



# Immunodominance of Epitopes and Protective Efficacy of HI Antigen Are Differentially Altered Using Different Adjuvants in a Mouse Model of *Staphylococcus aureus* Bacteremia

Zhifu Chen<sup>1†</sup>, Qiang Gou<sup>1†</sup>, Qingshan Xiong<sup>1†</sup>, Lianli Duan<sup>1</sup>, Yue Yuan<sup>1</sup>, Jiang Zhu<sup>2</sup>, Jintao Zou<sup>1</sup>, Longlong Chen<sup>1</sup>, Haiming Jing<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoli Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Ping Luo<sup>1</sup>, Hao Zeng<sup>1</sup>, Quanming Zou<sup>1</sup>, Zhuo Zhao<sup>1\*</sup> and Jinyong Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Pablo C. Baldi, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina

#### Reviewed by:

Iván Marcipar, CONICET Santa Fe, Argentina Jan Maarten Van Dijl, University Medical Center Groningen, Netherlands Justin Pollara, Duke University, United States

#### \*Correspondence:

Zhuo Zhao zhaozhuo198309@163.com Jinyong Zhang zhangjy198217@126.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 24 March 2021 Accepted: 12 May 2021 Published: 27 May 2021

#### Citation:

Chen Z, Gou Q, Xiong Q, Duan L, Yuan Y, Zhu J, Zou J, Chen L, Jing H, Zhang X, Luo P, Zeng H, Zou Q, Zhao Z and Zhang J (2021) Immunodominance of Epitopes and Protective Efficacy of HI Antigen Are Differentially Altered Using Different Adjuvants in a Mouse Model of Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia. Front. Immunol. 12:684823. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.684823 <sup>1</sup> National Engineering Research Center of Immunological Products, Department of Microbiology and Biochemical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Pathology, Southwest Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China, <sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Hematology, College of Pharmacy, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China

HI, a fusion protein that consists of the alpha-toxin (HIa) and the N2 domain of iron surface determinant B (IsdB), is one of the antigens in the previously reported S. aureus vaccine rFSAV and has already entered phase II clinical trials. Previous studies revealed that HI is highly immunogenic in both mice and healthy volunteers, and the humoral immune response plays key roles in HI-mediated protection. In this study, we further investigated the protective efficacy of immunization with HI plus four different adjuvants in a mouse bacteremia model. Results showed that HI-mediated protection was altered in response to different adjuvants. Using antisera from immunized mice, we identified seven B-cell immunodominant epitopes on Hla and IsdB, including 6 novel epitopes (Hla<sub>1-18</sub>, Hla<sub>84-101</sub>, Hla<sub>186-203</sub>, IsdB<sub>342-359</sub>, IsdB<sub>366-383</sub>, and IsdB<sub>384-401</sub>). The immunodominance of B-cell epitopes, total IgG titers and the levels of IFN-y and IL-17A from mice immunized with HI plus different adjuvants were different from each other, which may explain the difference in protective immunity observed in each immunized group. Thus, our results indicate that adjuvants largely affected the immunodominance of epitopes and the protective efficacy of HI, which may guide further adjuvant screening for vaccine development and optimization.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus, immunodominant epitope, antibody, adjuvants, bacteremia

# INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) released a list of 'priority pathogens' in 2007, which contains 12 drug-resistant bacteria that pose a great threat to public health and for which alternative therapeutic strategies are urgently required (1). *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) ranks first among all Gram-positive bacteria among these drug-resistant pathogens and is involved in a variety of severe infections with high morbidity and mortality (2, 3). Several vaccine candidates against this bacterium have been developed, and some of them have entered clinical trials over the past two decades (4–7). However, none of these vaccines has yet been approved for clinical use (8).

1

rFSAV is a recombinant five-antigen S. aureus vaccine developed by our lab and is now under evaluation in a phase II clinical trial (CTR20160004, http://www.chinadrugtrials.org. cn/). HI, one of the antigens within rFSAV, is a fusion protein consisting of the H35L mutant of alpha-hemolysin (Hla) and the iron surface determinant B N2 domain (IsdB-N2) (9). Previous studies revealed that immunization with HI alone provides partial protection against S. aureus infection (10), and passive immunization with HI-specific polyclonal antibodies showed protection in a murine sepsis model, indicating that the humoral immune response is essential in HI-mediated protection (11). Since a few immunodominant epitopes in an antigen are involved in inducing a robust response rather than the other epitopes, the specificity and response of the immunodominant epitopes can easily be determined (12). Taking this into consideration, we identified 3 immunodominant epitopes on HI using serum from immunized individuals in a phase I clinical trial, which was performed to evaluate the safety and immunogenicity of rFSAV. Monoclonal antibodies recognizing these epitopes were also protective against S. aureus infection (13), further confirming the importance of immunodominant epitopes for inducing protective immunity.

An adjuvant is defined as a formulation that enhances the level of immunogenicity or changes the type of immune response toward a given antigen. To date, several adjuvants have been approved for clinical administration, such as the classical alum adjuvant MF59 and the AS series (14). As the mechanism of these adjuvants varies greatly, the addition of different adjuvants may impact the immunodominant epitope spectrum of an antigen, which may further affect the protective efficacy of a vaccine (15). Furthermore, rFSAV contains HI and three other antigens, and the immune responses induced by the other antigens in rFSAV might impact the response to HI; thus, it is necessary to conduct epitope mapping using serum from individuals immunized with HI alone.

