 2), we estimated that isolation by barriers equipped with fishpasses on average corresponds to about 12 km (model iii) or 20 km (model vi) of uninterrupted waterway distance, markedly less than by barriers without fishpasses, estimated as 102 km and 114 km, respectively. For comparison, in a study of chub in the French river Durance, it was estimated that each

Table 4. Results of the model selection procedure based on AIC to assess the relative support of six candidate linear regression models predicting allelic richness (AR) of chub in the Rhine drainage as a function of distance upstream from the most downstream point of the Rhine in Switzerland and the number of differently categorized barriers along this distance.

Model		Slope	R ²	AIC	Δ AIC	AIC weight
(i)	Distance	-0.004*	0.096	88.758	26.543	0.000
(ii)	Distance	0.009**	0.440	68.277	6.062	0.041
	All barriers	-0.151***				
(iii)	Distance	0.009**	0.481	66.698	4.483	0.091
	Barriers with fish bypass	-0.152***				
	Barriers without fish bypass (including Rhine falls)	-0.322**				
(iv)	Distance	-0.004*	0.153	87.726	25.511	0.000
	Rhine falls	0.478				
(v)	Distance	0.009**	0.440	70.273	8.058	0.015
	Rhine falls	-0.134				
	All barriers (excl. Rhine falls)	-0.150***				
(vi)	Distance	0.005	0.548	62.215	0.000	0.853
	Rhine falls	0.349				
	Barriers with fish bypass	-0.109**				
	Barriers without fish bypass	-0.544***				

*Indicates *P* < 0.05, **indicates *P* < 0.01, ***indicates *P* < 0.001.



Figure 4 Plots of allelic richness against waterway distance (A) and the number of barriers (B) between the point where the Rhine river leaves Switzerland and the sampling sites. Symbol colours indicate rivers: yellow: Rhine; blue: Aar, red: Reuss, purple: Limmat.

dam adds a virtual distance of 34 km in terms of genetic differentiation (Dehais et al. 2010). An important additional insight from the present study is that fishpasses do indeed mitigate the negative effects of hydroelectric power stations on population connectivity, but that they do not annihilate this effect. The effect of barriers with fishpasses was significant in both of the best-supported models predicting pairwise F_{ST} (Table 2). Notably, this concerns a fish that due to its size and swimming ability is predisposed to traverse fishpasses readily and that occurs in huge populations (Zaugg et al. 2003). The chub is indeed the only fish species that has been observed to pass all fishpasses present in our study area (Guthruf 2006, 2008). Thus, the negative effects on population connectivity of dams and hydroelec-

tric power stations – even when equipped with fishpasses – are likely to be more pronounced for many other fish species having lower dispersal abilities. That the permeability of many fishpasses in Switzerland is insufficient was noted by Guthruf (2006), because not all size classes of fish and species were able to pass. A recent observation from the hydroelectric power station in Rheinfelden on the Rhine river (the first barrier upstream of site R30 in Fig. 1) supports the notion that most fishpasses are not as effective as they could be. In 2010, that is during our sampling campaign, this power station was equipped with a new fishpass of an improved design (a more or less naturally structured fishpass stream with high discharge). Counts revealed an upstream migration of approx. 40 000 fish from 33 species in one season, including species known as poor swimmers such as bullheads (*Cottus gobio*) (Energiedienst/PFA 2013). This is approximately four times more individuals than in the best-frequented of the other fishpasses in our study area (Guthruf 2006, 2008), illustrating that there is indeed much room for improvement of the existing structures aiding fish migration across artificial barriers.

Specific recommendations for particular barriers are more difficult to make because the shallow population structure overall required a global analysis to detect their influence on population structure. Nevertheless, inspection of Fig. 2 suggests that some barriers such as the fishpassfree power station at the bottom of the river Reuss (Fig. 2D) or the most upstream weir without fishpass in the river Limmat (Fig. 2C) are particularly influential. Their equipment with a fishpass would thus likely have a substantial positive effect on longitudinal connectivity of fish populations, and possibly also on effective population size, which tended to be lower in the river Limmat compared to other rivers. However, it is important to consider whether such measures would not conflict with other conservation goals. Nowadays, we are in the unfortunate situation that man-made barriers can be the only thing protecting upstream reaches of a river from the invasion of nonindigenous species such as the Black Sea gobies or the North American crayfish species currently expanding along the Rhine river (Leuven et al. 2009; Mombaerts et al. 2014), or to shelter autochthonous from stocked fish populations (Fausch et al. 2009). In this context, re-establishing the longitudinal permeability of river networks for aquatic organism to a state prior to human influence may not be desirable.

