

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Shepherd E, Salam RA, Manhas D, Synnes A, Middleton P, Makrides M, et al. (2019) Antenatal magnesium sulphate and adverse neonatal outcomes: A systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS Med 16(12): e1002988. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988

Academic Editor: Jenny E Myers, University of Manchester, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: May 27, 2019

Accepted: November 6, 2019

Published: December 6, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Shepherd et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was carried out with funding from the Cerebral Palsy Alliance Research Foundation, Australia (https://research.cerebralpalsy.org.au/), grant PG2015 (ES, PM, MM, CC). The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Antenatal magnesium sulphate and adverse neonatal outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Emily Shepherd^{1,2*}, Rehana A. Salam^{1,2}, Deepak Manhas³, Anne Synnes³, Philippa Middleton^{1,2}, Maria Makrides², Caroline A. Crowther^{1,4}

1 Robinson Research Institute, Discipline of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Adelaide Medical School, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia, 2 South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia, 3 University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, 4 Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

* emily.shepherd@adelaide.edu.au

Abstract

Background

There is widespread, increasing use of magnesium sulphate in obstetric practice for preeclampsia, eclampsia, and preterm fetal neuroprotection; benefit for preventing preterm labour and birth (tocolysis) is unproven. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess whether antenatal magnesium sulphate is associated with unintended adverse neonatal outcomes.

Methods and findings

CINAHL, Cochrane Library, LILACS, MEDLINE, Embase, TOXLINE, and Web of Science, were searched (inceptions to 3 September 2019). Randomised, quasi-randomised, and non-randomised trials, cohort and case-control studies, and case reports assessing antenatal magnesium sulphate for pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, fetal neuroprotection, or tocolysis, compared with placebo/no treatment or a different magnesium sulphate regimen, were included. The primary outcome was perinatal death. Secondary outcomes included prespecified and non-pre-specified adverse neonatal outcomes. Two reviewers screened 5,890 articles, extracted data, and assessed risk of bias following Cochrane Handbook and RTI Item Bank guidance. For randomised trials, pooled risk ratios (RRs) or mean differences, with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), were calculated using fixed- or random-effects meta-analysis. Non-randomised data were tabulated and narratively summarised. We included 197 studies (40 randomised trials, 138 non-randomised studies, and 19 case reports), of mixed quality. The 40 trials (randomising 19,265 women and their babies) were conducted from 1987 to 2018 across high- (16 trials) and low/middle-income countries (23 trials) (1 mixed). Indications included pre-eclampsia/eclampsia (24 trials), fetal neuroprotection (7 trials), and tocolysis (9 trials); 18 trials compared magnesium sulphate with placebo/ no treatment, and 22 compared different regimens. For perinatal death, no clear difference in randomised trials was observed between magnesium sulphate and placebo/no treatment

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

PLOS MEDICINE

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IM, intramuscular; IV, intravenous; MD, mean difference; RR, risk ratio.

(RR 1.01; 95% CI 0.92 to 1.10; 8 trials, 13,654 babies), nor between regimens. Eleven of 138 non-randomised studies reported on perinatal death. Only 1 cohort (127 babies; moderate to high risk of bias) observed an increased risk of perinatal death with >48 versus \leq 48 grams magnesium sulphate exposure for tocolysis. No clear secondary adverse neonatal outcomes were observed in randomised trials, and a very limited number of possible adverse outcomes warranting further consideration were identified in non-randomised studies. Where non-randomised studies observed possible harms, often no or few confounders were controlled for (moderate to high risk of bias), samples were small (200 babies or fewer), and/or results were from subgroup analyses. Limitations include missing data for important outcomes across most studies, heterogeneity of included studies, and inclusion of published data only.

Conclusions

Our findings do not support clear associations between antenatal magnesium sulphate for beneficial indications and adverse neonatal outcomes. Further large, high-quality studies (prospective cohorts or individual participant data meta-analyses) assessing specific outcomes, or the impact of regimen, pregnancy, or birth characteristics on these outcomes, would further inform safety recommendations. PROSPERO: CRD42013004451.

Author summary

Why was this study done?

- Magnesium sulphate is widely used in pregnancy, considered effective for maternal neuroprotection in pre-eclampsia/eclampsia and for fetal neuroprotection (cerebral palsy prevention) in women at risk of preterm birth, and ineffective for preventing preterm birth or labour (tocolysis).
- It is important to understand whether this treatment, when given to women in pregnancy, is associated with any unintended adverse outcomes for babies.

What did the researchers do and find?

- This systematic review incorporates the findings of 197 studies (including 40 randomised controlled trials) that reported on adverse outcomes for babies whose mothers were treated with magnesium sulphate in pregnancy.
- Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials showed no clear difference in the risk of
 perinatal death between babies whose mothers were treated with magnesium sulphate
 and those whose mothers received placebo/no treatment, or between different magnesium sulphate regimens.
- No clear adverse outcomes for babies were observed in randomised trials, and a very limited number of possible adverse outcomes warranting further consideration were identified in non-randomised studies.

What do these findings mean?

- Magnesium sulphate in pregnancy, when given for the beneficial indications of maternal or fetal neuroprotection, is not associated with an increased risk of perinatal death or other adverse outcomes for babies.
- Further investigation into specific adverse outcomes, and the impact of particular treatment regimen, pregnancy, and/or birth characteristics, would further inform safety recommendations.

Introduction

Antenatal magnesium sulphate is commonly used in obstetric practice. Systematic reviews and clinical practice guidelines support its use when given for maternal neuroprotection in preeclampsia or eclampsia [1–3] and for neuroprotection of the fetus in women at risk of preterm birth (for cerebral palsy prevention) [4–7]. Despite continued use in some countries [8], available evidence does not support its role in preventing preterm birth in women with, or following, threatened preterm labour (for tocolysis) [7,9].

Concerns surrounding possible unintended adverse outcomes for fetuses or neonates following exposure to antenatal magnesium sulphate emerged over 50 years ago [10,11], and uncertainty persists today. While the clinical consequences of hypermagnesemia, related to increased serum concentrations, are well known and documented (such as lethargy, drowsiness, flushing, nausea, vomiting, muscle weakness, loss of deep tendon reflexes, hypotension, apnoea, coma, cardiac arrest, and, ultimately, death [12]), whether neonates are at risk of such adverse outcomes following exposure to antenatal magnesium sulphate is unclear.

We have systematically reviewed the maternal adverse effects of different antenatal magnesium sulphate regimens [13], and a further systematic review has summarised the effects specifically on fetal heart rate [14]. To our knowledge, a broad evaluation of evidence surrounding potential unintended neonatal adverse outcomes, informed by current guidance [15–17], has not previously been conducted. Implementation of this treatment may be strengthened, and its safety improved, if guidelines and recommendations for practice can be based on such knowledge.

The aim of our study, therefore, was to conduct a comprehensive systematic review to assess whether antenatal magnesium sulphate is associated with perinatal death and other unintended adverse neonatal outcomes.

Methods

We conducted a systematic review following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guideline; the relevant checklist is provided in <u>S1</u> <u>PRISMA Checklist</u>. Prior to conduct, this systematic review was registered with PROSPERO (International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews; CRD42013004451) [18]. The Australian Cerebral Palsy Alliance Research Foundation–funded review protocol is available in <u>S1 Text</u>. Ethical approval was not required.

Search strategy

Comprehensive searches of the bibliographic databases CINAHL, Cochrane Library, LILACS, MEDLINE, Embase, TOXLINE, and Web of Science were undertaken from their respective inceptions to 3 September 2019, using combinations of MeSH and free text terms. The search strategies are available in <u>S2 Text</u>. No date or language restrictions were applied; however, because of logistical constraints, for non-English papers, only those with an available English abstract or full-text translation were retrieved. The reference lists of eligible articles were checked for additional reports.

Inclusion criteria

We included randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials as well as non-randomised controlled studies (non-randomised trials, cohort studies, and case–control studies), and case reports. We excluded cross-sectional studies and case series. We included studies available as abstracts only, along with full-text publications.

We included neonates who were exposed to antenatal magnesium sulphate, regardless of their gestational age at exposure or birth. We included studies where antenatal magnesium sulphate was given for pre-eclampsia or eclampsia, for neuroprotection of the fetus, or for tocolysis. We excluded studies where magnesium sulphate was given as an adjuvant during obstetric anaesthesia. We included intervention studies in which magnesium sulphate was compared with no treatment, placebo, or a different magnesium sulphate regimen. We included observational studies where magnesium sulphate was assessed as an 'exposure'. We excluded studies where magnesium sulphate was compared of pre-eclampsia or eclampsia, or nifedipine for tocolysis).

We included studies that reported on adverse outcomes for neonates, however defined. The primary outcome was perinatal death. Secondary outcomes included pre-specified adverse outcomes (based on non-systematic literature review: stillbirth, neonatal death or death up to hospital discharge, low Apgar scores at 1 and 5 minutes, need for active resuscitation at birth, respiratory depression, spontaneous intestinal perforation, patent ductus arteriosus, hypotension, lethargy, hypotonia or hyporeflexia, osteopenia or bone fractures, neonatal intensive care unit admission, and duration of neonatal care unit admission), along with other non-pre-specified adverse neonatal outcomes.

Study selection

After screening all titles and abstracts, we obtained full-text articles for studies that appeared to meet the inclusion criteria. All full-text articles were assessed for inclusion. Each stage was carried out by 2 reviewers, and we resolved any discrepancies through discussion, or, if required, we consulted a third reviewer.

Data extraction and management

For included studies, data were extracted using a standardised form, including information regarding design, participants, the magnesium sulphate regimen(s), the control/comparison if applicable, neonatal adverse outcomes reported, results relevant to the review, and the risk of bias. For all randomised trials, all case reports, and 60% of non-randomised studies, extraction was carried out by 2 reviewers (for 40% of non-randomised studies, extractions were checked by a second reviewer), and we resolved discrepancies through discussion, or, if required, we consulted a third reviewer.

Assessment of risk of bias

Quality appraisal of intervention studies was undertaken utilising established guidelines provided in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [19]. The quality assessment of observational studies was guided by the RTI Item Bank [20].

Data synthesis and analysis

Data analyses were undertaken by study design. Statistical analyses for randomised trials were performed using Review Manager, version 5.3 [21]. We present quantitative data from individual studies as risk ratios (RRs) for dichotomous outcomes and mean differences (MDs) for continuous outcomes, with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). For all outcomes, we carried out analyses as far as possible on an intention-to-treat basis. Pooled estimates were calculated using fixed-effects meta-analysis (Mantel-Haenszel method) where there was a sufficient quantity of data, with clinical homogeneity. Where there was substantial statistical heterogeneity (where I^2 was greater than 30% and either T^2 was greater than 0 or there was a low *P* value [less than 0.10] in the χ^2 test), summary estimates were calculated using random-effects meta-analysis. Where there were 10 or more trials in a meta-analysis, we investigated reporting biases (such as publication bias) using funnel plots, which we assessed visually.

Separate comparisons were performed for those studies assessing magnesium sulphate versus no treatment/placebo and those comparing different magnesium sulphate regimens. For our primary review outcome (perinatal death) and other mortality outcomes, we conducted subgroup analyses based on indication for use and characteristics of the magnesium sulphate loading and maintenance dose regimens, as these factors were considered likely to influence outcomes. It was not possible to conduct subgroup analyses based on other pregnancy or birth characteristics (gestational age at magnesium sulphate administration, birthweight, mode of birth, and concomitant maternal treatments) due to paucity of data. We assessed subgroup differences by interaction tests available within Review Manager, and, where applicable, we have quoted the χ^2 statistic and *P* value, and the interaction test I^2 value.

For observational studies (non-randomised trials, cohort studies, and case–control studies), we present effect estimates where possible as adjusted RRs or odds ratios if reported with 95% CIs, unadjusted RRs or odds ratios with 95% CIs, *P* values only, or percentages (rates), in tabular format; we used narrative synthesis to summarise the studies. Data from case reports were grouped according to common adverse outcomes, tabulated, and summarised narratively.

Results

Study selection

The results of the search strategy, including the sources of the studies, their assessment, and final inclusion are shown in Fig 1. The database searching identified 5,890 records, and other searching identified a further 11 records. Review of the titles and abstracts and exclusion of irrelevant and duplicate records yielded 777. Of these, we excluded 572 for the documented reasons (see S3 Text for list of records excluded due to absence of an English translation). We included a total of 205 articles, relating to 197 studies. See S4 Text for references for all included studies. In the case of multiple publications from the same study, we included the report with the most relevant data as the primary reference, and only included further publications as secondary references if they provided additional relevant data.



Fig 1. Flow diagram of included studies. Flow diagram showing the flow of records through the different phases of the review, indicating the number of records identified, included and excluded, and the reasons for exclusions.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.g001

Evidence from randomised controlled trials

Forty randomised trials were included, the characteristics of which are detailed in <u>S1 Table</u>, and the risk of bias assessments are summarised in Fig 2, <u>S1 Fig</u>, and <u>S2 Table [22–61]</u>. The trials assessed a range of different magnesium sulphate regimens with varying control groups, and are therefore assessed under 8 different comparisons:



Fig 2. Risk of bias for randomised controlled trials. Risk of bias graph showing judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across the 40 included randomised trials.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.g002

- 1. Magnesium sulphate versus placebo or no treatment (18 trials)
- 2. Lower versus higher dose regimens of magnesium sulphate (8 trials)
- 3. Intramuscular (IM) versus intravenous (IV) maintenance dose of magnesium sulphate (5 trials)
- 4. Loading versus loading and maintenance dose of magnesium sulphate (5 trials)
- 5. Serial IV boluses versus continuous IV infusion of magnesium sulphate (1 trial)
- 6. Short versus standard maintenance of magnesium sulphate (1 trial)
- 7. Slower versus standard rate of loading dose infusion of magnesium sulphate (1 trial)
- 8. Weaning versus no weaning of maintenance of magnesium sulphate (1 trial)

The methodological quality of the 40 trials varied considerably. Considering selection bias, 18 trials were at low risk, reporting adequate methods for sequence generation and allocation concealment. Twelve and 8 trials received an unclear judgement for 1 and 2 of the selection bias domains, respectively. Two trials appeared to be quasi-randomised and thus were at high risk of selection bias. Twelve trials were at low risk of performance and detection bias (with blinding of participants, personnel, and outcome assessors); 21 were at high risk of both performance and detection bias (all trials of different magnesium sulphate regimens, with no reported blinding), and the remaining 7 trials had an unclear judgement for 1 or 2 of the blinding domains. The majority of trials (31) were at low risk of attrition bias for neonatal outcome data, though for 9 trials, this was unclear. Only 5 trials were at low risk of reporting bias, 1 was at high risk of reporting bias, and for the remaining 34 trials, selective reporting was unclear.

Magnesium sulphate versus placebo or no treatment

This comparison included 18 trials. The indication for use of magnesium sulphate in 6 trials was the prevention of eclampsia [30,32,39,43,48,61]; in 6 trials, fetal neuroprotection [28,36,45,47,51,54]; and in 6 trials, the prevention of preterm birth (tocolysis) [33–35,38,40,46].

Magnesium sulphate regimens assessed varied considerably: 4-gram IV loading dose only (2 trials), 4-gram IV loading dose and 1-gram-per-hour IV maintenance dose (4 trials), 4-gram IV loading dose and 2-gram-per-hour IV maintenance (4 trials), 6-gram IV loading dose and 2-gram-per-hour IV maintenance dose (6 trials), and 4-gram IV and 10-gram IM loading dose and 5-gram IM maintenance dose every 4 hours (2 trials), with duration of treatment generally ranging from 12 to 24 hours. Fourteen trials compared magnesium sulphate with a placebo, while 4 trials had a no-treatment comparison (see <u>Table 1</u> and <u>S1 Appendix</u> for effect estimates, forest plots, and funnel plots).

No clear difference was seen between magnesium sulphate and placebo/no treatment for the primary review outcome perinatal death (RR 1.01; 95% CI 0.92 to 1.10; 8 trials, 13,654 babies; analysis 1.1), nor for stillbirth, neonatal death (no obvious asymmetry observed on visual assessment of funnel plot), death later than 28 days but before discharge, early neonatal death, or late neonatal death (Table 1; S1 Appendix). When considering indication for use, the tocolysis subgroup showed an increase in perinatal death (RR 7.99; 95% CI 1.00 to 63.49; 2 trials, 257 babies; analysis 1.1.1) that was not observed in the pre-eclampsia or fetal neuroprotection subgroups. The subgroup interaction test, however, did not indicate a differential effect according to treatment indication ($\chi^2 = 4.07$, P = 0.13, $I^2 = 50.8\%$). For the remaining mortality outcomes, subgroup interaction tests did not indicate differential treatment effects according to indication for administration (see Tables 2 and 3 for effect estimates for individual subgroups and results from subgroup interaction tests).

Babies exposed to antenatal magnesium sulphate had a 67% relative increase in the risk of having an Apgar score less than 7 at 1 minute (RR 1.67; 95% CI 1.02 to 2.73; 2 trials, 199 babies; analysis 1.7), and over 2 times the risk of need for volume expansion compared with babies not exposed (RR 2.03; 95% CI 1.01 to 4.10; 1 trial, 87 babies; analysis 1.28). A subgroup of babies born less than 32 weeks gestation exposed to antenatal magnesium sulphate had a 62% relative reduction in the risk of intracerebral echodensity, compared with babies not exposed (RR 0.38; 95% CI 0.19 to 0.79; 1 trial, 1,613 babies; analysis 1.46). While a difference in intrace-rebral echolucency was not observed in all babies, a 39% relative reduction was seen for babies born less than 32 weeks exposed to antenatal magnesium sulphate (RR 0.61; 95% CI 0.38 to 0.97; 1 trial, 1,613 babies; analysis 1.47.2) (Table 1; S1 Appendix).

There were no clear differences between magnesium sulphate and placebo/no treatment for all remaining secondary outcomes reported (Table 1; S1 Appendix).

Lower versus higher dose regimens

This comparison included 8 trials, with 6 assessing magnesium sulphate for treatment of eclampsia or severe pre-eclampsia [22,23,44,52,56,59], and 2 for the prevention of preterm birth (tocolysis) [26,60]. Regimens assessed varied: lower dose regimens included a 4- to 10-gram loading dose with a 0.625- to 2-gram-per-hour maintenance dose; higher dose regimens assessed included a 4- to 14-gram loading dose with a 1.25- to 5-gram-per-hour maintenance dose (see Table 4 and S1 Appendix for effect estimates and forest plots).

No clear differences between the lower and higher dose regimens of magnesium sulphate were seen for the primary review outcome perinatal death (RR 1.01; 95% CI 0.75 to 1.36; 6 trials, 543 babies; analysis 2.1), nor for stillbirth or neonatal death (Table 4; S1 Appendix). For all mortality outcomes, subgroup interaction tests did not indicate differential treatment effects according to indication for administration of antenatal magnesium sulphate (see Table 5 for effect estimates for individual subgroups and results from subgroup interaction tests).