To date, selection of an adjuvant is based on its ability to enhance the immunogenicity and efficacy of the vaccine (16). Knowledge of how adjuvants modulate the specificity of the antibody response against B epitopes would be of great interest for choosing adjuvants in a more rational way. In the present study, to gain further insight into the protective efficacy of HIinduced immunity, we immunized mice with HI formulated with AlPO<sub>4</sub>, complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA), AddaVax and c-di-AMP. The antisera from each immunized mouse were used for epitope mapping. Herein, we report the difference in protective efficacy and immunodominant epitopes identified from these preparations.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Ethics Statement**

All animal experiments performed in this study were approved by the Animal Ethical and Experimental Committee of the Third Military Medical University (Chongqing, Permit No. 2011-04) in accordance with their rules and regulations.

#### **Animal and Antigens**

Six- to eight-week-old specific pathogen-free female BALB/c mice were purchased from Beijing HFK Bioscience Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The HI antigen was expressed and purified as previously described (10).

#### Peptide Synthesis and KLH Conjugations

Eighteen-mer peptides with 12 amino acid length overlaps to cover the full lengths of Hla (Sequence ID: ADQ77533.1) and IsdB-N2 (Sequence ID: WP\_031875332.1) were synthesized and purified by China Peptides Co., Ltd.  $OVA_{192-201}$ (EDTQAMPFRV) was used as a negative control peptide. The purity of these peptides was expected to be 95% or higher. The peptides were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide at a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL and stored at -80°C before use. For every immunodominant peptide, peptide keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) conjugations were performed by China Peptides Co., Ltd.

#### Immunization and Infection

To determine the protective efficacies of HI, mice were randomized into different groups (n=10) and intramuscularly immunized with 50 µg of HI combined with 25 µg of c-di-AMP or 50 µL of AddaVax, CFA or AlPO<sub>4</sub> in a total volume of 100 µL with adjuvant or PBS alone on days 0, 14, and 21. Incomplete Freund's Adjuvant (IFA) was used as adjuvant for boost immunization in CFA group. All the adjuvants used in this study were purchased from InvivoGen (San Diego, CA, USA).To determine the protective efficacies of the immunodominant epitopes, mice (n=10) were intramuscularly immunized with 75 µg of each epitope-KLH conjugate combined with 50 µL of AlPO<sub>4</sub> on days 0, 14, and 21. One week after the last booster, mice were infected with 9×10<sup>8</sup> CFU of *S. aureus* strain MRSA252 (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) in 100 µL saline, and the survival rates in each group were monitored for 7 days following infection.

#### **Bacterial Burden and Tissue Histology**

Mice in each group were infected with  $6 \times 10^8$  CFU of MRSA252 7 days after the last immunization (n=8), and kidneys and lungs were harvested 48 h post infection. The bacterial burden in the organs was quantified by preparing organ homogenates in PBS and plating 10-fold serial dilutions on MHA plates. The colonies were counted after 24 h of incubation at 37°C. The number of CFU per tissue (CFU/organ) was calculated from each plate. For histopathology, the organs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Four-micrometer thick sections were prepared and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for microscopic examination. Each lung section of PBS- and HI-immunized mice was given a score of 0–4 (no abnormality to most severe) according to established criteria based on hyperemia, edema, hemorrhage, and neutrophil infiltration.

#### Immunoglobulin Subtyping

One week after the last immunization, mice were exsanguinated, and serum samples were collected. The titers of HI-specific IgG were determined by ELISA. In brief, wells of microtiter plates (Thermo Lab Systems) were coated with HI (5  $\mu$ g per well) in

50 mM carbonate buffer (pH9.5) at 4°C overnight. Nonspecific binding was blocked with 2% BSA (v/v) at 37°C for 2 h. Serum samples were serially diluted 2-fold in PBS (starting at 1:1000) and used as the primary antibodies. The secondary antibodies were HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma). Absorbance was read at 450 nm (OD450), and the titers were defined as the highest dilution that yielded an absorbance value of more than twice the value of the pre-immune serum. To determine the subtype of HI-specific IgG, serum samples diluted at 1:1000 were used as the primary antibodies, and HRP-conjugated goat antimouse IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b and IgG3 (Sigma) were used as secondary antibodies.

## **Cytokine Assays**

One week after the last immunization, mice were infected with  $6 \times 10^8$  CFU of MRSA252. Two days after infection, each mouse was euthanized with CO<sub>2</sub>, and serum was harvested using a 1-mL syringe. Samples were centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatants were collected and stored at -80°C until analysis. Cytokine levels in the serum were determined using the Cytometric Bead Array-based FlowCytomix assay according to the manufacturer's instructions (LEGENDplex<sup>TM</sup> Panel, Biolegend, Cat No. 740446) for the following proinflammatory cytokines: interleukin (IL)-1 $\alpha$ , IL-6, MCP-1, IL-17A, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- $\alpha$ , and interferon (IFN)- $\gamma$ . Samples were allowed to thaw at room temperature only once prior to testing. All assays were performed in duplicate.