The STREAMTREE model suggested that unsampled tributaries may also contribute to the genetic differentiation of chub along the main rivers we sampled. Considering that Bayesian clustering distinguished the populations from the four largest rivers of the drainage rather well (Fig. 1), it is reasonable to assume that populations from smaller rivers of the same drainage would also exhibit some genetic differentiation. Their influence is likely to act in combination with barriers to migration. If fish from such tributaries disperse into the main river but contribute predominantly to populations downstream of the confluence because obstacles prevent upstream movement, it is easy to see how river sections receiving major tributaries affect differentiation along the main stream and are assigned larger genetic distances by the STREAMTREE algorithm.

Genetic diversity

An upstream decline in genetic diversity is generally expected in organisms inhabiting a dendritic river network because of the accumulation of allelic diversity below confluences of tributaries containing genetically differentiated populations (Morrissey and de Kerckhove 2009; Paz-Vinas and Blanchet 2015). That is indeed what we observed when we quantified genetic diversity as allelic richness. However, our analyses indicated that the upstream decline of allelic richness is exacerbated by man-made barriers. This was particularly obvious from the comparatively low allelic diversity of chub from the sampling sites along the river Limmat, which are relatively close to the most downstream sites we considered but separated by many barriers (Fig. 2C). Note that based on the (uncertain) estimates, we obtained with NEESTIMATOR, N_e of chub in the Limmat might also be lower than in the other rivers (Table 1).

In the best-supported model predicting AR, which accounted for the negative effect of man-made barriers, the parameter estimate for distance was in fact slightly (and nonsignificantly) positive (Table 4). A possible explanation for this observation is that the midland rivers we studied all pass through large lakes upstream of our sampling sites. We suspect that the large lake populations of chubs may act as a reservoir of allelic diversity that feeds into the lowland rivers from above and partially compensates for the upstream loss that is otherwise observed along these fragmented rivers. This conjecture is tentatively supported by the two population samples we obtained from Lake Constance (R44 in Fig. 1) and Lake Zurich (L28 in Fig. 1). They are represented in Fig. 4A by the most upstream yellow and purple points, respectively, which show a high allelic diversity compared to the general trends along the rivers Rhine and Limmat.

Conclusions

We show that the chub in the Swiss lowland rivers has a shallow population structure that is shaped by drainage topology, but also significantly affected by man-made barriers to migration, most of which are hydroelectric power stations. From a management perspective, it is important to note that the fishpasses installed at many of these stations do indeed improve fish population connectivity across the barrier. Nevertheless, even barriers with fishpasses have a detectable effect on genetic differentiation, in a fish that is relatively large and mobile and copes equally well with lotic and lentic environments, that is a species that should be among those least susceptible to habitat fragmentation. The negative effects of river fragmentation are likely to be more severe for many other river-dwelling fish species. Fortunately, improvements in the design of fishpasses show great promise in reducing these effects further, as seen for the Rheinfelden power station mentioned above. It is to be hoped that similar improvements will soon be realized for other barriers as well.

Acknowledgement

We thank C. Elmiger, C. Mayer, Y. Sieber, S. Hocevar, L. Gehrer, B. Gouskov, G. Gouskov, M. Moest, R. Rouchet and T. Zuberbühler for their help during field work. Electrofishing equipment was provided by FORNAT AG. We also thank J. Van Buskirk, T. Torossi, D. Hefti, L. Keller and A. Peter for instructive discussions. The fisheries departments of the cantons Aargau, Basel Land, Bern, Waadt, Schaffhausen, Solothurn, Thurgau and Zurich issued fishing permits and the veterinarian office of canton Zurich is acknowledged for the animal experimentation permission. Molecular data were generated in the Genetic Diversity Centre of ETH Zurich. Funding for this study was provided by the Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN), the Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag), the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich (ETHZ) and the Fisheries Department of the canton Bern. Finally, we thank the Associate Editor, J. Raeymaekers and the anonymous reviewers for very detailed comments on the manuscript.

Data archiving statement

Data available at Dryad Digital Repository: http://dx. doi.org/10.5061/dryad.n41nk.