Babies exposed to the lower dose versus higher dose regimens of magnesium sulphate had an increased risk of neonatal intensive care unit admission (RR 1.75; 95% CI 1.06 to 2.88; 5

11 Perioral denh1310x0ar <th< th=""><th>Outcome</th><th>Studies</th><th>Participants</th><th>Method (I²)</th><th>RR (95% CI)</th></th<>	Outcome	Studies	Participants	Method (I ²)	RR (95% CI)
12 slamin12 slamin	1.1 Perinatal death	8	13,654	F (23%)	1.01 (0.92, 1.10)
1.1 Noncard defin1.11.21.21.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.00.00.00.00.00.00.01.11.11.11.01.01.00.0	1.2 Stillbirth	9	12,340	F (0%)	0.99 (0.87, 1.12)
14 Death > 28 days, hefore discharge9510.0996.00.60, 1.371.5 Fardy neonatal death19.021FCNA)1.54 (00.56, 2.07)1.7 Aggra scor < 7 at 1 minute	1.3 Neonatal death	11	12,987	F (21%)	1.00 (0.86, 1.17)
15. Early encontal death1902.4F(NA)1.09 (0.86, 1.37)16. Late neonatal death290.9F(NA)1.54 (0.85, 2.49)17. Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes	1.4 Death $>$ 28 days, before discharge	5	10,691	F (0%)	0.96 (0.60, 1.53)
1.5 La constal death1.19.19F(NA)1.54 (0.95, 2.90)1.7 Apgar scor < 7 at 5 minute	1.5 Early neonatal death	1	9,024	F (NA)	1.09 (0.86, 1.37)
17 Ages score <7 # 1 minute2197167 (102, 273)18 Apgar score <7 # 1 minute	1.6 Late neonatal death	1	9,024	F (NA)	1.54 (0.95, 2.49)
18. Agar score <7 at 8 minutes10.10.20 (0.92, 1.14)1.9 Maconium at birth10120F (0.8)1.55 (0.89, 2.72)1.10 Intubated at birth701.1371.0070.00 (0.87, 1.04)1.11 Resolucitad birth102.416F (NA)1.09 (0.96, 1.03)1.11.1 Any112.416F (NA)1.09 (0.96, 1.03)1.11.2 Coygen bag, mask, or both112.416F (NA)1.01 (0.70, 98, 1.17)1.11.3 Chest compressions112.416F (NA)1.01 (0.70, 1.04)1.12 Respiratory distress nythome703.639R (0.66)0.95 (0.52, 1.77)1.14 Stransent tachynone of the newborn208.77F (NA)0.96 (0.52, 1.77)1.15 Machanical ventilation108.8F (NA)0.86 (0.52, 1.77)1.16 Machanical ventilation116.88F (NA)0.86 (0.62, 1.27)1.17 Coygen required11153F (NA)0.86 (0.62, 1.27)1.16 Non-invasive ventilation106.83F (NA)0.86 (0.62, 1.27)1.17 Coygen required11153F (NA)0.86 (0.62, 1.27)1.19 Apponeand brackycardia126.84F (NA)1.06 (0.86, 1.17)1.19 Apponeand brackycardia128.7F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 1.22)1.20 Preumochitax148.7F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 1.22)1.21 Specific durits anteriosus148.7F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 1.22)1.23 Specific durits anteriosus138.7F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 1.23) </td <td>1.7 Apgar score < 7 at 1 minute</td> <td>2</td> <td>199</td> <td>F (17%)</td> <td>1.67 (1.02, 2.73)</td>	1.7 Apgar score < 7 at 1 minute	2	199	F (17%)	1.67 (1.02, 2.73)
1.9 Meonium at birth1210F (N)1.55 (0.89, 2.72)1.10 I Resuctation in the delivery roomF (30%)0.95 (0.87, 1.04)1.11 Resuctation in the delivery room12.416F (NA)0.99 (0.96, 1.03)1.11.12 Oxygen bag, mask, or both12.416F (NA)1.07 (0.98, 1.17)1.11.3 Chest compressions12.416F (NA)1.07 (0.98, 1.17)1.11.3 Chest compressions73.639R (46%)0.96 (0.52, 1.73)1.15 Transient tachypnee of the newborn22.43F (NA)0.86 (0.2, 1.24)1.15 Machinatical ventilation51.2751R (536)1.01 (0.94, 1.09)1.16 Non-invasive ventilation54.513F (NA)0.95 (0.67, 1.35)1.17 Oxygen required16.88F (NA)1.06 (0.96, 1.17)1.19 Appear and bradyzardia16.88F (NA)1.23 (0.98, 1.53)1.19 Appear and bradyzardia18.77F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 2.52)1.20 Patemathorax18.77F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 1.41)1.21 Pulmoany hamorrhage18.78F (NA)1.24 (0.98, 1.17)1.23 Patemathy hamorrhage18.78F (NA)1.24 (0.98, 1.12)1.23 Patemathy hamorrhage18.4844F (NA)0.3 (0.65, 1.28)1.24 Hypoglycenia on NUC damission18.4844F (NA)0.3 (0.65, 1.28)1.24 Hypoglycenia on NUC damission18.78F (NA)1.34 (0.83, 0.51, 1.23)1.24 Hypoglycenia on NUC damission1	1.8 Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes	5	12,729	F (0%)	1.02 (0.92, 1.14)
1.01 Industed a birth3F (SA)0.95 (0.87, 1.09)1.11 Resuscitation in the delivery room12.416F (NA)0.99 (0.96, 1.03)1.11.1 Caygen bag, mask, or both12.416F (NA)1.07 (0.98, 1.17)1.11.2 Respiratory distress syndrome12.416F (NA)0.95 (0.79, 1.10)1.12 Respiratory distress syndrome73.639R (46%)0.95 (0.79, 1.10)1.13 Transient tachypnesa of the newborn22.43F (OS)0.96 (0.52, 1.77)1.44 Surfactant18.78F (NA)0.85 (0.22, 1.27)1.15 Mechanical ventilation11.638F (NA)1.01 (0.94, 1.09)1.16 Non-invasive ventilation11.638F (NA)0.95 (0.67, 1.51)1.17 Orgons required11.35F (NA)1.06 (0.96, 1.17)1.19 Apneea and bradycardia24.513F (NA)1.23 (0.98, 1.51)1.19 Apnee and bradycardia28.44F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 2.153)1.20 Pearumobrax18.7F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 1.14)1.22 Secorising enterocelitis42.644F (OS)1.23 (0.98, 1.51)1.23 Hypogicaenia on NICU admission184.264F (OS)0.83 (0.54, 2.63)1.24 Hypogicaenia on NICU admission182.450F (NA)0.83 (0.62, 6.24)1.25 Porter deding187F (NA)0.83 (0.62, 6.24)1.24 Hypogicaenia on NICU admission187F (NA)0.24 (0.62, 2.10)1.25 Por	1.9 Meconium at birth	1	210	F (NA)	1.55 (0.89, 2.72)
1.1 I. Successfunction in the delivery roomImage: Section 2.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	1.10 Intubated at birth	3	11,364	F (30%)	0.95 (0.87, 1.04)
1.1.1 Any 1 2.4.16 F (NA) 9.99 (0.96, 1.03) 1.1.1 2 Oxygen bag, mask, or both 1 2.4.16 F (NA) 1.07 (0.98, 1.17) 1.1.3 Chysen toropressions 1 2.4.16 F (NA) 0.99 (0.95, 1.03) 1.1.2 Despiratory distress syndrome 7 3.6.39 F (0.%) 0.96 (0.52, 1.71) 1.1.4 Surfactant 1 8.7 F (NA) 0.98 (0.62, 1.24) 1.15 Mechanical ventilation 1 6.88 F (NA) 0.88 (0.62, 1.24) 1.17 Oxygen required 1 1.53 F (NA) 0.98 (0.62, 1.25) 1.18 Orn-invasive ventilation 1 6.88 F (NA) 0.96 (0.67, 1.35) 1.18 Orn-invasive ventilation 2 8.41 F (0.%) 1.60 (0.96, 1.17) 1.9 Apnose and bradycardia 2 8.41 F (NA) 2.44 (0.52, 1.41) 1.20 Pneumohrax 1 8.7 F (NA) 2.44 (0.52, 1.41) 1.21 Sepsion 1.21 (0.98, 1.51) 1.23 (0.98, 1.51) 1.23 (0.98, 1.51) 1.21 Pubmonary haemorrhage 1 8.7 F (NA	1.11 Resuscitation in the delivery room				
1.11 2 Oxygen bag, mask, or both 1 24.16 F (NA) 1.07 (0.98, 1.17) 1.13 Chest compressions 1 2.416 F (NA) 1.11 (0.73, 1.71) 1.12 Respiratory disterss syndrome 7 3.639 R (46%) 0.95 (0.75, 1.14) 1.13 Transient tachypnoea of the newborn 2 2.43 F (0%) 0.96 (0.52, 1.77) 1.14 Strafactant 1 87 F (NA) 0.80 (0.62, 1.77) 1.15 Mechnical ventilation 1 868 F (NA) 0.96 (0.52, 1.77) 1.16 Non-invasive ventilation 1 86 F (NA) 0.90 (0.91, 1.10) 1.16 Chonoi Ling disase F (NA) 0.90 (0.67, 1.35) 1.06 (0.66, 1.17) 1.19 Apance and bradycardia 2 841 F (NA) 2.40 (0.62, 1.27) 1.19 Apance and bradycardia 1 87 F (NA) 2.44 (0.62, 2.22, 1.21) 1.21 Pulmonary haemorrhage 1 87 F (NA) 2.44 (0.52, 2.21, 1.21) 1.23 Expisi 4 2.64 R (1%) 0.83 (0.54, 1.29) 1.23 Expisi 4 2.64	1.11.1 Any	1	2,416	F (NA)	0.99 (0.96, 1.03)
1.11 Chest compressions 1 2.416 F (NA) 1.11 (0.73, 1.71) 1.12 Respiratory distress syndrome 2 3.639 R (46%) 0.56 (0.57, 1.71) 1.13 Transient tack/phone of the newborn 2 243 F (NA) 0.888 (0.62, 1.27) 1.14 Surfactant 1 8.7 F (NA) 0.888 (0.62, 1.27) 1.14 Surfactant 1 8.7 F (NA) 0.808 (0.62, 1.27) 1.15 Machanical ventilation 1 8.6 F (NA) 0.808 (0.62, 1.27) 1.16 Non-invisive ventilation 1 8.6 F (NA) 0.808 (0.62, 1.17) 1.19 Oppogram disease 1 1 8.6 F (NA) 0.809 (0.67, 1.35) 1.19 Aponea and bradycardia 2 4.513 F (NA) 2.44 (0.62, 2.52) 1.20 Pneumothorax 1 8.7 F (NA) 2.44 (0.62, 2.124) 1.23 Sepsis 4 2.694 R (31%) 6.83 (0.54, 1.29) 1.23 Sepsis 1 8.7 F (NA) 0.84 (0.64, 6.34) 1.24 Portonia metreosus 1 8.7 F (N	1.11.2 Oxygen bag, mask, or both	1	2,416	F (NA)	1.07 (0.98, 1.17)
1.12 Bepriatory distress syndrome73.639R (4%)0.95 (0.79, 1.14)1.13 Transent tachypnoea of the newborn2243F (0%)0.96 (0.52, 1.77)1.14 Surfactant16.87F (NA)0.88 (0.52, 1.27)1.15 Mechanical ventilation512.751R (63%)1.01 (0.94, 1.09)1.16 Non-invasive ventilation16.88F (NA)0.95 (0.67, 1.35)1.17 Oxygen required116.88F (NA)0.95 (0.67, 1.35)1.18 Chronic lung disease54.513F (0%)1.22 (0.98, 1.53)1.20 Pneumothorax2844F (0%)1.23 (0.98, 1.51)1.21 Pulmonary harmorrhage187F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 2.252)1.23 Sepsis42.694R (31%)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.24 Hypoglycemia on NICU admission142.694R (31%)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.25 Poor feeding130F (NA)0.84 (0.68, 0.41)1.141.24 Hypoglycemia on NICU admission28.14N0.69 (0.08, 1.17)1.25 Poor feeding187F (NA)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus187F (NA)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.27 Hypotension28.14N0.69 (0.08, 1.17)1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus187F (NA)1.54 (0.82, 2.40)1.27 Hypotension187F (NA)1.54 (0.82, 2.40)1.28 Valum expansion187F (NA)1.26 (0.82, 1.20) <td< td=""><td>1.11.3 Chest compressions</td><td>1</td><td>2,416</td><td>F (NA)</td><td>1.11 (0.73, 1.71)</td></td<>	1.11.3 Chest compressions	1	2,416	F (NA)	1.11 (0.73, 1.71)
1.13 Tonsient acktypnoca of the newborn2243F (0%)0.96 (0.53, 1.77)1.14 Surfactant187F (NA)0.88 (0.62, 1.24)1.15 Mechanical ventilation51.67 (0.94, 1.09)1.16 (0.94, 1.09)1.16 Non-invasive ventilation1688F (NA)0.95 (0.67, 1.35)1.17 Oxgen required11.53F (NA)0.95 (0.67, 1.35)1.18 Chronic lung disease28.41F (0%)1.23 (0.98, 1.57)1.29 Apneca and bradycardia28.41F (NA)2.44 (0.26, 2.252)1.21 Punumothoras187F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 1.14)1.22 Necrotising enterocolitis84.044F (0%)1.21 (0.98, 1.51)1.23 Sepsis42.694R (31%)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NLCU admission142.694R (31%)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.25 Poor feeding19.0F (NA)No events1.26 Fatcht ductus arteriosus32.536F (0A)0.63 (0.67, 2.53)1.29 Hypotension23.103F (23%)1.03 (0.89, 1.19)1.27 Hypotension18.7F (NA)1.30 (0.89, 1.19)1.29 Moan blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1.12 Respiratory distress syndrome	7	3,639	R (46%)	0.95 (0.79, 1.14)
1.14 Surfactant18.7F (NA)0.88 (0.62, 1.24)1.15 Mechanical ventilation16512.751R (63%)1.01 (0.94, 1.09)1.16 Non-invasive ventilation10688F (NA)1.03 (0.93, 1.15)1.17 Oxygen required11678F (NA)0.30 (0.95, 1.15)1.18 Chronic lung disease54.513F (0%)1.26 (0.96, 1.17)1.9 Apnoea and bradycardia2841F (0%)1.21 (0.98, 1.51)1.20 Pneumohorax187F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 21.20)1.21 Pulmonary hemorrhage187F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 21.14)1.22 Necrotising enterocolitis42.604R (0%)1.21 (0.98, 1.51)1.23 Sepsi42.604F (NA)0.63 (0.66, 6.34)1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission134F (NA)0.63 (0.66, 6.34)1.25 Poor feeding190F (NA)Ne events1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus32.536F (C4S%)0.97 (0.80, 1.17)1.27 Hypotension187F (NA)1.30 (0.67, 2.37)1.28 Volume expansion187F (NA)1.20 (0.61, 2.30)1.29 Volume expansion187F (NA)1.20 (0.61, 2.30)1.30 Superior vena card of x-41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours187F (NA)1.20 (0.62, 2.40)1.31 Bight ventricular output < 120 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1.13 Transient tachypnoea of the newborn	2	243	F (0%)	0.96 (0.52, 1.77)
1.15 Mechanical ventilation512,751R (63%)1.01 (0.94, 1.09)1.16 Non-invasive ventilation11688F (NA)1.03 (0.93, 1.15)1.17 Oxygen required12153F (NA)0.95 (0.67, 1.35)1.18 Chronic lung disease54.513F (0%)1.23 (0.95, 1.53)1.20 Pneumothorax2841F (0%)2.24 (0.22, 2.22)1.21 Pulmonary hemorrhage187F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 1.14)1.22 Necrotising enterocolitis84.804F (0%)1.21 (0.98, 1.51)1.23 Sepsis42.64R (31%)0.83 (0.64, 1.28)1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission194F (NA)0.63 (0.06, 6.34)1.25 Poor feeding190F (NA)0.63 (0.06, 6.34)1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus32.536F (26%)0.97 (0.80, 1.17)1.27 Hypotension23.103F (2A)1.30 (0.67, 2.53)1.28 Volume expansion187F (NA)1.30 (0.67, 2.53)1.30 Usperior vena cava flow < 41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1.14 Surfactant	1	87	F (NA)	0.88 (0.62, 1.24)
1.16 Non-invasive ventilation1688F (NA)1.03 (0.93, 1.15)1.17 Oxygen required1153F (NA)0.95 (0.67, 1.35)1.18 Chronic lung disease54,513F (0%)1.23 (0.98, 1.51)1.19 Apnoce and bradycardia5841F (0%)1.23 (0.98, 1.51)1.20 Pneumothorax187F (NA)2.44 (0.26, 22.52)1.21 Uninoary haemorthage187F (NA)2.44 (0.26, 22.52)1.21 Uninoary haemorthage184,804F (0%)1.21 (0.98, 1.51)1.23 Sepsis4.8042.694R (31%)0.83 (0.64, 1.28)1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission134F (NA)0.63 (0.66, 6.34)1.25 Poor feeding190F (NA)No events1.26 Patent ductus atteriosus32,536F (26%)0.97 (0.80, 1.17)1.27 Hypotension187F (NA)1.30 (0.67, 2.53)1.28 Volume expansion187F (NA)1.20 (0.67, 2.53)1.30 Superior vena cava flow < 41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1.15 Mechanical ventilation	5	12,751	R (63%)	1.01 (0.94, 1.09)
1.17 Oxygen required1153F (NA)0.95 (0.67, 1.35)1.18 Chronic lung disease54.513F (0%)1.62 (0.96, 1.17)1.19 Apnoea and bradycardia2841F (0%)1.23 (0.98, 1.53)1.20 neumothorax1877F (NA)2.44 (0.26, 22.52)1.21 Pulmonary haemorhage187F (NA)2.44 (0.26, 21.51)1.23 Sepsis184.604F (0%)1.21 (0.98, 1.51)1.23 Sepsis13.40K (3.1%)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission13.4F (NA)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.25 Poor feeding19.00F (26%)0.97 (0.80, 1.17)1.26 Valent ductus arteriosus35.253F (26%)0.97 (0.80, 1.17)1.27 Hypotension23.103F (22%)1.03 (0.67, 2.53)1.28 Volume expansion187F (NA)1.20 (0.67, 2.53)1.30 Superior vena cava flow < 41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1.16 Non-invasive ventilation	1	688	F (NA)	1.03 (0.93, 1.15)
1.18 Chronic lung disease54,513F (0%)1.06 (0.96, 1.17)1.19 Apnoea and bradycardia2841F (0%)1.23 (0.98, 1.53)1.20 Pneumothorax187F (NA)2.44 (0.26, 21.28)1.21 Pulmonary haemorrhage187F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 11.41)1.22 Necrotising enterocolitis84.804F (0%)1.21 (0.98, 1.51)1.23 Sepsis42,694R (31%)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission194F (NA)Ne events1.25 Poor feeding190F (NA)Ne events1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus32,536F (26%)0.97 (0.80, 1.17)1.27 Hypotension23,103F (28%)1.03 (0.89, 1.19)1.29 Valume expansion187F (NA)1.30 (0.67, 2.53)1.20 Upotension187F (NA)1.30 (0.67, 2.53)1.20 Superior vena cava flow < 41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1.17 Oxygen required	1	153	F (NA)	0.95 (0.67, 1.35)
1.19 Apnoea and bradycardia2841F (0%)1.23 (0.98, 1.53)1.20 Pneumothorax187F (NA)2.44 (0.26, 22.52)1.21 Pulmonary haemorrhage187F (NA)2.44 (0.26, 22.52)1.22 Necrotising enterocolitis84.004F (0%)1.21 (0.98, 1.51)1.23 Sepsis42.694R (31%)0.83 (0.54, 1.28)1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission134F (NA)0.63 (0.06, 6.34)1.25 Poor feeding190F (NA)No events1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus23.103F (28%)1.03 (0.88, 1.19)1.27 Hypotension23.103F (28%)1.03 (0.89, 1.19)1.28 Volume expansion187F (NA)2.03 (10.1, 4.10)1.29 Mean blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1.18 Chronic lung disease	5	4,513	F (0%)	1.06 (0.96, 1.17)
1.20 Pneumothorax187F (NA)2.44 (0.26, 22.52)1.21 Pulmonary haemorhage187F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 11.41)1.22 Necrotising enterocolitis84.804F (0%)0.83 (0.54, 12.80)1.23 Sepsi42.694R (31%)0.83 (0.54, 12.80)1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission134F (NA)0.63 (0.66, 6.34)1.25 Poor feeding190F (NA)No events1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus32.536F (26%)0.97 (0.80, 1.17)1.27 Hypotension23.103F (22%)1.03 (0.87, 1.25)1.28 Volume expansion187F (NA)1.20 (0.67, 2.53)1.30 Superior vena cava flow < 41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1.19 Apnoea and bradycardia	2	841	F (0%)	1.23 (0.98, 1.53)
1.21 Pulmonary haemorrhage187F (NA)2.44 (0.52, 11.41)1.22 Necrotising enterocolitis84,804F (0%)1.21 (0.98, 1.51)1.23 Sepsis12.694R (31%)0.83 (0.66, 3.4)1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission134F (NA)0.63 (0.06, 6.34)1.25 Poor feeding190F (NA)No events1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus32.536F (26%)0.97 (0.80, 1.17)1.27 Hypotension23.103F (22%)1.03 (0.89, 1.19)1.28 Volume expansion187F (NA)2.03 (1.01, 4.10)1.29 Mean blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1.20 Pneumothorax	1	87	F (NA)	2.44 (0.26, 22.52)
1.22 Necrotising enterocolitis 8 4,804 F (0%) 1.21 (0.98, 1.51) 1.23 Sepsis 4 2,694 R (31%) 0.83 (0.54, 1.28) 1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission 1 90 F (NA) 0.63 (0.06, 6.34) 1.25 Poor feeding 1 90 F (NA) No events 1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus 3 2,536 F (25%) 0.97 (0.80, 1.17) 1.27 Hypotension 1 87 F (NA) 2.03 (1.01, 4.10) 1.29 Volume expansion 1 87 F (NA) 2.03 (1.01, 4.10) 1.29 Uperior vena cava flow < 41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1.21 Pulmonary haemorrhage	1	87	F (NA)	2.44 (0.52, 11.41)
1.23 Sepsis 4 2,694 R (31%) 0.83 (0.54, 1.28) 1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission 1 34 F (NA) 0.63 (0.06, 6.34) 1.25 Poor feeding 1 90 F (NA) No events 1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus 3 2,536 F (26%) 0.97 (0.80, 1.7) 1.27 Hypotension 1 87 F (NA) 2.03 (1.01, 4.10) 1.29 Veam blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1.22 Necrotising enterocolitis	8	4,804	F (0%)	1.21 (0.98, 1.51)
1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission 1 34 F (NA) 0.63 (0.06, 6.34) 1.25 Poor feeding 1 90 F (NA) No events 1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus 3 2,536 F (26%) 0.97 (0.80, 1.17) 1.27 Hypotension 2 3,103 F (22%) 1.03 (0.89, 1.19) 1.28 Volume expansion 1 87 F (NA) 2.03 (1.01, 4.10) 1.29 Mean blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1.23 Sepsis	4	2,694	R (31%)	0.83 (0.54, 1.28)
1.25 Poor feeding 1 90 F (NA) No events 1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus 3 2,536 F (26%) 0.97 (0.80, 1.17) 1.27 Hypotension 2 3,103 F (22%) 1.03 (0.89, 1.19) 1.28 Volume expansion 1 87 F (NA) 2.03 (0.67, 2.53) 1.30 Superior vena cava flow < 41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1.24 Hypoglycaemia on NICU admission	1	34	F (NA)	0.63 (0.06, 6.34)
1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus 3 2,536 F (26%) 0.97 (0.80, 1.17) 1.27 Hypotension 2 3,103 F (22%) 1.03 (0.89, 1.19) 1.28 Volume expansion 1 87 F (NA) 2.03 (1.01, 4.10) 1.29 Mean blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1.25 Poor feeding	1	90	F (NA)	No events
1.27 Hypotension 2 3,103 F (22%) 1.03 (0.89, 1.19) 1.28 Volume expansion 1 87 F (NA) 2.03 (1.01, 4.10) 1.29 Mean blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1.26 Patent ductus arteriosus	3	2,536	F (26%)	0.97 (0.80, 1.17)
1.28 Volume expansion 1 87 F (NA) 2.03 (1.01, 4.10) 1.29 Mean blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1.27 Hypotension	2	3,103	F (22%)	1.03 (0.89, 1.19)
1.29 Mean blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1.28 Volume expansion	1	87	F (NA)	2.03 (1.01, 4.10)
1.30 Superior vena cava flow < 41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours187F (NA)1.22 (0.62, 2.40)1.31 Right ventricular output < 120 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1.29 Mean blood pressure < 10th centile in the first 24 hours	1	87	F (NA)	1.30 (0.67, 2.53)
1.31 Right ventricular output < 120 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours187F (NA)1.08 (0.51, 2.30)1.32 Dobutamine187F (NA)1.73 (0.84, 3.57)1.33 Dopamine187F (NA)2.17 (0.62, 7.62)1.34 Any inotrope187F (NA)1.54 (0.82, 2.92)1.35 Retinopathy of prematurity12.415F (NA)0.99 (0.85, 1.14)1.36 Generalised hypotonicity12.415F (NA)1.03 (0.77, 1.37)1.37 Seizures411,397F (0%)0.78 (0.57, 1.06)1.38 Hyperbilirubinaemia190F (NA)2.00 (0.19, 21.28)1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage104.891F (0%)0.95 (0.85, 1.06)1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 463.769F (19%)0.81 (0.60, 1.09)1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia44.225F (0%)0.93 (0.68, 1.28)1.42 Any white matter injury1665F (NA)0.87 (0.62, 1.22)1.43 Severe white matter injury or death1688F (NA)0.85 (0.55, 1.32)1.44 Severe white matter injury or death0.92 (0.66, 1.28)0.92 (0.66, 1.28)	1.30 Superior vena cava flow < 41 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1	87	F (NA)	1.22 (0.62, 2.40)
1.32 Dobutamine187F (NA)1.73 (0.84, 3.57)1.33 Dopamine187F (NA)2.17 (0.62, 7.62)1.34 Any inotrope187F (NA)1.54 (0.82, 2.92)1.35 Retinopathy of prematurity12,415F (NA)0.99 (0.85, 1.14)1.36 Generalised hypotonicity12,415F (NA)1.03 (0.77, 1.37)1.37 Seizures411,397F (0%)0.78 (0.57, 1.06)1.38 Hyperbilirubinaemia190F (NA)2.00 (0.19, 21.28)1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage104,891F (0%)0.95 (0.85, 1.06)1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia44,225F (0%)0.93 (0.68, 1.28)1.42 Any white matter injury1665F (NA)0.87 (0.62, 1.22)1.44 Severe white matter injury or death1688F (NA)0.92 (0.66, 1.28)	1.31 Right ventricular output < 120 ml/kg/min in the first 24 hours	1	87	F (NA)	1.08 (0.51, 2.30)
1.33 Dopamine187F (NA)2.17 (0.62, 7.62)1.34 Any inotrope187F (NA)1.54 (0.82, 2.92)1.35 Retinopathy of prematurity12,415F (NA)0.99 (0.85, 1.14)1.36 Generalised hypotonicity12,415F (NA)1.03 (0.77, 1.37)1.37 Seizures411,397F (0%)0.78 (0.57, 1.06)1.38 Hyperbilirubinaemia190F (NA)2.00 (0.19, 21.28)1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage104,891F (0%)0.95 (0.85, 1.06)1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 463,769F (19%)0.81 (0.60, 1.09)1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia44,225F (0%)0.93 (0.68, 1.28)1.42 Any white matter injury1665F (NA)0.87 (0.62, 1.22)1.43 Severe white matter injury or death1688F (NA)0.85 (0.55, 1.32)1.44 Severe white matter injury or death0.92 (0.66, 1.28)1688F (NA)0.92 (0.66, 1.28)	1.32 Dobutamine	1	87	F (NA)	1.73 (0.84, 3.57)
1.34 Any inotrope187F (NA)1.54 (0.82, 2.92)1.35 Retinopathy of prematurity12,415F (NA)0.99 (0.85, 1.14)1.36 Generalised hypotonicity12,415F (NA)1.03 (0.77, 1.37)1.37 Seizures411,397F (0%)0.78 (0.57, 1.06)1.38 Hyperbilirubinaemia190F (NA)2.00 (0.19, 21.28)1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage104,891F (0%)0.95 (0.85, 1.06)1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 463,769F (19%)0.81 (0.60, 1.09)1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia44,225F (0%)0.93 (0.68, 1.28)1.42 Any white matter injury1665F (NA)0.87 (0.62, 1.22)1.43 Severe white matter injury or death1688F (NA)0.85 (0.55, 1.32)	1.33 Dopamine	1	87	F (NA)	2.17 (0.62, 7.62)
1.35 Retinopathy of prematurity12,415F (NA)0.99 (0.85, 1.14)1.36 Generalised hypotonicity12,415F (NA)1.03 (0.77, 1.37)1.37 Seizures411,397F (0%)0.78 (0.57, 1.06)1.38 Hyperbilirubinaemia190F (NA)2.00 (0.19, 21.28)1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage104,891F (0%)0.95 (0.85, 1.06)1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 463,769F (19%)0.81 (0.60, 1.09)1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia44,225F (0%)0.93 (0.68, 1.28)1.42 Any white matter injury1665F (NA)0.87 (0.62, 1.22)1.43 Severe white matter injury or death1688F (NA)0.85 (0.55, 1.32)	1.34 Any inotrope	1	87	F (NA)	1.54 (0.82, 2.92)
1.36 Generalised hypotonicity12,415F (NA)1.03 (0.77, 1.37)1.37 Seizures411,397F (0%)0.78 (0.57, 1.06)1.38 Hyperbilirubinaemia190F (NA)2.00 (0.19, 21.28)1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage104,891F (0%)0.95 (0.85, 1.06)1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 463,769F (19%)0.81 (0.60, 1.09)1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia44,225F (0%)0.93 (0.68, 1.28)1.42 Any white matter injury1665F (NA)0.87 (0.62, 1.22)1.43 Severe white matter injury or death1688F (NA)0.85 (0.55, 1.32)	1.35 Retinopathy of prematurity	1	2,415	F (NA)	0.99 (0.85, 1.14)
1.37 Seizures411,397F (0%)0.78 (0.57, 1.06)1.38 Hyperbilirubinaemia190F (NA)2.00 (0.19, 21.28)1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage104,891F (0%)0.95 (0.85, 1.06)1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 463,769F (19%)0.81 (0.60, 1.09)1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia44,225F (0%)0.93 (0.68, 1.28)1.42 Any white matter injury1665F (NA)0.87 (0.62, 1.22)1.43 Severe white matter injury or death1688F (NA)0.85 (0.55, 1.32)	1.36 Generalised hypotonicity	1	2,415	F (NA)	1.03 (0.77, 1.37)
1.38 Hyperbilirubinaemia 1 90 F (NA) 2.00 (0.19, 21.28) 1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage 10 4,891 F (0%) 0.95 (0.85, 1.06) 1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 4 6 3,769 F (19%) 0.81 (0.60, 1.09) 1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia 4 4,225 F (0%) 0.93 (0.68, 1.28) 1.42 Any white matter injury 1 665 F (NA) 0.87 (0.62, 1.22) 1.43 Severe white matter injury or death 1 688 F (NA) 0.85 (0.55, 1.32)	1.37 Seizures	4	11,397	F (0%)	0.78 (0.57, 1.06)
1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage 10 4,891 F (0%) 0.95 (0.85, 1.06) 1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 4 6 3,769 F (19%) 0.81 (0.60, 1.09) 1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia 4 4,225 F (0%) 0.93 (0.68, 1.28) 1.42 Any white matter injury 1 665 F (NA) 0.87 (0.62, 1.22) 1.43 Severe white matter injury or death 1 688 F (NA) 0.85 (0.55, 1.32)	1.38 Hyperbilirubinaemia	1	90	F (NA)	2.00 (0.19, 21.28)
1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 4 6 3,769 F (19%) 0.81 (0.60, 1.09) 1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia 4 4,225 F (0%) 0.93 (0.68, 1.28) 1.42 Any white matter injury 1 665 F (NA) 0.87 (0.62, 1.22) 1.43 Severe white matter injury or death 1 688 F (NA) 0.85 (0.55, 1.32)	1.39 Intraventricular haemorrhage	10	4,891	F (0%)	0.95 (0.85, 1.06)
1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia 4 4,225 F (0%) 0.93 (0.68, 1.28) 1.42 Any white matter injury 1 665 F (NA) 0.87 (0.62, 1.22) 1.43 Severe white matter injury or death 1 688 F (NA) 0.85 (0.55, 1.32) 1.44 Severe white matter injury or death 1 688 F (NA) 0.92 (0.66, 1.28)	1.40 Intraventricular haemorrhage, grade 3 or 4	6	3,769	F (19%)	0.81 (0.60, 1.09)
1.42 Any white matter injury 1 665 F (NA) 0.87 (0.62, 1.22) 1.43 Severe white matter injury 1 688 F (NA) 0.85 (0.55, 1.32) 1.44 Severe white matter injury or death 1 688 F (NA) 0.92 (0.66, 1.28)	1.41 Periventricular leucomalacia	4	4,225	F (0%)	0.93 (0.68, 1.28)
1.43 Severe white matter injury 1 688 F (NA) 0.85 (0.55, 1.32) 1.44 Severe white matter injury or death 1 688 F (NA) 0.92 (0.66, 1.28)	1.42 Any white matter injury	1	665	F (NA)	0.87 (0.62, 1.22)
1.44 Severe white matter injury or death 1 688 F (NA) 0.92 (0.66, 1.28)	1.43 Severe white matter injury	1	688	F (NA)	0.85 (0.55, 1.32)
	1.44 Severe white matter injury or death	1	688	F (NA)	0.92 (0.66, 1.28)