## Linear B-Cell Epitope Mapping

To determine the reactivity of serum samples from immunized mice against each peptide, wells of microtiter plates were coated with 5 µM of each peptide dissolved in 50 mM carbonate buffer (pH9.6), and OVA<sub>192-201</sub> was used as a negative control peptide. Nonspecific binding was prevented by blocking the coated microtiter plates with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH7.4) containing 2% BSA. Serum samples from immunized mice (n=10) were diluted in PBS at a ratio of 1:300 (v/v) and were used as the primary antibodies, and peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibodies (Solarbio, Beijing, China) were used as secondary antibodies at a dilution of 1:3500. The ELISA results are shown as absorbance values observed at 450 nm. The normal value for each peptide was calculated by testing sera from healthy mouse sera without immunization, and values that were 2.1-fold higher than the mean absorbance value of negative sera were defined as positive.

## Structural Localization and Sequence Alignment of the Immunodominant Epitopes

The crystal structures of both Hla (3anz.pdb) (17) and IsdB (3rtl.pdb) (18) were obtained from the protein database (PDB). Immunodominant epitopes were located on these structures using the PyMOL 1.1 program. Sequences of Hla and IsdB from different *S. aureus* strains were retrieved from the GenBank database for alignment using the NCBI Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) software.

# **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0. All data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (S.D.). Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction. Survival data were analyzed using the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. P < 0.01 was considered statistically significant.

# RESULTS

### Immunization With HI Formulated With Different Adjuvants Provides Different Protective Efficacies Against MRSA252 Challenge

BALB/c mice were immunized with HI plus different adjuvants on days 0, 14 and 21 and then challenged with a lethal dose of MRSA252 one week after the last immunization. As shown in Figure 1A, all mice in the PBS-immunized group died within 4 days after MRSA252 infection, consistent with our previous studies and indicating that the bacteremia model was successful (13). In contrast, mice immunized with HI and different adjuvants exhibited different protective efficacies. The highest survival rate was observed in the HI plus CFA immunized group, which showed 100% survival without any clinical symptoms after MRSA252 challenge. The survival rate in this group was significantly higher than that in the other groups. Moreover, HI immunized with AlPO4 and c-di-AMP showed the same survival rate (50%), which was slightly higher than that of mice immunized with HI plus AddaVax (40%). The significance of protective immunity generated by the HI and different adjuvants was measured using the log-rank (Mantel-Cox)test compared to the PBS group, CFA plus HI (P<0.0001), AlPO<sub>4</sub> plus HI (P= 0.0787), c-di-AMP plus HI (P = 0.1832), AddaVax plus HI (P = 0.2910), CFA alone (P = 0.2695), AlPO<sub>4</sub> alone (P = 0.8943), c-di-AMP alone (P = 0.5932), and AddaVax alone (P = 0.9114). These results illustrated that immunization with HI plus different adjuvants resulted in different protective efficacies for controlling MRSA252 infection. Furthermore, mice immunized with each adjuvant alone also exhibited partial protection, but the survival rate was significantly lower than that of the HI immunization group, further demonstrating that HI is an effective antigen for the S. aureus vaccine (Figure 1A).

## Immunization With HI Plus Different Adjuvants Reduces MRSA252 Infection in a Bacteremia Model

The organs of mice immunized with HI plus different adjuvants were assessed for bacterial load after challenge with a sub-lethal dose of MRSA252 *via* intravenous injection. As expected, HI plus different adjuvant-immunized mice resulted in significantly lower bacterial burden in kidney (**Figure 1B**) and lung tissues (**Figure 1C**) than in PBS-control counterparts and adjuvant-immunized mice. Notably, the bacterial burden in mice



challenge with MRSA252 (n = 8). The difference in each group is indicated by the p value. (D) Histological analysis of immunized mice after challenge with MRSA252. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of kidney sections 48 h after MRSA252 infection,  $100 \times (top row)$ ,  $200 \times (middle row)$  and  $400 \times (bottom row)$ . Abscess formation or scattered colonies of bacteria were found only in adjuvants alone or PBS-immunized animals (black arrow). (E) Severity scores of lungs (n = 8) from immunized mice and control mice are shown 2 days postinfection. Data are presented as scatter plots. ns, not significant; \*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001.

immunized with HI plus CFA exhibited the lowest bacterial burden, both in kidney and lung tissues, and the bacterial burden in each group was negatively correlated with the survival rate.

Histological analysis of MRSA-challenged, HI plus different adjuvant-immunized mice revealed normal physiological architecture of the renal tubules and pulmonary alveoli with no detectable bacterial colonies, while adjuvant-immunized mice exhibited moderate changes in the physiological architecture of pulmonary alveoli (fibrin and serous effusion) and renal tubules (neutrophils). In comparison, scattered bacterial colonies were readily observed in the kidneys and lungs of the PBS control mice, particularly in the kidney abscesses (**Figure 1D**). The severe score of kidney tissue was consistent with that of bacterial burden and survival rate (**Figure 1E**). Taken together, these results indicate that HI plus different adjuvant immunizations provided more robust protection against MRSA252 challenge than that conveyed by PBS-control or adjuvant immunization alone.