Literature cited

- Aguilar, A., J. D. Banks, K. F. Levine, and R. K. Wayne 2005. Population genetics of northern pike (*Esox lucius*) introduced into Lake Davis, California. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 62:1589–1599.
- Arlinghaus, R., and C. Wolter 2003. Amplitude of ecological potential: chub *Leuciscus cephalus* (L.) spawning in an artificial lowland canal. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 19:52–54.
- Beerli, P., and J. Felsenstein 2001. Maximum likelihood estimation of a migration matrix and effective population sizes in n subpopulations by using a coalescent approach. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 98:4563–4568.
- Blanchet, S., O. Rey, R. Etienne, S. Lek, and G. Loot 2010. Species-specific responses to landscape fragmentation: implications for management strategies. Evolutionary Applications 3:291–304.
- Burnham, K. P., and D. R. Anderson. 2002. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. 2nd edn. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Busst, G. M., and J. R. Britton 2014. Precision of the age-length increments of three cyprinids: effects of fish number and sub-sampling strategy. Journal of Fish Biology 84:1926–1939.
- Charlier, J., A. Palme, L. Laikre, J. Andersson, and N. Ryman 2011. Census (NC) and genetically effective (Ne) population size in a lake-resident population of brown trout *Salmo trutta*. Journal of Fish Biology 79:2074–2082.
- Chen, C., E. Durand, F. Forbes, and O. Francois 2007. Bayesian clustering algorithms ascertaining spatial population structure: a new com-

puter program and a comparison study. Molecular Ecology Notes 7:747–756.

- De Leeuw, J. J., and H. V. Winter 2008. Migration of rheophilic fish in the large lowland rivers Meuse and Rhine, the Netherlands. Fisheries Management and Ecology **15**:409–415.
- Dehais, C., R. Eudeline, P. Berrebi, and C. Argillier 2010. Microgeographic genetic isolation in chub (Cyprinidae: *Squalius cephalus*) population of the Durance River: estimating fragmentation by dams. Ecology of Freshwater Fish **19**:267–278.
- Do, C., R. S. Waples, D. Peel, G. M. Macbeth, B. J. Tillett, and J. R. Ovenden 2014. NEESTIMATOR v2: re-implementation of software for the estimation of contemporary effective population size (N-e) from genetic data. Molecular Ecology Resources 14:209–214.
- Energiedienst/PFA 2013. Fischzählung beim Kraftwerk Rheinfelden: Erfolgreiche Zwischenbilanz. Wasser, Energie, Luft Heft 1:64–66.
- Excoffier, L., and H. E. L. Lischer 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Molecular Ecology Resources 10:564–567.
- Fagan, W. F. 2002. Connectivity, fragmentation, and extinction risk in dendritic metapopulations. Ecology **83**:3243–3249.
- Faulks, L. K., D. M. Gilligan, and L. B. Beheregaray 2011. The role of anthropogenic vs. natural in-stream structures in determining connectivity and genetic diversity in an endangered freshwater fish, Macquarie perch (*Macquaria australasica*). Evolutionary Applications 4:589–601.
- Fausch, K. D., C. E. Torgersen, C. V. Baxter, and H. W. Li 2002. Landscapes to riverscapes: bridging the gap between research and conservation of stream fishes. BioScience 52:483–498.
- Fausch, K. D., B. E. Rieman, J. B. Dunham, M. K. Young, and D. P. Peterson 2009. Invasion versus isolation: trade-offs in managing native salmonids with barriers to upstream movement. Conservation Biology 23:859–870.
- Fazey, I., J. Fischer, and D. B. Lindenmayer 2005. What do conservation biologists publish? Biological Conservation 124:63–73.
- Fischer, J., and D. B. Lindenmayer 2007. Landscape modification and habitat fragmentation: a synthesis. Global Ecology and Biogeography 16:265–280.
- Foley, J. A., R. DeFries, G. P. Asner, C. Barford, G. Bonan, S. R. Carpenter, F. S. Chapin et al. 2005. Global consequences of land use. Science 309:570–574.
- Francois, O., S. Ancelet, and G. Guillot 2006. Bayesian clustering using hidden Markov random fields in spatial population genetics. Genetics 174:805–816.
- Franklin, I. R., and R. Frankham 1998. How large must populations be to retain evolutionary potential? Animal Conservation 1:69–70.
- Fredrich, F., S. Ohmann, B. Curio, and F. Kirschbaum 2003. Spawning migrations of the chub in the River Spree. Germany. Journal of Fish Biology 63:710–723.
- Goudet, J. 2002. FSTAT 2.9.3.2, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices. Available at: http://www.unil.ch/ izea/softwares/fstat.html (update from Goudet 1995) (accessed on 16 May 2012).
- Guillot, G., R. Leblois, A. Coulon, and A. C. Frantz 2009. Statistical methods in spatial genetics. Molecular Ecology 18:4734–4756.
- Guthruf, J. 2006. Koordinierte Fischaufstiegskontrollen an den Aare-Kraftwerken zwischen Solothurn und der Mündung in den Rhein.: Gutachten im Auftrag des Amtes für Umwelt des Kantons Solothurn, des Amtes für Wald, Jagd und Fischerei des Kantons Solothurn, der Sektion Jagd und Fischerei, BVU des Kantons Aargau und der Abt. Landschaft und Gewässer, BVU des Kantons Aargau.