Table 1. Adverse outcome estimates from randomised controlled trials: Comparison 1-Magnesium sulphate versus placebo or no treatment.

Table 1. (Continued)

Outcome	Studies	Participants	Method (I ²)	RR (95% CI)
1.45 Persistent parenchymal echogenicity	1	8,260	F (NA)	1.09 (0.66, 1.81)
1.46 Echodensity in children born < 32 weeks	1	1,613	F (NA)	0.38 (0.19, 0.79)
1.47 Echolucency				
1.47.1 In all children	1	1,776	F (NA)	0.62 (0.37, 1.03)
1.47.2 In children born < 32 weeks	1	1,613	F (NA)	0.61 (0.38, 0.97)
1.48 Ventriculomegaly	2	10,036	F (0%)	0.98 (0.68, 1.42)
1.49 Any of echodensity, echolucency, intraventricular haemorrhage, periventricular haemorrhage, or ventriculomegaly				
1.49.1 In all children	1	1,776	F (NA)	0.85 (0.69, 1.06)
1.49.2 In children born < 32 weeks	1	1,613	F (NA)	0.92 (0.78, 1.09)
1.50 Composite adverse outcome	1	1,776	F (NA)	0.62 (0.37, 1.03)
1.51 NICU admission	3	8,519	F (17%)	1.00 (0.95, 1.06)
1.52 Intensive care unit stay (days)	1	120	MD, F (NA)	0.02 (-0.17, 0.21)
1.53 Hospital stay (days)	2	257	MD, R (99%)	-2.75 (-8.92, 3.43)
1.54 Special care baby unit admission > 7 days or death	1	9,024	F (NA)	1.01 (0.95, 1.08)
1.55 Special care baby unit admission > 7 days	1	8,260	F (NA)	1.02 (0.93, 1.11)
1.56 Still in hospital at 6 weeks	1	9,024	F (NA)	0.99 (0.06, 15.80)

Statistically significant effect estimates in bold. Test for heterogeneity represented by I^2 statistic; where $I^2 > 30\%$, summary estimates were calculated using random-effects meta-analysis.

CI, confidence interval; F, fixed-effects; MD, mean difference; NA, not applicable; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; R, random-effects; RR, risk ratio.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.t001

Outcome and subgroup	Studies	Participants	Method (I ²)	RR (95% CI)	χ^2 , <i>P</i> value, I^2
1.1 Perinatal death					
1.1.1 Tocolysis	2	257	F (NA)	7.99 (1.00, 63.49)	4.07, 0.13, 50.8%
1.1.2 Pre-eclampsia	2	9,259	F (0%)	1.01 (0.91, 1.13)	
1.1.3 Fetal neuroprotection	4	4,138	F (0%)	0.96 (0.80, 1.15)	
1.2 Stillbirth					
1.2.1 Tocolysis	2	257	F (NA)	5.70 (0.28, 116.87)	1.45, 0.49, 0%
1.2.2 Pre-eclampsia	3	9,961	F (8%)	0.99 (0.87, 1.12)	
1.2.3 Fetal neuroprotection	4	2,122	F (0%)	0.85 (0.40, 1.80)	
1.3 Neonatal death					
1.3.1 Tocolysis	4	445	R (61%)	0.78 (0.11, 5.67)	0.48, 0.79, 0%
1.3.2 Pre-eclampsia	2	9,259	R (35%)	1.03 (0.64, 1.65)	
1.3.3 Fetal neuroprotection	5	3,283	R (0%)	0.86 (0.68, 1.08)	
1.4 Death $>$ 28 days, before dischar	ge				
1.4.1 Tocolysis	3	412	F (0%)	0.76 (0.19, 3.09)	0.37, 0.83, 0%
1.4.2 Pre-eclampsia	1	9,024	F (NA)	1.13 (0.55, 2.31)	
1.4.3 Fetal neuroprotection	1	1,255	F (NA)	0.88 (0.44, 1.74)	

Table 2. Subgroup analyses based on indication for use from randomised controlled trials: Comparison 1—Magnesium sulphate versus placebo or no treatment.

Statistically significant effect estimates in bold. Test for heterogeneity represented by I^2 statistic; where $I^2 > 30\%$, summary estimates were calculated using randomeffects meta-analysis. Result of test subgroup differences represented by χ^2 statistic, P value, and I^2 statistic.

CI, confidence interval; F, fixed-effects; NA, not applicable; R, random-effects; RR, risk ratio.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.t002

	MEDICINE
--	----------

Outcome or subgroup Studies Participants Method (I^2) RR (95% CI) χ^2 , *P* value, I^2 Subgroups based on LD 1.1 Perinatal death 1.1.4 4-g IV LD 5 2,259 R (42%) 0.96 (0.62, 1.49) 0.57, 0.75, 0% 1.1.5 6-g IV LD 1 2,136 R (NA) 1.12 (0.85, 1.47) 1.1.6 4-g IV and 10-g IM LD 2 9,259 R (0%) 1.01 (0.91, 1.12) 1.2 Stillbirth 1.2.4 4-g IV LD F (0%) 1.57, 0.21, 36.1% 6 2,961 1.25 (0.85, 1.84) 1.2.5 6-g IV LD 1 120 F(NA) No events 1.2.6 4-g IV and 10-g IM LD 2 9,259 F (0%) 0.96 (0.84, 1.10) 1.3 Neonatal death 1.3.4 4-g IV LD R (7%) 0.86 (0.64, 1.16) 0.44, 0.80, 0% 6 2.294 1.3.5 6-g IV LD R (2%) 0.83 (0.48, 1.44) 3 1,434 1.3.6 4-g IV and 10-g IM LD 2 9,259 R (35%) 1.03 (0.64, 1.65) 1.4 Death > 28 days, before discharge 1.4.4 4-g IV LD 3 1,514 F (0%) 0.81 (0.43, 1.53) 0.81, 0.67, 0% 1.4.5 6-g IV LD 1 153 F(NA) 2.47 (0.10, 59.70) 1.4.6 4-g IV and 10-g IM LD 1 9,024 F(NA) 1.13 (0.55, 2.31) Subgroups based on MD 1.1 Perinatal death 1.1.7 LD only R (0%) 0.92 (0.59, 1.44) 2.73, 0.44, 0% 2 747 1.1.8 1-g/hour IV MD 1 1,255 R (NA) 0.81 (0.60, 1.09) 1.1.9 2-5-g/hour IV MD 3 R (71%) 2,393 2.27 (0.35, 14.55) 1.1.10 5-g/4-hour IM MD 2 9,259 R (0%) 1.01 (0.91, 1.12) 1.2 Stillbirth 1.2.7 LD only 747 F (0%) 0.96 (0.22, 4.17) 2 2.50, 0.48, 0% 1.2.8 1-g/hour IV MD 2 F (9%) 1,957 1.22 (0.81, 1.83) 1.2.9 2-5-g/hour IV MD 3 377 F (0%) 5.70 (0.28, 116.87) 1.2.10 5-g/4-hour IM MD 2 9,259 F (0%) 0.96 (0.84, 1.10) 1.3 Neonatal death 1.3.7 LD only 2 747 R (0%) 0.93 (0.58, 1.47) 0.72, 0.87, 0% 1.3.8 1-g/hour IV MD 1,255 0.81 (0.59, 1.11) 1 R (NA) 1.3.9 2-5-g/hour IV MD 6 1,726 R (41%) 0.84 (0.30, 2.33) 1.3.10 5-g/4-hour IM MD 2 9,259 R (35%) 1.03 (0.64, 1.65) 1.4 Death > 28 days, before discharge 1.4.7 1-g/hour IV MD 1,255 F(NA) 0.88 (0.44, 1.74) 0.37, 0.83, 0% 1 1.4.8 2-5-g/hour IV MD 3 F (0%) 0.76 (0.19, 3.09) 412 1.4.9 5-g/4-hour IM MD 1 9,024 F(NA) 1.13 (0.55, 2.31)

Table 3. Subgroup analyses based on regimen characteristics from randomised controlled trials: Comparison 1—Magnesium sulphate versus placebo or no treatment.

Test for heterogeneity represented by I^2 statistic; where $I^2 > 30\%$, summary estimates were calculated using random-effects meta-analysis. Result of test subgroup differences represented by χ^2 statistic, *P* value, and I^2 statistic.

CI, confidence interval; F, fixed-effects; g, gram; IM, intramuscular; IV, intravenous; LD, loading dose; MD, maintenance dose; NA, not applicable; R, random-effects; RR, risk ratio.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.t003

trials, 409 babies; analysis 2.17). On average, babies exposed to the lower dose regimen had a longer duration of stay in the neonatal intensive care unit compared with those exposed to the higher dose regimen (MD 3.10 days; 95% CI 0.78 to 5.42; 1 trial, 104 babies; analysis 2.18) (Table 4; S1 Appendix).

Outcome	Studies	Participants	Method (I^2)	RR (95% CI)
2.1 Perinatal death	6	543	F (0)	1.01 (0.75, 1.36)
2.2 Stillbirth	5	471	F (0)	0.94 (0.61, 1.45)
2.3 Neonatal death	6	535	F (0)	1.12 (0.57, 2.22)
2.4 Apgar score < 7 at 1 minute	3	302	F (0)	0.96 (0.68, 1.35)
2.5 Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes	3	302	R (35%)	1.41 (0.54, 3.65)
2.6 Resuscitation	1	64	F (NA)	1.00 (0.22, 4.59)
2.7 Respiratory distress syndrome	2	154	R (53%)	1.97 (0.76, 5.15)
2.8 Respiratory depression	1	50	F (NA)	0.33 (0.04, 2.99)
2.9 Respiratory disorders	1	64	F (NA)	1.08 (0.87, 1.33)
2.10 Mechanical ventilation	1	64	F (NA)	2.00 (0.39, 10.16)
2.11 Bradycardia	1	104	F (NA)	3.85 (0.45, 33.29)
2.12 Jaundice	1	50	F (NA)	1.25 (0.38, 4.12)
2.13 Hypoglycaemia	1	104	F (NA)	0.96 (0.06, 14.98)
2.14 Hypocalcaemia	1	104	F (NA)	2.89 (0.12, 69.32)
2.15 Hypotonia	1	50	F (NA)	0.14 (0.02, 1.08)
2.16 Requirement for calcium gluconate	1	50	F (NA)	0.25 (0.06, 1.06)
2.17 NICU admission	5	409	F (6%)	1.75 (1.06, 2.88)
2.18 NICU stay (days)	1	104	MD, F (NA)	3.10 (0.78, 5.42)

Table 4. Adverse outcome estimates from randomised controlled trials: Comparison 2-Lower versus higher dose regimens of magnesium sulphate.

Statistically significant effect estimates in bold. Test for heterogeneity represented by I^2 statistic; where $I^2 > 30\%$, summary estimates were calculated using random-effects meta-analysis.

CI, confidence interval; F, fixed-effects; MD, mean difference; NA, not applicable; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; R, random-effects; RR, risk ratio.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.t004

PLOS MEDICINE

No clear differences were seen between the lower and higher dose regimens of magnesium sulphate for the remaining secondary outcomes reported (Table 4; S1 Appendix).