# Host Immune Response Toward HI Immunization With Different Adjuvants

As HI-mediated protection was primarily dependent on the humoral immune response (10), we next tested the titers and subtypes of HI-specific IgG in the sera of the immunized mice 7 days after the last booster immunization. As shown in Figure 2A, elevated levels of HI-specific IgG were detected in all mice immunized with HI plus adjuvants. Notably, the highest titer of IgG was observed in mice immunized with HI plus CFA, which was significantly higher than all the other groups. The titer of HI-specific IgG in mice immunized with HI plus AlPO<sub>4</sub> was lower than in mice immunized with HI plus CFA (p<0.05) but significantly higher than the titers of mice immunized with HI plus two other adjuvants. Meanwhile, the titers of IgG in mice immunized with HI plus AddaVax and mice immunized HI plus c-di-AMP group were not significantly different. In contrast, the titers of HI-specific IgG from mice that survived MRSA252 infection were significantly higher than those from mice that



**FIGURE 2** | HI-specific antibody and cytokine production in response to MRSA252 challenge. (A) Antibody production in mice immunized with HI plus different adjuvants, adjuvants alone, or PBS alone. (B) Correlation between the titer of HI-specific IgG and the survival of each immunized mouse (n=10). (C) HI-specific antibody isotope analysis in mice immunized with HI plus different adjuvants, adjuvants alone, or PBS alone. Results are representative of three experiments, and data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  SD of samples from 10 mice. (D) Cytokine analysis in the sera of immunized mice after challenge with MRSA252. Serum samples were collected 48 h after infection (n = 8). ns, not significant; \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.

died (**Figure 2B**). Serum IgG subtype analysis in mice immunized with HI plus different adjuvants revealed a primarily IgG1 response. The levels of subtypes showed a similar tendency to the titers of total IgG in each group, and there was no significant difference in the distribution of subtypes among the different groups (**Figure 2C**).

Levels of the cytokines IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-6, MCP-1, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-17 and IFN- $\gamma$  in serum were analyzed to evaluate the inflammatory response in immunized mice in response to MRSA252 challenge. As shown in **Figure 2D**, proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ , were significantly decreased in HI-immunized mice compared to PBS-immunized mice (p<0.01). In contrast, levels of IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-17A in the serum of immunized mice were increased after bacterial infection, suggesting that immunization with HI was capable of inducing IFN- $\gamma$ - and IL-17A-producing cells and that cellular immunity might also be involved in HI-mediated protection against *S*.

*aureus* infection. These results are consistent with previous studies reporting that cellular immunity plays essential roles in protective immunity induced by other *S. aureus* antigens, such as MntC or PBP2a (19, 20). Furthermore, MCP-1 is one of the key chemokines that regulates the migration and infiltration of monocytes/macrophages (21), and levels of MCP-1 in each group were similar to those of proinflammatory cytokines, indicating that inflammation in the lungs of HI-immunized mice was decreased compared to that in PBS-immunized mice.

## Identification of Immunodominant Epitopes on HIa and IsdB Using Serum From Mice Immunized With HI Plus Different Adjuvants

Linear B-cell epitope mapping was performed by ELISA using the overlapping 18-mer peptides and antiserum from mice immunized with HI and different adjuvants. As shown in **Figure 3**, seven epitopes ( $Hla_{1-18}$ ,  $Hla_{42-59}$ ,  $Hla_{84-101}$ ,  $Hla_{186-203}$ ,  $IsdB_{342-359}$ ,  $IsdB_{366-383}$  and  $IsdB_{384-401}$ ) were identified with strong IgG reactivity, and the sequences of these epitopes are listed in **Table 1**. Among these immunodominant epitopes, both  $Hla_{42-59}$  and  $IsdB_{384-401}$  were immunodominant in the HI plus c-di-AMP, AlPO4 and CFA groups. IsdB\_{366-383} was immunodominant in the HI plus AddaVax and c-di-AMP groups, whereas the other four epitopes were only immunodominant in one group. These results suggested that adjuvants are capable of driving the immunodominant response toward the same antigen, resulting in differences in protective efficacy. Meanwhile, the immunodominant epitope  $Hla_{42-59}$  identified in this study may share the same B cell epitope in  $Hla_{48-65}$ , which was previously identified using serum from humans (13). The other six epitopes

**TABLE 1** | Sequence of the immunodominant epitopes on HIa and IsdB identified in this study.

The immunodominant epitopes	Sequence of the immunodominant epitopes	The immunization group		
Hla <sub>1-18</sub>	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	CFA+HI		
Hla <sub>42-59</sub>	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	c-di-AMP+HI, AIPO <sub>4</sub> +HI, CFA+HI		
Hla <sub>84-101</sub>	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	c-di-AMP+HI		
Hla <sub>186-203</sub>	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	AIPO <sub>4</sub> +HI		
IsdB <sub>342-359</sub>	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	CFA+HI		
IsdB <sub>366-383</sub>	AFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	AddaVax+HI, c-di-AMP		
		+HI		
IsdB <sub>384-401</sub>	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEGQ	CFA+HI, AIPO <sub>4</sub> +HI, c- di-AMP+HI		





have not been previously reported and therefore might harbor novel linear B-cell epitopes.

Next, we determined the protective efficacy of each single immunodominant epitope against MRSA252 infection. Mice were immunized three times with epitope-KLH conjugations and subsequently infected with a lethal dose of MRSA252 as described previously. As shown in **Supplementary Figure 1**, results demonstrated that all of these peptides provided partial protection against MRSA252 infection, ranging from 10% to 30%, when compared to the negative control. However, only Hla<sub>1-18</sub>-, Hla<sub>42-59</sub>- and IsdB<sub>366-383</sub>-KLH immunized groups showed differences in survival when compared to PBS immunized group, and no significant differences were observed among these epitope immunized groups compared to adjuvant immunized group, which is understandable since a single immunodominant epitope was unable to induce robust protection.