Gouskov et al.

Guthruf, J. 2008. Fischaufstieg am Hochrhein. Koordinierte Zählung 2005/06. Umwelt-Wissen. BAFU, Bern.

- Hanfling, B., and D. Weetman 2006. Concordant genetic estimators of migration reveal anthropogenically enhanced source-sink population structure in the River Sculpin, *Cottus gobio*. Genetics 173:1487– 1501.
- Hedrick, P. W. 2005. A standardized genetic differentiation measure. Evolution 59:1633–1638.

Hopken, M. W., M. R. Douglas, and M. E. Douglas 2013. Stream hierarchy defines riverscape genetics of a North American desert fish. Molecular Ecology 22:956–971.

- Horreo, J. L., J. L. Martinez, F. Ayllon, I. G. Pola, J. A. Monteoliva, M. Heland, and E. Garcia-Vazquez 2011. Impact of habitat fragmentation on the genetics of populations in dendritic landscapes. Freshwater Biology 56:2567–2579.
- Huet, M. 1949. Apercu des relations entre la pente et les populations pisicoles des eaux courantes. Schweizerische Zeitschrift für Hydrologie 11:333–351.
- Hughes, A. R., B. D. Inouye, M. T. J. Johnson, N. Underwood, and M. Vellend 2008. Ecological consequences of genetic diversity. Ecology Letters 11:609–623.
- Hutchison, D. W., and A. R. Templeton 1999. Correlation of pairwise genetic and geographic distance measures: inferring the relative influences of gene flow and drift on the distribution of genetic variability. Evolution **53**:1898–1914.
- Jakobsson, M., and N. A. Rosenberg 2007. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. Bioinformatics 23:1801– 1806.
- Jamieson, I. G., and F. W. Allendorf 2012. How does the 50/500 rule apply to MVPs? Trends in Ecology & Evolution **27**:578–584.
- Jost, L. 2008. G_{ST} and its relatives do not measure differentiation. Molecular Ecology 17:4015–4026.

Junker, J., A. Peter, C. E. Wagner, S. Mwaiko, B. Germann, O. Seehausen, and I. Keller 2012. River fragmentation increases localized population genetic structure and enhances asymmetry of dispersal in bullhead (*Cottus gobio*). Conservation Genetics 13:545–556.

Kalinowski, S. T., M. H. Meeuwig, S. R. Narum, and M. L. Taper 2008. Stream trees: a statistical method for mapping genetic differences between populations of freshwater organisms to the sections of streams that connect them. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 65:2752–2760.

Kanno, Y., J. C. Vokoun, and B. H. Letcher 2011. Fine-scale population structure and riverscape genetics of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) distributed continuously along headwater channel networks. Molecular Ecology 20:3711–3729.

Katopodis, C., and J. G. Williams 2012. The development of fish passage research in a historical context. Ecological Engineering 48:8–18.

Kelley, K., and K. Lai. 2012. MBESS R package version 3.3.3.

Kinnison, M. T., and N. G. Hairston 2007. Eco-evolutionary conservation biology: contemporary evolution and the dynamics of persistence. Functional Ecology 21:444–454.

Kirchhofer, A., M. E. Breitenstein, and B. Zaugg. 2007. Rote Liste der Fische und Rundmäuler der Schweiz. Umwelt Vollzug. Bundesamt für Umwelt, Schweizer Zentrum für die Kartographie der Fauna, Bern.

Koizumi, I., S. Yamamoto, and K. Maekawa 2006. Decomposed pairwise regression analysis of genetic and geographic distances reveals a metapopulation structure of stream-dwelling Dolly Varden charr. Molecular Ecology 15:3175–3189.