IM versus IV maintenance dose

This comparison included 5 trials, all assessing magnesium sulphate for the prevention or treatment of eclampsia [27,31,49,55,58]. Regimens assessed included Bhattacharjee's regimen

supract.						
Studies	Participants	Method (I ²)	RR (95% CI)	χ^2 , <i>P</i> value, I^2		
1	104	F (NA)	2.25 (0.61, 8.21)	1.63, 0.20, 38.6%		
5	439	F (0%)	0.94 (0.70, 1.28)			
1	104	F (NA)	0.96 (0.06, 14.98)	0.00, 0.99, 0%		
4	367	F (0%)	0.94 (0.60, 1.46)			
1	104	F (NA)	2.89 (0.61, 13.65)	1.99, 0.16, 49.6%		
5	431	F (0%)	0.82 (0.37, 1.82)			
	Studies	Studies Participants 1 104 5 439 1 104 4 367 1 104 5 431	Studies Participants Method (I ²) 1 104 F (NA) 5 439 F (0%) 1 104 F (NA) 4 367 F (0%) 1 104 F (NA) 5 431 F (0%)	Studies Participants Method (I²) RR (95% CI) 1 104 F (NA) 2.25 (0.61, 8.21) 5 439 F (0%) 0.94 (0.70, 1.28) 1 104 F (NA) 0.96 (0.06, 14.98) 4 367 F (0%) 0.94 (0.60, 1.46) 1 104 F (NA) 2.89 (0.61, 13.65) 5 431 F (0%) 0.82 (0.37, 1.82)		

Table 5.	Subgroup analyses based on indication for u	se from randomised controlled trial	s: Comparison 2—Lower vers	us higher dose regimens of magnesium
sulphate.				

Test for heterogeneity represented by I^2 statistic; where $I^2 > 30\%$, summary estimates were calculated using random-effects meta-analysis. Result of test subgroup differences represented by χ^2 statistic, *P* value, and I^2 statistic.

CI, confidence interval; F, fixed-effects; NA, not applicable; RR, risk ratio.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.t005

(4-gram IV loading dose; 6-gram IV maintenance dose every 8 hours), Dhaka regimen (4-gram IV and 6-gram IM loading dose; 2.5-gram IM maintenance dose every 4 hours), Pritchard's regimen (4-gram IV and 10-gram IM loading dose; 5-gram IM maintenance dose every 4 hours), Zuspan's regimen (4-gram IV loading dose; 1-gram IV maintenance dose every hour), and Sibai's regimen (6-gram IV loading dose; 2-gram IV maintenance dose every hour) (all maintenance doses were for 24 hours after birth or last seizure) (see <u>Table 6</u> and <u>S1</u> <u>Appendix</u> for effect estimates and forest plots).

No clear differences were observed for

- Pritchard's versus Zuspan's regimen for the primary review outcome perinatal death (RR 0.94; 95% CI 0.66 to 1.32; 2 trials, 353 babies; analysis 3.1.1), nor for stillbirth or neonatal death;
- Pritchard's versus Sibai's regimen for the primary review outcome perinatal death (RR 0.90; 95% CI 0.53 to 1.53; 1 trial, 115 babies; analysis 3.1.2), nor for stillbirth or neonatal death;
- Pritchard's versus Bhattacharjee's regimen for the primary review outcome perinatal death (RR 1.28; 95% CI 0.61 to 2.65; 1 trial, 107 babies; analysis 3.1.3), nor for stillbirth or neonatal death;
- Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen for the primary review outcome perinatal death (RR 0.57; 95% CI 0.16 to 2.08; 1 trial, 41 babies; analysis 3.1.4), nor for stillbirth or neonatal death (Table 6; S1 Appendix).

No clear differences were observed for Pritchard's versus Zuspan's regimen, Pritchard's versus Sibai's regimen, or Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen for the remaining secondary outcomes reported (Table 6; S1 Appendix).

Loading dose versus loading and maintenance doses

This comparison included 5 trials, all assessing magnesium sulphate for the prevention or treatment of eclampsia [25,41,50,53,57]. Trials compared a cumulative 8-, 10-, or 14-gram loading dose (4 grams IV and 4 to 10 grams IM) with Dhaka or Pritchard's regimen (see descriptions above; and see Table 6 and S1 Appendix for effect estimates and forest plots).

No clear differences for loading dose only versus loading and maintenance dose regimens were seen for the primary review outcome perinatal death (average RR 0.94; 95% CI 0.33 to 2.72; 3 trials, 632 babies; analysis 4.1), nor for stillbirth, neonatal death, or neonatal death at less than 7 days (Table 6; S1 Appendix).

No clear differences for loading dose only versus loading and maintenance dose regimens were seen for the remaining secondary outcomes reported (Table 6; S1 Appendix).

Serial IV boluses versus continuous IV maintenance dose

This comparison included 1 trial, assessing magnesium sulphate for the treatment of severe pre-eclampsia, and compared a serial intravenous bolus regimen (6-gram IV loading dose, and 2-gram IV bolus over 10 minutes every 2 hours as maintenance) with a continuous infusion (4-gram IV loading dose, and 1-gram-per-hour continuous IV maintenance dose) [37] (see Table 6 and S1 Appendix for effect estimates and forest plots).

No clear differences between the serial bolus and continuous infusion regimens were seen for the primary review outcome perinatal death (RR 0.44; 95% CI 0.08 to 2.34; 1 trial, 197 babies; analysis 5.1), nor for stillbirth or neonatal death (Table 6; S1 Appendix).

No clear differences between the serial bolus and continuous infusion regimens were seen for the remaining secondary outcomes reported (Table 6; S1 Appendix).

Outcome and subgroup	Studies	Participants	Method (I ²)	RR (95% CI)
Comparison 3: IM versus IV maintenance dose of magnesium sulp	hate (pre-eclampsi	a/eclampsia)		
3.1 Perinatal death		_		
3.1.1 Pritchard's versus Zuspan's regimen	2	353	F (0%)	0.94 (0.66, 1.32)
3.1.2 Pritchard's versus Sibai's regimen	1	115	F (NA)	0.90 (0.53, 1.53)
3.1.3 Pritchard's versus Bhattacharjee's regimen	1	107	F (NA)	1.28 (0.61, 2.65)
3.1.4 Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen	1	41	F (NA)	0.57 (0.16, 2.08)
3.2 Stillbirth				
3.2.1 Pritchard's versus Zuspan's regimen	1	114	F (NA)	0.79 (0.44, 1.40)
3.2.2 Pritchard's versus Sibai's regimen	2	133	F (0%)	0.80 (0.46, 1.41)
3.2.3 Pritchard's versus Bhattacharjee's regimen	1	107	F (NA)	1.18 (0.38, 3.63)
3.2.4 Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen	1	41	F (NA)	0.24 (0.03, 1.95)
3.3 Neonatal death				
3.3.1 Pritchard's versus Zuspan's regimen	1	114	F (NA)	2.52 (0.27, 23.47)
3.3.2 Pritchard's versus Sibai's regimen	1	115	F (NA)	2.56 (0.27, 23.93)
3.3.3 Pritchard's versus Bhattacharjee's regimen	1	107	F (NA)	1.37 (0.47, 4.06)
3.3.4 Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen	1	41	F (NA)	1.90 (0.19, 19.40)
3.4 Apgar score < 7 at 1 minute				
3.4.1 Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen	1	41	F (NA)	0.32 (0.10, 1.01)
3.5 Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes				
3.5.1 Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen	1	41	F (NA)	0.38 (0.08, 1.74)
3.6 Respiratory distress syndrome				
3.6.1 Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen	1	41	F (NA)	0.76 (0.24, 2.44)
3.7 Jaundice				
3.7.1 Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen	1	41	F (NA)	0.76 (0.24, 2.44)
3.8 Hypotonia				
3.8.1 Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen	1	41	F (NA)	0.48 (0.10, 2.32)
3.9 NICU admission				
3.9.1 Pritchard's versus Zuspan's regimen	1	114	F (NA)	0.98 (0.35, 2.73)
3.9.2 Pritchard's versus Sibai's regimen	1	115	F (NA)	1.00 (0.36, 2.78)
3.9.3 Dhaka versus Zuspan's regimen	1	41	F (NA)	0.76 (0.24, 2.44)
Comparison 4: Loading dose versus loading and maintenance dose	s of magnesium sul	phate (pre-eclampsia/e	clampsia)	
4.1 Perinatal death	3	632	R (63%)	0.94 (0.33, 2.72)
4.2 Stillbirth	3	803	F (26%)	1.10 (0.77, 1.58)
4.3 Neonatal death	2	462	F (0%)	0.78 (0.43, 1.41)
4.4 Neonatal death < 7 days	1	402	F (NA)	0.73 (0.30, 1.77)
4.5 Apgar score < 7 at 0 minutes	1	52	F (NA)	1.07 (0.32, 3.54)
4.6 Apgar score < 7 at 1 minute	1	52	F (NA)	0.86 (0.06, 12.98)
4.7 Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes	2	406	F (NA)	1.61 (0.72, 3.62)
4.8 NICU admission for respiratory distress	2	397	F (0%)	1.02 (0.63, 1.65)
4.9 NICU admission for early onset sepsis	1	80	F (NA)	1.00 (0.06, 15.44)
4.10 NICU admission for late onset sepsis	1	80	F (NA)	3.00 (0.13, 71.51)
4.11 NICU admission for meconium aspiration syndrome	1	80	F (NA)	1.00 (0.06, 15.44)
4.12 NICU admission for birth asphyxia	1	80	F (NA)	0.33 (0.01, 7.95)
4.13 NICU admission	3	435	F (0%)	0.94 (0.77, 1.15)
Comparison 5: Serial intravenous boluses versus continuous intrav	enous maintenance	e of magnesium sulpha	te (pre-eclampsia)	
5.1 Perinatal death	1	197	F (NA)	0.44 (0.08, 2.34)
5.2 Stillbirth	1	197	F (NA)	0.29 (0.01, 7.09)

Table 6. Adverse outcome estimates from randomised controlled trials: Comparisons 3-8.

Table 6. (Continued)

Outcome and subgroup	Studies	Participants	Method (I ²)	RR (95% CI)	
5.3 Neonatal death	1	197	F (NA)	0.58 (0.10, 3.42)	
5.4 Intubated at birth	1	197	F (NA)	0.88 (0.29, 2.62)	
5.5 Mechanical ventilation	1	197	F (NA)	0.44 (0.11, 1.70)	
5.6 Bradycardia (<110 bpm)	1	197	F (NA)	0.44 (0.08, 2.34)	
5.7 Special care baby unit admission	1	197	F (NA)	0.84 (0.53, 1.35)	
Comparison 6: Short versus standard maintenance course of magn	esium sulphate (ec	lampsia)			
6.1 Stillbirth	1	98	F (NA)	0.87 (0.41, 1.82)	
6.2 Birth asphyxia	1	98	F (NA)	0.83 (0.24, 2.92)	
Comparison 7: Slower versus standard rate of loading dose of mag	nesium sulphate (fo	etal neuroprotection)			
7.1 Stillbirth	1	51	F (NA)	0.35 (0.01, 8.12)	
Comparison 8: Weaning versus no weaning of magnesium sulphate (tocolysis)					
8.1 Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes	1	141	F (NA)	0.65 (0.22, 1.90)	

Test for heterogeneity represented by I^2 statistic; where $I^2 > 30\%$, summary estimates were calculated using random-effects meta-analysis. Bhattacharjee's regimen: 4-g IV LD; 6-g IV/8 hours MD. Dhaka regimen: 4-g IV and 6-g IM LD; 2.5-g IM/4-hour MD. Pritchard's regimen: 4-g IV and 10-g IM LD; 5-g IM/4-hour MD. Sibai's regimen: 6-g IV LD; 2-g IV/hour MD. Zuspan's regimen: 4-g IV LD; 1-g IV/hour MD. All MDs for 24 hours after birth/last seizure.

bpm, beats per minute; CI, confidence interval; F, fixed-effects; g, gram; IM, intramuscular; IV, intravenous; LD, loading dose; MD, maintenance dose; NA, not applicable; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; R, random-effects; RR, risk ratio.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.t006

Short versus standard maintenance course

This comparison included 1 trial, assessing magnesium sulphate for the treatment of eclampsia. Both groups received a 4-gram IV and 10-gram IM loading dose, followed by either a short maintenance course (2 doses of 5 grams IM 4 hours apart after birth or last seizure) or a standard maintenance course (5 grams IM every 4 hours for 24 hours after birth or last seizure) [29].

No clear difference between the short and standard maintenance course regimens was seen for stillbirth. No clear difference between the short and standard maintenance course regimens was seen for the only other secondary outcome reported, birth asphyxia (see <u>Table 6</u> and <u>S1</u> <u>Appendix</u> for effect estimates and forest plots).

Slower versus standard rate of loading dose

This comparison included 1 trial, assessing magnesium sulphate for fetal neuroprotection, and compared a slower (over 60 minutes) versus standard (over 20 minutes) rate of administering a 4-gram IV loading dose of magnesium sulphate (all women received a 1-gram-per-hour maintenance dose for 24 hours or until birth) [24].

No clear difference between a slower and standard rate of loading dose administration was seen for stillbirth (see Table 6 and S1 Appendix for effect estimate and forest plot).

Weaning versus no weaning

This comparison included 1 trial, assessing magnesium sulphate for the prevention of preterm birth (tocolysis), and compared weaning (by 1 gram IV per 4 hours) versus not weaning magnesium sulphate (all women received a 6-gram IV loading dose, and 2- to 3.5-gram IV maintenance dose per hour until tocolysis was achieved) [42].

No clear difference between weaning and no weaning was seen for Apgar score less than 7 at 5 minutes (see Table 6 and S1 Appendix for effect estimate and forest plot).

Evidence from non-randomised comparative studies

One hundred thirty-eight non-randomised studies were included: 5 non-randomised trials, 35 prospective cohort studies (7 with nested case-control analyses), 82 retrospective cohort studies (16 with nested case-control analyses), 8 non-concurrent cohort studies, and 8 case-control studies [62–199]. The characteristics of the studies and risk of bias assessments are detailed in S1 and S2 Tables.

There was substantial variation in the characteristics of these studies regarding participants, indications for use of magnesium sulphate (prevention or treatment of eclampsia: 30 studies; prevention of preterm birth (tocolysis): 28 studies; fetal neuroprotection: 25 studies; combination of aforementioned indications: 38 studies; unclear: 17 studies), comparison groups, outcomes assessed (and their definitions), and analysis methods employed. Methodological quality (specifically in relation to the risk of bias for reported review outcomes of interest) also differed across the studies, with overall judgements of unclear (specifically when only abstracts were available), high, moderate to high, and moderate risk of bias assigned to 43 studies, 49 studies, 35 studies, and 11 studies, respectively. The most common concerns across studies related to the potential for confounding (with no attempt to balance allocation between groups or match groups, and/or important confounding variables not taken into account in relevant outcome analyses), detection bias (with the consistent implementation of valid and reliable measures being unclear, and/or the absence of blinding of exposure or outcome assessors), and performance bias (with protocols not available to assess important variations).

The primary review outcome, perinatal death, was reported by 11 of the 138 non-randomised studies, 10 of which showed either a possible reduction (or lower rate) or no clear difference (or similar rate) in perinatal death among babies exposed to magnesium sulphate compared with no magnesium sulphate or a different magnesium sulphate regimen. A possible increase in perinatal death, specifically among babies exposed to >48 versus \leq 48 grams of magnesium sulphate for tocolysis was shown in the 11th study (retrospective cohort of 127 babies, moderate to high risk of bias) [183]. See <u>Table 7</u> and <u>S3 Table</u> for summaries of individual study results.

For the majority of secondary pre-specified and non-pre-specified adverse neonatal outcomes reported, the results from non-randomised studies were consistent with those observed in randomised controlled trials, with no clear differences (and in some cases, possible benefits of magnesium sulphate) observed. The direction of the findings (no clear difference, possible benefit, possible harm, or mixed) from the non-randomised studies are summarised in Table 8, with the detailed individual study results provided in S3 Table.

Seventeen of the 138 non-randomised studies (14 at moderate or moderate to high risk of bias, and 3 at unclear risk of bias [abstracts only]) observed a possible increase in the risk of adverse neonatal outcomes with antenatal magnesium sulphate, with some consideration of important confounding variables in relevant outcome analyses

[68,73,84,90,106,108,109,129,132,134,140,152,153,173,180,184,191]. Potential increased risks with antenatal magnesium sulphate of 4 outcomes (discussed below) were observed by more than 1 study. For the remaining outcomes (nosocomial infection [184], enteral feeding intolerance [68], respiratory disease composite [153], pulmonary interstitial emphysema [191], early germinal matrix/intraventricular haemorrhage [180], thalamostriate or mineralising vasculopathy [152], and a composite neonatal adverse outcome [73]), single studies reported possible harms.

Potential increased risk of neonatal death before intensive care unit discharge, and of a composite outcome of neonatal death before intensive care unit discharge and/or necrotising enterocolitis, was shown among a subgroup of babies born less than 26 weeks gestation with

Study; design	Participants	Comparisons	Results summary
Adama-Hondegla 2013; RCS with CCS (N)	178 babies born to women with eclampsia	(1) Babies living at seventh day of life, $N = 147$ babies, versus (2) stillbirths and neonatal deaths in first 7 days, $N = 31$ babies	MgSO ₄ exposure: aOR 1.04, $P > 0.05$
Alexander 2006; PCS	87 babies born to women with eclampsia	(1) No gestational hypertension, no MgSO ₄ , $N = 49$ babies, versus (2) gestational hypertension, MgSO ₄ , $N = 11$ babies, versus (3) gestational hypertension, no MgSO ₄ , $N = 27$ babies	Perinatal death: 6.1% (3/49) versus 0% (0/11) versus 11.1% (3/27)
Cawyer 2019; RCS	2,468 babies born to women with pre-eclampsia >32 weeks GA	(1) MgSO ₄ , $N = 1,353$ babies, versus (2) no MgSO ₄ , N = 1,115 babies	Perinatal or neonatal death: 0.1% (2/1,353) versus 0.2% (2/1,115), <i>P</i> = 1.00
Chowdhury 2009; PCS	529 babies born to women with eclampsia	(1) MgSO ₄ Pritchard's regimen, $N = 406$ babies, versus (2) MgSO ₄ low dose IV regimen, $N = 123$ babies	Perinatal death: OR 1.58, 95% CI 0.93–2.61, P = 0.075
Jung 2018; RCS	184 babies born to women with ROM <32 weeks GA	(1) MgSO ₄ for tocolysis, $N = 143$ babies, versus (2) no MgSO ₄ , $N = 41$ babies	Perinatal death: Overall: 7.0% (10/143) versus 19.5% (8/41), <i>P</i> = 0.0375; ROM at 23 to 27+6 weeks GA: 14.3% (9/63) versus 36.8% (7/19), <i>P</i> = 0.0651; ROM at 28 to 31+6 weeks GA: 1.25% (1/80) versus 4.5% (1/22), <i>P</i> = 0.9051
Kamilya 2005; CCS (N)	1,205 babies born to women with eclampsia	(1) Birth year 2002–2004 (almost universal MgSO ₄), N = 481 babies, versus (2) birth year 1995–1997 (no MgSO ₄), $N = 724$ babies	Perinatal death: 24.3% (117/481) versus 54.8% (397/ 724)
Kamyar 2016a; RCS (secondary analysis RCT)	396 babies born to women with intrapartum clinical chorioamnionitis	(1) MgSO ₄ for fetal neuroprotection, $N = 192$ babies, versus (2) placebo, $N = 204$ babies	Stillbirth or death by 1 year: Overall: aRR 1.68, 95% CI 0.85–3.32; ≤28 weeks GA: aRR 1.34, 95% CI 0.47–2.73
Mitani 2011; RCS	425 babies born between 22 and 31 weeks GA	(1) MgSO ₄ for tocolysis, $N = 236$ babies, versus (2) no MgSO ₄ , $N = 189$ babies	Perinatal death: 5.5% (13/236) versus 9.0% (17/189), <i>P</i> = 0.185
Okusanya 2012; NRT	103 babies born to women with severe pre-eclampsia or eclampsia	Severe pre-eclampsia: (1) 10-g MgSO ₄ LD, $N = 25$ babies, versus (2) 14-g MgSO ₄ LD, $N = 30$ babies	Perinatal death, unclear reporting: Severe pre- eclampsia: (1) PMR 240 per 1,000 (6 deaths) versus (2) PMR 35 per 1,000 (1 death)
		Eclampsia: (1) 10-g MgSO ₄ LD, $N = 29$ babies, versus (2) 14-g MgSO ₄ LD, $N = 19$ babies	Perinatal death, unclear reporting: Eclampsia: (1) PMR 241 per 1,000 (6 deaths, all IUFD) versus (2) 0 deaths
Scudiero 2000; RCS with CCS(N)	127 babies born between 700 and 1,249 g, to women who received MgSO ₄ for tocolysis	(1) Perinatal deaths, $N = 18$ babies, versus (2) survivors, $N = 109$ babies	$MgSO_4 > 48 g: 72.2\% (13/18) versus 45.0\% (49/109), P = 0.03; MgSO_4 \le 48 g versus >48 g(multivariable model): OR 4.72, 95% CI 1.12 to19.97, P = 0.035$
		(1) MgSO ₄ \leq 24 g, N = 43 babies, versus (2) MgSO ₄ $>$ 24 to \leq 48 g, N = 25 babies, versus (3) MgSO ₄ $>$ 48 g, N = 59 babies	Perinatal death (Cochrane–Armitage trend test, 1 versus 2 versus 3): 7.0% (3/43) versus 8.0% (2/25) versus 22.0% (13/59), <i>P</i> = 0.03; perinatal death (1 versus 2): 7.0% (3/43) versus 8.0% (2/25), <i>P</i> = 1.0
Young 1977; NRT	144 babies born to women with pre-eclampsia or eclampsia	(1) MgSO ₄ IV bolus MD (2 g over 10 minutes every 1–2 hours), $N = 97$ babies, versus (2) MgSO ₄ continuous IV MD (1 g per hour), $N = 47$ babies	Perinatal death: 2.1% (2/97) versus 2.1% (1/47)

Table 7. Perinatal death from non-randomised studies.