### Localization and Sequence Alignment of the Immunodominant Epitopes on HIa and IsdB

Since the crystal structures of Hla and IsdB are available in the PDB, we next located the seven epitopes on the structure of these proteins. As shown in **Figure 4**, all seven epitopes are located on the surface of these structures, making them more accessible to specific antibodies. Among these epitopes, Hla<sub>42-59</sub>, Hla<sub>84-101</sub>, IsdB<sub>342-359</sub>, IsdB<sub>366-383</sub> and IsdB<sub>384-401</sub> fold into a  $\beta$ -sheet structure. In contrast, the other two epitopes, Hla<sub>1-18</sub> and Hla<sub>186-203</sub>, assemble into a complex of loop and  $\alpha$ -helix structures.

To determine the conservation of these immunodominant epitopes, the amino acid sequences of Hla and IsdB from 33 randomly selected *S. aureus* strains were retrieved from the GenBank database for alignment. The results revealed that sequences of all seven immunodominant epitopes identified in this study were completely conserved among these *S. aureus* strains, with amino acid identities of 100% (**Figure 5**). As a result, specific antibodies targeting these epitopes may crossreact with different strains of *S. aureus*.

## DISCUSSION

Vaccination has proven to be the most cost-effective strategy to combat infectious diseases. Due to the high morbidity and mortality associated with S. aureus infections and the steady increase in the frequency of drug-resistant isolates, there is an urgent need to develop vaccines against these bacteria (22). Our team developed the pentavalent S. aureus vaccine rFSAV, the average protection rate of which was 87% in an animal model (9). Furthermore, a phase I clinical trial demonstrated that rFSAV was immunogenic and well tolerated in healthy volunteers and that both Hla and IsdB induced a robust and specific immune response (to be published). Hla is a pathogenic exotoxin with hemolytic activity secreted by S. aureus that plays an important role in bacteremia caused by S. aureus infection (23, 24). Previous reports have shown that antibody levels of Hla are positively correlated with the survival of patients with S. aureus bacteremia (25), and humanized Hla monoclonal antibodies can effectively eradicate S. aureus infection in pneumonia patients in the ICU (26). IsdB is distributed on the surface of S. aureus and acts as a receptor for hemoglobin (18), which functions to maintain the growth of S. aureus and is also closely related to the pathogenesis of endocarditis caused by S. aureus infection (27).

Although antigens are the most important components within a vaccine, the vaccination strategy and the co-administration of adjuvants are also crucial for the protective immune response (28). Therefore, the precise monitoring of adjuvant candidates is an essential step for vaccine design. In the current study, we determined the humoral immune response and protective efficacies of HI formulated using four different adjuvants. Among them, CFA consists of heat-inactivated *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in nonmetabolizable oils. This adjuvant is widely used in animal experiments but has not yet been approved for human use and is considered to be the most effective adjuvant available for consistently producing high titer antibodies to diverse antigens (29). AlPO<sub>4</sub> is a classic adjuvant that is used in most approved vaccines. It enhances the immune response by facilitating



	<b>Fild</b> 1-18	<b>FIId</b> 42-59	<b>FIId</b> 84-101	<b>FIId</b> 183-203		15UD342-359	15UD366-383	15UD384-401
WP_000857479.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	SCU52522. 1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
AD077533.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	<u> 3RTL_A</u>	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_000857478.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	<u>5D1X_E</u>	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_000857480.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	EYP72463.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_111762188.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_146633240.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_123117991.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	<u>5D10_E</u>	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_047936137.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_077655746.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_000857477.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	VED73248.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
<u>WP_111138132.1</u>	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_094611047.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
NFY04306. 1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_000326321.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_064137800.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKLLVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	CPJ64921.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_070004101.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKK ILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_053005205.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
AGU61224.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKLLVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_119752334.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
HAR7406926.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	6P9H_A	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
HAR6828798.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_031827075.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
HAR4986533.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	HAR8091619.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	AFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
CAC6390473.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKLLVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_084990171.1	KITDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_061732877.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	NGT19526. 1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
VED73365.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKK ILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	SUL68528. 1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_188348727.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_108986467.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
HAR5248878.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKLLVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP_109028021.1	KMTDLQDTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEC
WP_000857488.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKLLVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP 031794427.1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
HAR3711945.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP 031836168.1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
NGC34388. 1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	AM052004.1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
NGW16014.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKLLVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP 031904752.1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_111680242.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	5VMM E	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
MBH4538837.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	CAC8678799. 1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TEVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_123097337.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP 031920909.1	KMTDLODTKYVVVESVEN	TEVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_069724272.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	WP 148237516.1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_164046417.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKK ILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	VDZ34933.1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TEVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_154275550.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKLLVIRTKG	FKVQLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	EYG42209.1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TEVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_104430638.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVQLQLSDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	HAR3998858.1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKYM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVEG
WP_111150536.1	ADSDINIKTGTTDIGSNT	FIDDKNHNKKILVIRTKG	FKVKLQLPDNEVAQISDY	SWNPVYGNQLFMKTRNGS	EUW35393.1	KMTDLODTKYVVYESVEN	TFVKHPIKTGMLNGKKVM	VMETTNDDYWKDFMVFG

phagocytosis and slowing the diffusion of antigens from the site of injection, and it efficiently induces a Th2 immune response (30). AddaVax is a squalene-based oil-in-water nanoemulsion that efficiently elicits both cellular and humoral immune responses (31). The formulation of AddaVax is similar to that of MF59, which is already used in flu vaccines (32). c-di-AMP is a STING activator that promotes high humoral and cellular immune responses to model and disease-related antigens and vaccines (33). All adjuvants used in this study proved to be efficient in inducing humoral immunity against HI.