- Larno, V., S. Launey, A. Devaux, and J. Laroche 2005. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci from chub *Leuciscus cephalus* (Pisces: Cyprinidae). Molecular Ecology Notes 5:752–754.
- Leclerc, E., Y. Mailhot, M. Mingelbier, and L. Bernatchez 2008. The landscape genetics of yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) in a large fluvial ecosystem. Molecular Ecology 17:1702–1717.
- Lehner, B., C. R. Liermann, C. Revenga, C. Vorosmarty, B. Fekete, P. Crouzet, P. Doll et al. 2011. High-resolution mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 9:494–502.

Leuven, R. S. E. W., G. van der Velde, I. Baijens, J. Snijders, C. van der Zwart, H. J. Rob Lenders, and A. bij de Vaate. 2009. The river Rhine: a global highway for dispersal of aquatic invasive species. Biological Invasions 11:1989–2008.

Liermann, C. R., C. Nilsson, J. Robertson, and R. Y. Ng 2012. Implications of dam obstruction for global freshwater fish diversity. BioScience 62:539–548.

Lippe, C., P. Dumont, and L. Bernatchez 2006. High genetic diversity and no inbreeding in the endangered copper redhorse, *Moxostoma hubbsi* (Catostomidae, Pisces): the positive sides of a long generation time. Molecular Ecology 15:1769–1780.

Lynch, M., and R. Lande 1998. The critical effective size for a genetically secure population. Animal Conservation 1:70–72.

- Meirmans, P. G. 2014. Nonconvergence in Bayesian estimation of migration rates. Molecular Ecology Resources 14:726–733.
- Meldgaard, T., E. E. Nielsen, and V. Loeschcke 2003. Fragmentation by weirs in a riverine system: a study of genetic variation in time and space among populations of European grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*) in a Danish river system. Conservation Genetics 4:735–747.
- Mesquita, N., C. Cunha, B. Hanfling, G. R. Carvalho, L. Ze-Ze, R. Tenreiro, and M. M. Coelho 2003. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci in the endangered Portuguese freshwater fish *Squalius aradensis* (Cyprinidae). Molecular Ecology Notes 3:572– 574.
- Mombaerts, M., H. Verreycken, F. A. M. Volckaert, and T. Huyse 2014. The invasive round goby *Neogobius melanostomus* and tubenose goby *Proterorhinus semilunaris*: two introduction routes into Belgium. Aquatic Invasions 9:305–314.
- Morrissey, M. B., and D. T. de Kerckhove 2009. The maintenance of genetic variation due to asymmetric gene flow in dendritic metapopulations. American Naturalist **174**:875–889.

Nilsson, C., C. A. Reidy, M. Dynesius, and C. Revenga 2005. Fragmentation and flow regulation of the world's large river systems. Science 308:405–408.

Nimon, K., M. Lewis, R. Kane, and R. Michael Haynes 2008. An R package to compute commonality coefficients in the multiple regression case: an introduction to the package and a practical example. Behavior Research Methods 40:457–466.

Noonan, M. J., J. W. A. Grant, and C. D. Jackson 2012. A quantitative assessment of fish passage efficiency. Fish and Fisheries 13:450–464.

- Palstra, F. P., and D. E. Ruzzante 2008. Genetic estimates of contemporary effective population size: what can they tell us about the importance of genetic stochasticity for wild population persistence? Molecular Ecology 17:3428–3447.
- Paz-Vinas, I., and S. Blanchet 2015. Dendritic connectivity shapes spatial patterns of genetic diversity: a simulation-based study. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 28:986–994.
- Prunier, J. G., M. Colyn, X. Legendre, K. F. Nimon, and M. C. Flamand 2015. Multicollinearity in spatial genetics: separating the wheat from the chaff using commonality analyses. Molecular Ecology 24:263–283.