The bold studies were judged to be of higher quality (moderate to high risk of bias) and presented results adjusted for confounders for the relevant outcome; other studies were judged to be at high or unclear risk of bias and/or did not present adjusted results for the relevant outcome.

aOR, adjusted odds ratio; aRR, adjusted risk ratio; CCS(N), nested case–control study; CI, confidence interval; g, gram; GA, gestational age; IUFD, intrauterine fetal demise; IV, intravenous; LD, loading dose; MD, maintenance dose; MgSO₄, magnesium sulphate; NRT, non-randomised trial; OR, odds ratio; PCS, prospective cohort study; PMR, perinatal mortality ratio; RCS, retrospective cohort study; RCT, randomised controlled trial; ROM, rupture of the membranes.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.t007

the use of antenatal magnesium sulphate for fetal neuroprotection (293 babies, in a retrospective cohort of 697 babies born less than 28 weeks gestation) [129]. A possible increased risk of the composite outcome spontaneous intestinal perforation or neonatal death was also shown among a subgroup of babies born less than 25 weeks gestation with higher cumulative

Outcome	Direction of effect for magnesium sulphate versus no magnesium sulphate or a different regimen				
	Studies showing no clear difference	Studies showing possible benefit	Studies showing possible harm		
Stillbirth	Brazy 1982; Chowdhury 2009*; Jung 2018^	Jung 2018^; Shamsuddin 2005*	Das 2015*		
Neonatal death or death before discharge	Alston 2016; Ambadkar 2019; Basu 2012; Bertello Grecco 2019*; Brazy 1982; Canterino 1999; Chowdhury 2009*; De Jesus 2015; del Moral 2007; de Veciana 1995; Drassinower 2015; Elimian 2002 *; Elliott 2003; Farkouh 2001 ; Gibbins 2013; Girsen 2015; Gonzalez- Quintero 2001; Hechtman 2002*; Hong 2019; James 2015; Jazayeri 2003; Jung 2018; Kamyar 2015a; Kamyar 2015b; Kamyar 2016a; Kamyar 2016b^ ; Kimberlin 1998 ; Lee 2013; Lloreda-Garcia 2016; Mikhael 2019*; Morag 2016; Narasimhulu 2017; Nassar 2006*; Özlü 2019; Paneth 1997 ; Rantonen 2001; Shalabi 2017 ^; Shokry 2010; Suh 2015; Weisz 2015 ^; Whitsel 2004; Yokoyama 2010	Downey 2017; Garcia Alonso 2018; Grether 1998; Shalabi 2017^; Stockley 2018; Weisz 2015^	Das 2015*; Kamyar 2016b ^; Lipsitz 1971*; Rattray 2014; Rauf 2017		
Apgar score < 7 at 1 minute (or ≤ 5)	Chun 2014^; Gibbins 2013; Lloreda-Garcia 2016; Mitani 2011; Morag 2016; Narasimhulu 2017		Chun 2014^; Das 2015*; Girsen 2015; Lipsitz 1971*		
Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes (or \leq 5 or <6)	Canterino 1999; Chun 2014^; Cuff 2018*; de Veciana 1995; Drassinower 2015; Elimian 2002*; Gibbins 2013; Jung 2018; Lloreda- Garcia 2016; McPherson 2014*; Mitani 2011; Narasimhulu 2017; Nassar 2006*; Nelson 1995; Okusanya 2012*; Rhee 2012; Schanler 1997; Stockley 2018; Weisz 2015*	Jeanneteau 2014; Shalabi 2017	Chun 2014^; Das 2015*; Girsen 2015; Lipsitz 1971*; Morag 2016		
Birth asphyxia	McGuiness 1980	Shamsuddin 2005*			
Meconium at birth	Greenberg 2013; Jazayeri 2003				
Intubation	Basu 2012; De Jesus 2015 ; Derks 2016; Morag 2016; Narasimhulu 2017; Weisz 2015*∧	Bajaj 2018; Drassinower 2015; Weisz 2015^	Das 2015*; Rauf 2017; Weisz 2015* ^		
Intubation (duration)	de Veciana 1995	O Reilly 2016^	O Reilly 2016* ^		
Resuscitation	Basu 2012; Brookfield 2015 [*] ; De Jesus 2015; Garcia Alonso 2018; Gibbins 2013; Lloreda- Garcia 2016; McPherson 2014 [*] ; Narasimhulu 2017; Özlü 2019; Weisz 2015 [*] ^	Bajaj 2018; De Silva 2018	Lipsitz 1971*; Weisz 2015^		
Oxygen bag, mask, or both (resuscitation)	Bajaj 2018 ; Drassinower 2015; Riaz 1998; Weisz 2015* ^	Weisz 2015* ^			
Chest compressions (resuscitation)	Drassinower 2015; Stockley 2018; Weisz 2015*^	Bajaj 2018; Weisz 2015^			
Adrenaline (resuscitation)	Stockley 2018; Weisz 2015*^	Jeanneteau 2014; Weisz 2015^			
Score for Neonatal Acute Physiology > 10 or 20 in first 24 hours	Stockley 2018; Weisz 2015^	Deering 2005 ; Shalabi 2017; Weisz 2015*^			
Delayed adaptation	Lai 2017; Riaz 1998		Brazy 1982		
Respiratory distress syndrome	Alston 2016; Ambadkar 2019; Bozkurt 2016; Brookfield 2016; Canterino 1999; De Jesus 2015; de Veciana 1995^; Drassinower 2015; Elimian 2002*; Girsen 2015; Gonzalez- Quintero 2001; Gursoy 2015; Imamoglu 2014; Jazayeri 2003; Jung 2018; Kamyar 2015a; Lee 2013; McPherson 2014*; Mitani 2011; Rantonen 2001; Schanler 1997; Shokry 2010; Suh 2015; Yokoyama 2010	de Veciana 1995^; Özlü 2019			

Table 8. Summary of outcomes from non-randomised studies.

Table 8. (Continued)

Outcome	Direction of effect for magnesium sulphate versus no magnesium sulphate or a different regimen				
	Studies showing no clear difference	Studies showing possible benefit	Studies showing possible harm		
Respiratory depression	Bertello Grecco 2019*		Das 2015*		
Surfactant use	delValle 1998; Elimian 2002 [*] ; Garcia Alonso 2018 ; Lloreda-Garcia 2016; Rantonen 2001; Shokry 2010; Weisz 2015 [*]				
Ventilation	Brookfield 2016; De Jesus 2015 ^; Drassinower 2015; Garcia Alonso 2018; Girsen 2015; Havranek 2011; James 2015; Lee 2013; Lloreda-Garcia 2016^; McPherson 2014*; Nunes 2018; Özlü 2019; Rantonen 2001; Schanler 1997; Shokry 2010	De Jesus 2015 ^; Lloreda-Garcia 2016^; Rauf 2017^; Shalabi 2017	Lipsitz 1971*; Lloreda-Garcia 2016^; Rauf 2017^		
Ventilation (duration)	Black 2006; De Jesus 2015; Kimberlin 1998; Özlü 2019; Suh 2015				
Methylxanthine use or duration	Black 2006; Havranek 2011; Imamoglu 2014; Schanler 1997				
Chronic lung disease or bronchopulmonary dysplasia	Alston 2016; Basu 2012; Bozkurt 2016; De Jesus 2015; Edwards 2018; Garcia Alonso 2018; James 2015; Jung 2018; Kamyar 2015a; Kamyar 2016a; McPherson 2014*; Özlü 2019; Shalabi 2017; Stockley 2018; Suh 2015; Weisz 2015		Narasimhulu 2017; Stetson 2019		
Oxygen use (at 28 days, 36 weeks, or discharge)	Kimberlin 1998; Morag 2016; Schanler 1997				
Oxygen use (duration)	De Jesus 2015; Özlü 2019; Suh 2015				
Steroid use (dexamethasone or hydrocortisone)	Mikhael 2019*; Rantonen 2001; Rattray 2014; Shalabi 2017				
Apnoea	Bozkurt 2016; Riaz 1998; Wutthigate 2017*				
Pulmonary haemorrhage	De Jesus 2015; James 2015				
Necrotising enterocolitis	Alston 2016; Bozkurt 2016; Brazy 1982; De Jesus 2015; delValle 1998; de Veciana 1995; Downey 2017; Edwards 2018 ; Elimian 2002*; Elliott 2003; Garcia Alonso 2018; Ghidini 2001 ; Gursoy 2015; Hong 2019; James 2015; Jazayeri 2003; Jung 2018; Kamyar 2015a; Kamyar 2016a; Kamyar 2016b; Kimberlin 1998 ; Lee 2013; Lloreda- Garcia 2016; McPherson 2014*; Mikhael 2019*; Morag 2016; Narasimhulu 2017; Özlü 2019; Schanler 1997; Shalabi 2017; Stockley 2018 ; Suh 2015; Weisz 2015 ; Yokoyama 2010	Moschos 2001; Wiswell 1996			
Spontaneous intestinal perforation	Downey 2017; Mikhael 2019*; Shalabi 2017		Rattray 2014		
Composite of necrotising enterocolitis/spontaneous intestinal perforation or death	Kamyar 2016b*^; Mikhael 2019*^	Downey 2017; Mikhael 2019*^	Kamyar 2016b*^; Rattray 2014*		
Necrotising enterocolitis/ spontaneous intestinal perforation-associated death	Hong 2019; Shalabi 2017				
Sepsis	Alston 2016; Bozkurt 2016; De Jesus 2015; Elimian 2002*; Girsen 2015; James 2015; Jazayeri 2003; Jung 2018; Kamyar 2016a ; Lloreda-Garcia 2016; Mikhael 2019*; Morag 2016; Özlü 2019; Rantonen 2001; Riaz 1998; Stockley 2018; Teng 2006; Weisz 2015		Whitsel 2004		

Outcome	Direction of effect for magnesium sulphate versus no magnesium sulphate or a different regimen				
	Studies showing no clear difference	Studies showing possible benefit	Studies showing possible harm		
Antibiotic use	Elimian 2002*^; Greenberg 2013		Elimian 2002^		
Hypoglycaemia	Bozkurt 2016; Grimbly 2015				
Feeding intolerance	Gursoy 2015; Özlü 2019; Riaz 1998		Belden 2017*		
Delayed stooling	Lloreda-Garcia 2016^	Lloreda-Garcia 2016^	Brazy 1982; Das 2015*		
Meconium passage delay	Ambadkar 2019; Lloreda-Garcia 2016				
Ileus			Brazy 1982; Nakamura 1991*		
Delayed voiding	Sahin 2001		Das 2015*		
Patent ductus arteriosus	Basu 2012 ; Bozkurt 2016; delValle 1998; Elimian 2002*; Garcia Alonso 2018; Gursoy 2015; Imamoglu 2014; James 2015; Katayama 2011* ^; Lee 2013*; Özlü 2019; Schanler 1997; Yokoyama 2010	Qasim 2017	Brazy 1982; del Moral 2007 ; Gonzalez-Quintero 2001; Katayama 2011 ^; Lee 2013; Narasimhulu 2017; Shokry 2010		
Patent ductus arteriosus (treated)	De Jesus 2015 ; del Moral 2007; delValle 1998; Katayama 2011*; Lee 2013; Lloreda- Garcia 2016; Mikhael 2019*; Shalabi 2017; Suh 2015		Bonta 2000*		
Hypotension	Brazy 1982; Derks 2016; Drassinower 2015; Gursoy 2015; Morag 2016; Teng 2006	De Jesus 2015	Narasimhulu 2017		
Hypertension	Gursoy 2015	Brown 2019			
Inotrope use	Imamoglu 2014; James 2015; Shokry 2010				
Intravenous fluids and/or nutritional support needed			Greenberg 2013; Rasch 1982		
Phototherapy	Greenberg 2013; Havranek 2011; Imamoglu 2014				
Retinopathy of prematurity	Basu 2012 ; Bozkurt 2016; Cuff 2018*; De Jesus 2015; Elliott 2003; Garcia Alonso 2018 ; Jung 2018; Kamyar 2015a; Kimberlin 1998 ; Lee 2013; McPherson 2014*; Narasimhulu 2017; Özlü 2019; Shalabi 2017 ^; Stockley 2018 ; Suh 2015; Weisz 2015 ; Yokoyama 2010	Shalabi 2017^	Rauf 2017		
Hypotonia	Bertello Grecco 2019*; Drassinower 2015; Gibbins 2013; Girsen 2015; Nassar 2006*		Ambadkar 2019; Brazy 1982; Das 2015*; Rauf 2017; Riaz 1998		
Seizure	Drassinower 2015; Girsen 2015; Kimberlin 1998 ; McPherson 2014 [*] ; Rauf 2017	Shokry 2010			
Encephalopathy	Girsen 2015; Rantonen 2001; Rauf 2017				
Intraventricular haemorrhage	Alston 2016; Black 2006; De Jesus 2015; delValle 1998; de Veciana 1995; Drassinower 2015; Edwards 2018 ; Elliott 2003; Gano 2016; Garcia Alonso 2018; Gasparyan 2017; Gonzalez-Quintero 2001; Gursoy 2015; Hom 2018; Imamoglu 2014; Jazayeri 2003; Jung 2018^; Kamyar 2015a; Lee 2013; Leviton 1997 ; Martin 1998; McPherson 2014*; Mitani 2011*; Nassar 2006*; Nelson 1995; Özlü 2019; Paneth 1997 ; Schanler 1997; Stetson 2019; Stockley 2018; Suh 2015; Yokoyama 2010	Jung 2018^; Kuban 1992 ; Perlman 1995; Petrova 2012 ; Rantonen 2001; Rauf 2017; Shokry 2010	Salafia 1995		
Intraventricular haemorrhage grade 3 or 4	del Moral 2007; Downey 2017 ; Gano 2016; James 2015; Jung 2018; Kamyar 2016a ; Kimberlin 1998 ; McPherson 2014*; Mikhael 2019*; Narasimhulu 2017; Özlü 2019; Rantonen 2001; Stockley 2018; Weintraub 2001	Gasparyan 2017; Perlman 1995; Sarkar 2009; Wiswell 1996	Cuff 2018*; Khodapanahandeh 2008		

Table 8. (Continued)

Table 8. (Continued)

Outcome	Direction of effect for magnesium sulphate versus no magnesium sulphate or a different regimen			
	Studies showing no clear difference	Studies showing possible benefit	Studies showing possible harm	
Periventricular leucomalacia	Bozkurt 2016; De Jesus 2015; del Moral 2007; delValle 1998; Garcia Alonso 2018; Jung 2018^; Kamyar 2015a; Kamyar 2016a ; Lee 2013; Mitani 2011*; Narasimhulu 2017; Rauf 2017; Suh 2015; Wiswell 1996	FineSmith 1997; Jung 2018^; Murata 2005		
Intraventricular haemorrhage or periventricular leucomalacia	Basu 2012; Canterino 1999 *; Elimian 2002*			
Intraventricular haemorrhage grade 3 or 4 and/or periventricular leucomalacia	Bozkurt 2016; Canterino 1999 *; Morag 2016; Shalabi 2017 ^; Weisz 2015	Koksal 2002; Shalabi 2017 ^; Wiswell 1996		
Hypocalcaemia	Cho 2014; Lee 2015; McGuiness 1980		Narasimhulu 2017	
Bone abnormalities	Yokoyama 2010		Holcomb 1991*; Matsuda 1997*	
Hearing impairment or hearing test failure	Jung 2018	Leung 2016		
Composite adverse outcomes	Drassinower 2015; Duffy 2012; Kamyar 2015a; Kamyar 2015b; Kamyar 2015c; Kamyar 2016a; Mitani 2011 *; Narasimhulu 2017; Palatnik 2019 ; Rizzolo 2019; Sakae 2017* ^; Weisz 2015		Boyle 2018; Sakae 2017* ^	
NICU admission	Ambadkar 2019*^; Bertello Grecco 2019*; Cawyer 2019; Chun 2014^; Gibbins 2013; Lai 2017; McPherson 2014*; Rantonen 2001; Riaz 1998*		Ambadkar 2019*^; Chun 2014^; Das 2015*; Girsen 2015; Greenberg 2011*; Greenberg 2013*; Rhee 2012	
NICU duration	Gibbins 2013; Girsen 2015 ; Greenberg 2013; Jazayeri 2003; Jung 2018; Kimberlin 1998; Rauf 2017		Narasimhulu 2017	
Hospital stay duration	Alston 2016; Basu 2012 ; De Jesus 2015; de Veciana 1995; Özlü 2019; Riaz 1998; Schanler 1997; Suh 2015		Brazy 1982; Girsen 2015	
Other (outcomes reported by single studies)	Black 2006; Blackwell 2002; Brazy 1982; Derks 2016; Gano 2016; Girsen 2015; Greenberg 2013; Havranek 2011; Hong 2019; Imamoglu 2014; Jeanneteau 2014; Jones 2018; Jung 2018; Katayama 2011*; Kelly 1992; Kimberlin 1998 ; Lai 2017; Leviton 1997 ; Lloreda-Garcia 2016; Mittendorf 2005*; Morag 2016; Nassar 2006*; Nunes 2018; Özlü 2019; Paneth 1997 ; Petrov 2013; Rantonen 2001; Riaz 1998; Sahin 2001; Schanler 1997; Shalabi 2017 ^	Deering 2005; Derks 2016; Gano 2016; Jeanneteau 2014; Kimberlin 1998; Mittendorf 2005*; Petrov 2013	Belden 2017*; Brazy 1982; Das 2015*; Katayama 2011; Lai 2017; Lipsitz 1971*; Mittendorf 2009*; Morag 2015; Morag 2016; Rasch 1982; Shalabi 2017^; Verma 2006*; Weisz 2015; Whitten 2015	

The bold studies were judged to be of higher quality (moderate or moderate to high risk of bias) and presented results adjusted for confounders for the relevant outcomes; other studies were judged to be at high or unclear risk of bias and/or or did not present adjusted results for the relevant outcomes.

*Indicates where studies assessed different magnesium sulphate regimens or 1 or more characteristics of the regimen (such as dose, duration, timing, or indication for use).

^Indicates where studies demonstrated mixed findings (such as in different subgroups of the population).

NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.t008

antenatal magnesium sulphate doses for fetal neuroprotection (non-concurrent cohort of 155 babies born less than 1,000 grams) [173].

A possible increased risk of patent ductus arteriosus was observed with magnesium sulphate given for pre-eclampsia (retrospective cohort of 81 'very low birthweight' babies; abstract only) [140] or for pre-eclampsia or tocolysis (retrospective cohort of 941 babies born 500 to 1,000 grams) [90]. Further, a possible increased risk of patent ductus arteriosus in babies born 26 weeks gestation or later was shown with cumulative antenatal magnesium sulphate doses for pre-eclampsia or tocolysis of at least 50 grams (retrospective cohort of 941 babies born 500 to 1,000 grams) [90]. Potential increased risk of symptomatic patent ductus arteriosus, and of failure of early closure of the ductus arteriosus, was also observed with the use of antenatal magnesium sulphate for tocolysis (retrospective cohort of 160 babies born less than 28 weeks gestation, who all received indomethacin prophylaxis) [132].

A potential increased risk of intraventricular haemorrhage grade 3 or 4 was observed with antenatal magnesium sulphate for tocolysis (case–control study of 121 babies born less than 1,500 grams) [134], and with a higher dose regimen of antenatal magnesium sulphate for fetal neuroprotection (6-gram IV loading dose and 2-gram-per-hour IV maintenance dose for 12 hours versus 4-gram IV loading dose only) (retrospective cohort, including 54 babies exposed to magnesium sulphate within 12 hours of birth) [84].

A possible increased risk of neonatal intensive care unit admission with the use of antenatal magnesium sulphate for pre-eclampsia was observed (retrospective cohorts of 264 babies and 2,166 babies born at 37 weeks gestation or later) [106,109]. Further increased risks of neonatal intensive care unit and special care unit admission were observed with higher total hours, higher total doses, more than 12 hours, and more than 30 grams of antenatal magnesium sulphate for pre-eclampsia (retrospective cohort of 242 babies born at 35 weeks gestation or later) [108].

Evidence from case reports

Nineteen reports describing a total of 134 babies exposed to antenatal magnesium sulphate with adverse outcomes were included [200–218] (see Table 9; the detailed characteristics of cases are presented in S4 Table).

Clinical features of neonatal hypermagnesemia, magnesium 'toxicity', or magnesium 'intoxication' at birth were the focus of 5 reports (35 neonates), in which antenatal magnesium sulphate was given for pre-eclampsia/eclampsia (with exposure durations and doses ranging from 3.5 to 46 hours and 11 to 60.4 grams prior to birth, respectively) [202,205,206,213,218], and were variably described throughout the remaining reports. These included low Apgar scores, apnoea, cyanosis, hypotonia, and/or hyporeflexia, with or without the need for active resuscitation and calcium gluconate administration.