The protective response induced by antigens is essentially and is primarily the result of specific protective immunodominant epitopes; therefore, epitope mapping is critical for understanding the protective mechanism of an antigen. The immune responses induced by different immunodominant epitopes of the same antigen, as well as the different levels of immune responses elicited by the same immunodominant epitope, can both result in different protective efficacies. In this study, we found that mice immunized with HI from *S. aureus* plus different adjuvants exhibited a significant difference in response to *S. aureus* infection in a bacteremia model, and survival rates of the immunization groups varied from 40% to 100%. As expected, the titers of HI-specific antibodies were correlated with survival rates, consistent with previous findings that the humoral immune response is essential for HI-mediated protection (10).

In this study, mice were immunized with HI formulated with different adjuvants, and the titers of HI-specific IgG, IgG subtype, B cell linear epitope and the levels of inflammatory cytokines in immunized mice were further analyzed. The titers of HI-specific IgG were closely correlated with the survival rates in each group, and serum IgG subtype analysis in mice immunized with HI plus different adjuvants revealed a primarily IgG1 response. There was no significant difference in the distribution of subtypes among the different groups. In contrast, B cell epitope mapping revealed different immunodominant epitopes, suggesting that the difference in protective immunity observed in different immunization groups might be due, at least in part, to the different antibody titers induced in response to different adjuvant-mediated epitope specificities. As there were several immunodominant epitopes rather than a single immunodominant epitope in every immunization group, the immunodominant epitopes in the same immunization group play an additive or synergistic effect in protection against MRSA252 infection, which has been confirmed by several previous studies (34, 35). Sequence alignment indicated that all of these epitopes were conserved among different isolates of S. aureus, indicating that antibodies recognizing these epitopes might provide broad protection against infections caused by different S. aureus isolates.

Cytokine assays revealed that levels of IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$  and MCP-1 in the serum of HI-immunized mice were lower than those in the serum of control mice, suggesting that HI immunization reduced levels of inflammation in response to *S. aureus* infection. Meanwhile, levels of IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-17A were higher in HI-immunized mice than in PBS-immunized mice, indicating that the cellular immune response might also be involved in HI-mediated protection. Similar results have been reported by former studies. For example, one report indicated that IFN- $\gamma$  plays an essential role in murine defense against *S. aureus* nasal infection (36). Another study reported that immunization with the

fibrinogen-binding domain of clumping factor A, a candidate antigen from *S. aureus*, efficiently induced IL-17A-producing cells and showed that IL-17-mediated cellular immunity was involved in the protective effect against *S. aureus* infection (37).

In summary, we identified seven B cell epitopes in the HI antigen using serum from mice immunized with HI plus different adjuvants. This study may shed light on the molecular mechanisms of Hla and IsdB-mediated protection against *S. aureus* infection. It is very important to select the appropriate adjuvant to optimize the protective efficacy of rFSAV since the adjuvants AlPO<sub>4</sub>, CFA, AddaVax and c-di-AMP plus the same antigen HI induced different immunodominant B cell responses. Further studies will be needed to determine whether the differential protection levels obtained with each adjuvant are due to differences in antibody titers, immunodominant epitopes, cellular responses, or a combination of all these factors.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by The Animal Ethical and Experimental Committee of the Third Military Medical University (Chongqing, Permit No. 2011-04).

# REFERENCES

- Govindaraj Vaithinathan A, Vanitha A. WHO Global Priority Pathogens List on Antibiotic Resistance: An Urgent Need for Action to Integrate One Health Data. *Perspect Public Health* (2018) 138:87–8. doi: 10.1177/1757913917743881
- Ortwine JK, Bhavan K. Morbidity, Mortality, and Management of Methicillin-Resistant S. Aureus Bacteremia in the USA: Update on Antibacterial Choices and Understanding. *Hosp Practice* (2018) 46:64–72. doi: 10.1080/ 21548331.2018.1435128
- Tong SY, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VGJr. Staphylococcus Aureus Infections: Epidemiology, Pathophysiology, Clinical Manifestations, and Management. *Clin Microbiol Rev* (2015) 28:603–61. doi: 10.1128/ CMR.00134-14
- Fattom A, Matalon A, Buerkert J, Taylor K, Damaso S, Boutriau D. Efficacy Profile of a Bivalent Staphylococcus Aureus Glycoconjugated Vaccine in Adults on Hemodialysis: Phase III Randomized Study. *Hum Vaccines Immunotherapeutics* (2015) 11:632–41. doi: 10.4161/hv.34414
- Frenck RWJr., Creech CB, Sheldon EA, Seiden DJ, Kankam MK, Baber J, et al. Safety, Tolerability, and Immunogenicity of a 4-Antigen Staphylococcus Aureus Vaccine (SA4Ag): Results From a First-in-Human Randomised, Placebo-Controlled Phase 1/2 Study. Vaccine (2017) 35:375–84. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.11.010
- Harro CD, Betts RF, Hartzel JS, Onorato MT, Lipka J, Smugar SS, et al. The Immunogenicity and Safety of Different Formulations of a Novel Staphylococcus Aureus Vaccine (V710): Results of Two Phase I Studies. Vaccine (2012) 30:1729–36. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.12.045
- 7. Fattom AI, Horwith G, Fuller S, Propst M, Naso R. Development of StaphVAX, a Polysaccharide Conjugate Vaccine Against S. Aureus

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JYZ, ZZ, HZ, and QZ designed research. ZC, QG, QX, LD, HJ, and YY performed the experiments. JYZ, ZZ, and XZ analyzed the data. JTZ, LC, and PL contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools. ZZ and JYZ wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 31970138 and 31970869) and the Natural Science Foundation Project of Chongqing (cstc2019jcyj-msxmX0320 and cstc2019jcyj-msxmX0377).