- Raeymaekers, J. A. M., G. E. Maes, S. Geldof, I. Hontis, K. Nackaerts, and F. A. M. Volckaert 2008. Modeling genetic connectivity in sticklebacks as a guideline for river restoration. Evolutionary Applications 1:475–488.
- Raikova-Petrova, G., N. Hamwi, and I. Petrov. 2012. Spawning, sex ratio and relationship between fecundity, length, weight and age of chub (*Squalius cephalus L.*, 1758) in the middle stream of Iskar river (Bulgaria). Acta Zoologica Bulgarica **64**:191–197.
- R Core Team. 2012. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at: http://www.R-project.org (accessed on 11 July 2012).
- Reichard, M., and P. Jurajda 2004. The effects of elevated river discharge on the downstream drift of young-of-the-year cyprinid fishes. Journal of Freshwater Ecology **19**:465–471.
- Reichard, M., P. Jurajda, and M. Ondrackova 2002. Interannual variability in seasonal dynamics and species composition of drifting youngof-the-year fishes in two European lowland rivers. Journal of Fish Biology 60:87–101.
- Rieman, B. E., and J. B. Dunham 2000. Metapopulations and salmonids: a synthesis of life history patterns and empirical observations. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 9:51–64.
- Rosenberg, N. A. 2004. DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display of population structure. Molecular Ecology Notes **4**:137–138.
- Sala, O. E., F. S. Chapin, J. J. Armesto, E. Berlow, J. Bloomfield, R. Dirzo, E. Huber-Sanwald et al. 2000. Biodiversity - Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. Science 287:1770–1774.
- Smouse, P. E., J. C. Long, and R. R. Sokal 1986. Multiple-regression and correlation extensions of the mantel test of matrix correspondence. Systematic Zoology 35:627–632.
- Sonny, D., S. Jorry, X. Watriez, and J. C. Philippart 2006. Inter-annual and diel patterns of the drift of cyprinid fishes in a small tributary of the Meuse River, Belgium. Folia Zoologica 55:75–85.
- Spiegelhalter, D. J., N. G. Best, B. R. Carlin, and A. van der Linde 2002. Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Statistical Methodology 64:583–616.
- Stelkens, R. B., G. Jaffuel, M. Escher, and C. Wedekind 2012. Genetic and phenotypic population divergence on a microgeographic scale in brown trout. Molecular Ecology 21:2896–2915.
- Sunnucks, P., and D. F. Hales 1996. Numerous transposed sequences of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I-II in aphids of the genus Sitobion (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Molecular Biology and Evolution 13:510– 524.
- Tockner, K., and J. A. Stanford 2002. Riverine flood plains: present state and future trends. Environmental Conservation **29**:308–330.

- Van Oosterhout, C., W. F. Hutchinson, D. P. M. Wills, and P. Shipley 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Molecular Ecology Notes 4:535–538.
- Verdon, P., M. Barben, A. Geissel, A. Grasso, A. Helbling, A. Jakob, U. Leuenberger et al. 2009. Hydrologisches Jahrbuch der Schweiz 2008 Umwelt-Zustand. BAFU, Bern. 617 pp.
- Vörösmarty, C. J., P. B. McIntyre, M. O. Gessner, D. Dudgeon, A. Prusevich, P. Green, S. Glidden et al. 2010. Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. Nature 467:555–561.
- Vyskocilova, M., A. Simkova, and J. F. Martin 2007. Isolation and characterization of microsatellites in *Leuciscus cephalus* (Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae) and cross-species amplification within the family Cyprinidae. Molecular Ecology Notes 7:1150–1154.
- Waples, Robin. S., and Chi. Do 2008. LDNE: a program for estimating effective population size from data on linkage disequilibrium. Molecular Ecology Resources 8:753–756.
- Weir, B. S., and C. C. Cockerham 1984. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution 38:1358–1370.
- Wheeler, A. 2010. 'ImPerm' Permutation tests for linear models R package version 1.1-2.
- Whitlock, M. C. 2011. G '(ST) and D do not replace F-ST. Molecular Ecology **20**:1083–1091.
- Wilson, G. A., and B. Rannala 2003. Bayesian inference of recent migration rates using multilocus genotypes. Genetics 163:1177–1191.
- Zaugg, B., P. Stucki, J. C. Pedroli, and A. Kirchhofer 2003. Fauna Helvetica Pisces. Fauna Helvetica. 7th edn. CSCF/SZKF, Neuchatel.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1. Map showing the location of all barriers included in Supplementary Table S1.

Figure S2. Neighbourhood Diagram used in the Bayesian clustering analysis (TESS 2.3.1 output).

Figure S3. Genetic cluster affiliations of individual fish estimated at $K_{\text{max}} = 4$ in TESS 2.3.1 and visualized with DiSTRUCT.

Figure S4. Isolation-by-distance and 'isolation-by-barriers' of chub in the Swiss Lowland rivers depicted as linear regression plots of pairwise F_{ST} against waterway distance (A) and the number of barriers (B) between sampling sites.

Table S1. Table reporting ages of the barriers and their fishpasses.