Outcome	Indication for use: studies	
Neonatal death	Tocolysis: Herschel 2001	
	Pre-eclampsia/eclampsia: Kurtoglu 2000	
Cardiopulmonary arrest after gentamicin exposure following hypermagnesemia at birth	Pre-eclampsia: L'Hommedieu 1983; Rasch 1981	
Clinical features of magnesium 'toxicity' or 'intoxication' at birth (such as apnoea, cyanosis, hypotonia, and/or hyporeflexia)	Pre-eclampsia/eclampsia: Brady 1967; Cruz 2009; Lipsitz 1967; Teng 1989	
	Not clear: Jashi 2014	
Microcolon or 'meconium-plug syndrome'	Pre-eclampsia/eclampsia: Amodio 1986; Krasna 1996; Sokal 1972	
Nonoliguric hyperkalaemia	Pre-eclampsia: Tanaka 2018	
Bone abnormalities with prolonged magnesium sulphate for tocolysis	Tocolysis: Cumming 1989; Kaplan 2006; Kogan 2003; Lamm 1988; Malaeb 2004	
	Not clear: Ahmad 2013	

Table 9. Summar	y of main adverse	outcomes from	case reports.
-----------------	-------------------	---------------	---------------

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002988.t009

Two reports described neonatal death following antenatal magnesium sulphate exposure. In the first report, death was considered to be related to 'the toxic effects of magnesium on the myocardium' when given for tocolysis (4-gram IV loading dose followed by 2.5-gram-per-hour IV maintenance dose for approximately 1 day: 51.4 grams total) [204], and in the second, 1 death (of 7) was attributed to 'overdose' (unclear dose/regimen) of magnesium sulphate when given for pre-eclampsia/eclampsia [210].

In the context of hypermagnesemia at birth (following exposure to a total of 24 to 28 grams of magnesium sulphate for pre-eclampsia), neonatal gentamicin administration for suspected sepsis was associated with respiratory arrest and cardiac arrest in 2 reports [211,215]. Other specific adverse outcomes attributed to antenatal magnesium sulphate exposure included

- Microcolon or meconium-plug syndrome (3 reports, 14 neonates, when given for preeclampsia/eclampsia: 25 to 41 grams in the day prior to birth in 1 report; regimen not described in 2 reports) [201,209,216];
- Nonoliguric hyperkalaemia (1 report, 1 neonate, when given for pre-eclampsia: 0.1 gram to 2 grams per hour IV for 12 days) [217];
- Bone abnormalities (commonly metaphyseal osteopenia, in some cases leading to fracture) (6 reports, 35 neonates, when given for tocolysis: ranging from 1 to 4 grams per hour for between 8 and 13 weeks) [200,203,207,208,212,214].

Discussion

Overall, no clear difference in our primary review outcome, perinatal death, was shown in the randomised trials comparing antenatal magnesium sulphate with placebo/no treatment, nor in regimen comparisons in randomised trials. While 11 of the 138 non-randomised studies reported on perinatal death, only 1 cohort study (at moderate to high risk of bias) observed a possible increased risk of perinatal death, with high-dose (more than 48 grams) antenatal magnesium sulphate exposure for tocolysis [183].

Results for secondary adverse neonatal outcomes were reassuring, with very few clear differences observed between antenatal magnesium sulphate and placebo/no treatment or between different magnesium sulphate regimens. Where possible harms of magnesium sulphate were seen, commonly no confounders were taken into account (and studies were judged to be at high risk of bias), study samples were small (less than 200 babies), and/or differences were observed in (non-formal) subgroup analyses only. Non-randomised studies identified a limited number of outcomes justifying further evaluation, such as from large, high-quality studies (prospective cohorts, individual participant data meta-analyses, or randomised trials of regimen comparisons). These included neonatal death and intestinal morbidity in very preterm neonates with exposure for fetal neuroprotection, patent ductus arteriosus in very preterm or very low birthweight neonates with exposure for pre-eclampsia or tocolysis, and intensive care unit admission in term neonates with exposure for pre-eclampsia. Case reports suggested an association between neonatal bone abnormalities and long-term, high-dose exposure to antenatal magnesium sulphate for tocolysis.

We are not aware of any other published systematic reviews with a focus on potential adverse outcomes for neonates following antenatal magnesium sulphate exposure, though we identified 3 review registrations focused specifically on magnesium sulphate for tocolysis and the outcomes neonatal respiratory depression (CRD42017058912), patent ductus arteriosus (CRD42017060049), and bone abnormalities (CRD42017062550) [18]. Three previous systematic reviews have assessed 'adverse events' [13], 'side effects' [219], and 'safety' [220] for

women, and a further systematic review has assessed the effects of antenatal magnesium sulphate specifically on fetal heart rate parameters, finding a small negative effect on rate, variability, and accelerative pattern, 'not sufficient clinically to warrant medical intervention' [14].

Our review findings are consistent with those from the relevant Cochrane reviews comparing antenatal magnesium sulphate with placebo/no treatment, or different magnesium sulphate regimens [1,2,4,9,221], though our review includes a wider range of outcomes. As was observed in our review's tocolysis subgroup for perinatal death, the Cochrane review assessing magnesium sulphate for tocolysis demonstrated a borderline increased risk of fetal, neonatal, or infant death with antenatal magnesium sulphate [9].

A recent non-systematic narrative review evaluated 'whether antenatal MgSO₄ is beneficial or harmful' in extremely and very preterm neonates [222]. Relevant systematic reviews, metaanalyses, randomised controlled trials, and observational studies were retrieved, with a broad search strategy focused on neuroprotection and cerebral palsy, necrotising enterocolitis, and spontaneous intestinal perforation. The narrative review suggested that current evidence supports the neuroprotective role of antenatal magnesium sulphate for preterm neonates, and that, while the effects are 'controversial' and 'not well established', a 'high index of suspicion of gastrointestinal complications in extremely preterms, particularly < 26 weeks of gestation' is recommended [222]. While our review similarly identified a possibility of harm, the relevant studies were of questionable methodological quality, and our systematic review included additional reports (of higher quality, and involving much larger cohorts) that indicated no increased risk of intestinal morbidity.

The findings of this review are reassuring and can be considered in conjunction with those from relevant reviews demonstrating a clear benefit of antenatal magnesium sulphate [1,2,4], and current international clinical practice guideline recommendations [3,7]. Our review findings of possible adverse outcomes with long-term, high-dose use for tocolysis have implications for settings with continued use for this indication [8] in spite of the absence of benefit shown in systematic reviews and international guidance [7–9].

Strengths and limitations

The main limitations of our review relate to missing data for important outcomes across most studies, the inclusion of published data only, and the heterogeneity of included studies.

Of the 40 randomised trials included in this review, our primary outcome (perinatal death) was reported by 22 (55%); it was reported by only 11 (8%) of the 138 included non-randomised studies. Aside from related mortality outcomes (stillbirth and neonatal death), all other adverse outcomes were reported sparsely, by less than a third of trials, with many outcomes reported by single trials only. While a broader range of adverse neonatal outcomes were reported by the non-randomised studies, apart from neonatal death (50 studies), intraventricular haemorrhage (39 studies), and necrotising enterocolitis (36 studies), all other outcomes were reported by less than a fifth of studies, again, with many reported by single studies only.

In addition to missing data for important outcomes across most studies, a further limitation includes the number of studies with relatively small sample sizes comparing different antenatal magnesium sulphate regimens. Moreover, many studies assessing antenatal magnesium sulphate for relevant indications were not included due to lack of reporting of adverse neonatal outcomes.

We searched extensively across multiple databases and reviewed reference lists for additional reports; however, we did not seek unpublished data. Recent evidence has suggested that while much adverse event information remains unpublished, inclusion of such data generally does not change the direction or statistical significance of pooled risk estimates [223]. While we were not able to fully evaluate non-English publications without available translations for inclusion, we have provided a list of these for readers to consider (S3 Text).

As the aim of the review was to provide a comprehensive, general view of potential unintended adverse outcomes, we designed this review with broad scope [15,16]. This presented challenges, including the number of diverse outcomes, inconsistent reporting, and the vast quantities of heterogeneous data. The study characteristics (including designs, settings, participating women and neonates, and antenatal magnesium sulphate regimens) varied greatly, and reporting was commonly incomplete. The ability to conduct subgroup analyses for the randomised trials was limited, and we did not inappropriately pool data from non-randomised studies. We conducted this review in accordance with recommendations for systematic reviews of adverse events [15–17], and of randomised and non-randomised studies more generally [224]. Our evaluation thus provides a firm basis for any further, narrowly focused studies of specific outcomes and characteristics.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our findings do not support any clear associations between perinatal death or other adverse neonatal outcomes and antenatal magnesium sulphate exposure when given for the beneficial indications of maternal neuroprotection in pre-eclampsia/eclampsia and fetal neuroprotection in cerebral palsy prevention. To further inform safety recommendations of this widely used treatment in pregnancy, future research should be directed towards identified research gaps surrounding specific adverse neonatal outcomes and the impact of particular regimen, pregnancy, and/or birth characteristics.

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. Forest plots and funnel plots for comparisons 1–8. (DOCX)

S1 Fig. Risk of bias for randomised controlled trials. Risk of bias summary showing judgements about each risk of bias item for the 40 included randomised trials. Green represents 'low risk of bias'; yellow, 'unclear risk of bias'; red, 'high risk of bias'. (TIF)

S1 PRISMA Checklist. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist.

(DOCX)

S1 Table. Characteristics of included studies. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Risk of bias of included studies. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Adverse outcomes from non-randomised studies. (DOCX)

S4 Table. Adverse outcomes from case reports. (DOCX)

S1 Text. Protocol. (PDF)

S2 Text. Search strategies. (DOCX)

S3 Text. Articles excluded at full-text screening due to absence of English translation. (DOCX)

S4 Text. References for included studies. (DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Emily Shepherd, Deepak Manhas, Anne Synnes, Philippa Middleton, Maria Makrides, Caroline A. Crowther.

Data curation: Emily Shepherd, Rehana A. Salam, Deepak Manhas, Anne Synnes.

Formal analysis: Emily Shepherd.

Funding acquisition: Emily Shepherd, Philippa Middleton, Maria Makrides, Caroline A. Crowther.

Investigation: Emily Shepherd, Rehana A. Salam, Deepak Manhas, Anne Synnes.

Methodology: Emily Shepherd, Deepak Manhas, Anne Synnes, Philippa Middleton, Maria Makrides, Caroline A. Crowther.

Project administration: Emily Shepherd.

Resources: Emily Shepherd.

Software: Emily Shepherd.

Supervision: Emily Shepherd.

Writing – original draft: Emily Shepherd.

Writing – review & editing: Emily Shepherd, Rehana A. Salam, Deepak Manhas, Anne Synnes, Philippa Middleton, Maria Makrides, Caroline A. Crowther.

References

- Duley L, Gülmezoglu AM, Henderson-Smart DJ, Chou D. Magnesium sulphate and other anticonvulsants for women with pre-eclampsia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;(11):CD000025. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000025.pub2</u> PMID: 21069663
- Duley L, Matar HE, Almerie MQ, Hall DR. Alternative magnesium sulphate regimens for women with pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;(8):CD007388. https://doi.org/10. 1002/14651858.CD007388.pub2 PMID: 20687086
- **3.** World Health Organization. WHO recommendations for prevention and treatment of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
- Doyle LW, Crowther CA, Middleton P, Marret S, Rouse D. Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(1):CD004661. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004661.pub3 PMID: 19160238
- Jayaram PM, Mohan MK, Farid I, Lindow S. Antenatal magnesium sulfate for fetal neuroprotection: a critical appraisal and systematic review of clinical practice guidelines. J Perinat Med. 2019; 47(3):262– 9. https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-2018-0174 PMID: 30352042
- Medley N, Poljak B, Mammarella S, Alfirevic Z. Clinical guidelines for prevention and management of preterm birth: a systematic review. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 2018; 125(11):1361–9.
- 7. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on interventions to improve preterm birth outcomes. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.

- Elliott JP, Morrison JC, Bofill JA. Risks and benefits of magnesium sulfate tocolysis in preterm labor (PTL). AIMS Public Health. 2016; 3(2):348–56. https://doi.org/10.3934/publichealth.2016.2.348 PMID: 29546168
- Crowther CA, Brown J, McKinlay CJD, Middleton P. Magnesium sulphate for preventing preterm birth in threatened preterm labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(8):CD001060. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001060.pub2 PMID: 25126773</u>
- Hutchinson HT, Nichols MM, Kuhn CR, Vasicka A. Effects of magnesium sulfate on uterine contractility, intrauterine fetus, and infant. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1964; 88:747–58. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> 0002-9378(64)90608-8 PMID: 14130338
- Tsang RC. Neonatal magnesium disturbances. Am J Dis Child. 1972; 124(2):282–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.1972.02110140132019</u> PMID: 4559534
- 12. Van Laecke S. Hypomagnesemia and hypermagnesemia. Acta Clin Belg. 2019; 74(1):41–7. <u>https://</u>doi.org/10.1080/17843286.2018.1516173 PMID: 30220246
- Bain ES, Middleton PF, Crowther CA. Maternal adverse effects of different antenatal magnesium sulphate regimens for improving maternal and infant outcomes: a systematic review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2013; 13:195. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-13-195 PMID: 24139447
- Nensi A, De Silva DA, von Dadelszen P, Sawchuck D, Synnes AR, Crane J, et al. Effect of magnesium sulphate on fetal heart rate parameters: a systematic review. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2014; 36 (12):1055–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1701-2163(15)30382-0 PMID: 25668040
- 15. Loke YK, Golder SP, Vandenbroucke JP. Comprehensive evaluations of the adverse effects of drugs: importance of appropriate study selection and data sources. Ther Adv Drug Saf. 2011; 2(2):59–68. https://doi.org/10.1177/2042098611401129 PMID: 25083202
- Loke YK, Price D, Herxheimer A. Systematic reviews of adverse effects: framework for a structured approach. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2007; 7:32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-7-32</u> PMID: 17615054
- Zorzela L, Loke YK, Ioannidis JP, Golder S, Santaguida P, Altman DG, et al. PRISMA harms checklist: improving harms reporting in systematic reviews. BMJ. 2016; 352:i157. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.</u> i157 PMID: 26830668
- Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. PROSPERO: international prospective register of systematic reviews. York: Centre for Reviews and Dissemination; 2019 [cited 2019 May 3]. https://www.crd.york. ac.uk/prospero/.
- Higgins J, Green S, editors. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). Cochrane Collaboration; 2011 [cited 2019 Nov 11]. <u>https://handbook-5-1. cochrane.org/.</u>
- Viswanathan M, Berkman ND, Dryden DM, Hartling L. Assessing risk of bias and confounding in observational studies of interventions or exposures: further development of the RTI Item Bank. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2013 Aug.
- 21. Nordic Cochrane Centre. Review Manager (RevMan). Version 5.3. Copenhagen: Nordic Cochrane Centre; 2014.
- Abdul M, Nasir U, Khan N, Yusuf M. Low-dose magnesium sulphate in the control of eclamptic fits: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2013; 287(1):43–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-012-2523-z PMID: 22930148
- 23. Agrawal S, Das V, Verma V, Agarwal A, Pandey A, Jain V. Evaluation of medium dose versus standard Pritchard regime of magnesium sulfate in the management of eclampsia in developing nation. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2015; 131(Suppl 5):E183.
- Bain E, Middleton P, Yelland L, Ashwood P, Crowther C. Maternal adverse effects with different loading infusion rates of antenatal magnesium sulphate for preterm fetal neuroprotection: the IRIS randomised trial. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 2014; 121(5):595–603.
- Begum M, Begum A, Quardir E. Loading dose versus standard regime of magnesium sulfate in the management of eclampsia: a randomized trial. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2002; 28(3):154–9. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1341-8076.2002.00029.x PMID: 12214831
- Behrad B, Moossavifar N, Motahedzadeh M, Esmaili H, Moghtadeii P. A prospective, randomized, controlled trial of high and low doses of magnesium sulfate for acute tocolysis. Acta Med Iran. 2003; 41(2):126–31.
- Bhattacharjee N, Saha S, Ganguly R, Patra K, Shali B, Das N, et al. A randomised comparative study between low-dose intravenous magnesium sulphate and standard intramuscular regimen for the treatment of eclampsia. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2011; 31(4):298–303. https://doi.org/10.3109/01443615.2010. 549972 PMID: 21534749

- 28. Blackwell S, Hallak M, Hassan S, Berry S, Russell E, Sorokin Y. The effects of intrapartum magnesium sulfate therapy on fetal serum interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor-α at delivery: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2001; 184(7):1320–4. https://doi.org/10. 1067/mob.2001.115745 PMID: 11408847
- Chama C, Geidam A, Bako B, Mairiga A, Atterwahmie A. A shortened versus standard matched postpartum magnesium sulphate regimen in the treatment of eclampsia: a randomised controlled trial. Afr J Reprod Health. 2013; 17(3):131–6. PMID: 24069775
- Chen F-P, Chang S-D, Chu K-K. Expectant management in severe preeclampsia: does magnesium sulfate prevent the development of eclampsia? Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 1995; 74(3):182–5.
- Chissell S, Botha J, Moodley J, McFadyen L. Intravenous and intramuscular magnesium sulphate regimens in severe pre-eclampsia. S Afr Med J. 1994; 84(9):607–10. PMID: 7839282
- Coetzee E, Dommisse J, Anthony J. A randomised controlled trial of intravenous magnesium sulphate versus placebo in the management of women with severe pre-eclampsia. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1998; 105(3):300–3. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.1998.tb10090.x PMID: 9532990
- Colon I, Berletti M, Garabedian M, Wilcox N, Williams K, Chueh J, et al. Randomized, double-blinded trial of magnesium sulfate tocolysis vs intravenous normal saline for nonsevere placental abruption. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 212(1 Suppl):S388–9.
- Cotton D, Strassner H, Hill L, Schifrin B, Paul R. Comparison of magnesium sulfate, terbutaline and a placebo for inhibition of preterm labor. A randomized study. J Reprod Med. 1984; 29(2):92–7. PMID: 6708033
- Cox S, Sherman L, Leveno K. Randomized investigation of magnesium sulfate for prevention of preterm birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1990; 163(3):767–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378(90)91065-k PMID: 2206069
- Crowther C, Hiller J, Doyle L, Haslam R, Australasian Collaborative Trial of Magnesium Sulphate (ACTOMgSO4) Collaborative Group. Effect of magnesium sulfate given for neuroprotection before preterm birth. A randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2003; 290(20):2669–76. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.290.20.2669 PMID: 14645308
- 37. Easterling T, Hebert M, Bracken H, Darwish E, Ramadan MC, Shaarawy S, et al. A randomized trial comparing the pharmacology of magnesium sulfate when used to treat severe preeclampsia with serial intravenous boluses versus a continuous intravenous infusion. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018; 18(1):290. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-018-1919-6 PMID: 29976161
- **38.** Fox M, Allbert J, McCaul J, Martin R, McLaughlin B, Morrison J. Neonatal morbidity between 34 and 37 weeks' gestation. Obstet Gynecol Surv. 1993; 49(4):242–3.
- Magpie Trial Collaborative Group. Do women with pre-eclampsia, and their babies, benefit from magnesium sulphate? The Magpie Trial: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2002; 359 (1):1877–90.
- How HY C C, Cook VD, Miles DE, Spinnato JA. Preterm premature rupture of membranes: aggressive tocolysis versus expectant management. J Matern Fetal Med. 1998; 7(1):8–12. https://doi.org/10. 1002/(SICI)1520-6661(199801/02)7:1<8::AID-MFM2>3.0.CO;2-S PMID: 9502662
- Keepanasseril A, Maurya DK, Manikandan K, Suriya YJ, Habeebullah S, Raghavan SS. Prophylactic magnesium sulphate in prevention of eclampsia in women with severe preeclampsia: randomised controlled trial (PIPES trial). J Obstet Gynaecol. 2018; 38(3):305–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443615. 2017.1351931 PMID: 28974124
- Lewis DF, Bergstedt S, Edwards MS, Burlison S, Gallaspy JW, Brooks GG, Adair CD. Successful magnesium sulfate tocolysis: is "weaning" the drug necessary? Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1997; 177(4):742–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9378(97)70261-8 PMID: 9369812
- Livingston J, Livingston L, Ramsey R, Mabie B, Sibai B. Magnesium sulfate in women with mild preeclampsia: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 101(2):217–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0029-7844(02)03053-3 PMID: 12576241</u>
- Malapaka S, Ballal P. Low-dose magnesium sulfate versus Pritchard regimen for the treatment of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2011; 115(1):70–2. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ijgo.2011.05.013 PMID: 21798536
- 45. Marret S, Marpeau L, Zupan-Simunek V, Eurin D, Leveque C, Hellot M-F, et al. Magnesium sulphate given before very-preterm birth to protect infant brain: the randomised controlled PREMAG trial. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 2007; 114(3):310–8.
- 46. Mirzamoradi M, Behnam M, Jahed T, Saleh-Gargari S. Does magnesium sulfate delay the active phase of labor in women with premature rupture of membranes? A randomized controlled trial. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2014; 53(3):309–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjog.2013.06.014</u> PMID: 25286782