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2021. 684823/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | The protective efficacy of immunization with each single immunodominant epitope-KLH formulated with AIPO<sub>4</sub> against MRSA252 challenge. Percent survival in mice immunized with every single immunodominant epitope-KLH plus AIPO<sub>4</sub> adjuvant, AIPO<sub>4</sub> alone or PBS was used as control (n =10). \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, compared with PBS group.

Infection: From the Lab Bench to Phase III Clinical Trials. Vaccine. (2004) 22:880–7. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2003.11.034

- Redi D, Raffaelli CS, Rossetti B, De Luca A, Montagnani F. Staphylococcus Aureus Vaccine Preclinical and Clinical Development: Current State of the Art. New Microbiol (2018) 41:208–13.
- Zeng H, Yang F, Feng Q, Zhang J, Gu J, Jing H, et al. Rapid and Broad Immune Efficacy of a Recombinant Five-Antigen Vaccine Against Staphylococcus Aureus Infection in Animal Models. *Vaccines* (2020) 8 (1):134. doi: 10.3390/vaccines8010134
- Zuo QF, Yang LY, Feng Q, Lu DS, Dong YD, Cai CZ, et al. Evaluation of the Protective Immunity of a Novel Subunit Fusion Vaccine in a Murine Model of Systemic MRSA Infection. *PloS One* (2013) 8:e81212. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0081212
- Zhang J, Yang F, Zhang X, Jing H, Ren C, Cai C, et al. Protective Efficacy and Mechanism of Passive Immunization With Polyclonal Antibodies in a Sepsis Model of Staphylococcus Aureus Infection. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:15553. doi: 10.1038/srep15553
- Aw-Yong KL, Sam IC, Koh MT, Chan YF. Immunodominant IgM and IgG Epitopes Recognized by Antibodies Induced in Enterovirus A71-Associated Hand, Foot and Mouth Disease Patients. *PloS One* (2016) 11:e0165659. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165659
- Zhao Z, Song X, Zeng J, Yuan Y, Chen Z, Xu L, et al. An Immunodominant Epitope-Specific Monoclonal Antibody Cocktail Improves Survival in a Mouse Model of Staphylococcus Aureus Bacteremia. J Infect Dis (2020). doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa602
- Del Giudice G, Rappuoli R, Didierlaurent AM. Correlates of Adjuvanticity: A Review on Adjuvants in Licensed Vaccines. *Semin Immunol* (2018) 39:14–21. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2018.05.001

- Awate S, Babiuk LA, Mutwiri G. Mechanisms of Action of Adjuvants. Front Immunol (2013) 4:114. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2013.00114
- Wang X, Tai W, Zhang X, Zhou Y, Du L, Shen C. Effects of Adjuvants on the Immunogenicity and Efficacy of a Zika Virus Envelope Domain III Subunit Vaccine. Vaccines (2019) 7(4):161. doi: 10.3390/vaccines7040161
- Tanaka Y, Hirano N, Kaneko J, Kamio Y, Yao M, Tanaka I. 2-Methyl-2,4pentanediol Induces Spontaneous Assembly of Staphylococcal Alpha-Hemolysin Into Heptameric Pore Structure. *Protein Sci: Publ Protein Society* (2011) 20:448–56. doi: 10.1002/pro.579
- Gaudin CF, Grigg JC, Arrieta AL, Murphy ME. Unique Heme-Iron Coordination by the Hemoglobin Receptor IsdB of Staphylococcus Aureus. *Biochemistry* (2011) 50:5443–52. doi: 10.1021/bi200369p
- Mortazavi SS, Haghighat S, Mahdavi M. Recombinant PBP2a of Methicillin-Resistant S. Aureus Formulation in Alum and Montanide ISA266 Adjuvants Induced Cellular and Humoral Immune Responses With Protection in Balb/C Mice. *Microb Pathogeb* (2020) 140:103945. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103945
- Yu W, Yao D, Yu S, Wang X, Li X, Wang M, et al. Protective Humoral and CD4(+) T Cellular Immune Responses of Staphylococcus Aureus Vaccine MntC in a Murine Peritonitis Model. *Sci Rep* (2018) 8:3580. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-018-22044-y
- Deshmane SL, Kremlev S, Amini S, Sawaya BE. Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1 (MCP-1): An Overview. J Interferon Cytokine Res (2009) 29:313–26. doi: 10.1089/jir.2008.0027
- Kourtis AP, Hatfield K, Baggs J, Mu Y, See I, Epson E, et al. Vital Signs: Epidemiology and Recent Trends in Methicillin-Resistant and in Methicillin-Susceptible Staphylococcus Aureus Bloodstream Infections - United States. MMWR Morbidity Mortality Weekly Rep (2019) 68:214–9. doi: 10.15585/ mmwr.mm6809e1
- 23. Kebaier C, Chamberland RR, Allen IC, Gao X, Broglie PM, Hall JD, et al. Staphylococcus Aureus Alpha-Hemolysin Mediates Virulence in a Murine Model of Severe Pneumonia Through Activation of the NLRP3 Inflammasome. J Infect Diseases (2012) 205:807–17. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jir846
- Rauch S, DeDent AC, Kim HK, Bubeck Wardenburg J, Missiakas DM, Schneewind O. Abscess Formation and Alpha-Hemolysin Induced Toxicity in a Mouse Model of Staphylococcus Aureus Peritoneal Infection. *Infection Immunity* (2012) 80:3721–32. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00442-12
- 25. Sharma-Kuinkel BK, Wu Y, Tabor DE, Mok H, Sellman BR, Jenkins A, et al. Characterization of Alpha-Toxin Hla Gene Variants, Alpha-Toxin Expression Levels, and Levels of Antibody to Alpha-Toxin in Hemodialysis and Postsurgical Patients With Staphylococcus Aureus Bacteremia. J Clin Microbiol (2015) 53:227–36. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02023-14
- 26. Francois B, Mercier E, Gonzalez C, Asehnoune K, Nseir S, Fiancette M, et al. Safety and Tolerability of a Single Administration of AR-301, A Human Monoclonal Antibody, in ICU Patients With Severe Pneumonia Caused by Staphylococcus Aureus: First-in-Human Trial. *Intensive Care Med* (2018) 44:1787–96. doi: 10.1007/s00134-018-5229-2
- Zapotoczna M, Jevnikar Z, Miajlovic H, Kos J, Foster TJ. Iron-Regulated Surface Determinant B (IsdB) Promotes Staphylococcus Aureus Adherence to and Internalization by Non-Phagocytic Human Cells. *Cell Microbiol* (2013) 15:1026–41. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12097
- Shi S, Zhu H, Xia X, Liang Z, Ma X, Sun B. Vaccine Adjuvants: Understanding the Structure and Mechanism of Adjuvanticity. *Vaccine* (2019) 37:3167–78. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.04.055

- Portuondo DL, Ferreira LS, Urbaczek AC, Batista-Duharte A, Carlos IZ. Adjuvants and Delivery Systems for Antifungal Vaccines: Current State and Future Developments. *Med Mycology* (2015) 53:69–89. doi: 10.1093/mmy/ myu045
- Mei C, Deshmukh S, Cronin J, Cong S, Chapman D, Lazaris N, et al. Aluminum Phosphate Vaccine Adjuvant: Analysis of Composition and Size Using Off-Line and In-Line Tools. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J* (2019) 17:1184–94. doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2019.08.003
- Choubini E, Habibi M, Khorshidi A, Ghasemi A, Asadi Karam MR, Bouzari S. A Novel Multi-Peptide Subunit Vaccine Admixed With AddaVax Adjuvant Produces Significant Immunogenicity and Protection Against Proteus Mirabilis Urinary Tract Infection in Mice Model. *Mol Immunol* (2018) 96:88–97. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2018.03.001
- 32. O'Hagan DT, Wack A, Podda A. MF59 is a Safe and Potent Vaccine Adjuvant for Flu Vaccines in Humans: What Did We Learn During Its Development? *Clin Pharmacol Ther* (2007) 82:740-4. doi: 10.1038/ sj.clpt.6100402
- 33. Volckmar J, Knop L, Stegemann-Koniszewski S, Schulze K, Ebensen T, Guzman CA, et al. The STING Activator c-di-AMP Exerts Superior Adjuvant Properties Than the Formulation Poly(I:C)/Cpg After Subcutaneous Vaccination With Soluble Protein Antigen or DEC-205mediated Antigen Targeting to Dendritic Cells. *Vaccine* (2019) 37:4963–74. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.07.019
- 34. Batista MT, Ferreira EL, Pereira GS, Stafford P, Maeda D, Rodrigues JF, et al. LT Adjuvant Modulates Epitope Specificity and Improves the Efficacy of Murine Antibodies Elicited by Sublingual Vaccination With the N-terminal Domain of Streptococcus Mutans P1. Vaccine (2017) 35:7273–82. doi: 10. 1016/j.vaccine.2017.11.007
- 35. Maeda D, Batista MT, Pereira LR, de Jesus Cintra M, Amorim JH, Mathias-Santos C, et al. Adjuvant-Mediated Epitope Specificity and Enhanced Neutralizing Activity of Antibodies Targeting Dengue Virus Envelope Protein. Front Immunol (2017) 8:1175. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01175
- 36. DeForge LE, Billeci KL, Kramer SM. Effect of IFN-gamma on the Killing of S. Aureus in Human Whole Blood. Assessment of Bacterial Viability by CFU Determination and by a New Method Using Alamarblue. *J Immunological Methods* (2000) 245:79–89. doi: 10.1016/s0022-1759(00) 00279-9
- Cho JS, Pietras EM, Garcia NC, Ramos RI, Farzam DM, Monroe HR, et al. Il-17 is Essential for Host Defense Against Cutaneous Staphylococcus Aureus Infection in Mice. J Clin Invest (2010) 120:1762–73. doi: 10.1172/ JCI40891

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Chen, Gou, Xiong, Duan, Yuan, Zhu, Zou, Chen, Jing, Zhang, Luo, Zeng, Zou, Zhao and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.