- Mittendorf R, Dambrosia J, Pryde P, Lee K-S, Gianopoulois J, Besinger R, et al. Association between the use of antenatal magnesium sulfate in preterm labor and adverse health outcomes in infants. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002; 186(6):1111–8. https://doi.org/10.1067/mob.2002.123544 PMID: 12066082
- Moodley J, Moodley V. Prophylactic anticonvulsant therapy in hypertensive crises of pregnancy—the need for a large, randomized trial. Hypertens Pregnancy. 1994; 13(3):245–52.
- Mundle S, Regi A, Easterling T, Biswas B, Bracken H, Khedekar V, et al. Treatment approaches for preeclampsia in low-resource settings: a randomized trial of the Springfusor pump for delivery of magnesium sulfate. Pregnancy Hypertens. 2012; 2(1):32–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preghy.2011.09.002 PMID: 26104987
- Orji E, Ogoke G, Fasubaa O. Efficacy of a single loading dose of magnesium sulphate versus the standard Pritchard regimen in the management of severe preeclampsia in an African population. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2012; 119(S3):S447.
- Parashi S, Bordbar A, Mahmoodi Y, Jafari M. The survey of magnesium sulfate in prevention of intraventricular haemorrhage in premature infants: a randomized clinical trial. Shiraz E Med J. 2017; 18 (11):e55094.
- 52. Pascoal ACF, Katz L, Pinto MH, Santos CA, Braga LCO, Maia SB, et al. Serum magnesium levels during magnesium sulfate infusion at 1 gram/hour versus 2 grams/hour as a maintenance dose to prevent eclampsia in women with severe preeclampsia: a randomized clinical trial. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019; 98(32):e16779.
- Rimal S, Rijal P, Bhatt R, Thapa K. Loading dose only versus standard dose magnesium sulfate seizure prophylaxis in severe pre-eclamptic women. J Nepal Med Assoc. 2017; 56(208):388–94.
- Rouse D, Hirtz D, Thom E, Varner M, Spong C, Mercer B, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of magnesium sulfate for the prevention of cerebral palsy. N Engl J Med. 2008; 359(9):895–905. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0801187 PMID: 18753646</u>
- Saha P, Kaur J, Goel P, Kataria S, Tandon R, Saha L. Safety and efficacy of low dose intramuscular magnesium sulphate (MgSO4) compared to intravenous regimen for treatment of eclampsia J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2017; 4(10):1543–9.
- 56. Shilva, Saha S, Kalra J, Prasad R. Safety and efficacy of low-dose MgSO4 in the treatment of eclampsia. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2007; 97(2):150–1. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2007.01.008</u> PMID: 17368649
- 57. Shreya M, Krishna L, Shailaja N, Bhat B. Evaluation of single dose magnesium sulphate and Pritchard regimen in the treatment of eclampsia—a comparative study. Biomedicine. 2014; 34(2):252–6.
- Singh S, Behera A. Eclampsia in Eastern India: incidence, demographic profile and response to three different anticonvulsant regimes of magnesium sulphate. Internet J Gynecol Obstet. 2011; 15(2):1–7.
- 59. Tangmanowutthikul S, Champawong R, Songthamwat S, Songthamwat M. Comparison of magnesium sulphate protocols by weight-adjusted versus two grams per hour for preventing convulsion in preeclampsia: a randomised controlled trial. J Clin Diagn Res. 2019; 13(2):QC01–4.
- Terrone D, Rinehart B, Kimmel E, May W, Larmon J, Morrison J. A prospective, randomized, controlled trial of high and low maintenance doses of magnesium sulfate for acute tocolysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2000; 182(6):1477–82. https://doi.org/10.1067/mob.2000.107334 PMID: 10871468
- Wiltlin A, Friedman S, Sibai B. The effect of magnesium sulfate therapy on the duration of labor in women with mild preeclampsia at term: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1997; 176(3):623–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9378(97)70558-1</u> PMID: 9077617
- Adama-Hondegla AB, Lawson-Evi K, Bassowa A, Modji S, Egbla KF, Akpadza K. Perinatal mortality risk factors of infants bom from eclamptic mothers at Tokoin Teaching Hospital of Lome. Pak J Med Sci. 2013; 13(5):391–5.
- Alexander JM, McIntire DD, Leveno KJ, Cunningham FG. Selective magnesium sulfate prophylaxis for the prevention of eclampsia in women with gestational hypertension. Obstet Gynecol. 2006; 108(4):826–32. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.AOG.0000235721.88349.80 PMID: 17012442
- Alston MJ, Alexandrovic K, Stiglich N, Metz TD. Discontinuation of tocolytics for preterm labor in an academic safety net hospital: impact on the duration of betamethasone exposure. J Reprod Med. 2016; 61(2):109–13.
- Ambadkar A, Prasad M, Chauhan AR. Neonatal effects of maternal magnesium sulphate in late preterm and term pregnancies. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 2019; 69(1):25–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13224-017-1074-4</u> PMID: 30814806
- Bajaj M, Natarajan G, Shankaran S, Wyckoff M, Laptook AR, Bell EF, et al. Delivery room resuscitation and short-term outcomes in moderately preterm infants. J Pediatr. 2018; 195:33–8e2. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.11.039 PMID: 29306493

- Basu SK, Chickajajur V, Lopez V, Bhutada A, Pagala M, Rastogi S. Immediate clinical outcomes in preterm neonates receiving antenatal magnesium for neuroprotection. J Perinat Med. 2012; 40 (2):185–9.
- Belden MK, Gnadt S, Ebert A. Effects of maternal magnesium sulfate treatment on neonatal feeding tolerance. J Pediatri Pharmacol Ther. 2017; 22(2):112–7.
- Bertello Grecco M, Barrón B, Rigo D, McCormick Cook A, Pajón Scocco J, Novoa P, et al. Maternal and neonatal safety with the use of magnesium sulfate in preeclampsia. Kidney Int Rep. 2019; 4(7): S146.
- Black B, Holditch-Davis D, Schwartz T, Scher MS. Effects of antenatal magnesium sulfate and corticosteroid therapy on sleep states of preterm infants. Res Nurs Health. 2006; 29(4):269–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20141</u> PMID: 16847907
- Blackwell SC, Redman ME, Whitty JE, Refuerzo JS, Berry SM, Sorokin Y, et al. The effect of intrapartum magnesium sulfate therapy on fetal cardiac troponin I levels at delivery. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2002; 12(5):327–31. https://doi.org/10.1080/jmf.12.5.327.331 PMID: 12607765
- 72. Bonta BW, Chin TK, DeVoe WM. Maternal intravenous MgSO4 administration and its effects on neonatal respiratory function and risk of development of hemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus shunts during the initial 72 hours of life. J Investig Med. 2000; 48(1):107A.
- Boyle A, Greer K, Caballero A, Norton T, Kate P, Ferguson J, et al. Neonatal outcomes in obese women undergoing cesarean delivery for fetal heart rate tracing abnormalities. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2018; 218(1):S335.
- Bozkurt O, Eras Z, Canpolat FE, Oguz SS, Uras N, Dilmen U. Antenatal magnesium sulfate and neurodevelopmental outcome of preterm infants born to preeclamptic mothers. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2016; 29(7):1101–4. https://doi.org/10.3109/14767058.2015.1035641 PMID: 25893546
- 75. Brazy JE, Grimm JK, Little VA. Neonatal manifestations of severe maternal hypertension occurring before the thirty-sixth week of pregnancy. J Pediatr. 1982; 100(2):265–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0022-3476(82)80653-7 PMID: 7057337
- Brookfield K, Su F, Drover D, Adelus M, Lyell D, Carvalho B. Umbilical cord magnesium levels and neonatal resuscitation in infants exposed to magnesium sulfate. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 212(1 Suppl):S395–6.
- Brookfield K, O'Malley K, Yeaton-Massey A, Butwick A. Does magnesium sulfate exposure attenuate the effete of steroids administered for fetal lung maturation? Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2016; 1(Suppl): S89.
- 78. Brown BE, Vincer M, Acott P, El-Naggar W, O'Connell C, Kajetanowicz A. Systemic hypertension in preterm infants—a population-based study. Paediatr Child Health. 2019; 24(Suppl 2):e47–8.
- 79. Canterino JC, Verma UL, Visintainer PF, Figueroa R, Klein SA, Tejani NA. Maternal magnesium sulfate and the development of neonatal periventricular leucomalacia and intraventricular hemorrhage. Obstet Gynecol. 1999; 93(3):396–402. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0029-7844(98)00455-4</u> PMID: 10074987
- Cawyer CR. The association of magnesium sulfate with maternal morbidity when used for preeclampsia without severe features. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2019; 220(1):S292–3.
- Cho GJ, Lee JE, Hong HR, Hong SC, Hong YS, Kim HJ, et al. Maternal magnesium sulfate treatment is not associated with serum calcium levels of preterm neonate. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014; 210(1 Suppl):S356.
- Chowdhury JR, Chaudhuri S, Bhattacharyya N, Biswas PK, Panpalia M. Comparison of intramuscular magnesium sulfate with low dose intravenous magnesium sulfate regimen for treatment of eclampsia. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2009; 35(1):119–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1447-0756.2008.00842.x</u> PMID: 19215558
- **83.** Chun E-H, Do S-H, Shin H-J, Na H-S, Hwang J-W. Effects of magnesium sulfate on the labor duration and neonatal outcome in parturients with preeclampsia. Anesth Pain Med. 2014; 9(2):128–33.
- 84. Cuff RD, Sullivan SA, Chang EY. Impact of dosing schedule on uptake of neuroprotective magnesium sulfate. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2018 Sep 19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2018.1513482</u> PMID: 30122071
- Das M, Chaudhuri PR, Mondal BC, Mitra S, Bandyopadhyay D, Pramanik S. Assessment of serum magnesium levels and its outcome in neonates of eclamptic mothers treated with low-dose magnesium sulfate regimen. Indian J Pharmacol. 2015; 47(5):502–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613</u>. 165183 PMID: 26600638
- De Jesus L, Sood B, Shankaran S, Kendrick D, Das A, Bell E, et al. Antenatal magnesium sulfate exposure and acute cardiorespiratory events in preterm infants. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 212(1):94.e1–7.

- De Silva D, Synnes A, von Dadelszen P, Lee T, Bone J, Mag-CP, et al. MAGnesium sulphate for fetal neuroprotection to prevent Cerebral Palsy (MAG-CP)—implementation of a national guideline in Canada. Implement Sci. 2018; 13(1):8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-017-0702-9 PMID: 29325592
- de Veciana M, Porto M, Major CA, Barke JI. Tocolysis in advanced preterm labor: impact on neonatal outcome. Am J Perinatol. 1995; 12(4):294–8. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-994478 PMID: 7575840
- Deering SH, Stagg AR, Spong CY, Abubakar K, Pezzullo JC, Ghidini A. Antenatal magnesium treatment and neonatal illness severity as measured by the Score for Neonatal Acute Physiology (SNAP). J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2005; 17(2):151–5. https://doi.org/10.1080/14767050500043145 PMID: 16076625
- del Moral T, Gonzalez-Quintero VH, Claure N, Vanbuskirk S, Bancalari E. Antenatal exposure to magnesium sulfate and the incidence of patent ductus arteriosus in extremely low birth weight infants. J Perinatol. 2007; 27(3):154–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jp.7211663 PMID: 17314984
- delValle GM, Bister GL, Lynch LA, Cummings JJ. Prenatal magnesium sulfate exposure and the incidence of cerebral palsy in very low birth weight infants. J Investig Med. 1998; 46(1):175A.
- Derks JB, Sol CM, Van Leeuwen J, Keunen K, Mulder EJ, De Vries LS, et al. Antenatal magnesium sulphate for neuroprotection reduces punctate white matter lesions at 30 weeks MRI in the human neonate. Reprod Sci. 2016; 23(Suppl 1):273A.
- Downey LC, Cotten CM, Hornik CP, Laughon MM, Tolia VN, Clark RH, et al. Association of in utero magnesium exposure and spontaneous intestinal perforations in extremely low birth weight infants. J Perinatol. 2017; 37(6):641–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2016.274 PMID: 28125094
- Drassinower D, Obican S, Levin H, Gyamfi-Bannerman C. Immediate neonatal outcomes in infants exposed to magnesium sulfate at the time of delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 212(1 Suppl):S90.
- 95. Duffy CR, Odibo AO, Roehl KA, Macones GA, Cahill AG. Effect of magnesium sulfate on fetal heart rate patterns in the second stage of labor. Obstet Gynecol. 2012; 119(6):1129–36. https://doi.org/10. 1097/AOG.0b013e318257181e PMID: 22617576
- 96. Edwards J, Edwards L, Swamy G, Grotegut C. Magnesium sulfate for neuroprotection in the setting of chorioamnionitis. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2018; 31(9):1156–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/</u> 14767058.2017.1311312 PMID: 28395549
- Elimian A, Verma R, Ogburn P, Wiencek V, Spitzer A, Quirk JG. Magnesium sulfate and neonatal outcomes of preterm neonates. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2002; 12(2):118–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/jmf.12.2.118.122</u> PMID: 12420842
- Elliott J, Garite T, Clark R, Combs A. Perinatal effect of magnesium sulfate administered for tocolysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 189(6 Suppl):S63.
- 99. Farkouh LJ, Thorp JA, Jones PG, Clark RH, Knox GE. Antenatal magnesium exposure and neonatal demise. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2001; 185(4):869–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1067/mob.2001.117362</u> PMID: <u>11641668</u>
- FineSmith RB, Roche K, Yellin PB, Walsh KK, Shen C, Zeglis M, et al. Effect of magnesium sulfate on the development of cystic periventricular leukomalacia in preterm infants. Am J Perinatol. 1997; 14(5):303–7. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-994149 PMID: 9259949
- 101. Gano D, Ho ML, Partridge JC, Glass HC, Xu D, Barkovich AJ, et al. Antenatal exposure to magnesium sulfate is associated with reduced cerebellar hemorrhage in preterm newborns. J Pediatr. 2016; 178:68–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.06.053 PMID: 27453378
- 102. Garcia Alonso L, Pumarada Priet M, Gonzalez Colmenero E, Concheiro Guisan A, Suarez Albo M, Duran Fernandez-Feijoo C, et al. Prenatal therapy with magnesium sulfate and its correlation with neonatal serum magnesium concentration. Am J Perinatol. 2018; 35(2):170–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1606358 PMID: 28854447</u>
- Gasparyan A. [Neurosonographical characteristics of dysmature infants depending on conducted neuroprotection.] Georgian Med News. 2017;(268–9):72–5.
- 104. Ghidini A, Espada RA, Spong CY. Does exposure to magnesium sulfate in utero decrease the risk of necrotizing enterocolitis in premature infants? Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2001; 80(2):126–9. PMID: 11167206
- 105. Gibbins KJ, Browning KR, Lopes VV, Anderson BL, Rouse DJ. Evaluation of the clinical use of magnesium sulfate for cerebral palsy prevention. Obstet Gynecol. 2013; 121(2 Pt 1):235–40. https://doi.org/ http://10.1097/AOG.0b013e31827c5cf8 PMID: 23344271
- 106. Girsen AI, Greenberg MB, EI-Sayed YY, Lee H, Carvalho B, Lyell DJ. Magnesium sulfate exposure and neonatal intensive care unit admission at term. J Perinatol. 2015; 35(3):181–5. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/jp.2014.184</u> PMID: 25321647
- 107. Gonzalez-Quintero VH, Tolaymat L, Claure N, Vanbuskirk S, Siman D, del Moral T, et al. Survival rate in neonates exposed to magnesium sulfate. J Perinat Med. 2001; 29(Suppl 1):20.

- Greenberg MB, Penn AA, Thomas LJ, El-Sayed YY, Caughey AB, Lyell DJ. Neonatal medical admission in a term and late-preterm cohort exposed to magnesium sulfate. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011; 204(6):515.e1–7.
- 109. Greenberg MB, Penn AA, Whitaker KR, Kogut EA, El-Sayed YY, Caughey AB, et al. Effect of magnesium sulfate exposure on term neonates. J Perinatol. 2013; 33(3):188–93. https://doi.org/10.1038/jp. 2012.95 PMID: 22836873
- 110. Grether JK, Hoogstrate J, Selvin S, Nelson KB. Magnesium sulfate tocolysis and risk of neonatal death. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1998; 178(1 Pt 1):1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9378(98)70617-9 PMID: 9465794
- 111. Grimbly C, Rosolowsky E, Aziz K, O'Reilly M, Cheung PY, Schmolzer G. New baby jitters: novel characterization of the incidence and risk factors for neonatal hypoglycemia in the premature infant <33 weeks. Paediatr Child Health. 2015; 20(5):e86.
- 112. Gulcan H, Gungor S, Tiker F, Kilicdag H. Effect of perinatal factors on time of first stool passage in preterm newborns: an open, prospective study. Curr Ther Res Clin Exp. 2006; 67(3):214–25. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.curtheres.2006.06.002 PMID: 24678097
- 113. Gursoy T, Imamoglu EY, Ovali F, Karatekin G. Effects of antenatal magnesium exposure on intestinal blood flow and outcome in preterm neonates. Am J Perinatol. 2015; 32(11):1064–9. https://doi.org/10. 1055/s-0035-1548541 PMID: 25825964
- 114. Havranek T, Ashmeade TL, Afanador M, Carver JD. Effects of maternal magnesium sulfate administration on intestinal blood flow velocity in preterm neonates. Neonatology. 2011; 100(1):44–9. https:// doi.org/10.1159/000319049 PMID: 21212694
- 115. Hechtman J, Blackwell S, Moldenhauer J, Refuerzo J, Hassan S, Berry S, et al. Lack of association of neonatal mortality and exposure to tocolytic magnesium. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002; 187(6 Suppl 1): S124.
- Holcomb WL, Shackelford GD, Petrie RH. Magnesium tocolysis and neonatal bone abnormalities: a controlled study. Obstet Gynecol. 1991; 78(4):611–4. PMID: 1923163
- 117. Hom K, Brar B, Kennel P, Jackson D. Magnesium for fetal neuroprotection: should it be started when delivery is not imminent in pprom? Obstet Gynecol. 2018; 131(Suppl 1):44S.
- **118.** Hong JY, Kim Y-M, Hong JY, Seo M-r, Chae J, Sung J-H, et al. Does antenatal magnesium sulfate exposure increase the risk of necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm neonates? Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2019; 220(1):S327.
- 119. Igarashi H, Honma Y, Suwa K, Momoi M, Yanagisawa M. The clinical effects of hypermagnesemia on preterm infants of mothers treated with magnesium sulfate for tocolysis. Acta Neonatol Japon. 1995; 31(2):388–93.
- 120. Imamoglu EY, Gursoy T, Karatekin G, Ovali F. Effects of antenatal magnesium sulfate treatment on cerebral blood flow velocities in preterm neonates. J Perinatol. 2014; 34(3):192–6. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/jp.2013.182</u> PMID: 24480905
- 121. James AT, Corcoran JD, Hayes B, Franklin O, El-Khuffash A. The effect of antenatal magnesium sulfate on left ventricular afterload and myocardial function measured using deformation and rotational mechanics imaging. J Perinatol. 2015; 35(11):913–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2015.104</u> PMID: 26291779
- 122. Jazayeri A, Jazayeri MK, Sutkin G. Tocolysis does not improve neonatal outcome in patients with preterm rupture of membranes. Am J Perinatol. 2003; 20(4):189–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2003-40606 PMID: 12874729</u>
- 123. Jeanneteau P, Bouet PE, Baisson AL, Courtay V, Gascoin-Lachambre G, Gillard P, et al. Evaluation of the clinical use of magnesium sulfate for cerebral palsy prevention. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2014; 27(Suppl 1):377–8.
- 124. Jones CW, Petrashek K, Wenzlaff M, Simpson P, Pan AY. Prenatal magnesium sulfate and time to first stool in late preterm infants. Obstet Gynecol. 2018; 131(Suppl 1):160S.
- 125. Jung EJ, Byun JM, Kim YN, Lee KB, Sung MS, Kim KT, et al. Antenatal magnesium sulfate for both tocolysis and fetal neuroprotection in premature rupture of the membranes before 32 weeks' gestation. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2018; 31(11):1431–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2017</u>. 1317743 PMID: 28391733
- **126.** Kamilya G, Bharracharyya SK, Mukherji J. Changing trends in the management of eclampsia from a teaching hospital. J Indian Med Assoc. 2005; 103(3):132,134–5.
- 127. Kamyar M, Bardsley T, Korgenski K, Clark E. Magnesium sulfate and the extremely low birth weight neonate. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 212(1 Suppl):S362–3.
- 128. Kamyar M, Bardsley T, Korgenski K, Clark EAS. Association of antenatal magnesium sulfate with neonatal morbidity and mortality in very preterm infants. Reprod Sci. 2015; 22(Suppl 1):144A.

- 129. Kamyar M, Clark EA, Yoder BA, Varner MW, Manuck TA. Antenatal magnesium sulfate, necrotizing enterocolitis, and death among neonates<28 weeks gestation. AJP Rep. 2016; 6(1):e148–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1581059 PMID: 27054046</u>
- Kamyar M, Manuck TA, Stoddard GJ, Varner MW, Clark EAS. Magnesium sulfate, chorioamnionitis, and neurodevelopment after preterm birth. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 2016; 123(7):1161–6.
- 131. Kamyar M, Varner M, Clark E. Magnesium sulfate neuroprophylaxis and the effect of infant sex. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 212(1 Suppl):S144.
- 132. Katayama Y, Minami H, Enomoto M, Takano T, Hayashi S, Lee YK. Antenatal magnesium sulfate and the postnatal response of the ductus arteriosus to indomethacin in extremely preterm neonates. J Perinatol. 2011; 31(1):21–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2010.62 PMID: 20505743
- Kelly MJ, Viscardi RM. Effects of maternal magnesium sulfate on preterm newborns. Pediatr Res. 1992; 31(4 Pt 2):207A.
- 134. Khodapanahandeh F, Khosravi N, Larijani T. Risk factors for intraventricular hemorrhage in very low birth weight infants in Tehran, Iran. Turk J Pediatr. 2008; 50(3):247–52. PMID: 18773670
- 135. Kimberlin DF, Hauth JC, Goldenberg RL, Bottoms SF, Iams JD, Mercer B, et al. The effect of maternal magnesium sulfate treatment on neonatal morbidity in < or = 1000-gram infants. Am J Perinatol. 1998; 15(11):635–41. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-994082 PMID: 10064205</p>
- 136. Koksal N, Baytan B, Bayram Y, Nacarkucuk E. Risk factors for intraventricular haemorrhage in very low birth weight infants. Indian J Pediatr. 2002; 69(7):561–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02722677</u> PMID: 12173693
- Kuban KC, Leviton A, Pagano M, Fenton T, Strassfeld R, Wolff M. Maternal toxemia is associated with reduced incidence of germinal matrix hemorrhage in premature babies. J Child Neurol. 1992; 7(1):70– 6. https://doi.org/10.1177/088307389200700113 PMID: 1552156
- Lai TC, Liao CY. Maternal magnesium sulfate treatment and infant outcomes. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2017; 43(Suppl 1):56–7.
- Lee B, Cho GJ, Jin HM, Chung SH, Oh MJ, Kim HJ. Maternal magnesium sulfate treatment is not associated with serum calcium levels of preterm neonate. J Perinat Med. 2015; 43:667.
- 140. Lee NY, Cho SJ, Park EA. Influence of antenatal magnesium sulfate exposure on perinatal outcomes in VLBW infants with maternal preeclampsia. Neonatal Med. 2013; 20(1):28–34.
- Leung JC, Cifra CL, Agthe AG, Sun CC, Viscardi RM. Antenatal factors modulate hearing screen failure risk in preterm infants. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2016; 101(1):F56–61. https://doi.org/10. 1136/archdischild-2014-307843 PMID: 26195624
- 142. Leviton A, Paneth N, Susser M, Reuss ML, Allred EN, Kuban K, et al. Maternal receipt of magnesium sulfate does not seem to reduce the risk of neonatal white matter damage. Pediatrics. 1997; 99(4):E2. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.99.4.e2 PMID: 9099777
- Lipsitz PJ. The clinical and biochemical effects of excess magnesium in the newborn. Pediatrics. 1971; 47(3):501–9. PMID: 5547870
- 144. Lloreda-Garcia JM, Lorente-Nicolás A, Bermejo-Costa F, Martínez-Uriarte J, López-Pérez R. Necesidad de reanimación en prematuros menores de 32 semanas expuestos a sulfato de magnesio para neuroprotección fetal. Rev Chil Pediatr. 2016; 87(4):261–7.
- 145. Martin D, Gonzalez JL, Gardner MO, Izquierdo LA, Tobey K, Curet LB. Incidence of intraventricular hemorrhage in neonates under 32 weeks of gestation delivered to mothers with severe pre-eclampsia. Prenat Neonatal Med. 1998; 3(2):250–4.
- 146. Matsuda Y, Maeda Y, Ito M, Sakamoto H, Masaoka N, Takada M, et al. Effect of magnesium sulfate treatment on neonatal bone abnormalities. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 1997; 44(2):82–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1159/000291492 PMID: 9286718
- McGuinness GA, Weinstein MM, Cruikshank DP, Pitkin RM. Effects of magnesium sulfate treatment on perinatal calcium metabolism. II. Neonatal responses. Obstet Gynecol. 1980; 56(5):595–600. PMID: 7432730
- McPherson JA, Rouse DJ, Grobman WA, Palatnik A, Stamilio DM. Association of duration of neuroprotective magnesium sulfate infusion with neonatal and maternal outcomes. Obstet Gynecol. 2014; 124(4):749–55. https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.00000000000467 PMID: 25198275
- 149. Mikhael M, Bronson C, Zhang L, Curran M, Rodriguez H, Bhakta KY. Lack of evidence for time or dose relationship between antenatal magnesium sulfate and intestinal injury in extremely preterm neonates. Neonatology. 2019; 115(4):371–8. https://doi.org/10.1159/000497412 PMID: 30965340
- 150. Mitani M, Matsuda Y, Shimada E. Short- and long-term outcomes in babies born after antenatal magnesium treatment. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2011; 37(11):1609–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1447-0756.2011.01583.x PMID: 21733038</u>

- 151. Mittendorf R, Besinger R, Santillan M, Gianopoulos J. When used in the circumstance of preterm labor, is there a paradoxical effect of varying exposures to magnesium sulfate (MgSO4) on the developing human brain? Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2005; 193(6):S65.
- 152. Mittendorf R, Pryde P, Gianopoulos J, Besinger R, Lee K-S. Thalamostriate vasculopathy in the neonate is associated with antenatal exposures to tocolytic MgSO4. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2009; 201(6): S79.
- 153. Morag I, Okrent AL, Strauss T, Staretz-Chacham O, Kuint J, Simchen MJ, et al. Early neonatal morbidities and associated modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors in a cohort of infants born at 34–35 weeks of gestation. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2015; 28(8):876–82. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 14767058.2014.938043 PMID: 24962498
- 154. Morag I, Yakubovich D, Stern O, Siman-Tov M, Schushan-Eisen I, Strauss T, et al. Short-term morbidities and neurodevelopmental outcomes in preterm infants exposed to magnesium sulphate treatment. J Paediatr Child Health. 2016; 52(4):397–401. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpc.13103 PMID: 27145502
- 155. Moschos E, Magee K. Does magnesium sulfate exposure decrease the incidence of necrotizing enterocolitis? Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2001; 185(6 Suppl):S148.
- 156. Murata Y, Itakura A, Matsuzawa K, Okumura A, Wakai K, Mizutani S. Possible antenatal and perinatal related factors in development of cystic periventricular leukomalacia. Brain Dev. 2005; 27(1):17–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.braindev.2004.02.011 PMID: 15626536
- 157. Nakamura Y, Ibara S, Ikenoue T. Effect of maternally administered magnesium sulfate on the neonate. J Perinat Med. 1991; 19(Suppl 2):136.
- 158. Narasimhulu D, Brown A, Egbert NM, Rojas M, Haberman S, Bhutada A, et al. Maternal magnesium therapy, neonatal serum magnesium concentration and immediate neonatal outcomes. J Perinatol. 2017; 37(12):1297–303. https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2017.132 PMID: 28981078
- 159. Nassar AH, Sakhel K, Maarouf H, Naassan GR, Usta IM. Adverse maternal and neonatal outcome of prolonged course of magnesium sulfate tocolysis. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2006; 85(9):1099– 103. https://doi.org/10.1080/00016340600756896 PMID: 16929415
- Nelson KB, Grether JK. Can magnesium sulfate reduce the risk of cerebral palsy in very low birthweight infants? Pediatrics. 1995; 95(2):263–9. PMID: 7838646
- Nunes RD, Schutz FD, Traebert JL. Association between the use of magnesium sulfate as neuroprotector in prematurity and the neonatal hemodynamic effects. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2018; 31 (14):1900–5. https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2017.1332033 PMID: 28521581
- 162. O Reilly E, Rogers EL, Hayes B. Effects of magnesium sulphate on respiratory function in the preterm infants who received magnesium sulphate prophylaxis at delivery. Ir J Med Sci. 2016; 185:S277–8.
- Okusanya BO, Garba KK, Ibrahim HM. The efficacy of 10gram intramuscular loading dose of MgSO (4) in severe preeclampsia/ eclampsia at a tertiary referral centre in Northwest Nigeria. Niger Postgrad Med J. 2012; 19(3):143–8. PMID: 23064169
- Özlü F, Hacıoğlu C, Büyükkurt S, Yapıcıoğlu H, Satar M. Changes on preterm morbidities with antenatal magnesium. Cukurova Med J. 2019; 44(2):502–8. https://doi.org/10.17826/cumj.444238
- 165. Palatnik A, Liu LY, Lee A, Yee LM. Predictors of early-onset neonatal sepsis or death among newborns born at <32 weeks of gestation. J Perinatol. 2019; 39(7):949–55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> s41372-019-0395-9 PMID: 31089257
- 166. Paneth N, Jetton J, Pinto-Martin J, Susser M. Magnesium sulfate in labor and risk of neonatal brain lesions and cerebral palsy in low birth weight infants. The Neonatal Brain Hemorrhage Study Analysis Group. Pediatrics. 1997; 99(5):E1. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.99.5.e1 PMID: 9113958
- 167. Perlman J, Fernandez C, Gee J, Leveno K, Risser R. Magnesium sulphate (Mg) administered to mothers with pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH) is associated with a reduction in periventricular-intraventricular hemorrhage (PV-IVH). Pediatr Res. 1995; 37(4 Pt 2):231A.
- 168. Petrov V, Lupascu A, Etsco L, Pavlenco A. Maternal and new born hemodynamics after antenatal administration of magnesium sulfate (MGSO4), as a neuroprotective drug in preterm birth. J Perinat Med. 2013; 41(Suppl 1):RU350.
- 169. Petrova A, Mehta R. Magnesium sulfate tocolysis and intraventricular hemorrhage in very preterm infants. Indian J Pediatr. 2012; 79(1):43–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-011-0440-y PMID: 21625843
- 170. Qasim A, Jain S, Dasgupta S. Does antenatal magnesium sulfate increase the likelihood of a hemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus in neonates? J Investig Med. 2017; 65(2):547–8.
- 171. Rantonen T, Kaapa P, Gronlund J, Ekblad U, Helenius H, Kero P, et al. Maternal magnesium sulfate treatment is associated with reduced brain-blood flow perfusion in preterm infants. Crit Care Med. 2001; 29(7):1460–5. https://doi.org/10.1097/00003246-200107000-00026 PMID: 11445708

- 172. Rasch DK, Huber PA, Richardson CJ, L'Hommedieu CS, Nelson TE, Reddi R. Neurobehavioral effects of neonatal hypermagnesemia. J Pediatr. 1982; 100(2):272–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-3476(82)80654-9 PMID: 7199083
- 173. Rattray BN, Kraus DM, Drinker LR, Goldberg RN, Tanaka DT, Cotten CM. Antenatal magnesium sulfate and spontaneous intestinal perforation in infants less than 25 weeks gestation. J Perinatol. 2014; 34(11):819–22. https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2014.106 PMID: 24901451
- 174. Rauf M, Sevil E, Ebru C, Yavuz S, Cemil C. Antenatal magnesium sulfate use for fetal neuroprotection: experience from a tertiary care hospital in Turkey. Biomed Res. 2017; 28(4):1749–54.
- 175. Rhee E, Beiswenger T, Oguejiofor CE, James AH. The effects of magnesium sulfate on maternal and fetal platelet aggregation. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2012; 25(5):478–83. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 14767058.2011.584087 PMID: 21762000
- 176. Riaz M, Porat R, Brodsky NL, Hurt H. The effects of maternal magnesium sulfate treatment on newborns: a prospective controlled study. J Perinatol. 1998; 18(6 Pt 1):449–54. PMID: 9848759
- 177. Rizzolo A, Shah PS, Boucorian I, Lemyre B, Bertelle V, Pelausa E, et al. Cumulative effect of evidence-based practices on outcomes of preterm infants born at <29 weeks gestational age. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2019 Sept 6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.08.058 PMID: 31499055</p>
- 178. Sakae C, Sato Y, Kanbayashi S, Taga A, Emoto I, Maruyama S, et al. Introduction of management protocol for early-onset severe pre-eclampsia. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2017; 43(4):644–52. https:// doi.org/10.1111/jog.13265 PMID: 28150368
- 179. Sahin H, Akay AF, Bircan MK, Gocmen A, Bircan Z. The first micturition times of the newborns whose mothers were treated with magnesium sulfate. Int Urol Nephrol. 2001; 32(4):651–3. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1023/a:1014405824678 PMID: 11989558</u>
- Salafia CM, Minior VK, Rosenkrantz TS, Pezzullo JC, Popek EJ, Cusick W, et al. Maternal, placental, and neonatal associations with early germinal matrix/intraventricular hemorrhage in infants born before 32 weeks' gestation. Am J Perinatol. 1995; 12(6):429–36. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-994514 PMID: 8579656
- 181. Sarkar S, Bhagat I, Dechert R, Schumacher RE, Donn SM. Severe intraventricular hemorrhage in preterm infants: comparison of risk factors and short-term neonatal morbidities between grade 3 and grade 4 intraventricular hemorrhage. Am J Perinatol. 2009; 26(6):419–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1214237 PMID: 19267317</u>
- 182. Schanler RJ, Smith LG, Burns PA. Effects of long-term maternal intravenous magnesium sulfate therapy on neonatal calcium metabolism and bone mineral content. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 1997; 43(4):236–41. https://doi.org/10.1159/000291864 PMID: 9194621
- Scudiero R, Khoshnood B, Pryde PG, Lee KS, Wall S, Mittendorf R. Perinatal death and tocolytic magnesium sulfate. Obstet Gynecol. 2000; 96(2):178–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0029-7844(00)00893-0 PMID: 10908759
- 184. Shalabi M, Mohamed A, Lemyre B, Aziz K, Faucher D, Shah PS, et al. Antenatal exposure to magnesium sulfate and spontaneous intestinal perforation and necrotizing enterocolitis in extremely preterm neonates. Am J Perinatol. 2017; 34(12):1227–33. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1603344 PMID: 28494498
- 185. Shamsuddin L, Nahar K, Nasrin B, Nahar S, Tamanna S, Kabir RM, et al. Use of parenteral magnesium sulphate in eclampsia and severe pre-eclampsia cases in a rural set up of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull. 2005; 31(2):75–82. PMID: 16967813
- 186. Shokry M, Elsedfy GO, Bassiouny MM, Anmin M, Abozid H. Effects of antenatal magnesium sulfate therapy on cerebral and systemic hemodynamics in preterm newborns. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2010; 89(6):801–6. https://doi.org/10.3109/00016341003739542 PMID: 20504082
- 187. Stetson BT, Buhimschi CS, Kellert BA, Hay K, Buhimschi IA, Maitre NL. Comparison of cerebral palsy severity between 2 eras of antenatal magnesium use. JAMA Pediatr. 2019; 173(2):188–90. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.3827 PMID: 30508016
- 188. Stockley EL, Ting JY, Kingdom JC, McDonald SD, Barrett JF, Synnes AR, et al. Intrapartum magnesium sulfate is associated with neuroprotection in growth-restricted fetuses. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2018; 219(6):606e1–8.
- 189. Suh B, Ko K, Bang J, Oh Y, Lee Y, Lee J, et al. Neonatal outcomes of premature infants who were delivered from mother with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and effects of antihypertensive drugs and MgSO4. Korean J Perinatol. 2015; 26(3):190–9.
- 190. Teng RJ, Wu TJ, Sharma R, Garrison RD, Hudak ML. Early neonatal hypotension in premature infants born to preeclamptic mothers. J Perinatol. 2006; 26(8):471–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jp.7211558 PMID: 16775620

- 191. Verma RP, Chandra S, Niwas R, Komaroff E. Risk factors and clinical outcomes of pulmonary interstitial emphysema in extremely low birth weight infants. J Perinatol. 2006; 26(3):197–200. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/sj.jp.7211456</u> PMID: 16493434
- 192. Weintraub Z, Solovechick M, Reichman B, Rotschild A, Waisman D, Davkin O, et al. Effect of maternal tocolysis on the incidence of severe periventricular/intraventricular haemorrhage in very low birth-weight infants. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2001; 85(1):F13–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/fn.85.1</u>. F13 PMID: 11420315
- 193. Weisz D, Shivananda S, Asztalos E, Yee W, Synnes A, Lee S, et al. Intrapartum magnesium sulfate and need for intensive delivery room resuscitation. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2015; 100(1): F59–65. https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2013-305884 PMID: 25232002
- 194. Whitsel A, Insel A, Desilva H, Bernstein B. Association of maternal antepartum management with mortality and morbidity of the extremely low birthweight (ELBW) neonate. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2004; 191(6 Suppl):S75.
- 195. Whitten A, Ogunyemi D, Betcher K, Nowakowski A, Qu S. What factors predict prolonged neonatal length of stay in term babies? Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2015; 131:E462–3.
- 196. Wiswell TE, Caddell JL, Graziani LJ, Kornhauser MS, Spitzer AR. Maternally-administered magnesium sulfate (MgSO4) decreases the incidence of severe necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) in preterm infants: a prospective study. Pediatr Res. 1996; 39(4):1501.
- 197. Wutthigate P, Yangthara B, Siripattanapipong P, Kitsommart R. Correlation between maternal cumulative dose of intrapartum magnesium sulfate and cord blood magnesium level. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 2017; 48(Suppl 2):256–63.
- 198. Yokoyama K, Takahashi N, Yada Y, Koike Y, Kawamata R, Uehara R, et al. Prolonged maternal magnesium administration and bone metabolism in neonates. Early Hum Dev. 2010; 86(3):187–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2010.02.007 PMID: 20226604
- Young BK, Weinstein HM. Effects of magnesium sulfate on toxemic patients in labor. Obstet Gynecol. 1977; 49(6):681–5. PMID: 865731
- 200. Ahmad S, Miller M, Slaughter S. Is there any evidence for fetal harm with prolonged used of magnesium sulfate in pregnant women? Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2013; 22(1):141.
- 201. Amodio J, Berdon W, Abramson S, Stolar C. Microcolon of prematurity: a form of functional obstruction. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1986; 146(2):239–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.146.2.239</u> PMID: 3484569
- 202. Cruz M, Doren A, Fernandez B, Antonio Salinas J, Urzua S, Lui Tapia J. Intoxicación neonatal por sulfato de magnesio: caso clínico. Rev Chil Pediatr. 2009; 80(3):261–6.
- 203. Cumming W, Thomas V. Hypermagnesemia: a cause of abnormal metaphyses in the neonate. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1989; 152(5):1071–2. https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.152.5.1071 PMID: 2705341
- 204. Herschel M, Mittendorf R. Tocolytic magnesium sulfate toxicity and unexpected neonatal death. J Perinatol. 2001; 21(4):261–2. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jp.7200498 PMID: 11533846
- 205. Brady J. Magnesium intoxication in a premature infant. Pediatrics. 1967; 40(1):100-3. PMID: 6028888
- 206. Jashi R, Gorgadze N. Maternal medication part of infant mortality. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2014; 27:320–1.
- 207. Kaplan W, Haymond MW, McKay S, Karaviti LP. Osteopenic effects of MgSO4 in multiple pregnancies. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2006; 19(10):1225–30. https://doi.org/10.1515/jpem.2006.19.10. 1225 PMID: 17172083
- 208. Kogan JM, Wedig KE, Whitsett JA, Schorry EK. Prolonged prenatal exposure to magnesium sulfate associated with bone abnormalities mimicking genetic bone disease. Am J Hum Genet. 2003; 73(5 Suppl):590.
- 209. Krasna IH, Rosenfeld D, Salerno P. Is it necrotizing enterocolitis, microcolon of prematurity, or delayed meconium plug? A dilemma in the tiny premature infant. J Pediatr Surg. 1996; 31(6):855–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-3468(96)90153-0 PMID: 8783123
- 210. Kurtoglu S, Caksen H, Poyrazoglu MH. Neonatal poisonings in middle Anatolia of Turkey: an analysis of 72 cases. J Toxicol Sci. 2000; 25(2):115–9. https://doi.org/10.2131/jts.25.115 PMID: 10845189
- 211. L'Hommedieu CS, Huber P, Rasch DK. Potentiation of magnesium-induced neuromuscular weakness by gentamicin. Crit Care Med. 1983; 11(1):55–6. https://doi.org/10.1097/00003246-198301000-00015 PMID: 6848309
- Lamm C, Norton K, Murphy R, Wilkins I, Rabinowitz J. Congenital rickets associated with magnesium sulfate infusion for tocolysis. J Pediatr. 1988; 113(6):1078–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-3476(88) 80586-9 PMID: 3193315

- 213. Lipsitz P, English I. Hypermagnesemia in the newborn infant. Pediatrics. 1967; 40(5):856–62. PMID: 6075658
- 214. Malaeb S, Rassi A, Haddad M, Seoud M, Yunis K. Bone mineralization in newborns whose mothers received magnesium sulphate for tocolysis of preterm labour. Pediatr Radiol. 2004; 34(384–6).
- **215.** Rasch D, Richardson C. Effect of gentamicin on neuromuscular function (NMF) of a hypermagnesemic neonate. Pediatr Res. 1981; 15(4):499.
- 216. Sokal M, Koenigsberger M, Rose J, Berdon W, Santulli T. Neonatal hypermagnesemia and the meconium-plug syndrome. N Engl J Med. 1972; 286(1):823–5.
- 217. Tanaka K, Mori H, Sakamoto R, Matsumoto S, Mitsubuchi H, Nakamura K, et al. Early-onset neonatal hyperkalemia associated with maternal hypermagnesemia: a case report. BMC Pediatr. 2018; 15(1):55.
- Teng R, Liu H, Tsou Yau K. Neonatal hypermagnesemia: report of one case. Acta Paediatr Sin. 1989; 30(5):333–6. PMID: 2637615
- 219. Smith JM, Lowe RF, Fullerton J, Currie SM, Harris L, Felker-Kantor E. An integrative review of the side effects related to the use of magnesium sulfate for pre-eclampsia and eclampsia management. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2013; 13:34. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-13-34 PMID: 23383864
- 220. Duffy J, Hirsch M, Pealing L, Showell M, Khan KS, Ziebland S, et al. Inadequate safety reporting in pre-eclampsia trials: a systematic evaluation. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 2018; 125(7):795–803.
- 221. McNamara HC, Crowther CA, Brown J. Different treatment regimens of magnesium sulphate for tocolysis in women in preterm labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;(12):CD011200. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1002/14651858.CD011200.pub2</u> PMID: 26662716
- 222. Garg BD. Antenatal magnesium sulfate is beneficial or harmful in very preterm and extremely preterm neonates: a new insight. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2019; 32(12):2084–90. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1080/14767058.2018.1424823 PMID: 29301419</u>
- 223. Golder S, Loke YK, Wright K, Norman G. Reporting of adverse events in published and unpublished studies of health care interventions: a systematic review. PLoS Med. 2016; 13(9):e1002127. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002127 PMID: 27649528
- 224. Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ. 2017; 358:j4008. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4008 PMID: 28935701